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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Even before the development of the spectroscope man had wondered at the cause of the many beautiful spectral lines revealed to his eyes by the natural spectroscopy of the rainbow. This amazement continues today, several centuries later. Only in the last seventy years has man been able to explain their existence and predict still others he can not see with his eyes. The development of quantum mechanics in the 1920's was in great part due to man's inability to explain spectra. Isotopes (deuterium, tritium) whose existence were never previously suspected, first showed their presence in spectra. The intensity alterations in various spectral bands indicated molecules which chemists knew could not exist. The intensity modulation in other bands enable man to measure the temperature of the stars, the planets and comets. The appearance of certain lines and not of others enables man to probe the very core of matter, the nucleus. The composition of the stars and of the planetary atmospheres can now be determined by examination of spectra. The wonders of life saving drugs can be unraveled by their absorption, emission, infrared and Raman spectra. The very structure of molecules may be determined by examination of their spectra.

Man now attempts to safeguard himself from nuclear catastrophy by monitoring the $\mathrm{N}_{2}^{+}(1-)(3914 \AA)$ band of his home planet's atmosphere since it is excited when upper atmospheric nuclear blasts are set off. The development of lasers, many which utilize molecular transitions, may one day provide unlimited communication facilities, All life is composed of molecules. Life, as we know it, depends upon the oxygen molecules for its existence.

This dissertation is an attempt to increase mans knowledge of the universe by a detailed experimental examination of two diatomic molecules, oxygen and nitric oxide. The techniques utilized ${ }^{(1)}$ may be applied to still other atomic and molecular species as well. The primary goal of this research is to measure the mean time excited states of these species exists. Typically this time is of the order of one to one hundred billionths of a second. This time scale is of such short duration, that it's very difficult for man to comprehend its brevity. Roughly a nanosecond is the time it takes light travelling 186,000 miles each second to travel one foot, i.e., the length of this page.

Part I of this dissertation is a detailed description of the quantum mechanical background necessary to describe diatomic molecules. A description of transition probability is developed. The experimental apparatus is described in Part II together with the method of measurement adopted, i.e., the delayed coincidence method. Part III describes the type of data and how it is analyzed to yield lifetimes of excited states. In Part IV the results of the detailed examinations are reported and discussed.

## CHAPTER II

## MODEL OF DIATOMIC MOLECULES

We shall assume for our model of a diatomic molecule, that first put forth by Born and Oppenheimer ${ }^{(2)}$. In this model the total eignfunction of the molecule is represented by a product of three functions, i.e., the electronic, vibrational, and rotational eigenfunctions. When such an eigenfunction is substituted into the exact Schroedinger equation for a diatomic molecule, it is seen that the equation separates into three ordinary differential equations, if, and only if, one neglects variations of the first and second derivatives of the electronic eigenfunctions with respect to the internuclear distance ${ }^{(3)}$. This is equivalent to writing the Schroedinger equation $\mathrm{H} \Psi=\mathrm{E} \Psi$ for the molecule and leaving out of the Hamiltonian all terms in which electronic, vibrational, and rotational motions interact with one another.

Thus we proceed with our first approximation to the total wave function of the undisturbed diatomic molecuie, in which we write (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{n v, m}=\frac{1}{r} \Psi\left(n \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) \phi(v \mid R) \times(J m \mid \theta \phi) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi\left(\mathrm{n} \mid \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{R}\right) & =\text { electronic wave function } \\
\Phi(v \mid R) & =\text { vibrational wave function } \\
x(\mathrm{Jm} \mid \Theta \Phi) & =\text { rotational wave function }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the quantum numbers are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}=\text { electronic } & \mathrm{J}=\text { rotational state } \\
\mathrm{v}=\text { vibrational state } & M=\begin{array}{l}
\text { projection of } \mathrm{J} \text { on } \\
\text { internuclear axis }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

$\vec{r}_{m}$ is the radius vector of the mth electron from the center of mass of the molecule. $|\vec{R}|$ is the internuclear distance and $\theta$ and $\Phi$ are angles which fix the internuclear axis with respect to our axis at the center of mass.

The total energy of the molecule neglecting spin and magnetic interactions consists of the potential and kinetic energies of the electrons and the nuclei. It is clear that the electronic energy will depend upon the internuciear distance $R$. The small mass of electrons when compared to the nuclear mass makes it clear that the electrons move much more rapidly than the nuclei, thus the electronic energy depends upon the position of the nuclei. In order to move the nuclei one must do work against their Coulombic repulsion and supply the energy necessary to change the electronic energy as well. Thus the sum of the electronic energy and the Coulombic potential of the nuclei acts as the potential energy under whose influence the nuclei carry out their vibrations. Therefore, we see why the electronic and vibrational eigenfunctions depend explicitly on the internuclear distance $R$.

In all but one realistic case it is found that it is impossible to solve in closed analytic form for the total eignfunctions of a diatomic molecule ${ }^{(5)}$. It is necessary to seek ways in which these wavefunctions may be found from empirical data, i.e., the spectroscopic constants for each state. We will dwell on these techniques later in this dissertation.

The electronic states in a molecule are classified according to the Hund's ${ }^{(6)}$ coupling cases. The quantum number usually used are $(\Lambda, \Sigma, \Omega, J) . \Lambda$ is the projection on the internuclear axis of the total electric orbital angular momentum and takes the values $0,1,2, \ldots$; producing $\Sigma, \Pi, \Delta, \Phi$ states which are doubly degenerate (expect for $\Sigma$ states). The component $M_{S}$ of the resultant spin vector $S$ on the internuclear axis is called $\Sigma$ and takes values $S, S-1, \ldots,-S$. The total electronic angular momentum about the internuclear axis is denoted by $\Omega$ and is given by

$$
\Omega=|\Lambda+\Sigma| .
$$

Other quantum numbers of interest are the rotational quantum numbers ( $J, K$ ) which are used in various coupling schemes.

Most electronic states can be classified as belonging to Hund's coupling case $a$, intermediate between Hund's coupling a and b, and/ar belonging to Hund's case b. The mutual interaction of vibrational and electronic motions is taken into account if the vibrational levels are chosen to fit the potential curve of the electronic state. The influence of rotational and electronic motions on each other vary strongly
and the relative strength of their coupling determines which of the Hund's coupling cases is used for description of that state.

In Hund's case (a) it is assumed that the interaction of nuclear rotation with the total electronic motion is very weak and the electronic motion itself is coupled very strongly to the internuclear axis. The electronic angular momentum $\vec{\Omega}$ is well defined and with the angular momentum $\vec{N}$ of nulcear rotation forms the resultant $\vec{J}$. The coupling is similar to that of a symmetric top. $\vec{J}$ is constant in magnitude and direction and $\vec{\Omega}$ and $\vec{N}$ rotate about $\vec{J}$ (nutation). $\vec{L}$ and $\vec{J}$ precess about internuclear axis very much faster than the nutation frequency. Since $\Omega$ is a component of $\vec{J}$, it follows that

$$
J=\Omega, \Omega+1, \Omega+2, \ldots
$$

and levels with $J<\Omega$ do not occur.


Hund's Coupling, Case (a)

In Hund's case (a) when $\Lambda=0$ and $S \neq 0$, $\vec{S}$ is not coupled to the internuclear axis at all. Thus $\vec{\Omega}$ is not defined. In cases where $\vec{S}$ is only weakly coupled to the internuclear axis we use Hunds coupling
case (b) even if $\Lambda \neq 0$. In this case $\vec{\Lambda}$ and $\vec{N}$ form a resultant which is called $\vec{K}$, which can have the interger values

$$
K=\Lambda, \Lambda+1, \Lambda+2, \ldots .
$$

$\vec{K}$ is the total angular momentum apart from spin. The momenta $\vec{K}$ and $\vec{S}$ form the resultant $\vec{J}$, the total angular momentum including spin. The possible values of $J$ for a given $K$ are

$$
J=(K+S),(K+S-1) \ldots|K-S| .
$$

Thus each level with given $K$ has $2 \mathrm{~S}+1$ components.


The potential energy diagram ${ }^{(7)}$ for the two molecules NO and $0_{2}^{+}$ are shown in Figs. (1) and (2). The electronic states are labeled according to international notation (Herzberg) ${ }^{(8)}$. Inside the potential wells are shown the vibrational levels which are observed in both emission and absorption (electronic spectra). The rotational levels are not shown since they would be on much too small scale.



Figure 2. NO Energy Level Diagram.

Using notation introduced previously, we have the wave function of an excited state ( $n^{\prime}, v^{\prime}, J^{\prime}, m^{\prime}$ ) as

$$
\psi_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime} J^{\prime} m^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{r} \Psi\left(n^{\prime} \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) \Phi\left(v^{\prime} \mid R\right) \chi\left(J^{\prime} m^{\prime} \mid \theta \Phi\right)
$$

and in the same manner for lower state ( $\left.n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}\right)$

$$
\Psi_{n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{1}{r} \Psi\left(n^{\prime \prime} \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) \Phi\left(v^{\prime \prime} \mid R\right) \times\left(J^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime} \mid \theta \Phi\right) .
$$

## CHAPTER III

QUANTUM-MECHANICAL TRANSITION PROBABILITIES

The probability of a transition between a state characterized by ( $n^{\prime} v^{\prime} J^{\prime} m^{\prime}$ ) and a lower energy state ( $n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}$ ) is proporational to the square of the matrix element of the electric dipole moment (the transition moment)

$$
\mathrm{R}=\int \psi \prime * \overrightarrow{\mathrm{M}} \psi^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

Where $\vec{M}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e \vec{r}_{i}$ and has components of $\Sigma e_{i} x_{i}, \Sigma e_{i} y_{i}, \Sigma e_{i} z_{i} . \quad$ The dipole moment $\vec{M}$ can be written as the sum of two parts $\vec{M}_{e}$ (depending upon the electrons) and $\vec{M}_{n}$ (depending upon the nuclei)

$$
\vec{M}=\vec{M}_{e}+\vec{M}_{n}
$$

Then

$$
\vec{R}=\int \Psi ' *\left(\vec{M}_{e}+\vec{M}_{n}\right) \Psi^{\prime \prime} d \tau
$$

Using the product functions for the wave functions, as obtained from the Born Oppenheimer separation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\vec{R}= & \int \Psi *\left(n^{\prime} \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) \Phi^{*}\left(v^{\prime} \mid R\right) x^{*}\left(J^{\prime} m^{\prime} \mid \theta \Phi\right) \\
& *\left(\vec{M}_{e}+\vec{M}_{n}\right) \Psi\left(n^{\prime \prime} \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) \Phi\left(v^{\prime \prime} \mid R\right) \times\left(J^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime} \mid \theta \Phi\right) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

We now calculate the $Z$ component of the transition moment.

But $M_{Z}=M \cos \theta, d t=d \tau e^{r^{2}} \sin \theta d \theta d \Phi d r$ where $d \tau e$ is volume element of configuration space of the electrons, then we have ( $\Phi_{v^{*}}=\Phi_{v}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{Z}=\int \Psi_{n}^{*}, \Phi_{v}{ }^{\prime} M_{n^{\prime \prime}}{ }^{\Phi\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) d \tau} e \\
& *^{2}{ }^{2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r} d r \int \sin \theta \cos \theta X_{J}^{*}{ }^{*} n^{\prime} X_{J}{ }^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime \prime} d \theta d \Phi .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second for the two integrals is constant for a given $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}, \mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime}$ combination. Moreover the first integral is independent of $J$ in this approximation. We may conclude that one may neglect the rotation of the molecule entirely for calculations of the electronic transition moment. Now proceeding with the calculation of $\vec{R}$, we find

$$
\vec{R}=\int \vec{M}_{e^{\Psi}}^{\psi_{n}^{\prime}}{ }^{\Phi}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{n^{\prime \prime}} \Phi^{\Phi}\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) d \tau+\int \vec{M}_{n^{\prime} \Psi^{\prime}}^{\prime *} \Phi_{v^{\prime}} \Phi_{v^{\prime \prime}} \Psi_{n^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{d} \tau_{\tau} .
$$

But $\vec{M}_{n}$ does not depend upon the coordinates of the electrons, so the second integral is

$$
\int \vec{M}_{n} \Phi^{\Phi} v^{\Phi} v^{\prime \prime} d_{n} \int_{n^{\prime}} \psi_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{*} d^{2} e
$$

Since we are dealing with different electronic states ( $n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime}$ ), the electronic eigenfunctions are orthogonal, and thus $\int \Psi_{n}^{*}, \Psi_{n^{\prime \prime}} d \tau_{e}=0$. Thus

$$
\vec{R}=\int \Phi_{v^{\prime}} \Phi_{v^{\prime \prime}} d \tau \int \vec{M}_{e} \psi_{n^{\prime}}^{*} \Psi_{n^{\prime \prime}} d \tau e
$$

or

$$
\vec{R}=\int \Phi\left(V^{\prime} \mid R\right) \Phi\left(V^{\prime \prime} \mid R\right) d \tau \int \Psi^{*}\left(n^{\prime} \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) \vec{M}_{e}^{* \Psi\left(n^{\prime \prime} \mid \vec{r}_{m}, R\right) d \tau e .}
$$

The second integral is called the electronic transition moment and its square is proportional to the electronic transition probability. The first integral squared is called the Frank-Condon factor $q^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}$ ". The product of the two integrals squared is called the relative vibrational transition probability $p_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}$

$$
p_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=\left|\int \Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right) \Phi\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) d \tau \int \Psi^{*}\left(n^{\prime}\right) \vec{M}_{e^{\prime}} \Psi\left(n^{\prime}\right) d \tau\right|^{2} .
$$

Thus

$$
\vec{R}^{2}=p_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=q_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}} R_{e}^{2}(r)
$$

Where $R_{e}(r)$, the electric transition moment is assumed to be independent of $r$. The quantum mechanical statement of the Frank-Condon principal rests upon the fact that $R_{e}(x)$ is independent of the radial parameter r and that the relative transition probabilities are essentially determined by the $q_{V^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}$. In many cases the assumption of independence of $R_{e}$ from $r$ is not valid. R. W. Nicholls, W. R. Jarmain ${ }^{(9)}$ and P. A. Fraser ${ }^{(10)}$ have found by numerical evaluation of the following integrals

$$
\left.\left.\left\langle v^{\prime}\right| 1\left|v^{\prime \prime}, \quad<v^{\prime}\right| r\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle, \quad \varepsilon v^{\prime}\left|r^{2}\right| v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle, \quad=v^{\prime}\left|r^{-1}\right| v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle
$$

that very closely

$$
\frac{\left\langle v^{\prime}\right| r^{2}\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}{\left.\because v^{\prime}|r| v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}=\frac{\left\langle v^{\prime}\right| r\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}{\left\langle v^{\prime} \mid v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}=\frac{\left\langle v^{\prime} \mid v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}{\left\langle v^{\prime}\right| \frac{1}{r}\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}
$$

The middle integral is just an expression for the r-coordinate of a centroid. The r centroid is defined as

$$
\bar{r}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{\int \Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right) r \Phi\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right) d r}{\int \Phi\left(v^{\prime}\right) \Phi\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

Using this definition of the $r$ centroid, it is found that when the electric transition moment $\quad R_{e}(x)$ is evaluated at $r=\bar{r}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}$ for each $v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}$ it is then insensitive to radial variations. Then the dipole matrix element can be written as

$$
R^{2}=p_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=q_{v v^{\prime \prime}} R_{e}^{2}\left(\bar{x}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\right) .
$$

It must be stressed that there is no theoretical basis for this procedure. In addition there is no theoretical means by which $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ can be related to $\overline{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{v}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}}$.

Calculations of the Franck-Condon factors have been carried out for over fifty band systems ${ }^{(11)}$. Basically the $q_{v} v^{\prime \prime}$ is the square of the integral of the overlap of the vibrational wavefunctions in the different electric states. Nearly all calculations of $q_{v} v^{\prime \prime}$ use the Morse potential function

$$
V(r-r e)=\operatorname{De}\left(1-e^{-B(r-r e)}\right)^{2}
$$

where $\beta=\left(\frac{2 n^{2} c \mu^{1}}{D e}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. $\quad \omega_{e}$, as a basic potential since it produces analytic wave functions. Thus $q_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}$ can be easily calculated once the spectroscopic constants for the state are known.

A more recent method utilizes a calculational technique divised by Rydberg ${ }^{(12)}$, Kiein ${ }^{(13)}$ and Rees ${ }^{(14)}$ and is thus termed the RKR method.

Following Klein and R. J. Spindler ${ }^{(15)}$, we can define an action function $S(u, k)$

$$
S(u, k)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \mu \pi}} \int_{0}^{I}(u-E)^{\frac{1}{2}} d I
$$

We desire to know $r_{\text {max }}$ and $r_{\text {min }}$ from the equation

$$
r_{\min }^{\max }=\left[\frac{f}{g}+f^{2}\right] \mp f
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are found from

$$
f=\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \mathbf{u}}\right)_{k} ; \quad . \quad g=-\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial k}\right)_{u}
$$

where $I=h\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right), K=\frac{h^{2}}{8 \pi \mu} J(J+1)$ and $\mu$ is reduced mass.
One changes variables ( $I, K$ ) into the continuous vibrational and rotational quantum numbers $v, J$ and defines a function $\Phi$ such that

$$
\Phi(U, J)=\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{V^{\prime}}[U-E(v, J)] d v
$$

where $v^{\prime}$ is the value of $v$ where $E(v, J)=U, E(v, J)$ is defined as

$$
E(v, J)=G(v)+\left[B_{v} J(J+1)-D_{v} J^{2}(J+1)^{2}+H_{v} J^{3}(J+1)^{3}\right] .
$$

Where $G(v), V_{v}, D_{v}, H_{v}$ are directly determined from measured energy levels. No reference is made to the reported spectroscopic constants since once the potential is found, they may be calculated.

Then the parameters $f$ and $g$ are found to be given by $\left(N_{0}\right.$ is Avagrado's number)

$$
f=\frac{10^{3}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{b N_{O}}{2 \mu_{A} c}}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}\right) \begin{aligned}
& n=\mu_{0} \\
& J=J_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g=-\frac{8 \pi \times 10^{-8}}{2 J}{ }_{0}+1 & \frac{\mu_{0}{ }^{c}}{2 h N_{0}}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial J}\right)_{J}
\end{aligned}=J_{o} .
$$

Since $f$ and $g$ determine the classical turning points of vibration, the potential curve is known. The wave functions $\Phi v^{\prime}, \dot{\Phi} v^{\prime \prime}$ are found by numerical integration of the radial Schroedinger equation and the FranckCondon factors are obtained via overlap integrals.

Franck-Condon factors determined by Morse functions and RKR methods seem to agree for states nearly at the minimum of potential wells which are not widely separated in radial position. As $v^{\prime}$ (or $v^{\prime \prime}$ ) is increased to the point where the energy approaches the dissociation limit, the two methods diverge from one another. Evidence indicates that RKR method can be applied to high vibrational quantum numbers with confidence ${ }^{(16)}$

VIBRATIONAL BANDS

The Einstein transition probability $A$ of two states $n^{\prime}$ and $n^{\prime \prime}$ (in molecular physics a single prime indicates the upper level) which are $d_{n}$, and $d_{n^{\prime \prime}}$ fold degenerate is

$$
A_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{54 \pi^{4} v^{3}}{3 h c^{3}} \sum_{i, K} \frac{\left|R_{n_{i}^{\prime} n_{K}^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{2}}{d_{n^{\prime}}}
$$

where $i$ and $K$ are substates, $v$ in the frequency in $\sec ^{-1}$ and $\left|R_{n}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{i} n^{\prime \prime} K\right|^{2}$ the transition momement of the states $n_{i}^{\prime}$ and $n_{K}^{\prime \prime}$. The basic law leading to this equation is that the total transition probability is the sum of all transition probabilities of the non-degenerated substances averaged over the initial substates, i.e.,

$$
A_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{1}{d n^{\prime}} \sum_{i, K}^{i} A_{n_{i}^{\prime} n_{K}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

When discussing an electronic vibrational transition of a molecule, the rotational transitions may be disregarded under the assumption that the rotation does not interact with the vibrational - electronic motions. The electronic-vibrational transition is then independent of the initial rotational state of the molecule, and symbolically one may
write

$$
A_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}^{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=A_{n^{\prime} J^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}^{v^{\prime}} \quad \text { for each } J^{\prime \prime}
$$

We consider the molecular transition $n^{\prime} v^{\prime} \rightarrow n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}$. The ( $2 \mathrm{~S}+1$ ) electronic spin multiplies of the electronic state $n^{\prime}$ is treated as a $(2 S+1)$ fold degeneracy, since the energy differences of the resulting spin substates $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is small usually. A second degeneracy is $n$ type doubling for states with $\Lambda \neq 0$, the resulting substates are denoted by $P$ for parity. The vibrational states of a diatomic molecule are non-degenerate so, $d_{v}=1$. Thus we see the total statistical weight for $n^{\prime}$ is ${ }^{(17)}$

$$
d_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime}}=\left(2-\delta_{o, \Lambda^{\prime}}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime}+1\right)
$$

The Einstein spontaneous transition probability A for the transition ( $n^{\prime} v^{\prime} \rightarrow n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}$ ) is
and the corresponding absorption oscillator strength is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{m_{e} c^{3}}{8 \pi^{2} v^{2} e^{2}} \frac{d_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime}}}{d_{n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}}} A_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}^{v^{\prime \prime}} \\
& f_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{8 \pi^{2} m_{e} c}{3 h e^{2} \lambda} \frac{\sum\left|R_{e} v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime \prime}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Sigma\left|R_{e} v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}$ is a short handed notation for the detailed sum above. The lifetime of the state $n^{\prime} v^{\prime}$ is given by the reciprocal sum of the transition probabilities from that state

$$
\tau_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{n^{\prime \prime} \sum_{v^{\prime \prime}} A_{n^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime}}^{v^{\prime}}}
$$

or

$$
\left.\frac{1}{\tau_{n^{\prime}} v^{\prime}}=\frac{64 \pi^{4}}{3 h c^{3} n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}} \frac{v^{+3}\left(n^{\prime} v^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right) p^{\prime} p^{\prime \prime} \sum^{\prime} \sum_{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}}^{\sum}\left|R_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} p^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{2}}{\left(2-\delta_{\left.0, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime}+1\right)}^{1}\right.}\right\}^{-1}
$$

## Rotational Lines

A rotational transition $n^{\prime} v^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} J^{\prime} \rightarrow n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime}$ may consist of two $\Lambda$-doubling components which are never resolved in this experiment. Treating this doublet as a whole we see that each rotational level has $2 \mathrm{~J}+1$ coincident levels characterized by their magnetic quantum numbers $m(m=-J, \ldots-J+1, \ldots+J)$. The total degeneracy of the nv\&J level is $\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda}\right)(2 J+1)$. Thus the Einstein A coefficient for the transition n'v'\&'J' $\rightarrow$ n" ${ }^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{J}^{\prime \prime}$ is

$$
A_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} J^{\prime}}^{n^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} J^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{64 \pi^{\prime} v^{3}}{3 h c^{3}} \frac{p^{\sum^{\prime} p^{\prime \prime} m} m^{m^{\prime}}\left|R_{n^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} v^{\prime} p^{\prime} m^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} p^{\prime \prime} m^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{2}}{\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda}\right)\left(2 J^{\prime}+1\right)} .
$$

Hön1 and London ${ }^{(18)}$ have shown that if the total wave function of a molecule is separated into a radial and an angular part, ic is possible to write the transition moment as a product function.

That is

$$
p^{\Sigma^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{m}^{\prime} \sum_{m^{\prime \prime}}^{\Sigma}\left|R_{n^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} m^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{m}^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{2} \equiv \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{rad}} \mathrm{~S}_{J}
$$

and thus

$$
A_{J^{\prime} J^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{64 \pi^{3} v^{3}}{3 h c^{3}} \frac{R_{\mathrm{rad}^{3}} S_{J}}{\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda^{\prime}}\right)\left(2 S^{1}+1\right)}
$$

The factor $S_{J}$ is called the Honl-London factor, or the rotational line strength and has been calculated for most transitions by Schadee ${ }^{(19)}$, Tatum ${ }^{(20)}$ and Kovács ${ }^{(21)}$. It obeys a sum rule such that their sum for all transitions from the levels with equal $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}$ is equal to the number of initial sublevels,

$$
\sum_{\Sigma^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime}} \sum_{J^{\prime \prime}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{J}}=(2 \mathrm{~S}+1)\left(2 \mathrm{~J}^{\prime}+1\right) .
$$

The value for the radial factor is determined by the same sum rule.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n}^{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}} \\
&=\frac{\Sigma^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} \sum_{J^{\prime}}^{\sum} A_{J} J^{\prime \prime}}{2 S^{\prime}+1} \\
&=\frac{64 \pi^{4} v^{3}}{3 h c^{3}} \frac{R_{r a d} \Sigma^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} \sum_{J^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} S_{J}^{\prime}}{\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda^{\prime}}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime}+1\right)\left(2 J^{\prime}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the sum rule, we have by comparing two forms of $A_{n^{\prime}}^{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}$,

$$
R_{\mathrm{rad}}=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\Sigma^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime}} \mid R_{\left.n^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} p^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} p^{\prime \prime}\right|^{\prime}}^{\left(2 S^{\prime}+1\right)} . . .}{}
$$

## PART II

## EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

## CHAPTER I

## VACUUM SYSTEM

The vacuum system utilized evolved during the course of the experiment. Basically it consisted of a fore pump, mercury diffusion pump, several traps, a gas purification system, gas reserviors, and several types of pressure measuring devices. It was necessary to maintain a base pressure less than $10^{-5}$ Torr in order to insure a minimum of contamination of the gases to be studied.

In Fig. 3 a general block diagram of the vacuum system is found. The system contained no Apiezon W "Black Wax" or epoxy seales for maintenance of its vacuum. Each subsystem of the vacuum system is described in detail below.

The fore pump was a CENCO-WELCH DUO-SEAL Model 1402 capable of pumping 100 liters per minute and with base pressure of $10^{-4}$ Torr. The pumping fluid was Cenco Hyvac. The fore pump was connected to the main vacuum system by means of tygon tubing.


Figure 3. Block Diagram of Vacuum System.

The glass ware of the entire vacuum system was made from Corning number 7740 pyrex tubing. All stopcocks were of high vacuum quality having pumpable bores. The stopcock grease used throughout was Apiezon $N$ which has a base pressure at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of $1 \times 10^{-8}$ Torr.

The diffusion pump was a water cooled Eck and Krebs, two-stage mercury diffusion pump. It was followed by a liquid nitrogen cold trap in order to trap all back streaming mercury. It was preceded by a spherical dead volume of approximately $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ which served as a vapor trap for oils from the fore pump.

The pressure in the vacuum system was monitored by three thermocouple gauges, one oil manometer, one mercury McLeod gauge, one ionization gauge and one mercury manometer. The three thermocouple gauges and the ion gauge were commercial units manufactured by Consolidated Vacuum Corporation. They were controlled by a CVC type GIC-110B gauge pack. The thermocouples were CVC type GTC-004 and had a maximum pressure reading of two (2) Torr and a minimum of $10^{-3}$ Torr. These thermocouple gauges were silver soldered to a kovar to pyrex seal which was attached to the vacuum system. The ion gauge (CVC type GIC-017) was an inverted Bayard Alpert gauge. Its maximum pressure reading was $1 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr and its minimumi was $1 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr. It was attached to the system by a nonex-to-pyrex seal.

The thermocouples were calibrated by comparison with an oil manometer. This manometer utilized Octoil S as its working fluid since Octoil $S$ has an extremely low vapor pressure and a low value of the ratio of its density to that of mercury. At $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 14.85$ millimeters of oil deflection equais one Torr. Care was taken to thoroughly out gas the silicon oil.

The mercury McLeod gauge had its useful range, using the standard quadratic McLeod formulae, of $4.6 \times 10^{-2}$ Torr to $1 \times 10^{-5}$ Torr. This gauge was fitted with a special cold trap which had equal input and output dynamic flow impedances. In addition, when the gauge was used, the mercury cutoff portion of the gauge was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in order to reduce the Gaede effect ${ }^{(22)}$.

Quite early in the experiment it was found that commercial Nitric oxide (NO) was only 95 per cent pure. Thus a gas purification system was built for the NO according to the suggestions of Hughes ${ }^{(23)}$. This system is composed of the Matheson commercial NO container which is connected to a stainless steel needle valve. The needle valve is silver soldered to a kovar to pyrex seal which in turn is connected to the pyrex system. The nitric oxide is first admitted to a trap filled with 6-16 mesh Indicating Silica Gel. (Fisher number S-155). This trap is maintained at $-78.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by a dry ice $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ and acetone bath. Pressure in this system is measured by a standard U-tube mercury manometer and is always kept below atmospheric pressure. Several minutes after the nitric oxide is introduced into the silica gel trap, the gel changes color from its normal blue to a deep gray. The nitric oxide is then released into a second trap which is maintained at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ by iiquid nitrogen. Although the NO now solidifies, it still has a vapor pressure of $100 \mu \mathrm{Hg}$. The trap is then opened to the diffusion pump and the residual gases are pumped away. When this vacuum distillation is completed the diffusion pump line is closed and the liquid nitrogen is removed from the trap. The trap then serves as the nitric oxide reservoir. It is connected via a 1 cm diameter pyrex tube to a pyrex to
a pyrex to kovar seal which is silver soldered to a stainless steel needle valve. The needle valve connects to a $0.020^{\prime \prime}$ I.D. stainless steel tube which is inserted via an " 0 " ring pressure seal to the back end of the discharge tube.

The research grade oxygen used in the experiment was obtained in one liter pyrex flasks from Airco Corporation and was attached to the system via two dosing high vacuum stopcocks. Soft iron "breakers" covered with pyrex envelope were used in all three one-liter reservior flasks.

The general procedure before each data run was to pump the entire system to $1 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr using only the fore pump. Next the diffusion pump was activated after the cold traps were in place. The entire system was thoroughly outgased by heating tapes and natural gas flames until the base pressure was less than $1 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. Next the thermocouple gauges were calibrated and zeroed against the oil manometer. Finally the flow system was set into operation. The flow system worked so well that a pressure of $30 \mu \mathrm{Hg}$ would be maintained with the discharge tube in operation for several hours at a time without resupplying the nitric oxide reservoir.

## CHAPTER II

## COLD CATHODE DISCHARGE TUBE

The heart of the entire experiment is the discharge tube. Its design changed several times during the initial phase of the experimental work. The specifications for the excitation source were (1) excitation of atoms and molecules without using a heated cathode, (2) possibility of flow system, (3) use in vacuum UV experiments, if possible, (4) quick electronic excitation shutoff (i.e. less than 10 nanoseconds fall time for excitation pulse). All four of the criteria of performance have been achieved.

The excitation tube is basically a modified Geiger-Meuller Tube, but is used in an entirely different mode of operation. The discharge tube has a $6^{\prime \prime}$ iong hollow cylinder as its cathode. The cathode has an I.D. of $2^{\prime \prime}$ and is constructed from standard stock aluminum. The anode is a stainless steel cylinder which fits coaxially into the cathode. it has a 4 mm diameter and is somewhat longer than the cathode assembly.

The anode is insulated electrically from the cathode by two boron nitride spacers, These spacers located at the back of the discharge cawity are fitted with viton " 0 " rings in order to insure pressure seals. The anode fits through the middle of the boron nitride spacers and has an "0" ring seal maintaining its position as well as
the pressure integrity. The outside back end of the tube is fitted with machine treads which fit the inside of a male UHF connector. This maintains the coaxial arrangement of the system and thus produces minimum stray inductance and capacitance.

The other end of the discharge tube is connected by a slip " 0 " ring arrangement to the vacuum system. This viewing end is equipped with a quartz window seal to a 2 " diameter quartz pump chamber. The pump steam consists of a vycor to pyrex graded seal and is $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. If necessary the viewing end could be left open or fitted with lithium fluoride window for use on a vacuum ultraviolet spectrograph.

A later model of the discharge tube was equipped with a flow system for the $N \mathrm{NO}_{\gamma}$ studies. The back of the discharge tube was pierced with a hole and a 0.020" I.D. stainless steel pipe was inserted as the source of the gas. This arrangement is fitted with an " 0 " ring seal which is easily assembled.

A cross sectional view of the discharge tube is shown in Fig. 4. Note the arrangement of the coaxial insulation spacers and pressure seals. A picture of the discharge tube assembled is seen in Fig. 5 and in Fig. 6 the tube is shown in its various components.


Figure 4. COLD CATHODE Discharge Tube Cross Sectional View.



## CHAPTER III

## MAGNETIC FIELD SYSTEM

Due to the fact that the electrical breakdown taking place at the onset of the discharge is governed by Paschen's Law, the breakdown potential is a strong function of $p d$, where $p$ is pressure in Torr and d is distance between anode and cathode. This discharge tube has a fixed d of 2 cm . Paschen's Law states the breakdown voltage has a minimum value and rises steeply as pd decreases and slowly as pd increases. The exact analytic form for Paschen's Law is extremely complicated in the coaxial cylinder geometry since the electric field between anode and cathode cylinders is not constant but dependent upon the radial coordinate.

It was found that at $0_{2}$ pressures of less than $90 \mu \mathrm{Hg}$, the discharge was very difficult to turn on since the breakdown voltage was exceeding 3 kilovolts. The excitation pulse system could deliver 3 KV pulses but only at 60 Hz , which is too low a repetition rate to be useful in photon counting work.

Thus a method had to be found to decrease the breakdown voltage of the discharge tube. Since $\mathrm{Vb}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{pd})$ only, we have to change the product pd. Moreover, we want to be able to operate at low values of p. This implies that $d$ must be changed and thus a large tube and long shut off times. This is an unacceptable solution.

Another way to lower Vb was found. As is well known a charged particle will, when enjected into a magnetic field, rotate about the magnetic field lines in a circular path. The path diameter and angular frequencies are determined by the field strength. Thus if a magnetic field was created in the discharge cavity perpendicular to the electric field, the electrons collision frequency would increase. The "effective" pressure is increased and the breakdown voltage is dramatically decreased. This effect was first noticed by Valle ${ }^{(24)}$ and later Somerville ${ }^{(25)}$ worked out the details.

The cold cathode discharge tube was placed inside a solenoidmagnetic field. The field was created by a coil 15 cm long and 5 cm diameter wound on the outside of the discharge tube. The field inside the solenoidal cavity is given by

$$
H=(106.4)(I) \text { gauss }
$$

where $I$ is measured in amperes. The field was measured with a commercial Hall probe and at $I=1.61$ amps, $H$ theoretical was 176 gauss while $H$ measured was 172 gauss. During most of the data runs the field coil current was kept between 1.35 and 1.45 amps .

The current source for the magnetic field was a 48 volt DC power supply manufactured by Western Electric. The current was monitored by 170 Hickock Laboratory ammeter. The circuit for the magnetic field coils is shown in Fig. 7.


Figure 7. Magnetic Field Coil Circuit.

OPTICAL SYSTEM

The basic optical system is shown in block form in Fig. 8. It is seen to consist of the discharge tube with quartz window, a f/4 $3^{\prime \prime}$ diameter quartz lens, a $\frac{7}{2}$ meter ( $16 \AA / \mathrm{mm}$ ) Jarrell Ash monochromator and the RCA 8575 photon counting photomultiplier tube. When transitions were measured in the visible ( $\lambda 4000$ A) wavelength range, a Corning glass filter \#3-73 was inserted into the beam path in front of the entrance slit of the monochromator. For transitions whose wavelength was less than $3500 \AA$ a plastic scintillator Pilot $B, \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime}$ thick and $2^{\prime \prime}$ in diameter, was placed $\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ in front of the photomultiplier cathode in order to convert the ultraviolet photons to visible ones for detection.

The measurements as shown in Fig. 9 were made on the NOr system which lies in the wavelength region $2000 \AA$ to $3000 \AA$. In this case the lens was located midway between discharge tube and the entrance slit and was 35 cm from entrance slit. This separation of 70 cm of the discharge tube from the monochromator was necessary since the 100 gauss field inside the discharge tube was found to affect the PMT performance at closer distances.

The measurements performed on the $0_{2}^{\dagger}(1-)$ system were done with a different optical arrangement. Since this system is entirely in the


Figure 8. Block Diagram of Optical System.


Figure 9. Optical System for NO Measurements.
visible the Corning filter was used as a guard against scattered light in the monochromator. In addition the discharge was viewed through two lens and three $90^{\circ}$ silvered prisms. This arrangement is shown in Fig. 10. The total path length was 1.5 meters. Lens one was a compound lens 2.3 inch diameter and effective focal length of 18 inches manufactured by Bausch and Lomb. Lens 2 was the quartz lens described previously. P1, P2, P3 were fully silvered $90^{\circ}$ reflecting glass prisms with entrance faces 1.65 in . x 1.65 in . The plastic scintillator was used in this series of experiments when spectra were taken from 2000 to $6000 \AA$, but was not used when lifetimes were measured in the $0_{2}^{+}(1-)$ system.


Figure 10. Optical Syṣtem for $0_{2}^{+}$Measurements.

## CHAPTER V

## EXCITATION PULSE AND TIMING SYSTEMS

The general purpose of these subsystems of the experiment was to supply a square wave potential of some 2 kilovolts of variable duration (100 nanoseconds to $20 \mu$ seconds) ai variable repetition frequencies (60 to 1000 HZ ). The general technique used was to charge a capacitor C and then discharge it through a resistance $R$ (partially the resistance of the discharge tube). This produces an exponentially decaying voltage of time constant equal to RC seconds. After this potential was applied to the discharge tube, a 2D21 argon filled miniature thyratron placed in parallel with it, was turned on to "crow bar" the discharge. In this manner the square wave of up to 2 kilovolts, 400 nanosecond duration, 1 KHZ repetition rate, and three nanosecond fall time was produced.

We shall now trace out the evolution of this system. A block diagram is shown in Fig. 11 and contains ali essential elements of the system. Figure 12 gives the circuit diagram for the +54 vdc and +27 vdc power supplies which are used to power the TIMING and DELAY GENERATOR These supplies are full wave bridge rectified and have Zener Diode stabilization. After long run periods (exceeding 8 hours) insufficient heat is dissipated by heat sink of 2 N 104 and the transformer and the output voltage dacreases. This problem can be eliminated by placing the power supply in a blast of cool air.


。

Figure 11. Block Diagram of Excitation System.


Figure 12. Circuit Diagram for +54 and +27 V de Supplies.

Figure 13 gives the details of the TIMING and DELAY GENERATOR. This circuit uses three power supplies $+27 \mathrm{vdc}, \dot{4} 4 \mathrm{dc}$ and +65 vdc . It is essentially a variable tunnel diode oscillator and time delay clock. This oscillator is connected to two identical pulse forming networks whose duty is to supply a fast rising (r rise $\leqslant 300 \mathrm{nsec}$ ) high voltage and energy pulse to the grids of two 2D21 thyratrons. Each of the pulse forming networks contains a dual flip flop (muitivibrator) with variable reset and delay times. These multibrations are followed by inverting and pulse shopping networks. The entire TIMING AND DELAY GENERATOR is built on two chassis. The outputs of the first chassis are negative going spikes 20 volts high and one microsecond long. These are fed via $50 \Omega$ shielded coaxial cable to the 2 D 21 MASTER FIRING CIRCUIT chassis where they are inverted and sharpened by the 2N3440 RCA high voltage switches.

The circuit for the 2 D 21 MASTER FIRING GENERATOR is shown in Fig. 14. The chassis is supp!ies with $+310 \mathrm{vdc},-310 \mathrm{vdc}+65 \mathrm{vdc}$, and 6.3 vac by a well regulated commercial power supply built by U. S. Science Corporation and obtained through the Oklahoma State Agency for Surplus Property. The master firing generatox consists of two 2 D 21 thyratrons which have negative bias on their grids. Each thyratron is fired by the Timing and Delay Generator by a voltage pulse 65 voits high, $10 \leq$ seconds Long, and rise time less than 200 ns . These 2 D 21 thyratron switches then close and discharge small capacitors charged to 310 volts through a $50 \Omega$ cathode load resistor. The first output of these devices are sent to the pulse forming generators while the second output is time delayed is sent via $50 \Omega$ coaxial cable to the 2 D 21 crowbar.


Figure 13A. Circuit Diagram for Timing and Delay Generator (TDG).


Figure 13B. Circuit Diagram for Timing and Delay Generator (TDG)


Figure 14. Circuit Diagram for Master Firing Generator (MGF).

The PULSE FORMING GENERATOR is shown in Fig. 15. It consits of a high voltage, high current hydrogen triode thyratron type 6130/3C45 which is used to discharge a capacitor through the discharge tube. The chassis contains heater and isolation transformers for the 3C45, in addition the $3 C 45$, a $10 \mathrm{kV} .001 \mu \mathrm{f}$ oil capacitor, a cathode resistance (multiple resistors of 10 watts each), coupling capacitors to the 3C45 grid, and input connectors for high voltages.

The high voltage supply for the excitation pulse is supplied by a very high current and high voltage supply. It contains a power line transformer which will deliver 5 amps at 7700 volts, (35kV A). The alternating curxent is fed via high current and voltage cables to another chassis where it is converted to direct current by a bridge rectifier circuit. The arm of the bridge consists of three silicon diodes which are rated at 4000 volts peak inverse and one amp forward current. Each of the three diodes in the arm is placed in parallel with a 5 watt 1 megohm resistor, and a $0.005 \mu f$ disa ceramic capacitor in order to provide a bleeder string to ground and co reduce spike amplitudes on the silicon diodes. The diode bridge charges a $14 \mu \mathrm{fd} 50 \mathrm{kV}$ peak oil filled capacitor which is provided with an automatic bleeder in addition to a voltage monitor. Output of this power supply is fed directly to the plate capacitor of the PULSE FORMING GENERATOR via UHF coaxial cable, The circuit diagram for this high voltage supply is shown in Fig. 16.

The excitation pulse to the discharge tube was shut off, i.e., crowbarred, by turning on anorher discharge in parallel to the coldcathode discharge tube. A special circuit was mounted directily to the cold cathode discharge tube using UHF connectors. The output pulse


Figure 15. Circuit Diagram for Pulse Forming Generator (PGF).


Figure 16. Circuit Diagram for High Voltage Supply
from the PULSE FORMING GENERATOR was conducted to cold cathode tube anode by way of UHF ( $50 \Omega$ ) cable. This voltage pulse was applied to the anode of the cold cathode tube where, after a certain statistical lag time, a discharge was struck. After the discharge had been on for less than one microsecond, a signal was sent to the CROWBAR GENERATOR from the MASTER FIRING GENERATOR which turned on the 2D2I in parallel to the cold cathode tube. This effectively shunted out the discharge tube and produced an applied wave form of the desired shape. Great care was taken in the design and construction of the GROWBAR GENERATOR in order to insure the shortest possible lead length and thus minimize stray inductance and capacitance. Figure 17 shows the circuit diagram of the GROWBAR GENERATOR. Other features of the CROWBAR GENERATOR include an output (the START OUTPUT) to the Ortec time-to-height converter. This signal must be 5 nanosecond wide, -250 mvV deep and must be syncronized with the disruption of the discharge. This is generated by tapping some of the excitation puise from the anode of the 2 D 21 via an 11 megohm carbon resistor chain. This effectively differentiates that signal and produces a positive pulse (which does not effect the time-to-height converter) followed by a negative going pulse (START) which activates the clock.

Figure 18 shows the excitation voltage as applied to the anode of the discharge tube. This figure was taken utilizing a type 555 Tektronix Oscilloscope, a type L plug in amplifier, and a 100 x probe. The frequency response is limited to about 50 mHZ for this system; thus, the apparent rise time is that of the measuring system. The time base is 500 $\mathrm{ns} / \mathrm{cm}$ and vertical deflection is $200 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}$.


Figure 17. Circuit Diagram for Crowbar Generator.


EXCITATION VOLTAGE
$200 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}$ and $500 \mathrm{~ns} / \mathrm{cm}$.
100x probe type 555
Type L Preamplifier

Figure 18. Photograph of Excitation Voltage Waveform.

Figure 19 shows the shut off of the excitation voltage as photographed from a Tektronix type 454150 mHz Oscilloscope. The time base is set at 5 nanoseconds / cm and the vertical diffection is 100 volts/cm. The apparent full time is that of the discharge tube and is less than 5 ns.

Figure 20 shows the START puise which is the differentiated form of the excitation pulse terminated in $50 \Omega$. Vertical deflection is $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{cm}$ and time base is $5 \mathrm{~ns} / \mathrm{cm}$. The photograph is of display on Tektronix type 454150 mHz oscilloscope.

Figure 21 shows the output of the Ortec tube base which serves as the STOP pulse to the Ortec Time to Pulse Height converter. The photograph is taken from display on type 454 oscilloscope and time base is $5 \mathrm{~ns} / \mathrm{cm}$ and vertical deflection is $100 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{cm}$.

YOLTAGE CROWBAR
$100 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm} ; 5 \mathrm{~ns} / \mathrm{div}$
100x probe type 454
Zero Voltage At Plus One Division

Figure 19. Photograph of Falltime of Excitation Waveform.


Figure 20. Photograph of START Pulse.


Figure 21. Photograph of STOP Pulse.

## CHAPTER VI

DELAYED COINCIDENCE SYSTEM

The photon counting system is designed to build up in a ferrite core memory a signal which represents accurately the intensity of a given spectral line as a function of time after a desired point in time. This is done by sampling many hundreds of thousands of events which are repetitive and reproducible. An event is defined as the sequence of turning on a discharge, turning off the discharge, sensing a START signal and detecting a STOP signal. The act of turning on and off the discharge is handled by the excitation pulse system which has been previousiy described. The apparatus which detects the START, and the STOP signals and then performs puise height analysis is called the delayed coincidence-1ifetime apparatus.

A general block diagram of the entire detection apparatus is shown in Fig. 22. The exact sequence of events which take place in this apparatus will now be described.

We shall assume, that duxing the first microsecond after the discharge has been shut off, only one photon of proper wavelength will reach the photomultiplier cathode and produce a photon pulse at the output. This is not a necessary restriction but it simplifies somewhat the explanation of the delayed coincidence technique.


Figure 22. Block Diagram of Detection Apparatus.

At the termination of the discharge a signal is sent via $50 \Omega$ coaxial cable to the START input of the ORTEC Model 437 Time-to-Pulse Height Converter (THC). Upon reception of the proper START signal a clock in the THC is started. The first photon, which strikes the photocathode of the RCA 8575 photomultiplier after the START signal is received at the THC, produces, at the output of the ORTEC Model 264 Photomultiplier Timing Discriminator and Preamplifier (PTD), a negative going pulse of at least 250 mV and 5 ns rise time. This pulse is called the STOP pulse. The STOP pulse stops the clock contained in the THC. The THC changes this time delay into a voltage pulse. The shorter the time delay the lower the voltage pulse from the THC. The THC is operated in the anticoincidence mode and no gate is applied to its timing circuits. It processes signals only if a START pulse precedes the STOP pulse, thus any background photons which do occur during the first microsecond after the START pulse may be counted as signal. All other photon pulses (STOP signals) are rejected by the THC. This produces very large signal to noise ratios, typically $10^{5}: 1$. The output pulse of the THC is a bipolar positive portion leading variable amplitude pulse, which has a source impedance of 1 ohm.

The output of the THC is fed into a Nuclear Data 1100 multichannel analyzer (MCA) - which is operated in the pulse height analysis (PHA) mode. The THC signal is an analog signal which is first sent to the 1024 channel, Analog Digital Converter (ADC) operating in the 256 channel mode. In the $A D C$ the analog signal is changed into a digital signal for processing in the data handling module (DHM). Maximum data acquisition rate for the $A D C$ is four mega-hertz.

The digital information from the ADC is sent directly to the DHM which is operated in PHA mode. The DHM consists of a hard wired computer which sorts the information as to magnitude and assigns all pulses which fall within given tolerence to single channels of the memory module. The memory module contains 256 channels each of which has a count capacity of $10^{6}-1$. For every event (START and STOP), one count is placed in one of the 255 data channels. Within which channel number an event is registered, depends linearly upon the voltage height of the bipolar THC output signal and thus directly upon time after discharge termination. The probability a photon hitting the PMT after the discharge is terminated depends exponentially upon time according to the lifetime of the excited state. After many hundreds of thousands of events the memory of the MCA will have stored the intensity of the spectral line as a function of time after discharge is shut off.

The information contained in the memory when doing a PHA experiment is portrayed live on an auxillary oscilloscope and is available in numeric form at either an IBM selectric typewriter or at a 33 ASR teletype in hard copy and punched paper tape.

The effective count rate in events/sec converted by THC is presented to an observer by a Nuclear Chicago Rate Meter. This information is obtained from the THC as a bipolar signal and is converted by a tunnel diode amplifier ${ }^{(26)}$ into uniform positive pulses for acceptance by the rate meter. The rate meter provides a visual meter scale reading of count rate as well as an audio signal. In addition, an output of the rate meter is provided to a Nuciear Data Enhancetron for multiscaling experiments.

## CHAPTER VII

## TIMING CALIBRATION PROCEDURE

In order to use the information supplied by the delayed coincidence method, it is necessary to know the linearily of the time base of the THC. This leads to its calibration. This information can easily be obtained once a set of accurately known time delays standards are available. The procedure for calibration of the THC is nearly the same as used in collection of data for lifetimes. A start pulse is generated and fed into the START input of the THC. At the same time a portion of the pulse is sent through a known time delay and into the STOP input. The output of the THC will be a series of bipolar pulses of the same magnitude. By changing the values of the known times delays, one is able to construct the calibration of the time base.

If we are given $m$ time delays, the output of the memory will contain only $K$ nonzero channels ( $m \geq K$ ). The count rate stored in these K channels is not useful data, but the channel number is important. Let c be the channel numbers. If $t$ is the real time then, we find

$$
t=a+b c
$$

where $\underline{a}$ is the intercept on the time axis and $\underline{b}$ is the number of time increments per channel number. Usually $\underline{b}$ is measured in nanoseconds/ channel. In general a will be nonzero which is due to time delays in
cables and settings on upper and lower discrimination circuits in the ADC. Thus of $m$ cables used for calibration, only the m-k largest values of time delays are recorded. A linear least squares analysis of the data $\left(t_{k}, c_{k}\right)$ will yield values of $a$ and $b$.

## CALIBRATION OF TIME DELAY CABLES

A series of seven different lengths of $50 \Omega$ RG $58 / \mathrm{U}$ calbe has been made into the time standard for this experiment. RG 58/U has chosen since it was a commonly available high frequency $50 \Omega$ BNC compatible cable. RG 58/U has a loss of .614 decibels/100 feet at 100 MHZ .

The essence of the calibration scheme lies in the ability to obtain electrical lengths of cables to better than one per cent for time delays longer than 80 nanoseconds. A sinusoidal signal of frequency $\omega$ is sent through an impedance matched cable in which it suffers a time delay $t_{d}$. If the signals observed as it enters the cable and after it leaves the cable are algebraically added, one has

$$
V_{\text {out }}=A_{1} \operatorname{Sin} \omega t+A_{2} \sin \left(\omega t+t_{d}\right)
$$

If by varying the gain of null detectors working on each of the signals, the amplitudes of the two sinusoidal waves are made equal, then

$$
V_{\text {out }}=2 A \sin \omega\left(t+\frac{t_{d}}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\omega t_{d}}{2}
$$

clearly this expression implies nulls occurring when

$$
\omega t_{\mathrm{d}}=(2 \mathrm{n}-1) \pi,
$$

or

$$
\omega=\left(\frac{2 n-1}{t_{d}}\right) \pi
$$

If nullis are recorded as the frequency is changed, then the time delay, ${ }^{t}{ }_{d}$ is obtained as

$$
t_{d}=\frac{1}{f_{2}-f_{1}}=\frac{1}{\Delta f}
$$

A given length of coaxial cable RG $58 / \mathrm{U}$ was terminated at both ends by its characteristic impedance of 50 ohms. A constant amplitude harmonic free sinusoidal signal was introduced at one end of the cable using a Tektronix model 190B constant amplitude signal generator. The frequencies used were between 1 MHZ and 50 MHZ . The frequency of the electrical signal was measured using a Hewlett-Packard model 524D frequency counter interfaced with a Hewlett-Packard 525A frequency converter. This counter combination is useful to 100 MHZ and has a time base stability of one part in $10^{8}$ per week! Frequencies could be measured to one part in $10^{6}$ regularly without appreciable error. The time calibration of the counter was checked with the NBS time station WWV. The null detectors used in the experiment was a Tektronix type 454 oscilloscope. Its upper 3 db point occurs at 150 MHZ . The signals were applied as shown in Fig. 23. The reference signal was applied to channel 2 and the delayed signal to channel 1 . The gain on channel 1 was changed until both signals were of the same deflection as viewed on the oscilloscope. This method compensates for the signal attenuation in the delay cabie. Channels I and 2 were algebraically added and the frequency changed until an absolute nuil was reached. The frequency was measured and the procedure repeated until the frequency reached 50 MHZ .


Figure 23. Block Diagram of Time Delay Calibration Apparatus.

Long cables were measured first since they produce the greatest number of nulls in the frequency range (1 to 50 MHZ ). Short cable time delays were found by measurement of various combinations of cables. Largest percentage errors are thus on short time delays.

The final results and standard deviations are shown in Tabie 1.

Table I. Time Standards

| CABLE <br> NUMBER | TIME DELAY <br> NS | STANDARD DEV <br> NS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 101.4 | 0.50 |
| 2 | 88.09 | 0.90 |
| 3 | 44.0 | 0.2 |
| 4 | 28.0 | 0.3 |
| 5 | 378.5 | 2.2 |
| 6 | 183.2 | 1.6 |
| 7 | 88.7 | 0.77 |

## CHAPTER VIII

## SPECTROHISTOGRAM SYSTEM

In order to measure lifetimes of states which have transitions in that portion of the spectrum that can be detected by photomultipliers, it is necessary to have knowledge of the spectrum of the source. One must make certain that band systems and atomic spectral lines are free from impurity spectra and that the spectral calibration of the monochromator is known. Thus a detailed spectrogram must be obtained of the discharge.

It is important that a spectrum is obtained under the same conditions that a lifetime is measured. A method for taking a time-resolved spectrum was developed. A time resolved spectrum as defined here is the spectrum that is observed only during a certain time increment in which the source is on or just after the source is turned off. Thus the spectra which is recorded is biased time wise with respect to the relative intensity of the various transitions. This is due to the fact that if the time increment were set at 100 nanoseconds only those photons which are admitted to the counting system during the 100 nanoseconds are recorded. Moreover only the first photon in the time increment is counted. This implies that short lived states will be recorded as more intense lines and long lived states as relatively weaker lines. Even
though in real time using an integrating spectral detector (photographic plate), the relative intensity of the lines may be reversed.

The apparatus that perform this spectral analysis has been mentioned briefly above. The photon counting system is set to operate exactly as in the lifetime measuring mode. However, the output of the THC is sent to a device which performs a multiscaling experiment. The grating in the monochromator is driven by a dc timing motor in a linear manner, such that the wavelength appearing at the output slit of the monochromator changes linearly with time.

Since the MCA currently in use has only 256 channels and in its multiscaling mode the maximum dwell time per channel is only 800 milli seconds, only a very limited portion of the spectrum can be recorded and then only with poor definition. This problem is solved by tapping out of the rate meter a pulse signal which can be used as an input to a larger muitiscaler.

A Nuciear Data Inc., 1024 channel Enhancetron, Mode1 ND-800, is used in the pulse counting multiscaling mode (MS). The input pulse has a fixed amplitude between +3 and +10 volts and has a duration of $27 \mu$ seconds. Since the repetition rate of the source is less than one per 30.52 microseconds, ail pulses sent to the MS are recorded. This instrument has 1024 channels each of which will hold 8192 positive counts. The dwell time of the MS is variable, and varies from 0.032 to 2048 seconds in factors of two. Usually data is collected using a dwell time of 512 seconds/1024 channels.

The output spectrum can be portrayed on an auxillary $X-Y$ oscilloscope and photographed. A typical photograph is shown in Fig. 24. However, this mode of output is not useful for detailed analysis.


Figure 24. Photograph of Spectrohistogram.

An alternate and useful output mode is to plot out the contents of the memory and thereby obtain a hard copy of the spectrum. The method chosen for this output utilizes a Bausch $\mathcal{\&}$ Lomb model V. O. M. 7 Laboratory Recorder. This output is called a spectrohistogram. The output from the Enhancetron has a base line from 0 to 0.3 volts positive and consists of pulses whose height is directly proportional to the number of counts in each channel. The pulses are separated in time. This is not an excellent signal for use with a recorder since recorders do not have high frequency response and usually do not have a current offset built into them.

A circuit which is shown in Fig. 25 was built for use with the recorder available. The recorder was generally operated as a current meter at the $100 \mu$ ampere scale. Chart speed was set at $5 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{min}$ and the Enhancetron time base was set at 256 seconds. After nulling the recorder with the Enhancetron in the STOP 2 mode, with the vertical deflection ourput connected to the recorder, the readout sequence was begun. The response time of the recorder although less than $\frac{1}{2}$ second was quick enough to produce a very readible chart of much higher fidelity than oscilloscope display. An example of the output spectrohistogram can be seen in Fig. 26.


Figure 25. Circuit Diagram for Spectrohistogram Apparatus.


INTENSITY
Figure 26. Example Output Spectrohistogram.

DATA ANALYSIS

## CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

In a low pressure discharge there are many processes which affect the time rate of change of the population of a given state in a molecule or atom. The production processes include direct electron excitation from all lower states to the state of concern, cascade into the given state from higher excited states, and direct population by collisions with excited species (Penning transfer of excitation). The de-excitation process include spontaneous emission, stimulated emission and collisions of various types which transfer excitation from the state of concern. As is well known these processes depend upon parameters such as electron current and energy, gas density and temperature, flow rate, recombination coefficients, diffusion coefficients, various electron excitation cross sections, radiation field density, Einstein coefficients of spontaneous and stimulated emission, and Einstein coefficients of absorption.

Let us now restrict our view to the problem of analysis of data obtained in a delayed coincidence lifetime experiment. We have as output from the multichannel analyzer 256 data points which represents in channel one the total time the experiment was run and in each of the remaining 255 channels the intensity of the decaying state as a function of time.

In general the data should conform to the following analytic expression. Let $A$ be the coefficient of the shortest lifetime $B, C$ be the coefficient of the next shortest lifetime $D$, and $K$ the background count. Then letting $y$ be the number of counts/channel, we have

$$
y=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}+K .
$$

We have assumed that the only other process active after $t=0$, besides spontaneous emission, is the cascading which gives rise to the term $\mathrm{Ce}^{-t / D}$ and the back ground count $K$. There is not any reason to consider only one cascade contribution to the state's population. When the shortest liferime is less than about 20 per cent of the total time increment used for collection of data, many times three exponentials are observed. In these cases one can write

$$
Y=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}+E e^{-t / F}+K .
$$

Of course, the contents of the MCA memory contains noise as well as signal so the algebraic form assumed above is not entirely correct. In addition if collisional depopulating mechanisms are present then there will be terms to include which are proportional to the coliision
frequency, i.e., pressure. If resonance trapping of the photons takes place the effective lifetimes of states are lengthened and the algebraic form must include terms to change the lifetimes accordingly.

The exact precedure one uses in the analysis of data has had an interesting history as to techniques and precision. Exactly how one can treat the data depends upon the relative ratios of the parameters, A, B, C, D, K. Several methods exist which can be used to unravel these parameters. Their relative accuracies vary widely and depend upon the ratios of $A, B, C, D, K$. We shall now discuss in detail the several data analysis methods.

## CHAPTER II

## THREE AND FOUR LEVEL TRANSITIONS

Three Level Case

Let us derive for two cases the expressions which data may be interpreted in terms of atomic parameters. We first deal with a three level problem and later with a four level problem.
—— We start with a situation in which there is a
——j ground state $g$, first excited state $j$ and one
$\longrightarrow$ higher level i. We wish to derive an expres-
sion relating the intensity of the spectral line as a function of time during and after termination of the discharge. We assume that the only populating processes are direct electron excitation from ground state and cascade from higher states. While the discharge is on, the time rate of change of the concentration of the states $i$ and $j$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{N} j=N g \frac{I}{e} Q j+N i A i j-N j A j \\
& \dot{N} i=N g \frac{I}{e} Q i-N i A i
\end{aligned}
$$

Where (Qi,Qj) are excitation cross sections, Aij is spontaneous transition probabiility for transition $i \rightarrow j, A i, A j$ are transition probabilities for transition from $i$ to all lower states and $j$ to all lower states.

As time goes on the concentration of states $i$ and $j$ tend toward saturation values $N_{j}^{\Delta}$ and $N_{i}^{\Delta}$. At equilibrium

$$
N_{j}(t)=N_{j}^{\Delta}\left[1-e^{-A j t}-\mathrm{Fe}^{-A i t}\right]
$$

where

$$
F=+\frac{Q_{i} A_{j} A_{i j}}{A_{i}\left(A_{j}-A_{i}\right)\left[Q_{j}+\frac{Q_{i} A_{i j}}{A_{i}}\right]}
$$

For $t=0$ the current is shut off and the time rate of change of concentration in $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{N}_{j}=N_{i} A_{i j}-N_{j} A_{j} \\
& N_{i}=-N_{i} A_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integration of these two equations yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{i}=N_{i}^{\Delta} e^{-A_{i} t} \\
N_{j}=\left(N_{j}^{\Delta}-\frac{N_{i} A_{i j}}{A_{j}-A_{i}}\right) e^{-A_{j t}}+N_{i}^{\Delta} \frac{A_{i j}}{A_{j}-A_{i}} e^{-A_{i t}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The intensity of a spectrum line $\lambda$ jg is given by

$$
\underset{j g}{I}=N_{j} h \nu_{j g} A_{j g}
$$

where $v_{j g}$ is frequency of transition.

We may now solve for $I_{j g}$ in terms of atomic parameters for time after termination of the discharge once $N_{j}^{\Delta}$ and $N_{i}^{\Delta}$ are determined. $N_{j}^{\Delta}$ and $N_{i}^{\Delta}$ are found by setting $\dot{N}_{j}=\dot{N}_{i}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{i}^{\Delta}=N_{g e} \frac{I}{e} \tau_{i} Q_{i} \\
N_{j}^{\Delta}=N_{g} \frac{I}{e} \tau_{j}\left(Q_{j}+Q_{i} \tau_{i} A_{i j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $N_{g}$ is gas density, $I$ is current, $e$ is electronic charge and $\tau_{i}$, ${ }^{\tau}{ }_{j}$ are lifetimes of states $i, j$. Then $I_{j g}$ is obtained as

$$
I_{j g}=A_{j g} h \nu_{j g}\left\{\left(N_{j}^{\Delta}-\frac{N_{i}^{\Delta} A_{i j}}{A_{j}-A_{i}}\right) e^{-A_{j} t}+\frac{N_{i}^{\Delta} A_{i j} e^{-A_{i} t}}{A_{j}-A_{i}}\right\}
$$

Substitution of $N_{i}$ and $N_{j}$ yields

$$
I_{j g}=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=A_{j g} h \nu_{j g}\left(N_{g e} \frac{I}{)}\left\{B\left(Q_{j}+Q_{i} D A_{i j}\right)-A_{i j} \frac{D B}{D-B} D Q_{i}\right\}\right. \\
& B=1 / A_{j}=\tau_{j} \\
& C=A_{j g} h \nu_{j g} A_{i j}\left(\frac{D B}{D-B}\right) N_{g} \frac{I}{e} D Q_{i} \\
& D=\frac{1}{A_{i}}=\tau_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When lifetime measurements are performed we obtain the values of $(A, B$, $C, D), \quad B$ and $D$ are lifetimes, but $A$ and $C$ contain information as to cross sections. The ratio $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}$ is important and is given by

$$
\frac{A}{C}+1=\left(\frac{D-B}{D^{2}}\right) \frac{Q_{j}+D A_{i j} Q_{i}}{A_{i j} Q_{i}}
$$

The experiment measures everything except $Q_{j}$ and $A_{i j} Q_{i}$, and if one of these is known, say $A_{i j} Q_{i}$, then $Q_{j}$ may be determined. This technique can be used to find $Q_{j}$ when $\lambda_{j g}$ iies in the vacuum ultraviolet. This is of particular importance since it provides absolute spectral intensity calibration in the vacuum ultraviolet.

Four Level Case
Now let us look at a four level atom or molecule. The states are labeled the same except the upper level is now $h$. The rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{N}_{h}=N_{g} \frac{I}{e} Q_{h}-N_{h} A_{h} \\
& \dot{N}_{i}=N_{g} \frac{1}{e} Q_{i}+N_{h} A_{h i}-N_{i} A_{i} \\
& \dot{N}_{j}=N_{g} \frac{I}{e} Q_{j}+N_{h} A_{h j}+N_{i} A_{i j}-N_{i} A_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Once again when the states are saturated they have the populations $N_{j}^{\Delta}, N_{i}^{\Delta}, N_{h}^{\Delta}$. For time after termination the rate equations are those above with current (I) set to zero.

First we shall look at $\lambda_{i j}$ since it will have a two exponential decay, one due to spontaneous transitions and the other (long) is due to cascades from level h . The solutions of the equations for time after termination are below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{h}= & N_{h}^{\Delta} e^{-A_{h} t} \\
N_{i}= & N_{h}^{\Delta} \frac{A_{h i}}{A_{i}-A_{h}} e^{-A_{h} t}+\left(N_{i}^{\Delta}-\frac{N_{h}^{\Delta} A_{h i}}{A_{i}-A_{h}}\right) e^{-A_{i} t} \\
N_{j}= & N_{h}^{\Delta}\left(A_{h_{j}}-\frac{A_{i j} A_{h_{i}}}{A_{i}-A_{h}}\right) \frac{e^{-A_{h t}}}{A_{j}-A_{h}}+\left(\frac{A_{i j}}{A_{j}-A_{h}}\right)\left(N_{i}^{\Delta}-N_{h}^{\Delta} \frac{A_{h i}}{A_{i}-A_{h}}\right) e^{-A_{i} t} \\
& +\left\{N_{j}^{\Delta}-\frac{N_{h}^{\Delta}}{A_{j}-A_{h}}\left(A_{h j}-\frac{A_{i j} A_{h i}}{A_{i}-A_{h}}\right)-\frac{A_{i j}}{A_{j}-A_{i}}\left(N_{i}^{\Delta}-N_{h}^{\Delta} \frac{A_{h i}}{A_{i}-A_{h}}\right)\right\} \\
& * e^{-A_{j} t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Looking at $\lambda_{i j}$, we find

$$
I_{i g}=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left(N_{g} \frac{I}{e} h v_{i j}\right) \quad A_{i j} A_{h i} Q_{h}\left(\frac{B D^{2}}{D-B}\right) \\
& B=T_{i} ; \quad D=\tau_{h} \\
& C=\left(N_{g} \frac{I}{e} h v_{i j}\right) A_{i j} B\left(Q_{i}-\frac{D B}{D-B} A_{h i} Q_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{A}{C}=\frac{D}{B} \frac{D B}{D-B}\left(\frac{A_{h i} Q_{h}}{Q_{i}-\frac{D B}{D-B} A_{h i} Q_{h}}\right)
$$

The same remarks apply here as in the case of the three level case.

If we monitor the transition $I_{j g}=h v_{j g} N_{j}$, we obtain three exponential decays. The first is the spontaneous decay. The second and third are due to cascades into $j$ from $i$ and $h$. The algebraic form is now much more complicated.

$$
I_{j g}=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}+E e^{-t / F}
$$

A, C. E are coefficients containing cross section information and (B,D, F) are lifetimes of $j$, $i$, $h$ states. A, C, E are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=B Q_{j}-\frac{B^{2} D}{D-B} A_{i j} Q_{i}-F B^{2} Q_{h}\left(\frac{A_{h j}}{(F-B)}+\frac{A_{i j} A_{h i} F D}{(F-B)(D-B)}+A_{h i} A_{i j} \frac{D}{D-B}\right) \\
& C=\frac{B D^{2}}{D-B} A_{i j}\left[Q_{i}-A_{h i} Q_{h} \frac{D F}{(F-D)}\right] \\
& E=\frac{B F^{2}}{(F-B)} Q_{h}\left[A_{h j}-A_{i j} A_{h i} \frac{D F}{(F-D)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is unitikely $A / C, A / E, C / E$, etc together with values of $D F B$ contain enough information to be useful for cross section determination. This is particularly true if the lifetimes of the excited states are nearly equal since in that event large uncertain coefficients would appear in the above results.

## CHAPTER III

## GRAPHICAL METHOD

We shall assume for purpose of discussion that the data contains only two exponentials for analysis. Let the data be plotted on semilogarithmic scale where number of counts/channel is the dependent variable and channel number is the independent variable. The channel numbers may be transferred to real time by a simple least squares fitting procedure.

Let us assume the data can be represented by

$$
Y=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}
$$

Where $Y$ is the number of counts/channel, $B$ and $D$ lifetimes and $A, C$ are related to excitation cross sections.

If $B \ll D$ and if at times long compared to the short lifetime, one can assume the linear "tail" data is purely due to the cascade component. A straight line is now fitted through the tail data by visual inspection. If one subtracts the values of the straight line fit to the long lived cascade component point by point from the real data, then one has left only the short lived component which should be a linear curve.

This procedure works well only under certain restrictions. Firstly, the technique cannot deal with a constant back ground count. It cannot estimate or even suggest the existence of such information.

Thus errors are made in the analysis of the short and long lived components. Extra information is needed to obtain the background counting rate $K$.

A second and basic defect of the graphical procedure involves the approximation of fitting a straight line to the tail points. The short lifetime is always present and thus should be dealt with even though its ampiitude is small for large times.

The third defect defines the ability of the method to work at all and depends upon ratios of the parameters $A: C$ and $B: D$, $I f$, for instance, $10<(A, C): 1$, then the amplitude of the short lived component is clearly larger than the cascade component. But this condition is not sufficient for the graphical subtraction technique to work. In addition we must have $B=<\mathbb{D}$. That is, the lifetimes of the two components must be significantly different in order for the technique to be useful. In reality this later restriction is of more importance for use of the method.

These two ratios $(A / C)$ and ( $B / D$ ) determine the applicability of the method. Very iittle can be said in general about the precision of the lifetimes ( $B, D$ ) determined by graphical methods unless the two ratios are first determined. Experience has told us to say that for $(A / C)=1$ separation san be obtained, if $D=5 B$ : This result depends upon a small noise background, for if the data contained a third long lived component, it, rogether with noise, may be counted as a constant background, which may at long times exceed the second components amplitude. Thus the "background" would seriously alter the lifetime of the cascade component.

Figure 27 shows real data as collected and plotted in semilogithrmic manner. A straight line has been fitted through the cascade component and point by point subtraction has yielded the short component. No artempt has been made to average data points to reduce the noise on the information. In fact data averaging improperly treated, could seriously alter the short lived components.


Figure 27. Graphical Data Analysis Technique.

## COMPUTER TECHNIQUES

Several computer programs have been developed for the analysis of lifetime data. This analysis problem is not unique in measurement of atomic iiferimes for it first arose in the analysis for lifetimes of various radioisotopes in nuclear physics. The graphical technique described above has been termed as the "peel off': or "stripping" technique ${ }^{(27)}$ and is a valuable technique when lifetimes differ by factors of four or more.

The computer programs developed at the University of Oklahoma deal with the data in several manners. The computer program named RICH provides numerıcal means by which the "peel off" technique is applied and provides a background estimate. This program yields first guesses which are submitted to one of two nonlinear least squares programs. The first of these is named IBM 2EX and does an analysis on the parameters $A, B, C$, and $D$ when the background $K$ is known exactly. The second program can deal with at least three exponentials plus background by nonlinear least squares procedure. This program is LASL. It does an iterated fit to all parameters $A, B, C, D, E, F$, and $K$ if necessary. We shall now describe each of these programs in detall and their use in conjunction with the online GE-430 time share computer system.

RICH
RICH is an acronym formed from the words: Regression Iterated Constant Hopeful. Its purpose is to numerically perform the peel off procedure and simultaneous provide information on the value of any background present. Mr. Richard T. Thompson is primarily responsible for its development for use on IBM 360-40 and GE 430 computers.

The algorithm used is as follows. The contents of the MCA are entered into the computer. The natural logarithm of the number of counts in each channel is calculated. Beginning with the last useful data point (a useful data point is one which follows the general trend) a least squares straight time is fitted to the last twenty points of the cascade component using a zero value for the background. The correlation coefficient ( $R^{2}$ ) is calculated for the fitted data. The number of points is increased by twenty points and correlation coefficient recomputed using a value for the background of either $0.001,0.01$, or 0.1 of the numerical count stored in the last useful channel. The procedure is continued taking more points into account, until $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is maximized. Once $R^{2}$ is maximum the value of the background $K$ is changed until $R^{2}$ is again a maximum. Now the computed least square straight line to the largest component is subtracted from the data. The data generated by the subtraction procedure are used in as input to a least squares fitting procedure with a zero background count. Again the number of points is increased gradually until $R^{2}$ is again maximized. The entire procedure is repeated until all components (two or three) present in the data are detected.

In addition the count rate and timing calibrations are calculated by least squares procedure. The count rate is the sum of the total number of counts in all channels divided by the product of the total time counted rimes the repetition rate of the discharge. The timing calibration is determined by imputing the number of timing cables used and their electrical time delays in nanoseconds.

In addition to a hard copy of the lifetime results a semilogarithmic plot of several parameters is printed out for inspection. The real data minus the calculated background and long lived component is plotted as A. The real data minus the short and long lived components is plotted as $C$. Thus $A$ corresponds to the short component, $B$ the long component and $C$ the background. The plot of $C$ is scaled differently than the plots of $A$ and $B$ and is a linear plot so as to show trends in the data.

An example of the output of the program RICH is shown below.
?


```
G.E.COREANIS DATE-13FHR1975 P!NN# 1
    GAMPLE-TEST HAVELEVGTH=1234. A PRETSTME= 10.0 MIORONS
    CALCILATED AT 15:4% GAT• FEB ?1,197:
PRINT PEGPES PESULTS? ,TABULATE Y? (O-N% 1-YFG)
? ก.)
BNTES NG OF PARAMETEPS,FIRST PUINT,LAST BOINT,
    QEP RATE,BREAK PGINT,CONST (IF KNOUN)
? 5,1,255,10000,1
    COINT RATE= 5.3%
    ITERATIONS NDT DISPLAYED
    EXP FIT FROM 65 TQ 255LINEARITY(?2) IS 9.99811697E-D1
    EXP FIT FROM 1 T0 41LINEARITY(R2) IS 9.91329588E-01
        THE JN:UEIGHTED SIGMA IS 5.5606E+01
LIFETIVES (NS) 10.22647 100.65543
MNFFICIENTS 10009.83924 10093.09601 87.00376
CALIBOATION IS 1.OOOOO NS/CHAN UITH STD DEV OFO.DOOOOO NS/CHAV
PLOT?(O-NO,PLOT INCR-YES)
? 8
```



 ENTER NO• DF PAPAMETERS•FIRST POINT,LAST POINT,
PEP RATEgBREAK P円INT, CBiNST (IF KNiON)
? S

PAN: 16.5 SECS
[REA) Y

IBM 2EX
The program entitled IMB 2EX was obtained from the IBM Scientific Share System, It was modified for use on the GE 430 time share system by Mr. Carl Bush and the author. It does a non-linear least squares analysis on two exponentials (4 parameters) if the background is known exactly. In addition to providing iterated estimates of the parameters (A, B, C, D) it also yields estimates of the goodness of fit obtained by the program. It will provide point by point results for the abscissa (time), observed count, calculated count, ratio of observed to calculated, and difference between observed and calculated count. The differences are placed in a data file called TEST 3 which can be plotted by the program X PLOT to ascertain if there are any perodic deviations between observed and calculated values obtained by IBM 2EX..

An example output of IBM $2 E X$ is shown on the following page.

```
PEAIY
OLD: JRMREX
READY
P!JNNH
    TO SUPRESS INTERMEDIATE RESULTS SET SWIP TO 1.0 IN 291
    G•E.GOPELAND DATE-R3JANI970 RINN #1
    SAMPLE-TEST WAVELENGTH=1234. A PRESSURE=123.4 MICPONS
    ENTER STARTING AND ENDING POINTS
? 1,255
ENTER TIMING CALIBRATION-X(INS/CHAN)
? 1
    ENTEP SHORT COEFF.,SHORT LIFETIME,LONG COFFF., LONG LIFETIME
? 9000,9,9000,90
    ENTER NOISE LEVEL
? 90
    MSECISION IS 
        3CYCLES 
    HEASIIRE OF GOODNESS OF FIT = 3.66%34E+02
OPTIGNG AVALIARLE,(1) IS CHANGE CDNSTANT,(O) IS STOP,
AND (-1) IS RECAP ALL PESSILTS
? 100
REPEATING CALCULATIGN USING NEW VALIE FOR CONSTANT K DF ? 170
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
INITIAL & \(9.00 \cap O O E+03\) & \(9.00 \cap O O E+00\) & \(9.00000 E+03\) & \(9.070 \cap O E+01\) \\
FINAL & \(1.00007 E+04\) & \(1.00143 E+01\) & \(1.00016 E+04\) & \(9.99776 E+01\)
\end{tabular}
```

```
    3 CYCLES
```

    3 CYCLES
    STD. DEV. 0.6973160E+00 0.856.3541E-05 0.1.376328E+00 0.8477125E-07
    STD. DEV. 0.6973160E+00 0.856.3541E-05 0.1.376328E+00 0.8477125E-07
    F.D./FINAL 0.0חO 0.000 0.000
    F.D./FINAL 0.0חO 0.000 0.000
    NEASURE OF GODDNESS JFFIT = 3.43375E+OR
    HTIJNG AVALIABLE,(1) IS CHANGE CDNGTANT, (O) IS STIP,
AN! (-1) IS ?ECAP ALL PESIILTG
?-1

```

MNEQ INOOFMRET FG？？FAD JUT ？
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ARTCIScA & 3「Sら2VED & CALC：11，\({ }^{\text {a }}\) & RATIO & 1）IFF & UT \\
\hline 0．000nn +00 & 2．01050E＋04 & ？．1）19？4E＋04 & \(1 \cdot 9001\) & ？．6045 & \\
\hline \(8 \cdot 000005+00\) & 1．378605＋04 & \(1 \cdot 353130+74\) & 0.9967 & －45．2857 & \\
\hline 1－6000边 01 & \(1.065805+04\) & 1－1）6462n＋04 & \(1 \cdot 0011\) & 11．7261 & \\
\hline 2．40900 +01 & S．8950n +0.3 & 8－877515＋ 63 & \(1 \cdot 0020\) & 17.4850 & \\
\hline \(3 \cdot 000000+01\) & 7－7¢0OOE＋0．3 & 7－771685＋0．3 & \(1 \cdot 0011\) & 8． 3156 & \\
\hline \(4 \cdot 007008+01\) & \(7.022005+03\) & 6．98793F＋1）3 & 1.0049 & 34.0788 & \\
\hline \(4 \cdot 800 \cap \mathrm{OE}+01\) & \(6 \cdot 37800 \mathrm{C}+03\) & \(6 \cdot 371065+03\) & 1.0011 & \(6 \cdot 9450\) & \\
\hline \(5 \cdot 60000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(5 \cdot 85510 \pm+03\) & 5．84959E＋03 & 1.0007 & 5.4093 & \\
\hline 6．47O）OE＋01 & \(5.394096+03\) & \(5 \cdot 389805+0.3\) & 1.0008 & \(4 \cdot 196.3\) & \\
\hline \(7 \cdot 00000 \mathrm{E}+01\) & \(5.00600 \mathrm{E}+0.3\) & 4．97508E＋03 & 1．006？ & 30.91 .96 & \\
\hline \(8 \cdot 000 \cap 0 E+01\) & \(4 \cdot 614005+0.3\) & \(4 \cdot 596698+03\) & 1.0038 & 17．384？ & \\
\hline R．80000E＋01 & \(4 \cdot 2.5100 \mathrm{E}+0.3\) & \(4 \cdot 3199 ? \mathrm{P}+0.3\) & 1.0004 & 1．780\％ & \\
\hline \(9 \cdot 60000 \mathrm{E}+11\) & \(3.95400 \mathrm{t}+0.3\) & 3．92942E＋03 & \(1 \cdot 0063\) & 24．579n & \\
\hline 1－п¢OOOE＋0？ & \(3 \cdot 652005+03\) & \(3 \cdot 63461 \mathrm{E}+03\) & \(1 \cdot 0048\) & 17．3\％7？ & \\
\hline 1－190ロロ上 + O2 & 3．34600E＋03 & \(3 \cdot 36265 E+0.3\) & 0.9950 & －16．6543 & \\
\hline \(1 \cdot 20 \cap 005+02\) & \(3 \cdot 106005+03\) & 3．11169E＋03 & 0.9982 & －5．6898 & \\
\hline 1－？¢ \％） & 2．86200E＋03 & 2－88006E＋0．3 & 0.9937 & －18．0607 & \\
\hline \(1 \cdot 360975+0 ?\) & 2．66500E +03 & 2． \(666265+03\) & 0.9995 & －1．26ก？ & \\
\hline 1．440905＋0？ & 2．49200E＋0．3 & 2．46P：91E＋03 & 1.0094 & 23．0917 & \\
\hline 1－52000下＋02 & ？．28200E +03 & 2．28674F＋03 & 0.9979 & －4．7361 & \\
\hline 1．60000E＋02 & 2．13300E＋03 & 2．118576＋0．3 & 1.0068 & 14.4255 & \\
\hline 1．68070E＋92 & 1．96000F＋03 & 1－95．335it＋0．3 & 0.998 .3 & \(-3 \cdot 345 ?\) & \\
\hline \(1.76000 \pm+02\) & 1－8．360ロE＋0．3 & 1．820ク55＋03 & 1.0088 & 15.9466 & \\
\hline 1．84000E＋ก？ & 1．66900E＋03 & 1．68778 +0.3 & 0.9889 & －18．780\％ & \\
\hline 1－92000 +02 & 1．54700E＋03 & 1．565685＋03 & 0.9881 & \(-18.6801\) & \\
\hline ？．00OOOF＋0？ & 1．44200E＋03 & 1．45297E＋n3 & 0.9925 & －10．9690 & \\
\hline ？．08000 +02 & \(1 \cdot 34700 E+0.3\) & \(1 \cdot 34893 \mathrm{E}+03\) & 0.9986 & －1．925 & \\
\hline 2．16000E＋02 & 1－23700E＋03 & 1．25248E＋03 & 0.9873 & －15．8829 & \\
\hline \(2 \cdot 24000 E+102\) & \(1 \cdot 170005+03\) & \(1.16479 \mathrm{E}+03\) & 1.0050 & 5.7740 & \\
\hline 2．32000E＋02 & \(1.08600 E+03\) & 1．082385＋03 & \(1 \cdot 0033\) & 3.6132 & \\
\hline ？．40000F＋ & \(1.01600 E+03\) & 1．00684E＋0．3 & 1.0091 & 9．15\％9 & \\
\hline ？．489nOE＋0？ & 9．2600กE＋02 & 9．37105E＋0？ & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0．9981－11．1048} & \\
\hline IONS AVALIARL & （1）IS GHANG & CDINSTANT，（0） & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Is stion}} & \\
\hline \((-1)\) IS RECA & ALL RES！JLTS & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

FAIN： \(53 \cdot 8\) SECS

READY
```

    TBMOR% 13:33 FRI FEF OO,1:70.
    BN|?
    ```

```

    DIMOSSIDN Y(256)
    DIUENSION TIM(%)
    DIMENSION PTY(D56)
    i)IVENSIDN 3I(4)
    OINENSION !(5,5),BA(4),?N(4),H(4),?F(4)
    DINENSION :J(10,256)
    PEAL LI,L?
    BALL GPENF(1,"INP!JT")
    READ(1,101) L1,LP,DA, XVG,YP,RN,SA,V,P
    SEAD(1,10?)N
    READ(1,103)(TIM(I),I=1,NTC)
    ```

```

    10? FGFMAT(I3,I1,&I3)
    103 FOPMAT (8F7.R)
    104 FgRMAT(IG,917)
    P1? MR=0
        PRINT,"TD SUPPESS INTERMEDIATE PESIJLTS SET SNIP=1 IN ?91"
        MT=40.0
        %HIP=1•0
        N=N
        #T=0.000005
        MRINT 201,L1,L?,DA, XMO,YP,RN
        OFINT 2OP,SAgV,P
    RO1 FORMAT(1H , 2A6,3X,"DATE-",AO,A3,A4,3X,"RUN 4",I2)
    Qn? FOPMAT(1H ,"SOMPL-",AG,3X,"UAVELENGTH='",FS.O," A",
        13X,"مRESS!JRE=",F5•1," MICRONS")
    ```

```

        1 FORMAT(IH ,"ENTEP STARTING AND ENDING POINTS")
            INPIT, IN1, NN2
            PRINT,"ENTER TTMING CALIARATIGN-X(NS/CHAN)"
            INPIIT, CLBPTR
            NT1=IN1-1
            NT=NNP-NT1
            PEAD(1,1OA),TIME,(Y(I),I=1, \NT1),(Y(J),J=1,NT)
            「:ALL CLOSEF(1,"INPUT'")
        O6 FOPMAT(1H,"PRECISION IS ",1PL10.2)
            NE =NT
    04? NA=0
    23? IP=0
    C
i)0204 I=1,.VT
AA=(I-1)*CLSRTR
अB=Y(I)
254 1(1,I)=AA
IJ(2,I)=BB
224 il(4,I)=!J(?,I)/J(R,1)
: TRIAL CONSTANT

```
```

    I#MOE% 13:53 FRI F5% 20,197!
        MRIVT ?,
        P FOPMAT(1H , "FNTER SHOPT CGFFF.,OHMPT LIFETIME,LONG ROEFF.,
            1 LONG LIFETIME')
        INPIIT,GI
        BI(?)=1.П/BI(?)
        PI(4)=1.0/BI(4)
        O: TNT.3,
    3 FD:PMAT(1H ,"ENTER NOISE LEVEL")
        I:NP!JT,CN
        PRINT PG,ET
        PQINT,"COEFFICIENTS A B B C
        1 D"'
        G9 T0236
    3.35 PPINT 504,
    504 FIRMAT(1HO,"REPEATING GALCULATION USING NEG VALIE FOP
        1 (ONSTANT K DF')
        INPIIT,CN
    2.36 DO 230 I=1.4
    230 R\triangle(I)=QI(I)
        AAOP=1.0/3A(?)
        BA@4=1.0/RA(4)
        P?INT 28,RA(1),PAO2,QA(3),13A04
            2& FGRMAT(5X," INITIAL ",1P4E14.6)
            START !F MAIN LOUP
        M3=0
    31? A=?A(1)
        B=3A(2)
        C=PA(3)
        i)=RA (4)
        D0 3P.3 I=1,NT
        XR=EXP (-3*!I(1,I))
        A 明 = XB*A
        Xi)=EXP (-D*(j(1,I))
        CXO = XD*C
        XT=A XB+CXO
        1/ ( 3,I)=XT+CN
        IF(NE-I) 323,322,322.
    3OP थ्XT=1.O/XT
        !EPIVATIVES
        |(6,I)=-XB*RXT
        |(7,I)=|(1,I)*A XR*RXT
        |(8,I)=-XD*RXT
        |(9,I)=|(I,I)*CXD*PXT
        |(10,I)=ALgG((1I(P,I)-CN)*PXT)
    30.3 CONTINIJE
        S!IMS DF DEPIUATIVES
        !) 328 K=1,5
        D: 32& J=1,5
        U(J,K)=0.0
    ```
```

    I3MOFX 1.3:53 FOI F%O 20,197:
    #) 328 I=1, SE
    44=A35 (1J(k+5, I))
    IF(AA-1.0%-25) 328,3P6.32%
    3?6 AA=A13S (1J(J+5,I))
    IF(AA-1.OF-25) 328,327,327
    3?7 :!(.J,K)=:I(J,K)+!(K+5,I):61|(.I+5,I)
    3O% CONTINUE
    C SGLVE NAT?IX
4?? !Vリ=:(5,5)
O! 40Q I=1,4
U(5,5)=1•0/:N(1,1)
0) 423 J=1.4
4P.3 !(.J,5)=!(J+1,1)/!(1,1)
1)0 424 J=1,3
!(5,.j)=-6(5,5)*!(1,J+1)
30 4?4 K=1,4
4?4:\(K,J)=-H(K,5)*\&(1,J+1)+!(K+1,N+1)
DO 4?O .J=1,5
!(.I, イ)=!!(J,5)
4PG CONTINIE
C TEST NE\& CMNSTANTS
502 Mn=1
00 5?5 J=1,4
BN(J)=BA(J)-1!!(1,J)
BF3=ABS (BN(.1)/DA(.1)-1.0)
IF (B3-20.0) 513,513.533
53.3 आ%INT 53
53 FOPMAT(12H HILD rOEFS )
G3T0 6.39
51.3 DA(J)=:3N(J)
IF(\#O-ET) 505,533,5?3
52.3 MA = NA + +
505 CONTINIJE
IF(MA-1) 777,529,526

```

```

        IF(MB-MT) 517,528,528
    517 COyTINiJE
        IF(GUI: 312,527,312
    527 आ^O?=1.0/BA(2)
        4A04=1.0/B4(4)
        PZINT 57,BA(1),RA(O2,BA(3),HAO1
        57 F3?,1T(10X,1P4E14.6)
            G9T0 312
    C ENO OF NAIN LOOP
52\& PQINT 58,MT,MB
5% FORMAT(18H EXIT DIJE TO OVEP I5, %H CYCLES I5 )
G%T0 6.39
529 BADP=1.0/BA(2)
3AO4=1.0/BA(4)

```

```

            M:INT 59,GA(1),GAig2,B4(3), BA 4, 
    ```


```

        60 F:,? 法T(1H , IS," CYCLES")
    ; STANDAPD IEVIATIONS
4ivE=N:
B=%(5,5)/(ANE-4,0)
)0 5.39, J=1,4
O(.f)=S\10T(SE*!(J+1, J))
539 ?!(.1)=[?(J)/3A(.J)
\square?IN[ 6?,?,?F

```

```

            1)
    ```

```

            305.31 I=1,NT
            F!? =11(?,I)-i|(3,I)
    ```

```

            OMFG=E?S'IM/(NT-5)
            F?\NT 77, OMAG
        77 FOPMAF(1H ,"MEAS'}SE DF GOBONESS OF FIT =', 1PS1.3.6)
            FINAL PESJILTS
    636 9.DNTIN!JE
    6s36 IT(1?) 656,696,656
    696 6:] T9639
    656 r.OVTIN!JE
            G3T? 639
    ```

```

        IN:DIT, INC
            P:INT 66
        65 F?MAT(1H AX1OH ABSCISSA 4XIOH OGSBOVEM OXIOH CALCIJLA
            ([E) 3 <6H?ATIO 2X7H DIFF OX付 :!T)
            IM=1
            ) 62& I=1,NT,INC
    66R ET)=11(2,I)-1](3,I)
            IF(!1(.3,I)) 627,6.37,62.7
    6?7 %?=!j(R,I)/j(3,1)
            (i) T! 64%
    6.37 『R =0.0
    ```

```

            6& FGTMAT(1H,1P3514.6,0P?F9.4)
            DO &%&, J=1,NT, INC
    8P% RTY(J)=0.0
            D) R&9,N=1:NT,INC,
    &&9 PTY(.J) =RTY(J) +ABS(IJ(?,J)-iJ(3,J))
            (*ALL DPENF(P,"TEST3")
            &\mp@code{UTE(Q, )(々TY(J), J=1,NT.,INC)}
            CALLLCLOSFF(R,"TEST3")
    G28 CONTININ:
    G.39 FRINT,"GPTIONS AVALIAGLE,(1) IS CHANGE CONGTANT,(O) IS
    ```

CNO
GHNIJEG LLL
SどくくLLL•979（LSNI）JI
LSNI•II：CNI
 ＂6ctas 1

LASL
The last of the data analysis programs is named LASL for LosAlamos Scientific Laboratory. This program which was originally designed for use in a CDC-6400 and was modified for use in the IBM 360/40 and GE-430 systems by Mr. Richard T. Thompson. It does a non-linear least squares iterated analysis on up to three exponentials and background ( 7 parameters). This program is by far the most sophisticated and allows for several polynomial weighting functions if desired. It was obtained from LASL and its algorithm utilizes the Newton-Raphson technique with further modifications as described by Marquardt \({ }^{(28)}\). An example output of LASL follows.
```

GLSL 16:OG AOC SAT. FEF ?1,19%)

```
```

G-E.COPELANO DATE-13FEO1G7O RTN % 1

```

```

    CALOHLATED AT 16:OS BAT, FEO P1,197%
    ```

```

    OO=O,1,?,O? 3 FOU INCX, NUTPUT, IK=? HOLD CONST.
    ? 3,1,25!, -. 5,0
    ENTAR TAM(THDET,LGNG),COEFF(SHOPT,LNNG), (ONSTANT
? 9,90,9007,9000,90
CALIBPATION IS 1.DOOOOODOOE+DO NB/BHAN
SIGHT FMNGES FROM 1.O TO 5.377 F1? OHAV 255
7 ITLOATIONG, DET. IF ;AOT. ओF口IU. VATRIX= 1.30959E-D3
G!|: % EXPONENTIALS: Y(I)=D(1):%XP(-X(I)/P(?))+···+Q(5).

```
    THF UEIOHTEO VAZIANCE IF 7-74308\&E+9?
    THE UN:IEIGHTEO SIGMA IS 1.842188R+?1
AND THE JNOEIGHTED SIM OF SOUAPES OF THE DEVS IS S. \(484143 E+14\)
        G!JESS JF FINAL UAL BF S.D. OF EXACT LST GORS FOVS
    \(K K-T H P A R A M K\) K-TH PAPAM K-TH PAPAM
        1 9.0クOE +03 1.0005+04 1.8?6E+O1
        3 \(9.0005+00 \quad 3.977 E+00 \quad 3.5545-02\)

        4 9.0กПF゙+01 9.976E+01 2.716E-门1
    \(5 \quad 9 \cdot 0505+01 \quad 1.046 \mathrm{E}+02 \quad 6 \cdot 670 \mathrm{O}+01\)
ENTER PLOT INCR IF PLOT IS DESIRED.
? 8

2n.175
? 2105



249*4
C B

```

G.E.COPELAND DATE-13FEB1970 RUN \# 1
SAMPLE-TEST WAVELENGTH=1234. A PPESSURE= 1?.O MICRONS
CALC!JLATED AT 16:08 SAT. FEB 21,1970

```
```

ENTER NQ. DF PASAMS,FIRST PT., LAST PT., WEIGHT PGWER
,IPR=0,1,2,DR 3 FOR INCR. OUTPIJT,IM=? HOLD CONST.
? S

```
PAN: \(\quad 52 \cdot 3\) SECS
READY

Time Share Data Analysis System
The lifetime apparatus in its present configuration is designed for direct read out of the MCA on to punched paper type via a Teletype 33TBE. In addition a hard copy is obtained via the printer of the teletype. Once a data tape is obtained the following procedure is used for the analysis of the data.

The data tape is feed into the GE 430 computer via the phone lines by use of the program FILER. FILER sets up a data file called INPUT in the memory of the 430 which is used by all other programs. Next the data is plotted in a semilogarithmic manner by use of the program FPLOT. Visual inspection of the plot gives the operator information as to which data points to use for the rest of the analysis. Next the program RICH is run in which the timing calibrations and first guesses for the parameters are obtained (2 or 3 esponentials plus background). If only two exponentials are found then either IBM 2EX or LASL may be used. If three exponentials are obtained, then LASL must be used.

The time share system has drastically reduced data analysis time. Typically, graphical. procedures consume eight to ten hours for analysis, whereas, the time share system utilizes only two to three minutes of computer time. In addition, the type of analysis used is much more sophisticated.

PART IV

RESULTS

\section*{CHAPTER I}

\section*{THE OXYGEN MOLECULAR ION}

This ionic molecule is a fifteen electron species with a permanent dipole moment since its ground state is \(\mathrm{X}^{2} \pi_{\mathrm{g}}\). The four known excited states are \(a^{4} \pi_{u}, A^{2} \pi_{u}, b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\), and \(c^{4} \Sigma_{u}^{-}\). The \(0_{2}^{+}\)molecule is formed in an electrical discharge by simultaneous excitation and ionization of the ground state of the \(0_{2}\) molecule \(\left(X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)\). The energy level diagrams of both \(0_{2}^{+}\)and \(0_{2}\) are shown in Figs. 2 and 28. The equilibrium internuclear distance for \(0_{2}^{+} X^{2} \pi_{g}\) is \(1.1227 \AA\) and that for \(0_{2}\left(X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)\)is \(1.20739 \AA\). The difference in energy (between lowest vibrational states) is about 12.1 eV . Even though ionization \(0_{2}^{+}\)may be formed (since \(\Delta r_{e}\) is small); by electron impact, excitation of some levels involves a spin flip.

There are three known excited state transitions in \(0_{2}^{+}\). They are: the \(A^{2} \pi_{u} \rightarrow X^{2} \pi_{g}\) which produces the second negative system (2-); the \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}+a^{4} \cdot \pi_{u}\), which produces the first negative system (1-), and the \(c^{4} \Sigma_{u^{-}}^{-} b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\), which produces Hopfield's emission band system.


Figure 28. \(0_{2}\) Energy Level Diagram.

The second negative system usually is seen at low pressure in the negative glow and is most intense in the wavelength range \(4100 \AA\) to \(2300 \AA\). Since it is due to a \(2 \pi_{u} \rightarrow 2 \pi_{g}\) transition the resultant bands are double headed and are separated by about \(200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\). This system was not seen in the discharge tube used in the experiment and this is probably due to the fact that spin flip is necessary for its excitation from \(0_{2}\) (electric dipole forbidden excitation).

Hopfield's system ( \(c^{4} \Sigma^{-}+b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\)), should be present since no spin flip is necessary for its production, but was not seen in the cold cathode discharge tube. It has been observed in emission with one upper vibrational level \(\left(v^{\prime}=0\right)\). It lies 24.5 eV above ground state of \(0_{2}\) and thus should be difficult to excite. Some evidence \({ }^{(29)}\) seems to suggest that it may predissociate. The Hopfield system occurs in the wavelength range \(1940 \AA\) to \(2362 \AA\). It should be easily excited, if traces of helium were introduced into the discharge.

The first negative band system occurs quite strongly in hollow cathode discharges at low pressures. It is due to a quartet-quartet transition \(\left(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-} \rightarrow a^{4} \pi_{u}\right)\). It seems \({ }^{(30)}\) that neither the \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\)nor the \(a^{4} \pi_{u}\) states are either purely case (a) or (b) but follow intermediate coupling. Nevin \({ }^{(31)}\) has found forty (40) branches in each band of \(0_{2}^{+}(1-)\). In general the bands are degraded to the violet and each band seems to be composed of 5 separate sub-bands. The wavelength range is from \(4992 \AA\) to \(7891 \AA\), with strongest activity in the region \(6856 \AA\) to \(5000 \AA\). The first negative system is the only known \({ }^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}+^{4} \pi u\) transition in molecular physics.

Excitation cross section measurements for this state have been made by H. Nishimura \({ }^{(32)}\) and J. W. McConkey \({ }^{(33)}\). Nishumura found \(\sigma\left[0_{2}^{+}(1-)(2,1)\right]\) to be \(8 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\) at 100 eV .

\section*{Lifetime Measurements}

Using the cold cathode excitation source described previously, the lifetimes of the upper eight vibrational levels \(v^{\prime}=0,1, \ldots 7\) of \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\) were measured by monitoring seventeen different bands of the first negative system of the oxygen molecular ion, \(0_{2}^{+}\). A \(\frac{1}{2}\)-meter Jarrell-Ash monochromator ( \(16 \AA / \mathrm{mm}\) ) was used as the wavelength discriminator. The spectra of the oxygen discharge was obtained from \(1900 \AA\) to \(6300 \AA\) by using the spectrohistogram technique described heretofore. The \(0_{2}^{+}(1-)\) system was the only band system observed. Many lines of oxygen (OI) and the oxygen ion OII were observed. This was most fortunate since they provided convenient wavelength markers as well as interesting species for additional lifetime measurements.

Previous determinations of the lifetimes of the \(v^{\prime}=0,1,2\) levels have been made by Jeuehomme \({ }^{(34)}\). His values are quite long and of the order of \(1.0 \mu \mathrm{sec}\). Our recent work indicates that his values are 1ifetimes of cascade components and not the true lifetimes of the \(v^{\prime}\) levels of the \(\mathrm{b} \Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}^{-}\)state.

The data presented here was obtained by means of the delayed coincidence method and was analyzed partially by graphical and by numeric means utilizing the program RICH.

Table 2 lists the data obtained for \(v^{\prime}=0,1\) levels of \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\). The band wavelength \(\lambda\), pressure, short lifetime, and long lifetime are tabulated. Table 3 continues the same listing for \(v^{\prime}=2,3,4\). Table 4 lists data for \(v^{\prime}=5,6,7\).

Table 2. \(\quad 0_{2}^{+}{ }^{4} b \Sigma_{g}^{-} v^{\prime}=0,1\).
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline \hline & & & & \\
\(\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(P(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \(\tau_{S}(\mathrm{~ns})\) & \(\tau_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{ns})\) \\
\hline 0,0 & 6026 & 120 & 94.2 & 267 \\
& & 65 & 142.4 & 373 \\
& & 85 & 109 & 249 \\
& & 100 & 157 & 418 \\
& & 58 & 196 & 983 \\
1,0 & 5632 & 76 & 156 & 411 \\
& & 76 & 144 & 401 \\
& & 110 & 92.6 & 275 \\
& & 162 & 119.6 & 249 \\
& & 100 & 95 & 264 \\
& & 64 & 118 & 401 \\
& & 86 & 151 & 393 \\
1,1 & 5973 & 63 & 169 & 423 \\
& & 71 & 106 & 368 \\
& & 88 & 127 & 343 \\
& & 75 & 183 & 549
\end{tabular}

Table 3. \(0_{2}^{+}{ }^{4} b \Sigma_{g}^{-} v^{\prime}=2,3,4\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ( \(\left.v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \(\tau_{S}(\mathrm{~ns})\) & \(\tau_{L}(\mathrm{~ns})\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2,0} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5296} & 68 & 125 & 371 \\
\hline & & 57 & 123 & 390 \\
\hline & & 105 & 123 & 325 \\
\hline & & 95 & 93 & 278 \\
\hline & & 83 & 152 & 589 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{2,1} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5593} & 90 & 106 & 302 \\
\hline & & 82 & 123 & 336 \\
\hline & & 61 & 144 & 424 \\
\hline & & 78 & 130 & 368 \\
\hline & & 101 & 144 & 367 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2,2} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5924} & 115 & 111 & 298 \\
\hline & & 97 & 129 & 325 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3,0} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5005} & 80 & 145 & 369 \\
\hline & & 105 & 148 & 356 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{6}{*}{3,1} & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{5274} & 90 & 110 & 288 \\
\hline & & 69 & 130 & 364 \\
\hline & & 93 & 116 & 324 \\
\hline & & 97 & 119 & 324 \\
\hline & & 96 & 125 & 343 \\
\hline & & 55 & 180 & 886 \\
\hline 3,2 & 4466 & 78 & 144 & 413 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{3,3} & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{5883} & 63 & 164 & 445 \\
\hline & & 105 & 135 & 330 \\
\hline & & 95 & 120 & 321 \\
\hline & & 105 & 130 & 343 \\
\hline & & 92 & 149 & 357 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 3 (Continued)
\begin{tabular}{ccccc}
\hline\(\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(P(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \({ }^{\tau} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{ns})\) & \(\tau_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{ns})\) \\
\hline & & & & \\
4,0 & \(-\cdots-\) & \(-\cdots\) & -- & -- \\
4,1 & 4998 & 84 & 148 & 331 \\
& & 105 & 150 & 353 \\
& & 33 & 144 & 562 \\
4,2 & 5259 & 70 & 163 & 442 \\
& & 55 & 119 & 442 \\
4,3 & 5540 & 92 & 111 & 320 \\
& & 100 & 136 & 362 \\
4,4 & 5847 & 100 & 131 & 343 \\
& & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 4. \(\quad 0_{2}^{+}{ }^{4} \Sigma^{-} \mathrm{g}^{-} \mathrm{v}^{\prime}=5,6,7\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ( \(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}\) ) & \(\lambda(\mathrm{A})\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \(\tau_{S}(\mathrm{~ns})\) & \(\tau_{L}\) (ns) \\
\hline 5,0 & --- & --- & --- & --- \\
\hline 5,1 & ---- & --- & -- & --- \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5,2} & 4992 & 75 & 132 & 358 \\
\hline & & 90 & 166 & 419 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{5,3} & 5251 & 72 & 168 & 374 \\
\hline & & 89 & --- & 324 \\
\hline & & 95 & --- & 355 \\
\hline & & 90 & 156 & 369 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5,4} & 5521 & 78 & 176 & 448 \\
\hline & & 101 & 144 & 371 \\
\hline & & 125 & 168 & 421 \\
\hline 5,5 & 5814 & -- & --- & -- \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6,4} & 5241 & 87 & 135 & 375 \\
\hline & & 75 & 109 & 299 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7,5} & 5234 & 50 & 276 & 397 \\
\hline & & 60 & 157 & 460 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The data obtained was found to be nearly singly exponential in several cases. We interpret the data as two exponentials, i.e.,
\[
y=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}+K,
\]
where in these cases \(A / C<1\). Thus separation of the two exponentials is difficult. This may indicate instrumental difficulties. If the count rate is too high (greater than \(20 \%\) ) serious alterations of decay curves may be obtained. However, this does not seem to be the case with these data. Since \(A / C<1\), there are large errors in the decay constants as explained. Thus the scatter in the resultant data is large.

In Figs. (29), (30) and (31) the values of \(1 / \tau v\) vs. pressure is presented for the cascade transition probabilities for the levels \(v^{\prime}=0,1,2\). Also plotted on the same graph are values taken from Jeuehomme \({ }^{(34)}\) for the "real" lifetimes. It is an easy matter to ascertain that his data must be for the cascade component. Moreover his excitation source was a clamped microwave device which had a slow ( \(\sim 50\) nsec) cutoff, and thus he was unable to measure the short components. Finally Table 5 lists the measured lifetimes and transition probabilities for the \(v^{\prime}=0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\) levels of \(0_{2}^{+}(1-) b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\)as a function of the band measured. Since \(A / C\) is small in most cases we can only roughly estimate errors at 15 per cent.


Figure 29. \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-} v^{\prime}=01 / \tau\) versus Pressure.


Figure 30. \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-} v^{\prime}=11 / \tau\) versus Pressure.


Figure 31. \(b^{4} \Sigma_{g}^{-} v^{\prime}=21 / \tau\) versus Pressure
\[
113
\]

Table 5. \(\quad 0_{2}^{+}(1-) b^{4} \pi_{g}^{-} \rightarrow a^{4} \pi_{u}\).
\begin{tabular}{cccc}
\hline \hline & \\
\hline\((u, \ell)\) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(\tau\) (short) NS. \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 6026 & 139. \\
1 & 0 & 5632 & 125. \\
& 1 & 5973 & 146. \\
2 & 0 & 5296 & 123. \\
& 1 & 5593 & 129. \\
& 2 & 5924 & 120. \\
3 & 2 & 5566 & 144. \\
& 3 & 5883 & 139. \\
4 & 1 & 4998 & 147. \\
& 2 & 5259 & 141. \\
& 3 & 5540 & 123. \\
& 4 & 5847 & 131. \\
5 & 2 & 4992 & 149. \\
& 3 & 5251 & 162. \\
& 4 & 5521 & 163. \\
7 & 4 & 5241 & 5234 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CHAPTER II}

\section*{OXYGEN NEUTRAL AND IONIC TRANSITIONS}

As has been known for some time \({ }^{(35)}\), the oxygen molecule does not readily show an emission spectrum in discharges at low pressures. We wish to examine two of the excited electronic states of \(0_{2}\). The first is the \(A^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{+}\)which is the upper state of the Herzberg I system. This system is electric dipole forbidden since it involves \(A^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{+} \rightarrow X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\) transition. It has been observed in emission in afterglows at extremely low pressure and in absorption. It is not likely that a measurement of its lifetimes will be made in discharge tube, since the \(+\boldsymbol{\beta}\) - selection rule is violated.

The other interesting state of the \(0_{2}\) molecule is the \(B^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{-}\) state. The transition \(B^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{-} \rightarrow X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\)produces the Schumann-Runge Bands in the wavelength range 4400 to \(1700 \AA\). The internuclear equilibrium separation \(r_{e}\) of these two states are \(1.6 \AA\left(B^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{-}\right)\)and \(1.20739 \AA\left(X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)\). This band system is not observed at low pressure and low temperature. Due to the large separation of the minima of the potential functions \(\left(\Delta r_{e} \cong 0.4 \AA\right)\), direct Franck-Condon 1ike transitions from \(v^{\prime \prime} X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\)to \(v^{\prime} B^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{-}\)states end up in the repulsive part of the \(B^{3} \Sigma_{u}^{-}\)state. Thus excitations from \(v^{\prime \prime} \geq 13\) of the ground state, all produce decomposition of the \(O_{2}\) molecule into its excited components \(O\left({ }^{3} p\right)+O\left({ }^{l} D\right)\) according to the scheme
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 0_{2}\left(X^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right)+e^{-} \rightarrow 0_{2}\left(B^{3} \Sigma^{-}\right)+e^{-} \\
& 0_{2}\left(B^{3} \Sigma_{g}^{-}\right) \rightarrow 0\left({ }^{3} P\right)+0\left({ }^{1} D\right) .
\end{aligned}
\]

This explains the existence of atomic spectral lines in the spectrum obtained in the low pressure cold cathode discharge. The \(0\left({ }^{1} D\right)\) oxygen atom is a metastable with mean life of 100 seconds! \({ }^{(36)}\) Since level is so long lived, it can easily be excited to a higher level or ionized, by an additional electron impact.

Five different levels in OI were observed to emit strongly in the spectral response of the photomultiplier tube used (RCA 8575). Table 6 lists the observed data for \(O I\). The wavelength of the transition; the pressure, and the lifetimes (short and long) are listed. Figures (32) and (33) show plots of lifetimes versus pressure for the transitions measured.

Figure (34) shows the lifetimes, transition probabilities, transition arrays, and multiplets for the 5 transitions measured. Also a partial energy level diagram is shown.

Since the \(O\left({ }^{1} D\right)\) state is metastable, it can be ionized by additional electron impact, thus producing excited states of the oxygen ion (OII). Lifetimes of four multiplets were measured in OII. They were \({ }^{4} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{~S}^{\circ},{ }^{4} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{P}^{0},{ }^{2} \mathrm{D} \rightarrow{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{\circ}\), and \({ }^{4} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{4} \mathrm{D}^{\circ}\). In the \({ }^{4} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{D}^{\circ}\) transition lifetimes of seven of the eight possible transitions were measured. This provides a test of the constancy of the dipole matrix element with multiplets in ionic oxygen.

Table 6. Lifetimes in atomic oxygen.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \({ }^{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~ns})\) & \(\tau_{L}(\mathrm{~ns})\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{6157} & 105 & 45 & --- \\
\hline & 120 & 46 & 275 \\
\hline & 130 & 38.2 & 239 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{5435} & 105 & 46 & 289 \\
\hline & 120 & 46 & 293 \\
\hline & 135 & 48.7 & 236 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{7}{*}{5330} & 68 & 47.5 & 322 \\
\hline & 120 & 44.2 & 241 \\
\hline & 87 & 49.7 & --- \\
\hline & 55 & 50.0 & 361 \\
\hline & 95 & 40.0 & 299 \\
\hline & 108 & 47.2 & 275 \\
\hline & 115 & 40.0 & 225 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{11}{*}{4368} & 108 & 42.6 & 333 \\
\hline & 120 & 43.6 & 292 \\
\hline & 89 & 53.2 & 388 \\
\hline & 135 & 43.0 & 268 \\
\hline & 50 & 52.1 & 113 \\
\hline & 39 & 54.5 & 130 \\
\hline & 15 & 66.3 & 429 \\
\hline & 39 & 41.5 & 101 \\
\hline & 49 & 39.9 & 122 \\
\hline & 39 & 41.8 & 224 \\
\hline & 105 & 36.3 & 134 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3947} & 105 & 54.5 & 277 \\
\hline & 120 & 51.1 & 264 \\
\hline & 140 & 46.4 & 236 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 32. OI \({ }^{5} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{5} \mathrm{D}_{0}(5330 \AA) \tau\) versus Pressure.


Figure 33. OI \({ }^{3} S^{0}-{ }^{3} P(4368 \AA)\) t versus Pressure.

\section*{(Zero Pressure)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\lambda(\AA)\) & Multiplet & Transition Array & \(\tau\) (NS) & \(\Sigma \mathrm{Ax} 10^{8} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}\) \\
\hline 6157 & \(5^{5} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{5} \mathrm{D}^{0}\) & \(2 \mathrm{p}^{3} 3 \mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{p}^{3} 4 \mathrm{~d}\) & 43. & 2.57 \\
\hline 5435 & \({ }_{5}^{5}-{ }^{5} S^{0}\) & \(2 p^{3} 3 \mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{p}^{3} 6 \mathrm{~s}\) & 47. & 2.13 \\
\hline 5330 & \({ }^{5} p-{ }^{5} D^{0}\) & \(2 p^{3} 3 \mathrm{p}-2 \mathrm{p}^{3} 5 \mathrm{~d}\) & 46. & 2.19 \\
\hline 4368 & \({ }^{3} \mathrm{~S} 0-{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\) & \(2 p^{3} 3 \mathrm{~s}-2 \mathrm{p}^{3} 4 \mathrm{p}\) & 47. & 2.14 \\
\hline 3947 & \({ }_{5}^{5} S^{0}-{ }^{5} \mathrm{P}\) & \(2 p^{3} 3 s-2 p^{3} 4 \mathrm{p}\) & 51. & 1.97 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 34. OI Atomic Lifetimes and Energy Leve1.

Table 7 lists the wavelength, pressure and lifetimes (1ong and short) for the components of the multiplets. Figure (35) shows the detailed transitions involved in the \({ }^{4} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{D}^{\circ}\) transition. Figure (36) shows a partial energy level diagram for OII and lists the final results for OII lifetimes.

Table 7. OII Lifetimes in Ionic Oxygen Atom
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\lambda\) (A) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \({ }^{\tau} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{ns})\) & \(\tau_{L}(\mathrm{~ns})\) \\
\hline 3749 & 80 & 8.3 & 135. \\
\hline 4317 & 85 & 9.6 & 130.4 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4349} & 85 & 9.9 & --- \\
\hline & 54 & 14.1 & 225. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{4590} & 110 & 10.5 & 208.6 \\
\hline & 70 & 10.2 & 107.2 \\
\hline & 70 & 10.8 & 319. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4639} & 83 & 12.2 & --- \\
\hline & 88 & 12.7 & 96.9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{4642} & 83 & 12.1 & --- \\
\hline & 61 & 11.5 & 57.9 \\
\hline & 69 & 11.2 & 77. \\
\hline & 35 & 22.8 & 669 \\
\hline 4650 & 85 & 12.6 & --- \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4649} & 54 & 10.4 & 52. \\
\hline & 80 & 13.4 & 116. \\
\hline 4662 & 130 & 12.0 & --- \\
\hline 4661 & 64 & 14.0 & 175. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4674} & 93 & 14.7 & 188. \\
\hline & 93 & 14.1 & 163. \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{4676} & 47 & 13.9 & 90.8 \\
\hline & 47 & 15.5 & 149. \\
\hline & 135 & 12.9 & --- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 35. \({ }^{4} \mathrm{p}-{ }^{4} \mathrm{D}^{0}\) OII \(2 \mathrm{p}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~s}-2 \mathrm{p}^{2}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)^{3} \mathrm{p}\) Transition.

OII


Figure 36. Lifetimes and Energy Levels in OII.

\section*{CHAPTER III}

\section*{THE NITRIC OXIDE MOLECULAR TRANSITIONS}

\section*{Electronic Structure}

This diatomic molecule is isoelectronic to the \(0_{2}^{+}\)molecule but differs widely in its possible structure and spectra. A complete energy level diagram is shown in Fig. (1). It will be noted that the ground state is a \({ }^{2 \pi}\) state which implies a permanent dipole moment. Moreover, the \(\mathrm{X}^{2} \pi\) state is split due to spin-orbit coupling by \(233 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\). Transitions ending at ground will be at least doubled headed when viewed with only moderately dispersing instruments.

The first excited electronic state is the \(A^{2} \Sigma_{r}\) state which lies at 40055 and \(40007 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\) above ground ( \(\mathrm{v}^{\prime \prime}=0\) ) state. Transitions from \(A^{2} \Sigma_{r}\) to \(X^{2}{ }_{T} r_{r}\) yielded the \(r\) (gamma) bands in the wavelength range \(1700 \AA\) to \(3300 \AA\). The emission spectra never show transitions from v' \(\geq 3\) since a level crossing occurs at that point. In the series of experiments to be described below, emission spectra were seen only with \(v^{\prime}=0,1,2\) in agreement with previous results \({ }^{(37)}\). The \(\gamma\) (gamma) bands are among the strongest impurity bands commonly seen in electrical discharges.

For this \({ }^{2} \Sigma \rightarrow{ }^{2} \pi\) transitions each band has four characteristic heads. The \(A^{2} \Sigma\) band always belongs to Hund's case (b) while the \(X^{2} \pi\) state may be either case (a), (b) or intermediate. The \(X^{2} \gamma\) state in NO has a large splitting and thus is case (a), so the \(\gamma\) bands are \({ }^{2} \Sigma(b) \rightarrow^{2} \pi(a)\)
transition. The four characteristic heads consist of a doublet double head structure, i.e., two sub-bands which are doublets. In this experiment only the sub-bands could be resolved, not the doublet structure in each.

The next excited state of interest is the \(B^{2} \pi\) state. It is the upper level of the \(\beta\) bands. The lower level of the bands is the \(x^{2} \pi\) (ground state). The \(\beta\) bands are rather uniformly spread throughout the wavelength range \(2000 \AA\) to \(6000 \AA\). This is due to the large difference of internuclear distance for the two states. According to Herzberg \({ }^{(38)}\) both states are \({ }^{2} \pi\) and belong to Hund's case (a), thus the selection rule \(\Delta \Sigma=0\) holds. The \({ }^{2} \pi^{2} \pi\) bands split into two sub-bands \({ }^{2} \pi_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow^{2} \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}\), and \({ }^{2} \pi_{3 / 2} \rightarrow^{2} \pi_{3 / 2}\). Each sub-band has six branches which form two \(P\), two weak \(Q\) and two \(R\) branches. The next important excited levels are the \(D^{2} \Sigma\) and \(C^{2} \Sigma\) which connect to ground state \(X^{2} \pi\) to form the E(epsilon) and \(\delta(\) delta) band systems. These band systems are similar and for years were thought of as being identical. They lie in the 1500 \(\AA\) to \(2600 \AA\) region. The \(\delta\) system is usually a strong impurity system in \(N_{2}\) discharges. Both systems are \({ }^{2} \Sigma \rightarrow^{2} \pi\) and have spectra similar to the r system (Four characteristic heads - two doublet sub-bands). Several of the \(\delta\) and \(\varepsilon\) bands overlap the \(\gamma\) bands under low dispersion.

Another interesting band system (the \(\beta^{\prime}\) system) arises from the transition \(\beta^{\prime 2} \Delta_{i}+X^{2} \pi_{X}\). This system is entirely in the vacuum ultraviolet and thus was not seen in this experiment. It is mentioned since the upper level ( \(\beta^{\prime 2} \Delta_{i}\) ) is also the upper ievel for the strong infrared system which arises by transition to the \(B^{2} \pi_{r}\) state which is the upper
level to the \(\beta\) bands in the visible. Measurements of the lifetimes of the \({ }^{2} \Delta_{i}\) system can be made by looking at the infrared system instead of the vacuum ultraviolet.

The internuclear equilibrium distances \(r_{e}\) for these electronic states \(\operatorname{are}^{(39)} \mathrm{X}^{2} \pi(1.1508 \AA) ; \mathrm{A}^{2} \Sigma^{+}(1.0637 \AA) ; B^{2} \pi_{r}(1.385,1.448 \AA)\); \(C^{2} \Sigma^{+}(1.075 \AA) ; D^{2} \Sigma^{+}(1.0646 \AA) ; E^{2} \Sigma^{+}(1.0661 \AA)\). Transitions are extremely favorable among the states \(E^{2} \Sigma^{+}, D^{2} \Sigma^{+}, C^{2} \Sigma^{+}, A^{2} \Sigma^{+}, X^{2} \pi\) since their equilibrium distances are nearly euqal. Moreover, application of the FranckCondon principle \({ }^{(40)}\) indicates that in emission the bands with \(v^{\prime}=0,1,2\) will be favored over other transitions ( \(v^{\prime} \geq 4,5,6\), etc.), even if the energy differences are small for \(D^{2} \Sigma^{+}, C^{2} \Sigma^{+}, E^{2} \Sigma^{+} \rightarrow A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\)transitions. There is great likelyhood of cascade into \(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\)due to very small change in internuclear distance \(r_{e}\).

\section*{Chemical Characteristics}

The nitric oxide molecule has a molecular weight of 30.01 and at atmospheric pressure melts at \(100^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\). It is an oderless toxic gas which can be lethal at concentrations exceeding a few ppm. Its vapor pressure at \(77^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\) is essentially 0.100 Torr, and thus a liquid nitrogen trap can be used as a trap and cryogenic pump.

Preliminary lifetime investigations using NO obtained from Mathes on Company were carried out in the inverted diode Holzberlein (41-44) excitation source (invertron). This device has a large hollow induction heated oxide coated cathode which acts as the source of electrons when the interior grid is pulsed positively. Crude spectra, taken using wide slits on a Jarrell-Ash \(\frac{1}{4}\) meter monochromator, indicated molecular spectra
in the \(2000 \AA\) to \(3000 \AA\) range. However, later work indicated this molecular structure was due to \(\mathrm{CO}^{+}\)and not NO. Moreover, after short run times the cathode caoting of the invertron (barium carbonate) was found to be poisoned. This was due to the reactions
\[
\left.\begin{array}{l}
2 \mathrm{NO} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{N}_{2} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{2}
\end{array}\right\}
\]

The first of these reactions is almost entirely complete at temperatures exceeding \(1000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\), since NO has a lower dissociation energy than \(\mathrm{O}_{2}\) and \(\mathrm{N}_{2}\). Thus attempts to study NO in a heated environment had to be abandoned due to thermal decomposition alone. Substitution of another oxide cathode coating compound such as lanthanum oxide, although would cure the problem of poisoned cathodes, would not alter the tendency for NO to decompose thermally or electronically.

These results led to the development of the cold cathode discharge tube described before.

Lifetime Measurements in NO
Using the cold cathode discharge tube with flowing NO gas, the lifetimes of the \(v^{\prime}=0,1\) and 2 vibrational levels of the \(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\)electronic state have been measured. The delayed coincidence method was used and data analysis was done by the computer program RICH. In all, 17 separate bands of the \(\gamma\) system were monitored. The lifetimes were not found to be pressure dependent below 0.2 Torr. In addition estimates of the cascade lifetime as well as the ratio of the population coefficients A/C were obtained.

Table 8 lists the data obtained for the \(v^{\prime}=0\left(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)\)state. In it are listed values of ( \(B\) and \(D\) ) from
\[
y=A e^{-t / B}+C e^{-t / D}+K
\]
and the ratio \(A / C\), as well as, the pressure, wavelength and vibrational band. The values of the short lifetimes as a function of pressure are plotted in Fig. (37).

Table 9 shows similar data for the state \(v^{\prime}=1\left(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)\)of NO, and the values of the short lifetimes were plotted as functions of pressure in Fig. (38). Table 10 shows similar data for the state \(v^{\prime}=2\left(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)\)of NO and in Fig. (39) the values of the short lifetimes versus pressure are plotted.

When these values of \(\tau\) line pressure graph, the lifetimes shown below result.
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\(v^{\prime}\) & \(\left\langle\tau_{V^{\prime}}(N S)\right\rangle\) & \(\sigma(N S)\) Levels \\
\hline 0 & 99.9 & 5.5 \\
1 & 104. & 6.6 \\
2 & 93.6 & 7.9 \\
\hline All & 98.4 & 8.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

If one assumes that the lifetimes of the states \(v^{\prime}=0,1,2\) are independent of the quantum numbers \(v^{\prime}\), then the lifetime determined by least squares analysis is \(98.4 \pm 8.0\) NSEC.

Table 8. \(\quad v^{\prime}=0\) State of \(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}(N O)\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ( \(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\) ) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(B(n s)\) & \(D(n s)\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & A/C \\
\hline 0,0 & 2269 & 86.8 & 726 & 112 & 1.86 \\
\hline 0,0 & 2262 & 57.4 & 689 & 121 & 0.32 \\
\hline 0,0 & 2263 & 96.5 & 463 & 110 & 2.12 \\
\hline 0,0 & 2263 & 68.7 & 707 & 50 & 3.19 \\
\hline 0,0 & 2269 & 91.0 & 707 & 65 & 2.06 \\
\hline 0,1 & 2370 & 103.3 & 504 & 165 & 0.52 \\
\hline 0,1 & 2363 & 159.7 & -377 & 51 & 254. \\
\hline 0,1 & 2364 & 1279. & (1 exp) & 63 & - -- \\
\hline 0,2 & 2478 & 105. & 350 & 97 & 2.13 \\
\hline 0,2 & 2478 & 99.6 & 367 & 105 & 0.72 \\
\hline 0,2 & 2478 & 101. & 687 & 112 & 0.99 \\
\hline 0,2 & 2471 & 92.7 & 341 & 48 & 1.19 \\
\hline 0,2 & 2471 & 112.4 & 798.4 & 59 & 3.73 \\
\hline 0,3 & 2595 & 101.9 & 415.7 & 112 & 1.68 \\
\hline 0,3 & 2595 & 103.9 & 532 & 95 & 1.56 \\
\hline 0,3 & 2587 & 159.9 & -7201. & 50 & 3.21 \\
\hline 0,3 & 2587 & 165.5 & -6196. & 60 & 2.56 \\
\hline 0,3 & 2587 & 100.0 & 608 & 101 & 1.37 \\
\hline 0,4 & 2722 & 101.4 & 495 & 88 & 2,04 \\
\hline 0,4 & 2713 & 103.7 & 598 & 108 & 2.27 \\
\hline 0,4 & 2722 & 97.9 & 444 & 150 & 1.07 \\
\hline 0,5 & 2849 & 105.7 & 371 & 87 & 1.70 \\
\hline 0,5 & 2859 & 85.0 & 510 & 135 & 0.73 \\
\hline 0,5 & 2849 & 107. & 675 & 58 & 2.16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 37. \(\tau\) versus Pressure for \(v^{\prime}=0\).

Table 9. \(v^{\prime}=1\) State of \(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}(N O)\)
\begin{tabular}{cccccc}
\hline \hline\(\left(v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{ns})\) & \(\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{ns})\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}\) \\
\hline 1,0 & 2149 & 70.7 & 432 & 145 & 1.94 \\
1,1 & 2239 & 97.9 & 633 & 145 & 1.04 \\
1,3 & 2447 & 57. & 853 & 137 & 0.27 \\
1,3 & 2449 & 109.7 & 317 & 60 & 1.10 \\
1,4 & 2559 & 93.8 & 467 & 137 & 1.29 \\
1,4 & 2550 & 103.7 & 352 & 50 & 1.63 \\
1,5 & 2680 & 110.2 & 275 & 80 & 0.87 \\
1,5 & 2680 & 107.6 & 430 & 87 & 1.08 \\
1,5 & 2671 & 144.7 & 1983 & 60 & 4.82 \\
1,6 & 2810 & 112.8 & 541.5 & 85 & 1.67 \\
1,6 & 2810 & 97.4 & 403.4 & 140 & 0.91 \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 38. \(\tau\) versus Pressure for \(v^{\prime}=\).

Table 10. \(v^{\prime}=2\) State of \(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}(N O)\)
\begin{tabular}{ccclcc}
\hline \hline\(\left.v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}\right)\) & \(\lambda(\AA)\) & \(\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{ns})\) & \(\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{ns})\) & \(\mathrm{P}(\mu \mathrm{Hg})\) & \(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{C}\) \\
\hline & & & & & \\
2,3 & 2316 & 84.8 & 406 & 94 & 2.63 \\
2,3 & 2309 & 79.3 & 312.7 & 110 & 1.81 \\
2,5 & 2524 & 89.4 & 413 & 100 & 1.41 \\
2,5 & 2516 & 91.6 & 445 & 91 & 1.74 \\
2,6 & 2630 & 106.4 & 362 & 91 & 2.15 \\
2,6 & 2639 & 97.3 & 471.5 & 110 & 1.54 \\
2,6 & 2639 & 87.3 & 365 & 45 & 1.86 \\
2,7 & 2764 & 101.0 & 305 & 80 & 1.56 \\
2,7 & 2764 & 92.1 & 309 & 125 & 1.86 \\
2,7 & 2764 & 102. & 325 & 47 & 1.55 \\
2,7 & 2764 & 95.9 & 209.4 & 60 & 1.33 \\
2,7 & 2755 & 118.9 & 689.7 & 108 & 4.57 \\
2,8 & 2898 & 107.7 & 374.8 & 88 & 1.95 \\
2,8 & 2898 & 85.2 & 425 & 125 & 1.74 \\
2,8 & 2898 & 92.6 & 423 & 130 & 0.99 \\
2,8 & 2898 & 90.7 & 565 & 70 & 2.32 \\
& & & & & \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}


Figure 39. \(\tau\) versus Pressure for \(v^{\prime}=2\).

Calculations for electronic transition moments
Let us recall that the lifetime of a state \(n^{\prime} v^{\prime}\) is given by
\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / \tau_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime}}=\sum_{\substack{\prime \prime \\
v^{\prime \prime}}} A_{n^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}} \\
& =\frac{64 \pi^{4}}{3 h c^{3}} \sum_{n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}} v^{3}\left(n^{\prime} v^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{p_{\Sigma}^{\prime} p^{\prime \prime} \Sigma_{\Sigma}^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\left|R_{n^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime} \Sigma^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime} n^{\prime \prime} \Sigma^{\prime \prime} p^{\prime \prime}\right|^{2}}{\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
\]

If we assume that there is no interaction between electronic and vibrational motions, then
\[
\Sigma\left|R_{e}^{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\right|^{2}=q_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}} \Sigma^{\prime R_{r}^{2}(r)}
\]
where \(\Sigma R_{e}^{2}(r)\) is the electronic transition moment. The \(r\) centroid \(\bar{r}_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}\) is defined by
\[
\bar{r}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{\left\langle v^{\prime}\right| r\left|v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}{\left\langle v^{\prime} \mid v^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}
\]
we may set \(r=\bar{r}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\) in \(R_{e}^{2}(r)\) since \(\bar{r}_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\) is a slowly varying function of \(r\) in all approximations. If we then know the Franck-Condon factors \(q_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}\), the lifetimes \({ }^{T} n^{\prime} v^{\prime}\), and the frequencies \(v^{3}\left(n^{\prime} v^{\prime}, n^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right)\), one can then determine the electronic transition moments.

A Fortran IV program has been written to calculate these \(R_{e}(\underset{r}{ })\) and is named NOFREQ. It is assumed that \(R_{e}^{2}\left(r_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}\right) \neq F\left(v^{\prime \prime}\right)\) and that the \(q_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}\) are given by the Morse oscillator wavefunction result of Ory \({ }^{(45)}\) et al. The calculation uses the values of \(1 / \tau^{\tau} v v^{\prime \prime}\) the band head wavelengths, as determined by Herzberg to be
\[
\begin{aligned}
1 / v_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}= & v_{00}+\left[\omega_{e}^{\prime}-\omega_{e} \chi^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}+1\right)\right] v^{\prime} \\
& +\left[\omega_{e}^{\prime \prime}-\omega_{e} X_{e}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\prime \prime}+1\right)\right] v^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
\]

The constants used in the calculation are
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \nu_{00}^{\prime}=44078.3 \text { and } 44199.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{e}}^{\prime}=2371.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
& \omega_{e} X_{e}^{\prime}=4.48 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
& \stackrel{\cup-}{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
120.9 & \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
0 & \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \omega_{e}^{\prime \prime}=1903.68,1904.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
& \omega_{e}^{\prime \prime} X_{e}^{\prime \prime}=13.97 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \\
& \text { upper level } \\
& A^{2} \Sigma^{+} \\
& \text {lower level } \\
& { }^{2 \pi} 3 / 2,1 / 2
\end{aligned}
\]

The program first inputs the Franck-Condon factors, then using the spectroscopic constants calculates the frequencies of the band heads \(v^{3}\left(n^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}, n^{\prime \prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right)\).

It can be shown that
\[
\Sigma R_{e}^{2}(r)=\left(\frac{2 h}{64 \pi^{4}}\right) 1 / \tau v \frac{2}{\sum_{v^{\prime \prime}} \frac{i^{3}\left(v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}\right)}{c^{3}} q_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}}
\]

The calculation is straight forward and yields the results below.
\begin{tabular}{lrc}
\(\frac{v^{\prime}}{}\) & \(\frac{r^{\prime}(N S)}{}\) & \\
0 & 99.8 & 0.244 \\
1 & 104.1 & 0.231 \\
2 & 93.5 & 0.236
\end{tabular}

In addition the electronic oscillator strength, which is wavelength dependent \((1 / \lambda)\) as defined by Aert Schadee \({ }^{(46)}\), is calculated
\[
f_{e \ell}(\lambda)=\frac{8 \pi^{2} m_{e} c}{3 h e^{2} \dot{\lambda}} \frac{\sum R_{e}^{2}(r)}{\left(2-\delta_{0, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime \prime+1}\right)} .
\]

Values of \(f_{e \ell}(\lambda)\) are not included since the calculations here have assumed that \(R_{e}^{2}(r)\) was \(\lambda\) independent.

Using the value of the lifetime of the \(v^{\prime}=0\) state of NO \(A^{2} \Sigma^{+}\) as measured by M. Jeunehomme \({ }^{(47)}\) to be 196.5 nanoseconds and the FranckCondon facters of Ory \({ }^{(45)}\), the program NOFREQ calculates the transition moment for \(v^{\prime}=0\) state as 1.74 AU . This value is about eight times the value found in the current experiment. This difference can be traced to the method utilized by Jeunehomme \({ }^{(48)}\). He measured the fluorescene decay time following excitation of NO by an intense pulsed light source of "very short duration". The excitation light was filtered by a wideband ( \(2200 \AA\) to \(3500 \AA\) ) Kusha filter. Decay times were determined by time averaging the signal from a gated photomultiplier.

The probable difference between Jeunehomme's and this author's results are due to the fact the former had very large band pass, thus could not distinguish various band heads. Also since a flow system was not utilized, photo decomposition of NO could have taken place. In addition no checks were made on the afterglow (relaxation time) of the exciting radiation pulse.

NJPES 14:1? FRI. FEB 20. 1970
SNDM
r. G.E.COPELANB

DIMENSION FO(4,22), VCO(4,2?),: (4,22),S(4),0(4)
DIMENSION COEF(4)
DIMENSION F (4.22),TL(4.2?)
1) IMENSION TMAU(4)
i) IMENSION SSD(4),AE(4)

PRINT,"ENTER FRANCK-CGNDON FACTGPS"
INPIT, \(((\cap)(J, I), I=1,21), J=1,3)\)
999 CONT INJE
PPINT,'DO YOU WISH TO SEE INTEQMEDIATE RESULTS?(1=YES:O=NO)" I:VPIT, INC!
IF(INCD.EQ.1) GO TD 77
\(1000 \mathrm{~J}=1\)
1 DO \(3 \mathrm{I}=1,21\)
\(\operatorname{Fr}(J, I)=(44199 \cdot 2+(2371 \cdot 3-14 \cdot 45 *(J)) *(J-1)-(1904 \cdot 1) 3-13 \cdot 97\)
\(1 *(I)) *(I-1)) * * 3\)
3 continue
IF (J-3)4,5:5
\(4 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{J}+1\)
GUTO 1
5 IF (INCD•EO.1) GOTO 78
\(543 \mathrm{~A}=0.0\)
\(A=A+(3 * 2 \cdot 6252 E-27) /(64 \cdot) *(3 \cdot 1415926) * * 4)\)
\(\mathrm{D} 56 \mathrm{~J}=1,3\)
D. \(15 \mathrm{I}=1,21\)
\(15 \operatorname{VCQ}(J, I)=F R(J, I) * Q(J, I)\)
SIM \(=0 \cdot 0\)
De \(16 \quad \mathrm{I}=1,21\)
16 SUM=5!JM+VCB(J,I)
PRINT," SUM"
PPINT,J-1,SUM
\(s(J)=S(1) M\)
6 CONTINUE
D0 \(7 \mathrm{~J}=1,3\)
\(D(J)=2 / S(J)\)
\(\operatorname{COEF}(J)=A * D(J)\)
\(T . J=0 \cdot 0\)
PRINT,"ENTER LIFETIME GF V' STATE(IN SEC.)"
INPIT,TS
\(A B(J)=C D E F(J) / T J\)
PRINT," \(V\) MOMENT**?"
PRINT,J-1,AE(J)
\(\operatorname{SSD}(J)=\operatorname{SQRT}(A E(J))\)
TMAIJ (J) \(=5\) SD ( J\() / 2 \cdot 52 \mathrm{E}-18\)
PRINT," TRANS. MDMENT(A.U.) V"'
7 PRINT,TMAU(J),J-1
\(B=0 \cdot 0\)
\(B=B+(8 *((3.1415926) * * 2) *(9 \cdot 1085 E-28) *(2 \cdot 997829 E+10)) /\)


```

        J=1
    & i)| 9 I=1,21
        TL(J,I)=(44199.2+(2371.3-14.48*(J))*(.J-1)-(1904-0.3-13.97
        1*(I))*:(I-1))
    9 CONTINEE
        IF(J-3) 10,11,11
    10 J=J+1
    Q3 TO8
    11 CBIVTINIS
    J=1
    20 D:1 21 I=1,21
        F(J,I)=(F:%TL.(J,I)*AE (J)*O(J,I) )/4.O
    Z1 CGNTINUE
        IF(J-3) 30,31,31
    30 J=J+1
        OO T: 20
    31 品NTIN!E
    ```

```

        INIPUT, INCT
        IF(I:VCT-EO-O) CALL EXIT
        I=1
        PPINTg'V U'V'' MAVELENGTH(A) FEL"
    3? !) 33 I=1,?1
        F(J,J. )=F(J,I)/O(J,I)
        TL(J,J)=1.O/TL.(J,I)
        TL(J,I)=TL(J,I):& 1 O OE+OR
    33 PRINT,J-1,I-1,TL(J,I),F゙(J,I)
    IF(J-3) 34,35,.35
    34 J=J+1
    GO T:3 32
    35 CALL. EXIT
    (j) \Gamma\ 1234
    7 PrINT," V' V'" (F/C)**3. 囚(V',V'')"
    60 TO 1000
    7& J=1
    81 00 79 I=1,?1
    79 PRINT,J-1,I-1,FR(J,I),Q(J,I)
        IF(J-3) 80,543,543
    80 J=J+1
    GO TO ह1
    1234 CALL EXIT
ENi

```

RIJN
```

ENTER FRANCK-CONDON FACIORS
?.16558-0,.26393-0,.23793-0,.16062-0,.90801-1,.45607-1,.21097-1,
'? . 92046-2,.38510-2,.15617-2,.62187-3,.24395-3,.94939-4,. 36830-4,
0x? -14305-4,.55786-5,.21 862-5,.86500-6, -34598-6, 1 13998-6,.56254-7,
'x? . 32950-0,.10504-0,-79568-3,.72245-1, 1 13508-0,.13405-0,.98671-1 ,
!?.60824-1,.33368-1,.16886-1,.80682-2,.36991-2,.16464-2,.71762-3,
0x? . 30 852-3, -1 1157-3,.55948-4,.23781-4, .10102-4,.42345-5,.1 7079-5,
ax? . 29093-0,.14680-1,.15473-0,.75294-1,.54896-3, . 34387-1,.88594-1,
x? -10576-0, - 90032-1,.62969-1;.38737-1, .21801-1, 111512-1,.58038-2,
'? .28294-2,.13462-2,.62899-3,.28933-3,.1 3094-3,.58273-4,.25795-4
*
DØ YOUJ WISH TO SEE INTERMEDIATE RESULTS?(1=YES;O=N0)
? 0

```
\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(v^{\prime}\) & SUM \\
0 & \(6 \cdot 711155661 E+13\) \\
\(v^{\prime}\) & SUM \\
1 & \(7 \cdot 160593449 E+13\) \\
\(v^{\prime}\) & SUM \\
2 & \(7 \cdot 612239458 E+13\)
\end{tabular}

ENTER LIFETIME ØF V'STATE(IN SEC.) ? 100-9
\[
\begin{array}{cc}
V^{\prime} & \text { MOMENT**2 } \\
0 & 3.764756560 E-37
\end{array}
\]

TRANS. MOMENT (A•U•) V'
2.434825876E-01 0

ENTER LIFETIME OF V' STATE(IN SEC.)
? 100-9
```

            V' MOMENT**2
            1 3.528459963E-37
    TRANS• MDMENT(A.U.) V'
    2.357176248E-01 1
ENTER LIFETIME OF V* STATE(IN SEC.)
? 100-9

```
    V' MOMENT**2
            2 3-319110945E-37
    TRANS• MDMENT (A.U.) V
2.286179594E-01 2
D0 YOUJ WISH T0 SEE ELEC•OSCILL•STRENGTHS? (YES=1;N0=0)
? 0

RAN: \(04 \cdot 2\) SECS
READY

There have been several determinations of the electronic oscillator strengths \(f_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\) of the NOY system. If \(f_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\) and Franck-Condon factors are known, the transition moment \(R_{e}\) can be determined. Using the \(f_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}\) results of Antropov, Dronov and Sobolev \({ }^{(49)}\) and of H. A. Ory together with Ory's \({ }^{(51)}\) Franck-Condon results, one can find \(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}\) from
\[
\left.f_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{8 \pi^{2} m_{e} c}{3 h e^{2} \lambda_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}} \frac{\sum R_{e}^{2}\left(\bar{r}_{v^{\prime}} v^{\prime \prime}\right)}{(2-\delta} 0, \Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(2 S^{\prime \prime}+1\right) \quad q_{v^{\prime} v^{\prime \prime}}
\]

A computer program named FREAK has been written in Fortran IV which solves this equation for \(R_{e}\). Table li lists the results.

Table 11. Transition Moments of NOY
\begin{tabular}{lcl}
\hline \hline & \(v^{\prime}\) & \(R_{e}\) (A.U.) \\
This work & 0, & 0.244 \\
& 1 & 0.231 \\
& 2 & 0.236 \\
Jeunehomme & 0 & 1.68 \\
Ory & 0 & 0.267 \\
& 1 & 0.260 \\
& 2 & 0.249 \\
Antropov et al. & 0,3 & 0.207 \\
& 0,4 & 0.184 \\
& 0,1 & 0.161 \\
& 0,0 & 0.134 \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}
```

FREAK SAT. FES 21,1970
\#01
143
BNDM
C G-E. COPELAND
DIMENSION F(3),O(3),V(3),R(3)
PRINT,"FNTER OSCILLATOR STRENGTHS"
INPIIT,F
PRINT,"ENTER FRANCK-CDNDDN FACTDRS"
INPIIT,O
PRINT,"ENTER FREO•(CM-1)"
INPIJT,V
PRINT,"ENTER \# DF STRENGTHS"
INPlIT,N
PRINT,"ENTER DEGENERACY"'
INPUT,G
\Delta=3.*(6.62377E-27)*((4.8022F-10)**巳)
R=F\cdot*(9.10721E-28)*(3.141596**2)*(2.997902E+10)
C=A/B
DRINT," V' RE(A.U.)'"
DO 1 I=1,N
F(I)=SQPT((C*F(I)*G)/(O(I)*V(I)))
P(I)=R(I)/(4.8022E-10*5.29167E-9)
1 PZINT,I-1,只(I)
CALLL EXIT
EN!

```

? (B) Y
?N

FPFAK 16:39 ACC SATE FES :3,1970

ENTE? OSCILLATOR STPENGTUS
? 3.99-4, 7-88-4,6•7.3-4
ENTER FPANCK-CONDON FACTBPG
? -16558, -32950,.29093
ENTE? FREO• (CM-1)
? 44199.2,46561.54,48914.92
ENTE? * UF STRENGTHS
? 3
ENTER DEGENERACY
? 4

○ ? - 679:347703E-01
\(12.600611647 \mathrm{E}-01\)
2 \(\quad 2.495435659 \mathrm{E}-01\)

RAN: O1.A SECS
R:ADY
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