

University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Virology Papers

Virology, Nebraska Center for

10-30-2018

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus seropositivity is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A case–control study in Xinjiang, China

Meng Cui Shihezi University

Qiwen Fang Fudan University

Jun Zheng Shihezi University

Zhanjun Shu

National Traditional Chinese Medicine Clinical Research Bases in Xinjiang & The Sixth People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region

Yin Chen

The Sixth People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/virologypub

Commons, Medical Immunology Commons, Medical Pathology Commons, Medical Pat

Cui, Meng; Fang, Qiwen; Zheng, Jun; Shu, Zhanjun; Chen, Yin; Fan, Yage; Zhao, Juan; Wood, Charles; Zhang, Tiejun; and Zeng, Yan, "Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus seropositivity is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A case–control study in Xinjiang, China" (2018). *Virology Papers*. 382.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/virologypub/382

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Virology, Nebraska Center for at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Virology Papers by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Meng Cui, Qiwen Fang, Jun Zheng, Zhanjun Shu, Yin Chen, Yage Fan, Juan Zhao, Charles Wood, Tiejun Zhang, and Yan Zeng

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Infectious Diseases



INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR INFECTIOUS DISEASES

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus seropositivity is associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A case–control study in Xinjiang, China



Meng Cui^{a,1}, Qiwen Fang^{b,1}, Jun Zheng^{c,1}, Zhanjun Shu^{d,e}, Yin Chen^e, Yage Fan^a, Juan Zhao^a, Charles Wood^f, Tiejun Zhang^{b,*}, Yan Zeng^{a,**}

^a Key Laboratory of Xinjiang Endemic and Ethnic Disease and Department of Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832000, China

^b Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

^c Department of Stomatology, The First Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832000, China

^d Division of AIDS Research, National Traditional Chinese Medicine Clinical Research Bases in Xinjiang, Urumqi 830000, China

^e The Sixth People's Hospital of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, Urumqi 830013, China

^fNebraska Center of Virology and the School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 October 2018 Received in revised form 24 December 2018 Accepted 4 January 2019 Corresponding Editor: Eskild Petersen, Aarhus, Denmark

Keywords: Antibodies Diabetes mellitus, type 2 Herpesvirus 8, human Seroepidemiological studies Viral load

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the potential relationship between Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) infection and type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM-2) in Xinjiang, China.

Methods: A case–control study of consecutively included DM-2 patients and normal controls was conducted among the Uygur and Han populations in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China. Blood samples were collected and KSHV seroprevalence, antibody titers, and viral load were investigated. Logistic regression analysis and multiple linear regression analysis were applied to explore determinants of the main outcome measures.

Results: A total of 324 patients with DM-2 and 376 normal controls were included. The seroprevalence of KSHV was 49.1% (95% confidence interval (CI) 43.6–54.5%) for diabetic patients and 23.7% (95% CI 19.4–28.0%) for the control group. After adjusting for variables of ethnicity, sex, body mass index, occupation, educational level, marital status, age, and smoking and alcohol consumption habits, the association between DM-2 and KSHV infection still existed (odds ratio (OR) 2.94, 95% CI 2.05–4.22), and the risk of KSHV infection increased with glucose concentration (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.21–1.51). KSHV was more likely to express both the latent and lytic antigens in diabetic patients (latent: OR 3.27, 95% CI 2.25–4.75; lytic: OR 3.99, 95% CI 2.68–5.93). Antibody titers and viral load increased in patients with higher blood glucose levels (p < 0.001).

Conclusions: Patients with DM-2 have an elevated risk of KSHV infection. Both antibody titers and viral load increased with blood glucose levels.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), also known as human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8), has been shown to be the etiological agent of all clinical forms of Kaposi's sarcoma (KS), primary effusion lymphoma (PEL), and the plasmablastic variant of multicentric Castleman disease (MCD) (Cesarman et al., 1995; Soulier et al., 1995; Whitby et al., 1995). The virus has been studied worldwide since its discovery by Chang et al. in 1994 (Chang et al., 1994). According to previous epidemiological studies, the prevalence of KSHV in the general population varies geographically; it is high in Sub-Saharan Africa, moderate in the Mediterranean region, and low in northern Europe, Asia, and USA (Dukers and Rezza, 2003; Zhang and Wang, 2017). In China, the prevalence of KSHV also varies across different regions. Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region has been regarded as an epidemic area where KSHV prevalence is higher than in other regions of China (Zhang et al., 2012). However, the risk factors associated

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2019.01.003

^{*} Corresponding author at: Room 513, Fuxing Building, 131 Dong'an Road, Shanghai 200032, China.

^{**} Corresponding author at: 32 District, BeiEr Road, Shihezi, Xinjiang 832000, China.

E-mail addresses: tjzhang@shmu.edu.cn (T. Zhang), zengyan910@gmail.com (Y. Zeng).

¹ Meng Cui, Qiwen Fang, and Jun Zheng contributed equally to this work.

^{1201-9712/© 2019} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

with viral infection that may explain the regional disparity have not yet been fully elucidated.

Previous studies have shown higher KSHV seroprevalence and increased KS risk in HIV-infected, transplant, and other immunocompromised patients (Anderson et al., 2008; Goedert et al., 2002; Uldrick and Whitby, 2011), indicating the significant influence of immunosuppression on the primary viral infection and subsequent disease development. Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a non-communicable disease diagnosed when there is a high glucose level in the blood. Due to multiple factors, such as suppression of immune defense, microangiopathy, and the hyperglycemic environment, patients with DM are more vulnerable to infection and tend to experience increased mortality from infectious diseases (Korbel et al., 2018; Zoppini et al., 2018). It has been suggested that hepatitis B/C virus and tuberculosis infections are more prevalent in diabetic patients (Ba-Essa et al., 2016; Ronacher et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2016). Furthermore, increasing reports indicate that there is an association between type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM-2) and KSHV infection or the development of KS (Caselli et al., 2014; Ingianni et al., 2007; Sobngwi et al., 2008), while the evidence is still limited. High glucose-mediated signaling pathways are postulated to increase susceptibility to KSHV infection through involvement in the induction of KSHV lytic reactivation, although the detailed molecular mechanisms have not yet been fully described (Chang et al., 2017).

According to the International Diabetes Federation, nearly half a billion people are currently living with diabetes. Low- and middleincome countries assume almost 80% of the diabetes burden (International Diabetes Federation, 2017), DM-2, characterized by insulin resistance and hyperglycemia, is the most prevalent metabolic disorder in China (Zhu et al., 2016). There is an urgent need to improve the prognosis of diabetic patients and reduce the global burden of this chronic disease. To date, the association between diabetes and infection with KSHV has not been well documented. In this study, it was hypothesized that DM-2 might be associated with KSHV infection and this hypothesis was tested in Xinjiang Province, China where the prevalence of KSHV is high. The current study appears to be the first to explore the relationship between DM-2 and KSHV infection in this population, and the findings will contribute to enhanced awareness of KSHV infection among diabetic patients.

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

The study was conducted from January 2014 to July 2015 in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China. All consecutive patients of Han or Uygur ethnicity diagnosed with DM-2 according to the 1999 World Health Organization diagnostic criteria (World Health Organization, 2006) were included. Patients without diabetes in the same region were recruited as controls. Exclusion criteria were (1) not Han or Uygur, and (2) diagnosed with type 1 diabetes. Demographic and clinical data were obtained from the medical records. All study participants provided written informed consent.

Blood collection

Blood samples were collected from participants using disposable sterile needles and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes by professional nurses. Sera were separated and stored at -80 °C for serological testing. All specimens were anonymized and coded with unique identification numbers.

Serological testing

KSHV seropositivity was determined by the presence of anti-KSHV antibodies detected by immunofluorescence assay (IFA) in the serum samples of the study participants. All samples were confirmed using two IFAs, as described previously (Zheng et al., 2017). First, a monoclonal-enhanced IFA (mIFA) (Minhas et al., 2008) was applied to test the 1:40 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) diluted serum samples. In brief, sera were incubated with BC3 cells fixed on glass microscopy slides. The specimens of KS patients and healthy individuals were used as positive and negative controls. Mouse monoclonal anti-human IgG (CRL-1786; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) was used as secondary antibody and DyLight488-conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) was employed as the fluorescent marker. The slides were examined by epifluorescence microscopy (Nikon, Japan). A negative fluorescence reaction is indicated by the appearance of only red cells, while bright apple-green fluorescence indicates the presence of anti-KSHV antibodies in the sample. Second, Spodoptera frugiperda clone 9 cells infected with baculovirus expressing ORF65, ORF-K8.1 (lytic antigens) and ORF73 (latent nucleic antigen, LANA) were coated on the slides and used for further testing (Fu et al., 2009). A sample was considered seropositive only if it was positive with both assays. The levels of antibodies were analyzed by performing dilutions of the serum samples and were reported as titers.

Determination of viral load

A TaqMan Real-Time PCR assay was conducted to quantitate the number of KSHV genomic copies in DNA samples of IFA-positive participants after nested PCR amplification of ORF26 and gB. Human β -globin and KSHV ORF26 were amplified using primers and probes described previously (Olp et al., 2013). Each 25 μ l reaction mixture contained the following: 5 μ l sample and 20 μ l PCR mixture consisting of 12.5 μ l Universal PCR Master Mix (PE Applied Biosystems) and primers and probe at concentration of 200 nM, respectively. The Master Mix contained uracil-*N*-glycosylase, which eliminated previously amplified PCR products to protect against carryover contamination. Amplification conditions were 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. All samples were run in triplicate and the mean KSHV copy number per cellular equivalent was calculated.

Statistical analysis

Both laboratory test results and medical records were doubleentered and managed in EpiData 3.1. All data were subsequently transferred to SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) for further statistical analysis. Continuous variables were expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation or median and interguartile range (IQR) according to the statistical distribution. Categorical variables were described as numbers or proportions. A non-parametric test (Mann-Whitney U-test) was used to assess the difference in geometric mean titers (GMT) of KSHV antibodies and medians of viral load between different groups. Logistic regression analysis was applied to examine the risk factors for KSHV seropositivity. Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to determine whether a variable was associated with the KSHV antibody titer or viral DNA load. The correlation with antibody titers and viral DNA load was further assessed by Spearman's rank correlation coefficient and simple linear regression. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated for all of the coefficients of regression. A two-sided p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Participant characteristics

A total of 324 patients with DM-2 and 376 normal controls were included in this study. Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the participants, including ethnicity, sex, body mass index (BMI), occupation, educational level, marital status, smoking and alcohol consumption habits, age, and blood glucose concentration, are described in Table 1. Specifically, the diabetes group had a slightly higher percentage of Uygur (51.2%, 166/324) and male (59.6%, 193/324) participants than the control group. The median age was 53 (IQR 41–64) years in the diabetes group and 49 (IQR 37–62) years in the control group. Among the patients with diabetes, the median blood glucose concentration was 7.2 (IQR 6.5–8.0) mmol/l; the value was 5.3 (4.4–5.8) mmol/l among normal controls.

The distribution of KSHV antibody titers and viral load in the participants

According to the IFA test results, the seroprevalence of KSHV was 49.1% (95% CI 43.6-54.5%) in the DM-2 group and 23.7% (95% CI 19.4-28.0%) in the control group. The GMT of antibodies against KSHV was 246.4 (95% CI 208.2-291.5) for diabetic patients and 105.9 (95% CI 85.3-131.5) for controls. A significant difference in the GMT of KSHV antibody titers was detected between the two groups (Mann–Whitney *U*-test=4008, p < 0.001) (Figure 1a). The average viral load was 0.540 (IQR 0.129–0.832) copies/10³ cells in the DM-2 group and 0.063 (IQR 0.043–0.085) copies/10³ cells in the

Table 1

DM-2 Control Total Total number (%) 324 (46.3) 376 (53.7) 700 (100.0) Ethnicity, n (%) 373 (53.3) Uygur 166 (51.2) 161 (42.8) 327 (46.7) Sex, n (%) 161 (42.8) 327 (46.7) Male 193 (59.6) 171 (45.5) 364 (52.0) Female 131 (40.4) 205 (54.5) 336 (48.0) BMI (kg/m ²), n (%) 18 (36.4) 400 (10.6) 158 (22.6) Occupation, n (%) 118 (36.4) 40 (10.6) 158 (22.6) Occupation, n (%) 20 (2.9) 52 (7.4) 18 (26.1) 158 (22.6) Occupation, n (%) 20 (2.9) 52 (7.1) 184 (26.3) None 20 (2.9) 87 (23.1) 184 (26.3) 130 (18.6) Farmer 77 (23.8) 59 (15.7) 136 (19.4) Retired 97 (29.9) 87 (23.1) 184 (26.3) None 30 (9.3) 42 (11.2) 72 (10.						
Ethnicity, n (%)Han158 (48.8)215 (57.2)373 (53.3)Uygur166 (51.2)161 (42.8)327 (46.7)Sex, n (%)Male193 (59.6)171 (45.5)364 (52.0)Female131 (40.4)205 (54.5)336 (48.0)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<1821 (6.5)31 (8.2)52 (7.4)18-24185 (57.1)305 (81.1)490 (70.0)>24118 (36.4)40 (10.6)158 (22.6)Occupation, n (%)Student12 (3.7)8 (2.1)20 (2.9)Cafre60 (18.5)98 (26.1)158 (22.6)Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)22 (6.8)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumpti		DM-2	Control	Total		
Han158 (48.8)215 (57.2)373 (53.3)Uygur166 (51.2)161 (42.8)327 (46.7)Sex, n (%)Male193 (59.6)171 (45.5)364 (52.0)Female131 (40.4)205 (54.5)336 (48.0)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<18	Total number (%)	324 (46.3)	376 (53.7)	700 (100.0)		
Uygur166 (51.2)161 (42.8) 327 (46.7)Sex, n (%)Male193 (59.6)171 (45.5) 364 (52.0)Female131 (40.4)205 (54.5) 336 (48.0)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<18	Ethnicity, n (%)					
Sex, n (%)InstructionMale193 (59.6)171 (45.5)364 (52.0)Female131 (40.4)205 (54.5)336 (48.0)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<18	Han	158 (48.8)	215 (57.2)	373 (53.3)		
Male193 (59.6)171 (45.5)364 (52.0)Female131 (40.4)205 (54.5)336 (48.0)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<18	Uygur	166 (51.2)	161 (42.8)	327 (46.7)		
Female131 (40.4)205 (54.5)336 (48.0)BMI (kg/m²), n (%)<18	Sex, n (%)					
BMI (kg/m²), n (%)(16.5)31 (8.2)52 (7.4)18-24185 (57.1)305 (81.1)490 (70.0)>24118 (36.4)40 (10.6)158 (22.6)Occupation, n (%)student12 (3.7)8 (2.1)20 (2.9)Cafre60 (18.5)98 (26.1)158 (22.6)Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Male	193 (59.6)	171 (45.5)	364 (52.0)		
<1821 (6.5)31 (8.2)52 (7.4)18-24185 (57.1)305 (81.1)490 (70.0)>24118 (36.4)40 (10.6)158 (22.6)Occupation, n (%)Student12 (3.7)8 (2.1)20 (2.9)Cadre60 (18.5)98 (26.1)158 (22.6)Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Female	131 (40.4)	205 (54.5)	336 (48.0)		
18-24185 (57.1)305 (81.1)490 (70.0)>24118 (36.4)40 (10.6)158 (22.6)Occupation, n (%)Student12 (3.7)8 (2.1)20 (2.9)Cadre60 (18.5)98 (26.1)158 (22.6)Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	BMI (kg/m ²), n (%)					
>24118 (36.4)40 (10.6)158 (22.6)Occupation, n (%)Student12 (3.7)8 (2.1)20 (2.9)Cadre60 (18.5)98 (26.1)158 (22.6)Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	<18	21 (6.5)	31 (8.2)	52 (7.4)		
Occupation, n (%)Student12 (3.7)8 (2.1)20 (2.9)Cadre60 (18.5)98 (26.1)158 (22.6)Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	18-24	185 (57.1)	305 (81.1)	490 (70.0)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	>24	118 (36.4)	40 (10.6)	158 (22.6)		
$\begin{array}{cccc} Cadre & 60 (18.5) & 98 (26.1) & 158 (22.6) \\ Worker & 48 (14.8) & 82 (21.8) & 130 (18.6) \\ Farmer & 77 (23.8) & 59 (15.7) & 136 (19.4) \\ Retired & 97 (29.9) & 87 (23.1) & 184 (26.3) \\ None & 30 (9.3) & 42 (11.2) & 72 (10.3) \\ Educational level, n (%) \\ Elementary school or lower & 56 (17.3) & 37 (9.8) & 93 (13.3) \\ Middle school & 79 (24.4) & 72 (19.2) & 151 (21.6) \\ High school & 104 (32.1) & 127 (33.8) & 231 (33.0) \\ College or higher & 85 (26.2) & 140 (37.2) & 225 (32.1) \\ Marital status, n (%) \\ Single & 22 (6.8) & 24 (6.4) & 46 (6.6) \\ Married & 265 (81.8) & 285 (75.8) & 550 (78.6) \\ Widowed/divorced & 37 (11.4) & 67 (17.8) & 104 (14.9) \\ Ever smoker, n (%) \\ Yes & 174 (53.7) & 133 (35.4) & 307 (43.9) \\ No & 150 (46.3) & 243 (64.6) & 393 (56.1) \\ Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes & 168 (51.9) & 154 (41.0) & 322 (46.0) \\ No & 156 (48.2) & 222 (59.0) & 378 (54.0) \\ Age (years), median (IQR) & 53 (41-64) & 49 (37-62) & 51 (39-63) \end{array}$	Occupation, n (%)					
Worker48 (14.8)82 (21.8)130 (18.6)Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)"Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)"""Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)""Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Student	12 (3.7)	8 (2.1)	20 (2.9)		
Farmer77 (23.8)59 (15.7)136 (19.4)Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Cadre	60 (18.5)	98 (26.1)	158 (22.6)		
Retired97 (29.9)87 (23.1)184 (26.3)None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)524 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)7133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)7154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Worker	48 (14.8)	82 (21.8)	130 (18.6)		
None30 (9.3)42 (11.2)72 (10.3)Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Farmer	77 (23.8)	59 (15.7)	136 (19.4)		
Educational level, n (%)Elementary school or lower56 (17.3)37 (9.8)93 (13.3)Middle school79 (24.4)72 (19.2)151 (21.6)High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Retired	97 (29.9)	87 (23.1)	184 (26.3)		
Elementary school or lower 56 (17.3) 37 (9.8) 93 (13.3)Middle school 79 (24.4) 72 (19.2) 151 (21.6)High school 104 (32.1) 127 (33.8) 231 (33.0)College or higher 85 (26.2) 140 (37.2) 225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%) 85 (26.2) 140 (37.2) 225 (32.1)Single 22 (6.8) 24 (6.4) 46 (6.6)Married 265 (81.8) 285 (75.8) 550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced 37 (11.4) 67 (17.8) 104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%) Yes 174 (53.7) 133 (35.4) 307 (43.9)No 150 (46.3) 243 (64.6) 393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%) Yes 168 (51.9) 154 (41.0) 322 (46.0)No 156 (48.2) 222 (59.0) 378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR) 53 (41-64) 49 (37-62) 51 (39-63)	None	30 (9.3)	42 (11.2)	72 (10.3)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Educational level, n (%)					
High school104 (32.1)127 (33.8)231 (33.0)College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)Single22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Elementary school or lower	56 (17.3)	37 (9.8)	93 (13.3)		
College or higher85 (26.2)140 (37.2)225 (32.1)Marital status, n (%)	Middle school	79 (24.4)	72 (19.2)	151 (21.6)		
Marital status, n (%)22 (6.8)24 (6.4)46 (6.6)Married265 (81.8)285 (75.8)550 (78.6)Widowed/divorced37 (11.4)67 (17.8)104 (14.9)Ever smoker, n (%)74 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)7754 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	High school	104 (32.1)	127 (33.8)	231 (33.0)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	College or higher	85 (26.2)	140 (37.2)	225 (32.1)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} \text{Married} & 265 (81.8) & 285 (75.8) & 550 (78.6) \\ \text{Widowed/divorced} & 37 (11.4) & 67 (17.8) & 104 (14.9) \\ \text{Ever smoker, } n (\%) & & & \\ \text{Yes} & 174 (53.7) & 133 (35.4) & 307 (43.9) \\ \text{No} & 150 (46.3) & 243 (64.6) & 393 (56.1) \\ \text{Regular alcohol consumption, } n (\%) & & & \\ \text{Yes} & 168 (51.9) & 154 (41.0) & 322 (46.0) \\ \text{No} & 156 (48.2) & 222 (59.0) & 378 (54.0) \\ \text{Age (years), median (IQR)} & 53 (41-64) & 49 (37-62) & 51 (39-63) \\ \end{array}$	Marital status, n (%)					
Widowed/divorced $37 (11.4)$ $67 (17.8)$ $104 (14.9)$ Ever smoker, $n (\%)$ 74 (53.7) $133 (35.4)$ $307 (43.9)$ No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, $n (\%)$ 748 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Single	22 (6.8)	24 (6.4)	46 (6.6)		
Ever smoker, n (%)Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4)307 (43.9)No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Married	265 (81.8)	285 (75.8)	550 (78.6)		
Yes174 (53.7)133 (35.4) $307 (43.9)$ No150 (46.3)243 (64.6)393 (56.1)Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)Yes168 (51.9)154 (41.0)322 (46.0)No156 (48.2)222 (59.0)378 (54.0)Age (years), median (IQR)53 (41-64)49 (37-62)51 (39-63)	Widowed/divorced	37 (11.4)	67 (17.8)	104 (14.9)		
No 150 (46.3) 243 (64.6) 393 (56.1) Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)	Ever smoker, n (%)					
Regular alcohol consumption, n (%) 154 (41.0) 322 (46.0) Yes 168 (51.9) 154 (41.0) 322 (46.0) No 156 (48.2) 222 (59.0) 378 (54.0) Age (years), median (IQR) 53 (41–64) 49 (37–62) 51 (39–63)	Yes	174 (53.7)	133 (35.4)	307 (43.9)		
Yes 168 (51.9) 154 (41.0) 322 (46.0) No 156 (48.2) 222 (59.0) 378 (54.0) Age (years), median (IQR) 53 (41-64) 49 (37-62) 51 (39-63)	No	150 (46.3)	243 (64.6)	393 (56.1)		
No 156 (48.2) 222 (59.0) 378 (54.0) Age (years), median (IQR) 53 (41–64) 49 (37–62) 51 (39–63)	Regular alcohol consumption, n (%)				
Age (years), median (IQR) 53 (41–64) 49 (37–62) 51 (39–63)	Yes	168 (51.9)	154 (41.0)	322 (46.0)		
	No	156 (48.2)	222 (59.0)	378 (54.0)		
Glucose (mmol/l), median (IQR) 7.2 (6.5-8.0) 5.3 (4.4-5.8) 6.0 (5.1-7.1)	Age (years), median (IQR)	53 (41-64)				
	Glucose (mmol/l), median (IQR)	7.2 (6.5-8.0)	5.3 (4.4-5.8)	6.0 (5.1-7.1)		

DM-2, type 2 diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index; IQR, interquartile range.

control group. This difference was also statistically significant (Mann–Whitney *U*-test = 1490, p < 0.001) (Figure 1b).

Risk factors of KSHV infection markers

Infection with KSHV was found to be significantly associated with the following variables on univariate logistic regression analysis (Table 2): (1) ethnicity (Uyghur vs. Han: odds ratio (OR) 1.50, 95% CI 1.10-2.05), (2) BMI (18-24 vs. <18 kg/m²: OR 0.55, 95% CI 0.31-0.97), (3) occupation (student vs. none: OR 6.66, 95% CI 2.02-22.01), (4) educational level (college or higher vs. elementary school or lower: OR 0.54, 95% CI 0.33-0.89), (5) marital status (married vs. single: OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.22-0.75; widowed/divorced vs. single: OR 0.33, 95% CI 0.16-0.67), (6) DM-2 (OR 3.11, 95% CI 2.25-4.29), and (7) glucose (mmol/l) (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.22-1.50). After adjusting for variables of ethnicity, sex, BMI, occupation, educational level, marital status, smoking and alcohol consumption habits, age, and diabetic status in model 1, only the association between DM-2 and KSHV infection remained significant (OR 2.94, 95% CI 2.05-4.22). To avoid multicollinearity, diabetic status was replaced with blood glucose level in model 2 and the risk of infection was found to increase with glucose concentration (OR 1.35, 95% CI 1.21-1.51). Being a student was also shown to be a risk factor (OR 7.53, 95% CI 1.43-39.58), although the confidence interval was wide.

The seropositivity rate of antibodies to ORF73, ORF65, and ORF-K8.1 was 92.7% (230/248), 56.0% (139/248), and 45.6% (113/248), respectively, in the IFA-positive participants. A total of 175 serum samples tested positive for antibodies to both latent (ORF73) and lytic (ORF65 or ORF-K8.1) antigens. Thus, 76.1% (175/230) of sera that were positive for latent antibodies were also positive for lytic antibodies. In contrast, 90.7% (175/193) of sera positive for lytic antibodies were also positive for latent antibodies. It was found that the virus was more likely to express both the latent and lytic antigens in diabetic patients (latent: OR 3.27, 95% CI 2.25–4.75; lytic: OR 3.99, 95% CI 2.68–5.93). In addition, Uygur ethnicity appeared to be a risk factor for ORF73 antibody seroconversion (OR 1.48, 95% CI 1.01–2.16) (Table 3).

Correlation between KSHV viral load and antibody titers, and correlates

Antibody titers and viral DNA load were tested for the 248 IFApositive participants. By multiple linear regression analysis (Table 4), it was found that KSHV antibody titers were increased in Uygur participants (p < 0.001), while the Han population had a higher viral load level (p < 0.001). Furthermore, both antibody titers and viral load increased in patients with higher blood glucose levels (p < 0.001).

A further pairwise comparison between the logarithmic transformation of KSHV antibody titers and viral load (copies/ 10^3 cells) revealed a linear relationship (slope = 0.08, 95% CI 0.05–0.11), with a significant Spearman's rank correlation (r=0.34, p < 0.001) (Figure 2).

Discussion

The prevalence of diabetes is increasing remarkably in low- and middle-income countries, especially in the Southeast Asia and Western Pacific regions. China alone has a diabetic population of approximately 121 million. Patients from these areas develop disease earlier and suffer a worse prognosis than their counterparts in wealthier countries (International Diabetes Federation, 2017). It is therefore imperative that we learn more about the potential complications of diabetes and improve medical care for these patients. As the etiological agent of several tumors including




Figure 1. (a) Titer distribution of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM-2) and normal controls. (b) Distribution of viral load across the DM-2 and control groups.

Table 2

Unadjusted and adjusted analysis of risk factors associated with Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection according to immunofluorescence assay results (n = 700).

	Unadjusted		Adjusted (model 1)	Adjusted (model 1)		Adjusted (model 2)	
	OR (95% CI)	p-Value	OR (95% CI)	p-Value	OR (95% CI)	p-Value	
Ethnicity							
Han	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Uygur	1.50 (1.10, 2.05)	0.011	1.42 (0.98, 2.06)	0.062	1.42 (0.98, 2.05)	0.064	
Sex							
Male	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Female	0.78 (0.57, 1.06)	0.113	0.81 (0.50, 1.31)	0.386	0.78 (0.49, 1.27)	0.321	
BMI (kg/m ²)							
<18	1.00		1.00		1.00		
18-24	0.55 (0.31, 0.97)	0.039	0.99 (0.44, 2.20)	0.971	0.97 (0.43, 2.16)	0.932	
>24	0.88 (0.47, 1.65)	0.695	0.98 (0.41, 2.34)	0.956	0.94 (0.39, 2.26)	0.893	
Occupation	(,,				(,		
None	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Student	6.66 (2.02, 22.01)	0.002	5.21 (0.98, 27.66)	0.053	7.53 (1.43, 39.58)	0.017	
Cadre	0.69 (0.38, 1.23)	0.206	1.05 (0.42, 2.66)	0.911	1.35 (0.54, 3.38)	0.525	
Worker	0.66 (0.36, 1.22)	0.187	0.53 (0.24, 1.20)	0.129	0.65 (0.29, 1.45)	0.294	
Farmer	1.03 (0.57, 1.86)	0.917	0.78 (0.40, 1.52)	0.458	0.91 (0.47, 1.79)	0.792	
Retired	1.02 (0.58, 1.80)	0.936	0.96 (0.45, 2.05)	0.919	1.09 (0.51, 2.31)	0.829	
Educational level							
Elementary school or lower	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Middle school	0.79 (0.47, 1.35)	0.391	1.00 (0.53, 1.89)	0.994	0.97 (0.51, 1.85)	0.929	
High school	0.90 (0.55, 1.47)	0.673	1.44 (0.70, 2.94)	0.319	1.45 (0.71, 2.97)	0.311	
College or higher	0.54 (0.33, 0.89)	0.016	0.63 (0.26, 1.50)	0.293	0.57 (0.24, 1.37)	0.210	
Marital status	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
Single	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Married	0.41 (0.22, 0.75)	0.004	0.75 (0.30, 1.88)	0.535	0.73 (0.29, 1.84)	0.510	
Widowed/divorced	0.33 (0.16, 0.67)	0.002	0.60 (0.21, 1.67)	0.326	0.54 (0.19, 1.49)	0.232	
Ever smoker	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Yes	1.14 (0.84, 1.56)	0.405	0.88 (0.57, 1.33)	0.534	0.90 (0.59, 1.37)	0.617	
Regular alcohol consumption							
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		
Yes	1.10 (0.81, 1.51)	0.534	0.94 (0.63, 1.39)	0.741	0.92 (0.62, 1.37)	0.686	
DM-2							
No	1.00		1.00		_	_	
Yes	3.11 (2.25, 4.29)	<0.001	2.94 (2.05, 4.22)	<0.001	_	_	
Age (years)	1.00 (0.99, 1.01)	0.947	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.848	1.00 (0.98, 1.02)	0.987	
Glucose (mmol/l)	1.35 (1.22, 1.50)	< 0.001	_	-	1.35 (1.21, 1.51)	< 0.001	

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; DM-2, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

KS, PEL, and MCD, KSHV is also prevalent in these less developed regions with a heavier diabetes burden. The present research appears to be one of the largest studies in China to investigate the relationship between KSHV infection and DM-2.

According to a previous meta-analysis (Zhang et al., 2012), the pooled prevalence of KSHV was 11.3% (95% CI 7.2–15.5%) for the

general population and 22.2% (95% CI 12.7–31.8%) for immunocompromised patients in China. The KSHV prevalence varied in different areas and was higher in the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region (i.e., 21.2% among the general population and 25.6% among immunocompromised persons). In the present study of 324 patients and 376 normal controls from this region, the

Table 3

Risk factors for Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection markers (latent antigen: ORF73; lytic antigens: ORF65, ORF-K8.1) (n = 700).

	Latent antigen		Lytic antigens		
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	OR (95% CI)	p-Value	
Ethnicity					
Han	1.00		1.00		
Uygur	1.48 (1.01, 2.16)	0.043	1.27 (0.85, 1.90)	0.243	
Sex					
Male	1.00		1.00		
Female	0.74 (0.45, 1.22)	0.241	0.65 (0.38, 1.11)	0.115	
BMI (kg/m^2)					
<18	1.00		1.00		
18-24	0.89 (0.39, 2.01)	0.777	0.69 (0.29, 1.61)	0.388	
>24	0.88 (0.36, 2.14)	0.783	0.79 (0.31, 1.97)	0.606	
Occupation					
None	1.00		1.00		
Student	4.03 (0.75, 21.80)	0.106	2.52 (0.49, 13.14)	0.271	
Cadre	0.94 (0.36, 2.42)	0.894	0.69 (0.26, 1.87)	0.469	
Worker	0.46 (0.20, 1.06)	0.067	0.48 (0.20, 1.14)	0.097	
Farmer	0.71 (0.36, 1.40)	0.328	0.56 (0.27, 1.15)	0.111	
Retired	0.80 (0.37, 1.74)	0.575	0.95 (0.43, 2.12)	0.902	
Educational level		01070	0.000 (01.10, 2.1.2)	01002	
Elementary school or lower	1.00		1.00		
Middle school	0.92 (0.48, 1.76)	0.802	1.21 (0.62, 2.39)	0.578	
High school	1.29 (0.62, 2.67)	0.490	1.35 (0.64, 2.88)	0.433	
College or higher	0.57 (0.23, 1.41)	0.223	0.74 (0.30, 1.83)	0.509	
Marital status	0.25, (0.25, 1.17)	0.220		0.000	
Single	1.00		1.00		
Married	0.71 (0.28, 1.81)	0.473	0.94 (0.34, 2.65)	0.911	
Widowed/divorced	0.60 (0.21, 1.70)	0.335	0.84 (0.27, 2.65)	0.768	
Ever smoker	0.00 (0.21, 1.70)	0.555	0.01 (0.27, 2.03)	0.700	
No	1.00		1.00		
Yes	0.85 (0.55, 1.30)	0.446	0.94 (0.59, 1.48)	0.782	
Regular alcohol consumption	0.00 (0.00, 1.00)	0.110	0.51 (0.55, 1.10)	0.762	
No	1.00		1.00		
Yes	0.89 (0.59, 1.34)	0.577	0.87 (0.57, 1.34)	0.534	
DM-2	0.00 (0.00, 1.01)	0.577	0.07 (0.07, 1.01)	0.004	
No	1.00		1.00		
Yes	3.27 (2.25, 4.75)	<0.001	3.99 (2.68, 5.93)	<0.001	
Age (years)	1.00 (0.98, 1.01)	0.567	0.99 (0.98, 1.01)	0.571	

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; DM-2, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

seroprevalence of KSHV was found to be 23.7% (95% CI 19.4–28.0%) for the control group and 49.1% (95% CI 43.6-54.5%) for DM-2 patients, which is generally consistent with the previous study results. In addition, a strong association was confirmed between DM-2 and KSHV infection (OR 2.94, 95% CI 2.05-4.22) in the Han and Uygur populations in Xinjiang Province, China. The results were also strengthened by the observation of increased antibody titers and viral DNA load in participants with higher blood glucose levels. These findings are generally in agreement with those of recent studies on KSHV infection among diabetic patients from European and African countries (Caselli et al., 2014; Ingianni et al., 2007; Piras et al., 2017; Sobngwi et al., 2008). In addition, it was found that ethnicity might be inversely associated with the level of antibody titers and viral load: the Uygur population had increased antibody titer levels, while the viral DNA load was higher in the Han population. The underlying mechanism is worth further study. It is speculated that the discrepancy in immunological responses to the virus among the two populations might be due in part to different genetic composition related to the immune system, such as the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) gene complex, as reported previously (Alkharsah et al., 2007; Guech-Ongey et al., 2010).

Moreover, it was found that the expression of both latent (OR 3.27, 95% CI 2.25–4.75) and lytic (OR 3.99, 95% CI 2.68–5.93) antigens was significantly higher among patients with DM-2. Combined with the observation of a positive correlation between KSHV antibody titers and viral load (r = 0.34, p < 0.001), it could be inferred that the virus is more likely to be reactivated and replicate in higher glucose conditions. Therefore, diabetic patients are at

higher risk of KS and other KSHV-associated tumors (Anderson et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2017). Although the study results conflict with those of a study by Piras et al. (Piras et al., 2017), this observation is supported by other studies that have shown that high glucose levels can significantly increase lytic reactivation of KSHV. Multiple signaling pathways involved in the lifecycle of KSHV have been proven to be modulated by high glucose in various cell types (Chang et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2016).

Nevertheless, as a result of the case-control design of this study, it was not possible to determine whether KSHV infection preceded the onset of diabetes or not. Therefore, the causal relationship between KSHV infection and DM-2 remains unresolved. It was not possible to determine whether diabetes increases susceptibility to KSHV infection by reducing the efficiency of the immune system, or, in contrast, whether the metabolism of the infecting virus modifies the microenvironment thus leading to the onset of DM-2 (Piras et al., 2017). These two hypotheses have both been supported by previous studies. Using in vitro models, Chang et al. (Chang et al., 2017) found that high glucose significantly enhanced the susceptibility of various target cells to KSHV infection by elevating the levels of specific cellular receptors for KSHV entry, including integrin α 3 β 1 and xCT/CD98. In contrast, other studies have suggested that the onset of diabetes may be partly due to the activity of KSHV, including cytokine secretion, altering the metabolic pathways related to insulin resistance, as with other chronic viral infections (Aytug et al., 2003; Guillen et al., 2015; Mehta et al., 2003). An alternative theory is that direct infection could induce endoplasmic

Table 4

Multiple linear regression analysis of the Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus antibody titers and viral load among the immunofluorescence assay-positive population (n = 248).

	KSHV antibody titers ^a		Viral load ^b	
	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value	β (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -Value
Ethnicity				
Uygur vs. Han	1.03 (0.64, 1.42)	< 0.001	-0.15 (-0.23, -0.07)	< 0.001
Gender				
Female vs. male	-0.43 (-0.95, 0.09)	0.104	-0.02 (-0.13, 0.09)	0.753
BMI (kg/m ²)				
18-24 vs. <18	0.18 (-0.63, 1.00)	0.654	-0.15 (-0.32, 0.01)	0.073
>24 vs. <18	0.14 (-0.74, 1.02)	0.749	-0.07(-0.25, 0.11)	0.457
Occupation				
Students vs. none	-0.32 (-1.76, 1.12)	0.661	-0.04(-0.34, 0.26)	0.809
Cadre vs. none	0.25 (-0.68, 1.19)	0.593	0.01 (-0.18, 0.21)	0.891
Workers vs. none	0.30(-0.53, 1.14)	0.475	0.04(-0.14, 0.21)	0.667
Farmers vs. none	-0.19(-0.88, 0.51)	0.598	0.01 (-0.13, 0.15)	0.895
Retired vs. none	0.23 (-0.52, 0.97)	0.549	0.02 (-0.14, 0.17)	0.847
Educational level				
Middle school vs. elementary school or lower	-0.41 (-1.06, 0.24)	0.212	0.00 (-0.13, 0.14)	0.947
High school vs. elementary school or lower	-0.57 (-1.27, 0.12)	0.104	0.04(-0.11, 0.18)	0.599
College or higher vs. elementary school or lower	-0.86(-1.70, -0.03)	0.044	-0.03(-0.20, 0.14)	0.724
Marital status				
Married vs. single	-0.57(-1.52, 0.38)	0.241	-0.09(-0.28, 0.11)	0.382
Widowed/divorced vs. single	-0.76 (-1.85, 0.33)	0.169	-0.03 (-0.25, 0.20)	0.826
Ever smoker				
Yes vs. no	-0.38(-0.84, 0.08)	0.107	-0.07 (-0.16, 0.03)	0.177
Regular alcohol consumption				
Yes vs. no	0.18 (-0.23, 0.60)	0.377	-0.01(-0.09, 0.08)	0.836
Age (years)	0.00(-0.01, 0.02)	0.634	0.00 (0, 00, 0.00)	0.701
Glucose (mmol/l)	0.24 (0.17, 0.31)	< 0.001	0.10 (0.08, 0.11)	< 0.001

CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index.

^a After log₂ transformation.

^b Copies/10³ cells.




Figure 2. Correlation between Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV) antibody titers (after logarithmic transformation) and viral load (copies/10³ cells) among the immunofluorescence assay-positive population (*n* = 248).

reticulum stress in pancreatic β -cells, affecting their functions (Sobngwi et al., 2008). In the study by Lontchi-Yimagou et al., a significantly lower HOMA- β level was found in KSHV-DNA-positive diabetic patients compared with the KSHV-DNA-negative group, while no difference was observed between patients with and without KSHV antibodies. Thus, they proposed that impaired insulin secretion might be associated with the replication of the virus (Lontchi-Yimagou et al., 2018). However, most of

the studies with a cross-sectional design have not provided the time at which patients acquired diabetes and the viral infection. In addition, the level of insulin secretion and insulin resistance as specific biomarkers for diabetic patients should also be taken into account in future studies. Therefore, there is a need for additional well-designed prospective epidemiological cohort studies with a large sample size to elucidate whether KSHV predisposes to DM-2 or vice versa.

In conclusion, this study proposes an association between DM-2 and KSHV infection in the Chinese Han and Uygur populations, adding to the previously reported findings that have mainly been observed in European and African countries. Given the fact that diabetes poses a heavy disease burden in China, the prevention of viral infection and development of related diseases is imperative. More well-designed longitudinal prospective cohort studies and biomedical research are warranted to better our understanding of the detailed pathophysiology of these two related conditions.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers U1603117, 81560473, 81772170), the United States National Institutes of Health Fogarty International Center (grant numbers D43 TW001492), and NCI (grant number CA75903) to CW. YZ and TZ were Fogarty fellows.

Ethical approval

The study protocol and collection of samples were approved and supervised by the Medical Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital, Shihezi University School of Medicine, China.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Alkharsah KR, Dedicoat M, Blasczyk R, Newton R, Schulz TF. Influence of HLA alleles on shedding of kaposi sarcoma – associated herpesvirus in saliva in an African population. J Infect Dis 2007;195:809–16.
- Anderson LA, Lauria C, Romano N, Brown EE, Whitby D, Graubard BI, et al. Risk factors for classical kaposi sarcoma in a population-based case-control study in Sicily. Cancer Epidem Biomar 2008;17:3435–43.
- Aytug S, Reich D, Sapiro LE, Bernstein D, Begum N. Impaired IRS-1/PI3-kinase signaling in patients with HCV: a mechanism for increased prevalence of type 2 diabetes. Hepatology 2003;38:1384–92.
- Ba-Essa EM, Mobarak EI, Al-Daghri NM. Hepatitis C virus infection among patients with diabetes mellitus in Dammam, Saudi Arabia. BMC Health Serv Res 2016;16.
- Caselli E, Rizzo R, Ingianni A, Contini P, Pompei R, Di Luca D. High prevalence of HHV8 infection and specific killer cell immunoglobulin-like receptors allotypes in Sardinian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Med Virol 2014;86:1745–51.
- Cesarman E, Chang Y, Moore PS, Said JW, Knowles DM. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-related body-cavity-based lymphomas. N Engl J Med 1995;332:1186–91.
- Chang PJ, Yang YH, Chen PC, Chen LW, Wang SS, Shih YJ, et al. Diabetes and risk of Kaposi's sarcoma: effects of high glucose on reactivation and infection of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. Oncotarget 2017;8:80595–611.
- Chang Y, Cesarman E, Pessin MS, Lee F, Culpepper J, Knowles DM, et al. Identification of herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in AIDS-associated Kaposi's sarcoma. Science 1994;266:1865–9.
- Dukers NHTM, Rezza G. Human herpesvirus 8 epidemiology: what we do and do not know. Aids 2003;17:1717–30.
- Fu B, Sun F, Li B, Yang L, Zeng Y, Sun X, et al. Seroprevalence of Kaposi's sarcomaassociated herpesvirus and risk factors in Xinjiang, China. J Med Virol 2009;81:1422–31.

- Goedert JJ, Vitale F, Lauria C, Serraino D, Tainburini M, Montella M, et al. Risk factors for classical Kaposi's sarcoma. J Natl Cancer Inst 2002;94:1712–8.
- Guech-Ongey M, Verboom M, Pfeiffer RM, Schulz TF, Ndugwa CM, Owor AM, et al. HLA polymorphisms and detection of kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus DNA in saliva and peripheral blood among children and their mothers in the uganda sickle cell anemia KSHV Study. Infect Agents Cancer 2010;5:21.
- Guillen MA, Mejia FA, Villena J, Turin CG, Carcamo CP, Ticse R. Insulin resistance by homeostasis model assessment in HIV-infected patients on highly active antiretroviral therapy: cross-sectional study. Diabetol Metab Syndr 2015;7:49–54.
- Ingianni A, Carta F, Reina A, Manai M, Desogus A, Pompei R. Prevalence of herpesvirus 8 infection in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Am J Infect Dis 2007;3:123–7.
- International Diabetes Federation. IDF diabetes atlas. 8th ed. 2017 International Diabetes Federation, Brussels, Belgium.
- Korbel L, Easterling RS, Punja N, Spencer JD. The burden of common infections in children and adolescents with diabetes mellitus: a pediatric health information system study. Pediatr Diabetes 2018;19:512–9.
- Lontchi-Yimagou E, Legoff J, Nguewa J, Boudou P, Balti EV, Noubiap JJ, et al. Human Herpes Virus type 8 infection DNA positivity is associated with low insulin secretion: a case-control study in a sub-Saharan African population with diabetes. J Diabetes 2018;10:866–73.
- Mehta SH, Brancati FL, Strathdee SA, Pankow JS, Netski D, Coresh J, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection and incident type 2 diabetes. Hepatology 2003;38:50–6.
- Minhas V, Crosby LN, Crabtree KL, Phiri S, M'Soka TJ, Kankasa C, et al. Development of an immunofluorescence assay using recombinant proteins expressed in insect cells to screen and confirm presence of human herpesvirus 8-specific antibodies. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2008;15:1259–64.
- Olp LN, Shea DM, White MK, Gondwe C, Kankasa C, Wood C. Early childhood infection of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus in Zambian households: a molecular analysis. Int J Cancer 2013;132:1182–90.
- Piras E, Madeddu MA, Palmieri G, Angius F, Contini P, Pompei R, et al. High prevalence of human herpesvirus 8 infection in diabetes type 2 patients and detection of a new virus subtype. Adv Exp Med Biol 2017;973:41–51.
- Ronacher K, Joosten SA, van Crevel R, Dockrell HM, Walzl G, Ottenhoff TH. Acquired immunodeficiencies and tuberculosis: focus on HIV/AIDS and diabetes mellitus. Immunol Rev 2015;264:121–37.
- Sobngwi E, Choukem SP, Agbalika F, Blondeau B, Fetita LS, Lebbe C, et al. Ketosisprone type 2 diabetes mellitus and human herpesvirus 8 infection in subsaharan africans. JAMA 2008;299:2770–6.
- Soulier J, Grollet L, Oksenhendler E, Cacoub P, Cazals-Hatem D, Babinet P, et al. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus-like DNA sequences in multicentric Castleman's disease. Blood 1995;86:1276–80.
- Uldrick TS, Whitby D. Update on KSHV epidemiology, Kaposi Sarcoma pathogenesis, and treatment of Kaposi Sarcoma. Cancer Lett 2011;305:150–62.
- Whitby D, Howard MR, Tenant-Flowers M, Brink NS, Copas A, Boshoff C, et al. Detection of Kaposi sarcoma associated herpesvirus in peripheral blood of HIVinfected individuals and progression to Kaposi's sarcoma. Lancet 1995;346:799–802.
- World Health Organization. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia: report of a WHO/IDF consultation. 2006 World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Ye F, Zeng Y, Sha J, Jones T, Kuhne K, Wood C, et al. High glucose induces reactivation of latent Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus. J Virol 2016;90:9654–63.
- Zhang T, Shao X, Chen Y, Zhang T, Minhas V, Wood C, et al. Human herpesvirus 8 seroprevalence, China. Emerg Infect Dis 2012;18:150–2.
- Zhang T, Wang L. Epidemiology of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus in Asia: challenges and opportunities. J Med Virol 2017;89:563–70.
- Zheng J, Yang Y, Cui M, Shu Z, Han L, Liu Z, et al. Prevalence of Kaposi's sarcomaassociated herpesvirus in Uygur and Han populations from the Urumqi and Kashgar regions of Xinjiang, China. Virol Sin 2017;32:396–403.
- Zhu H, Wang Y, Yu L, Xu Y, Zhou H, Ding Y, et al. Serological and molecular analysis on the relationships between type 2 diabetes mellitus and hepatitis B virus infection. J Infect Dev Ctries 2016;10:837–44.
- Zoppini G, Fedeli U, Schievano E, Dauriz M, Targher G, Bonora E, et al. Mortality from infectious diseases in diabetes. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2018;28:444–50.