

2019

Life's Simple 7 and Health Care Utilization among the Framingham Generation III Cohort

Elizabeth Cooley
University of Vermont

Courtney Williams
University of Vermont

Nels Olson
University of Vermont

Caroline Nwaro
University of Vermont

Jules Wetchi
University of Vermont

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/mphcp>

 Part of the [Public Health Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Cooley, Elizabeth; Williams, Courtney; Olson, Nels; Nwaro, Caroline; Wetchi, Jules; Collier, Joe; and Carney, Jan K. MD, MPH, "Life's Simple 7 and Health Care Utilization among the Framingham Generation III Cohort" (2019). *Master of Public Health Culminating Projects*. 4.
<https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/mphcp/4>

This Project is brought to you for free and open access by the Larner College of Medicine at ScholarWorks @ UVM. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master of Public Health Culminating Projects by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UVM. For more information, please contact donna.omalley@uvm.edu.

Author

Elizabeth Cooley; Courtney Williams; Nels Olson; Caroline Nwaro; Jules Wetchi; Joe Collier; and Jan K. Carney MD, MPH

1 Life's Simple 7 and Health Care Utilization among the Framingham Generation III Cohort

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 **Abstract**

19 **Objective:** To evaluate associations of cardiovascular health status with utilization of healthcare
20 services.

21 **Methods:** Our study included 3,786 participants from the Framingham Third Generation Cohort
22 (enrollment: May 2008 – February 2011). LS7 0-14 point summary scores were categorized as
23 “suboptimal” (score of 0-7) and “optimal” (score of 8-14). Participants were stratified into two
24 utilization groups. Those with 0-1 utilizations were compared to those with 2 or more visits
25 (“Super Users”). Logistic regression was used to estimate associations of the two LS7 categories
26 with the odds of “Super User” utilization (models adjusted for age, sex, race, and education).

27 **Results:** Compared to those with “suboptimal” LS7 scores, participants with “optimal” LS7
28 scores had a 40.5% lower odds (95% confidence interval: 28-51%) of being a “Super User” of
29 health care services ($p < 0.005$).

30 **Conclusions:** In a younger population, higher LS7 cardiovascular health metric scores were
31 associated with lower utilization of costly health care services.

32 **Public Health Implications:** These results may have implications for primary care physicians
33 and other health professionals to help identify patients at risk for over-utilization of higher-cost
34 health care services.

35

36 ***Introduction***

37 In 2016, cardiovascular diseases (CVD) cost the U.S. \$555 billion dollars. Experts
38 estimate CVD-related costs will exceed \$1 trillion dollars by 2035.¹ In 2010, the American
39 Heart Association (AHA) developed the “Life's Simple 7” (LS7) metric to track the population's
40 cardiovascular health. The metric includes 7 CVD risk factors or behaviors, including body
41 mass index (BMI), blood pressure, cholesterol, glucose, physical activity (PA), cigarette
42 smoking, and diet.² Unfavorable (i.e., lower) LS7 scores have been associated with increased
43 CVD risk.³⁻⁵ LS7 scores were also related to utilization of emergency room (ER) visits and
44 hospitalizations in Medicare beneficiaries aged 65 and older.⁶

45 The AHA proposes that population-level LS7 modification at even modest levels could
46 improve mortality rates from CVD.² Whether LS7 measures can be used to predict utilization of
47 costly health care services is not known, especially among younger populations.

48 We examined the relationship between LS7 scores and health care utilization in
49 participants of the Framingham Generation III (Gen 3) cohort. We hypothesized that higher LS7
50 scores in younger adults would be associated with lower rates of costly health care utilization.

51

52 **METHODS**

53 ***Study Population***

54 We conducted a cross-sectional analysis using data from the Framingham Gen 3 Exam 2
55 cohort (enrollment: May 2008 – February 2011).⁷ Gen 3 included 4,586 men and women aged
56 19+ years who had at least one parent in the Framingham Offspring study. Our study included
57 3,786 Gen 3 participants with available data allowing us to calculate LS7 scores. The University
58 of Vermont Institutional Review Board has reviewed this project and determined that it qualifies
59 as exempt from additional review.

60 ***Life's Simple 7 Definitions***

61 LS7 variables (smoking status, diet, physical activity, BMI, blood pressure, total
62 cholesterol, and hemoglobin A1c (HgbA1c) were stratified into “poor”, “intermediate”, or
63 “optimal” categories as described previously.^{2,3} LS7 categories were changed slightly from the

64 original AHA guideline² by using HgBA1c in place of fasting glucose and by using updated
65 AHA blood pressure and physical activity (PA) guidelines.^{8,9}

66

67 *Statistical Analysis*

68 An overall LS7 summary score ranging from 0 to 14 was calculated as the sum of the 7
69 individual component scores (individual LS7 variables each received a score of 0-2 as
70 described).³ This summary score was stratified further into two health metrics, categorized as
71 "sub-optimal" (summary score: 0 to 7), and "optimal" (summary score: 8-14).

72 For the utilization outcome, emergency room visits, hospitalizations, and major medical
73 illnesses were combined into 'utilization' groups. The utilization groups were then stratified into
74 a reference group of 0-1 visits and a "Super User" group of 2 or more visits.

75 Binary logistic regression was used to calculate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence
76 intervals (CIs)¹⁰ of utilization by the two LS7 summary score categories. Models were adjusted
77 for age, sex, race, and education. SPSS Version 25 was used for all data analysis.

78 *Results*

79 Approximately half of the Gen 3 participants in our study were women and 78% were
80 Caucasian. Mean age was 46.0 years. Education and income levels were high; 46.7% had a
81 bachelor's degree or higher and 46.9% had incomes \geq \$75k. A majority of the study population
82 (66.0%) had an LS7 summary score \geq 8; 14.5% had LS7 summary scores \leq 7. Of the 82.3% of
83 the original Gen 3 cohort who completed the second survey, healthcare utilization of costly
84 services was low; 65.7% made 0-1 visits and 16.6% made 2 or more visits.

85 Table 1 shows that those with an "optimal" LS7 score (summary score 8-14) had a 40.5%
86 lower odds (95% CI: 28-51%; P<0.005) of being a "Super User" compared to those with a
87 "suboptimal" LS7 score (0-7) when controlling for age, sex, race, and education.

88

89

90

91

92 **Table 1.** Binary Logistic Regression Model for the association of LS7 Cardiovascular Health
 93 Categories with "Super User" health care utilization category in the Framingham Gen 3 Cohort
 94

Exposure Variable	Odds Ratio	95% CI	P-value
LS7 Score categories (≤ 7 compared to ≥ 8)	0.595	0.49-0.72	<0.005
Female gender (compared to male)	0.95	0.81-1.12	0.52
Caucasian race (compared to non- Caucasian)	0.88	0.61-1.25	0.47
Age (per 1-year increase)	1.00	0.99-1.00	0.76
Education (< college compared with bachelor's degree and above)	0.96	0.91-0.99	0.04

95

96 ***Discussion***

97 We evaluated cross-sectional relationships of the AHA's LS7 cardiovascular health
 98 metric with utilization of costly health care services. Our results showed higher LS7 summary
 99 scores were associated with decreased utilization of health care services from ER visits,
 100 hospitalizations, and major illnesses. These findings suggest that the AHA's LS7 cardiovascular
 101 metric may be a useful tool for assessing healthcare utilization at individual and population
 102 levels.

103 Lower LS7 scores are predictive of incident CVD.³⁻⁵ Our results showing a higher LS7
 104 score was associated with lower odds of being a "Super User" of costlier health care services is
 105 consistent with these findings; CVD and stroke are leading causes of healthcare expenditures.^{1,2}
 106 The present study expands upon the existing literature by demonstrating the potential of using
 107 LS7 scores to assess all-cause healthcare utilization related to emergency room and hospital care
 108 settings among younger populations.⁶

109

110

111

112 ***Public Health Implications***

113

114 Health care payers are moving to payment models based on population health, and health
115 care systems are forming Accountable Care Organizations to accommodate these changes.
116 Increasingly health care organizations are incentivized to reduce costs. Innovative, simple ways
117 to screen high risk individuals using a tool such as the LS7 may enable healthcare providers to
118 identify and intervene before such individuals become “Super Users”.

119 Our study is limited by the homogeneity of the Gen 3 cohort. The population is largely
120 Caucasian with minimal representation from minorities. Compared to the rest of the U.S., the
121 distributions of favorable health status, education, and income are high and may have limited
122 generalizability. Despite these limitations, our study demonstrates that poorer health profiles, as
123 determined by lower LS7 scores, were associated with higher utilization of costly health
124 services. Strengths of our study include the contemporary nature of the Gen 3 cohort, the large
125 sample size, and our novel evaluation of the LS7 metric.

126 In summary, we have demonstrated higher AHA's LS7 cardiovascular health scores were
127 associated with lower utilization of costly health care services in a younger population with high
128 SES. If confirmed, these results may have implications for primary care providers and other
129 health professionals to identify “Super Users” and intervene with prevention programs before
130 costly chronic diseases develop.

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138 **References**

- 139 1. Cardiovascular Disease: A Costly Burden for America. Projections through 2035. A
140 publication of the American Heart and American Stroke Associations. Retrieved at:
141 [https://healthmetrics.heart.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Cardiovascular-Disease-A-](https://healthmetrics.heart.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Cardiovascular-Disease-A-Costly-Burden.pdf)
142 [Costly-Burden.pdf](https://healthmetrics.heart.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Cardiovascular-Disease-A-Costly-Burden.pdf). Retrieved: March 21, 2019.
- 143 2. Lloyd-Jones DM, Hong Y, Labarthe D, et al. Defining and setting national goals for
144 cardiovascular health promotion and disease reduction: the American Heart Association's
145 strategic Impact Goal through 2020 and beyond. *Circulation*. 2010;121(4):586-613.
- 146 3. Kulshreshtha A, Vaccarino V, Judd SE, et al. Life's Simple 7 and risk of incident stroke:
147 the reasons for geographic and racial differences in stroke study. *Stroke*.
148 2013;44(7):1909-1914.
- 149 4. Folsom AR, Yatsuya H, Nettleton JA, Lutsey PL, Cushman M, Rosamond WD.
150 Community prevalence of ideal cardiovascular health, by the American Heart
151 Association definition, and relationship with cardiovascular disease incidence. *Journal of*
152 *the American College of Cardiology*. 2011;57(16):1690-1696.
- 153 5. Yang Q, Cogswell ME, Flanders WD, et al. Trends in cardiovascular health metrics and
154 associations with all-cause and CVD mortality among US adults. *JAMA*.
155 2012;307(12):1273-1283.
- 156 6. Aaron KJ, Colantonio LD, Deng L, et al. Cardiovascular Health and Healthcare
157 Utilization and Expenditures Among Medicare Beneficiaries: The REasons for
158 Geographic And Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) Study. *Journal of the*
159 *American Heart Association*. 2017;6(2).
- 160 7. Splansky GL, Corey D, Yang Q, et al. The Third Generation Cohort of the National
161 Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study: design, recruitment, and
162 initial examination. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2007;165(11):1328-1335.
- 163 8. American College of Cardiology. New ACC/AHA High Blood Pressure Guidelines
164 Lower Definition of Hypertension. Retrieved at: [https://www.acc.org/latest-in-](https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2017/11/08/11/47/mon-5pm-bp-guideline-aha-2017)
165 [cardiology/articles/2017/11/08/11/47/mon-5pm-bp-guideline-aha-2017](https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2017/11/08/11/47/mon-5pm-bp-guideline-aha-2017). Published:
166 November 13, 2017. Retrieved: March 21, 2019.
- 167 9. American Heart Association Recommendations for Physical Activity in Adults and Kids.
168 Retrieved at: [https://www.heart.org/en/healthy-living/fitness/fitness-basics/aha-recs-for-](https://www.heart.org/en/healthy-living/fitness/fitness-basics/aha-recs-for-physical-activity-in-adults)
169 [physical-activity-in-adults](https://www.heart.org/en/healthy-living/fitness/fitness-basics/aha-recs-for-physical-activity-in-adults). Last Reviewed: April 18, 2018. Retrieved: March 21, 2019.
- 170 10. Wilber ST, Fu R. Risk ratios and odds ratios for common events in cross-sectional and
171 cohort studies. *Academic Emergency Medicine*. 2010;17(6):649-651.

172

173

174