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#### Abstract

In this paper we study ergodic properties of the Poisson suspension (the ideal gas model) of the billiard flow $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on the plane with a $\Lambda$-periodic pattern $\left(\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.$ is a lattice) of polygonal scatterers. We prove that if the billiard table is additionally rational then for a.e. direction $\theta \in S^{1}$ the Poisson suspension of the directional billiard flow $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is weakly mixing. This gives the weak mixing of the Poisson suspension of $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We also show that for a certain class of such rational billiards (including the periodic version of the classical wind-tree model) the Poisson suspension of $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is not mixing for a.e. $\theta \in S^{1}$.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we deal with billiard dynamical systems on the plane with a $\Lambda$ periodic pattern $\left(\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.$ is a lattice) of polygonal scatterers. We focus only on a rational billiards, i.e. the angles between any pair of sides of the polygons (also different polygons) are rational multiplicities of $\pi$. The most celebrated example of such billiard table is a periodic version of the wind-tree model introduced by P. Ehrenfest and T. Ehrenfest in 1912 [10], in which the scatterers are $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-translates of the rectangle $[0, a] \times[0, b]$, where $0<a, b<1$.

The billiard flow $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on a polygonal table $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ (the boundary of the table consists of intervals) is the unit speed free motion on the interior of $\mathcal{T}$ with elastic collision (angle of incidence equals to the angle of reflection) from the boundary of $\mathcal{T}$. The phase space $\mathcal{T}^{1}$ of $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ consists of points $(x, \theta) \in \mathcal{T} \times S^{1}$ such that if $x$ belongs to the boundary of $\mathcal{T}$ then $\theta \in S^{1}$ is an inward direction. The billiard flow preserves the volume measure $\mu \times \lambda$, where $\mu$ is the area measure on $\mathcal{T}$ and $\lambda$ the Lebesgue measure on $S^{1}$. For more details on billiards see [24].

Suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is the table of a $\Lambda$-periodic rational polygonal billiard. Then the volume measure is infinite. Since the table is $\Lambda$-periodic the set $D \subset S^{1}$ of directions of all sides in $\mathcal{T}$ is finite. Denote by $\Gamma$ the group of isometries of $S^{1}$ generated by reflections through the axes with directions from $D$. Since the table is rational, $\Gamma$ is a finite dihedral group. Therefore the phase space $\mathcal{T}^{1}$ splits into the family $\mathcal{T}_{\theta}^{1}=\mathcal{T} \times \Gamma \theta, \theta \in S^{1} / \Gamma$ of invariant subsets for $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. The restriction of $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ to $\mathcal{T}_{\theta}^{1}$ is called the direction billiard flow in direction $\theta$ and is denoted by $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. The flow $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ preserves $\mu_{\theta}$ the product of $\mu$ and the counting measure of $\Gamma \theta$; this measure is also infinite. Using the standard unfolding process described in [18] (see also [24]), we obtain a connected translation surface $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ such that the directional linear flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}, \theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ is isomorphic to the flow $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for every $\theta \in S^{1}$. Moreover, $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-cover of a compact connected translation surface.

[^0]We are interested in ergodic properties of the directional flows $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (or equivalently $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}, \theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ ) in typical (a.e.) direction. Recently, some progress has been made in understanding this problem, especially for periodic wind-tree model. In this model, Avila and Hubert in [2] proved the recurrence of $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for a.e. direction. The non-ergodicity for a.e. direction was proved by the author and Ulcigrai in [16. Delecroix, Hubert and Leliévre proved in [7 that for a.e. direction the diffusion rate of a.e. orbit is $2 / 3$. For more complicated scatterers some related results were obtained in [8, 14, 26]. Ergodic properties for non-periodic wind-three models were also recently studied by Málaga Sabogal and Troubetzkoy in [21, 22].

Unlike the approach presented in the mentioned articles, we does not study the dynamics of a single billiard ball, i.e. the flow $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. We are interested in dynamical properties of infinite (countable) configurations of billiard balls without mutual interactions. Formally, we deal with the Poisson suspension of the flow $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ which models the ideal gas behavior in $\mathcal{T}$, see [6, Ch. 9]. The main result of the paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the billiard flow on a $\Lambda$-periodic rational polygonal billiard table $\mathcal{T}$. Then for a.e. $\theta \in S^{1}$ the Poisson suspension of the directional billiard flow $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is weakly mixing. Moreover, the Poisson suspension of $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is also weakly mixing.

In fact, we prove much more general result (Theorem 5.4) concerning $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-covers of compact translation surfaces and their directional flows. Since $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ can be treated as a directional flow on the translation surface $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$, Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.4. Moreover, in Section 6 we give a criterion (Theorem 6.3) for the absence of mixing for the Poisson suspension of typical directional flows on some $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-covers of compact translation surfaces. Its necessary condition (the existence of "good" cylinders) for the absence of mixing coincides with the condition for recurrence provided by [2]. This allows proving the absence of mixing for the Poisson suspension of $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (for a.e. direction) for the standard periodic wind-three model, as well as for other recurrent billiards studied in [14, Sec. 9] and [26, Sec. 8.3].

## 2. Poisson point process and Poisson suspension

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a standard $\sigma$-finite measure space such that $\mu$ has no atom and $\mu(X)=\infty$. Denote by $\left(X^{*}, \mathcal{B}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ the associated Poisson point process. For relevant background material concerning Poisson point processes, see [19] and [20]. Then $X^{*}$ is the space of countable subsets (configurations) of $X$ and the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}^{*}$ is generated by the subsets of the form

$$
C_{A, n}:=\left\{\bar{x} \in X^{*}: \operatorname{card}(\bar{x} \cap A)=n\right\} \text { for } A \in \mathcal{B} \text { and } n \geq 0 .
$$

For every $A \in \mathcal{B}$ denote by $C_{A}: X^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the measurable map given by $C_{A}(\bar{x})=$ $\operatorname{card}(\bar{x} \cap A)$. Then $\mu^{*}$ is a unique probability measure on $\mathcal{B}^{*}$ such that:
(i) for any pairwise disjoint collection $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}$ in $\mathcal{B}$ the random variables $C_{A_{1}}, \ldots, C_{A_{k}}$ on ( $X^{*}, \mathcal{B}^{*}, \mu^{*}$ ) are jointly independent;
(ii) for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$ the random variable $C_{A}$ on $\left(X^{*}, \mathcal{B}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ has Poisson distribution with

$$
\mu^{*}\left(C_{B, n}\right)=e^{-\mu(A)} \frac{\mu(A)^{n}}{n!} \text { for } n \geq 0
$$

The existence and uniqueness of the intensity measure $\mu^{*}$ can be found, for instance, in [19.

Poisson suspension is a classical notion introduced in statistical mechanics to model so called ideal gas. For an infinite measure-preserving dynamical system its Poisson suspension is a probability measure-preserving system describing the
dynamics of infinite (countable) configurations of particles without mutual interactions. For relevant background material we refer the reader to [6. More formally, for any $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ measure preserving flow on $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ by its Poisson suspension we mean the flow $\left(T_{t}^{*}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ acting on $\left(X^{*}, \mathcal{B}^{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$ by $T_{t}^{*}(\bar{x})=\left\{T_{t} y: y \in \bar{x}\right\}$. Since $\left(T_{t}^{*}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ preserves the measure of any set $C_{A, n}$ and these sets generate the whole $\sigma$-algebra, the flow preserves the probability measure $\mu^{*}$.

Proposition 2.1 (see [27] and [9] for maps). The flow $\left(T_{t}^{*}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is ergodic if and only if it is weak mixing and if and only if the flow $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ has no invariant subset of positive and finite measure.

The flow $\left(T_{t}^{*}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is mixing if and only if for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $0<\mu(A)<\infty$ we have $\mu\left(A \cap T_{-t} A\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ be two standard $\sigma$-finite measure space such that $\mu$ and $\nu$ have no atoms. Assume that $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a measure preserving flow on $(X \times Y, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mu \times \nu)$ such that $T_{t}(x, y)=\left(T_{t}^{y} x, y\right)$. Then $\left(T_{t}^{y}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a measurepreserving flow on $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ for every $y \in Y$. Applying a standard Fubini argument we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that for a.e. $y \in Y$ the flow $\left(T_{t}^{y}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ has no invariant subset of positive and finite measure. Then the flow $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ enjoys the same property.

## 3. $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-COVERS OF COMPACT TRANSLATION SURFACES

For relevant background material concerning translation surfaces and interval exchange transformations (IETs) we refer the reader to [24], [28], [29] and [30]. Let $M$ be a be a surface (not necessary compact) and let $\omega$ be an Abelian differential (holomorphic 1-form) on $M$. The pair $(M, \omega)$ is called a translation surface. Denote by $\Sigma \subset M$ the set of zeros of $\omega$. For every $\theta \in S^{1}=\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $X_{\theta}=X_{\theta}^{\omega}$ the directional vector field in direction $\theta$ on $M \backslash \Sigma$, i.e. $\omega\left(X_{\theta}\right)=e^{i \theta}$ on $M \backslash \Sigma$. Then the corresponding directional flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}=\left(\varphi_{t}^{\omega, \theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ (also known as translation flow) on $M \backslash \Sigma$ preserves the area measure $\mu_{\omega}\left(\mu_{\omega}(A)=\left|\int_{A} \frac{i}{2} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}\right|\right)$.

We use the notation $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for the vertical flow (corresponding to $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ ) and $\left(\varphi_{t}^{h}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for the horizontal flow respectively $(\theta=0)$.

Assume that the surface $M$ is compact. Suppose that $\widetilde{M}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-covering of $M$ and $p: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is its covering map. For any holomorphic 1-form $\omega$ on $M$ denote by $\widetilde{\omega}$ the pullback of the form $\omega$ by the map $p$. Then $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{\omega})$ is a translation surface, called a $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover of the translation surface (M. $\omega$ ).

All $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-covers of $M$ up to isomorphism are in one-to-one correspondence with $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{d}$. For any pair $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ in $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ denote by $\left\langle\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right\rangle$ the algebraic intersection number of $\xi_{1}$ with $\xi_{2}$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}$ determined by $\gamma \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{d}$ has the following properties: if $\sigma:\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow M$ is a close curve in $M$ and

$$
n:=\langle\gamma,[\sigma]\rangle=\left(\left\langle\gamma_{1},[\sigma]\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\gamma_{d},[\sigma]\right\rangle\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}
$$

$\left([\sigma] \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})\right)$, then $\sigma$ lifts to a path $\widetilde{\sigma}:\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow \widetilde{M}_{\gamma}$ such that $\sigma\left(t_{1}\right)=n \cdot \sigma\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $\cdot$ denotes the action of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ by deck transformations on $\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}$.

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact translation surface and let $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ be its $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover. Let us consider the vertical flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ such that the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $(M, \omega)$ is uniquely ergodic. Let $I \subset M \backslash \Sigma$ be a horizontal interval in $(M, \omega)$ with no self-intersections. Then the Poincaré (first return) map $T: I \rightarrow I$ for the flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a uniquely ergodic interval exchange transformation (IET). Denote by $\left(I_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ the family of exchanged intervals. Let $\tau: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the corresponding first return time map. Then $\tau$ is constant on each interval $I_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by $\xi_{\alpha}=\xi_{\alpha}(\omega, I) \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the homology class of any loop formed by the segment of orbit for $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ starting at any $x \in \operatorname{Int} I_{\alpha}$ and ending at $T x$ together with the segment of $I$ that joins $T x$ and $x$.
Proposition 3.1 (see 16 for $d=1$ ). Let $I \subset M \backslash \Sigma$ be a horizontal interval in $(M, \omega)$ with no self-intersections. Then for every $\gamma \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{d}$ the vertical flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ has a special representation over the skew product $T_{\psi_{\gamma, I}}: I \times \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow I \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ of the form $T_{\psi_{\gamma, I}}(x, m)=\left(T x, m+\psi_{\gamma, I}(x)\right)$, where $\psi_{\gamma, I}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ is a piecewise constant function given by

$$
\psi_{\gamma, I}(x)=\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left(\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \xi_{\alpha}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle\gamma_{d}, \xi_{\alpha}\right\rangle\right)
$$

if $x \in I_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, the roof function $\widetilde{\tau}: I \times \mathbb{Z}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is given by $\widetilde{\tau}(x, m)=\tau(x)$ for $(x, m) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$.
Remark 3.2. Since the roof function $\widetilde{\tau}$ is bounded and uniformly separated from zero, the absence of invariant set of finite and positive measure for the flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ is equivalent the absence of invariant set of finite and positive measure for the skew product $T_{\psi_{\gamma, I}}$.
Cocycles for transformations and essential values. Given an ergodic automorphism $T$ of a standard probability space $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, a locally compact abelian second countable group $G$ and a measurable map $\psi: X \rightarrow G$, called a cocycle for $T$, consider the skew-product extension $T_{\psi}$ acting on $\left(X \times G, \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}_{G}, \mu \times m_{G}\right)\left(\mathcal{B}_{G}\right.$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra on $G$ ) by

$$
T_{\psi}(x, y)=(T x, y+\psi(x))
$$

Clearly $T_{\psi}$ preserves the product of $\mu$ and the Haar measure $m_{G}$ on $G$. Moreover, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
T_{\psi}^{n}(x, y)=\left(T^{n} x, y+\psi^{(n)}(x)\right)
$$

where

$$
\psi^{(n)}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\sum_{0 \leq j<n} \psi\left(T^{j} x\right) & \text { if } & n \geq 0 \\
-\sum_{n \leq j<0} \psi\left(T^{j} x\right) & \text { if } & n<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The cocycle $\psi: X \rightarrow G$ is called a coboundary for $T$ if there exists a measurable map $h: X \rightarrow G$ such that $\psi=h-h \circ T$. Then $\psi^{(n)}=h-h \circ T^{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

An element $g \in G$ is said to be an essential value of $\psi: X \rightarrow G$, if for each open neighborhood $V_{g}$ of $g$ in $G$ and each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(B)>0$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(B \cap T^{-n} B \cap\left\{x \in X: \psi^{(n)}(x) \in V_{g}\right\}\right)>0
$$

Proposition 3.3 (see [25). The set of essential values $E_{G}(\psi)$ is a closed subgroup of $G$. If $\psi$ is a coboundary then $E_{G}(\psi)=\{0\}$.
Proposition 3.4 (see [3]). If $T$ is an ergodic automorphism of $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ then the cocycle $\psi: X \rightarrow G$ for $T$ is a coboundary if and only if the skew product $T_{\psi}$ : $X \times G \rightarrow X \times G$ has an invariant set of positive and finite measure.

Proposition 3.5 (see [5]). Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel sets of a compact metric space $(X, d)$ and let $\mu$ be a probability Borel measure on $\mathcal{B}$. Suppose that $T$ is an ergodic measure-preserving automorphism of $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ for which there exist a sequence of Borel sets $\left(C_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\left(h_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\mu\left(C_{n}\right) \rightarrow \alpha>0, \mu\left(C_{n} \triangle T^{-1} C_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \sup _{x \in C_{n}} d\left(x, T^{h_{n}} x\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

If $\psi: X \rightarrow G$ is a measurable cocycle such that $\psi^{\left(h_{n}\right)}(x)=g_{n}$ for all $x \in C_{n}$ and $g_{n} \rightarrow g$, then $g \in E(\psi)$.

## 4. Teichmüller flow and Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle

Given a compact connected oriented surface $M$, denote by $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}(M)$ the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of $M$. Denote by $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{+}(M)$ the subgroup of elements $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}(M)$ which are isotopic to the identity. Let $\Gamma(M):=$ $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}(M) / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{+}(M)$ be the mapping-class group. We will denote by $\mathcal{T}(M)$ the Teichmüller space of Abelian differentials, that is the space of orbits of the natural action of $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{+}(M)$ on the space of all Abelian differentials on $M$. We will denote by $\mathcal{M}(M)$ the moduli space of Abelian differentials, that is the space of orbits of the natural action of $\operatorname{Diff}^{+}(M)$ on the space of Abelian differentials on $M$. Thus $\mathcal{M}(M)=\mathcal{T}(M) / \Gamma(M)$.

The group $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and $\mathcal{M}(M)$ as follows. Given a translation structure $\omega$, consider the charts given by local primitives of the holomorphic 1-form. The new charts defined by postcomposition of this charts with an element of $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ yield a new complex structure and a new differential which is Abelian with respect to this new complex structure, thus a new translation structure. We denote by $g \cdot \omega$ the translation structure on $M$ obtained acting by $g \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ on a translation structure $\omega$ on $M$. The Teichmüller flow $\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the restriction of this action to the diagonal subgroup $\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{t}, e^{-t}\right)\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and $\mathcal{M}(M)$. We will deal also with the rotations $\left(r_{\theta}\right)_{\theta \in S^{1}}$ that acts on $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and $\mathcal{M}(M)$ by $r_{\theta} \omega=e^{i \theta} \omega$. Then the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $(M, \omega)$ coincides with the vertical flow on $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right)$. Moreover, for any $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ the directional flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ in the direction $\theta \in S^{1}$ coincides with the vertical flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma},\left(\widetilde{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}} \omega\right)_{\gamma}\right)$.
Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle. The Kontsevich-Zorich (KZ) cocycle $\left(A_{g}\right)_{g \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})}$ is the quotient of the product action $(g \times \mathrm{Id})_{g \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})}$ on $\mathcal{T}(M) \times H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ by the action of the mapping-class group $\Gamma(M)$. The mapping class group acts on the fiber $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ by induced maps. The cocycle $\left(A_{g}\right)_{g \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})}$ acts on the homology vector bundle

$$
\mathcal{H}_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})=\left(\mathcal{T}(M) \times H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})\right) / \Gamma(M)
$$

over the $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$-action on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(M)$.
Clearly the fibers of the bundle $\mathcal{H}_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ can be identified with $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$. The space $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the symplectic form given by the algebraic intersection number. This symplectic structure is preserved by the action of the mapping-class group and hence is invariant under the action of $\left(A_{g}\right)_{g \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})}$.

The standard definition of KZ-cocycle bases on cohomological bundle. The identification of the homological and cohomological bundle and the corresponding KZcocycles is established by the Poincaré duality $\mathcal{P}: H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$. This correspondence allow us to define so called Hodge norm (see [13] for cohomological bundle) on each fiber of the bundle $\mathcal{H}_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$. The norm on the fiber $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})$ over $\omega \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{\omega}$.
Generic directions. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ and denote by $\mathcal{M}=\overline{S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \omega}$ the closure of the $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$-orbit of $\omega$ in $\mathcal{M}(M)$. The celebrated result of Eskin, Mirzakhani and Mohammadi, proved in [12] and [11, says that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}(M)$ is an affine $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant submanifold. Denote by $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ the corresponding affine $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$-invariant probability measure supported on $\mathcal{M}$. The measure $\nu_{\mathcal{M}}$ is ergodic under the action of the Teichmüller flow.

Theorem 4.1 (see [4]). For every $\phi \in C_{c}(\mathcal{M})$ and almost all $\theta \in S^{1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \phi\left(g_{t} r_{\theta} \omega\right) d t=\int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi d \nu_{\mathcal{M}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2 (see [23]). For a.e. direction $\theta \in S^{1}$ the directional flows $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\left(\varphi_{t}^{h}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(M, r_{\theta} \omega\right)$ are uniquely ergodic.

All directions $\theta \in S^{1}$ for which the assertion of Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 holds are called Birkhoff-Masur generic for the translation surface $(M, \omega)$.

## 5. Directional flows on $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-covers and weak mixing of their Poisson SUSPENSIONS

Suppose that the direction $0 \in S^{1}$ is Birkhoff-Masur generic for $(M, \omega)$. Then the vertical and horizontal flows $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}},\left(\varphi_{t}^{h}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $(M, \omega)$ is uniquely ergodic. Let $I \subset M \backslash \Sigma(\Sigma$ is the set of zeros of $\omega)$ be a horizontal interval. Then the interval $I$ has no self-intersections and the Poincaré return map $T: I \rightarrow I$ for the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a uniquely ergodic IET. Denote by $I_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ the intervals exchanged by $T$. Let $\lambda_{\alpha}(\omega, I)$ stands for the length of the interval $I_{\alpha}$.

Denote by $\tau: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ the map of the first return time to $I$ for the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Then $\tau$ is constant on each $I_{\alpha}$ and denote by $\tau_{\alpha}=\tau_{\alpha}(\omega, I)>0$ its value on $I_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Let us denote by $\delta(\omega, I)>0$ the maximal number $\Delta>0$ for which the set $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}(I, \Delta):=\left\{\varphi_{t}^{v} x: t \in[0, \Delta), x \in I\right\}$ is a rectangle in $(M, \omega)$ without any singular point (from $\Sigma$ ).

Suppose that $J \subset I$ is a subinterval. Denote by $S: J \rightarrow J$ the Poincaré return map to $J$ for the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Then $S$ is also an IET and suppose it exchanges intervals $\left(J_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$. The IET $S$ is the induced transformation of $T$ on $J$. Moreover, all elements of $J_{\alpha}$ have the same time of the first return to $J$ for the transformation $T$ and let us denote this return time by $h_{\alpha} \geq 0$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $I$ is the union of disjoint towers $\left\{T^{j} J_{\alpha}: 0 \leq j<h_{\alpha}\right\}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

The following result follows directly from Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13 in 15.
Lemma 5.1. Assume that for some $\Delta>0$ the set $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}(J, \Delta)$ is a rectangle in $(M, \omega)$ without any singular point. Let $h=\left[\Delta / \max _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \tau_{\alpha}\right]$. Then for every $\gamma \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\gamma, I}^{\left(h_{\alpha}\right)}(x)=\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}(\omega, J)\right\rangle \text { and }\left|T^{h_{\alpha}} x-x\right| \leq|J| \quad \text { for } x \in C_{\alpha}:=\bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq h} T^{j} J_{\alpha} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result follows directly from Lemmas A. 3 and A. 4 in [14.
Lemma 5.2. If $0 \in S^{1}$ is Birkhoff-Masur generic for $(M, \omega)$ then there exist positive constants $A, C, c>0$, a sequence of nested horizontal intervals $\left(I_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ in $(M, \omega)$ and an increasing divergent sequence of real numbers $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ with $t_{0}=0$ such that for every $k \geq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{c}\|\xi\|_{g_{t_{k}} \omega} \leq \max _{\alpha}\left|\left\langle\xi_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right), \xi\right\rangle\right| \leq c\|\xi\|_{g_{t_{k}} \omega} \quad \text { for every } \quad \xi \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R}),  \tag{5.2}\\
\lambda_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) \geq A \text { and } \frac{1}{C} \leq \tau_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) \leq C \text { for any } \alpha \in \mathcal{A} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 5.3. If $0 \in S^{1}$ is Birkhoff-Masur generic for $(M, \omega)$ then for every nonzero $\gamma \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ the cocycle $\psi_{\gamma, I}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left(I:=I_{0}\right.$ come from Lemma 5.2) is not a coboundary.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 there exist a sequence of nested horizontal intervals $\left(I_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ in $(M, \omega)$ and an increasing divergent sequence of real numbers $\left(t_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ such that (5.2) and (5.3) hold for $k \geq 0$ and $t_{0}=0$. Let $I:=I_{0}$ and denote by $T: I \rightarrow I$ the Poincaré return map to $I$ for the vertical flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Suppose, contrary to our claim, that $\psi_{\gamma, I}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a coboundary with a measurable transfer function $u: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $\psi_{\gamma, I}=u-u \circ T$.

For every $k \geq 1$ the Poincaré return map $T_{k}: I_{k} \rightarrow I_{k}$ to $I_{k}$ for the vertical flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $(M, \omega)$ is an IET exchanging intervals $\left(I_{k}\right)_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. The length of $\left(I_{k}\right)_{\alpha}$ in $(M, \omega)$ is equal to $\lambda_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)=e^{-t_{k}} \lambda_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. In view of 5.3), the length of $I_{k}$ in $(M, \omega)$ is

$$
\left|I_{k}\right|=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} e^{-t_{k}} \lambda_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) \leq C e^{-t_{k}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \lambda_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) \tau_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)=C e^{-t_{k}} \mu_{\omega}(M)
$$

By the definition of $\delta$, the set $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}\left(I_{k}, e^{t_{k}} \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)\right)=\mathcal{R}^{g_{t_{k}} \omega}\left(I_{k}, \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)\right)$ is a vertical rectangle in $\left(M, g_{t_{k}} \omega\right)$ without any singular point. It follows that the set $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}\left(I_{k}, e^{t_{k}} \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)\right)$ is a rectangle in $(M, \omega)$ without any singular point.

Denote by $h_{\alpha}^{k} \geq 0$ the first return time of the interval $\left(I_{k}\right)_{\alpha}$ to $I_{k}$ for the IET $T$. Let

$$
h_{k}:=\left[e^{t_{k}} \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) / \max _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \tau_{\alpha}(\omega, I)\right] \text { and } C_{\alpha}^{k}:=\bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq h_{k}} T^{j}\left(I_{k}\right)_{\alpha} .
$$

Now Lemma 5.1 applied to $J=I_{k}$ and $\Delta=e^{t_{k}} \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\gamma, I}^{\left(h_{\alpha}^{k}\right)}(x)=\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle \text { and }\left|T^{h_{\alpha}^{k}} x-x\right| \leq\left|I_{k}\right| \leq C e^{-t_{k}} \mu_{\omega}(M) \text { for } x \in C_{\alpha}^{k} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, by (5.3),

$\operatorname{Leb}\left(C_{\alpha}^{k}\right)=\left(h_{k}+1\right)\left|\left(I_{k}\right)_{\alpha}\right| \geq \frac{e^{t_{k}} \delta\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)}{\max _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \tau_{\alpha}} e^{-t_{k}} \lambda_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right) \geq \frac{A}{\max _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \tau_{\alpha}}=: a>0$.
By assumption, in view of (5.2), we have

$$
\|\gamma\|_{g_{t_{k}} \omega} \leq c \max _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\left|\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle\right| .
$$

Choose $B>0$ such that $\operatorname{Leb}\left(U_{B}\right)<a / 2$ for $U_{B}=\{x \in I:|u(x)|>B\}$. For every $m \geq 1$ let $J_{m}:=I \backslash\left(U_{B} \cup T^{-m} U_{B}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Leb}\left(I \backslash J_{m}\right)<a$ and for every $x \in J_{m}$ we have both $|u(x)| \leq B,\left|u\left(T^{m} x\right)\right| \leq B$. As $\operatorname{Leb}\left(I \backslash J_{h_{\alpha}^{k}}\right)<a$ and $\operatorname{Leb}\left(C_{\alpha}^{k}\right) \geq a$, there exists $x_{\alpha}^{k} \in C_{\alpha}^{k} \cap J_{h_{\alpha}^{k}}$. Therefore, by 5.4, for all $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we have

$$
\left|\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle\right|=\left|\psi_{\gamma, I}^{\left(h_{\alpha}^{k}\right)}\left(x_{\alpha}^{k}\right)\right|=\left|u\left(x_{\alpha}^{k}\right)-u\left(T^{h_{\alpha}^{k}} x_{\alpha}^{k}\right)\right| \leq\left|u\left(x_{\alpha}^{k}\right)\right|+\left|u\left(T^{h_{\alpha}^{k}} x_{\alpha}^{k}\right)\right| \leq 2 B .
$$

Since $\left.\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can assume that for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ the sequence $\left(\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is constant. Since (5.4) holds and $\operatorname{Leb}\left(C_{\alpha}^{k}\right) \geq a>0$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, we can apply Proposition 3.5 to $\psi=\psi_{\gamma, I}$, $C_{k}=C_{\alpha}^{k}$ and $h_{k}=h_{\alpha}^{k}$. This gives $\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle \in E\left(\psi_{\gamma, I}\right)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. In view of Proposition 3.3, as $\psi_{\gamma, I}$ is a coboundary, we have $E\left(\psi_{\gamma, I}\right)=\{0\}$, so $\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle=0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(\omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle$, this gives

$$
\|\gamma\|_{g_{t_{k}} \omega} \leq c \max _{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\left|\left\langle\gamma, \xi_{\alpha}\left(g_{t_{k}} \omega, I_{k}\right)\right\rangle\right|=0 .
$$

It follows that $\gamma=0$, contrary to $\gamma \neq 0$. Consequently, the cocycle $\psi_{\gamma, I}$ is not a coboundary for the IET $T: I \rightarrow I$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact connected translation surface and let $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ be its non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover (i.e. $\gamma \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{d}$ is non-zero). Then for a.e. $\theta \in S^{1}$ the Poisson suspension of the directional flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ flow on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ is weakly mixing.

Proof. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, the set $\Theta \subset S^{1}$ of all $\theta \in S^{1}$ for which $\pi / 2-\theta$ is Birkhoff-Masur generic for $(M, \omega)$ has full Lebesgue measure in $S^{1}$. We show that for every $\theta \in \Theta$ the directional flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ flow on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ has no invariant set of positive and finite measure. In view of Proposition 2.1 this proves the theorem.

Suppose that $\theta \in \Theta$. Then $0 \in S^{1}$ is a Birkhoff-Masur generic direction for $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right)$ and the flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ coincides with the vertical flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma},\left(\widetilde{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}} \omega\right)_{\gamma}\right)$.

Assume that $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{d}\right)$ and $\gamma_{j} \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero for some $1 \leq j \leq d$. By Lemma 5.2 and 5.3 there exists a horizontal interval in $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right)$ such that $\psi_{\gamma_{j}, I}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is not a coboundary for the Poincaré return map $T: I \rightarrow I$ for the vertical flow on $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right)$. Since $\psi_{\gamma_{j}, I}$ is the $j$-th coordinate function of $\psi_{\gamma, I}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d}$, the latter is also not a coboundary for $T$. In view of Proposition 3.4 , the skew product $T_{\psi_{\gamma, I}}$ on $I \times \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ has no invariant set of positive and finite measure. By Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2 the vertical flow on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma},\left(\widetilde{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}} \omega\right)_{\gamma}\right)$ has no invariant set of positive and finite measure as well. As the vertical flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma},\left(\widetilde{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}} \omega\right)_{\gamma}\right)$ coincides with the directional flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$, this completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. The first part of Theorem 1.1 follows directly from Theorem 5.4 applied to the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-cover $\left(M_{\mathcal{T}}, \omega_{\mathcal{T}}\right)$. Non-triviality of the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-cover follows from the connectivity of $M_{\mathcal{T}}$.

The second part of Theorem 1.1 is based on the fact that the billiard flow $\left(b_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathcal{T}^{1}$ is metrically isomorphic to the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on $M_{\mathcal{T}} \times S^{1} / \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ given by $\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}}(x, \theta) \mapsto\left(\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}, \theta} x, \theta\right)$. By Theorem 5.4 for a.e. $\theta \in S^{1} / \Gamma$ the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}, \theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ has no invariant subset of positive and finite measure. In view Lemma 2.2, the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}^{\mathcal{T}}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ enjoys the same property. The proof is completed by applying Proposition 2.1.

## 6. Absence of mixing

Let $(M, \omega)$ be a compact connected translation surface and let $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ be its $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover determined by $\gamma \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})^{d}$. Denote by $p_{\gamma}: \widetilde{M}_{\gamma} \rightarrow M$ the covering map. Let $d_{\gamma}^{\omega}$ be the geodesic distance on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$. Of course, $d_{\gamma}^{\omega}=d_{\gamma}^{r \theta \omega}$ for every $\theta \in S^{1}$. Denote by $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the vertical flow on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$.
Definition (cf. [2]). Given real numbers $c, L, \delta>0$ the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ is called $(c, L, \delta)$-recurrent if there exist a horizontal interval $I \subset M \backslash \Sigma$ such that the set $\mathcal{R}^{\omega}(I, L)=\left\{\varphi_{t}^{v} x: x \in I, t \in[0, L)\right\}$ is a vertical rectangle (without any singularity) in $(M, \omega)$ with $\mu_{\omega}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\omega}(I, L)\right) \geq c$ and for every $\widetilde{x} \in p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{\omega}(I, L)\right)$ the points $\widetilde{x}$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_{L}^{v} \widetilde{x}$ belong to the same horizontal leaf on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ and the distance between them along this leaf is smaller than $\delta$.

Let $\mathcal{M}=\overline{S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \omega}$ and let us consider the bundle $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which is the restriction of the homological bundle to $\mathcal{M}$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{1}^{\mathcal{M}}(M, \mathbb{R})=\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}^{\perp} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a continuous symplectic orthogonal splitting of the bundle which is $\left(A_{g}\right)_{g \in S L(2, \mathbb{R})^{-}}$ invariant. Denote by $H_{1}(M, \mathbb{R})=K_{\omega^{\prime}} \oplus K_{\omega^{\prime}}^{\perp}$ the corresponding splitting of the fiber over any $\omega^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}$.

A cylinder $C$ on $(M, \omega)$ is a maximal open annulus filled by homotopic simple closed geodesics. The direction of $C$ is the direction of these geodesics and the homology class of them is denoted by $\sigma(C) \in H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. A cylinder $C$ on $\left(M, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{M}$ is called $\mathcal{K}$-good if $\sigma(C) \in K_{\omega^{\prime}}^{\perp} \cap H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. If a cylinder $C$ on $(M, \omega)$ is $\mathcal{K}$-good and $\gamma \in\left(K_{\omega} \cap H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})\right)^{d}$ then $C$ lifts to a cylinder on the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$.
Proposition 6.1 (see the proof of Proposition 2 in [2]). Suppose that $\left(M, \omega_{*}\right) \in \mathcal{M}$ has a vertical $\mathcal{K}$-good cylinder. If the positive $\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ orbit of $(M, \omega)$ accumulates on
( $M, \omega_{*}$ ) then for any $\gamma \in\left(K_{\omega} \cap H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})\right)^{d}$ there exists $c>0$ and two sequences of positive numbers $\left(L_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1},\left(\delta_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $L_{n} \rightarrow+\infty, \delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ is $\left(c, L_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)$-recurrent for $n \geq 1$.

For every $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ let $D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \subset \widetilde{M}_{\gamma}$ be a fundamental domain for the deck group action so that the boundary of $D_{\gamma}^{\omega}$ is a finite union of intervals. Then, $\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right)=\mu_{\omega}(M) \in(0,+\infty)$. Moreover, choose the fundamental domains such that $D_{\gamma}^{\omega}=D_{\gamma}^{r_{\theta} \omega}$ for every $\theta \in S^{1}$.
Theorem 6.2. Suppose that $(M, \omega)$ has a $\mathcal{K}$-good cylinder $C$. If $\pi / 2-\theta \in S^{1}$ is a Birkhoff generic direction then for every $\gamma \in\left(K_{\omega} \cap H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})\right)^{d}$ we have

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap \widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right)>0
$$

Proof. Denote by $\theta_{0} \in S^{1}$ the direction of the cylinder $C$ on $(M, \omega)$. Since the split-
 lation surface $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta_{0}} \omega\right) \in \mathcal{M}$. Since $\pi / 2-\theta \in S^{1}$ is Birkhoff generic, applying (4.1) to a sequence $\left(\phi_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ in $C_{c}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\phi_{k}\right)\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a decreasing nested sequence of non-empty compact subsets with the intersection $\left\{r_{\pi / 2-\theta_{0}} \omega\right\}$, there exists $t_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $g_{t_{n}}\left(r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right) \rightarrow r_{\pi / 2-\theta_{0}} \omega$. By Proposition 6.1, there exists $c>0$ and two sequences of positive numbers $\left(L_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1},\left(\delta_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $L_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$, $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-cover $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}} \omega_{\gamma}\right)$ is $\left(c, L_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)$-recurrent for $n \geq 1$. Let us denote by $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{v}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the vertical flow on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}} \omega_{\gamma}\right)$ which coincides with the flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ in direction $\theta \in S^{1}$ on $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$. Then there exists a sequence $\left(I_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of horizontal intervals in $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right)$ such that $\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta \omega}}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)$ is a rectangle in $\left(M, r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega\right)$ such that $\mu_{\omega}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)=\mu_{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)>c$ and
(6.2) for every $\widetilde{x} \in p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)$ we have $d_{\gamma}^{\omega}\left(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{\varphi}_{L_{n}}^{v} \widetilde{x}\right)<\delta_{n}$.

As $D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \subset \widetilde{M}_{\gamma}$ is a fundamental domain for the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-action of the deck group, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)\right)=\mu_{\omega}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta}}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)>c . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\delta>0$ denote by $\partial_{\delta} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}$ the $\delta$-neighborhood in $\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, d_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right)$ of the boundary $\partial D_{\gamma}^{\omega}$. Since $\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(\partial D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(\partial_{\delta} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \delta \rightarrow 0 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of 6 6.2), we obtain

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}_{L_{n}}^{v}\left(\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)\right) \backslash \partial_{\delta_{n}} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right) \subset D_{\gamma}^{\omega} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap \widetilde{\varphi}_{L_{n}}^{\theta} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right)=\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap \widetilde{\varphi}_{L_{n}}^{v} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{L_{n}}^{v}\left(\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)\right) \backslash \partial_{\delta_{n}} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)\right) \backslash \partial_{\delta_{n}} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right) \\
& \quad \geq \mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap p_{\gamma}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}^{r_{\pi / 2-\theta} \omega}\left(I_{n}, L_{n}\right)\right)\right)-\mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(\partial_{\delta_{n}} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By 6.3) and 6.4, this gives $\liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mu_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}}\left(D_{\gamma}^{\omega} \cap \widetilde{\varphi}_{L_{n}}^{\theta} D_{\gamma}^{\omega}\right) \geq c>0$, which completes the proof.

In view of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 4.1, this leads to the following result:
Theorem 6.3. Suppose that $(M, \omega)$ is a compact connected translation surface with a $\mathcal{K}$-good cylinder. Then for every $\gamma \in\left(K_{\omega} \cap H_{1}(M, \mathbb{Z})\right)^{d}$ and for a.e. $\theta \in S^{1}$ the Poisson suspension of the directional flow $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on the $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ - $\operatorname{cover}\left(\widetilde{M}_{\gamma}, \widetilde{\omega}_{\gamma}\right)$ is not mixing.

The notion of $\mathcal{K}$-good cylinder was introduced in [2] and applied to prove recurrence for a.e. directional billiard flow in the standard periodic wind tree model. The existence of $\mathcal{K}$-good cylinders was also shown in more complicated billiards on periodic tables in 14 and [26]. The paper [26 deal with $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-periodic patterns of scatterers of right-angled polygonal shape with horizontal and vertical sides; the obstacles are horizontally and vertically symmetric. Some $\Lambda$-periodic patterns of scatterers with horizontal and vertical sides are considered in 14 for any lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$; here obstacles are centrally symmetric. Among others, the existence of $\mathcal{K}$-good cylinders was shown for $\Lambda_{\lambda}$-periodic wind tree model (obstacles are rectangles), where $\Lambda_{\lambda}$ is any lattice of the form $(1, \lambda) \mathbb{Z}+(0,1) \mathbb{Z}$. In view of Theorem 6.3 , we have the absence of mixing for the Poisson suspension of the directional billiard flows $\left(b_{t}^{\theta}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for a.e. $\theta \in S^{1}$ on all billiards tables considered in [2, 14, 26].
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