On multipartite invariant states II. Orthogonal symmetry.

Dariusz Chruściński and Andrzej Kossakowski Institute of Physics, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Grudziądzka 5/7, 87–100 Toruń, Poland

We construct a new class of multipartite states possessing orthogonal symmetry. This new class defines a convex hull of multipartite states which are invariant under the action of local unitary operations introduced in our previous paper *On multipartite invariant states I. Unitary symmetry.* We study basic properties of multipartite symmetric states: separability criteria and multi-PPT conditions.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1] we analyzed multipartite states invariant under local unitary operations. For bipartite systems one has two classes of unitary invariant states: Werner states [2] invariant under

$$\rho \longrightarrow U \otimes U \rho (U \otimes U)^{\dagger} , \qquad (1)$$

for any $U \in U(d)$, where U(d) denotes the group of unitary $d \times d$ matrices, and isotropic states [3] which are invariant under

$$\rho \longrightarrow U \otimes \overline{U} \rho \left(U \otimes \overline{U} \right)^{\dagger} , \qquad (2)$$

where \overline{U} is the complex conjugate of U in some basis. In [1] we proposed a natural generalization of bipartite symmetric states to multipartite systems consisting of an arbitrary even number of d-dimensional subsystems (qudits).

In the present paper we introduce a new class of states which combines above symmetries (1) and (2), i.e. it contains states which are both $U \otimes U$ and $U \otimes \overline{U}$ -invariant, that is, states invariant under all unitary operations U such that $U = \overline{U}$:

$$\rho \longrightarrow O \otimes O \rho (O \otimes O)^T , \qquad (3)$$

with $O \in O(d) \subset U(d)$, where O(d) denotes the set of $d \times d$ orthogonal matrices. Such states were first considered in [4] (see also [5]). In a slightly different context symmetric states were studied also in [6]. Recently [7] unitary invariant 3-partite states were used to test multipartite separability criteria.

Here we present a general construction of $O \otimes O$ invariant states for multipartite systems consisting of an arbitrary even number of *d*-dimensional subsystems. It turns out that orthogonally invariant states of 2K-partite system (with *K* being a positive integer) define $(3^K - 1)$ -invariant simplex. We analyze (multi)separability criteria and the hierarchy of multi-PPT conditions [1, 8, 9]. It is hoped that these new family would serve as a useful laboratory to study multipartite entanglement [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

II. BIPARTITE STATES

A. Simplex of orthogonally invariant states

Let us consider a bipartite Alice–Bob system living in $\mathcal{H}_{AB} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B = (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 2}$. Recall that the space of $U \otimes U$ –invariant hermitian operators in \mathcal{H}_{AB} is spanned by two orthogonal projectors

$$Q^{0} = \frac{1}{2}(I^{\otimes 2} + \mathbf{F}) , \quad Q^{1} = \frac{1}{2}(I^{\otimes 2} - \mathbf{F}) , \quad (4)$$

where **F** is a flip operator, i.e. $\mathbf{F}(\psi \otimes \varphi) = \varphi \otimes \psi$, defined by

$$\mathbf{F} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} |ij\rangle\langle ji| .$$
 (5)

In particular this 2-dimensional space contains a line of normalized (i.e. with unit trace) operators:

$$L: \quad (1-q)\,\widetilde{Q}^0 + q\,\widetilde{Q}^1 \ , \tag{6}$$

with $q \in \mathbb{R}$, and throughout the paper \widetilde{A} stands for A/TrA. A segment of L with vertices \widetilde{Q}^0 and \widetilde{Q}^1 defines a family of bipartite Werner states:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{q}} = q_0 \, \widetilde{Q}^0 + q_1 \, \widetilde{Q}^1 \,, \tag{7}$$

with $q_{\alpha} \ge 0$, and $q_0 + q_1 = 1$.

Now, a partial transposition $1 \otimes \tau$ sends points of L into another line $L_{\tau} = (1 \otimes \tau)L$:

$$L_{\tau}: (1-p)\tilde{P}^{0} + p\tilde{P}^{1}$$
, (8)

with $p \in \mathbb{R}$, and P^{α} denote the following orthogonal projectors:

$$P^1 = P_d^+$$
, $P^0 = I^{\otimes 2} - P^1$, (9)

with P_d^+ being a 1-dimensional projector corresponding to a canonical maximally entangled state in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$:

$$P_d^+ = \frac{1}{d} \left(\mathbb{1} \otimes \tau \right) \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i,j=1}^d |ii\rangle \langle jj| .$$
 (10)

A segment of L_{τ} with vertices \tilde{P}^0 and \tilde{P}^1 defines a family of bipartite isotropic states:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{p}} = p_0 \,\widetilde{P}^0 + p_1 \,\widetilde{P}^1 \,\,, \tag{11}$$

with $p_{\alpha} \ge 0$, and $p_0 + p_1 = 1$.

Let us introduce a new class Σ_1 of bipartite states which are both $U \otimes U$ and $U \otimes \overline{U}$ -invariant for all $U \in U(d)$ such that $U = \overline{U}$. Such U's represent real orthogonal matrices in O(d). Hence, Σ_1 defines a new family of symmetric $O \otimes O$ -invariant states:

$$\rho \longrightarrow O \otimes O \rho (O \otimes O)^T$$
(12)

with $O \in O(d) \subset U(d)$. Clearly Σ_1 contains both Werner and isotropic states and, therefore, it contains a convex hull of \widetilde{Q}^{α} and \widetilde{P}^{α} :

$$\Sigma_1 \supset \operatorname{conv} \{ \widetilde{Q}^0, \widetilde{Q}^1, \widetilde{P}^0, \widetilde{P}^1 \} .$$
 (13)

It is easy to see that these four states are co-planar, i.e. they belong to a common 2-dimensional plane in d^2 dimensional space of hermitian operators in $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Indeed, one shows that

$$\det\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{Q}^{\alpha}\tilde{Q}^{\beta}) | \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{Q}^{\alpha}\tilde{P}^{\beta})}{\operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{P}^{\alpha}\tilde{Q}^{\beta}) | \operatorname{Tr}(\tilde{P}^{\alpha}\tilde{P}^{\beta})}\right] = 0 , \qquad (14)$$

and hence Σ_1 is 2-dimensional. Therefore the two lines L and L_{τ} intersect and the point $L \cap L_{\tau}$ is described by

$$q = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{d(d+1)} , \qquad (15)$$

and

$$p = \frac{2}{d(d+1)} \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{d(d+1)} \right] .$$
 (16)

Note that $q, p \in [0, 1]$ and hence the intersection point $L \cap L_{\tau} \in \Sigma_1$ defines a state which is both Werner and isotropic. Moreover, since q < 1/2 (and p < 1/d) this state is separable.

Now, it turns out that Σ_1 defines a simplex with vertices $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}$; $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$, where

$$\Pi^{0} = Q^{0} - P^{1} ,$$

$$\Pi^{1} = Q^{1} , (17)$$

$$\Pi^{2} = P^{1} .$$

One may call it a 'minimal simplex' containing $\operatorname{conv} \{ \widetilde{Q}^0, \widetilde{Q}^1, \widetilde{P}^0, \widetilde{P}^1 \}$. In particular

$$\widetilde{Q}^{0} = \frac{1}{d(d+1)} \left[(d-1)(d+2)\,\widetilde{\Pi}^{0} + 2\widetilde{\Pi}^{2} \right] \,, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\widetilde{P}^{0} = \frac{1}{2(d+1)} \left[(d+2) \widetilde{\Pi}^{0} + d\widetilde{\Pi}^{1} \right] .$$
(19)

Note, that the family Π^k gives rise to the orthogonal resolution of identity in $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$:

$$\Pi^{i}\Pi^{j} = \delta_{ij}\Pi^{j} , \qquad (20)$$

and

$$\Pi^0 + \Pi^1 + \Pi^2 = I^{\otimes 2} .$$
 (21)

Any state ρ in Σ_1 may be written as follows

$$\rho = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \pi_k \,\widetilde{\Pi}^k \,\,, \tag{22}$$

where $\widetilde{\Pi}^k = \Pi^k / \text{Tr}\Pi^k$, and the corresponding 'fidelities'

$$\pi_k = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho \Pi^k) , \qquad (23)$$

satisfy $\pi_k \geq 0$ together with $\sum_k \pi_k = 1$. It is evident that an arbitrary bipartite state ρ may be projected onto the $O \otimes O$ -invariant subspace by the following projection operation $\mathbf{P}^{(1)} : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \Sigma_1$:

$$\mathbf{P}^{(1)}\rho = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\rho \mathbf{\Pi}^{k}) \widetilde{\Pi}^{k} .$$
 (24)

B. Separability and PPT condition

Consider a separable state $\sigma = P_{\psi} \otimes P_{\varphi}$, where $P_x = |x\rangle \langle x|$, and ψ, φ are normalized vectors in \mathbb{C}^d . One easily finds for fidelities $\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma \Pi^k)$:

$$\pi_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\alpha) - \frac{\beta}{d} ,$$

$$\pi_{1} = \frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha) , \qquad (25)$$

$$\pi_{2} = \frac{\beta}{d} ,$$

where

$$\alpha = |\langle \psi | \varphi \rangle|^2 , \quad \beta = |\langle \psi | \overline{\varphi} \rangle|^2 .$$
 (26)

Now, an arbitrary separable state is a convex combination of the extremal product states $P_{\psi} \otimes P_{\varphi}$. Noting that $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$, the separable $O \otimes O$ -invariant states satisfy

$$\pi_1 \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad \pi_2 \le \frac{1}{d},$$
(27)

i.e. they combine separability conditions for Werner states $\pi_1 \leq 1/2$ and isotropic states $\pi_2 \leq 1/d$.

Now, applying a partial transposition $(1 \otimes \tau)$ to (22) one finds

$$(\mathbb{1} \otimes \tau)\rho = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2} \pi'_{\alpha} \widetilde{\Pi}^{k} , \qquad (28)$$

where

$$\pi'_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{2} \pi_{\beta} \mathbf{C}^{\beta\alpha} , \qquad (29)$$

and **C** denotes the following 3×3 matrix:

$$\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{2d} \begin{pmatrix} d-2 & d & 2\\ d+2 & d & -2\\ (d-1)(d+2) & -d(d-1) & 2 \end{pmatrix} .$$
 (30)

Observe that

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{2} \mathbf{C}^{\beta\alpha} = 1 , \qquad (31)$$

but $\mathbf{C}^{\beta\alpha}$ contains negative elements and hence it is not a stochastic matrix. The Peres-Horodecki condition [8, 9] implies $\pi'_{\alpha} \geq 0$ and hence

$$\pi_0 + \pi_1 - (d-1)\pi_2 \ge 0 , \qquad (32)$$

$$\pi_0 - \pi_1 + \pi_2 \ge 0 , \qquad (33)$$

which is equivalent to $\pi_1 \leq 1/2$ and $\pi_2 \leq 1/d$. This shows that bipartite $O \otimes O$ -invariant state is separable iff it is PPT.

III. 2×2 -PARTITE STATES

A. Construction

Consider now a 4-partite system living in $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_3 \otimes \mathcal{H}_4$ with $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathbb{C}^d$. Following [1] we may introduce two Alices A_k and two Bobs B_k : A_k lives in \mathcal{H}_k and B_k lives in \mathcal{H}_{2+k} (for k = 1, 2).

Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ be a trinary 2-dimensional vector, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ with $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Following [1] we define a family of 4-partite projectors

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \Pi_{1|3}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \Pi_{2|4}^{\alpha_2} , \qquad (34)$$

where $L_{i|j}$ denotes a bipartite operator acting on $\mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_j$, and Π^{α} are defined in (17). One easily shows that 9 projectors (34) satisfy

1. Π^{α} are $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant, i.e.

$$\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O} \,\mathbf{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O} \,\,, \tag{35}$$

with $\mathbf{O} = (O_1, O_2)$, and

$$\mathbf{O}\otimes\mathbf{O}=O_1\otimes O_2\otimes O_1\otimes O_2$$

2. $\Pi^{\alpha} \cdot \Pi^{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Pi^{\beta},$

3.
$$\sum_{\alpha} \Pi^{\alpha} = \mathbb{1}^{\otimes 4}$$

that is, Π^{α} define spectral resolution of identity in $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 4}$. Hence, any 4-partite $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant state may be uniquely represented by

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \, \widetilde{\Pi}^{\alpha} \, , \qquad (36)$$

where the corresponding 'fidelities' $\pi_{\alpha} = \text{Tr}(\rho \Pi^{\alpha})$ satisfy $\pi_{\alpha} \geq 0$ together with $\sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} = 1$. The above construction gives rise to 8-dimensional simplex Σ_2 with vertices $\widetilde{\Pi}^{\alpha}$. Note, that Σ_2 contains a convex hull of 4 classes of 4-partite invariant states introduced in [1]:

$$\Sigma_2 \supset \operatorname{conv}\left\{\Sigma_2^{(00)}, \Sigma_2^{(01)}, \Sigma_2^{(10)}, \Sigma_2^{(11)}\right\},$$
 (37)

where

$${}^{(00)}_{2} = \operatorname{conv} \{ \widetilde{Q}^{i}_{1|3} \otimes \widetilde{Q}^{j}_{2|4} \} , \qquad (38)$$

$$\Sigma_2^{(01)} = \operatorname{conv} \{ Q_{1|3}^i \otimes P_{2|4}^j \} , \qquad (39)$$

$$\Sigma_{2}^{(10)} = \operatorname{conv} \{ \widetilde{P}_{1|3}^{i} \otimes \widetilde{Q}_{2|4}^{j} \} , \qquad (40)$$

$$\Sigma_{2}^{(11)} = \operatorname{conv} \{ \widetilde{P}_{1|3}^{i} \otimes \widetilde{P}_{2|4}^{j} \} , \qquad (41)$$

with $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$. A 3-dimensional simplex $\Sigma_2^{\boldsymbol{a}}$, where $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, a_2)$ denotes 2-dimensional binary vector, defines a set of \boldsymbol{a} -invariant states. Recall that a 4-partite state ρ is \boldsymbol{a} -invariant iff $\tau_{\boldsymbol{a}}\rho$, with

$$\tau_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \tau^{a_1} \otimes \tau^{a_2} , \qquad (42)$$

is $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ -invariant. In particular $\Sigma_2^{(00)}$ and $\Sigma_2^{(11)}$ denote 4-partite Werner and isotropic states, respectively.

B. Separability

To find the corresponding separability criteria note that a general 4-partite $O \otimes O$ -invariant state ρ is 4separable iff there exists a 4-separable state σ such that $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}\rho = \sigma$, where

$$\mathbf{P}^{(2)}: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \Sigma_2 , \qquad (43)$$

defines a projection onto 4-partite $O \otimes O$ -invariant states. Consider an extremal product state $\sigma = P_{\psi_1} \otimes P_{\psi_2} \otimes P_{\varphi_1} \otimes P_{\varphi_2}$, where ψ_i, φ_j are normalized vectors in \mathbb{C}^d . One easily finds for fidelities $\operatorname{Tr}(\sigma \Pi^{\sigma})$:

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\psi_1} \otimes P_{\varphi_1} \cdot \Pi_{1|3}^{\sigma_1}) \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\psi_2} \otimes P_{\varphi_2} \cdot \Pi_{2|4}^{\sigma_2})$$
$$= u_1 \cdot u_2 , \qquad (44)$$

with

$$u_{i} = \begin{cases} (1+\alpha_{i})/2 - \beta_{i}/d & , & \sigma_{i} = 0 \\ (1-\alpha_{i})/2 & , & \sigma_{i} = 1 \\ \beta_{i}/d & , & \sigma_{i} = 2 \end{cases}$$
(45)

where

$$\alpha_i = |\langle \psi_i | \varphi_i \rangle|^2 , \quad \beta_i = |\langle \psi_i | \overline{\varphi}_i \rangle|^2 .$$
 (46)

Now, since $\alpha_i, \beta_i \leq 1$, the projection $\mathbf{P}^{(2)}$ of the convex hull of extremal separable states gives the subset of separable $O \otimes O$ -invariant states defined by the following relations:

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \le \frac{1}{f_{\sigma_1} f_{\sigma_2}} , \qquad (47)$$

where

$$f_{\sigma} = \begin{cases} 1 & , \ \sigma = 0 \\ 2 & , \ \sigma = 1 \\ d & , \ \sigma = 2 \end{cases}$$
(48)

It is evident, that (47) generalize formulae (27). Clearly, separable states in Σ_2 contain a convex hull of separable states in each **a**-invariant simplex $\Sigma_2^{\mathbf{a}}$:

$$\operatorname{Sep}(\Sigma_2) \supset \operatorname{conv} \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{a}} \operatorname{Sep}(\Sigma_2^{\boldsymbol{a}})$$
. (49)

Is 4-separability equivalent to PPT condition? Note, that one may perform 3 different partial transpositions (42):

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(01)} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau , \\ \tau_{(10)} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathbf{1} , \\ \tau_{(11)} &= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \tau \otimes \tau . \end{aligned}$$
(50)

It is easy to see that

$$\tau_{(01)} \rho = \sum_{\alpha} \pi'_{\alpha} \widetilde{\Pi}^{\alpha} , \qquad (51)$$

$$\tau_{(10)} \rho = \sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} \widetilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}^{\alpha} , \qquad (52)$$

$$\tau_{(11)} \rho = \sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} \widetilde{\Pi}^{\alpha} , \qquad (53)$$

with

$$\pi'_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \pi_{\beta} \left(\mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{C} \right)^{\beta \alpha} , \qquad (54)$$

$$\pi_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime} = \sum_{\beta} \pi_{\beta} \left(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{I} \right)^{\beta \alpha} , \qquad (55)$$

$$\pi_{\alpha}^{\prime\prime\prime} = \sum_{\beta} \pi_{\beta} \left(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{C} \right)^{\beta \alpha} , \qquad (56)$$

where **I** denotes 3×3 identity matrix and **C** is defined in (30). For example one finds that a state $\rho \in \Sigma_2$ is (01)–PPT, i.e. $\tau_{01}\rho \geq 0$ iff

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{00} + \pi_{01} - (d-1)\pi_{02} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{00} - \pi_{01} + \pi_{02} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{10} + \pi_{11} - (d-1)\pi_{12} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{10} - \pi_{11} + \pi_{12} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{20} + \pi_{21} - (d-1)\pi_{22} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{20} - \pi_{21} + \pi_{22} &\geq 0 .
\end{aligned}$$
(57)

Similarly, it is (10)–PPT iff

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{00} + \pi_{10} - (d-1)\pi_{20} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{00} - \pi_{10} + \pi_{20} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{01} + \pi_{11} - (d-1)\pi_{21} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{01} - \pi_{11} + \pi_{21} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{02} + \pi_{12} - (d-1)\pi_{22} &\geq 0 , \\
\pi_{02} - \pi_{12} + \pi_{22} &\geq 0 .
\end{aligned}$$
(58)

Now, it was proved in [1] that any 4-partite $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$ invariant state is 4-separable iff it is (01)- (10)- and (11)-PPT. Moreover, any symmetric state is A|B biseparable iff it is (11)–PPT. We conjecture that the same property holds for $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant states. To prove it one has to apply the same techniques as in [1]. To investigate all PPT conditions one needs together with (57) and (58) a highly complicated (11)–PPT condition which we shall not consider here.

IV. 2K-PARTITE STATES

A. General contruction

Generalization to 2K-partite system is straightforward. Following [1] we introduce 2K qudits with the total space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2K} = (\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes 2K}$. We may still interpret the total system as a bipartite one with $\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}_K$ and $\mathcal{H}_B = \mathcal{H}_{K+1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2K}$. Equivalently, we may introduce K Alices and K Bobs with $\mathcal{H}_{A_i} = \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{H}_{B_i} = \mathcal{H}_{K+i}$, respectively. Then \mathcal{H}_A and \mathcal{H}_B stand for the composite K Alices' and Bobs' spaces.

Now, let α be a trinary K-dimensional vector, i.e. $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_K)$ with $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. In analogy to (34) let us define a family of 2K-partite projectors

$$\mathbf{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \Pi_{1|K+1}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Pi_{K|2K}^{\alpha_K} .$$
 (59)

One easily shows that

1. Π^{α} are $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant, i.e.

$$\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O} \,\mathbf{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \mathbf{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O} \,\,, \tag{60}$$

with $\mathbf{O} = (O_1, \ldots, O_K)$, and

$$\mathbf{O}\otimes\mathbf{O}=O_1\otimes\ldots\otimes O_K\otimes O_1\otimes\ldots\otimes O_K\;.$$

2. $\Pi^{\alpha} \cdot \Pi^{\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Pi^{\beta},$

3.
$$\sum_{\alpha} \Pi^{\alpha} = \mathbb{1}^{\otimes 2K}$$

Therefore, 2K-partite $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant states define a $(3^K - 1)$ -dimensional simplex Σ_K :

$$\rho = \sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} \, \widetilde{\Pi}^{\alpha} \, , \qquad (61)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \widetilde{\Pi}_{1|K+1}^{\alpha_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \widetilde{\Pi}_{K|2K}^{\alpha_K} , \qquad (62)$$

and the corresponding 'fidelities'

$$\pi_{\alpha} = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho \Pi^{\alpha}) , \qquad (63)$$

satisfy $\pi_{\alpha} \geq 0$ together with $\sum_{\alpha} \pi_{\alpha} = 1$. Denote by Σ_K^{α} a $(2^K - 1)$ -dimensional simplex of \boldsymbol{a} -invariant states, where $\boldsymbol{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_K)$ denotes a binary K-vector. Recall that a 2K-partite state ρ is \boldsymbol{a} -invariant iff $\tau_{\boldsymbol{a}}\rho$, with

$$\tau_{\boldsymbol{a}} = 1\!\!1^{\otimes K} \otimes \tau^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau^{a_K} , \qquad (64)$$

is $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$ -invariant. In particular $\Sigma_K^{(0...0)}$ and $\Sigma_K^{(1...1)}$ denote the simplex of 2K-partite Werner and isotropic states, respectively (see [1]). It is therefore clear that Σ_K contains a convex hull of 2^K single *a*-invariant simplexes $\Sigma_K^{\boldsymbol{a}}$:

$$\Sigma_K \supset \operatorname{conv} \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{a}} \Sigma_K^{\boldsymbol{a}}$$
 (65)

в. Separability and multi-PPT conditions

To find separability conditions for 2K-partite $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant states consider a separable state

$$\sigma = P_{\psi_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes P_{\psi_K} \otimes P_{\varphi_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes P_{\varphi_K} ,$$

where ψ_i, φ_j are normalized vectors in \mathbb{C}^d . One easily finds for fidelities $Tr(\sigma\Pi^{\sigma})$:

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \prod_{i=1}^{K} \operatorname{Tr}(P_{\psi_{i}} \otimes P_{\varphi_{i}} \cdot \prod_{i|K+i}^{\sigma_{i}})$$

= $u_{1} \dots u_{K}$, (66)

where u_i are defined in (45). The projection $\mathbf{P}^{(K)}$ of the convex hull of extremal separable states gives the subset of separable $O \otimes O$ -invariant states defined by the following relations:

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} \le \frac{1}{f_{\sigma_1} \dots f_{\sigma_K}} , \qquad (67)$$

where f's are defined in (48). Clearly, a set of separable states in Σ_K contains a convex hull of separable states in each *a*-invariant simplex Σ_K^a :

$$\operatorname{Sep}(\Sigma_K) \supset \operatorname{conv} \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{a}} \operatorname{Sep}(\Sigma_K^{\boldsymbol{a}}) .$$
 (68)

For 2K-partite state one may look for $2^{K} - 1$ partial transpositions

$$\tau_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \mathbb{1}^{\otimes K} \otimes \tau^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau^{a_K} .$$
 (69)

Note, that

$$\tau_{\boldsymbol{a}}\rho = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \pi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}' \widetilde{\Pi}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} , \qquad (70)$$

with

$$\pi'_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \pi_{\beta} \left(\mathbf{C}^{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbf{C}^{a_K} \right)^{\beta \alpha} , \qquad (71)$$

where

$$\mathbf{C}^{a} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{I} & , \ a = 0 \\ \mathbf{C} & , \ a = 1 \end{cases} .$$
 (72)

In analogy to 4-partite symmetric states we conjecture that a 2K-partite state in Σ_K is 2K-separable iff it is **b**-PPT for all binary 2-vectors **b**. Moreover, a state in Σ_K is A|B bi-separable iff it is $(1 \dots 1)$ -PPT.

Reductions C.

It is evident that reducing the 2K partite state $\rho \in$ Σ_K with respect to $A_i \otimes B_i$ pair one obtains 2(K-1)partite state $\rho' \in \Sigma_{K-1}$ living in

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ldots \mathcal{H}_i \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{i+K} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2K} , \qquad (73)$$

where \mathcal{H}_i denotes the omitting of \mathcal{H}_i . The corresponding fidelities are given by

$$\pi'_{(\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{K-1})} = \sum_{\beta} \pi_{(\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{i-1}\beta\alpha_i\dots\alpha_{K-1})} .$$
 (74)

Note, that reduction with respect to a 'mixed' pair, say $A_i \otimes B_j$ with $i \neq j$, is equivalent to two 'natural' reductions with respect to $A_i \otimes B_i$ and $A_j \otimes B_j$ and hence it gives rise to 2(K-2)-partite invariant state. This procedure establishes a natural hierarchy

- D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, On multipartite invariant states I. Unitary symmetry, e-print quant-ph/0601027
- [2] R.F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4277 (1989).
- [3] M. Horodecki and P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 59, 4206 (1999).
- [4] K.G.H. Vollbrecht and R.F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 64, 062307 (2001).
- [5] T. Eggeling and R.F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 63, 042111 (2001).
- [6] S. Virmani and M.B. Plenio, Phys. Rev. A 67 062308 (2003).
- [7] W. Hall, Multipartite reduction criteria for separability, e-print quant-ph/0504154
- [8] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996).
- [9] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 223, 1 (1996); P. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A

of multipartite $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbf{O}$ -invariant states.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research Grant Informatyka i inżynieria kwantowa No PBZ-Min-008/P03/03.

232, 333 (1997).

- [10] A. Miyake and H-J. Briegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 220501 (2005).
- [11] A.C. Doherty, P.A. Parrilo and F.M. Spedalieri, Phys. Rev. A, Vol. 71, 032333 (2005).
- [12] G. Toth and O. Guehne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 060501 (2005).
- [13] M. Bourennane, M. Eibl, Ch. Kurtsiefer, S. Gaertner, H. Weinfurter, O. Guehne, P. Hyllus, D. Bruss, M. Lewenstein and A. Sanpera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 087902 (2004).
- [14] A. Acin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 027901 (2002)
- [15] W. Dür, J. I. Cirac and R. Tarrach, Phys. Rev. Lett.
 83, 3562 (1999); W. Dur and J.I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 61, 042314 (2000).