Rowan University

Rowan Digital Works

First-Generation Symposium

Feb 13th, 11:00 AM - 12:00 PM

First-Generation College Students and "Othering" in Undergraduate Engineering

Dr. Harriet Hartman

Professor of Sociology, Rowan University, hartman@rowan.edu

Dr. Stephanie Farrell

Experiential Engineering Education, Rowan University, farrell@rowan.edu

Dr. Ralph Dusseau

Civil and Environmental Engineering, Rowan University, dusseau@rowan.edu

Dr. Kauser Jahan

Civil and Environmental Engineering, Rowan University, jahan@rowan.edu

Sarah Bauer

Rowan University, bauers@rowan.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://rdw.rowan.edu/firstgenerationsymposium See next page for additional authors

Part of the Community College Leadership Commons, Educational Leadership Commons, Higher Education Commons, and the Student Counseling and Personnel Services Commons

Let us know how access to this document benefits you - share your thoughts on our feedback form.

Hartman, Dr. Harriet; Farrell, Dr. Stephanie; Dusseau, Dr. Ralph; Jahan, Dr. Kauser; Bauer, Sarah; Forin, Dr. Tiago; Sukumaran, Dr. Beena; Lezotte, CRA, Stephanie; Zeppilli, EIT, Danilo; and Bruckerhoff, Theresa, "First-Generation College Students and "Othering" in Undergraduate Engineering" (2019). *First-Generation Symposium*. 3.

https://rdw.rowan.edu/firstgenerationsymposium/2019/feb13/3

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences, Events, and Symposia at Rowan Digital Works. It has been accepted for inclusion in First-Generation Symposium by an authorized administrator of Rowan Digital Works. For more information, please contact brush@rowan.edu.

Or. Harriet Hartman; Dr. Stephanie Farrell; Dr. Ralph Dusseau; Dr. Kauser Jahan; Sarah Baud Forin; Dr. Beena Sukumaran; Stephanie Lezotte, CRA; Danilo Zeppilli, EIT; and Theresa Bruc	er, Dr. Hago
	kerhoff

First-Generation College Students and "Othering" in Undergraduate Engineering

Harriet Hartman, Sociology & Anthropology and the rest of the <u>RevED</u>* team:

Stephanie Farrell, Experiential Engineering Education

Ralph Dusseau, Kauser Jahan, Sarah Bauer, Tiago Forin, Beena Sukumaran (also VP Research), Civil/Environmental Engineering

Stephanie Lezotte, Education

Danilo Zeppilli, Engineering

Theresa Bruckerhoff, CRE

*We acknowledge the support of NSF Grant 1623053. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, recommendations are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.

"Othering" among university students

- Feeling "other" or different than the mainstream, the "typical" student
 - Perceiving oneself and others like you as not belonging
 - Feeling insecure or inadequate academically, socially, or professionally
 - Feeling uncomfortable about your identity when among others
 - Reluctance to commit to a future in the major or profession

Research Questions

- Do First-Generation College Students (FGCS) in undergraduate engineering at Rowan identify as "other"?
- In what ways are they "other"?
 - Are they less prepared academically for undergraduate engineering?
 - Do they share other "minority" statuses?
- Do they participate in the engineering and university student communities?
- Are they as self-confident as Continuing-Generation College Students (CGCS) in undergraduate engineering at Rowan?
- Do they feel as if they don't belong in engineering?
- Are they less committed to a future in the major or the profession of engineering?

Data 2016 (-7) survey of all engineering students n=293

- Visible and invisible minority identities
 - Gender
 - Sexual orientation
 - Race/ethnicity
 - Social class
 - Disability
 - Religion
 - First-generation college status
- Pre-college background

- Climate of diversity and inclusion (comfort in variety of situations)
- Extra-curricular activities
- Self-confidence as engineer
- Satisfaction with courses, program
- Future plans for degree, job

In what ways are FGCS "other" than CGCS in undergraduate engineering?

_	_
FGCS	CGCS
52.8	27.7
52.9	29.0
37.7	53.8
21.2	30.6
19.0	15.1
90.9	88.5
46.4	54.1
8.5	10.9
14.2	4.3
6.6	1.6
(n=105) (36%)	(n=180) (64%)
	52.8 52.9 37.7 21.2 19.0 90.9 46.4 8.5 14.2 6.6

Pre-College Preparation

	FGCS	CGCS
% Top 10% of class rank	59.6	60.5
% Math SAT score 750+	17.3	18.4
% Critical reading score 750+	8.3	2.0
Mean GPA in high school (4-point scale)	3.61	3.78
Honors STEM Activities Index	1.78	2.22
AP STEM Activities index	1.23	1.64
HS extracurricular STEM activities Index	1.0	.8

Experiences at Rowan	FGCS	CGCS
Extra-curricular engineering related activities Index (Mean) (e.g., projects, engineering service clubs, student professional societies, engineering learning community, mentoring programs)	1.9	2.2
Extra-curricular non-engineering related activities index (Mean) (e.g. volunteer work, the Honors program, collegiate or intramural athletics)	1.1	1.6
% employed during academic year	56.7	58.1

"Othering"

- FGCS in engineering do NOT feel "othered" more than CGCS in engineering in terms of being uncomfortable
 - Being the only one of their (race/ethnicity, gender, SES, religion, sexual orientation)
 - Being with others in their (race/ethnicity, gender, SES, religion, sexual orientation)
 - Speaking about their (race/ethnicity, gender, SES, religion, sexual orientation)
 - Being with others who are different than their (race/ethnicity, gender, SES, religion, sexual orientation)
 - Saying what they think about (race/ethnicity, gender, SES, religion, sexual orientation)



There is an interaction between being FGCS and women, and being FGCS and LGBQ+

- Women and LGBQ+ who are FGCS DO feel more uncomfortable than FGCS men and heterosexuals
- Women and LGBQ+ who are FGCS DO feel more uncomfortable than CGCS women and LGBQ+

Therefore, being FGCS and a woman, or FGCS and LGBQ+ increases the vulnerability of being a minority engineering student

Self-Confidence

- ► FGCS do NOT differ from CGCS in terms of
 - Academic self-confidence
 - Employability self-confidence

Belonging in Engineering

- FGCS do NOT differ from CGCS in
 - Feeling they are part of an engineering community
 - Feeling that they belong in this engineering environment

A Future in Engineering

- ► FGCS do NOT differ from CGCS in terms of
 - The highest academic degree they expect to get in engineering
 - Their expectations that they will be employed in engineering ten years from now

Conclusions

- FGCS in engineering DO differ from CGCS in terms of
 - SES
 - Transfer status
 - Pre-college Honors and AP STEM courses
 - Pre-college extra-curricular STEM activities
- FGCS in engineering do NOT differ from CGCS in terms of
 - Class rank
 - ■SAT math score

Conclusions (cont'd)

- Engineering FGCS DO differ from CGCS in terms of participating in
 - Extra-curricular activities related to engineering
 - University-wide extra-curricular activities not related to engineering

Conclusions (cont'd)

- Engineering FGCS do NOT differ from CGCS in terms of
 - Comfort about their identities in terms of gender, race/ethnicity, religion, socioeconomic status, or sexual orientation
- Women and LGBQ+ who are FGCS DO feel less comfortable about their gender and sexual orientation when compared to
 - FGCS men and heterosexuals
 - ■CGCS women and LGBQ+

Conclusions (cont'd)

- Engineering FGCS do NOT differ from CGCS in terms of
 - Feeling that they belong to Rowan's engineering community
 - Academic self-confidence in engineering
 - Employability self-confidence
 - Future plans to stay in engineering as a major and as a career

CONCLUDING CONCLUSIONS

- So, for the most part, even though engineering FGCS differ from CGCS in significant ways, they are able to draw on strengths that allow them to belong to the engineering community, develop an engineering identity, and believe in themselves as engineers
 - Some research refers to the strong "grit" and perseverance that FGCS have
 - Other researchers refer to a special sense of social capital than FGCS draw upon, which differs from the social capital of CGCS but is no less effective
 - However, being FGCS interacts with some minority statuses (gender, sexual orientation) to increase vulnerability

QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION/RESEARCH

- Are engineering FGCS (and CGCS) at Rowan representative of all Rowan FGCS (and CGCS)?
- What is the social capital FGCS use to succeed in engineering at Rowan?
- Do all FGCS draw on the same type of social capital to succeed?
- How can that social capital be strengthened?