

Whitworth Digital Commons
Whitworth University

Health Sciences Faculty Scholarship

Health Sciences

6-2017

Cardiovascular Disease Risk Profile of NCAA Division III Intercollegiate Football Athletes: A Pilot Study

Cynthia J. Wright

Whitworth University, cwright@whitworth.edu

Elizabeth Abbey

Whitworth University, eabbey@whitworth.edu

Barbara A. Brandon

University of Washington

Edward J. Reisman

University of Washington

Christina M. Kirkpatrick

Whitworth University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.whitworth.edu/healthsciencefaculty>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Wright CJ, Abbey EL, Brandon BA, Reisman EJ, Kirkpatrick CM. Cardiovascular Disease Risk Profile of NCAA Division III Intercollegiate Football Athletes: A Pilot Study. *he Physician and Sportsmedicine* 2017; 47:280-285. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913847.2017.1345288>.

This Peer Reviewed Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Health Sciences at Whitworth University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Health Sciences Faculty Scholarship by an authorized administrator of Whitworth University.

1 **Cardiovascular Disease Risk Profile of NCAA Division III**

2 **Intercollegiate Football Athletes: A Pilot Study**

3 **Abstract**

4 **Objectives:** Concerns about the long-term cardiovascular health implications of American
5 football participation have been investigated at the professional and Division I levels, but limited
6 research is available at the less resourced Division III level. Therefore, the objective was to
7 assess the cardiovascular disease risk profile of NCAA Division III intercollegiate football
8 athletes. **Methods:** Eighty-nine varsity football athletes (age=19.6±1.7 years,
9 height=1.81±0.07m, weight=92.7±16.2kg; n=21 linemen, n=68 non-linemen) at a private
10 Division III university volunteered to participate. During a preseason pre-participation physical
11 examination, all participants completed a health history screening form (to assess personal and
12 family history of cardiac related pathologies), and were assessed for height, weight, body mass
13 index (BMI), and blood pressure (BP). Linemen only additionally gave a blood sample for
14 fasting blood glucose and cholesterol analysis, and were assessed for waist and hip
15 circumference, metabolic syndrome, and percent body fat (%BF). These measures were reported
16 as averages and frequencies of elevated cardiovascular. Independent t-tests compared linemen to
17 non-linemen, all other data was presented descriptively. **Results:** On average, linemen were
18 significantly taller, heavier, had a higher BMI and higher systolic BP than non-linemen (all
19 $P<0.05$); there was no difference in diastolic BP between the groups ($P=0.331$). The average
20 anthropometric and cardiac risk characteristics for linemen were largely within normal ranges,
21 however analyzed individually, a substantial number of participants were at elevated risk (BMI
22 $\geq 30=85.7\%$, %BF $\geq 25=71.4\%$, waist circumference $\geq 1=42.9\%$, hypertension=9.5%, high density
23 lipoproteins $<40\text{mg/dL}=42.9\%$, and triglycerides $\geq 150\text{mg/dL}=6.7\%$; metabolic syndrome

24 prevalence=19%). **Conclusions:** Similar to research in elite athletics, linemen at a single
25 Division III university have elevated cardiovascular disease risk. Physicians and other
26 healthcare providers should consider this elevated risk during pre-participation physical
27 examinations and in planning educational or dietary programming targeted to promoting
28 cardiovascular health.
29

30 **Introduction**

31 Football is one of the most popular sports in America at the high school, collegiate and
32 professional levels, however, concerns have been raised about the long-term health implications
33 of football participation. Of particular concern is how changes in body composition over the
34 course of a football career may impact future cardiovascular health. In the early 1990's, these
35 health concerns prompted the National Football League (NFL) Players Association to request an
36 investigation into player morbidity and mortality [1]. This investigation found that, as a whole,
37 retired players had decreased overall mortality compared to the general population. However,
38 linemen and those with elevated playing-time body mass index (BMI) were at a significantly
39 elevated risk for cardiovascular disease mortality compared to other retired players and to the
40 general population [1].

41 Since this report, significant attention has been given to the body composition,
42 cardiovascular disease risk, markers of metabolic syndrome, and dietary patterns of football
43 athletes [2-6]. The health of offensive and defensive linemen is of particular concern due to
44 correlations between body composition and cardiovascular disease risk factors in this subset of
45 athletes [4, 6, 7]. In a study of retired NFL players, those with a playing-time BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²
46 [8] were at an increased risk of cardiovascular disease risk factors and cardiovascular disease
47 mortality compared to other retired players [9]. While research comparing football athlete's
48 cardiovascular disease risk to the general population has reported mixed findings, the increase in
49 risk for linemen compared to other positions is consistent in the literature [1, 2, 9]. Thus, there
50 appears to be a clear link between body composition and cardiovascular health even in groups of
51 current and former elite football athletes whose relatively high level of physical activity might be
52 thought to mitigate risk.

53 The vast majority of research investigating the health and risk profile of football athletes
54 has been conducted on professional and National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA)
55 Division I athletes, perhaps due to the higher profile and resource-rich nature of these programs.
56 The risk profile of Division III football athletes, on the other hand, is sparsely documented. This
57 is despite the fact that 35% of all NCAA football athletes in 2014-15 participated at the Division
58 III level, a total of 25,609 athletes [10]. While their overall body size may be smaller, Division
59 III football players still undergo similar patterns of body compositional changes as Division I and
60 II athletes throughout their careers [11, 12]. Thus, healthcare professionals providing care for
61 these athletes should still be concerned about the potential short and long-term health risks of
62 sport-specific adaptations in body composition.

63 The majority of Division III research has consisted of performance and body composition
64 measures (e.g. BMI, body fat percentage, girth, 40-yard sprint) rather than chronic disease risk
65 factors [11, 13, 14]. To our knowledge, only Buell et al. [7] has reported a broader panel of
66 cardiovascular disease risk factors (specifically, those associated with metabolic syndrome) in
67 this population. Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of factors that increase risk for cardiovascular
68 disease and other metabolic disorders, commonly including abdominal obesity, dyslipidemia,
69 elevated blood pressure (BP), glucose intolerance, proinflammatory state and prothrombotic state
70 [15]. Buell et al. [7] sampled football athletes from all three NCAA divisions. Interestingly,
71 differences were found between divisions for several variables, but the presence of metabolic
72 syndrome was fairly consistent between divisions at 48.6% overall. Unfortunately, the authors
73 do not report descriptive data (means and standard deviations) for the majority of their variables,
74 thus Division III specific norms are still lacking.

75 These values would be of particular interest to healthcare professionals responsible for
76 both pre-participation cardiovascular screening and long-term health of athletes. It is
77 recommended that physicians conducting pre-participation screening utilize physical
78 examination findings, cardiac screening questions and known risk profiles to aid in the detection
79 of cardiovascular anomalies and decrease the risk of sudden death [16]. Additionally, since very
80 few Division III football players will continue to play competitively past their collegiate careers,
81 programs that address the long-term health of these individuals and help them transition out of
82 competitive athletics could have positive implications on their health for years to come.
83 However, before this can be done more information is needed on the cardiovascular disease risk
84 factors of this population.

85 Thus, the purpose of this research was to collect pre-season data of physical
86 characteristics (i.e. body composition and selected cardiovascular disease risk factors) of NCAA
87 Division III football players. Since similar information on a comprehensive cardiovascular
88 profile has not been collected in this population, we decided to utilize a single institution as a
89 pilot study to assess the feasibility and need for a larger trial.

90 **Methods**

91 **Participants**

92 A convenience sample of all football athletes at one NCAA Division III university was
93 recruited. From a roster of approximately 102 players, 89 athletes volunteered to participate
94 (n=21 linemen, n=68 other positions). All football athletes were invited to participate in the
95 main research study. Linemen were additionally recruited to participate in an additional branch
96 of the study which involved collection of additional variables, such as lipid profiles, body fat
97 percentage and glucose levels.

98 Returning athletes were recruited via an announcement made at a team meeting in the
99 spring of 2014, then sent a reminder email prior to their fall 2014 physical exam. New athletes
100 were recruited via an email introducing the study sent approximately one week before reporting
101 for fall sports, and a group announcement made prior to their scheduled physical exam. The
102 university Institutional Review Board approved this study. All participants gave informed
103 consent prior to participation.

104 **Data Collection Procedures**

105 Primary data collection occurred during regularly scheduled pre-season physical exams in
106 a university health center. Participants were given a packet of questionnaires to complete while
107 they waited to be called to the vitals station or doctors exam room. The questionnaires included
108 a Health History Screening Form, Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), and Nutritional
109 Knowledge Questionnaire. Results from the FFQ and Nutrition Knowledge questionnaire are
110 reported elsewhere.[17] The Health History Screening Form asked participants to report a
111 family or personal history of various health issues that might indicate or affect cardiovascular
112 disease risk (e.g. a personal history of tobacco use, or a family history of stroke).

113 At the vitals station, participant height, weight and BP were recorded by pairs of trained
114 athletic training students utilizing the procedures described below. Participant height was
115 measured using a measuring tape mounted on a wall to ± 0.5 in and converted to cm. Weight was
116 measured on a standard bathroom scale to ± 0.5 lbs. and converted to kilograms (kg). Body mass
117 index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared. BMI of 18.5 –
118 24.9 kg/m^2 , 25.0 – 29.9 kg/m^2 , and ≥ 30 kg/m^2 was designated as normal weight, overweight, and
119 obese respectively [8]. Seated BP was recorded using a standard or extra-large adult cuff (sized

120 per manufacturer instructions) with a sphygmomanometer and stethoscope, resting time prior to
121 BP measurement was not controlled.

122 Linemen who opted into the additional data collection set up an appointment the morning
123 after their physical exam for additional data collection. Linemen were instructed to report to
124 their appointment having fasted for at least 8 hours and to be well-hydrated. Upon arrival,
125 participants completed the following measures: waist circumference, hip circumference, percent
126 body fat, and gave a blood sample.

127 Waist circumference was measured according to National Institute of Health (NIH)
128 guidelines [18]. In brief, it was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using an anthropometric
129 measuring tape. Measurements were taken in a horizontal plane at the visible narrowing of the
130 waist after exhaling. If no narrowing was visualized, the measurement was taken at the level of
131 the 12th rib. The average of two measurements (agreement within ± 1.0 cm) was used. Hip (or
132 buttocks) circumference was measured according to methods described by Heyward [19], with
133 measurement taken at the level of the maximum extension of the buttocks to the nearest 0.1 cm.
134 The average of two measurements (agreement within ± 1.0 cm) was used. Waist to hip ratio was
135 calculated by dividing the average waist circumference by hip circumference. Percent body fat
136 was assessed using bioelectrical impedance analysis via a Tanita TBF-300A pedal to pedal
137 device (Tanita Co., Japan). A trained researcher (CJW or ELA) collected all waist
138 circumference, hip circumference and percent body fat measurements.

139 For the blood sample, the participant's finger was first cleaned using an alcohol wipe
140 then pricked with a disposable lancet. Approximately 40 μ L was collected in a capillary tube,
141 then transferred onto a Cholestech LDX System Cassette (Alere, Inc., Waltham, MA) and
142 analyzed for blood lipids [low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), total

143 cholesterol, and triglycerides] and blood glucose using the Cholestech LDX. A single trained
144 researcher (ELA) collected and analyzed all blood samples.

145 **Risk Category Definitions**

146 BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² [8], body fat $\geq 25\%$ [8], waist ≥ 102 cm [18], and waist to hip ratio ≥ 1 [19]
147 were considered elevated risk. According to the methods of Tucker et al. [5], prehypertension
148 was defined as systolic BP ≥ 120 mmHg but < 140 mmHg, OR diastolic BP ≥ 80 mmHg but less
149 than 90mmHg; hypertension was defined as both systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg and diastolic BP
150 ≥ 90 mmHg. Fasting blood glucose ≥ 100 mg/dL was considered impaired, and ≥ 126 mg/dL was
151 considered glucose intolerance [5, 15]. From the blood sample analysis, HDL < 40 mg/dL was
152 considered a marker of metabolic syndrome, high LDL was defined as ≥ 160 mg/dL,
153 triglycerides ≥ 150 mg/dL were considered dyslipidemia, total cholesterol > 200 mg/dL was
154 defined as borderline high, and total cholesterol ≥ 240 mg/dL was defined as high [15, 20, 21].
155 Additionally, the presence of metabolic syndrome (and number of symptoms) was determined
156 using previously established criteria [7, 15].

157 **Data Analysis**

158 Differences in demographic variables between positions were analyzed using
159 independent t-tests in IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (Armonk, New York). Alpha was set *a priori* at
160 $p=0.05$. Frequencies of “yes” and “no” responses on the Health History Form data were reported
161 for the whole sample. Cardiovascular disease risk factors of the lineman sub-sample are reported
162 alongside previously published norms for NFL linemen [5]. The variables and risk categories
163 were selected because they represent common cardiovascular disease risk factors and metabolic
164 syndrome characteristics, and have been previously tracked in similar research on elite athletes
165 [5]. Continuous variables are presented both descriptively and as frequencies after the data were

166 dichotomized into normal and elevated risk categories. Blood sample analysis for triglycerides
167 and LDL produced invalid test results in 7 participants, leading to a pre-season n=15.

168 **Results**

169 A total of 89 Division III football athletes from a single university participated in the
170 study. Twenty-one were classified as linemen and 68 were non-linemen. Demographic data is
171 reported in Table 1 and Table 2. There was no significant difference in age or diastolic BP
172 between linemen and non-linemen ($P>0.05$; Table 1). Linemen were significantly taller, heavier,
173 had a higher BMI and higher systolic BP than non-linemen (all $P<0.05$; Table 1).

174 The self-reported health history and behaviors for all participants are reported in Table 3.
175 Linemen anthropometric and cardiovascular characteristics are reported in Table 4, and
176 prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors in Table 5. The prevalence of metabolic
177 syndrome amongst linemen was 19% (n=4). Fourteen percent (n=3) had zero markers of
178 metabolic syndrome, 33% (n=7) had 1 marker, 33% (n=7) had 2 markers) and 19% (n=4) had 3
179 markers.

180 **Discussion**

181 The current study provides data on the cardiovascular disease risk profile of a single
182 Division III football team. Data were collected as a pilot study to assess the feasibility and need
183 for a larger multi-institution trial. This data highlights the general profile of the entire team,
184 which includes data that would be available during a standard pre-participation exam (e.g.
185 weight, BMI, BP, and self-reported health history). Additional variables, some of which are not
186 part of standard pre-participation exams, were collected on a subset of linemen. These variables
187 included important measures of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease risk such as
188 body fat percentage, lipid profile, waist circumference, and fasting glucose.

189 **Self-reported health history and behaviors**

190 While a substantial number of participants reported a family history of pathologies
191 related to poor cardiovascular health and obesity, few participants reported a personal history of
192 these pathologies. As young, relatively healthy, physically active adults, this trend would be
193 expected. However, it is interesting to note that while only 9.5% of linemen indicated a personal
194 history of heart problems and 0% indicated abnormal cholesterol, this contrasts with actual
195 prevalence found in the linemen subgroup of 9.5% having hypertension, 42.9% having low
196 HDL, and 6.7% having high triglycerides. Thus, it appears that more individuals had
197 cardiovascular disease risk factors than were aware of their risk.

198 **Blood pressure and lipid profile of linemen**

199 Although values for Division III are largely absent, significant research into blood
200 measures such as lipids (e.g. total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, and triglycerides) have been done in
201 other football samples. Jonnalagadda, Rosenbloom, and Skinner [22] observed a trend for
202 increased total cholesterol in Division I linemen. After eight weeks of training, Kirwan et al. [6]
203 observed a significant increase in total cholesterol and LDL, but no change in triglycerides and
204 HDL among a group of redshirt freshmen. Conversely, Haskins, Bernhardt, and Kosciak [23]
205 compared 30 collegiate football linemen to 10 age- and size-matched sedentary controls and
206 found similar total cholesterol, LDL, HDL and triglycerides between groups, though the athletes
207 had lower at-risk LDL than the controls. The current pilot study provides preliminary norms for
208 Division III football athletes. Future work should verify these values in a broader sample, and
209 investigate links between BP and lipid profiles, dietary habits, and access to nutritional support
210 services (e.g. registered dietitian nutritionist) as might be available in Division I or professional
211 athletics.

212 Lineman average BP, frequency of hypertension and prehypertension were similar when
213 descriptively compared to past research in NFL linemen [5]. Lineman had significantly higher
214 systolic BP than non-linemen, indicating that a slightly higher risk of hypertension, which may
215 merit physician attention during the pre-participation physical examination process. The
216 prevalence of metabolic syndrome in the linemen sample was found to be 19%, which is lower
217 than previously reported in the literature (48%) despite the use of identical criteria [7]. It is
218 unclear why lower prevalence was found in the current study; future research should investigate
219 the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in a larger, multi-institution sample.

220 **Anthropometric characteristics of linemen**

221 Similar to previous research, linemen in the current study were larger than non-linemen
222 [4, 5, 24]. As expected, the average size (height, weight and BMI) of the current sample of DIII
223 linemen is considerably smaller than previously reported norms in NFL linemen [5], and slightly
224 smaller than Division I and II athletes [4, 7]. Our linemen were similar in size to previous
225 reports at the DIII level [11], providing limited evidence that our linemen may be representative
226 to the larger DIII population. The average body fat percentage aligns with past research in
227 football populations (20.8-28.3%) [5, 7]. Interestingly, while not statistically compared, the
228 percentage of linemen with a body fat >25% appears higher in our sample (71.4%) than previous
229 research (14.1%) [5]. Clinically, this may indicate that elevated cardiovascular disease risk due
230 to excess body fat is more prevalent at the Division III level where conditioning and fitness
231 norms differ from more elite levels of football.

232 **Limitations and Considerations**

233 In this pilot study, data was collected from a single institution. While there is evidence
234 that football athletes at this institution follow previously published norms, results are not

235 necessarily representative of all Division III football programs. Additionally, due to data
236 collection at a single time-point, we cannot establish whether differences between lineman and
237 non-lineman might have existed prior to participation in football, or resulted from sport-specific
238 adaptation. We also asked participants to self-identify their ethnicity because of the potential for
239 increased cardiovascular risk in certain ethnic populations. Data is presented descriptively
240 (Table 2) but no subgroup analyses were conducted because this was not an aim of the current
241 study. Results should be interpreted in light of the ethnic characteristics of the current sample.
242 Future research should include a selection of programs across different geographical areas.

243 Additionally, there were limitations in the data collection protocol. Specifically, initial
244 anthropometric data (height, weight, and BP) was collected at four stations, each manned by
245 athletic training students. Although trained in the data collection task, some variability in
246 measurement could have been present, especially for BP which is known to have inter-rater
247 variability. While it would have been ideal research control to have one assessment station with
248 a single individual collecting all measures, this would have been practically unfeasible
249 considering the volume of athletes and time limitations. Additionally, it would not mimic
250 common practice in team pre-participation physicals, which commonly use multiple evaluators.
251 For the additional measures on linemen, body fat was analyzed using a bioelectrical-impedance
252 method, which is a less accurate measurement than plethysmography (e.g. BodPod) or DEXA
253 scanning. Unfortunately, these more accurate measures were not available at the time of the
254 study, and since skinfold measurements are known to vary greatly based on individual technique
255 and skill, we chose bioelectrical-impedance as the most consistent available option.

256 **Conclusions**

257 Similar to previous research in professional and Division I athletics, linemen at a single
258 Division III university have elevated cardiovascular disease risk compared with non-linemen.
259 While average linemen values were largely within target ranges, when analyzed at the individual
260 level, multiple participants fell into elevated risk categories. Physicians and other healthcare
261 providers should consider linemen's elevated risk when performing pre-participation physical
262 exams. In addition, football linemen may benefit from additional educational and dietary
263 programming targeted at decreasing modifiable cardiovascular disease risk factors.
264

265 **References**

- 266 1. Baron S, Rinsky R. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. NFL Mortality
267 Study. <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/hhe/reports/pdfs/1988-0085-letter.pdf>: Center for Disease
268 Control, 1994
- 269 2. Selden MA, Helzberg JH, Waeckerle JF, et al. Cardiometabolic abnormalities in current
270 National Football League players. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;103:969-71
- 271 3. Selden MA, Helzberg JH, Waeckerle JF. Early cardiovascular mortality in professional
272 football players: fact or fiction?. *Am J Med* 2009;122:811-4
- 273 4. Mathews EM, Wagner DR. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in collegiate American
274 football players, by position. *J Am Coll Health* 2008;57:33-8
- 275 5. Tucker AM, Vogel RA, Lincoln AE, et al. Prevalence of cardiovascular disease risk factors
276 among National Football League players. *JAMA* 2009;301:2111-9
- 277 6. Kirwan RD, Kordick LK, McFarland S, et al. Dietary, anthropometric, blood-lipid, and
278 performance patterns of American College Football Players during 8 weeks of training. *Int J*
279 *Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* 2012;22:444-51
- 280 7. Buell JL, Calland D, Hanks F, et al. Presence of metabolic syndrome in football linemen. *J*
281 *Athl Train* 2008;43:608-16
- 282 8. American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and
283 prescription. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 2014
- 284 9. Baron SL, Hein MJ, Lehman E, Gersic CM. Body mass index, playing position, race, and the
285 cardiovascular mortality of retired professional football players. *Am J Cardiol* 2012;109:889-96
- 286 10. Irick E. NCAA Sports Sponsorship and Participation Report: Student-Athlete Participation
287 1981-82 to 2014-15. <http://www.ncaa.org/sites/default/files/Participation%20Rates%20Final.pdf>:
288 National College Athletic Association, 2015
- 289 11. Hoffman JR, Ratamess NA, Kang J. Performance changes during a college playing career in
290 NCAA division III football athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 2011;25:2351-7
- 291 12. Noel MB, VanHeest JL, Zaneteas P, Rodgers CD. Body composition in Division I football
292 players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2003;17:228-37
- 293 13. Stuempfle KJ, Katch FI, Petrie DF. Body composition relates poorly to performance tests in
294 NCAA Division III football players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2003;17:238-44

- 295 14. Stuempfle KJ, Drury DG, Petrie DF, Katch FI. Ponderal somatogram analysis of girth
296 measurements by position in division III college football players. *J Strength Cond Res*
297 2009;23:788-99
- 298 15. Grundy SM, Brewer HB, Cleeman JI, et al. Definition of metabolic syndrome: report of the
299 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Heart Association conference on scientific
300 issues related to definition. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2004;24:e13-18
- 301 16. American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Sports Medicine, American
302 Medical Society for Sports Medicine, American Academy of Pediatrics. PPE Preparticipation
303 Physical Evaluation: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2010
- 304 17. Removed for blinding. Nutrition Practices and knowledge among NCAA Division III
305 football players. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr In Review*
- 306 18. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National
307 Heart, Lung, & Blood Institute. The practical guide: identification, evaluation, and treatment of
308 overweight and obesity in adults. http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/obesity/prctgd_c.pdf,
309 2000
- 310 19. Heyward VH. Preliminary health screening and risk classification. Champaign, IL: Human
311 Kinetics, 2010
- 312 20. Friedman GD, Cutter GR, Donahue RP, et al. CARDIA: Study design, recruitment and some
313 characteristics of the examined subjects. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1988;41:1105-16
- 314 21. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National
315 Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Third Report of the Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and
316 Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III, or ATP III).
317 <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-pro/guidelines/current/cholesterol-guidelines/final-report>, 2002
- 318 22. Jonnalagadda SS, Rosenbloom CA, Skinner R. Dietary practices, attitudes, and physiological
319 status of collegiate freshman football players. *J Strength Cond Res* 2001;15:507-13
- 320 23. Haskins S, Bernhardt DT, Kosciak RL. Screening for insulin resistance and cardiovascular
321 risk in collegiate football linemen. *Clin J Sport Med* 2011;21:233-6
- 322 24. Secora CA, Latin RW, Berg KE, Noble JM. Comparison of physical and performance
323 characteristics of NCAA Division I football players: 1987 and 2000. *J Strength Cond Res*
324 2004;18:286-91
- 325
- 326

Table 1. Demographic and Anthropometric Characteristics of NCAA Division III Football Athletes

Descriptor	Linemen, n=21		Non-Linemen, n=68		All Athletes, n=89		Independent t-test between positions	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	Statistic^a	P-value
Age, years	19.7	1.4	19.6	1.8	19.6	1.7	t=-0.236	0.814
Height, cm	183.9 ^b	5.5	179.6	6.6	180.6	6.5	t=-2.673	0.009
Weight, kg	114.6 ^b	13.1	86.0	9.9	92.7	16.2	t=-10.739	<0.001
BMI, kg/m ²	33.9 ^b	3.7	26.6	2.5	28.3	4.2	t=-10.357	<0.001
Systolic BP, mmHg	130.6 ^b	10.5	124.1	8.2	125.6	9.2	t=-2.944	0.004
Diastolic BP, mmHg	76.2	10.2	74.2	7.6	74.7	8.3	t=-0.977	0.331

Abbreviations: M = mean, SD = standard deviation, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure

^a Degrees of freedom = 87 for all analyses except age, where degrees of freedom = 86 due to 1 missing value

^b Significant difference between linemen and non-linemen positions

Table 2. Sample Ethnicity and Year in College

	Linemen		Non-Linemen		All Athletes	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Self-Identified Ethnicity						
Hispanic or Latino	0	0.0	3	4.5	3	3.4
Hawaiian	0	0.0	1	1.5	1	1.1
Black or African American	1	5.0	8	11.9	9	10.3
Asian	0	0.0	2	3.0	2	2.3
White	16	80.0	43	64.2	59	67.8
Mixed	3	15.0	10	14.9	13	14.9
Year in College						
1 st year	10	50	28	42.4	38	44.0
2 nd year	3	15	14	21.2	17	19.8
3 rd year	5	25	11	16.7	16	18.6
4 th year	1	5	10	15.2	11	12.8
5 th year	1	5	3	4.5	4	4.7

Table 3. Self-Reported Health History and Behaviors of n=89 NCAA Division III Football Athletes

History Category	Linemen, n=21				Non-Linemen, n=68				All Athletes, n=89			
	Yes		No		Yes		No		Yes		No	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Family^a history of....												
Heart attack	4	19.0	17	81.0	13	19.1	55	80.9	17	19.1	72	80.9
Heart disease	2	9.5	19	90.5	5	7.4	63	92.6	7	7.9	82	92.1
High blood pressure	9	42.9	12	57.1	22	32.4	46	67.6	31	34.8	58	65.2
Stroke	5	23.8	16	76.2	10	14.7	58	85.3	15	16.9	74	83.1
Kidney disease	1	4.8	20	95.2	0	0.0	68	100.0	1	1.1	88	98.9
Diabetes	6	28.6	15	71.4	17	25.0	51	75.0	23	25.8	66	74.2
Personal History of...												
Tobacco Use	3	14.3	18	85.7	1	4.4	65	95.6	6	6.7	83	93.3
Heart disease or any heart problems	2	9.5	19	90.5	1	1.5	67	98.5	3	3.4	86	96.4
Circulation problems	0	0.0	21	100.0	0	0.0	68	100.0	0	0.0	89	100.0
Kidney disease or problems	0	0.0	21	100.0	0	0.0	68	100.0	0	0.0	89	100.0
High Cholesterol	0	0.0	21	100.0	2	2.9	66	97.1	2	2.2	87	97.8
Hypoglycemia (i.e. low blood sugar)	0	0.0	21	100.0	0	0.0	68	100.0	0	0.0	89	100.0

^a Family history included parents, grandparents, aunts and/or uncles.

Table 4. Anthropometric and Cardiovascular Disease Risk Characteristics of NCAA Division III Football Linemen

Characteristic	Division III Linemen			NFL offensive linemen ^a		NFL defensive linemen ^a	
	M	SD	Range	M	Range	M	Range
Height, cm	183.9	5.47	172.5-192	195	194-196	191	191-192
Weight, kg	114.6	13.11	91.4-135.5	143	142-145	131	128-134
BMI, kg/m ²	33.8	3.75	27.5-41.5	37.8	37.3-38.3	35.7	34.9-36.6
% Body Fat	29.9	6.35	19.5-39.5	25.8	24.9-26.6	20.8	19.3-22.2
Waist, cm	102.0	8.17	86.0-118.5	117	115-119	107	104-109
Waist:hip ratio	0.90	0.05	0.8-1.0	0.92	0.91-0.93	0.89	0.88-0.91
Systolic BP, mmHg	130.6	10.48	112-148	132	130-134	127	124-129
Diastolic BP, mmHg	76.2	10.22	60-98	79	78-81	75	73-77
Lipids, mg/dL							
HDL	39.9	8.51	24.0-54.0	43	41-46	47	44-49
LDL ^b	116.1	25.82	72.0-159.0	115	109-122	116	108-125
Total cholesterol	169.5	28.44	127.0-225.0	179	171-186	185	176-193
Triglycerides	93.9	42.34	53.0-217.0	119	103-135	111	93-128
Fasting glucose, mg/dL	81.7	6.07	74.0-98.0	87	84-89	86	83-90

Abbreviations: M = mean, SD = standard deviation, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure; HDL = high density lipoproteins; LDL = low density lipoproteins

^a As reported in Tucker et al. 2009

^b pre-season n=15 due to invalid test results

Table 5. Prevalence of Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in NCAA Division III Football Linemen

Characteristic	Division III		NFL ^a	
	↑ risk (%)	nL risk (%)	↑ risk (%)	nL risk (%)
BMI ≥30 kg/m ²	85.7	14.3	57.6	42.4
% Body fat ≥25	71.4	28.6	14.1	85.9
Waist, >102 cm	42.9	57.1	31.0	69.0
Waist:hip ratio ≥1	0.0	100.0	5.1	94.9
Prehypertension ^b	66.7	33.3	64.5	35.5
Hypertension ^c	9.5	90.5	13.8	86.2
HDL, <40 mg/dL	42.9	57.1	26.9	73.1
LDL, ≥160 mg/dL ^d	0.0	100.0	7.2	92.8
Triglycerides, ≥150 mg/dL ^d	6.7	93.3	13.7	86.3
Total cholesterol, ≥200 mg/dL	23.8	76.2	25.8	74.2
Total cholesterol, ≥240 mg/dL	0.0	100.0	4.7	95.3
Fasting glucose, 100-125 mg/dL	0.0	100.0	6.7	93.3
Glucose Intolerance, ≥126 mg/dL	0.0	100.0	0.3	99.7
Smoking	14.3	85.7	0.1	99.9

Abbreviations: nL = normal, BMI = body mass index, BP = blood pressure; HDL = high density lipoproteins; LDL = low density lipoproteins

^a As reported in Tucker et al. 2009

^b Prehypertension defined as systolic BP ≥120 mmHg & <140 mmHg, OR diastolic blood pressure ≥80 mmHg & <90 mmHg

^c Hypertension defined as both systolic BP ≥140 mmHg and diastolic BP ≥90 mmHg

^d pre-season n= 15 due to invalid test results