

Loyola University Chicago

School of Nursing: Faculty Publications and Other Works

Faculty Publications

4-2018

Prevalence and Predictors of Low Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D among Female African-American Breast Cancer Survivors

Patricia M. Sheean Loyola University Chicago, psheean1@luc.edu

Claudia Arroyo

Jennifer Woo

Melinda Stolley

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.luc.edu/nursing_facpubs

Part of the Nursing Commons, and the Oncology Commons

Recommended Citation

Sheean, Patricia M.; Arroyo, Claudia; Woo, Jennifer; and Stolley, Melinda. Prevalence and Predictors of Low Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D among Female African-American Breast Cancer Survivors. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, 118, 4: 568-577, 2018. Retrieved from Loyola eCommons, School of Nursing: Faculty Publications and Other Works, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2017.10.009

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Publications at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Nursing: Faculty Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License. © Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics 2018

1	Title: Prevalence and	predictors of low se	erum 25(OH)D amon	g female African-
---	------------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	-------------------

2 American breast cancer survivors

3

4 **Research Snapshot:**

5 **Research Questions:**

6 What is the prevalence of low serum 25(OH)D among female African American (AA)

7 breast cancer (BC) survivors? What modifiable factors are significant predictors of

8 serum 25(OH)D levels in these minority women?

9

10 Key Findings:

- 11 In this cross-sectional study comprised of 244 early stage AA BC survivors with
- overweight/obesity, vitamin D deficiency was prevalent in 81% and 43% of women,
- applying the cut-points of the Endocrine Society (<30 ng/ml or <75 nmol/L) and the
- 14 Institute of Medicine (<20 ng/ml or <50 nmol/L), respectively. Interestingly, 60% of
- 15 participants endorsed habitual use of vitamin D supplementation. In multivariate

16 modeling, Vitamin D supplementation, sun behaviors and waist hip ratio were significant

- 17 predictors of serum 25(OH)D levels and thus, may serve as future points of intervention
- to improve the vitamin D status of this minority survivor population.

20 Abstract

21 Background: African-American (AA) breast cancer (BC) survivors commonly

22 demonstrate low serum 25(OH)D. Decreased cutaneous conversion, high levels of

adiposity and even BC treatment may influence vitamin D status. Previous

investigations have analyzed AA women in aggregate with other BC survivors and have

25 not comprehensively addressed these influential factors.

26 **Objective:** To determine the prevalence of low serum 25(OH)D in an exclusively AA

cohort of female BC survivors with overweight/obesity. And further, to evaluate the role

of ultraviolet (UV) light exposure, body composition, and dietary sources of vitamin D on

serum 25(OH)D levels.

30 **Design:** Cross-sectional

31 **Participants:** Pre- and post-menopausal AA BC survivors (n=244) were recruited from

various neighborhoods in the city of Chicago between September, 2011 – September,

33 2014 for a larger weight loss trial.

Main outcome measures: Demographic, clinical, anthropometric [body mass index (BMI), waist (WC) and hip circumference (HC)], blood biospecimen, dietary intake [Food frequency questionnaire (FFQ)] and sun behavior data were collected by trained study personnel prior to trial participation. Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was used to quantify adiposity (total, %, regional, visceral) and lean mass. Serum 25(OH)D was used as the biomarker reflective of vitamin D status.

40 **Statistical analyses**: Mean (± standard deviation), frequencies and multivariate linear

41 regression modeling

Results: The average participant was 57.4 (± 10.0) y, 6.9 (± 5.2) y from initial BC 42 diagnosis with a BMI of 36.2 (± 6.2) kg/m². The majority of participants (60%) reported 43 habitual oral vitamin D supplementation with mean intakes of 327 (± 169) IUs. Vitamin 44 D deficiency was prevalent in 81% and 43%, applying the cut-points of the Endocrine 45 Society (<30 ng/ml or <75 nmol/L) and the Institute of Medicine (<20 ng/ml or <50 46 nmol/L), respectively. A multivariate model adjusting for age, seasonality of blood draw, 47 total energy intake, supplemental vitamin D, darker skin pigmentation, BC stage and 48 waist hip ratio (WHR) was able to explain 28.8% of the observed variance in serum 49 50 25(OH)D concentrations. No significant associations were detected for BMI or any DXA measures of body composition. 51

52 **Conclusions:**

53 Considering the number of women endorsing the use of vitamin D supplementation, the 54 prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among these AA BC survivors was high. Vitamin D 55 supplementation, sun behaviors and WHR may serve as future points of intervention to 56 improve the vitamin D status of this minority survivor population.

57 **INTRODUCTION**

Vitamin D is a generic term designating a group of chemically related compounds 58 best known for their antirachtic activity. Serum 25(OH)D is the generally accepted 59 biomarker for determining vitamin D status.¹ It is well known that serum 25(OH)D is 60 derived from sun exposure and that dietary sources of vitamin D (e.g., egg yolks, 61 salmon, tuna, and fortified dairy products) contribute less significantly to these levels.² 62 Because vitamin D influences the expression of genes that are associated with the 63 development and progression of breast cancer (BC),^{3,4} intensive efforts over the last 64 two decades have sought to elucidate the role of 25(OH)D, BC occurrence and BC 65 outcomes. 66

While the exact mechanisms remain unknown, BC treatment, itself, appears to 67 be associated with lower levels of serum 25(OH)D. Approximately 70-75% of female BC 68 survivors are classified as vitamin D deficient/insufficient,⁵⁻⁷ which is higher than 69 population estimates.⁸ These previous BC studies, while informative, are limited by two 70 notable factors. First, the majority of BC survivors enrolled were non-Hispanic white with 71 relatively small numbers of African American (AA) BC participants by comparison. 72 Decreased cutaneous conversion of 7-dehydrocholesterol to cholecalciferol occurs with 73 higher melanin content.⁹ Accounting for skin pigmentation and sun behaviors are 74 informative, yet understudied areas in the context of serum 25(OH)D and BC. Second, 75 body mass index (BMI) has been used a surrogate marker of adiposity.^{10,11} This 76 approach is an attempt to address the inverse relationship between obesity and 77 25(OH)D.¹¹ However, a systematic review and meta-analyses of 31,968 participants 78 reveals that BMI fails to detect half of the people with excess adiposity;¹² thus its 79

application as a surrogate marker for adiposity is questionable. Therefore, the objective 80 of this investigation is to examine serum 25(OH)D levels in an exclusively AA cohort of 81 female BC survivors with overweight/obesity. The present study is novel, in that, it 82 simultaneously addresses important non-modifiable (i.e., BC treatment, sex, 83 race/ethnicity) and modifiable factors (e.g., sun exposure, adiposity) using 84 85 methodologies that can accurately measure body composition and tools that can capture important contributors to serum 25(OH)D, such as skin color or sun behaviors. 86 This study addresses notable shortcomings of previous work in an effort to more 87 precisely establish the prevalence and predictors of low serum 25(OH)D and to identify 88 potential intervention points among these minority BC survivors. We hypothesize that 89 the majority of the participants will be classified as vitamin D deficient, and that darker 90 skin pigmentation and higher levels of percent body fat will negatively predict serum 91 levels of 25(OH)D. 92

93 METHODS

94 Study participants

Study participants reflect AA BC survivors recruited from various communities 95 96 within Chicago, Illinois between September, 2011 – September, 2014 for a larger randomized behavioral weight loss trial. These present analyses use a cross-sectional 97 study design of data collected at baseline for prevalence estimates. The specific study 98 methodologies have been described previously.¹³ Briefly, eligible adult women: 1) self-99 identified as Black or AA females; 2) self-reported Stage I-III invasive breast carcinoma; 100 3) were overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²) or obese (BMI >30.0 kg/m²), and 4) 101 102 completed surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiation treatment at least six months prior to

recruitment. Current use of adjuvant hormonal therapies was acceptable. Women were 103 excluded for the following: 1) plans to relocate out of the Chicago area during the time 104 of study participation, 2) unable to safely engage in physical activity due to physical 105 impairments requiring a wheelchair or walker, a diagnosis of emphysema or extreme 106 dyspnea on exertion, 3) currently pregnant, planning to get pregnant or less than 3 107 108 months post-partum, 4) formally enrolled in a weight loss program requiring specialty foods or meal replacements, 5) taking prescription weight loss agents; or 6) 109 experiencing any psychiatric conditions that precluded study participation. The study 110 111 received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Illinois, University of Chicago and Northwestern University. 112

113 **Procedures**

Women were screened for initial eligibility over the telephone by the study recruiters. (**Figure 1**) A baseline interview was scheduled for eligible women, written informed consent was obtained and variety of questionnaires were completed. Within one month of the baseline interview, eligible/interested women returned for blood draw, anthropometric measures and DXA completion.

119 Data collection

Demographic and clinical data, including co-morbid conditions, menopausal status, BC stage, date of diagnosis, BC treatments [e.g., chemotherapy (yes/no), radiation (yes/no), current or previous endocrine therapies [selective estrogen receptor modulators or aromatase inhibitors] and other medications were self-reported. Oncologists were contacted to verify disease stage, when needed. Women with Stage 0 or IV were precluded further participation.

Phlebotomy and body composition assessment were completed on the same day 126 prior to participation in the weight loss trial. Blood draws were completed by trained 127 phlebotomists, transported and processed by a certified clinical laboratory on the same 128 day. The best marker for vitamin D status is serum 25(OH)D, which is comprised of 129 25(OH)D₃ and 25(OH)D₂.^{1,14} Serum 25(OH)D levels were quantified using the DiaSorin 130 Liaison 25 OH vitamin D total assay, which uses chemiluminescent immunoassay 131 technology for the quantitative determination of 25(OH)D and other hydroxylated 132 vitamin D metabolites. 133

Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (Seca 213; Chino, CA) and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale (Tanita BWB 800S; Arlington Heights, IL). Participants wore light clothes and were measured without shoes. Measurements were obtained by trained study personnel. If two measurements were more than 0.5 cm or 0.2 kg apart for height and weight, respectively, a third measurement was taken. The two closest measures of height and weight were used to calculate and classify BMI (kg/m²).¹⁵

Waist and hip measures, surrogate measures of visceral and gluteal adiposity, 141 respectively, were completed by trained study staff based on the National Health and 142 Nutrition Examination Survey techniques.¹⁶ However, the umbilicus was used as the 143 external marker for waist circumference (WC). Waist and hip circumference were 144 145 measured by placing a Gulick II Plus measuring tape in the horizontal plane (parallel to the floor) around the abdomen at the umbilicus for WC or at the widest point over the 146 buttocks for hip circumference (HC). Participants were told to wear light clothing to allow 147 148 direct measurement on the skin, assuring the removal or minimum inclusion of bulky

clothing (e.g., seams, gathered material from pants, shirts or other garments) in the
measurement. Participants were further instructed to breathe normally and stand with
ankles as close together as possible. A second staff member ensured that the
measuring tape was parallel to the floor with measurements taken in duplicate to the
nearest 0.1 cm and recorded. Additional measurements were taken and recorded until
two measurements were within 1.0 cm of each other.

Body composition was measured using DXA (iLunar, GE, software version 13.6). 155 (Figure 2) Following daily calibration with the manufacturer's phantom, whole body 156 157 scans were performed and analyzed by a trained technician blind to study group or outcomes. For measuring android fat, a region of interest was automatically defined 158 using the methods of Kaul et al.¹⁷ Abdominal and visceral were obtained from the 159 160 android region. Random whole body and hip images were periodically reviewed by a certified bone densitometrist for quality assurance purposes. Errors were corrected, 161 techniques were altered to prevent future errors, and images were reanalyzed as 162 needed prior to download and statistical analyses. 163

The Block 2005 Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), a validated,¹⁸ 110-item dietary assessment tool, was administered in person by trained personnel and processed by NutritionQuest (Berkeley, CA) to procure habitual dietary intakes reflective of the previous 6 months for vitamin D from food, beverage and dietary supplements sources. To account for important, non-dietary sources of vitamin D, we quantified summer sunlight exposure (focusing on weekend and weekday 'hours outside'), addressed seasonal influence of blood draw (i.e., participants drawn June- September

vs. October-May) and we categorized participants into one of six levels of self-reported
 skin pigmentation.¹⁹

173 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Because of the current lack of agreement on levels used to classify deficiency, serum 174 25(OH)D cut-points proposed by, both, the Endocrine Society²⁰ and the Institute of 175 Medicine (IOM),²¹ were applied. Means, medians, standard deviations, and ranges were 176 used to describe the distribution of the data. Non-normally distributed variables were log 177 transformed for analyses. Student's t and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for continuous 178 179 variables and Chi square for categorical variables were conducted for comparisons between deficient and non-deficient participants. Multivariate linear regression analyses 180 were conducted to determine the characteristics that independently predicted serum 181 25(OH)D, after adjustment for other variables. Informed by our preliminary analyses and 182 previous studies, several covariates were included in the models due to their abilities to 183 predict serum 25(OH)D (e.g., age, seasonality of blood draw, diet/supplement 184 contribution.) Reasoning that dark skin would impede ultraviolet light the most, self-185 reported untanned skin was reduced to a two categories ["dark" (i.e., dark brown and 186 very dark) vs. "light" (very fair, fair, olive and light brown)]. Variables were only retained 187 in the multivariate models if the effect of the variable changed the point estimate by 188 >10% or if the variable was significant in the multivariate model ($p \le 0.05$). Collinearity 189 190 was assessed prior to the final modeling and only one variable was selected for model fitting (e.g., dietary vitamin D vs. total energy intake, visceral adipose tissue (VAT) mass 191 vs. android fat mass). Statistical analysis was conducted using the statistical program 192 SAS (version 9.4).²² 193

194 **RESULTS**

The average age of the participants (N=244) was 57.4 y (±10.0) and 11% (n=27) had 195 overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), 23% (n=99) had Class 1 obese (BMI 30.0-34.9), 26% 196 (n=63) had Class 2 obese (35.0-39.9) and 40% (n=55) had Class 3 obese (BMI \ge 40.0). 197 Participants were predominantly non-smokers (91%, n= 219), diversely educated [39% 198 (n= 95) completed some college and 38% (n= 93) possessed a college and/or graduate 199 degree] and 50% (n=122) were privately insured. The average body weight, BMI and 200 WHR was 96.1 (±18.2) kg, 36.2 (±6.2) kg/m² and 0.94 (±0.09), respectively. Self-reports 201 202 of diabetes, high blood pressure and high serum cholesterol were 53%, 59% and 38%, respectively, signifying an overall high prevalence of co-morbid conditions. The average 203 time since BC diagnosis was 6.9 (±5.2) y, with 73% (n=175) and 79% (n=189) of 204 205 women self-reporting previous chemotherapy or radiation treatment, respectively. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants stratified by 206 vitamin D cut-points are presented in Table 1. The mean serum 25(OH)D was 22.5 207 (±10.8) mg/dL [56.2 (±27.0)]. The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was 81% and 43% 208 using the values of the Endocrine Society and IOM, respectively. Individuals classified 209 210 as vitamin D sufficient by Endocrine society tended to be older at the time of study enrollment (p=0.003) and at BC diagnosis (p=0.02), reported a lower occurrence of 211 diabetes (p=0.017) and hypertension (p=0.002) and were more often employed fulltime 212 213 or retired when compared to individuals classified as insufficient. Individuals classified as vitamin D sufficient using the IOM cut-points were older at the time of BC diagnosis 214 (p=0.037) and more likely to report early disease stage (p=0.001) and hypertension 215 216 (p=0.025).

Table 2 depicts the bivariate analyses of potential predictors of serum 25(OH)D 217 using dichotomized definitions of vitamin D status. Due to changes from a shorter to a 218 longer version of the FFQ, only dietary data from recruitment sites 2-8 were evaluable 219 (n=219). When stratified by the Endocrine Society cut-points, participants who were 220 classified as insufficient reported darker skin pigmentation (p= 0.01). When stratified by 221 222 the IOM cut-points, participants who were classified as insufficient had higher android fat mass measurements (p<0.001), higher energy (p<0.001) and dietary vitamin D 223 intake (p<0.001). In addition, mean serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly higher for 224 225 participants who had blood draws in June-September vs. October-May (24.6 ± 10.8 vs. 21.2 ± 10.7 , respectively; p=0.02). 226

Linear regression modeling involved examining the associations between lifestyle, 227 clinical and BC treatment related variables with log transformed serum 25(OH)D. 228 Significant independent associations between serum 25(OH)D and age (β = 0.00868; 229 p=0.008), dietary vitamin D, IU (β = -0.001; p= 0.05), vitamin D supplementation, IU (β = 230 0.00111; p<0.001), total energy intake, kcals (β = -0.00013; p<0.001) and seasonality of 231 blood draw (β = 0.20384; p=0.002) were detected. None of the variables related to BC 232 233 disease status or treatment (alone or in combination) were independently associated with serum 25(OH)D (p >0.05). Initially, the following body composition variables were 234 inversely associated with serum 25(OH)D: weight (p= 0.02), waist (p=0.03), total fat 235 236 mass (p=0.02), VAT mass (p=0.04), android fat mass (p=0.01), gynoid fat mass (p=0.04), total lean mass (p=0.02) and ALH (p=0.04). Linear regression modeling 237 involved assessing the effects of the various body composition variables on log 238 239 transformed serum 25(OH)D. Our final multivariate model was able to explain 28.8% of

the observed variance in serum 25(OH)D concentrations, adjusting for age (β =

241 0.00049), seasonality of blood draw (β = 0.15096), total energy intake, kcals (β = -

242 0.00011), supplemental vitamin D (β = 0.00107), darker skin pigmentation (β = -0.08668),

and BC stage (β = -11236) and WHR (β = -0.79472). No significant associations were

detected for BMI or any DXA measures of body composition.

245 **DISCUSSION**

The interpretation of our study findings is not straightforward owing to the 246 variation in how vitamin D deficiency is defined. When we apply the more conservative 247 248 IOM cut-point of <20 ng/ml (<50 nmol/L), we found that 43% of our AA female BC survivors were classified as vitamin D deficient. Considering that 82% of AAs (\geq 20 249 years of age) participating in the NHANES are classified as vitamin D deficient,²³ we 250 251 view our results as discrepant, yet positive. However, when we apply the more liberal cut-point of the Endocrine Society (<30 ng/ml or <75 nmol/L), our prevalence of vitamin 252 D deficiency increases to 81%. The occurrence of low serum 25(OH)D is 35-77% using 253 a similar cut-point (<30-32 ng/ml) in predominantly non-minority BC survivors, 5-7,24 254 reflecting lower prevalence estimates than our AA BC population. Regardless of these 255 deficiency definitions, observational data support an inverse relationship between higher 256 serum 25(OH)D at diagnosis and lower risk for BC progression and mortality.²⁵ 257 Specifically, in an observational cohort of 512 early stage BC survivors, Goodwin et al 258 259 showed that low plasma levels of 25(OH)D (<20 ng/ml or <50 nmol/L) at the time of BC diagnosis were significantly associated with an increased risk of distant recurrence and 260 death.²⁶ These effects were only modestly attenuated after adjustment for tumor-related 261 262 factors. A more recent systematic review and meta-analysis (n=5,691) indicated that low

blood levels of serum (OH)D were associated with a pooled hazard ratio of 2.1 (95% CI 263 1.6, 2.8) for recurrence and 1.8 (95% CI 1.4, 2.3) for mortality in women diagnosed and 264 previously treated for early stage BC.²⁷ Thus, many BC survivors are prescribed 265 supplemental vitamin D under the clinical presumption that it will positively influence BC 266 survivorship. It is clear that many of our participants 'heard this message' since 60% of 267 268 those with evaluable dietary data (n=132) reported ingesting supplemental vitamin D; a significant predictor of serum 25(OH)D (p<0.001). Based on our deficiency levels, AA 269 BC survivors may require higher doses to achieve a therapeutic response. Taking into 270 271 account our cross-sectional design and the length of time since initial BC diagnosis, we cannot, however, extrapolate our findings to make assumptions regarding the 272 survivorship of our participants. Although, vitamin D deficiency has been hypothesized 273 to contribute to risk of more aggressive BC in AA women.²⁸ the possibility that AA BC 274 survivors with lower serum 25(OH)D experienced metastasis or mortality closer to the 275 time of BC diagnosis would have precluded study participation, posing important 276 confines on these data. 277

In previous studies, BMI was a significant, inverse predictor of serum 25(OH)D,²⁹⁻ 278 ³⁴ perhaps due to vitamin D sequestration into the adipose tissue, alterations in 279 metabolism from hepatic steatosis or inhibitory effects of adipokines.¹¹ Body 280 composition is a developing science that examines more than BMI, specifically 281 282 accounting for the amount and location of adipose and lean tissue compartments in the human body.³⁵ Due to recent advances, the precision with which to measure body 283 composition has substantially increased over the last two decades.³⁶ Despite the known 284 validity and reliability of DXA in individuals who are lean or obese, ^{37,38} the current study 285

did not find significant associations between serum 25(OH)D and DXA quantified 286 measures of body composition in our cohort of AA BC survivors with overweight/obesity. 287 Regardless, this relationship is inconsistent in AA populations,³⁹⁻⁴¹ which is supported 288 by our study findings. Due to the high prevalence of central obesity in our participants, 289 we anticipated that VAT would have negatively predicted serum 25(OH)D levels. A 290 291 growing body of literature now highlights that AA women may possess higher WC, yet lower levels of VAT when compared to women of other race/ethnicities.⁴²⁻⁴⁶ 292 Interestingly, only WHR, a surrogate marker of android vs. gynoid adiposity, was a 293 294 significant determinant of serum 25(OH)D, accounting for 5% of its variability (p=0.0279). This lack of consistency highlights two concerns. First, WC measures were 295 taken at the level of the umbilicus. This physical landmark may not always align with the 296 297 DXA defined regions of interest for VAT assessment. Second, while DXA provides estimates of VAT, more importantly, it cannot parse out the deep vs. superficial 298 subcutaneous adipose tissues. These tissue compartments are only measureable using 299 computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging, but are emerging as distinctly 300 different predictors of metabolic risk.47 301

Many assays are utilized to quantify 25(OH)D and these can be generally grouped into 2 categories: immune based and chromatography based.^{14,48} Due to superior precision, liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry is considered the 'gold standard' and as such, used a reference measure in comparison studies.^{14,49-52} Because immunoassays procedures are easily automated, considerably less expensive and readily available, these methods are most widely used in clinical facilities and practice. Unfortunately, immunoassays have variable specificity for 25(OH)D₂,

25(OH)D₃, the C3-epimer of 25(OH)D and other 25(OH)D metabolites,⁵³ reducing
measurement accuracy as much as 20%.⁵⁴ Acknowledging this lack of agreement is
important for researchers as it poses serious challenges to explore purported
associations between low serum 25(OH)D, non-skeletal chronic diseases (e.g., cancer)¹
and relevant cancer outcomes (i.e., BC recurrence, mortality).²⁷

Several limitations of this investigation merit discussion. First, this study involved 314 AA BC survivors with overweight/obesity who desired weight loss. While the majority of 315 AAs in the US population are overweight/obese reflecting good generalizability,⁵⁵ we did 316 not have a proportion of AA women with normal BMI or normal adiposity (<32%)⁵⁶ for 317 more rigorous comparisons. Second, we did not have measures of parathyroid 318 hormone; a known determinant of serum 25(OH)D.¹ Third, all of our participants were 319 BC survivors who had received BC treatment; thus, by design, these findings are only 320 generalizable to other AA BC survivors. Fourth, we were unable to include the dietary 321 data from our first recruitment site (n=25 women) due to changes in dietary assessment 322 methodologies. However, based on similarities across recruitment sites, we have no 323 reason to believe these dietary data would be significantly different than the other 324 325 participants. Additionally, this change resulted in missing data related to current smoking status. Based on data reflective of 90% of the study sample (n=216), no 326 relationship between serum 25(OH)D and current smoking was detected in univariate 327 328 and multivariable modeling. Finally, the likelihood of Type 2 error cannot be ruled out. However, sensitivity analyses showed no correlation between serum 25(OH)D and 329 percent body fat (r= -0.07, p=0.28). There were no linear and nonlinear visual patterns 330 331 detected between the two measures.

332 CONCLUSION

The determination and interpretation of serum 25(OH)D status is complex. It 333 reflects a clinical scenario plagued by non-harmonious definitions^{20,21} and employs 334 methodologies that possess laboratory drift and variation.⁵⁷ Applying the cut-points of 335 the Endocrine Society and the IOM, we found that vitamin D deficiency was prevalent in 336 81% and 43% of our AA BC survivors with overweight/obesity, respectively. While, skin 337 pigmentation, age and BC stage are not modifiable, vitamin D supplementation, sun 338 behaviors and WHR are all significant predictors of serum 25(OH)D levels and thus may 339 serve as potential future points of intervention to improve the vitamin D status of this 340 minority survivor population. 341

342 **References**

- 1. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2007;357(3):266-281.
- Lips P. Worldwide status of vitamin D nutrition. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2010;121(1-2):297 300.
- Chen WY, Bertone-Johnson ER, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Associations between
 polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2005;14(10):2335-2339.
- Wu X, Zhou T, Cao N, Ni J, Wang X. Role of Vitamin D Metabolism and Activity on Carcinogenesis.
 Oncol Res. 2014;22(3):129-137.
- 3515.Neuhouser ML, Sorensen B, Hollis BW, et al. Vitamin D insufficiency in a multiethnic cohort of352breast cancer survivors. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2008;88(1):133-139.
- 3536.Trukova KP, Grutsch J, Lammersfeld C, Liepa G. Prevalence of vitamin d insufficiency among354breast cancer survivors. Nutr Clin Pract. 2012;27(1):122-128.
- Villasenor A, Ballard-Barbash R, Ambs A, et al. Associations of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D with
 overall and breast cancer-specific mortality in a multiethnic cohort of breast cancer survivors.
 Cancer Causes Control. 2013;24(4):759-767.
- Schleicher RL, Sternberg MR, Lacher DA, et al. The vitamin D status of the US population from
 1988 to 2010 using standardized serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D shows recent
 modest increases. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2016;104(2):454-461.
- Piotrowska A, Wierzbicka J, Zmijewski MA. Vitamin D in the skin physiology and pathology. *Acta Biochim Pol.* 2016;63(1):89-95.
- Wortsman J, Matsuoka LY, Chen TC, Lu Z, Holick MF. Decreased bioavailability of vitamin D in
 obesity. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2000;72(3):690-693.
- Cipriani C, Pepe J, Piemonte S, Colangelo L, Cilli M, Minisola S. Vitamin d and its relationship with
 obesity and muscle. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2014;2014:841248.
- Okorodudu DO, Jumean MF, Montori VM, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to
 identify obesity as defined by body adiposity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes* (Lond). 2010;34(5):791-799.
- Stolley MR, Sharp LK, Fantuzzi G, et al. Study design and protocol for moving forward: a weight
 loss intervention trial for African-American breast cancer survivors. *BMC Cancer*.
 2015;15(1):1018.
- 373 14. Zerwekh JE. Blood biomarkers of vitamin D status. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*.
 374 2008;87(4):1087S-1091S.
- Prevention CfDCa. Classifications of Obesity, Overweight and Underweight Adults.
 <u>https://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpao/growthcharts/training/bmiage/page4.html</u>. Accessed July
 20, 2017.
- 378 16. Prevention. CCfDCa. <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_07_08/manual_an.pdf</u>.
 379 Accessed April 6, 2017.
- 38017.Kaul S, Rothney MP, Peters DM, et al. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for quantification of381visceral fat. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012;20(6):1313-1318.
- Mares-Perlman JA, Klein BE, Klein R, Ritter LL, Fisher MR, Freudenheim JL. A diet history
 questionnaire ranks nutrient intakes in middle-aged and older men and women similarly to
 multiple food records. *The Journal of Nutrition.* 1993;123(3):489-501.
- Glanz K, Yaroch AL, Dancel M, et al. Measures of sun exposure and sun protection practices for
 behavioral and epidemiologic research. *Arch Dermatol.* 2008;144(2):217-222.

387 20. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of 388 vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. The Journal of clinical 389 endocrinology and metabolism. 2011;96(7):1911-1930. 390 21. Institute of Medicine Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, DC: 391 National Academy Press; 2010. 392 22. SAS [computer program]. Version 9.4, 2002-2012: SAS Institute, INC., Cary, NC. 393 23. Forrest KY, Stuhldreher WL. Prevalence and correlates of vitamin D deficiency in US adults. Nutr 394 Res. 2011;31(1):48-54. 395 24. Friedman CF, DeMichele A, Su HI, et al. Vitamin d deficiency in postmenopausal breast cancer 396 survivors. Journal of women's health. 2012;21(4):456-462. 397 25. Jacobs ET, Kohler LN, Kunihiro AG, Jurutka PW. Vitamin D and Colorectal, Breast, and Prostate 398 Cancers: A Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. J Cancer. 2016;7(3):232-240. 399 26. Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, Koo J, Hood N. Prognostic effects of 25-hydroxyvitamin D 400 levels in early breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(23):3757-3763. 401 27. Rose AA, Elser C, Ennis M, Goodwin PJ. Blood levels of vitamin D and early stage breast cancer 402 prognosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment. 403 2013;141(3):331-339. 404 28. Yao S, Ambrosone CB. Associations between vitamin D deficiency and risk of aggressive breast 405 cancer in African-American women. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2013;136:337-341. 406 29. Chan J, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fraser GE. Determinants of serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D levels in a 407 nationwide cohort of blacks and non-Hispanic whites. Cancer Causes Control. 2010;21(4):501-408 511. 409 30. McCullough ML, Weinstein SJ, Freedman DM, et al. Correlates of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin 410 D: Cohort Consortium Vitamin D Pooling Project of Rarer Cancers. American journal of 411 epidemiology. 2010;172(1):21-35. 412 31. Jacobs ET, Thomson CA, Flatt SW, Newman VA, Rock CL, Pierce JP. Correlates of 25-413 hydroxyvitamin D and breast cancer stage in the Women's Healthy Eating and Living Study. Nutr 414 Cancer. 2013;65(2):188-194. 415 Lagunova Z, Porojnicu AC, Lindberg F, Hexeberg S, Moan J. The dependency of vitamin D status 32. 416 on body mass index, gender, age and season. Anticancer Res. 2009;29(9):3713-3720. 33. 417 Vashi PG, Lammersfeld CA, Braun DP, Gupta D. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is inversely 418 associated with body mass index in cancer. Nutr J. 2011;10:51. 419 34. Shirazi L, Almquist M, Malm J, Wirfalt E, Manjer J. Determinants of serum levels of vitamin D: a 420 study of life-style, menopausal status, dietary intake, serum calcium, and PTH. BMC Womens 421 Health. 2013;13:33. 422 35. Prado CM, Heymsfield SB. Lean tissue imaging: a new era for nutritional assessment and 423 intervention. Jpen. 2014;38(8):940-953. 424 36. Baracos V, Caserotti P, Earthman CP, et al. Advances in the science and application of body 425 composition measurement. Jpen. 2012;36(1):96-107. 426 Heymsfield SB, Wang Z, Baumgartner RN, Ross R. Human body composition: advances in models 37. 427 and methods. Annual review of nutrition. 1997;17:527-558. 428 38. Micklesfield LK, Goedecke JH, Punyanitya M, Wilson KE, Kelly TL. Dual-energy X-ray performs as 429 well as clinical computed tomography for the measurement of visceral fat. Obesity (Silver 430 Spring). 2012;20(5):1109-1114. 431 39. Looker AC. Body fat and vitamin D status in black versus white women. The Journal of clinical 432 endocrinology and metabolism. 2005;90(2):635-640. 433 40. Nesby-O'Dell S, Scanlon KS, Cogswell ME, et al. Hypovitaminosis D prevalence and determinants 434 among African American and white women of reproductive age: third National Health and

435		Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. <i>The American journal of clinical nutrition.</i>
436		2002;76(1):187-192.
437	41.	Samuel L, Borrell LN. The effect of body mass index on adequacy of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D
438		levels in US adults: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001 to 2006. Ann
439		Epidemiol. 2014;24(10):781-784.
440	42.	Carroll JF, Chiapa AL, Rodriquez M, et al. Visceral fat, waist circumference, and BMI: impact of
441		race/ethnicity. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008;16(3):600-607.
442	43.	Katzmarzyk PT, Heymsfield SB, Bouchard C. Clinical utility of visceral adipose tissue for the
443		identification of cardiometabolic risk in white and African American adults. <i>The American journal</i>
444		of clinical nutrition. 2013;97(3):480-486.
445	44.	Conway JM, Yanovski SZ, Avila NA, Hubbard VS. Visceral adipose tissue differences in black and
446		white women. <i>The American journal of clinical nutrition</i> . 1995;61(4):765-771.
447	45.	Kanaley JA, Giannopoulou I, Tillapaugh-Fay G, Nappi JS, Ploutz-Snyder LL. Racial differences in
448		subcutaneous and visceral fat distribution in postmenopausal black and white women.
449		Metabolism. 2003;52(2):186-191.
450	46.	Bi X, Seabolt L, Shibao C, et al. DXA-measured visceral adipose tissue predicts impaired glucose
451		tolerance and metabolic syndrome in obese Caucasian and African-American women. European
452		journal of clinical nutrition. 2015;69(3):329-336.
453	47.	Golan R, Shelef I, Rudich A, et al. Abdominal superficial subcutaneous fat: a putative distinct
454		protective fat subdepot in type 2 diabetes. <i>Diabetes care</i> . 2012;35(3):640-647.
455	48.	Fraser WD, Milan AM. Vitamin D assays: past and present debates, difficulties, and
456		developments. Calcif Tissue Int. 2013;92(2):118-127.
457	49.	Moon HW, Cho JH, Hur M, et al. Comparison of four current 25-hydroxyvitamin D assays. <i>Clinical</i>
458		biochemistry. 2012;45(4-5):326-330.
459	50.	Farrell CJ, Martin S, McWhinney B, Straub I, Williams P, Herrmann M. State-of-the-art vitamin D
460		assays: a comparison of automated immunoassays with liquid chromatography-tandem mass
461		spectrometry methods. <i>Clinical chemistry</i> . 2012;58(3):531-542.
462	51.	van den Ouweland JM, Beijers AM, Demacker PN, van Daal H. Measurement of 25-OH-vitamin D
463		in human serum using liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry with comparison to
464		radioimmunoassay and automated immunoassay. Journal of chromatography B, Analytical
465		technologies in the biomedical and life sciences. 2010;878(15-16):1163-1168.
466	52.	Lai JK, Lucas RM, Banks E, Ponsonby AL, Ausimmune Investigator G. Variability in vitamin D
467		assays impairs clinical assessment of vitamin D status. Internal medicine journal. 2012;42(1):43-
468		50.
469	53.	Farrell C, Soldo J, Williams P, Herrmann M. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D testing: challenging the
470		performance of current automated immunoassays. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2012;50(11):1953-1963.
471	54.	Binkley N, Wiebe D. Clinical controversies in vitamin D: 25(OH)D measurement, target
472		concentration, and supplementation. J Clin Densitom. 2013;16(4):402-408.
473	55.	Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Trends in Obesity Among Adults in
474		the United States, 2005 to 2014. JAMA. 2016;315(21):2284-2291.
475	56.	Fitness A. 2016; http://www.acefitness.org/acefit/healthy_living_tools_content.aspx?id=2 .
476		Accessed December 1, 2016.
477	57.	Ganji V, Zhang X, Tangpricha V. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and prevalence
478		estimates of hypovitaminosis D in the U.S. population based on assay-adjusted data. J Nutr.
479		2012;142(3):498-507.

 Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristics of African-American breast cancer survivor study participants stratified

 by serum 25(OH)D cut-points proposed by the Endocrine Society and the Institute of Medicine (N=244)

	Endocrine Society ²¹			Institute of Medicine ²⁰		
	Sufficient	Insufficient	P value ^b	Sufficient	Insufficient	P value ^c
	25(OH) D	25(OH) D		25(OH) D	25(OH) D	
	≥ 30 ng/ml	< 30 ng/ml		≥ 20 ng/ml	<20 ng/ml	
Variable ^a	<mark>(</mark> ≥ 75 nmol/L)	<mark>(</mark> < 75 nmol/L)		<mark>(</mark> ≥ 50 nmol/L)	<mark>(<</mark> 50 nmol/L)	
Ν	47	197		138	106	
Age	61.3 (8.5)	56.5 (10.2)	0.003	58.4 (10.1)	56.1 (9.9)	0.077
[years (SD)]						
Time since diagnosis	7.7 (5.7)	6.9 (5.2)	0.376	6.9 (5.9)	7.3 (6.3)	0.475
[years (SD)]						
Age at diagnosis	53.4 (10.0)	49.6 (9.9)	0.020	51.5 (10.5)	48.8 (9.0)	0.037
[years (SD)]						
Self-report breast cancer			0.135			0.001
stage (n)						

Stage I (%)	19	66		47	38	
Stage II (%)	20	78		66	32	
Stage III (%)	3	36		13	26	
Unsure	5	17		12	10	
Co-morbid conditions (n)						
Diabetes	17	39	0.017	34	22	0.475
High Cholesterol	20	72	0.445	54	38	0.600
Hypertension	37	107	0.002	90	54	0.025
Current Smoker (n) ^d	5	14	0.375	9	10	0.419
Currently taking vitamin D	35	97	<0.001	97	35	<0.001
supplements (n) ^e						
Education level (n)			0.438			0.823
High school or less	12	46		36	22	
Some college or	16	77		50	43	
Associate's degree						

College graduate or	19	74		52	41	
graduate degree						
Employment (n)			0.004			0.102
Full-time	21	66		51	36	
Part-time	1	26		16	11	
Retired	19	44		40	23	
Disabled/unable to work	2	32		12	22	
Other	4	29		19	14	
Insurance (n)			0.035			0.403
None	1	8		6	3	
None Public	1 3	8 48		6 26	3 25	
None Public Medicare	1 3 11	8 48 48		6 26 33	3 25 26	
None Public Medicare HMO/PPO	1 3 11 32	8 48 48 91		6 26 33 73	3 25 26 50	
None Public Medicare HMO/PPO Other	1 3 11 32 0	8 48 48 91 2		6 26 33 73 0	3 25 26 50 2	
None Public Medicare HMO/PPO Other Current menopausal status	1 3 11 32 0	8 48 48 91 2	0.148	6 26 33 73 0	3 25 26 50 2	0.326

Post-menopausal (n)	44	169		123	90	
Received chemotherapy	33	142	0.603	99	76	0.795
for breast cancer (n) ^d						
Received radiation therapy	36	153	0.640	102	87	0.204
for breast cancer (n) ^d						
Current endocrine therapy	13	58	0.716	46	25	0.071
for breast cancer (n) ^d						

a Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or n.

b P value reflects comparisons made for > 30 vs. \leq 30 ng/ml (or > 75 vs. \leq 75 nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

c P value reflects comparisons made for > 20 vs. \leq 20 ng/ml (or > 50 vs. \leq 50 nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

d Data missing on 25 participants for current smoker and on 5 participants for breast cancer related therapies.

e Numbers reflect 132 women who reported supplemental vitamin D consumption.

 Table 2. Body composition, dietary intake and sun exposure among African-American breast cancer survivors

 stratified by serum 25(OH)D cut-points proposed by the Endocrine Society and the Institute of Medicine (N=244)

	Endocrine Society ²¹			Institute of Medicine ²⁰			
	Sufficient	Insufficient		Sufficient	Insufficient		
	25(OH)D	25(OH)D		25(OH)D	25(OH)D		
	≥ 30 ng/ml	< 30 ng/ml		≥ 20 ng/ml	<20 ng/ml		
Variable ^{ag}	(≥ 75 nmol/L)	(< 75 nmol/L)	P value ^b	(≥ 50 nmol/L)	(< 50 nmol/L)	P value ^b	
N	47	197		138	106		
Body Weight	95.6 (15.9)	96.2 (18.8)	0.859	94.5 (17.1)	98.0 (19.5)	0.141	
(kg)							
Height	161.9 (5.8)	163.1 (6.5)	0.247	162.6 (6.0)	163.1 (6.8)	0.527	
(cm)							
Body mass index ^b	36.5 (5.9)	36.1 (6.3)	0.674	35.7 (6.0)	36.7 (6.5)	0.221	
(kg/m²)							
Overweight (n)	3	24	0.162	15	12	0.510	
Class 1 Obese (n)	20	79		61	38		
(kg/m²) Overweight (n) Class 1 Obese (n)	3 20	24 79	0.162	15 61	12 38	0.510	

Class 2 Obese (n)	17	63		35	28	
Class 3 Obese (n)	7	55		27	28	
Waist circumference	112.4 (12.5)	113.7 (15.9)	0.589	112.4 (14.1)	114.8 (16.6)	0.212
(cm)						
Hip circumference	121.0 (11.3)	120.6 (13.9)	0.870	120.0 (12.9)	121.6 (14.1)	0.367
(cm)						
Waist to hip ratio	0.93 (0.07)	0.94 (0.09)	0.325	0.93 (0.08)	0.95 (0.09)	0.502
DXA Total fat mass	44.6 (10.6)	44.7 (13.0)	0.994	43.5 (11.9)	46.2 (13.3)	0.092
(kg)						
DXA Body fat	46.9 (3.90)	46.2 (5.20)	0.411	45.8 (5.0)	46.9 (4.7)	0.094
(%)						
DXA Visceral fat mass	1.44 (0.67)	1.45 (0.70)	0.925	1.39 (0.67)	1.53 (0.72)	0.120
(kg)						
DXA Android fat mass	4.01 (1.23)	4.12 (1.50)	0.638	3.93 (1.36)	4.30 (1.51)	0.049
(kg)						

DXA Gynoid fat mass	7.56 (2.14)	7.54 (2.54)	0.978	7.33 (2.36)	7.83 (2.57)	0.120
(kg)						
DXA Leg fat mass	16.57 (4.84)	16.109 (5.75)	0.574	15.83 (5.29)	16.68 (5.93)	0.248
(kg)						
DXA Total lean mass	47.22 (5.58)	47.99 (6.90)	0.473	47.56 (6.34)	48.20 (7.06)	0.461
(kg)						
DXA Appendicular lean	8.74 (1.15)	8.82 (1.28)	0.715	8.76 (1.17)	8.87 (1.36)	0.523
height (kg/m²)						
FFQ Energy intake	1769 (862)	2091 (1152)	0.094	1769 (813)	2339 (1342)	<0.001
(kcals/d) ^e						
FFQ Dietary vitamin D	102 (80)	116 (100)	0.413	97 (67)	135 (129)	0.01
intake						
(IU/d) ^e						
FFQ Supplement vitamin	352 (194)	317 (158)	0.296	342 (175)	282 (140)	0.073
D intake (IU/d) ^{ef}						

Daily summer sun	2.4 (1.6)	2.5 (1.7)	0.769	2.5 (1.6)	2.5 (1.7)	0.975
exposure (hrs)						
Self-reported skin color			<0.001			0.513
Fair	3	8		7	4	
Olive	3	7		6	4	
Light brown	20	84		61	43	
Dark brown	14	93		55	52	
Very dark	7	5		9	3	

a Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or n.

b BMI (kg/m²) cut-points defined as: overweight BMI 25.0-29.9; Class 1 obese BMI 30.0-34.9; Class 2 obese BMI 35.0-

39.9; Class 3 obese BMI ≥ 40.0.¹⁵

c P value reflects comparisons made for > 30 vs. \leq 30 ng/dl (or > 75 vs. \leq 75 nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

d P value reflects comparisons made for > 20 vs. \leq 20 ng/dl (or > 50 vs. \leq 50 nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

e Due to changes in FFQ version, only dietary data from cohorts 2-8 were evaluable (n=219).

f These calculations reflect the 132 participants who reported intakes of supplemental vitamin D. g Abbreviations used: BMI= Body mass index, FFQ=Food Frequency Questionnaire, DXA=Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry