

# Using Least-to-Most Assistive Prompt Hierarchy to Increase Child Compliance with Teacher Directives in Preschool Classrooms

Cynthia DiCarlo • Jennifer Baumgartner • Jamie Cabellero • Courtney Powers •Louisiana State University

2

Aaron Deris • University of Minnesota, Mankato

## Research Questions:

Can regular education teachers learn to use the LtM prompt hierarchy?

Would child compliance increase in response to teacher behavior?

## LtM Assistive Prompt Hierarchy:

8 Step sequence:

1)Teacher-child proximity 2)Teacher child eye level

3)Issue a verbal request

4)Wait 5 sec for a response 5)If not completed, issue

verbal request again paired wit ha model

6)Wait 5 sec for a response 7)In not completed issue the

verbal request paired with physical assistance to task completion

8)Praise completion

## **Behavior Definitions**

#### **Teacher Behavior**

- Teacher prompts-verbal directives, demonstrations, or physical assistances
- Verbal-told to the child by teacher
- Model-teacher demonstrating the behavior
- Physical-teacher physically helping child with the task
- •Teacher proximity-within arm's reach of child
- •Eye-level-co-planer facial elevation
- •*Praise*-encouraging statement that acknowledged the child's completed directive.

## Child Behavior in response to Teacher Prompts

•Completed Directive- completed action performed in response to a teacher prompt within 5s.

## Procedures

## **Observation system**

Event recording – an event began when a teacher gave any prompt to a child and ended when one fo the following occurred: (a) the child completed the task within 5 s, (b) the child did not complete task within 5 s, (c) the teacher under observation issued anew or repeated verbal prompt

## Subjects & Setting

- Experiment 1: Whole Class
- Participants: Female teachers-teacher 1-graduate assistant in Early Childhood Masters program, teacher 2 and 3- undergraduate student teachers in Early Childhood program. Teachers had been with children for 1 week prior without any previous training on interventions to increase child compliance.
- Setting: All inclusive, 4 day a week half -day program. 18 children 3 and 4 year olds. 2 of the 18 were identified with special needs. Research took place during free choice play.
- Interobserver agreement checks were conducted during 19% of all observation sessions.
- **Experiment 2: Target Child**
- Participants: 3 female teachers working in a full day private preschool. None of the teachers had previous training on interventions to increase child compliance.
- Target child- 4 year old Caucasian male due to noncompliance with teacher directives. Ages and Stages Questionnaire indicated child functioning on level but displayed problems with social interactions with peers and adults.
- Setting: 14 children 36-60 months old. Research took place during free choice play.
- Interobserver agreement checks were 20% across baseline, during the LtM, and follow-up.

## Discussion

Teachers implemented interventions with fidelity, increased their prompting of children, increased the number of directives initiated allowing for the teachers confidence about facilitating compliance to increase.

## Future Research

Investigation of methods to improve intervention training, implementation, and generalization of intervention.

## Data





