

## **Case Western Reserve Law Review**

Volume 8 | Issue 2

1957

# Masthead

Volume 8 Issue 2 (1957)

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev



Part of the <u>Law Commons</u>

### Recommended Citation

Volume 8 Issue 2 (1957), Masthead, 8(2) W. Res. L. Rev. Masthead (1957)  $A vailable\ at: https://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev/vol8/iss2/1$ 

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Journals at Case Western Reserve University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Case Western Reserve Law Review by an authorized administrator of Case Western Reserve University School of Law Scholarly Commons.

170 [March

### WESTERN RESERVE LAW REVIEW

Member of the National Conference of Law Reviews
Published for THE FRANKLIN THOMAS BACKUS SCHOOL OF LAW
by THE PRESS OF WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY, Cleveland 6, Ohio

#### EDITORIAL BOARD

JERRY B. HELWIG, Editor-in-Chief

#### Associate Editors

William J. Schafer III

Lawrence Tucker

Patricia A. Wilbert

Gary A. Banas Robert A. Bostick Malcolm C. Douglas Donald W Farley David Freed Raymond E. Griffiths Robert W Jones Karl A. Limbach Clifford M. Lytle, Jr. James C. McGrath James F. O'Day Ronald M. Rubenstein Roger A. Scott

WALTER PROBERT, Faculty Adviser
HUGH A. ROSS, Associate Faculty Adviser

# **NOTES**

# Treadmill to Confusion — Ohio's Guest Statute

"We know not what we do when we speak words" — Shelly, Rosalind and Helen, Line 1108.

Cardozo once stated that one of the greatest causes for uncertainty in the law was the attempted distinction between cases where the facts presented no distinction in legal principles applicable. The validity of the eminent jurist's assertion is borne out by an analysis of automobile guest law today. An examination of the various guest cases, so called, reveals a hodge-podge of anomalies, contradictions and misnomers. One Ohio judge voiced the sentiments of many when he remarked:

It is a day devoutly to be wished for when the courts of last resort will give us definitions which are understandable to the ordinary mind.

This article will trace the background of Ohio's Guest Statute,<sup>3</sup> survey the leading decisions, and offer suggestions in an attempt to clarify

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>CARDOZO, THE GROWTH OF LAW 3 (1924)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Lockshin v. Greenberg, 25 Ohio L. Abs. 284, 287 (Ct. App. 1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ohio Rev. Code § 4515.02.