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THE INELUENCE OE A HUMAN REPETITIVE DNA ON GENOME STABILITY
by
Eugenia Lee Posey
A uniquely human interspersed repetitive DNA sequence family, the L2Hs, are highly polymorphic in human genomes. Several features of interspersed repeated DNA may contribute to the instability observed. Certain motifs(direct repeats, palindromes, and inverted repeats)comprising L2Hs elements may adopt unusual secondary structures such as cruciforms or hairpins. These motifs have been associated with features of genome instability in recombination, insertions and deletions. The L2Hs elements also are AT-rich (76\%)compared to the bulk of human DNA (52\%). That their dynamic nature (i.e. polymorphisms) may arise from recombination, insertions and deletions has led to the hypothesis that the L2Hs element is intrinsically dynamic and may influence the stability of the surrounding genome. Thus, the stability of the L2Hs element was tested in a bacterial model system. A cloned 0.6 kb L2Hs element forms non-B-form structures in recombinant plasmids pN6 and pN2, which differ only in insert orientation. Instability of pN6 and pN2 plasmids was observed in serial propagation studies in which E.coli cells containing the plasmids were cultured every 24 hours for 28 days. The vector plasmid pTZI9U, as control, was found to be stable in all passages while the two L2Hs recombinants developed deletions of the L2Hs insert as well as adjacent vector sequences. The isolated deletion mutants have been characterized via restriction cleavage studies and sequencing to map the boundaries of the deletions. Direct repeats and potential stem-loop structures have been discovered at or within close proximity to the deletion boundaries. The data demonstrate that the L2Hs recombinants' unusual sequence features with potential for non-B-form secondary structures, influence genome stability via their involvement in generating errors during DNA replication and DNA repair.
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The concepts of DNA dynamics and genome instability are often used interchangeably. However, a distinction between these two notions should be discerned. DNA is an energetic molecule with a helix that can undergo conformational changes in its secondary structure (Sinden 1994) that may or may not lead to instability of the genome. DNA dynamics may be defined as an inherent potential for change within the DNA molecule. This applies especially to secondary structural changes from which alteration in the DNA sequence may or may not occur. Although both dynamics and instability broadly refer to DNA changes, DNA dynamics encompasses all aspects of change (transient, structural plus genetic) while genome instability manifests itself as a hereditary modification of DNA base sequences ii.e. the linear arrangement of bases). The latter may involve expansion, deletion or rearrangement of the genome (Richards and Sutherland 1992). Genome instability involves various structural processes including translocation, recombination, deletion and amplification (Cohen and others 1997). One example of instability, found in repeated sequences of humans and other eukaryotes, is copy number polymorphisms
within or between individuals. A specific instance of nucleotide repeat polymorphisms is that associated with the human chromosomal fragile site $E R A 16 B$, which is an amplified AT-rich minisatellite repeat (Yu and others 1997). An example of DNA dynamics is cruciform formation in a superhelical plasmid. The plasmid's secondary structure changes while the plasmid's primary sequence remains stable.

## The Relationship of Genome Instability to <br> Human Disease

Genome instability may be responsible for both somatic and germline mutations implicated in the development of genetic diseases. Eor instance, many disorders have been correlated with genetic instability via repetitive DNA sequence polymorphisms. Several trinucleotide repeat disorders are examples of sucn poiymorpinisms iDariow and Leach 1995, Timchenko and Caskey 1996, Gellibolian and others 1997). In the case of the fragile $X$ syndrome, one of the most common causes of mental retardation, normally polymorphic exonic trinucleotide repeats expand beyond the normal size range and alter gene expression. Likewise, the disease mutation in myotonic dystrophy, an autosomal dominant disorder, results from expansion of a trinucleotide repeat array in the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated region of a gene mapped
to chromosome 19, resulting in changes in message stability (Singh 1995). Several neurodegenerative disorders (i.e. spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy, Huntington's disease, dentatorubral-apallidoluysian atrophy and Machado-Joseph disease) are associated with expansion of CAG repeat arrays (Timchenko and Caskey 1996).

While instability can arise by expansion of certain repeat arrays, deletion events likewise are prominent in genome instability. One example is a form of $\beta$-thalassemia found in patients of Asian Indian origin. A deletion involving the $3^{\prime}$ end of the $\beta$ globin gene reduces $\beta$ globin production, resulting in anemia and gross variation in shape and fragmentation of red blood cells. Such deletions account for nearly one third of the defective thalassemia genes in this ethnic group (Orkin 1987). Another example is Eanconi's anemia, an autosomai recessive disorder in which small deletions are observed in repetitive DNA repeat arrays (Friedberg and others 1995). Several cancers also have been associated with instability of interspersed repeated and microsatellite sequences resulting from deletions: hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer and sporadic colorectal cancers, endometrial and ovarian cancers (Risinger and others 1993, Orth and others 1994). Thus, genomic instability via deletion events also may represent
an important source of human genetic disease (Lovett and Feschenko 1996).


#### Abstract

Repeated DNA Sequences Involved With Genome Instability The repeated DNA sequences involved in genome instability include tandem repeats, microsatellite DNAs, short interspersed repeat DNA (SINEs) and long interspersed repeat DNA (LINEs). Instability of repeated DNA sequences close to or even within genes may result in dire consequences for the organism. Because of their remoteness from sequences encoding genes, some mutations in these repetitive sequences may not lead to gross phenotypic changes. However, effects of instability may result in changes in genome organization and structure. Hence, instability in such sequences may have structural influences on genome function, including long-range (i.e.


telestability) effects on neighboring DNA sequences. The topological state of the DNA is one of the manifestations of DNA dynamics and a major contributor to genome instability. Particularly important to the topological state is torsional stress (i.e. supercoiling), which influences DNA replication, recombination and transcription, and may promote formation of unusual DNA structures (Kornberg and Baker 1992). In prokaryotes it is well documented that DNA topology is involved in regulating
gene expression (Cantor and others 1988). Torsional stress may influence certain DNA sequence motifs (i.e.,
palindromes, trinucleotide repeats, or certain AT-rich DNA sequences) to adopt unusual structures such as hairpins or cruciforms (Mizuuchi and others 1982). In turn, these non-B form DNA structures promote genome instability by increasing the frequency of mispairing reactions during DNA replication and recombination (Leach 1994, Darlow and Leach 1995). Torsional stress also provides an energy source which stably maintains non-B-form DNA conformations which are inherently unstable (Lilley and others 1988, Davison and Leach 1994, van Holde and Zlatanova 1994).

In contrast to prokaryotic systems, the role of
torsional stress in DNA of eukaryotes is not well
characterized. Nevertheless, several experiments have shown that supercoiled DNA in eukaryotic ceils is more actively transcribed than linear DNA. However, torsional stress in eukaryotes is difficult to measure. Torsional stress may localize into domains and may have large global effects. The complexities of the eukaryotic system make interpretations regarding the roles of DNA topology and torsional stress in eukaryotes difficult to interpret (Cantor and others 1988). Thus, prokaryotic model systems are often chosen for studies concerning influences of
torsional stress on DNA structure and genome regulation.

Interspersed Repeated DNA and Non-B-form DNA Structures:

## Effects on Genome Instability

Several features of interspersed repeated DNA are influenced by the topological state of the DNA and may contribute to genome instability. Certain direct repeats, palindromes and inverted repeats may adopt unusual secondary structures such as cruciforms, hairpins or pseudo-hairpins under specific conditions including torsional stress (Lilley and others 1988, Ratnasinghe 1993, Musich 1996). Eigure 1 illustrates actual direct repeats, interspersed direct repeats, palindromes and inverted repeats that are found in the human L2Hs repetitive element. Direct and interspersed direct repeats may contribute to genome instability via a mechanism of slip-mispairing in recombination andior replication (Sinden 1994). Making up from 1\% to 50\% of a eukaryotic genome, direct repeats of 2 to 10 bp may be present $10^{\circ}$ to $10^{7}$ times in eukaryotes (Kornberg and Baker 1992). In comparison, inverted repetitive sequences, which can form "snapback" or "hairpin" sequences by intrastrand folding in single-stranded DNA (ssDNA), make up approximately $6 \%$ of the human genome (Kornberg and Baker 1992). The DNA palindrome, defined with respect to the

A Direct repeat (as found in the L2Hs element in plasmid pN2):
1
5-TATAT TATAT-3'
bp 776-780 bp 781-785
B Interspersed direct repeat (as found in the L2Hs element in plasmid pN6):
1
5-AAATATATAT TTGATGTACT TTCATATTTT ATGTACAGCA TATAATATAT bp 371 bp 382

GCTTTGGGTA CTTTGATATT TITTGTACAG TATGGAATAT ATACCTTGGG
TACTITGATA TTTTATGTGC AGTATATAAT ATATAGTTTG AGAACTTTGA
1
TATTTCATGT ACAGTATAAA ATATATATTT-3.
bp 539 bp 550
C Palindrome (as found in the L2Hs element in plasmid pN2):


D Interspersed inverted repeat (as found in the L2Hs element of plasmid pN 2 ):
12
$\rightarrow$
↔
bp 353 - bp 358 bp 374 -bp 379
5'-ATATTT. AAATAT-3.
3'-TATAAA .TTTATA-5
$\Rightarrow$
2
1
Eigure 1. Repeat motifs in the L2Hs element that may adopt unusual secondary structures and participate in replication slippage events. (A) Tandem direct repeats may be as small as two bases to thousands of bases. Here the repeat motif is 5 bases. (B) Interspersed direct repeats may be separated by a few bases to hundreds of bases. (C) Palindromes exhibit symmetry so that each DNA strand reads the same way in the $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ direction and, if single stranded, each strand would be self-complementary. interspersed inverted repeat is separated by many bases. If denatured, the motif at the $5^{\prime}$ end could base pair with the complementary motif at the $3^{\prime}$ end of the same strand. The bases in the middle could remain in a single-stranded denaturation bubble.
double-stranded form, is a pair of inverted repeats with two-fold rotational symmetry (Leach 1996) and may be a site of cruciform formation. A cruciform structure consists of two complementary hairpins that are completely base-paired in a B-form helix, except for the tip of each stem which consists of a loop containing at least three unpaired bases (Sinden 1994). The four-way junction at the base of the cruciform is similar to a Holliday junction in genetic recombination. Hairpin and cruciform formation are illustrated in Figure 2.

Two pathways for cruciform extrusion have been postulated: S-type formation is dependent on super-coiling, temperature and ionic conditions and C-type formation is dependent on AT-richness (Sinden 1994). C-type cruciform formation is of particular interest because of possible telestability effects. An AT-rich region fianking an inverted repeat opens and forms a denaturation bubble which is enlarged and finally encompasses the inverted repeat. Within the region of the inverted repeat, two hairpin structures may form which result in a cruciform structure. Thus, the process of C-type extrusion is an example of short-range cis-effects(i.e. telestability) of neighboring DNA on an adjacent site. Such cruciform structures and their adjacent, possibly single-stranded regions may be more


Figure 2. Hairpin and cruciform formation. (A) An inverted repeat in a single-stranded region of DNA is shown forming a hairpin. The inherent thermodynamic stability of doublestranded DNA relative to single-stranded DNA drives the formation of the hairpin. (B) This cartoon depicts two hairpins forming a cruciform structure from the existing inverted repeat in a double-stranded DNA region. Source: Sinden,R.R. (1994). DNA Structure and Function. (San Diego: Academic Press), 136.
susceptible to attack from nucleases, metabolic reaction byproducts (i.e. free radicals) and/or environmental agents (Sinden and others 1991). Moreover, in replication, stalling of the replication fork occurs when a cruciform structure is encountered, leading to loss of processivity of DNA polymerase and premature termination of replication (Mytelka and Chamberlin 1996). Alternatively, the unusual secondary structure might be skipped over by the DNA polymerase (Kang and others 1995), leading to deletion of bases that made up the cruciform. Figure 3 illustrates both S- and C-type cruciform extrusion. The growing denaturation bubble that influences flanking regions of the inverted repeats should be noted in the $C$-type extrusion model.

## DNA Slippage and Unusual Sequence Motifs

Other nypotheticai mechanisms for the roie of unusual sequence motifs and potential non-B-form DNA structures in genome instability have been proposed. The DNA polymerase slippage modei (Streisinger and others 1966) may account for many incidences of genome instability associated with non-Bform structures and their effects on replication fidelity. Certain DNA sequences may adopt secondary structures that


Eigure 3. Two pathways of cruciform formation. (A) The stype (salt-dependent type) mechanism of cruciform formation refers to the reaction that occurs at physiological ionic strengths. Before nucleation and cruciform extrusion occur, 10 bp at the center of symmetry must melt. The rate of cruciform formation depends on the base composition at the center of symmetry. (B) The C-type mechanism of cruciform formation occurs in solutions with little-to-no salt and is dependent on an AT-rich region of DNA that flanks inverted repeats. The AT-rich region breathes, forming a denaturation bubble that can expand and encompass the inverted repeat. This stable open region that includes the inverted repeats is stabilized by DNA negative supercoiling. Complementary hairpins form which may result in cruciform formation. Source: Sinden,R.R. (1994). DNA Structure and Function. (San Diego: Academic Press). 148.
promote misalignment of complementary DNA strands or promote intrastrand base pairing (Trinh and Sinden 1993). In the slippage model, the strands dissociate during stalled DNA replication, followed by misaligned reassociation of the strands with resultant unpaired repeats (Wierdl and others 1996). Slipped mispaired DNA can exist when two repeated sequences are adjacent (Sinden 1994) as depicted in Figure 4. The direct repeat found in one strand can pair with the second direct repeat found on the complementary strand. A looping out of the skipped direct repeat on both strands occurs with the final outcome being extrusion of these loops from the DNA. Alternatively, slipped mispairing can occur between interspersed direct repeats (Sinden 1994) as illustrated in Figure 5. If backward slippage in the template strand occurs, the next round of replication generates in a deletion of the intervening sequence and one copy of the direct repeat (Eig. 5B-E). A duplication event occurs if the progeny strand slips back after replication of both repeats and the second copy base pairs with the first copy in the template strand (Eig. 5B'-E'). If the intervening DNA between direct repeats are palindromic, the ensuing hairpin structures may increase the frequency of deletion by stabilizing the misalignment (Sinden 1994). A replication misalignment mechanism specific to deletions may


Eigure 4. Two adjacent direct repeats and the occurrence of slipped mispaired DNA. (A) Adjacent direct repeats are labeled 1 and 2, and are indicated by the arrows. On the complementary strand, the direct repeats are labeled 1' and 2'. (B) A slipped mispaired structure is shown, the result of direct repeat 2 pairing with complementary direct repeat 1'. Two single-strand loops are created, one on each strand. (C) Direct repeat 1 pairs with complementary direct repeat 2 ', the result of slippage of the complementary strand (bottom $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ strand). Two single-strand loops are created, one on each strand. Source: Adapted from Sinden, R.R.(1994). DNA Structure and Function. (San Diego: Academic Press), 261.


Eigure 5. Siipped mispairing of an interspersed direct repeat DNA during DNA replication. (A) Double-stranded DNA is shown with two interspersed direct repeats. (B) Replication of the first direct repeat (DR1) is depicted.
(C) Slippage in the template strand results in the alignment of DRI with its complement DR2'. (D) Replication of the top strand continues. (E) Subsequent replication of the top strand in ( $D$ ) results in a deletion of DR2. Replication of the bottom strand would result in DNA like that in (A). ( $B^{\prime}$ ) Replication proceeds through the second direct repeat (DR2). (C') Slippage in the nascent strand occurs resulting in DR2 aligning with DRI'. (D') Replication of the top strand continues resulting in an amplification of one direct repeat (DR3). (E') Subsequent replication of the top strand in ( $D^{\prime}$ ) results in three interspersed direct repeats. Replication of the bottom strand would result in two direct repeats DR1 and DR2. Source: Sinden,R.R. (1994). DNA Structure and Function. (San Diego: Academic Press), 263.
require inverted repeats that can form hairpin structures. These hairpins may juxtapose flanking direct repeats so that slipped misalignment could occur (Lovett and Eeschenko 1996). Furthermore, evidence from mutagenesis suggests that slipped mispairing may occur during DNA replication (Sinden 1994). A more current model of slipped mispairing by Gellibolian and others (1997) takes into account the influence of DNA supercoiling during replication and the possible outcomes when stalling occurs. This model (Eig. 6) shows how stalling of the replication fork influences genome stability and reveals how trinucleotide repeat array expansion or reduction might occur. It also is an important model concerning the potential of pausing during lagging strand synthesis.

DNA Repair Enzymes and Genome Instability
Another source of genomic instability that can be coupled to slippage events and non-B-form DNA structures involves defective DNA repair. Examples include disease states as observed in Eanconi's anemia, xeroderma pigmentosum and ataxia talangiectasia. In these examples the genomic instability observed precedes cancer (Cohen and others 1997). A particular type of repair called "armdirected secondary-structure repair" leads to repair of a damaged replication fork (Leach 1994). In E. coli, this

Figure 6. A triplet repeat expansion model. The top illustration indicates a DNA triplet repeat array flanked by non-triplet repeat regions. This triplet repeat could involve other direct repeat sequences greater that three bases. (A) In step $A$, the replication fork is shown with accompanying replication machinery (primase, helicase, polymerase). Negative supercoiling (neg $\sigma$ ) occurs behind the replication machinery while positive supercoiling (pos $\sigma$ ) occurs downstream of the replication fork. (B) In step $B$, the accumulation of negative supercoils behind the replication machinery leads to hyperwrithing of the helix in front of the complex. Topoisomerases may not remove all the positive supercoils generated. (C) Thus, stalling of the replication machinery can occur as shown in C. This allows time for one of the lagging strands to form a hairpin structure in the repeat array. Also the replication machinery decreases its processivity along with the rate of reiterative DNA synthesis. (D) Another outcome, as shown in step $D$, is that the replication machinery can come off the DNA, reform a complex, and cause expansion of the repeat array through replication slippage. Source: Gellibolian, R., Bacolia, A. and Wells, R.D. (1997). Triplet repeat instability and DNA topology: An expansion model based on statistical mechanics. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 16793-16797.

process results from an unusual secondary structure (generated from a palindromic motif) that forms on one arm of a replication fork, stalling replication. The multimeric protein SbcCD recognizes this structure and endonucleolytically cleaves the DNA. Part or all of the hairpin arm is degraded by SbcCD (now acting as an exonuclease) or by RecBCD. The DNA (chromosome) is repaired to its unreplicated state and reinitiation of replication can occur (Leach 1994). If the SbcCD protein is defective, cleavage and degradation of the stem-loop structure would not occur. The replication fork would not have a second chance to initiate replication. Rather, the palindromeinduced secondary structures would stall DNA polymerase resulting in truncation of replication, or the secondary structure could be skipped over by the polymerase and not be replicated. The latter would result in deletion of the palindromic sequence or inviability of the progeny.

## Palindromes and Genomic Instability

 Chromosome instability has been observed for palindromes as short as 22 bp and increases with the length of a palindrome. It remains unclear whether palindromes cause instability problems in eukaryotic genomes. However, palindrome-mediated instability has been observed in $E$. coli, B. subtilus, Streptococcus, Streptomyces andSaccharomyces cerevisiae (Leach 1996). Although wild-type E. coli do not retain large palindromes (>150 bases), some mutant strains are able to propagate palindromic sequences. Palindrome-mediated instability is a function of the relative position of the inverted repeats. Using a plasmid model system, identical palindromes have been inserted into plasmids at different nucleotide positions. A difference in relative location of only one base has been shown to have a thousand-fold difference in deletion frequencies (Leach 1996). Such positional effects must be taken into account when cloning DNA with palindromic motifs like the human L2Hs element into a plasmid vector. Orientation differences may play a significant role in genome stability.

Palindromic and repeated sequences have been suggested to jointly participate in the formation of deletions (Giickman and Ripley 1984). The formation of hairpins or cruciforms, capable of juxtaposing otherwise distant bases, have been observed to acquire double-strand breaks (Glickman and Ripley 1984, Salganik and Dianov 1992). Instabiiity via deletions may arise from structures that involve DNA repeats. One deletion model postulated by Salganik and Dianov (1992) requires two repeat sequences that flank an intervening sequence. This model (Dianov and others 1991, Salganik and Dianov 1992) has shown that deletions develop
when the intervening sequence acquires a double-strand break, followed by nucleolytic cleavage of both strands of DNA to repair the strand break. In the process one of the repeats is lost along with the intervening sequence. Repeat sequences as small as six bp exhibit this behavior.

Another deletion model describes direct repeat motifs flanking intervening sequences that contain indirect repeat (or palindromic) motifs. Mukaihara and Enomoto (1997) suggest three factors that lead to deletion formation: the length of the direct repeats, the distance between them, and the non-B-form DNA structures between them.

## Inviability Versus Instability

Long palindromic sequences or inverted repeats, when cloned into a plasmid vector in host wild-type $E$. coli, are either inviable or unstable when propagated (Allers and Leach 1995, Connelly and Leach 1996, Leach 1996). Inviability means that the cloned sequence cannot be propagated while instability refers to possible DNA sequence changes, whether by deletion, expansion or rearrangement, that can be passed down to subsequent generations. Usually, a palindromic DNA sequence must be $150-200$ base pairs (bp) in length before inviability is conferred (Leach 1996). In this instance, inviability refers to the loss of the vector plus its palindromic or inverted repeat DNA and disallows
any further propagation of this construct. Instability, on the other hand, refers to changes in the palindromic DNA construct which allows replication. Instability may be detected within palindromes as short as 22 bp (Leach 1996).

## DNA Unwinding Elements and Genome Instability

Another DNA motif that can influence genome stability is the DNA unwinding element (DUE). DUEs have been found in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. DUEs are AT-rich regions of DNA associated with origins of replication and chromosomal DNA-nuclear membrane/matrix attachment sites. These sequences range from 30 to more that 100 bp in length. In supercoiled DNA, these AT-rich regions are the first to unwind. DUEs also are required for initiation of DNA replication at certain origins (Umek and Kowalski 1987, Umek and Kowalski 1988, Kohwi-Shigematsu and Kohwi 1990, Bode and others 1992). Moreover, putative DUEs in a plasmid could result in stably unwound regions that might be involved in recombination, rearrangements or promote the formation of non-B-form DNA structures.

[^0]to mention aspects of the host which could influence the system dynamics. Although little is known about the character and stability of long inverted repeats (150 bp or larger) in eukaryotes, such repeats present cloning and maintenance problems in plasmids of E. coli (Lilley 1981, Mizuuchi and others 1982, Leach and Stahl 1983, Sinden 1994). To prevent homologous recombination, cloning often is done in recombination-deficient host cells.

In $E$. coli, the stability of clones with long perfect palindromes and inverted repeats is increased in hosts containing mutants of the sbcC and sbcD genes (Leach 1996). The sbcC gene is co-transcribed with the $s b c D$ gene. Together these genes encode a multimeric protein that has an ATP-dependent double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) exonuclease and single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) endonuclease activities. Mutations in these genes confer a recombination cosuppressor phenotype such that the viability and stability of palindromes can be maintained (Connelly and Leach 1996). SbcCD appears to cleave secondary structures such as hairpin loops formed during DNA replication (Connelly and Leach 1996) that leads to the "arm-directed secondary-structure repair" previously discussed. In the JC7623 cell strain, the genotype (mutant recBrecCrecD sbcBsbcCsbcD) may contribute to plasmid instability via defective arm-directed
secondary-structure repair. Also, these mutant strains form linear and circular multimers which contribute to plasmid instability (Biek and Cohen 1986, Cohen and Clark 1986, Leach 1996). Other strains (C600, CES201, CES201 and DH5 5 'IQ cells) do not have a mutant sbcCsbcD genotype and their plasmids are more stably propagated as will be shown.

## Overview of the L2Hs Element

The laboratory of Dr. P.R. Musich has been studying moderately repetitive, long interspersed repeated DNA sequences called the L2Hs (Line $\underline{2}$ Homo sapiens) family. The discovery of the L2Hs family came about by studying the nuclear organization of human LINE 1 elements. Further studies have shown that the L2Hs family exhibits polymorphisms within and between individual genomes. For instance, DNA fingerprinting of sperm and blood cells from the same individual exhibited distinct L2Hs fingerprint differences (Musich and Dykes 1986, Musich 1996). This suggests that during various developmental stages genomic differentiation may occur.

Two recombinant plasmids, pN6.4.39 (pN6) and pN6.4.39-2 (pN2) were constructed by inserting the same 596 -bp L2Hs element into the plasmid vector pTZ19U, but in opposite orientations (Eig. 7, page 54). Sequence analysis revealed this cloned L2Hs element to be AT-rich (76\%) relative to the
bulk of human DNA (56\%) and to contain an unusually high density of palindromic, inverted and directly repeated DNA sequences. This AT-rich element has the potential to become single-stranded and to form cruciform structures with a ctype extrusion activity (Ratnasinghe 1993, Musich 1996). These structural motifs and activities suggest that the L2Hs family may be associated with replication or recombination events in the human genome.

## Hypotheses Concerning the L2Hs Element

These key hypotheses concerning individual L2Hs elements have developed: a single L2Hs is intrinsically dynamic, has the potential to influence adjacent sequences and, thus, contributes to genome instability. By considering the dynamic nature of the L2Hs family implied by its polymorphic features, the stability of a single L2Hs element is investigated in a simple prokaryotic modei system.

To test the hypothesis that the L2Hs element
contributes to genomic instability, the dynamics of the L 2 Hs element were monitored over between several hundred cell generations in a simple bacterial molecule. Plasmids were assayed for evidence of instability (i.e. deletion or rearrangements of the chromosome construct) and whether other factors besides the L2Hs element were involved. In
the bacterial model system, orientation of the L2Hs element in the vector may have also contributed to the dynamics observed. The approaches taken to resolve these issues have served as the foundation of this work.

## Summary

It appears that the stability of a genome depends on several features. First, the potential to assume secondary non-B-form DNA structures may be a determinant of instability. Intrinsic to the DNA, though, are certain sequence motifs which may form these unusual DNA structures under specific conditions of supercoiling or strand separation. Finally, extrinsic factors such as host cell strain may influence stability, especially when certain sequence motifs are present in the DNA. These three factors together may promote instability of genomic elements. In addition, their impact is witnessed throughout this investigation.

## CHAPTER 2

MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Materials

Ampicillin (amp), $\beta$-mercaptoethanol (ßMCE), ethidium bromide (EBr), lysozyme, $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethelenediamine (TEMED), proteinase $K$, ribonuclease $A$ (RNase A), and Tris-(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (Tris) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MI). Bactotryptone and yeast extract were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company and Difco Laboratories (Detroit, MI). Formamide was purchased from Sigma Chemical Company and Eisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA).

Acrylamide, agarose, ammonium acetate, ammonium sulfate, boric acid, bromophenol blue, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), polyethylene glycol 8000 (PEG), potassium pnospnate monobasic, potassium phosphate dibasic, sodium chloride, sodium hydroxide, and urea were purchased from Eisher Scientific. InCert ${ }^{3}$ agarose was EMC Bioproducts (Rockland, ME).

Deoxyadenosine $5^{\prime}$-triphosphate $\left[\alpha-{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$ (specific activity $>3000 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ and deoxyadenosine $\left[\alpha\right.$-īs $\left.^{5}\right] 5^{\prime}-$ triphosphate (specific activity $=1000 \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were purchased from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA). Biotin-14dCTP and biotin-14-dATP were obtained from GibcoBRL
(Gaithersburg, MD).
Bovine serum albumin, the Magic ${ }^{m}$ Miniprep and Maxiprep kits, the fmol ${ }^{m i t}$ for thermocycle sequencing and restriction enzymes with $10 x$ buffers were purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, WI), New England Biolabs (NEB; Beverly, MA), or Boehringer Mannheim (Indianapolis, IN). Eilter paper for blotting was supplied by Whatman. Immobilon-S membrane was obtained from Millipore Corporation (Bedford, MA). Hydrolink Long Ranger Gel Solution was obtained from AT Biochem (Malvern, PA) and the EMC Bioproducts (Rockland, ME). SeaKem ${ }^{m 9}$ agarose was obtained from the FMC Bioproducts. Chill-out $14^{\text {mm }}$ liquid wax was obtained from MJ Research, Inc. (Watertown, MA).

Primers for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), hybridization probes and DNA sequencing were obtained from Millipore Corporation, NEB or Integrated DNA Technoiogies, Inc. (Coralville, IA). Table l lists the primers used. DNA sequencing products were either radioactively labeled using direct incorporation via an extension/termination reaction with $\left[\alpha-{ }^{33} P\right] d A T P$ or $\left[\alpha-{ }^{35} S\right] d A T P$ for sequencing reactions or non-radioactively labeled using a 5' biotin-labeled primer. Hybridization probes were nonradioactively labeled by using biotinylated primers, deoxynucleotides, and/or random primers in the synthetic reactions.

The composition of solutions and buffers used are

## TABLE 1. PRIMERS USED FOR PCR AND DNA SEQUENCING

| Name | Length | Sequence | 5' primer position In pN2 (pTZ) | $5^{\prime} \nrightarrow 3^{\prime}$ orlentation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| puc/M13 Universal Reverse' | 16-mer | 5'-AAC AGC TAT GAC CAT G-3' | 210 (210) | $\rightarrow$ |
| puc/M13 Universal Reverse ${ }^{2}$ | 22-mer | 5'-TCA CAC AGG AAA CAG CTA TGA C-3' | 200 (200) | $\rightarrow$ |
| puc/M13 Universal Forward ${ }^{13}$ | 24-mer | 5-CGC CAG GGT TTT CCC AGT CAC GAC-3' | 951 (363) | - |
| pN1343R ${ }^{2}$ | 20-mer | 5' AAC GTC AAA GGG CGA AAA AC 3' | 1343 (753) | $\leftarrow$ |
| PNAMP1678 ${ }^{2}$ | 20-mer | $5^{\prime}$ GCG ACA CGG AAA TGT TGA AT $3^{\prime}$ | 1678 (1088) | $\leqslant$ |
| OriReverse ( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}$ | 23-mer | $5^{\prime}$ ACG ACC TAC ACC GAA CTG AGA TA 3' | 3039 (2443) | $\rightarrow$ |
| OriForward ( $\left.\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{2}$ | 23-mer | 5' TAT CTC AGT TCG GTG TAG GTC GT 3' | 3067 (2471) | $\leftarrow$ |
| $\beta$-Lac Upper ${ }^{2}$ | 20-mer | 5' TTT CCG TGT CGC CCT TAT TC 3' | 1672 (1077) | $\rightarrow$ |
| $\beta$-Lac Lower ${ }^{2}$ | 21-mer | 5' GCT CAG TGG AAC GAA AAC TCA 3' | 2635 (2040) | 1 |
| ORI Upper ${ }^{2}$ | 20-mer | 5' AGC GTC AGA CCC CGT AGA AA 3 | 2653 (2058) | $\rightarrow$ |
| ORI Lower ${ }^{2}$ | 23-mer | 5' TAT CTC AGT TCG GTG TAG GTC GT 3' | 2449 (3044) | $\leftarrow$ |

[^1]listed in Appendix A.

## Methods

## Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

The bacterial strains employed are listed in Table 2. Plasmid-carrying derivatives were produced by transformation using either electroporation or the heat-pulse method described by Nishimura and others (1990). Cultures were grown in Luria-Bertani broth (LB) (Sambrook and others 1989) or Terrific Broth (TB) (Tartof and Hobbs 1987) with amp (150 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to an absorbance at $600 \mathrm{~nm}\left(A_{\text {по0 }}\right)$ of approximately 4.0. Eive or 20 ml cultures were grown in 14 ml glass culture tubes $(1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter) or in 50 ml plastic centrifuge tubes $(3.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter), respectively, by inoculating amp medium with $50 \mu l$ or $200 \mu l$, respectively, of overnight culture. Alternately, single coionies on agar plates were selected and placed into individual wells of 24well microtiter plates $(1.0-1.5 \mathrm{ml}$ amp medium per well) or sterile 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes containing $0.1-1.0 \mathrm{ml}$ amp medium.

## Plasmids

Plasmids used in this study were derived from the vector pTZl9U (US Biochemicals; Cleveland, OH).

Recombinants containing the L2Hs element are denoted pN6

| STRAIN NAME | GENOTYPE | Phenotype and Effects: | REFERENCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C600 | F thr-1 leuB6 thi-1 supE44 lacY1 tonA21 $\lambda$ | recA ${ }^{+}$, recBCD ${ }^{+}$ recombination proficient | Appleyard 1954 Bachmann 1987 |
| CES200 | argE3 ara-14 $\Delta$ (gpt-proA)62 galK2 hisG4 hsdR kdgK51 lacY1 1 • leuB6 mtl-1 rac recB21 recC22 rfbD1 rpsL31 sbcB15 thi-1 tsx-33 xyl-5 thr-34::Tn10 | recombination proficient recF pathway | Wyman et al. 1985 <br> Wertman et al. 1986 |
| CES201 | $\operatorname{argE3} \Delta($ gpt-proA $) 62$ galk2 hisG4 hsdR kdgK51 lacY1 $A$. mtl-1 rac recB21 recC22 rbD1 rpsL31 sbcB15 thi-1 tsx-33 xyl-5 $\Delta$ (rec-srl)306 srIR::TN10-84 | recombination proficient recF pathway | Wyman et al. 1985 |
| DH5aF'IQ | byfZ $\Delta$ (lacZYA-argR)U169F' deoR dncZ1 endA1 gyr gyrA96 hsdR17 lacA $\Delta$ M15 <br>  recA1 relA1 supE 44 thi-1 Tn5(KmR) | recombination deficient recBCD pathway direct repeats are stably propagated | Hanahan 1983 Jessee and Blodgett 1988 |
| JC7623 | $\operatorname{argE3}$ ara-14 $\Delta$ (gpt-proA)62 galK2 hisG4 kdgK51 lacY1 $\lambda$ ' leuB6 mil-1 rac recB21 recC22 rbDD1 rpsL31 sbcB15 sbcC201 supE44 thi-1 thr-1 tsx-33 xyl-5 | recombination proficient recF pathway "arm-directed secondary-structure repair" inhibited with sbcCD mutation | Horil and Clark 1973 <br> Lloyd and Buckman 1985 |

(pN6.4.39) or, with the L2Hs element inserted in reverse orientation, as pN2 (pN6.4.39.2). Recombinant plasmid pRh contains a 680 bp tetrameric rhesus alphoid monkey DNA element inserted into the BamHI site of pTZI9U (Pike and others 1986).

## Serial Passage of Cells

An initial culture was prepared by inoculating 7 ml of amp medium with a single colony (0.5-1.0 mm diameter) grown from cells transformed with pTZ19U or the recombinant plasmids mentioned above. Denoted as passage zero (p0), this culture was grown for 12 hours in $T B$ plus amp or for 24 hours in $L B$ plus amp at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an orbital shaker set at 200 rpm. After the appropriate incubation time, an aliquot of the po culture ( $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was transferred to 7 ml of fresh medium plus amp and grown as above to generate pl. After the set incubation time, an aiqquot of $50 \mu \mathrm{HI}$ of pl culture was used to inoculate fresh medium plus amp for the next passage. This serial propagation continued for a total of 28 passages. A second serial propagation experiment was done for 14 passages with 12 hour growth intervals using TB plus amp.

After each growth incubation period, $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of cell culture was reserved in sterile tubes and re-fed with 0.5 to 1.0 ml of freezing medium (TB with $70 \%$ glycerol) with amp;

50 ul of culture was used to inoculate fresh medium for the next passage, and the remainder was used for plasmid DNA isolation.

## Methods for Producing Competent E. coli cells and Their Transformation

Electroporation Method. To prepare competent cells for electroporation using the BTX Transporator (BTX Company, San Diego, CA), E. coli cells were grown to mid-log phase ( $A_{60}$ of $\sim 0.5$ ) in $L B$ containing $50 \%$ of the standard salt ( $5 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{L}$ rather than $10 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) in order to prevent electrical arcing during the electroporation. Cells were chilled on ice for 20 minutes (min) followed by centrifugation at 4000 $x \mathrm{~g}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . The pellet was resuspended in sterile deionized water $\left(\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to wash the cells, centrifuged and again resuspended in $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After a third centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in $10 \%$ glycerol and saved in 50 $\mu l$ aliquots, either for immediate use or frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Throughout the centrifugation and wash steps, cells were kept between $2-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the transformant DNA was pipetted into a pre-cooled electroporation cuvette and $49 \mu 1$ of competent cells added. After electroporation with a onesecond pulse at 1000 volts, the cell sample was immediately transferred into one ml of LB . The resulting cell culture
was then incubated for one hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with aeration before aliquots were plated on LB-agar plates containing $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp.

Transformation Using Heat Pulse. A variation of a protocol by Nishimura and others (1990) was used for preparing competent cells. Eifty $\mu l$ of an overnight E. coli stationary phase culture ( $A_{600}>4.0$ ) was used to inoculate 24 ml of medium $A$ (LB broth supplemented to a final concentration of 10 mM of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and $0.2 \%$ glucose). Cells were grown to mid-logarithmic phase ( $\mathrm{A}_{600} \sim 0.5$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with aeration in an orbital shaker set at 200 rpm. The culture was placed on ice for 10 min before centrifugation for 10 min at $1500 \times g$. The supernatant fraction was decanted and the cell pellet resuspended in 0.5 ml of medium $A ; 2.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of storage solution $B$ (LB broth supplemented to 12 mM MgSO ; 36\% glycerin and $12 \%$ REG) was added. Fifty $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the cell suspension was aliquoted into sterile microcentrifuge tubes for immediate use or for storage at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For transformation, 1.0 to $3.5 \mu l$ of DNA (5 to $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were added to $50 \mu l$ of thawed competent cells. The mixture of cells and DNA was kept on ice for 30 min, subjected to a heat pulse at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for exactly one min, then cooled on ice for five min. Warmed LB ( 0.5 ml$)$ was added, and the transformed cells were incubated for one hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with
aeration before aliquots were plated on LB-agar containing $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp.

## Two-layer Plating

In some instances freshly transformed cells were plated directly onto a "two-layer" LB-agar plate without prior incubation (Eleischmann and others 1995). The "two layer" plate consisted of a bottom LB-agar layer containing 5 ml of LB-agar with $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp. A top LB-agar layer of 15 ml of LB-agar only was poured within ten min before cell plating. Transformed cells (100-200 $\mu \mathrm{l})$ were spread onto the hardened top layer. The plates were incubated 8 to 10 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Einal amp concentration was $37.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ per plate.

## Preparation of Plasmid DNA

Hot Alkaline Lysis Method. A hot alkaline lysis procedure for plasmid DNA isolation described by Musich and Chu (1993) was used. Cells containing plasmid were grown to stationary phase and collected by centrifuging for 10 min at 1000-1500 x $g$. After decanting the supernatant fraction, the cell pellet was vortexed until creamy before dilution with 1.3 ml SET ( $20 \%$ sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl ( pH 8.0 ) and 50 mM EDTA) buffer and transfer to a microcentrifuge tube. The cells were collected by a 20 sec centrifugation at $14,000 \mathrm{x}$ $g$ and the supernatant fraction discarded. The soft cell
pellet was vortexed until creamy before resuspension in 250 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\operatorname{SET}$ buffer. Five hundred $\mu l$ of freshly made 0.2 N $\mathrm{NaOH}-1 \%$ sodium dodecyI sulfate (SDS) was added and mixed by gentle tube inversion. Cell lysis was completed at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min, inverting at 15 min . Next, $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 5 M potassium acetate [(3 M K, 5 M acetate ( $\mathrm{pH} 4.8-4.9$ )] was added, mixed by inversion and the samples placed on ice for 20 min to aggregate the SDS-protein-chromosomal DNA complex.

Precipitate was collected at $14,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 15 min . The supernatant fraction was transferred to a clean tube and spun again for 10 min at $14,000 \mathrm{x} g$. The plasmid DNA was precipitated from the cleared supernatant fraction by adding $300 \mathrm{\mu l}$ of $27 \% \mathrm{PEG}$ in 3.3 M NaCl and chilling on ice for at least two hours. The DNA precipitate was collected by centrifugation at $14,000 \times g$ for 15 min . Residual PEG and salt were removed by rinsing the precipitate with one ml of 70\% ethanol. The DNA pellets were dried in vacuo and resuspended in $\mathrm{T}_{\text {: }} \mathrm{E}$ :.

Magic ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Miniprep Method. Plasmid DNA was isolated using the Magic ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Miniprep Kit from Promega. Plasmid-carrying E. coli cells were grown to stationary phase and collected by centrifugation for 10 min at $1000 \mathrm{x} g$. Cell pellets were resuspended in $200 \mu \mathrm{ml}$ cell resuspension solution (Magic ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Miniprep Kit) and transferred to a microcentrifuge tube.

Four hundred $\mu l$ of cell lysis solution (Magic ${ }^{m}$ Miniprep Kit) was added, and the contents were mixed by inverting the tube until the cell suspension was clear. The mixture was neutralized with $400 \mu l$ neutralization solution (Magic ${ }^{m}$ Miniprep Kit), mixing the contents by inverting the tube several times. The cell lysate was spun at $14,000 \mathrm{x} g$ in a microcentrifuge for five min and the cleared supernatant fraction decanted to a new tube. One ml of DNA purification resin was mixed into the supernatant fraction. For each miniprep, one Magic ${ }^{m}$ minicolumn was prepared with a 3 ml syringe barrel attached to a column connected to a vacuum manifold. The resin/DNA mix was transferred into the syringe barrels while a vacuum was applied to pull the resin/DNA mix into the minicolumn. The resin was washed under vacuum using column wash solution (Magic ${ }^{m 4}$ Miniprep Kitj containing ethanoi. To elute DNA, the column was placed inside a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube (without an attached lid), $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of preheated $\left(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}_{0 .}$ : added to each column, and tubes spun in the microcentrifuge for 20 seconds (sec) after waiting one min. A second aliquot of preheated $T_{1} E_{0 .}$ was added to each column for a second elution. Eluents were pooled and the eluted DNA stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


#### Abstract

Magicm Maxiprep Method. Large amounts of plasmid DNA were isolated using the Magic ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Maxiprep Kit by Promega. Two-to-five hundred ml of culture were grown to stationary phase and cells collected by centrifugation for 10 min at 1000 x g. Cell pellets were resuspended in 15 ml cell resuspension solution (Magic ${ }^{m M}$ Maxiprep Kit) and lysed using 15 ml of cell lysis solution (Magic ${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ Maxiprep Kit). Fifteen ml of neutralization solution (Magic™ Maxiprep Kit) were added, mixing by inversion and spun at $14,000 \mathrm{x} g$ for 15 min. Ten ml of DNA purification resin suspension (Magic ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Maxiprep Kit) were mixed into the supernatant fraction. Eor each maxiprep, one Magic ${ }^{\text {m }}$ maxicolumn was prepared and inserted into a vacuum manifold. The resin/DNA mix was transferred to the column and a vacuum applied to pull the DNA solution through the resin. The resin was washed under vacuum using column wash solution containing ethanol. To elute DNA, the column was placed inside a 50 ml reservoir tube and 1.5 ml of preheated $\left(65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{T}: \mathrm{E}_{0}$ : buffer was added to each column. After allowing the maxicolumn to soak for one min, tubes were spun in a clinical centrifuge for 5 min at 1000 x g. The eluted DNA was stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Quick Boil Miniprep (Obmp). Two adaptations of the boiling methods described by Rajeevan and Bassett (1994) and Liu and Mishra (1995) were used for quick plasmid isolation.

In the Rajeevan and Bassett method (1994), 0.75 ml of overnight cell culture was transferred into microcentrifuge tubes and $15 \mu \mathrm{~L} 2 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ detergent (or $0.33 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ final concentration) was added to each. The mixtures were vortexed and tubes placed on ice for one min, boiled for one min and then subjected to centrifugation at $14,000 \times g$ for 10 min . The resulting viscous pellets were removed with a toothpick and $13 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each cleared lysate was analyzed by gel electrophoresis. In the Liu and Mishra method (1995), 0.75 ml of cell culture was placed in a microcentrifuge tube and spun for 15 sec . The supernatant fraction was decanted and the cell pellet vortexed until creamy. Lysozyme was dissolved into STT buffer [8\% sucrose; 5mM Tris-HCl (pH 8); 5\% Triton X-100) to a final concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}]$. Seventy-five $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of the Lysozyme-STT buffer was added to each cell sample and mixed by inversion. Samples were incubated on ice for at least ten min before placing the samples into boiling water for exactly one min. Samples were returned to the ice for five min and subjected to microcentrifugation for five min. Seven $\mu l$ of each cleared cell lysate was assayed on an agarose gel.


#### Abstract

Alcohol Precipitation of DNA. To concentrate DNA or to remove salts and/or unincorporated nucleotides from DNA samples, alcohol precipitation was used. Ammonium acetate was added to a final concentration of $2.0-2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in the DNA sample. Next, ethanol or isopropanol was added. If ethanol was used, 2.5 volumes were added and the mixed solution placed in an ethanol-dry ice bath for at least ten min. A one-to-one volume ratio was used when isopropanol precipitation was done and the solution placed on ice for at least 20 min. DNA precipitates were collected at $14,000 \mathrm{x}$ g for 15 min. The pellets of DNA obtained were rinsed with 70\% ethanol and dried in vacuo.


Preparation of Intact Cellular DNAS for Analysis by Field Inversion Gel Electrophoresis

For total DNA, cells were formed into gel piugs for lysis, deproteinization and RNA removal. Twenty-eight colonies of DH5 $5 \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}$ IQ cells containing pll pN6 subclones were selected and cultured overnight in cell culture plates (24 wells, one ml per well) in TB. In addition cells representing plasmid-negative (DH5 $5 \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}$ IQ or JC7623 host cells without plasmids) and plasmid-positive (p0 JC7623 cells and DH5 $\alpha \mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ IQ cells containing pN6 plasmids) were analyzed also. Cells cultured overnight in $T B$ were aliquoted into 1.5 ml
microcentrifuge tubes and spun for 15 sec at $14,000 \times \mathrm{g}$. The supernatant fraction was decanted and cell pellet washed by resuspending in 200 山l wash solution ( $200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-HCl ( pH 7.2 ), 100 mM EDTA). Cells were pelleted again at $14,000 \times g$ for 15 sec . The supernatant fraction was discarded and $200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of fresh wash solution $[200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 10mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), 100 mM EDTAl was added. The cell pellet was resuspended by vortexing. Cells were mixed with an equal volume of $1.5 \%$ InCert® agarose at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cellagarose mixture was pipetted into a 0.5 ml syringe for gel formation. The polymerized "noodle" was ejected into a 5 ml plastic tube and covered with bacterial lysis solution [10mM Tris-HCl ( pH 7.5 ), $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.2 \% \mathrm{Na}$ deoxycholate, $0.5 \%$ Sarcosyl (Na salt) and lysozyme (1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}) \mathrm{l}$ for eight hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The lysis solution was decanted and noodles were covered with a 5 mM proteinase $\mathrm{K}-$ 1\% sarcosyl-0.5 M EDTA solution and incubated overnight at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The proteinase K solution was decanted and gel plugs incubated three times for 30 min at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{T}: \mathrm{E}$ : to change the buffer. Gel plugs were ready for $\operatorname{FIGE}$.

Gel Electrophoresis of DNA


#### Abstract

Standard Agarose Gel Electrophoresis. Agarose gels were prepared and electrophoresed in 50 mM TBE with EBr at $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Einal agarose concentrations ranged between $1 \%-$ $1.4 \%$ depending on the size of the DNA fragments to be separated. Minigels ( $7.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 5.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) were electrophoresed at a constant voltage of $3-5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$. Longer gels $(10 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{x} 10$ $\mathrm{cm})$ were electrophoresed at a constant voltage of $6-10 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$. Following electrophoresis, all gels were destained in dH=O. DNA was visualized by UV transillumination and photographed on Polaroid positive/negative type 55 film or positive type 667 or type 52 film using a Polaroid MP-4 Land camera, fstop 4.5, with a \#23A filter.


Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE). To effectively separate smail DNA fragments and for better resolution of short PCR products, nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was done. For PCR products ranging from $0.5-2 \mathrm{~kb}, 4 \%$ polyacrylamide gel solutions were used. Resolution of restriction fragments between 80 and 500 bp required $5 \%$ or $6.5 \%$ polyacrylamide gels. The ratio of acrylamide to bisacrylamide was 19 to 1 and 50 mM TBE was the electrophoresis buffer. Immediately before pouring, ammonium persulfate and TEMED were added to $0.07 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ and $0.03 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$, respectively. Gels were pre-
electrophoresed for 20 min before sample application. After sample loading, gels of $8 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 1 x w) were run at $6.25 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ for $0.5-1.5$ hours; gels of $16.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 19.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ were run at $12.12 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ for $2-3$ hours. Polyacrylamide gels were post-stained in $T B E$ containing $\operatorname{EBr}(0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ for at least 30 min and destained in $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. DNA was visualized by UV transillumination using a 312 nm Variable Intensity Transilluminator (Eisher Scientific).

## Sequencing Gel Electrophoresis. An International

Biotechnologies, Inc. model STS 45 apparatus with 64- or 96well sharks-tooth combs was used to display sequencing products. The sequencing gels contained 5-6\% polyacrylamide (acrylamide/bis: 19/1) and $42 \%$ urea in 50 mM TBE buffer or 5-6\% Long Ranger ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ gel solution (formerly Hydrolink ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Long Ranger gel solution, AT Biochem) and $42 \%$ urea in 89 mM TBE buffer. The gel solutions were filtered and vacuum degassed. Immediately before pouring, ammonium persulfate and TEMED were added to $0.07 \%(w / v)$ and $0.03 \%(v / v)$, respectively. Long Ranger ${ }^{m}$ gels were 0.4 mm thick; $0.4-0.8$ mm wedge spacers were used for acrylamide gels. Both types of gels were pre-electrophoresed for 20 min at 55 W . An aliquot of 3-6 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of each sequencing reaction was loaded and electrophoresis continued for an appropriate time.

Two procedures were used to process the gels. If
nonradioactive chemiluminescent sequencing with biotin endlabeled primers was used, the gel was processed according to Musich and others(1995). The gel was lifted onto filter paper containing 89 mM TBE plus $20 \%$ methanol. The DNA was transferred using a Gene Sweep ${ }^{m \times 1}$ ectroblotting apparatus (Hoefer Scientific Instruments, San Francisco, CA) to an Immobilon-S membrane. After drying the membrane, the DNA was cross-linked to the membrane with UV irradiation using a Fisher UV Crosslinker apparatus (FB-UVXL-1000) on the optimum setting $\left(120,000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{cm}^{-}\right)$. The membrane then was processed using a NEBlot Phototype kit (NEB) for chemiluminescent detection of DNA bands. Alternatively, when radioactive sequencing was used, following electrophoresis, the sequencing gel was transferred onto filter paper and vacuum dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DNA was detected by autoradiograpiny.

Eield Inversion Gel Electrophoresis. Eield inversion gel electrophoresis (FIGE), a type of pulsed field gel electrophoresis, was performed to separate plasmid DNA from the bacterial chromosomal DNA. Eor FIGE analysis, a 1\% agarose gel ( $14.0 \times 20.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, 200 \mathrm{ml}$ SeaKem ${ }^{\mathrm{m4}}$ agarose gel) was prepared in 45 mM TBE. A 40 -well or a 36 -well comb (each 1.0 mm thick) was used to make a row of wells. Before pouring the gel, 1.0 mm thick slices were cut off the DNA
gel plugs and positioned on each tooth of the comb which lay horizontally. After loading all the gel plugs, the comb was placed vertically in the gel running tray. The molten agarose was added at the bottom end of the gel mold. After 60 min the solidified gel was transferred to the SuperSub apparatus (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, New Jersey) and TBE buffer ( 45 mM ) added to the buffer chamber. The system was cooled to $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The electrodes were connected to a Hoefer Scientific PC750 Pulse Controller which was connected to a power supply. The ramp was set for zero. The forward pulse was set for 10.0 sec and the reverse pulse set for 3.3 sec . The gel was run at $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ for 12 hours. After completion, the gel was stained in 45 mM TBE containing $\mathrm{EBr}(0.25 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml})$ for 30 min .

## DNA Cleavage by Restriction Enzyme Digestion

DNA used for restriction enzyme cleavage was diluted into the appropriate enzyme buffer provided by the enzyme supplier. Nuclease-free BSA and BMCE were added, as appropriate, to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and 6 mM , respectively. Restriction enzymes were added to 1-4 U/मg of DNA. Samples were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2-12$ hours.

## DNA Dideoxynucleotide Thermocycle Sequencing

DNA sequencing was performed by the dideoxynucleotide sequencing method (Sanger and others 1982) employing

Promega's fmol ${ }^{\text {ma }}$ DNA sequencing kit. The sequencing primers used are described in Table 1.

DNA (500 fmol) was mixed with a sequencing primer in a total volume of 16 ul containing $1 X$ fmol $^{m}$ sequencing buffer. This reaction contained 3.0 pmol of primer, $5 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ of $\left[\alpha-{ }^{35} S\right]$ dATP or $\left[\alpha-{ }^{33} \mathrm{P}\right]$ dATP and 5 units of sequencing grade Taq-DNA polymerase. For DNA templates that were high in $A$ and $T, 4 \mu l$ of 4 solution of $20 \mu M$ each in dATP and dTTP was added to extend the length of the sequencing ladders for each reaction volume. For nonradioactive chemiluminescent sequencing, biotinylated primers were used in lieu of
 of this reaction mix was placed into each of four tubes containing the appropriate deoxynucleotide-dideoxynucleotide mix (G,A,T or $C$ ). Each reaction was covered with $5 \mu l$ of Chill-out $14^{\text {m }}$ Liquid wax or one drop of mineral oij. The tubes were placed in a thermal cycler (GL Applied Research, model GTC-2) preheated to $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixes were thermocycled in the following manner:

For the first cycle
$94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , (initial denaturation)
$55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 sec (annealing)
$70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min (extension)

After the first cycle, 60 more cycles were done as follows: $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 sec (denaturation)
$55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 sec (annealing)
$70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min (extension)
When the thermocycling program was complete, the reaction mixes were cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of fmol ${ }^{\text {m }}$ sequencing stop solution (95\% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, $0.05 \%$ bromophenol blue and $0.05 \%$ xylene cyanol) was added. The DNA samples were denatured at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min and chilled on ice before loading on sequencing gels.

## Autoradiography

Gels with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}$ - or ${ }^{\text {Es }}$ S-labeled DNA were dried onto Whatman 3 MM paper before exposure to Kodak (XAR) or Euji (RX) films at room temperature from 12 hours to 2 months. All films were developed for up to 7 min in GBX developer, fixed for 10 min in GBX fixer, and rinsed in running water for 15 min before air drying.

## DNA Primary Sequence Analysis

Gel autoradiograms containing DNA sequence patterns were scanned, compiled and analyzed using a Sun MicroSystems SparcStation IPX computer, Howtek scanner and Bioimage sequencing software (Millipore Corp. version 2.1). Harr plots, sequence motif searches and maximum homology matching analyses were done using DNASIS (Hitachi America).

Polymerase Chain Reaction Labeling of $\beta-1 a c$ and Ori Probes
Probes were synthesized from an oligonucleotide primer set that flanked the $\beta$-lactamase gene region of the pN 6 plasmid or a primer set that flanked the ori region of pN6. Each $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PCR reaction contained $0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of each biotinylated primer, 0.8 ng pN6 DNA, 0.2 mM dNTPs and biotinylated dATP, 1X Thermo buffer (Promega), 1.5 mM MgClz, and 5 u of Taq polymerase. After mixing, the PCR samples were placed in an MJR thermocycler for 30 cycles using the following specifications:

For the first step
$94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 min (initial denaturation)
After the first step, 30 cycles were done as follows:
$94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec (denaturation)
$57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 sec (annealing)
$72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for i .5 j min (extension)
To ensure completion of the extension process an additional step was done as follows: $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min

After these cycles, then the reaction mixes were cooled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of each reaction mix was assayed on a 1.0 \% agarose gel. One hundred $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to each of the remaining $P C R$ reaction mixes.

Bidirectional Blotting and Hybridization of Eield Inversion Gels

DNA from FIGE gels was transferred to two Immobilon-S membranes by bidirectional transfer (Smith and Summers 1980). Each EIGE gel was incubated in two volumes 0.25 M HCl for 30 min and briefly rinsed in dHzo. The gel was incubated in two volumes of $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}, 1.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}$ for 30 min each to denature the DNA. Finally the gel was incubated in two volumes of 1 M ammonium acetate, 20 mM NaOH for one hour to neutralize the gel. The gel was placed on top of a moist Immobilon-s membrane located on top of moist filter paper and dry absorbent paper towels. A second membrane was placed on top of the gel, followed by filter paper and another stack of paper towels. A weight was placed on top to compress the whole stack. Transfer to both membranes was accomplisned in iess tinan two nours. After the transfer, the membranes were dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the DNA was crossIinked to the membrane at the optimum setting $(120,000$ $J / \mathrm{cm}^{\text { }}$ ) in a $U V$ Crosslinker apparatus (Eisher). Each membrane was incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in 20 ml 3 X SSPE$0.1 \%$ SDS twice. Each membrane was incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour in prehybridization buffer (3X SSPE-3\%SDS-5X Denhardt's reagent plus $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ single-stranded herring sperm DNA (ssHsDNA). Hybridization solution was prepared by aliquoting $5 \mathrm{\mu l}$ of biotinylated probe to 15 ml fresh
prehybridization buffer. The solution was denatured by boiling for $6-10 \mathrm{~min}$. Membranes were incubated in the hybridization solution overnight, approximately 10-12 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After hybridization and in order to prepare the hybridized membranes for chemiluminescent detection (via the Phototope ${ }^{\text {m }}$ Star Detection system), filters were rinsed in $3 X$ SSPE-0.1\% SDS for $2-3$ min, washed three times in 20 ml 3 X SSPE-0.1\% $\operatorname{SDS}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min each and finally incubated in $3 X$ SSPE-0.1\% $\operatorname{SDS}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min .

## Chemiluminescent Detection of Hybridized Membranes

The Phototope ${ }^{m}$ Star Detection process is summarized as follows. After the hybridization procedure, the membrane was incubated in blocking solution [5\% SDS, 25 mM Phosphate (pH 7.2)], incubated in streptavidin (1 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) in blocking solution for five min, and washed twice in Wash Solution $I$ (Appendix A). This was followed by a five min incubation in biotinylated alkaline phosphatase (0.5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml})$ and washing twice in Wash Solution II (Appendix A). After discarding and draining this solution, the Lumigen-PPD reagent (IX dilution of the supplied $100 X$ stock solution) was added to the hybridization bag and incubated for five min. The majority of the Lumigen-PPD reagent was drained off the membrane which then was sealed in a plastic bag and exposed to X-ray film.

## Subcloning

Two kinds of subcloning were done: DNA cloning and cell cloning. In DNA cloning, isolated plasmid DNA was used to transform DH5 $\alpha^{\prime}$ IQ cells. The transformed cells were plated on LB-agar containing amp ( $150 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). In cell cloning, cells from a individual culture passages were plated. Colonies derived from these plates were grown up in medium with amp and the plasmid DNA isolated for further study.

Plating Experiment With Varying Amounts of Ampicillin
To determine if plasmid copy numbers were affected by the amount of amp used in growing colonies, plating was carried out using different amounts of amp. Four plates each were prepared with the following amounts of amp: 0, 1 , 2, 8, 20 and $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. E. coli JC7623 host cells containing pN6 plasmid subclones of passage pIIC2 were assayed on these plates. To determine the level of amp sensitivity of JC7623 cells without plasmid, these cells were plated on the following amounts of amp: $0,0.25,0.5$ and $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

Preparation of Colonies on Matrix Array With Varying Amounts of Ampicillin

A subcloned culture of JC7623 cells containing pN6 was selected for further study because some cell clones contained less plasmid DNA than other subclones, yet
maintained ampa. An overnight cuiture was prepared by inoculating $T B$ with frozen subclone cells from pN6 passage 11. After dilution, an aliquot of $100 \mu l$ overnight culture was spread on each of eight LB-agar plates containing amp at 0 (two plates), $0.25,1.0,2,20$ or $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Two hundred eight-eight individual colonies which grew on the $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp plate were transferred to LB-agar plates containing either 0.25 or $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp. The plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The 117 colonies that grew on both low and high amp plates were inoculated into 1.5 ml TB containing $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp for overnight growth. A Qbmp was used to isolate plasmid DNA. Aliquots of these cultures were also reserved and used to inoculate additional $T B$ for cultures used in making gel plugs for EIGE analysis.

## CHAPTER 3

RESULTS


#### Abstract

Construction of Plasmids Recombinant plasmids pN6.4.39 (pN6) and pN6.4.39-2 (pN2) were constructed by ligation of identical copies of a 0.6 kb L2Hs segment into the KpnI site (bp position 305) of pTZ19U, but in opposite orientations. Also, a 0.68 kb segment of Rhesus monkey tandemly repetitive alphoid DNA (Pike and others 1986) was ligated into the BamHI site of pTZ19U plasmid for use as a control recombinant plasmid ( pRh ). These plasmids were transformed into E. coli host strains C600, CES200, CES201, DH5 $2 F^{\prime}$ IQ, and JC7623. Several features of the 2.86 kb pTZI9U vector should be noted. The replication control region (that which transcribes RNA I and RNA II) is located between bp positions 2101 to 2675 . A ColE1 replication ori site is located between bp positions 2683 to 2688 in pTZ19U. The $\beta$-lactamase gene (bp positions 1063 to 1923) confers $\mathrm{amp}^{2}$ to host cells. Thus, cells grown in media containing amp survive only if they carry the $\beta$ lactamase gene, whether on the plasmid or via recombination of this gene into the bacterial chromosome. These features are illustrated in Eigure 7.


## pTZ19U and L2Hs recombinants



## *Beta-lactamase gene

Eigure 7. Vector plasmid pTZ19U and recombinants. All constructs in this study are derived from plasmid vector pTZ19U. This vector has two essential regions which must be maintained in all plasmids: The coding regions for replication RNAs $I$ and II are needed for plasmid DNA replication while the $\beta$-lactamase (bla) gene confers ampicillin resistance on its host cell. Two recombinants, pN6 and pN2, have been constructed by inserting identical copies of a 596 bp human L2Hs element into the KpnI site of plasmid vector pTZ19U, but in opposite orientations. The pRh plasmid, used as a control, has a 680 bp rhesus monkey alphoid DNA inserted into the BamHI site of pTZI9U.

## Experimental Overview

To test the hypothesis that the observed instability of the L2Hs element is intrinsic to the L2Hs element, this study investigated the stability of a single L2Hs element in a model prokaryotic chromosome. The recombinant L2Hs plasmids pN6 and pN2 and plasmid vector pTZ19U were serially propagated in various E. coli strains for 28 passages. To monitor L2Hs chromosome stability, the plasmid DNA was isolated and characterized after each passage by analyzing the plasmid DNA via agarose gel electrophoresis. In addition, isolated plasmids were cleaved with SspI restriction endonuclease into two linear fragments before electrophoretic analysis to test whether mobility differences between intact plasmids were topological or due to size differences in the DNA. To examine the stabilities of the recombinants more closely and to map deletion boundaries of mutants, individual plasmid molecules were isolated by DNA subcloning and characterized by restriction mapping, $P C R$ analysis, and $D N A$ sequencing. A more extensive population survey was performed to determine at what passage the deletion mutants were first detectable. Also, differences between pN6 and pN2 deletion patterns were compared to test for orientation affects of the $L 2 H s$ element. Finally, a reduced yield of plasmid DNA was observed from some cells in later passages while amp ${ }^{\text { }}$
remained high. To determine whether intermolecular recombination occurred between plasmids and the host chromosome, bacterial genomic DNA was probed with plasmidcontaining sequences.

## Initial Serial Passages

The initial serial propagation of CES200, CES201, DH5 $5 E^{\prime}$ IQ, and JC7623 E. coli cells carrying the pTZ19U vector or the L2Hs-recombinant pN2 plasmid was performed by passaging these cells for 28 days from a series of 24 -hour cultures. Plasmid DNAs were isolated by the alkaline lysis miniprep procedure and assayed by electrophoresis through i\% agarose gels. The pTZ19U vector plasmid was stable in all cell strains and in all passages as illustrated in Eigure 8B. Marker DNAs included the initial passage pN2 and pTZ19U plasmid DNAs (p0 pN2 and p0 pTZ19U, respectively). The multiple banding patterns in the markers represent form I supercoiled or form II nicked circular plasmid DNAs. An additional less mobile band may be a pN2 plasmid dimer. The complex banding patterns for late passage L2Hs-containing plasmids from JC7623 cells indicated either topological differences and/or possible deletions by pl2 (Eig. 8A). As the JC7623 cell strain passages progressed, increased heterogeneity within the plasmid population became more


- This passage was chosen for subcioning

```
Eigure 8. Gel analysis of pN2 and vector pTZ19U plasmid DNA
passaged for 28 days in E. coli strain JC7623 cells.
Plasmid DNA was prepared from 24-hr cultures of JC7623 cells
carrying pTZ19U vector (Panel B) or the L2Hs recombinant,
pN2 (Panel A). These DNAs were analyzed by electrophoresis
through a 1% agarose gel in 50 mM TBE buffer with 0.5 \mug/ml
EBr. The first lane of each row contains pTZ19U as a
marker; the last lane of each row contains pN2 DNA as a
marker. The labels on the side of the gel photo indicate
Form I supercoiled (I) or Eorm II nicked circular (II)
plasmid DNA. The arrow points out the faster moving bands
that suggest deletions in the pN2 plasmid.
```

evident. Thus, it appeared that the plasmid DNA from mid-to-late passages was a mixture of intact plasmid and deletion mutants. The electrophoretic mobility of plasmids from cell strains CES200, CES201 and DH5 ${ }^{\text {F'I }}$ IQ was similar to the pN2 control.

## Subcloning of pTZ19U

To verify that the pTZ19U vector plasmid itself was stable in all passages, DH5 $5 F^{\prime}$ IQ cells were transformed with unpassaged pTZ19U and plasmid DNA isolated from po, p7 or p28. Seventy-eight individual colonies from the representative passages were selected from LB-agar plus amp plates for culturing in TB with amp. Plasmid DNA, isolated by a Qbmp procedure, was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. There were 75 intact plasmid samples except for three dimers, one each from p0, p7, and p28. HaeIII cleavage patterns, which gave seven distinct bands, confirmed that all subclone pTZI9U plasmids were intact (data not shown).

## Linearization of Plasmid DNAs

In order to determine whether plasmid mobility differences observed in Figure 8 were the consequence of changes in topology or in molecular size, plasmid DNAs were cleaved with SspI. No deletions were observed in linearized pTZ19U plasmids at any passage in any host cell strain used.

Also, no deletions were detected in linearized pN2 DNAs passaged in E. coli strains C600, CES200, CES201 and DH5 $5 F^{\prime}$ IQ, indicating that the complex gel patterns of these intact plasmids represented differences in topoisomeric forms (Fig. 9). However, pN2 DNA passaged in strain JC7623 cells showed deletion forms in middle-to-late passages when linearized (data not shown).

## Subcloning of Plasmid From JC7623 Cells

Passaged pN2 plasmids from JC7623 cells were chosen for subcioning in order to sort out the plasmid mixtures. Early-passage plasmid DNAs from p2 and p5 were chosen because they had no observable change relative to control pN2 plasmid. DNA from pl4 was chosen because some deleted species were evident (Eig.8) while p28 plasmid was selected because it was from the final passage. These DNAs were transformed into the DH5 $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ IQ host strain and eight individual colonies selected for plasmid DNA isolation by the hot alkaline lysis miniprep procedure. Banding patterns of intact p2 and p5 subclone DNAs appeared like the control DNA (p0 pN2)except for p5 colony 10 ( p 5 JC 10 ), which was more mobile than the pN2 control DNA (Eig. 10 A and B). The banding patterns of all pl4 subclones except one (pl4JC5) (Fig. 10C) and all p28 subclones (Fig. 10D) indicated DNAs with greater mobilities than the pN2 control.


## Sspl Restriction Cuts

$M=p T Z 19 U+p N 2 / S s p l$

Eigure 9. Gel assay of passaged pTZ19U and pN2 plasmids cleaved with SspI that were isolated from host DH5 ${ }^{\prime}$ 'IQ cells. "M" is a marker of SspI-linearized pTZI9U plus pN2 DNAs with fragment sizes indicated on the sides of the gels. SspI-linearized pTZ19U DNAs (p0 through p28) are shown in Panel A. SspI-linearized pN2 DNAs (p0 through p28) are shown in Panel B. Slight differences in band mobility appear to be caused by loading differences or contamination by host genomic DNA (p6, p14 and p23 of pTZ19U; p3 and p23 Of pN2).


Figure 10. Electrophoretic patterns of intact plasmid DNAs of pN2 subclones from passages p2, p5, p14, and p28 propagated in JC7623 cells. Gel A is the p2 pN2 subclones 2 through 8; Gel B is p5 pN2 subclones 1 through 4, 7 through 10; Gel C is pl4 pN2 subclones 1 through 8; Gel D is the p28 subclones 1 through 8. The p2 pN2 subclones and p5 subclones are like the control pN2 except for p5 subclone 10 (p5JC10) which seems to have a more mobile band than the other p2's and p5's. Subclone pl4JC5 appears like control pN2. The lowest bands of p14 (excluding p14JC5) and p28 subclones run with or are more mobile than the 2.0 kb band.

## Sizing by Restriction Cleavage (SspI cuts)

Subcloned plasmid DNAs were linearized by SspI cleavage in order to size the DNA. All p2 (Eig. 11A) and all but one p5 (Fig. 11B) exhibited the normal 3.32 kb SspI band. The exception, p5JCl0, was slightly shorter, indicating a small deletion. The pl4JC5 plasmid subclone appeared similar to control p0 pN2 DNA (Fig. 11C). The other p14 and p28 subclones (Eig. 11D) exhibit fragments of 1.8 to 2.0 kb indicating deletions ranging from 1.3 to 1.6 kb . p28JC1 exhibits a larger deletion by its faster gel mobility, compared to the other p28 subclones.

## Sizing by Restriction Cleavage (Acc65I)

In order to size the small deletion found in p5JClo and to detect any deletions in the other subclones that appeared to be the same size as the pN2 control, restriction cleavage was performed on p2JC and p5JC DNAs, using Acc65I (an isoschizomer of $K p n I)$. Except for p5JCl0, all plasmids had the vector band of 2.8 kb and the insert band of 595 bp as in the p0 pN2 control (Eig. 12). In p5JC10, the size of the L2Hs fragment was approximately 520 bp, representing a deletion of approximately 80 bp .


## Sspl Restriction Cuts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M 1=\text { lambda DNAHHindIIII } \\
& M 2=p T Z+p N 2 / S s p l
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]

## Acc65l Restriction Cuts

```
M1 = pTZAAcc65I
M2 = pN2/ Ace65I
```

Figure 12. Gel assay of insert sizes in p2 and p5 pN2 subclone DNAs. Acc65I, an isoschizomer of KpnI, cleaves pN2 DNA into a 2863 bp vector band and a 595 bp L2Hs insert band. Gel A is Acc65I-cleaved p2 pN2 subclones. Gel B is Acc65I-cleaved p5 pN2 subclones 1 through 4, 7,8 and 10. All p2 and p5 subclones have the 2863 bp and 595 bp bands except for p5JC10 which has a more mobile insert band. Faint bands seen in most of the lanes are due to incomplete Acc65I digestion.

## Mapping Deletion Boundaries

## HaeIII Restriction Cleavage

Subclones from p2, p5, p14, and p28 were cleaved with HaeIII restriction endonuclease and assayed on 5\% polyacrylamide gels (PAG). Every p2 and p5 subclone had all the normal pN2 bands present except for p5JC10 which had a more mobile (smaller) insert-containing band (data not shown). Except for the normal pl4JCS subclone, all other p14 and p28 subclones were missing the insert-containing plus other bands and had new bands which represented fusion junctions of partially deleted fragments. Eigure 13 and Table 3 summarize this HaeIII analysis. Numerous fragments were missing from the cleavage patterns of the p14 and p28 clones, indicating that vector sequences adjacent to the insert fragment were deleted. Figure 14 displays a pictoriai representation of the deletions.

## HaeIII + HinfI Restriction Cleavage

To map and size deletions that might be within the insert DNA, p2 and p5 subclones were cleaved with HaeIII + HinfI and assayed on 5\% PAGs (Eig. 15). All subclones had a banding pattern similar to the pN2 control except for p5JC10. This exception was missing the 652 bp insertcontaining band but had a doublet band at $\sim 600 \mathrm{bp}$. The


> M1 $=$ pTZ19U/Haelll marker M2 $=$ pN2/Haelll marker

```
Figure 13. 5% PAG assay of p14 and p28 pN2 subciones
digested with HaeIII. Gel A is p14 pN2 subclones 1 through
8 cleaved with HaeIII; Gel B is p28 pN2 subclones 1 through
8. The insert-containing 874 bp band found in M2 and p14JC5
is missing in all other subclones. Several bands are
missing in the subclones that are present in HaeIII-digested
intact pN2 DNA.
```

```
TABLE 3. TALLY OF PRESENT, MISSING OR NEW BANDS EROM PAG
    ASSAYS OF PASSAGED PN2 PLASMIDS RESTRICTED WITH HAEIII
```

| Haell/ restriction fragment number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Size (bp) |  | 80 | 458 | 434 | 174 | 874 | 102 | 290 | 142 | 603 | 267 |
| Estimated Deletion Size (kb) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| p2JC's | 0.00 | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| p5JC's | 0.00 | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | + |
| p5JC10 | $<0.10^{\circ}$ | + | + | + | $+$ | $1^{\text {c }}$ | + | + | + | + | $+$ |
| p14JC1 | 1.44 | $+^{\text {d }}$ | $+$ | + | - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | + | + | + |
| p14JC2 | 1.29 | + | $+$ | (590)f | - | - | - | (590) | + | + | + |
| p14JC3 | 1.16 | + | + | + | (290) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | - | - | (290) | + | + | + |
| p14JC4 | 1.29 | + | + | (590) | - | - | - | (590) | + | + | + |
| p14JC5 | 0.00 | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | $+$ | + | + | + |
| p14JC6 | 1.29 | + | + | (590) | - | - | - | (590) | $+$ | + | + |
| p14JC7 | 1.38 | + | + | $(500)^{n}$ | - | - | - | (500) | $+$ | + | $+$ |
| p14JC8 | 1.44 | + | + | + | - | - | - | ( | + | + | + |
| p28JC1 | 1.44 | + | + | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | $+$ |
| p28JC2 | 1.33 | + | + | $+$ | (120) ${ }^{\prime}$ | - | - | (120) | + | + | + |
| p28JC3 | 1.33 | + | + | + | (120) | - | - | (120) | + | + | + |
| p28JC4 | 1.33 | + | + | + | (120) | - | - | (120) | + | + | $+$ |
| p28JC5 | 1.33 | + | + | + | (120) | - | - | (120) | + | + | + |
| p28JC6 | 1.33 | + | + | + | (120) | - | - | (120) | + | + | + |
| p28JC7 | 1.33 | + | + | $+$ | (120) | - | - | (120) | + | + | + |
| p28JC8 | 1.38 | + | + | (500) | ( | - | - | (500) | + | + | + |

Footnotes:
${ }^{\text {a }}$ represents the Haell restriction fragment number according to size (smallest \# = largest fragment). The fragments left-to-right are arranged in clockwise alignment.
${ }^{\circ}$ The deletion size is less than 100 bp .
The $!$ indicates that the fragment is reduced in size.
${ }^{\text {G }}$ The + means that the fragment is present in the Haelll digest pattern.
${ }^{0}$ The - means that the fragment is absent.
'The 590 bp fragment is formed from the fusion products of partially deleted fragments 9 and 3.
${ }^{9}$ The 290 bp fragment is formed from the fusion products of partially deleted fragments 12 and 3.
"The 500 bp fragment is formed from the fusion products of partially deleted fragments 9 and 3.
'The 120 bp fragment is formed from the fusion products of partially deleted fragments 12 and 3.


Eigure 14. Pictorial representation of p14 and p28 deletion subclones based on HaeIII restriction cleavage analysis. Deletion boundaries are mapped using HaeIII cleavage data presented in Figure 13 and Table 3.

Eigure 15. Analysis of $5 \%$ PAGs of passaged pN2 subclones digested with HaeIII + HinfI. Gel A is p2 subclones 1 through 8. The subclones run like the M3 marker and are intact pN2's. Gel B is p5 subclones 1 through 4, 7, 8, and 10. In p5JC10 an intense doublet band is shown at or below the 603 bp while a 652 bp band is missing. Gel C is p14 subclones 1,3 , and 8 digested with HaeIII + HinfI. The absent 652 bp band suggests that subclones have deletions of the L2Hs insert as well as flanking regions.
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Haelll + Hinfl Restriction Digests

M1=pTZ19U/Hinfl
M2=pTZ19U/Haelll + Hinfi
M3=pN2/Haelll + Hinfl
M4=pN2/Haelil
M5=pTZ19U/Haelll
doublet band represented a vector band plus another segment that contained a partially deleted L2Hs element. The deletion was at least 50 bp and no larger than 80 bp , based on these data and that of the Acc65I restriction cleavage data (Fig. 12). HaeIII + HinfI digestion of pl4 subclones (Fig. 15C) shows that the 652 bp band is missing and indicates that subclones have deletions of the L2Hs insert as well as flanking regions.

## Refinement of Deletion Boundaries Through Additional Restriction Mapping

Eour additional restriction cleavage studies were done to further refine the deletion boundaries of pl4 and p28 pN2 subclones. Restriction cut sites for the enzymes used for the digests (AluI, DdeI, HaeII, and MspI) are displayed in Figure 16. Each pl4JC3 and p28JC4 pN2 subclone was digested separately with each of the mentioned enzymes. Comparisons were made with pTZ19U and pN2 standards that also had undergone cleavage. These restricted DNAs were assayed on 5\% PAGs (Fig. 17). A list of fragments present or absent for each p14 and p28 subclone DNA are summarized in Table 4. Subclone pl4JC5 had the same AluI and MspI fragments as intact pN2. Two AluI bands were missing from each of the other subclones, suggesting a large deletion with boundaries between bp positions 3396-to-3453 and 1215-to-1472. MspI
cleavage patterns of p14 and p28 subclones indicated deletions from bp position 161-to-1192, with flanking fragments ranging from bp position 3123-to-160 and 1193-to 1903 (Fig. 17 and Table 4). HaeII cleaves pN2 into only four fragments. In p14 and p28 subclones lexcept for pl4JC5), bands corresponding to fragments between bp positions 6 and 1150 were missing as compared to control p0 pN2/HaeII.

Gel assays of p14 and p28 subclones cleaved with DdeI showed one large band missing that suggested flanking regions ranging from bp positions $2626-$ to-3055 and 995-to1939. A deletion was thought to occur between bp positions 3055-to-995.

Screening Additional Subclones for Deletions
It was not known whether the deletion process arose out of an accumulation of small deletions or via a single event. Thus, further subcloning was done to try to find additional small (50 to 200 bp ) and/or intermediate-sized deletion mutants (200 to 600 bp$)$. This screening was accomplished by transforming DH5 $5 \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}$ IQ cells with the plasmid DNA prepared from each of the initial 28 passages. Each transformation culture was plated onto a two-layer LB-agar plate containing amp (Eleischmann and others 1995). The two-layer plate allowed freshly transformed cells to be plated immediately,

## Restriction Maps of pN2 cut with Alul, Ddel, Haell and Mspl





Eigure 16. Restriction maps of pN2 cut with AluI, DdeI, HaeII or MspI. The cleavage positions in pN2 plasmids are shown. Each of these enzymes has four or more cut sites in pN2.


Figure 17. A 5\% PAGE analysis of selected p14JC3 and p28JC4 subclone DNAs digested with AluI, DdeI, HaeII or MspI. p0 pN2 and p0 pTZ19U were digested with the same enzymes and used as gel standards. Bands missing from p14JC3 and p28JC4 restriction digests represent specific fragments that have been deleted. Bands that differ from those seen in the pN2 control digests represent junctions of deletions.

```
TABLE 4. ALUI AND MSPI RESTRICTION ERAGMENTS OE PI4JC3 AND
    R28JC4 SUBCLONES CORRESPONDING TO EIGURE 17
```

| Alul Restriction Fragment Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | New |
| Size (bp): | 136 | 226 | 118 | 64 | 95 | 22 | 27 | 635 | 96 | 215 | 257 | 621 | 63 | 100 | 521 | 257 |  |
|  | + | + | + | NR | NR | NR | NR |  | NR | - | - | + | NR | NR | $+$ | . |  |

Mspl Restriction Fragment Number

|  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | New |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size (bp): | 26 | 147 | 489 | 138 | 895 | 711 | 404 | 110 | 67 | 34 | 404 | 190 | 320 |
|  | + | + | - | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  |

Haell Restriction Fragment Number

|  | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | New |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size (bp): | 370 | 1136 | 8 | 1939 | ~1200-1500 |
|  | + | - | NR | + |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | Ddel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ! | 2 | 3 | 4 | New |
| Size (bp): | 2338 | $\stackrel{549}{+}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166 \\ \text { NR } \end{gathered}$ | 409 + | -1600 |

[^3]without the usual hour incubation and allowed all transformed cells to have the same starting growth advantage to form a colony. Twenty-four to 48 colonies derived from each plate were selected, cultured, and plasmid DNA isolated by a Qbmp method. Electrophoretic analysis showed that deletion mutants were found as early as p2 and that this early deletion included the insert and part of the vector (denoted as a "large" deletion). No small or intermediatesized deletions were discovered out of 632 clones examined. Thus, it appeared either that the deletion process was not a series of small deletion events accumulating over time or that small deletions were selected against because large deletion mutants (smaller plasmids) had replicative advantage over small deletions (larger plasmids). With increasing passage number, the percentage of subclones containing large deletions increased; by p 28 , 94 号 of subclones had large deletions (Table 5).

## Comparison of pN2, pN6, and pRh Plasmids

Another primate repetitive element (pRh) was ligated into plasmid pTZ19U and used as a control recombinant in the JC7623 cell strain. This element is a tandemly repetitive rhesus monkey alphoid DNA with higher GC content than the L2Hs element ( $55 \%$ vs $28 \%$ ), is slightly larger ( 0.68 kb vs 0.60 kb ) and represents four tandem repeats of 170 bp (Pike

TABLE 5. RESULTS OF GEL ASSAYS OF PLASMID DNA ISOLATED FROM SERIAL-PASSAGED JC7623 CELLS CONTAINING PN2

This table is based on the 18 agarose gel assays of pN2 plasmids isolated from 24 to 48 single colonies from passages p1, p3 and all even passages p2 through p28. Subcloned plasmid DNA was isolated from single colonies grown for 12 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in microtiter plates containing one ml of tB plus amp ( $150 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) per colony by the Liu and Mishra QBmp method (1995). The DNA was electrophoresed on 18 agarose gels. Possible dimer plasmids and plasmids with large deletions (deletions of the insert plus flanking vector sequence) were observed in early passages. No small-to-intermediate sized deletions were discovered out of 632 Qbmp samples examined.

| Subcione | p1 | p2 | p3 | p4 | p6 | p8 | p10 | p12 | p14 | p18 | p18 | p20 | p22 | p24 | p26 | p28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Inconclusive ${ }^{1}$ \% Inconclusive | $\begin{array}{r} 2 / 48 \\ 4 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 48 \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 24 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 34 \\ & 35 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 / 34 \\ 12 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 34 \\ 9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 / 34 \\ 24 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 / 34 \\ 41 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 34 \\ 71 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 / 34 \\ 29 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 34 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Possible plasmid dimers ${ }^{2}$ \% possible plasmid dimers | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 48 \\ 2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 / 48 \\ 6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 24 \\ 4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 48 \\ 2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 48 \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | 41/48 85.4\% | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 48 \\ 2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 48 \\ & 10.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 / 48 \\ 29 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 34 \\ 3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 34 \\ 3 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Large <br> deletions ${ }^{3}$ <br> \% large deletions | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 / 48 \\ & 75 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 / 24 \\ 8 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 48 \\ 6 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 48 \\ & 4.1 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6/48 } \\ & 12.5 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 48 \\ & 42 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 48 \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 / 34 \\ 56 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 / 34 \\ 85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 / 34 \\ & 74 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 / 34 \\ 65 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 34 \\ & 29 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 / 34 \\ & 29 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 / 34 \\ 71 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 / 34 \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Runs with std. <br> ${ }^{4}$ (No <br> deletion) \% <br> runs with std. | $\begin{aligned} & 45 / 48 \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 / 48 \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20124 \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 / 48 \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 48 \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | 41/48 85.4\% | $\begin{aligned} & 27 / 48 \\ & 56 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 / 48 \\ & 42 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 34 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 34 \\ & 12 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 / 34 \\ & 0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Exceptions ${ }^{5}$ <br> \% exceptions | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 24 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 / 48 \\ 2 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 48 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 34 \\ 9 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 / 34 \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 / 34 \\ 3 \% \end{gathered}$ |

'DNA yield was insufficient to make a conclusion
${ }^{2}$ DNA is larger than the marker and is similar in pattern to the dimer standard
${ }^{3}$ Major band is smaller than the pN2 marker, suggesting deletion(s) around 0.5 kb or more
${ }^{4}$ Major band runs with the standard pN2 major band
${ }^{5}$ DNA bands larger than pN2 standard but bands do not resembe dimer bands
and others 1986). To compare the stability of pN2, pN6, pTZ19U, and pRh plasmids in E. coli, JC7623 cells transformed with these plasmids were serially passaged in quadruplicate every 12 hours for 14 passages in TB. Plasmid DNA was isolated using a Qbmp procedure and linearized by ScaI cleavage to size the plasmids and sort out mixtures of different topological forms. The pTZ19U and pRh plasmids were stable throughout all passages. Plasmid pN6 displayed a deletion species by $p 6$ while a pN2 deletion was observed by p 8.

Screening JC7623 Cells For Reduced pN6 Plasmid Copy Number
Some later-passaged JC7623 cells had reduced plasmid yields while amp ${ }^{3}$ remained high. To test whether part of the $\beta$-lactamase (amp ${ }^{\text {² }}$ ) gene was incorporated into the bacterial chromosome, colonies with low plasmid yield were isolated. Eirst, several low-yield pN6 cultures were subcloned on LB-agar plates containing $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp and colonies were selected. The colonies were cultured for 12 hours in $T B$ containing amp ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). The number of cells per ml of culture for each sample pliA1, p11B3, plic2, and pllDI in Eigure 18 was determined by colony counts of 12 hour cultures plated on LB-agar plates. The pliA1 12 hour culture had $7.67 \times 10^{5}$ cells/ml, p11B3 had $1.33 \times 10^{6}$ cells/ml, p11C2 culture had $7.10 \times 10^{\equiv}$ cells/ml and pllD1
had $1.24 \times 10^{\circ}$ cells/ml. Plasmid DNA was isolated from 1 ml of each 12 hour culture. Subclone pllc2 showed lower plasmid yield (Fig. 18) and was studied further.

Cells were plated with amp ranging from $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ to $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ to screen for differences in amp ${ }^{\bar{k}}$. Host cells without plasmids were also plated as controls and were inviable on plates containing as low as $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp. By comparison, colonies containing plIC2 subclones maintained $a^{2 m}{ }^{\mathbb{R}}$ with amp concentration as high as $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. However, with increasing levels of amp there was a reduction in the number and size of colonies from pllC2 subclone cells. It was thought that cells with little-to-no plasmid that could be maintained on low amp but not on high amp either could have incorporated the $\beta$-lactamase gene into the bacterial chromosome or just have very few plasmids. Therefore, individual colonies that $g r e w$ on $0.25 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{mi}$ amp plates were transferred to plates containing $0.25 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp and also replicated on plates containing $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. This was done to screen for cells with little-to-no amp. Out of 288 clones, 117 colonies grew on low amp ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ and on high amp ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) but 171 colonies grew only on low amp. All colonies from low and high amp plates were cultured in 1 ml of TB with amp ( $0.25 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml})$ and DNA was isolated by the Qbmp procedure. Yield of plasmid from colonies grown on


## p11 subclones

Eigure 18. 1\% agarose gel of four different pll subciones: A1, B3,C2, D1. Markers are control PTZ19U and pN2. There are four samples from each subclone set represented: pliAl, pl1B3, p11C2 and p11D1. All four pllC2 subclones have a similar band intensity pattern: bands are much less intense than other pli subclones. This suggests that pllc2 subclones have reduced plasmid yield compared to the other subclones, yet retain ampicillin resistance. Also, it is observed that the lower band in p11A1, p11B3, and p11D1 subclones is not present in the pllC2 subclones. The p11c2 bands run similarly to the pN 2 marker.
both low and high amp plates was at least $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{\mu l}$. Little-to-no plasmid was obtained from those colonies that grew on low amp plates only.

Cells reserved from 22 samples that yielded no observable plasmid and from five samples containing plasmid were cultured and molded into gel plugs for intact total cellular DNA preparation. Plug slices were loaded onto a ly agarose gel and the host chromosomal DNA separated from the plasmid DNA by FIGE. The DNA was transferred to a nylon membrane and hybridized with a total pN2 probe. DNA in the wells and some high molecular weight DNA bands were positive for pN2, even though no plasmid bands were present for some of the samples (data not shown). Although non-specific binding of the probe to non-pN2 DNA was observed (i.e. hybridization of the probe to DH5 ${ }^{\prime} F^{\prime}$ IQ and JC7623 containing no plasmid) some homology might exist between pN2 and the bacterial chromosome through the lack gene, engineered into the pTZ19U plasmid and its recombinants and occurring in the E. coli chromosome of JC7623 and DH5 2 'IQ strains (Table 2, p. 30). Thus, homologous recombination may be possible between plasmid and bacterial chromosome through the lacZ locus.

To refine what areas of pN2 might be homologous to or recombined into the chromosome, a second FIGE analysis was done using pN6 pll subclones along with linearized and uncut


FIGE Gel


## Hybridization with ORI Probe

Figure 19. FIGE analysis of the distribution of ORI probe sequences. (A) A lo agarose EIGE gel of total bacterial cellular DNA was run in 45 mM TBE. Positive controls were uncut and ScaI-linearized pN2, dimer pN6 DNA, uncut and ScaI-linearized pTZ19U, pN6 plasmid DNA and four pllC2 pN6 subclones (1-4)known to contain pN6. Size markers were the $\lambda$ ladder (M1) and a marker made from pN2 restricted DNAs (M2). Genomic and pN6 plasmid DNA derived from DH5 ${ }^{\text {F'IQ }}$ cells and genomic DNAs from DH5NF'IQ and JC7623 cells are other marker DNAs. (B) The hybridization pattern of the ORI probe is shown. The EIGE gel was blotted and the membrane hybridized with the ORI probe. The positive control DNAs in lanes one through six had strong hybridization with the ORI probe, as expected. However, unexpected positive hybridization to the ORI probe was found in some wells (i.e. wells 10 and 12 for pll subclones 4 and 6 , respectively). This suggested that the RNA I, II locus might have been incorporated into the bacterial genome or that the pN6 plasmid DNA might have been trapped in the well.
pN2 and pTZ19U as controls. A bidirectional transfer of the DNA to two membranes was accomplished using an adaptation (Smith and Summers 1980) of the Southern transfer method (Southern 1975). Meanwhile, PCR was used to synthesize two biotinylated probes: one probe for the $\beta$-lactamase gene region ( $\beta \mathrm{Lac}$ ) and one for the pTZl9U origin of replication including the RNA I and II replication sites (ORI). Positive hybridization to chromosomal DNA was observed in several samples as shown in Figure 19B for the ORI probe and for the $\beta$ Lac probe (data not shown).

To test whether plasmid DNA might have been trapped in the wells of the FIGE gels, the gel plugs were digested with HindIII. Any trapped plasmid DNA would be cleaved, leave the well, and migrate through the gel as higher molecular weight bands that could be clearly distinguished from bands of plasmid DNA. HindIII was chosen because it cleaves chromosomal DNA fewer times than HaeIII or other four-base cutters. In addition, HindIII leaves a more distinctive chromosomal banding pattern. Any plasmid DNA entangled in the chromosomal DNA also is free to migrate. A EIGE analysis of the restricted DNAs was run, blotted, and hybridized with the various probes. To test whether chromosomal DNA had been properly digested, the blot was probed for $E$. coli ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes which are present in multiple copies. A similar banding pattern was
found for every DNA, indicating that the ribosomal DNA had undergone cleavage with HindIII, yielding a singular pattern of five bands for the five rRNA genes. This finding suggested that the chromosomal DNA had undergone cleavage. This blotted DNA also was hybridized with $\beta$ Lac and ORI probes. Hybridization was detected faintly in two of the wells and was most likely background since the rRNA gene cleavage pattern indicated complete cleavage of the DNA (data not shown).

## DNA Sequencing Data

DNA sequencing was done to map the deletion boundaries at nucleotide resolution. It was unknown whether a contiguous stretch of DNA was deleted or whether several interspersed deletions occurred. Standard and specificallydesigned primers were employed for sequencing deletions within the L2Hs element and those encompassing insert plus vector sequences.

Using the Universal Reverse primer, the site of the small, single 82-base deletion in pN2 p5JC10 was resolved. Boundaries of the deletion were confirmed by sequencing from the opposite direction using the Universal Forward primer. Boundaries of the deletions extended from positions 434 through 515 (Table 6).

Development of primers close to the origin of

TABLE 6. PN2 SUBCLONE DELETION BOUNDARIES AND SIZES OE DELETIONS

| Subclones: | Primers used in sequencing: | 5' Detetion <br> Boundary (bp position) | 3' Dafetion <br> Boundary (bp position) | Deletion Size <br> (bp) | Features of the $3^{3}$ Flanking Deletion Boundary | Direct Repeat Mottf and Positions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p5JC10** | UR ${ }^{1}$ UF ${ }^{2}$ | 433 | 516 | 82 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bp } 516 \\ & 20 \% \text { GC } \\ & 5 \text {-СTATACATTA-3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\prime} \text {-GTACCCAAA-3' } \\ & \text { bp } 507 \\ & \text { bp } 428 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${\underset{4}{p} 14 \mathrm{~J} C 2,3,}^{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pNAMP1678 } \\ & \text { pN1343R } \\ & \text { OriReverse } \end{aligned}$ | 3276 | 1134 | 1311 | bp 1134: <br> 90\% GC-rich <br> 5-GCCAGCGCCC-3' | 5'-GCCAGC-3' <br> bp 3276 <br> bp 1134 |
| p14JC6 | pNAMP1678 ${ }^{3}$ pN1343R4 <br> OriReverse ${ }^{5}$ | 3276 | 1111 | 1289 | bp 1111: <br> 90\% GC-rich <br> 5-cgcgcagcgt-3* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5'-GCCAGC-3' } \\ & \text { bp } 3276 \\ & \text { bp } 1134 \\ & \text { S'CAGC-3. }^{\prime} \\ & \text { bp } 3278 \\ & \text { bp } 1115 \end{aligned}$ |
| p14JC7 | pNAMP1678 ${ }^{3}$ pN1343R ${ }^{4}$ <br> OriReverse ${ }^{5}$ | 3276 | 1193 | 1371 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bp 1193: } \\ & \text { 70\% GC-rich } \\ & \text { 5-CGGCTTTCCC-3' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5-GGCT-3' } \\ & \text { bp } 3264 \\ & \text { bp } 1194 \end{aligned}$ |
| p28JC1** | pNAMP1678 ${ }^{3}$ <br> OriReverse ${ }^{5}$ | 3371 | 1510 | 1592 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bp 1510; } \\ & 27 \% \text { GC } \\ & 5^{\prime} \text {-CGTTACAATT-3' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5-TGCG-3' } \\ & \text { bp } 3341 \\ & \text { bp } 1546 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { p28JC2, } \\ & 3,4,5,6,7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pNAMP1678 } \\ & \text { pN1343R } \\ & \text { OriReverse } \end{aligned}$ | 3308 | 1192 | 1377 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bp 1192: } \\ & 73 \% \text { GC-rich } \\ & 5^{\prime-c c G G C T r e c c-3 ' ~} \end{aligned}$ | 5'-AGGAA-3' <br> bp 3304 <br> bp 1176 |
| p28JC8 | pNAMP1678 ${ }^{3}$ pN1343R4 OriReverse ${ }^{5}$ | 3276 | 1192 | 1369 | bp 1192: <br> $73 \%$ GC-rich <br> 5-сcgectitccc-3' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5'-GGCT-3' } \\ & \text { bp } 3264 \\ & \text { bp } 1194 \end{aligned}$ |

* Deletion boundaries and sizes inconclusive
** The flanking sequence is AT-rich in this subclone, rather than GC-rich
'Priming positions ( 5 ' $\rightarrow 3^{\text {' }}$ ) of Universal Forward (UF) in pN2 are bp 951-928
${ }^{2}$ Priming positions ( $55^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ ) of Universal Reverse (UR) in pN2 are bp 200-221
${ }^{3}$ Priming positions ( $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 33^{\prime}$ ) of pNAMP1678 in pN2 are bp 1678-1659
${ }^{4}$ Priming positions ( 5 ' $\rightarrow 3$ ') of pN1343R in pN2 are bp 1343-1324
${ }^{5}$ Priming positions $(5 ' \rightarrow 3$ ) of OriReverse in pN2 are bp 3039-3062
replication (OriReverse primer), the $\beta$-lactamase gene (pNAMP1678 primer), and a primer located between the $\beta$ lactamase gene primer site and the L2Hs insert (pN1343R primer) enabled the large deletion mutants to be sequenced. Any deletions extending upstream of the ori site would not be seen because replicative ability would be destroyed and these plasmids would be lost. Likewise, cells containing plasmids with deletions that extended into the $\beta$-lactamase gene (amp sensitive plasmids) would be selected against by amp in the growth media.

Using the OriReverse primer, p14 and p28 pN2 subclones were sequenced. A single large deletion was found for these subclones, except for p14JC5 which was like intact p0 pN2. Also discovered were direct repeat motifs within close proximity of the deletion boundaries.

All the p14 subclones, except for pl4.JCI,pl4.JC5 and pl4JC8, had a $5^{\prime}(l e f t)$ deletion boundary at bp position 3276. Subclone pl4JC5 was intact. Sequencing of pl4JC1 and pl4JC8 was incomplete due to unreadable gel patterns. The 3' (right) deletion boundaries occurred at bp positions 1111 (p14JC6), 1134 (p14JC2-4) or 1193 (p14JC7), in sequences that are GC-rich (Table 6). The deletion sizes range from 1.1 kb to nearly 1.6 kb . Position 3276 , the left flanking deletion boundary of p14JC2-4, 6, 7 and p28JC8, is at the origin of replication (bp positions 3273 to 3279 ). A six bp
direct repeat (5'-GCCAGC-3') is found at the boundaries 1134 and 3276 in pl4JC2-4 plasmids.

In the p28 subclones, the same large 1377 bp deletion was found in subclones p28JC2, p28JC3, p28JC5, p28JC6, and p28JC7 between position 3308 (5') and 1192 (3'). A four bp direct repeat at positions 3264 and 1194 (5'-GGCT-3') and a five bp direct repeat at positions 3304 and 1176 (5'-AGGAA3') are near the deletion boundaries 3308 and 1192. Although these subclones originated from individual colonies, it is possible that some subclones were derived from the same cell because the deletions are identical. One exception is subclone p28JCl which had a deletion of 1.59 kb between positions 3371 (5') and 1510 (3'). A four bp direct repeat at positions 3341 and 1546 (5'-TGCG-3') is in a range of $\sim 30$ bases from the deletion boundaries. Another example is p28JC8 which had a 1.37 kb deletion between positions 3276 (5') and 1192 (3'). A four bp direct repeat is found at positions 3264 and 1194 (5'-GGCT-3'), within close proximity of the deletion boundaries.

Many potential stem-loop structures have been predicted in regions near the deletion boundaries. A very clear example is for the pl4 subclones which have a $5^{\prime}$ deletion boundary at 3276 and a $3^{\prime}$ deletion boundaries at 1111, 1134 or 1193. Near the $3^{\prime}$ deletion boundary is an 18 bp inverted repeat at positions 1096 to 1113 and its complement at 1117
to 1134 with a loop of 3 bases. At the $5^{r}$ deletion boundary there is an 8 bp inverted repeat extending from 3276 to 3283 and its complement from 3211 to 3218 with an intervening region of 27 bases. These examples would be flanked by the direct repeats already mentioned.

To confirm that there were no small deletions flanking the $\beta$-lactamase gene, the counterclockwise pNAMP1678 primer (5'-to-3' positions 1678 to 1659 ) within the $\beta$-lactamase gene was used to sequence p14 and p28 subclones. Sequencing results showed that these plasmids were intact between positions 1646 to 1426 in pN2.

Primer pN1343R was used to sequence p14 and p28 pN2 subclones (except pl4JC5 and p28JC1) in the counterclockwise direction from the $3^{\prime}$ deletion boundary toward the 5' boundary. The region of RNA $I$ and $I I$, which is upstream of the ori site, was sequenced. These sequencing results demonstrated that the RNA I and II coding regions were intact between positions 2600 to 3200. Restriction mapping data confirms the intactness of this region (Eig. 13, Table 3, Fig. 15, Fig. 17, Table 4, ).

Finally, it was observed that most of the $3^{\prime}$ deletion boundaries of the p14 and p28 pN2 subclones consisted of GCrich motifs except for p14JC5 and p28JC1 (Table 6, final column). Perhaps the GC-richness has provided transient stability at this flanking region.

A pl4 pN6 subclone was sequenced by an outside facility using the OriReverse primer. Results indicated a deletion of 1.6 kb from position 1 to 1624.

## CHAPTER 4

DISCUSSION

Repetitive DNA, ubiquitous to eukaryotic genomes, seems to show accelerated evolution via length and copy number polymorphisms in comparison to single-copy sequences. This rapid evolution is dependent on the sequence content, length and surrounding genomic environment (Epplen and others 1996). Simple repetitive DNA, referring to di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeats (i.e. microsatellites), is most often associated with this phenomena of genome instability; however, interspersed moderately repetitive sequences, like the L2Hs family, also fit into this category. The multiplicity of the L2Hs family has been shown by genomic fingerprinting and slot blotting: elements can vary from one individual to another and between tissues of the same individual (Musich and Dykes i986, Musich i996j. Moreover, L2Hs elements differ in size and sequence, and are interspersed throughout the human genome. Thus, flanking sequences also differ among members of the L2Hs family, making it difficult to study specific interactions among the L2Hs elements, and between them and their adjacent sequences.

The essence of the genomic instability featured in the

L2Hs family has been ascertained in a bacterial model system in which a single L2Hs element was inserted into the plasmid pTZ19U. Subsequently, the recombinants were transformed into E. coli strains CES200, CES201, C600, DH5 $2 \mathrm{E}^{\prime} I Q$, and JC7623, and serially propagated for 28 passages. The control plasmid pTZ19U was stable throughout each passage while the L2Hs recombinants showed instability by passage 12 (p12) in strain JC7623, indicative of plasmids with significant deletions. The other host strains also appeared to generate a heterogeneous molecular mixture of pN2 plasmid. In order to distinguish whether the heterogeneity was due to deletions or just different topoisomeric forms, isolated plasmid DNA was linearized and assayed by gel electrophoresis. In all strains except for JC7623, the plasmid DNAs appeared intact. Some of the heterogeneity observed in these other host strains possibiy was due co a mixture of different topoisomeric forms of plasmids or multimeric species such as dimers. Higher molecular weight DNA may have been due to linear multimers (Cohen and Clark 1986). Only the JC7623 strain, however, actually showed size reduction in the linearized DNAs.

The observation that the L 2 Hs recombinants became unstable in one host strain led to the hypothesis that the JC7623 cell strain was causing, influencing, or allowing the
instability. However, pTZI9U plasmid alone was stable in the JC7623 cell strain. Also, a pTZ19u recombinant containing a 680 bp rhesus monkey alphoid DNA (pRh) was stable when similarly passaged. This rhesus element contained four tandem repeats of 170 bp (Pike and others 1986). Thus, it seemed that features of the L2Hs element itself influenced plasmid stability in the JC7623 cell strain.

An important difference of the JC7623 host strain with regard to the other hosts is its recombination genotype: recBrecCsbcBsbcCsbcD (often reported as a quadruple mutant: recBrecCsbcBsbcC). SbcCD, the gene product of wild type sbcCsbcD, is a multimeric protein possessing ATP-dependent double-strand exonuclease and ATP-independent single-stand DNA endonuclease activities (Connelly and Leach 1996). This gene product aids in palindrome-mediated inviability associated with an arrest of DNA replication (Shurvinton and others 1987, Connelly and Leach 1996). SbcCD may attack hairpins formed through replication slippage at palindromes, forcing collapse of the replication fork (Connelly and Leach 1996). The hairpin formation is thought to occur on only one of the DNA strands, most likely the lagging-strand (Trinh and Sinden 1991, Rosche and others 1995). Repair of the fork is possible through the most common recombination
system encountered in the bacterial chromosome: the RecBCD complex and associated Chi site (X-site). When a replication fork collapses, the RecBCD complex continues to degrade the fork until a special sequence motif, known as the $X$-site, is encountered. This $X$ sequence converts RecBCD into a recombinase which continues unwinding the DNA. The single strands bind RecA, a strand-exchange protein in homologous recombination, and are enabled to invade the intact sister strand. Leading- and lagging-strand synthesis is re-initiated (Asai and Kogoma 1994, Ryder and others 1996). Leach refers to this type of repair as "arm-directed secondary structure repair" (Fig. 20).

Thus, interaction of the RecBCD-X complex with the collapsed replication fork initiated by $\operatorname{SbcCD}$ allows the sister strand to resume synthesis and replication can begin again at the original start site.

In the JC7623 cell strain, a different recombination and repair system is utilized because recBrecCrecD and sbcCsbcD genes are aberrant. Hairpins created by singlestranded palindromic sequences would not be removed by the nonfunctional protein product of mutant sbcCD. Also, repair would not be made through the activities of mutant recBrecCrecD gene products. Instead, four scenarios could occur in JC7623 cells. First, if no secondary DNA structure


Figure 20. Collapse of a replication fork by $\operatorname{SbcCD}$ and the fork's repair via the RecABCD-x-mediated recombination/ repair proposed by Leach in the arm-directed repair theory. During replication of a palindromic DNA sequence, intrastrand base-pairing may occur on the single-stranded portion of the lagging strand, downstream of the replication fork. Pausing of DNA replication occurs at the secondary structure. The SbcCD protein removes the secondary structure, generating a double-strand break. The doublestrand break recruits RecBCD and RecA (homologous recombinatory enzymes) to allow the reconstitution of a replication fork by recombination with the intact sister arm. The broken arm is digested by RecBCD until a x-site is encountered. A Holliday junction is formed by RecA protein and is resolved. This regenerates the replication fork. No recombination occurs with the intact copy of the palindromic sequence. Thus, the sequence is replicated after replication is reinitiated. Source: Leach, D.R.F., Okely, E.A. and Pinder, D.J. (1997). Repair by recombination of DNA containing a palindromic sequence. Mol. Microbiol. 26, 597-606.
were made, replication proceeds unhindered. If non-B-form secondary structures were formed during replication, stalling of DNA polymerase and its loss of processivity at the secondary structure might lead to truncation of replication and inviability of the progeny. A third possibility is that the DNA polymerase might skip over the secondary structure and continue replication (i.e. strand extrusion) on the other side of this structure, leading to deletion of the structural motif (i.e. palindromic sequence). Finally, stalling at the secondary structure could allow time for DNA "breathing" and intrastrand realignment of other repeated sequences that might effect slippage events. Thus, the result might be deletion or expansion of the genome. Recombinants pN2 or pN6, which have many palindromes/inverted repeats in the $L 2 H$ s element, have the potential to form secondary structures at replication forks. When hosted in JC7623 cells, genomic instability may occur because of defective "arm-directed secondary structure repair" due to a mutant $\operatorname{SbCCD}$ protein and lack of the RecABCD-x-mediated recomination/repair pathway.

Replication of plasmids containing palindromes 22 bp or greater also may be aberrant due to linear plasmid multimer formation (Biek and Cohen 1986, Cohen and Clark 1986, Leach
1996). Because each multimer segregates into the daughter cells as a single plasmid, the effective copy number is lowered in each cell during cell division. Thus, the chance of plasmid loss is increased with each cell division. In plasmids such as ColEl, multimers can be resolved before cell division at the cer locus by the Xer proteins, sitespecific recombinases that act on the cer site to resolve multimers after replication (Snyder and Champness 1997). Plasmid pBR322 and derivatives such as pTZI9U lack the cer site, resulting in the increased stability of plasmid multimers (Pouwels 1991). Furthermore, these plasmids lack a partition function needed for proper segregation of plasmid vectors to daughter cells during cell division. Thus, these multimers are maintained and are not resolved by Xer. Moreover, uneven segregation into daughter cells may result, reducing copy number even more in some of the daughter cells. Additionally, the stability of L2Hs recombinants in some cell strains and not in others may be influenced by the levels of topoisomerases which act as multimer resolvases. Topoisomerase I and DNA gyrase both can resolve dimers generated in pBR322 replication (Kornberg and Baker 1992). Finally, it still is unclear if other E. coli enzymes exist for this particular function of multimer resolution and, if so, under what conditions their action is
optimized.
While L2Hs recombinants are unstable in strains like JC7623, they are stable in recA mutant strains like DH5 $5 \mathrm{~F}^{\prime}$ IQ. The recA wild-type gene is essential in most homologous recombination events (Kowalczykowski and others 1994). This recA mutant is recombination deficient but also has the wild-type sbcCsbcD and recBrecC genotype. recA mutants also are capable of maintaining stable direct repeats (usually greater than 50 bp$)(H a n a h a n ~ 1983) . ~ E r g o, ~$ L2Hs plasmids are stable in strain DH5 ${ }^{\prime}$ 'IQ.

Other factors inherent in the L2Hs element may contribute to plasmid instability. For example, the small deletion found in subclone p5JC10 may have resulted from replication slippage on the lagging strand in DNA synthesis. The L2Hs insert, which is AT-rich and thermodynamically less stable than the vector, may become unwound. With the contribution of delayed synthesis on the lagging strand, the opportunity for slippage from one direct repeat to another on the lagging strand is likely. In pN 2 , direct repeats (5'-GTACCCAAA-3') are found at positions 426 to 434 (DR2) and 507 to 515 (DRI) (see Fig. 21 and Appendix B). These positions encompass positions 433 and 516, the boundaries of the small deletion of subclone p5JC10 that have been verified by DNA sequencing. Also several interspersed
inverted repeats are located between the positions 433 and 516. One example of inverted repeats is that occurring at positions 435 to 439 (IR2 is $5^{\prime \prime-T A T A T-3 ') ~ a n d ~ p o s i t i o n s ~} 443$ to 447 (IR1 is $\left.5^{\prime}-A T A T A-3^{\prime}\right)$. When helicase-mediated strand separation occurs during replication, interspersed inverted repeats such as IRI and IR2 may form a hairpin secondary structure. DRI' could be replicated. However, when the replication machinery reaches the hairpin structure, pausing might occur with dissolution of the synthetic complex. DRI may denature and anneal with the DR2' template beyond the hairpin. Thus, DRI' and the hairpin sequence would not be replicated and would be deleted. Eigure 21 depicts the hypothesized p5JC10 deletion event.

Some observations regarding the large deletion mutants of pN2 should be noted. The p14 and p28 mutants of pN2 plasmids have left deletion boundaries positions 3276, 3308, and 3371 (Table 6) that are close to or within the origin of replication. The right deletion boundaries for the p14 and p28 subclones extend to positions 1111, 1134, 1192, 1193, and 1510. The first $10-11$ bases of the right deletion boundaries are GC-rich (73-90\% as shown in Table 6) in all except subclones pl4JC5 (not shown in Table 6) and p28JC1. Deletion boundaries for p14JC2, p14JC3, and p14JC4 mapped to positions 3276 and 1134. Also, direct repeats (GCCAGC) were found at these positions. These repeats may be involved in

$\mathrm{DR}=$ direct repeat on leading strand
DR' = direct repeat on the lagging strand

Eigure 21. The deletion mechanism of mutant p5JC10. A step-by-step account of a possible deletion process converting pN2 to p5JC10. (1) The lagging strand replicates through DRI'. (2) Simultaneously, the bases between DRI' and DR2' have looped out. This could occur because of the inverted repeats and quasi-inverted repeats found in this locus. The replication machinery halts at the secondary structure. (3) The newly synthesized DR1 disassociates from the lagging strand and realigns with template DR2', downstream of the loop. Replication continues from this point. (4) The result of a second round of replication of the upper strand of (3) is depicted. Bases 434 to 515 have been lost and there is only one DR motif left. DRI' in (4) means the complement of DR1 has been replicated. This figure is an adaptation of Sinden's mechanism (Eig. 5).
slipped mispairing during replication. For example, in the pl4JC2, -JC3, and -JC4 subclones, the region between base positions 3276 and 1134 may form a series of unstable, dynamic secondary structures involving the L2Hs element on the single-stranded lagging strand during replication. To further support this notion, inverted repeats of 18 bases (positions 1096 to 1113 and 1117 to 1134) with a loop of 3 bases (positions 1114 to 1116 ) are found at the $3^{\prime}$ end deletion boundary. A possible stem-loop structure with inverted repeats of 8 bases (positions 3276 to 3283 and 3211 to 3218) and a loop of 27 bases, located at positions 3284 to 3310 , is found at the $5^{\prime}$ deletion boundary. Another stem-loop structure with inverted repeats of 15 bases (positions 65 to 79 and 1038 to 1052) and a loop size of 958 (positions 80 to 1037) is another possibility. The direct repeats discovered at the deletion boundaries wouid flank these inverted repeats. There are several hundred predicted stem-loop structures, similar to the examples given, that are internal to the deletion boundaries. Thus, a hypothetical multiplex structure, depicted in Figure 22, utilizes the potential for internal stem-loop structures flanked by direct repeats at the deletion boundaries. This potential structure may stabilize the pairing of the direct repeat at 3276 and the complementary distant repeat at 1134. When replication occurs, the intervening sequences are then
skipped over, causing a large deletion. Eigure 23 depicts this deletion process using pl4JC2 as an example.

When the ori site unwinds, the entrance of DnaBC
helicase and Dnag primase needed to lay down the initial RNA primer for DNA replication is stimulated. Thus, a replication fork is initiated. As leading strand replication proceeds, a large segment of plasmid at least 1 to 2 kb becomes the single-stranded lagging strand template (Kornberg and Baker 1992). The delay of replication on the lagging strand may allow intrastrand base pairing to occur, especially between palindromes or inverted repeats. For the L2Hs elements, intrastrand base pairing as indicated in $E i g$. 22 may involve nearly $40 \%$ of the element.

A comparison of pN2 and pN6 subclones was done to see if insert orientation influenced the types of instability cbserved. In the initial pin2 study, a mixture of intact plasmids and possible deletion species was seen by p12. Subcioning passages 2, 5, 14, and 28 revealed a small deletion in one of the p5 subclones and deletions ranging from 1.29 kb to 1.59 kb for $\mathrm{pl4}$ and all but one of the p 28 subclones. A more extensive subcloning of pN2 was done looking at 24 to 48 subclones from each passage. Large deletions were found as early as p2, but it was not until p12 that approximately $50 \%$ of the subclones had large deletions. No other small deletions (less than the insert
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## Sequence Position of stems

## \% Stem <br> Match

Stem
Size
Loop
Size
Stem

100
$\begin{array}{ll}101-109:: 117-125 & 100 \\ 117-125:: 143-151 & 100\end{array}$
142-151::16I-170 90
143-152::158-167
156-161::167-172
207-213::240-246
100
100
100
247-258::287-298
450-456::556-562
92
459-469::497-507
100
91
530-536::556-562
531-536::614-619
100
100
538-543::621-626
547-552::530-525
100
100
547-556::583-592
90
567-562::572-577
100
91
9
9
10
10
6
7
12
7
11
7
6
6
6
10
6
11

| 7 | -6.00 |
| ---: | :--- |
| 17 | -5.19 |
| 9 | -5.40 |
| 5 | -8.20 |
| 5 | -5.20 |
| 27 | -5.36 |
| 28 | -6.56 |
| 99 | - |
| 27 | -6.60 |
| 19 | -4.49 |
| 77 | - |
| 77 | - |
| 27 | -0.60 |
| 26 | -3.63 |
| 9 | -3.00 |
| 5 | -5.70 |

Eigure 22. A possible multiplex stem-loop structure in the L2Hs element. (A) The multiplex structure showing the size of the loops in bp (and the position). The bp positions within the loops and stems correspond with the underlined stems and loops shown in the Table in (B). The Stem Energy depicts the stability of the cruciform in the Kcal/mole in 1 M Na+ at pH 7.25 as calculated by the Hitachi DNAsis program (Hitachi America). The bp coordinates are expressed relative to plasmid pN6. Base 1 indicate 48 bases upstream of the KpnI site in plasmid pN6 (bp 301 in pN6) and would correspond to base 253 in pN6. Source: Musich,P.R. (1996). Human genome instability - features of a dynamic repetitive element. Einstein Quart. J. Biol. Med. 12, 74-84.

Figure 23. Schematic of proposed deletion mechanism generating p14 and p28 subclones involving replication slippage and unusual non-B-form DNA structures. (A) The pN2 plasmid has two direct repeats DR1 (3276 to 3281) and DR2 (1134 to 1140) flanking the L2Hs element (305 to 895).
The plasmid strand separates when unidirectional replication begins. As leading strand replication continues, denaturation includes the region encompassing the L2Hs element. (C) Unusual non-B-form structures may form on the lagging strand, such as hairpins, cruciform or the multiplex structure shown in Eig. 24A. Pausing of the replication machinery on the lagging strand may occur at such a secondary structure. At this point, replication of DR2' on the lagging strand is assumed to have occurred. With slippage of the lagging strand, DR1' slips back on the newly synthesized DR2' (as illustrated in Eig. 22). (D) The final outcome shows the completed replication of the nascent strand synthesized from the lagging strand after a second round of replication. A large deletion is the result, which includes the region between bp 3276 and 1134. This may explain how the mutant pN2 p14JC2 was generated.

size) were discovered. This suggests that the deletion process was not an accumulation of small deletions but a single, large deletion event. An extensive subcloning of pN6 subclones revealed large deletions ( $>1 \mathrm{~kb}$ ) by p6. No small deletions were observed. Restriction cleavage studies (HaeIII, HinfI) show that observable left flanking deletion boundaries stop at the ori site, just as with the pN2 large deletion mutants. Size of the pN6 deletion mutants based on ScaI and SspI restriction mapping indicated deletions ranging from 1.2 kb to 2.0 kb . When pN 2 and pN6 DNAs were serially propagated simultaneously, pN6 DNAs were observed to have deletions by $p 6$, while deletions were not apparent in pN2 DNAs until p12. In another serial propagation study of pN2 to screen for intermediate-sized deletions, large deletion mutants were observed as early as p2. Tine one smail deietion mutant p5JCl0 in pN2, discovered out of more than 1000 subclones of pN2 and pN6 analyzed, suggests a replication error as the source of the deletion process. Because the other mutants had large deletions, events producing large deletions may have occurred early in the serial passage. Due to the replicative advantage of smaller plasmids, the deletion species became dominant in the plasmid population. This process may be exacerbated by the fact that pTZI9U and its recombinants are high copy-
number plasmids.
The sequencing of a pl4 pN6 subclone with a 1.6 kb deletion reveals differences between the deletion boundaries of pN 2 and pN 6 mutants. Analysis of the sequencing data indicated that the large deletion occurred between positions 1 and 1624, downstream from rather than at the ori site. Because no intermediate-sized deletions were found in the pN6 mutants, the deletion process was probably a single event rather than an accumulation of many smaller deletions.

There is an obvious limit on the size of observable deletions. Plasmids with deletions that interrupted the RNA I and II loci or $\beta$-lactamase gene locus would be inviable. Such deletions may occur but would not be detectable in our assay.

```
The regulation of plasmid replication is important to plasmid viability. Also involved is a mechanism inhibiting the initiation of plasmid replication when the number of plasmids in the cell reaches a certain level (Snyder and Champness 1997). This regulation of replication and control of plasmid copy number occurs by plasmid-encoded RNA I and RNA II. Of crucial significance is the fact that any mutations or deletions in the RNA I- and RNA II-encoding regions could inhibit plasmid replication and/or the control of copy number. Without plasmid replication, the host becomes increasingly sensitive to ampicillin.
```

Cells with high copy-number plasmids carrying the $\beta-$ lactamase gene for amp $^{\text {a }}$ could survive higher concentrations of amp treatment than cells with fewer plasmids. With loss of plasmids from cells, amp ${ }^{3}$ would be maintained but lower concentrations of amp would have to be used. The plasmid copy-number can also be manipulated by the amount of antibiotic used to grow cells that carry plasmids that confer resistance to that antibiotic. A threshold level of antibiotic selects for cells carrying plasmids. Plasmid copy-number is lowered when little-to-no antibiotic is used. There is no selective advantage for a cell to expend the metabolic resources to maintain plasmids if there is no antibiotic selection. Eventually, plasmids might be lost from the dividing cells.

In some of the pN2 and pN6 middle-to-late passages, it was observed that high amp" remained while the $\ddot{y}$ ield of plasmid seemed reduced. It was hypothesized that perhaps the $\beta$-lactamase gene may have been incorporated into the bacterial chromosome, still conferring amp ${ }^{2}$ to the cell. To test this, an experiment was done to observe the effects of various concentrations of amp on the host cell and on the plasmid copy number. Colonies derived from individual cells plated on LB-agar were more abundant and grew larger on plates with $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp. Colonies were smaller and fewer on plates containing $150 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp. However, plasmid
yield from cultures (estimated $1.5 \times 10^{3}-2.5 \times 10^{9}$ cells $/ \mathrm{ml}$ in an overnight culture) derived from colonies grown on $0.25-0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ plates appeared much reduced relative to cells from colonies picked from $150 \mathrm{\mu g} / \mathrm{ml}$ amp. In addition, some cell cultures from low amp plates failed to yield plasmid DNA, yet the celis were amp ${ }^{3}$ ( $\left.0.25-2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{amp}\right)$. These cells were then tested to see if very low levels of plasmid were present or, alternatively, if the $\beta$-lactamase gene portion of plasmid DNA had been incorporated into the bacterial chromosome. Intact cellular DNA was prepared in agarose plugs for EIGE analysis. With the EIGE conditions employed (Eigs. 19), chromosomal DNA should remain in the wells while the smaller plasmid DNA migrates through the gel. Hybridization analyses with probes for the pN2 plasmid, the $\beta$-lactamase gene and ori region were inconclusive, perhaps due to possible plasmid trapping in the well. For instance, in the analysis using the $\beta$ lactamase gene probe, positive hybridization signals were obtained from both plasmid DNA bands and chromosomal DNA in some of the wells. A weak signal might be expected in the region of the well if the $\beta$-lactamase gene had incorporated into the bacterial chromosome since there are only a few copies $(\sim 2-4)$ of the replicating chromosome per rapidly growing cell. Alternatively, these weak bands could be the result of plasmid trapping. Restriction cleavage was used
to distinguish whether these weak signals were due to covalent linkage of the $\beta$-lactamase gene to chromosomal DNA or if they were the result of trapping of plasmid DNA in the DNA plug. DNA was cleaved into smaller fragments to assure that all DNA would leave the wells. The plasmid and chromosomal fragments could be distinguished from each other by predicted plasmid banding patterns. There was no indication of incorporation of the plasmid $\beta$-lactamase gene or ori region into the host chromosomal DNA. This finding suggests that it takes only a few plasmids to maintain high resistance against antibiotics.

Several explanations address the cause of low plasmid yield from some of the passaged cells: that of unequal partitioning of plasmids during replication, plasmid inviability through deletion of the RNA I and II loci or cell inviability through the deletion of the p-iac gene. Those few remaining plasmids retained ampicillin resistance. Another explanation is that differences in the number of cells to prepare the DNA may have biased the outcome.

The AT-richness, sequence motifs and potential to form secondary non-B-form DNA structures appear to contribute to the instability of the L2Hs element in the $E$. coli strain JC7623. Several models of replication slippage and error suggest how deletions might occur, given particular
conditions of supercoiling and torsional tension (Zheng and others 1991, Trinh and Sinden 1993, Rosche and others 1995, Wierdl and others 1996, Kramer and Sinden 1997). In a mutant recBrecC sbcBsbcCsbcD genotype, the $\operatorname{SbcCD}$ nuclease is nonfunctional. Therefore, stem-loop structures, formed from palindromes during replication and normally cleaved by SbcCD, would remain. However, pausing of the replication machinery at the stem-loop is likely and would result in truncation of replication, strand slippage or the replication machinery skipping over the entire stem structure leading to deletion. Leach and others suggest that palindromes cannot be stably maintained in plasmids with this mutant recBrecCsbcBsbcCsbcD genotype (Leach 1996, Akgün and others 1997). The JC7623 genotype may affect the repair mechanisms involved at collapsed replication forks induced by stem-ioop structures. Hence, the inherent dynamics of the L2Hs element and the succeeding instability, are demonstrated directly in the JC7623 strain.

## Retrospection and Additional Concerns

The L2Hs element exhibits instability under very specific conditions. The L2Hs element is unstable in plasmids in E.coli host strain JC7623 in which the nuclease SbcCD is nonfunctional. This nonfunctional protein implies that replication errors that may occur at unusual non-B-form
structures are unable to be resolved and repaired. In turn, this suggests that the actual deletion process occurs during replication. Would instability occur only during replication, while exponential growth phase of the host was taking place? This question could be answered by designing an experiment in which plasmid DNA was isolated from a cell culture at various time points. An essential requirement is that cell counts would have to be done at every time point (i.e. cell doubling time), along with very careful quantitative isolation of plasmid DNA.

Another area of retrospection concerns the model explaining the large deletions in the prokaryotic system. This model relies on a hypothesis that the L2Hs element may undergo various multiplex conformations when the DNA becomes single-stranded. The multiplex structures were adapted from singie-stranded RNA pseudoknot designs. Although substantial differences between RNA and DNA exist, including base composition and structural forms, it seems likely that L2Hs DNA would have the potential to fold into the hypothesized multiplex structures because of its repetitive motifs, especially the inverted repeats. The documentation of single-stranded regions of the L2Hs element under different supercoiling environments (Ratnasinghe 1993) strengthens the argument for the existence of multiplex
structures.


#### Abstract

A limitation in understanding both the deletion processes and the resolution of non-B-form DNA structures is an area of concern. The L2Hs element's AT-richness and palindromic and repeat sequence features appear to influence the stability of flanking sequences within the JC7623 host strain. A replication slippage model seems best to explain how the instability could occur. However, it is conceivable that there may be contributing factors such as specific, although still undefined, nucleases and other host proteins that resolve these postulated non-B-form structures and aid the slippage process during DNA replication.


#### Abstract

The Relationship of the Bacterial Model to the Human System Those features of the L2Hs element that affect change in the bacterial model system may also influence the stability of eukaryotic systems. Certain sequence motifs present in the L2Hs element may form unusual secondary non-B-form DNA structures in a eukaryotic system, too. Rather than instability through deletion as observed in the bacterial model, there may be amplification of the L2Hs element. Evidence for expansion of repetitive elements in the human genome comes from studies regarding trinucleotide repeat arrays and their correlation to human disease. Gene


amplification also is found to be a part of large palindromes. Butler and others (1996) report a model involved in generation of a large palindrome in which a double-strand break is introduced next to a pair of short inverted repeats. This model has been observed in Tetrahymena and Saccharomyces. Many small inverted sequence motifs are found in the L2Hs element which may undergo such an event. Thus, in a eukaryotic system, amplification rather than deletion of L 2 Hs elements may occur.

The L2Hs element's instability in the JC7623 host strain was related to replication and repair errors. Similar instability of the L2Hs element and flanking regions may occur in the human system. Although the basis for the L2Hs element's polymorphisms has not been fully appraised in the human system, reasons for instability in several other comparable repetitive DNA sequences have been derermined. Often the instability relates to replication slippage and repair errors. Several examples of eukaryotic genome instability have been elicited via human disease. For instance, a mutator phenotype that leads to intrinsic genetic instability has been postulated to play a major role in the development of carcinogenesis (Parsons and others 1993). Characteristic size instability (deletions or expansions) in di- or trinucleotide repeats, which also
occurs in the L2Hs element, have been found in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Radman and Wagner 1993). Most tumors developing in hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer patients have mutations in tandem dinucleotide repeat arrays (Parsons and others 1993). Slipped mispairing of these repetitive arrays has been postulated, leading to insertion or deletion mutagenesis during replication. Parsons and others (1993) have shown that vectors containing dinucleotide repeat arrays which were transfected into cells derived from colorectal tumor lines characterized with replication error phenotypes, were more mutable than those vectors transfected into colorectal cancer cells derived from a non-replication error phenotype. It was discovered that the tumor cells with the replication error phenotype also exhibited defective mismatch repair. This finding is consistent with the known role of mismatch repair stabilizing ( $C A$ ): repeat sequences in $E$. coli and yeast (Levinson and Gutman, 1987, Parsons and others 1993, Strand and others 1993). Thus, it may be conjectured that mismatch repair mechanisms in humans may stabilize potentially dynamic sequences like those in the L2Hs family. Otherwise, elements like the L2Hs might be completely absent from the human genome or unduly amplified. These phenomena have been discovered with some repetitive sequences observed in
certain instances of cancer or other disease states, such as fragile X syndrome, myotonic dystrophy, and Huntington's disease in which a deficient repair mechanism is present.

Another consideration pertains to protein binding in the eukaryotic system. In the bacterial model used, a nonfunctional sbcCD gene product contributed to a replication repair error that increased the likelihood of deletions of palindromic sequences. A comparable SbcCD protein in eukaryotes may be discovered in the future, although undisclosed at this time. However, are there other specific proteins that bind to $L 2 H s$ elements and moderate the formation of potential non-B-form DNA structures? Possible candidates include topoisomerases, helicases, resolvases or transcription factors known to recognize unusual DNA motifs (Giffin and Haché 1995, Steinmetzer and others i995, Bennett and West 1996, Pearson and others 1996, Tomonaga and Levens 1997).

The L2Hs element's stability in the bacterial model may have been influenced by the element's juxtaposition with the origin of replication and also by the affects of lagging strand synthesis. How would stability of the L2Hs element be effected by multiple origins of replication in a eukaryotic system and what would be the results of lagging strand synthesis? Because origins of replication are AT-
rich, it might be predicted that L2Hs elements would be close to or even part of the origin. Also, lagging strand synthesis might facilitate non-B-form DNA structural formation. Misalignment or slippage might follow, as hypothesized in the bacterial model. Comparable mechanisms of repair are a possibility, yet not clearly appreciated.

Einally, one last prediction about the L2Hs element may be surmised. In the bacterial model, the L2Hs DNA was observed only in the context of a plasmid system, with the host chromosome supplying the necessary enzymes for repair and recombination and the L2Hs element serving no function except via its potential for secondary structure. In contrast, the $L 2 \mathrm{Hs}$ element in the human system may function as a nuclear matrix attachment region (MAR). Features of the L2Hs element, such as AT-richness, inverted and direct repeat motifs, are shared by putative MaRs iōode and others 1992, Boulikas 1993, Vogt 1992, Kay and Bode 1994, Nadir and others 1996, Kramer and Sinden 1997). MARS serve as anchorage sites of chromatin loops to the nuclear matrix and are thought to be involved in replication, acting as a "torsional sink" by absorbing negative supercoiling generated by transcribing RNA polymerase (Sinden 1994). MARs also may be involved in transcription and its control. Thus, the L2Hs element may play a significant role in human
genome activity.
Now that characterization of the L2Hs element in a bacterial model answers questions about the intrinsic potential of the $L 2 H$ element to develop non-B-form DNA structures and affect flanking regions, additional issues may be approached. The intrinsic instability of the L2Hs element is enhanced by the host strain in the bacterial model. Thus, it may be postulated that in vivo processes in human cells probably affect L2Hs elements. The significance of repetitive sequences like the L 2 Hs elements with regard to organization of the genome as well as possible regulatory roles concerning chromosome structure, replication and transcription may be elucidated one day. The relationship of repetitive sequences to mutation and disease also might be uncovered. These challenges multiply with each new discovery and piece of data.
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## Buffers and Solutions

```
Alkaline lysis solution
    0.2 N NaOH, I% SDS (made fresh)
```

Bacterial lysozyme solution for EIGE protocol
10 mM Tris ( pH 7.5 ), $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.2 \%$
Na deoxycholate, $0.5 \%$ sarcosyl, $\mathrm{Na}^{-}$salt, $\mathrm{l} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$
lysozyme solution ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ stock)
Blocking solution for Phototope ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ chemiluminescent detection
$5 \%$ SDS, 25 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.2 )
Denhardt's reagent (50X stock solution)
5 g Ficoll, 5 g polyvinylpyrrolidone, 5 g bovine
serum albumin, add $450 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{dH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$, mix to dissolve,
bring to a final volume of 500 ml with dHeO,
filter to sterilize, store at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Digestion Buffer for FIGE DNA preparation protocol
0.5M EDTA (pH 8.0), lq lauroyl sarcosine, sodium
salt, $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ Proteinase K .
LB broth
10 g bactotryptone, 5 g bacto-yeast extract, 10 g
NaCl, add $800 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, mix to dissolve, bring to 1
liter with $\mathrm{dH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, autoclave to sterilize
PEG solution
27\% PEG 8000 (w/v) in 3.3 M NaCl

Prehybridization and hybridization buffer
3 X SSPE, 3\% SDS, $5 X$ Denhardt's reagent plus 100 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ single-stranded herring sperm DNA

RNase A
$20,000 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 15 \mathrm{mM}$ NaCl, boiled for 15 minutes

Sequencing stop buffer
$80 \%$ deionized formamide, $10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOH}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.1 \%$ xylene cyanol, $0.1 \%$ bromophenol blue

SET solution
$20 \%$ sucrose, 50 mM Tris-HCl ( pH 8.0 ) , 50 mM EDTA
SBФB
60\% sucrose/0.1\% bromophenol blue
$\operatorname{SSC}(I X)$
$0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 15 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium citrate, ( pH 7.0 )
SSPE (IX)
$0.18 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ NaPO4, $1 \mathrm{mM} \operatorname{EDTA,}(\mathrm{pH} 7.7)$
STT
8\% sucrose, 5 mM Tris-HCl, ( pH 8.0) , 5\% Triton X100
$T:=E:$
10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 1 mM EDTA
$T: E_{C .}$
1 mM Tris-HCl ( pH 8.4 ), 0.1 mM EDTA

TAE
50 mM Tris base, 40 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA, final $\mathrm{pH} \sim 8.4$

TB
Add 100 ml of a sterile solution of $0.17 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KH:} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $0.72 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{i}$ to 900 ml of base broth. Base broth contains 12 g tryptone, 24 g yeast extract and 4 ml glycerol brought to 900 ml with deionized water and autcclaved
TBE
50 mM Tris base, 50 mM boric acid, 1.25 mM EDTA, final $\mathrm{pH} \sim 8.3$

Wash solution $I$ for Phototope ${ }^{m}$ chemiluminescent detection $0.5 \%$ SDS, 2.5 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.2 )

Wash solution II for Phototope ${ }^{m}$ chemiluminescent detection 100 mM Tris-HCl, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}=(\mathrm{pH} 9.2)$

## APPENDIX B

## APPENDIX B

Recombinant plasmid pN2 3453 bp
EASE COUNT: $965 \mathrm{~A} \quad 806 \mathrm{C} \quad 762 \mathrm{G} \quad 920 \mathrm{~T}$

| 1 | 11 | 21 | 31 | 51 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

5'-AGCGCCCAAT ACGCAAACCG CCTCTCCCCG CGCGTTGGCC GATTCATTAA TGCAGCTGGC-3'
$6171 \quad 81 \quad 91 \quad 101 \quad 111$
-ACGACAGGTT TCCCGACTGG AAAGCGGGCA GTGAGCGCAA CGCAATTAAT GTGAGTTAGC-
$121131 \quad 141 \quad 151 \quad 161$
-TCACTCATTA GGCACCCCAG GCTTTACACT TTATGCTTCC GGCTCGTATG TTGTGTGGAA-
$181 \quad 191 \quad 20111221$
-TTGTGAGCGG ATAACAATTT CACACAGGAA ACAGCTATGA CCATGATTAC GCCAAGCTCT-
$241 \quad 251 \quad 261 \quad 271 \quad 281$
-AATACGACTC ACTATAGGGA AAGCTTGCAT GCCTGCAGGT CGACTCTAGA GGATCCCCGG-
3013113213131
-GTACCCAAAC TATACATTAT ATACTGTACA TAAGATAGGA AATTACATCA ATATATTTTA-
$361371381 \quad 391 \quad 411$
-TATTAGGTAC ATAAAATATG AATGTACATC AAATATAGAT TATATACTGT ACATAAAATA-
421431421461

- ICAAAGTACC CAAATATATA TCATATACTG TCATGAAATT CAGTTCACAA ACTATATATT-
$481491 \quad 501 \quad 511 \quad 521 \quad 531$
-ATATACGTGT ACATAAAATA TCTAAAGTAC CCAAACTATA CATTATATAC GTAGTACATA-
541551561051
-ATATGAAAT ACATCAAATA TGTGATTTAT ATTAGGTATG TAAATATGAA AGTACATCAA-
$601611621 \quad 631 \quad 651$
-ATATAGAGTT ATATACGTGT ACACTAAAAT ACCAAAGTAC CCCGAAATAT ATATTTTATA-
$661671691 \quad 701 \quad 711$
-CTGTACATGA AATATCAAAG TTCTCAAACT ATATATTATA TACTGCACAT AAAATATCAA-
$721 \quad 731 \quad 741 \quad 751 \quad 761$

781791801811 821 6डi
-TATATGCTGT ACATAAAATA TGAAAGTACA TCAAATATAT ATTTTATTCT GTACATAAAA-
$841851 \quad 861 \quad 871 \quad 881 \quad 891$
-TATCAAAGTT CACCAAATAG TATATTCTAT ACTGTACATA AAATATCAAG GTACCGAGCT-
$901911921 \quad 931 \quad 951$
-CGAATTCACT GGCCGTCGTT TTACAACGTC GTGACTGGGA AAACCCTGGC GTTACCCAAC-
$961 \quad 971 \quad 981 \quad 1011$
-TTAATCGCCT TGCAGCACAT CCCCCTTTCG CCAGCTGGCG TAATAGCGAA GAGGCCCGCA-
$1021103110411051 \quad 1071$
-CCGATCGCCC TTCCCAACAG TTGCGCAGCC TGAATGGCGA ATGGGACGCG CCCTGTAGCG-
$10811091 \quad 1101 \quad 11211131$
-GCGCATTAAG CGCGGCGGGT GTGGTGGTTA CGCGCAGCGT GACCGCTACA CTTGCCAGCG-
$11411151 \quad 1161 \quad 11711191$
-CCCTAGCGCC CGCTCCTTTC GCTTTCTTCC CTTCCTTTCT CGCCACGTTC GCCGGCTTTC-
1201 1211 $1221 \quad 1231 \quad 1251$
-CCCGTCAAGC TCTAAATCGG GGGCTCCCTT TAGGGTTCCG ATTTAGTGCT TTACGGCACC-
$126112711281 \quad 1291 \quad 1311$
-TCGACCCCAA AAAACTTGAT TAGGGTGATG GTTCACGTAG TGGGCCATCG CCCTGATAGA-

| 21 | 1331 | 1341 | 1351 | 1361 | 1371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TCG CC | A.CG TT | CA CC | AA TA | CTC TT |
| 1381 | 1391 | 1401 | 1411 | 1421 | 1431 |
|  | AC AC | CCT AT | TCT AT | CA TT | GGG A |
| 1441 | 1451 | 1461 | 1471 | 1481 | 1491 |
|  | CTA T | AAA AA | GA TT | AAP AT | GCG A |
| 1501 | 1511 | 1521 | 1531 | 1541 | 1551 |
|  | AAC GT | ATT TC | CA. CT | GG AA | CGC GG |
| 1561 | 1571 | 1581 | 1591 | 1601 | 1611 |
|  | ATT TT | ATA CA | TA TG | CT CA | CAA T |
| 1621 | 1631 | 1641 | 1651 | 1661 | 1671 |
|  | TCA AT | TGA AA | GA GT | AT TC | TTC C |
| 1681 | 1691 | 1701 | 1711 | 1721 | 1731 |
|  | TT TT | CA TT | TC CT | GC TC | GAA AC |
| 1741 | 1751 | 1761 | 1771 | 1781 | 1791 |
|  | GA TG | GAT CA | TG CA | GG TT | GAA CT |
| 1801 | 1811 | 1821 | 1831 | 1841 | 1851 |
|  | TAA GA | GAG AG | CC CC | CG TT | ATG AT |
| 1861 | 1871 | 1881 | 1891 | 1901 | 191 |
|  | TCT GC | GGC GC | AT CC | GA CG | CAA G |
| 1921 | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 |
|  | CAT AC | CT CA | CT TG | TA CT | TC A |
| 1981 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
|  | GGA TG | ACA GT | AAT TA | GC IG | ACC A |
| 2041 | 2051 | 2061 | 2071 | 2081 | 2091 |
| -AC | GGC CA | CTT ${ }^{\text {CT }}$ | GA TC | CC GA | TA AC |
| 2101 | 2111 | 2121 | 2131 | 2141 | 2151 |
| -TG | CAT GG | AT GT | CC TT | TG GG | GAG CT |
| 2161 | 2171 | 2181 | 2191 | 2201 | 2211 |
| -CC | AAA CGA | GT GA | GA TG | GC AA | ACA AC |
| 2221 | 2231 | 2241 | 2251 | 2261 | 2271 |
| - AA | AдC TG | TA CT | as CT | CA AC | ATA GA |
| 2281 | 2291 | 2301 | 2311 | 2321 | 2331 |
| -AG | TAA AG | GA CC | GC GC | CT TC | GGC TG |
| 2341 | 2351 | 2361 | 2371 | 2381 | 2391 |
| -CT | ATC TG | GT GA | GT CT | AT CA | GCA CT |
| 2401 | 2411 | 2421 | 2431 | 2441 | 2451 |
| -AT | GCC CT | ATC GT | CT AC | GGG GA | GCA AC |
| 2461 | 2471 | 2481 | 2491 | 2501 | 2511 |
| - AA | TAG AC | CT GA | TG CC | At TA | GG TA |
| 2521 | 2531 | 2541 | 2551 | 2561 | 2571 |
| -AC | TTA CT | TA CT | TG AT | CT TC | AA TT' |
| 2581 | 2591 | 2601 | 2611 | 2621 | 2631 |
| -TC | GAA GA | TT GA | CA TG | AT CC | GT GA |
| 2641 | 2651 | 2661 | 2671 | 2681 | 2691 |
| -TC | AGC GT | CCC GT | GA TC | TC TT | GAT CC' |
| 2701 | 2711 | 2721 | 2731 | 2741 | 2751 |
| -TG | AAT CT | TG CA | AA AA | CT AC | GTG GT' |
| 2761 | 2771 | 2781 | 2791 | 2801 | 2811 |
|  | AGA GC | CT CT | GA AG | GG CT | GA GC |

```
2821 2831 2841 2851 286I 2871
    -CAAATACTGT CCTTCTAGTG TAGCCGTAGT TAGGCCACCA CTTCAAGAAC TCTGTAGCAC-
2881 2891 2901 2911 2921 
    -CGCCTACATA CCTCGCTCTG CTAATCCTGT TACCAGTGGC TGCTGCCAGT GGCGATAAGT-
2941 2951 2961 2971 2981 2991
    -CGTGTCTTAC CGGGTTGGAC TCAAGACGAT AGTTACCGGA TAAGGCGCAG CGGTCGGGCT-
3001 3011 3021 3031 3041 3051
    -GAACGGGGGG TTCGTGCACA CAGCCCAGCT IGGAGCGAAC GACCTACACC GAACTGAGAT-
3061 3071 3081 3091 3101 3111
    -ACCTACAGCG TGAGCATTGA GAAAGCGCCA CGCTTCCCGA AGGGAGAAAG GCGGACAGGT-
3121 3131 3141 3151 3161 3171
    -ATCCGGTAAG CGGCAGGGTC GGAACAGGAG AGCGCACGAG GGAGCTTCCA GGGGGAAACG-
3181 3191 3201 3211 3221 3231
    -CCTGGTATCT TTATAGTCCT GTCGGGTTTC GCCACCTCTG ACTTGAGCGT CGATTTTTGT-
3241 3251 3261 3271 3281 3291
    -GATGCTCGTC AGGGGGGCGG AGCCTATGGA AAAACGCCAG CAACGCGGCC TTTTTACGGT-
3301 3311 3321 3331 3341 3351
    -TCCTGGCCTT TTGCTGGCCT TTTGCTCACA TGTTCTTTCC TGCGTTATCC CCTGATTCTG-
3361 3371 3381 3391 3401 3411
    -TGGATAACCG TATTACCGCC TTTGAGTGAG CTGATACCGC TCGCCGCAGC CGAACGACCG-
3421 3431 3441 3451
    -AGCGCAGCGA GTCAGTGAGC GAGGAAGCGG AAG-3'
Note: The single underlined region is the L2Hs element.
        The double underlined regions are direct repeats found at the
        deletion boundaries of p5JC10 and of pl4JC2.
```


## APPENDIX C

## APPENDIX C

| Recombinant plasmid pN6 | 3453 bp |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BASE COUNT: $965 \mathrm{~A} \quad 806 \mathrm{C}$ | 762 G | 920 T |


-acgacaggtt tcccgactgg anagcgggca gtgagcgcan cgcanttant grgagttagc-
$121 \quad 131 \quad 141 \quad 151 \quad 161 \quad 171$ -TCACTCATtA GGCACCCCAG GCTtTACACT tTATGCTtCC GgCtcGtatg ttgtgtggai$181 \quad 191 \quad 201 \quad 211 \quad 221 \quad 231$ -TTGTGAGCGG ATAACAATTT CACACAGGAA ACAGCTATGA CCATGATTAC GCCAAGCTCT$241 \quad 251 \quad 261 \quad 271 \quad 281 \quad 291$ -AATACGACTC ACTATAGGGA AAGCTtGCAT GCCTGCAGGT CGACTCTAGA GGATCCCCGG$301 \quad 311 \quad 321 \quad 331 \quad 341 \quad 351$ -GTACCTTGAT ATITTATGTA CAGTATAGAA TATACTATTT GGTGAACTTT GATATTTTAT$361 \quad 371 \quad 381 \quad 391 \quad 401 \quad 411$ -gTACAGAATA AAATATATAT TTGATGTACT TTCATATTTT ATGTACAGCA TATAATATAT$421431 \quad 441 \quad 451 \quad 461 \quad 471$ -GCTTTGGGTA CTTTGATATT TTTTGTACAG TATGGAATAT ATACCTTGGG TACTTTGATA481491501511521 - ITTTATGTGC AGTATATAAT ATATAGTTTG AGAACTTTGA TATTTCATGT ACAGTATAAA$541 \quad 551 \quad 561 \quad 571 \quad 581 \quad 591$ - ATATATATTT CGGGGTACTT TGGTATTTTA GTGTACACGT ATATAACTCT ATATTTGATG$6016611 \quad 621 \quad 631 \quad 641 \quad 651$ - tactutcata ttracatacc tantatahat cacatattrg atgratttca tatttatgta$661 \quad 671 \quad 681 \quad 691 \quad 701 \quad 711$ -ctacgratat antgtatagt trgggtactt tagatatttr atgtacacgt atatantata$\begin{array}{llllll}721 & 731 & 741 & 751 & 761 & 771\end{array}$ -TAGTITGTGA ACTGAATTTC ATGACAGTAT ATGATATATA TTTGGGTACT TTGATATTTT$781 \quad 791 \quad 801 \quad 811 \quad 821 \quad 831$ - ATGTACAGTA TATAATCTAT ATTTGATGTA CATTCATATT TTATGTACCT AATATAAAAT$\begin{array}{llllll}841 & 851 & 861 & 871 & 881 & 891\end{array}$ - ATATTGATGT AATTTCCTAT CTTATGTACA GTATATAATG TATAGTTTGG GTACCGAGCT$901 \quad 911 \quad 921 \quad 931 \quad 941 \quad 951$ -CGAATTCACT GGCCGTCGTT TTACAACGTC GTGACTGGGA AAACCCTGGC GTTACCCAAC$961 \quad 971 \quad 981 \quad 1001 \quad 1011$ -TTAATCGCCT TGCAGCACAT CCCCCTTTCG CCAGCTGGCG TAATAGCGAA GAGGCCCGCA$10211031 \quad 1041 \quad 1051 \quad 1061 \quad 1071$ -CCGATCGCCC TTCCCAACAG TTGCGCAGCC TGAATGGCGA ATGGGACGCG CCCTGTAGCG$10811091 \quad 1101 \quad 1111 \quad 1121 \quad 1131$ -GCGCATTAAG CGCGGCGGGT GTGGTGGTTA CGCGCAGCGT GACCGCTACA CTTGCCAGCG-
$114111511161 \quad 1171 \quad 1181 \quad 1191$

$1201 \quad 1211 \quad 1221 \quad 1231 \quad 1241 \quad 1251$ -CCCGTCAAGC TCTAAATCGG GGGCTCCCTT tAGGGTTCCG ATtTAGTGCT TTACGGCACC-
$1261 \quad 1271 \quad 1281 \quad 1291 \quad 1301 \quad 131 i$ -TCGACCCCAA AAAACTTGAT tAGGGTGATG GTtCACGTAG tGGGCCATCG CCCTGATAGA-

| 21 | 1331 | 1341 | 1351 | 1361 | 1371 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CG CC | CG TT | CA CG | TA TA | CTC TT |
| 1381 | 1391 | 1401 | 1411 | 1421 | 1431 |
|  | AC AC | CT AT | CT AT | GA TI | GGG AT |
| 1441 | 1451 | 1461 | 1471 | 1481 | 1491 |
|  | TA TT | AAA AA | GA TT | AAA AT | GCG AA |
| 1501 | 1511 | 1521 | 1531 | 1541 | 1551 |
|  | AAC GT | TT TC | CA CT | GGG AA | CGC GG |
| 1561 | 1571 | 1581 | 1591 | 1601 | 1611 |
|  | ATT TT | TA CA | TA TG | CT CA | CAA TA |
| 1621 | 1631 | 1641 | 1651 | 1661 | 1671 |
|  | CA AT | GA AA | AGA GT | AT TC | TC C |
| 1681 | 1691 | 1701 | 1711 | 1721 | 1731 |
|  | TT | CA TT | TC CT | GC TC | AA AC |
| 1741 | 1751 | 1761 | 1771 | 1781 | 1791 |
|  | AGA TG | GAT CA | GTG CA | GGG TT | GAA CT |
| 1801 | 1811 | 1821 | 1831 | 1841 | 1851 |
|  | TAA GA | GAG AG | CCC CC | ACG TI | AtG AT |
| 1861 | 1871 | 1881 | 1891 | 1901 | 1911 |
|  | TCT GC | GGC GC | AT CC | GA CG | CAA GA |
| 1921 | 1931 | 1941 | 1951 | 1961 | 1971 |
|  | CAT AC | CT CA | ACT TG | GTA C | TC A |
| 1981 | 1991 | 2001 | 2011 | 2021 | 2031 |
|  | GGA TG | ACA GT | AT TA | GC TG | ACC AT |
| 2041 | 2051 | 2061 | 2071 | 2081 | 2091 |
| -AC | GGC CA | CT CT | GA TC | CC GA | TA AC |
| 2101 | 2111 | 2121 | 2131 | 2141 | 2151 |
| -TG | CAT GG | CAT GT | CCC TT | TG GG | GAG CT |
| 2161 | 2171 | 2181 | 2191 | 2201 | 2211 |
| -CC | AAA CG | GT GA | GA TG | GC AA | ACA AC |
| 2221 | 2231 | 2241 | 2251 | 2261 | 2271 |
|  | AC | -n | AG Cm | CA Ac | ATA GA |
| 2281 | 2291 | 2301 | 2312 | 2321 | 2331 |
| -AG | TAA AG | GGA CC | GC GC | CT TC | GGC TG |
| 2341 | 2351 | 2361 | 2371 | 2381 | 2391 |
| -CT | ATC TG | GGT GA | GT CT | AT CA | CA CT |
| 2401 | 2411 | 2421 | 2431 | 2441 | 2451 |
| -AT | CC CT | ATC GT | CT AC | GG GA | CA AC |
| 2461 | 2471 | 2481 | 2491 | 2501 | 2511 |
| -AA | AG AC | GCT GA | TG CC | TAT TA | GGG TA |
| 2521 | 2531 | 2541 | 2551 | 2561 | 2571 |
| -AC | TA CT | AtA CT | TG AT | CT TC | [AA TT |
| 2581 | 2591 | 2601 | 2611 | 2621 | 2631 |
| -T | GA GA | TTT GA | CA TG | AT CC | GT GA |
| 2641 | 2651 | 2661 | 2671 | 2681 | 2691 |
| -TC | GC GT | CC GT | GA TC | TC TT | GAT CC |
| 2701 | 2711 | 2721 | 2731 | 2741 | 2751 |
| -TG | AT CT | TtG CA | AA AA | CT AC | GTG GT |
| 2761 | 2771 | 2781 | 2791 | 2801 | 2811 |
|  | A | CT CT | GA A | GG C | A |

```
2821 2831 2841 2851 2861 
    -CAAATACTGT CCTTCTAGTG TAGCCGTAGT TAGGCCACCA CTTCAAGAAC TCTGTAGCAC-
2881 2891 2901 2911 2921 2931
    -CGCCTACATA CCTCGCTCTG CTAATCCTGT TACCAGTGGC TGCTGCCAGT GGCGATAAGT-
2941 2951 2961 2971 2981 2991
    -CGTGTCTTAC CGGGTTGGAC TCAAGACGAT AGTTACCGGA TAAGGCGCAG CGGTCGGGCT-
3001 3011 3021 3031 3041 
    -GAACGGGGGG TTCGTGCACA CAGCCCAGCT TGGAGCGAAC GACCTACACC GAACTGAGAT-
3061 3071 3081 3091 3101 311 
    -ACCTACAGCG TGAGCATTGA GAAAGCGCCA CGCTTCCCGA AGGGAGAAAG GCGGACAGGT-
3121 3131 3141 3151 3161 
    -ATCCGGTAAG CGGCAGGGTC GGAACAGGAG AGCGCACGAG GGAGCTTCCA GGGGGAAACG-
3181 3191 3201 3211 3221 3231
    -CCTGGTATCT TTATAGTCCT GTCGGGTTTC GCCACCTCTG ACTTGAGCGT CGATTTTTGT-
3241 3251 3261 3271 3281 
    -GATGCTCGTC AGGGGGGCGG AGCCTATGGA AAAACGCCAG CAACGCGGCC TTTTTACGGT-
3301 3311 3321 3331 3341 3351
    -TCCTGGCCTT TTGCTGGCCT TTTGCTCACA TGTTCTTTCC TGCGTTATCC CCTGATTCTG-
3361 3371 3381 3391 3401 3411
    -TGGATAACCG tATTACCGCC TTTGAGTGAG CTGATACCGC TCGCCGCAGC CGAACGACCG-
3421 3431 3441 3451
    -AGCGCAGCGA GTCAGTGAGC GAGGAAGCGG AAG-3'
Note: The underlined region is the L2Hs eiement.
```


## APPENDIX D
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$1381 \quad 1391 \quad 1401 \quad 1411431$
-ACAGAAAAGC ATCTTACGGA TGGCATGACA GTAAGAGAAT TATGCAGTGC TGCCATAACC$1441 \quad 1451 \quad 1461 \quad 1471 \quad 1481 \quad 1491$
-ATGAGTGATA ACACTGCGGC CAACTTACTT CTGACAACGA TCGGAGGACC GAAGGAGCTA-
150115111521 1531 1551
-ACCGCTTTTT TGCACAACAT GGGGGATCAT GTAACTCGCC TTGATCGTTG GGAACCGGAG$156115711581 \quad 1591 \quad 16011$ -CTGAATGAAG CCATACCAAA CGACGAGCGT GACACCACGA TGCCTGTAGC AATGGCAACA$16211631 \quad 1641$ 1651 1661 -ACGTTGCGCA AACTATTAAC TGGCGAACTA CTTACTCTAG CTTCCCGGCA ACAATTAATA$16811691 \quad 1711 \quad 1721 \quad 1731$ -GACTGGPTGG AGGCGGATAA AGTTGCAGGA CCACTTCTGC GCTCGGCCCT TCCGGCTGGC1741175117611781 1791 -TGGTTTATTG CTGATAAATC TGGAGCCGGT GAGCGTGGGT CTCGCGGTAT CATTGCAGCA18011811182118311851 -CTGGGGCCAG ATGGTAAGCC CTCCCGTATC GTAGTTATCT ACACGACGGG GAGTCAGGCA1861 1871 1881 1891 1911 -ACTATGGATG AACGAAATAG ACAGATCGCT GAGATAGGTG CCTCACTGAT TAAGCATTGG19211931 1941 1951 1971 -TAACTGTCAG ACCAAGTTTA CTCATATATA CTTTAGATTG ATTTAAAACT TCATTTTTAA1981200120112031 -TTTAAAAGGA TCTAGGTGAA GATCCTTTTT GATAATCTCA TGACCAAAAT CCCTTAACGT$20412051 \quad 2061 \quad 2071 \quad 2091$ -GAGTTTTCGT TCCACTGAGC GTCAGACCCC GTAGAAAAGA TCAAAGGATC TTCTTGAGAT$210121112121 \quad 2131 \quad 2151$ -CCTTTTTTTC TGCGCGTAAT CTGCTGCTTG CAAACAAAAA AACCACCGCT ACCAGCGGTG$216121712181 \quad 2191 \quad 2211$ -GTTTGTTTGC CGGATCAAGA GCTACCAACT CTTTTTCCGA AGGTAACTGG CTTCAGCAGA$22212231 \quad 2241 \quad 2261 \quad 2271$ -GCGCAGATAC CAAATACTGT CCTTCTAGTG TAGCCGTAGT TAGGCCACCA CTTCAAGAAC2281229123112321 -TETGTAGCAC EGCCTACATA ECTCGCTCTG CTAATCCTGT TACCAGTGGC TGCTGCCAGT$23412351 \quad 2371 \quad 2381 \quad 2391$ -GGCGATAAGT CGTGTCTTAC CGGGTTGGAC TCAAGACGAT AGTTACCGGA TAAGGCGCAG$240124112421 \quad 2431 \quad 2451$ -CGGTCGGGCT GAACGGGGGG TTCGTGCACA CAGCCCAGCT TGGAGCGAAC GACCTACACC2461 2471 248i 2491 2501 211 -GAACTGAGAT ACCTACAGCG TGAGCATTGA GAAAGCGCCA CGCTTCCCGA AGGGAGAAAG25212531254125512571 -GCGGACAGGT ATCCGGTAAG CGGCAGGGTC GGAACAGGAG AGCGCACGAG GGAGCTTCCA258125912601262112631 -GgGGGAAACG CCTGGTATCT TTATAGTCCT GTCGGGTTTC GCCACCTCTG ACTTGAGCGT$26412651 \quad 2661 \quad 2671 \quad 2691$ -CGATTTTTGT GATGCTCGTC AGGGGGGCGG AGCCTATGGA AAAACGCCAG CAACGCGGCC27012711272127312751 -TTTTTACGGT TCCTGGCCTT TTGCTGGCCT TTTGCTCACA TGTTCTTTCC TGCGTTATCC2761277127212811 -CCTGATTCTG TGGATAACCG TATTACCGCC TTTGAGTGAG CTGATACCGC TCGCCGCAGC$28212831 \quad 2841 \quad 2861$ -CGAACGACCG AGCGCAGCGA GTCAGTGAGC GAGGAAGCGG AAG-3'

## APPENDIX E
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The äpni restriction site is underlined. This 595 bp sequence includes the uncleaved, entire KpnI restriction site.

VITA.<br>Eugenia Lee Rosey

| Personal Daca: | Place of Birth: Atlanta, Georgia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Education: | Public Schools, Fulton and DeKalb County Schools: Atlanta and Decatur, Georgia |
|  | Wesleyan College, Macon, Georgia; piano performance, B.M., 1976 |
|  | University of Hartford, West Hartford, Connecticut; piano and piano ᄃeaching, M.M., 1981 |
|  | Graduate and Post-baccalaureate studies (no degree): Emory University, Atlanta ,Georgia (summer |
|  | 1976): German and aesthetics in Vienna, Austria Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia (19761977): Graduate work in piano performance and music history |
|  | Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia (19881990): Undergraduate work in science and mathematics |
|  | East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee (1991-1992): Undergraduate work in science and mathematics |
|  | East Tennessee State University, Johnson City, Tennessee (1992-1998); biomedical science with a concentration in biochemistry and molecular biology, Ph.D., 1998 |
| Professional Experience: | Studio accompanist for Peter Harrower, |
|  | Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia, 1976-1977. |
|  | Piano teacher, The Renbrook School, West Hartford, Connecticut, 1980-1981 |
|  | Substitute teacher, DeKalb County Public Schools, Cardston, Georgia, 1982 |
|  | Piano teacher, Jim Scott Organ and Piano Studios, Atlanta, Georgia, 1982-1987 |
|  | Piano reacher, The Gwent School of Music, Lilburn, Georgia, 1983-1989 |
|  | Piano teacher, The Piano Studio, Atlanta, Georgia, 1983-1988 |
|  | Organist and choral accompanist at churches in Connecticut, Georgia and Tennessee, 1976-1997 |
|  | Graduate Assistant, East Tennessee State University, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 1992-1996 |
|  | Tutor in Biochemistry, East Tennessee State |
|  | University, Department of Biochemistry and |
|  | Molecular Biology, 1993-1996 |
| ?ublications: | Musich, P.R., Posey, E.I. and Patel, A. (1995). Electrotransfer of long range $T M$ sequencing gels using a methanol-TBE buffer. BioTechniques 19: 382-384. |


| Abstracts: | Posey, E.L., Ratnasinghe, D.D., Jordan, S., Musich, P.R. (1994). Analysis of human DNA evolution via a recombinant plasmid. fASEB 8: A1443. <br> Posey, E.L., Ratnasinghe, D.D., Jordan, S., Musich, P.R. (1994). Analysis of the replicative evolution of human DNA via recombinant plasmids. Tench Annual Research Forum, East Tennessee State University, College of Medicine. <br> Posey, E.L. Musich, R.R.(1996). Instability of a plasmid carrying a human repetitive DNA element. Twelfth Annual Research Eorum, East Tennessee Stace University, College of Medicine. <br> Posey, E.L., Musich, P.R.(1997). Illicit recombination enhances antibiotic resistance in E. coli. Thirteenth Annual Research Forum, East Tennessee State University, College of Medicine. |
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[^0]:    Cell Strains and Genotypes That May Affect Genome Stability
    Because the experimental methods in this dissertation involve cloning an element rich in inverted repeats and palindromic sequences into $E$. coli hosts, it is worthwhile

[^1]:    New England Biolabs
    ${ }^{2}$ Integrated DNA Technologies
    ${ }^{3}$ Millipore

[^2]:    Figure ll. Size analysis of linearized pN2 subclone DNAs. Subclone plasmid DNAs were linearized by SspI cleavage and analyzed on $1 \%$ agarose gels. SspI produces fragments 3.32 kb and 131 bp (too small to resolve on $1 \%$ agarose gels) from intact pN2. Gel A is p2 pN2 subclones 2 through 7. Gel B is the p5 pN2 subclones. Gel $C$ is pl4 pN2 subclones. Gel D is p28 pN2 subclones. The sizes of the marker DNA fragments are indicated alongside the gels.

[^3]:    - = Band is absent
    + = Band is present
    NR = The band is too small to be resolved on a $5 \%$ acrylamide gel
    New = New band is present that is different from the expected bands and its size is estimated relative to control bands

