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#### Abstract

Parameter Estimation for a Modified Cable Model Using a Green's Function and


Eigenvalue Perturbation

by

Scott La Voie

In this thesis we developed the Green's Function for a tapered equivalent cylinder model of dendritic electrical propagation. We then use the Green's Function to develop a Carleman linear embedding scheme which is used to estimate the effects of a nonlinear ion channel hot-spot on the tapered cylinder solution. Mathematica ${ }^{\circledR}$ was used to implement the Carleman embedding scheme.
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## LIST OF SYMBOLS

| $x$ | Distance along the cable (cm) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $t$ | Time (s) |
| $R_{s}$ | Resistance of the soma $(\Omega)$ |
| $R_{D}$ | Resistance of the dendritic cable $(\Omega)$ |
| $C_{s}$ | Somatic capacitance (F) |
| $R_{N}=R_{s} R_{D} /\left(R_{s}+R_{D}\right)$ | Input resistance of the neuron $(\Omega)$ |
| $R_{m}$ | Membrane specific resistance $\left(\Omega / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| $C_{m}$ | Membrane specific capacitance $(\mathrm{F} \Omega)$ |
| $R_{i}$ | Intracellular specific resistance $(\Omega \mathrm{cm})$ |
| $R_{m s}$ | Somatic specific resistance $\left(\Omega \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| $R_{m D}$ | Dendritic specific resistance $\left(\Omega \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ |
| $d$ | Diameter of cable (cm) |
| $\lambda=\left(R_{M} d /\left(4 R_{i}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ | Space constant (cm) |
| $\tau_{m}=R_{m} C_{m}$ | Time constant (s) |
| $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{x} / \lambda$ | Electrotonic distance (dimensionless) |
| $T=t / \tau_{m}$ | Dimensionsless time variable |
| $V(X, T)$ | Electrotonic potential (resting assumed 0)(V) |
| $l$ | Physical length of cable (cm) |
| $L=l / \lambda$ | Electrotonic length of cable (dimensionless) |
| $\tau_{s}=R_{s} C_{s}$ | Somatic time constant (s) |
| $\sigma=\tau_{s} / \tau_{m} \in(o, 1]$ | Somatic shunt parameter (dimensionless) |
| $r_{i}=4 R_{i} /\left(\pi d^{2}\right)$ | Intracellular resistance per unit length $(\Omega / \mathrm{cm})$ |
| $\gamma=\rho_{\infty}=R_{s} /\left(\lambda r_{i}\right)$ | Dendritic to somatic conductance ratio for semi-infinite |
| $\rho=\gamma \tanh (L)=R_{s} / R_{D}$ | cables (dimensionless) |
| Dendritic to somatic conductance ratio (Dimensionless) |  |
| $V_{s}(T)$ | Electrotonic potential at the soma (V) |
| $I_{0}$ | Magnitude of applied current at the soma (A) |
| $I_{\text {syn }}(T)$ | Synaptic current (A) |
| $T_{0}$ | Maximum width (duration) for which the synaptic current |
| $g(T)$ | is activated (dimensionless) |
| $\beta$ | Synaptic conductance change $(\mho)$ |
| $\alpha=\beta \lambda r_{i}$ | Maximum amplitude of synaptic conductance change $\mho$ |
| $K$ | Positive parameter (dimensionless) |
| Separation constant |  |

## 1 Introduction to Neuron Models

A neuron has three major components: the soma or cell body, the axon, and the dendrites which connect to the axon of other neurons at the synapses. Electrical flow occurs from the axon of one neuron to the dendrites of another through neurotransmitters where it sums either spatially or temporally until a threshold is reached and the neuron then fires down its own axon and onto the dendrites of other neurons. The modelling of neurons is of particular interest because robust models allow for faster, more cost-effective research as opposed to using real neurons from animals.


Figure 1: Main components of a typical neuron and synaptic gap.

### 1.1 Historical Notes

Interest in determining electrical properties of neurons dates back to Galvani and Volta [11]. Cable theory now dominates the research in studying electrical properties of neurons. Lord Kelvin (also known as Professor William Thomson) created cable theory while in correspondence with Professor Stokes to solve the problems faced with the transatlantic telegraph cable then being planned[5]. A very important property of cable theory is that it allows for the reduction to a single spacial dimension along
the cable.
Tremendous advances in both the mathematical description of cables as well as the experimental techniques have occurred in the last 100 years. Some of the more important aspects are the use of single axon preparations and the development of space and voltage clamps. There have been two predominant views of cable theory since 1945, one by Hodgkin \& Rushton and the other Davis \& Lorente de Nó [11].

The modelling work aforementioned was developed with cylinders. Dendrites, however, actually taper, which is caused by a deviation from the $3 / 2$ power law at the branch points [8]. The work completed in this thesis leads to a modified taperedcylinder model.

### 1.1.1 Definitions

A space clamp is a technique in which membrane potentials are isolated from all other voltage dependent variables, which allows for the study of time dependent membrane potentials since all spacial potentials are set to zero. The technique involves inserting a long electrode with very low resistance per unit length in the axon, which is immersed in a solution of very high conductivity.

A voltage clamp is a technique in which the membrane voltage is controlled (fixed) in order to study the normal feedback that occurs between voltage and current. The technique involves the use of two electrodes, one to keep the membrane potential fixed and the other to measure the change in potential across the membrane.

The somatic shunt, or a diverted potential to the soma, is a condition thought to be caused in experiments by the damage due to penetration of the membrane by
potential measuring instruments. Under specific assumptions the shunt boundary condition is derived.

Rall's equivalent cylinder model is a powerful simplifying reduction of branching dendrites to one equivalent cylinder. The advantage is that properties of a whole dendritic tree can be studied with well developed mathematical analysis [11].

### 1.1.2 Research Overview

This thesis consists of four major parts: development of model, eigenvalue perturbation for a restricted model, a Green's function approach to solving the problem (the main work of the thesis), and future plans.

The development of the model consisted of an introduction to the biological and physical aspects of the problem as well as the development of the mathematical model used to estimate parameters of interest (potentials, currents, conductance, etc.)

The eigenvalue perturbation for a restricted model was a standard technique approach to the somatic shunt. The purpose of this part of the research was to gain a base-level understanding of the research area.

The main work consisted of a Green's function approach to solving the cable equation. The use of a Green's function solves a less restricted cable model and introduces a nonlinearity (a hot spot.) The embedding of the nonlinearity from the main equation into one of the boundary conditions renders the problem more accessible.

In the future work we will adapt this work to Poznanski's persistent sodium channel model. [10]

### 1.2 Cable Equation

The cable equation is the mathematical model by which electrical properties of the neuron are modelled. The equation is a second-order, nonlinear, nonhomogeneous partial differential equation.

### 1.2.1 Derivation

A dendritic segment is modelled by a cylinder with a membrane and a lumped soma.


Figure 2: Lumped soma RC circuit model.

The currents through a small patch are given by the membrane current density $I_{m}$, the external current densities $I(x, t)$ and the axial current directed toward the soma. In particular, on a small patch we have

$$
I_{m}-\Delta I_{\text {axial }}=-I(x, t)
$$

where the membrane current $I_{m}$ is a sum of a capacitative current and a current
across the membrane resistance. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m} \frac{d V}{d t}+\frac{V}{r_{m}}-\Delta I_{\text {axial }}=-I(x, t) \Delta x \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the axial current can be modelled by approximating the cylinder in the following diagram:


Figure 3: Cylinder and RC circuit equivalence.

Using $r_{m}=R_{m} \Delta x, c_{m}=C_{m} \Delta x$ for the membrane resistance and $r_{i}=R_{i} \Delta x$ as the internal resistance we see

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{\text {axial }}=\frac{V(x+\Delta x)-V(x)}{R_{i} \triangle x} \Longrightarrow \lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} I_{\text {axial }}=\frac{1}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} . \\
\left(C_{m} \frac{d V}{d t}+\frac{V}{R_{m}}\right) \Delta x-\Delta I_{\text {axial }}=-I(x, t) \Delta x \\
C_{m} \frac{d V}{d t}+\frac{V}{R_{m}}-\frac{\Delta I_{\text {axial }}}{\Delta x}=-I(x, t) . \tag{1.2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Empirical evidence implies that intracellular fluid and membrane thickness are uniform. Thus, internal resistance $R_{i}$ and membrane capacitance $C_{m}$ may be assumed to be constant. Now (1.2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{m} \frac{d V}{d t}+\frac{V}{R_{m}}-\frac{1}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}} & =-I(x, t) \\
\frac{R_{m}}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}-\tau_{m} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}-V & =I(x, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau_{m}=C_{m} R_{m}$ is the membrane time constant. If we let $\lambda^{2}=\frac{R_{m}}{R_{i}}$, then $\mathrm{X}=\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\lambda}$ transforms our model into dimensionless form:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \mathrm{X}^{2}}-\tau_{m} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t}-V=I(x, t)
$$

If we assumed sealed ends (i.e., no current flow), then the boundary conditions and initial condition are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathrm{X}}(0, t) & =0 \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathrm{X}}(l, t) & =0 \\
V(\mathrm{X}, 0) & =V_{\text {in }} \equiv \text { constant. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using subscript notation, our system to be solved is then

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{x x}-\tau_{m} V_{t}-V & =I(x, t)  \tag{1.2.3}\\
V_{x}(0, t) & =0 \\
V(l, t) & =0 \\
V(x, 0) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.3 Delta Function Justification

This justification is provided by Dr. Jeff Knisley and can be found in [12].
Assume a cable of length $l$ with a point source at $x_{0} \in(0, l)$. For each $\varepsilon>0$, let $V(x, t)$ be a solution to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} & =\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}+k \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}-V  \tag{1.3.1}\\
V_{x}(0, t) & =V_{x}(l, t)=0  \tag{1.3.2}\\
V(x, \varepsilon) & =\frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)} \tag{1.3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} V(x, \varepsilon) & =0 \text { if } x \neq x_{0}  \tag{1.3.4}\\
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, \varepsilon) d x & =1 \tag{1.3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

(i.e., it is a Gaussian probability distribution for each $\varepsilon>0$ ). This allows the following:

Proposition 1.1 If $f(x) \in C^{0}[0, l]$, if $f(x)=0$ for $x<0$ or $x>l_{0}$, and if $V$ satisfies (1.3.4) and (1.3.5), then

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{0}^{l} V(x, \varepsilon) f(x) d x=f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

## Proof:

Let's first notice that we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{l} V(x, t) f(x) d x= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, t) f(x) d x \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, t) f\left(x_{0}\right) d x+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, t)\left[f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d x \\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, t) f\left(x_{0}\right) d x+\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash(a, b)} V(x, t)\left[f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d x \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} V(x, t)\left[f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(a, b)$ is an interval on which $\left|f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, \varepsilon) f\left(x_{0}\right) d x=f\left(x_{0}\right) \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x, \varepsilon) d x=f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

In addition, it is easy to show that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash(a, b)} V(x, \varepsilon)\left[f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d x=0
$$

and also that

$$
\left|\int_{a}^{b} V(x, \varepsilon)\left[f(x)-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right] d x\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2} /\left(2 \varepsilon^{2}\right)} d x \rightarrow 0
$$

as $\varepsilon$ approaches 0 .

In the sequel, we will use the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} & =\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}+k \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}-V  \tag{1.3.6}\\
V_{x}(0, t) & =V_{x}(l, t)=0  \tag{1.3.7}\\
V(x, 0) & =\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{1.3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

to denote the family of functions that satisfy (1.3.1), (1.3.2), (1.3.3), (1.3.4), and (1.3.5).

### 1.4 Green's Function Concept

The following justification is provided by Dr. Jeff Knisley and can be found in [12].
Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a differential operator with domain $D \subset L^{2}[0, l]$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is unbounded since

$$
\frac{\left\|\mathcal{L} x^{n}\right\|}{\left\|x^{n}\right\|}=o(n)
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose now that the spectrum of $\mathcal{L}$ is discrete. Then the resolvent is

$$
\rho(\mathcal{L})=\left\{z \in C \mid(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} B L O \text { on } L^{2}[0, l]\right\}
$$

is uncountable and the resolvent operator $R_{z}=(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1}$ can be considered a continuous function of $z$. It follows that $(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} f=g$ is a solution to the nonhomogeneous differential equation

$$
z g-\mathcal{L} g=f
$$

Moreover, since

$$
f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) \delta(x-u) d u
$$

then it follows that

$$
\left((z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} f\right)(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} \delta(x-u) d u .
$$

Now we let $G(x, z, u)$ be the solution to

$$
(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} \delta(x-u)=G
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
z G-\mathcal{L} G=\delta(x-u)
$$

Then $G$ is called the Green's function of $\mathcal{L}$ and it follows that

$$
\left((z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} f\right)(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x, u, z) f(u) d u
$$

It is important to point out that Duhamel's principle [14] allows us to modify our definition of the Green's function. In particular, suppose that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{t}-(z I-\mathcal{L}) V & =F(x) \\
V(x, 0) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and let us suppose that we let $W(x, t)=V(x, t)-(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} F(x)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{t}-(z I-\mathcal{L}) W & =V_{t}-(z I-\mathcal{L}) V-(z I-\mathcal{L})(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} F(x) \\
& =F(x)-F(x) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $W(x, 0)=0-(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} F(x)$. That is, our original non-homogenous equation becomes

$$
W_{t}-(z I-\mathcal{L}) W=0
$$

$$
W(x, 0)=-(z I-\mathcal{L})^{-1} F(x) .
$$

We thus define a Green's function to be the solution of the main partial differential equation with the delta function imbedded within the initial conditions.

## 2 Preliminary Work

### 2.1 Eigenvalue Perturbation

### 2.1.1 Introduction

In the early stages of the research we used perturbation to solve the hot spot by linearizing with the Laplace transform technique. The problem statement was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{m}}{r_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}=r_{m} c_{m} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial t}+V_{j}-r_{m_{j}} g_{j}\left(x_{j}, t\right) \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{j}\left(x_{i}, t\right)$ is the internal ion density and where $r_{j}$ is the internal resistance.
To illustrate the Laplace Transform method we assume $g_{j}\left(x_{i}, t\right)=0$. We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{m}}{r_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} V_{j}}{\partial x^{2}}=r_{m} c_{m} \frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial t}+V_{j} . \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Re-scaling in $x$ and letting $\tau_{m}=r_{m} c_{m}[7]$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}=\tau_{m} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} & +V  \tag{2.1.3}\\
V(0, t)-\gamma V_{x}(0, t)+\sigma V_{t}(0, t) & =0 \\
V_{x}(l, t) & =0 \\
V(x, 0) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

which corresponds to the simplification of just one cylinder and a voltage depolarization in the initial conditions.

Using the Laplace operator on derivatives, $\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{d f}{d t}\right]=s \hat{f}(s)-f(0)[6]$ we get the Laplace transform of (2.1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \hat{V}}{\partial x^{2}}=s \tau_{s} \hat{V}-V(x, 0)+\hat{V} \tag{2.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Working with just one cylinder, the initial assumption (constant $V_{i n}$ ) implies that $V(x, 0)=0$ so (2.1.4) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \hat{V}}{\partial x^{2}}=\left(s \tau_{s}+1\right) \hat{V}  \tag{2.1.5}\\
& V(0, t)-\gamma V_{x}(0, t)+\sigma V_{t}(0, t)=0  \tag{2.1.6}\\
& V_{x}(l, t)=0 \\
& V(x, 0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where (2.1.6) is the somatic shunt boundary condition [7]. The homogenous form of (2.1.5) has the general solution $\hat{V}(x)=A \cosh [\beta(l-x)]+B \sinh [\beta(l-x)]$ where $\beta^{2}=s \tau_{m}+1$. The boundary conditions imply $B=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{\left(1-\alpha \tau_{s}\right) \cosh (\beta l)+\gamma \beta \sinh (\beta l)} \tag{2.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to (2.1.5) is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}(x, s)=A \cosh (\beta l)=\frac{\cosh (\beta l)}{\left(1-\alpha \tau_{s}\right) \cosh (\beta l)+\gamma \beta \sinh (\beta l)} . \tag{2.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.2 Eigenvalue Equation

Separating variables in (2.1.5) yields a solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x, t)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{j}(x) e^{-\alpha_{j} t} \tag{2.1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in Laplace space is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}(x, s)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{j}(x)}{s+\alpha_{j}} \tag{2.1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\alpha_{j}$ 's are simple poles of $\hat{V}$ with residues $c_{j}$. The $\alpha_{j}$ 's are the zeros of the denominator of the Laplace space solution (2.1.8).

Next we convert the hyperbolic functions to trigonometric functions by letting $s=-\alpha$ and using the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cosh (i \theta)=\cos (\theta) \\
& \sinh (i \theta)=i \sin (\theta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we convert (2.1.8)

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{V}(x, s) & =\frac{\cosh (\beta l)}{\left(1-\alpha \tau_{s}\right) \cosh (\beta l)+\gamma \beta \sinh (\beta l)}  \tag{2.1.11}\\
& \Downarrow \\
\hat{V}(x, s) & =\frac{\cos \left(\beta_{1} l\right)}{\left(1-\alpha \tau_{s}\right) \cos \left(\beta_{1} l\right)-\gamma \beta_{1} \sin (\beta l)} \tag{2.1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=+\sqrt{\alpha \tau_{m}-1}$.

### 2.1.3 Perturbation Assumption

The eigenvalues are the poles of the Laplace Transform of the solution [6], and are thus solutions to

$$
\cos \left(\beta_{1} l\right)\left(1-\alpha \tau_{s}\right)-\gamma \beta_{1} \sin \left(\beta_{1} l\right)=0
$$

Empirical evidence implies that $\tau_{s} \ll \tau_{m}$. Let $\tau_{s}=\varepsilon \tau_{m}$ for $\varepsilon \approx 0$. Then it can be shown that $\gamma=\varepsilon k$ for some constant $k$. Our eigenvalue equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(\beta_{1} l\right)\left(1-\alpha \tau_{s}\right)-\varepsilon k \beta_{1} \sin \left(\beta_{1} l\right)=0 \tag{2.1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1.4 Perturbation Scheme

If we let $x=\beta_{1}$, the eigenvalues are solutions of $f(x, \varepsilon)=0$ where

$$
f(x)=\left(x^{2}+1\right) \varepsilon \cos (x l)-\cos (x l)+k \varepsilon x \sin (x l)
$$

We assume a power series expansion in $x$

$$
x=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}
$$

and note that since $a_{0}$ is a solution of $f(x, 0)=0$, this implies that $a_{0}$ is a solution to $\cos (x l)=0$, so $a_{0}=\frac{n \pi}{2 l}$ for odd $n$.

### 2.2 Estimate of Eigenvalues

### 2.2.1 Recursion Scheme

Using Maple ${ }^{\circledR}$, the expansion contains five terms and the coefficients for $\varepsilon^{n}$ were collected. The results were

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=\frac{n \pi}{2 l} \\
& a_{1}=\frac{n \pi}{l}\left(\frac{k l}{4}-\frac{k}{2 l}\right) \\
& a_{2}=\frac{n^{2}}{\pi^{2}} 4 l^{2}-\frac{k}{l} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, to second order, the eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}=\frac{n \pi}{2 l}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{n \pi}{l}\left(\frac{k l}{4}-\frac{k}{2 l}\right)\right)+\varepsilon^{2}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{\pi^{2}} 4 l^{2}-\frac{k}{l}\right) \\
& \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{\tau_{m}}\left(x_{n}^{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These eigenvalues can be used to get the final solution of $V$ by substituting into (2.1.9).

## 3 Equation of Interest

### 3.1 Hot-spot Model and Green's Function

We use a modified cable model to represent a tapered equivalent cylinder model with a single hot spot. In particular, if $u(x, t)$ is the membrane voltage at a distance $x$ from the soma at time $t$, then $u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+k \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}-\tau \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}-u(x, t)=y(t) \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)  \tag{3.1.1}\\
& u_{x}(0, t)=0 \\
& u_{x}(l, t)=0 \\
& u(x, 0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $y(t)$ is the voltage at the sodium channel hot spot. In order to solve this, we need to find a Green's Function satisfying the following:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} G(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+k \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial x}-\tau \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial t}-G(x, t)=0  \tag{3.1.2}\\
G_{x}(0, t)=0 \\
G_{x}(l, t)=0 \\
G(x, 0)=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume $G(x, t)$ is separable so that $G(x, t)=\Phi(x) T(t)$. Equation (3.1.2) then becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi^{\prime \prime} T+k \Phi^{\prime}-\tau \Phi T^{\prime}-\Phi T=0  \tag{3.1.3}\\
\Phi^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
\Phi^{\prime}(l)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Separating variables yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Phi^{\prime \prime}+k \Phi^{\prime}-\Phi}{\tau \Phi}=-\beta^{2}  \tag{3.1.5}\\
\frac{T^{\prime}}{T}=-\beta^{2} . \tag{3.1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

The solution of (3.1.6) is clearly $T(t)=e^{-\beta^{2} t}$. The solution to (3.1.7) follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Phi^{\prime \prime}+k \Phi^{\prime}-\Phi}{\tau \Phi}=-\beta^{2} \\
\Phi^{\prime \prime}+k \Phi^{\prime}-\Phi=-\beta^{2} \tau \Phi \\
\Phi^{\prime \prime}+k \Phi^{\prime}-\Phi\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right) \Phi=0 . \tag{3.1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

The characteristic equation for (3.1.7) is $r^{2}+k r+\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right)=0$ which has roots

$$
r=\frac{-k \pm \sqrt{k^{2}-4\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right)}}{2} .
$$

We know that the discriminant $k^{2}-4\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right)<0$ so the roots of $r$ in complex notation are $r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-k+2 \imath \sqrt{\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right)-\frac{-k^{2}}{4}}\right)$ and $r_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-k-2 \imath \sqrt{\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right) \frac{-k^{2}}{4}}\right)$.

Using $r=a \pm b \imath$ we see that $a=-\frac{k}{2}$ and $b=\sqrt{\left(\tau \beta^{2}-1\right)-\frac{k^{2}}{4}}$. The solution to (3.1.7) now has the general form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi(x)=e^{a x}(A \cos (b x)+B \sin (b x))  \tag{3.1.8}\\
\Phi^{\prime}(0)=0 \\
\Phi^{\prime}(l)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The derivative of $\Phi$ is

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=e^{a x}\{[-A b \sin (b x)+B b \cos (b x)]+a[A \cos (b x)+B \sin (b x)]\} .
$$

Here we make change of variable letting $x \rightarrow l-x$ so

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(x)=e^{a x}\{[-A b \sin (b(l-x))+B b \cos (b(l-x))]+a[A \cos (b x)+B \sin (b x)]\} .
$$

Applying the boundary conditions, we see

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi^{\prime}(l)=0 \Longrightarrow A=-B \frac{b}{a} \\
\Phi^{\prime}(0)=e^{a l}\left[B \sin (b l)\left(-1-\frac{b^{2}}{a}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second condition above leads to an expression for $\beta$. If we consider the factor $\sin (b l)=0$ then for $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get $b=\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}$. If we let $\beta=\beta_{n}$ then from above we see

$$
\begin{gather*}
b=\frac{n \pi}{l} \\
\sqrt{\left(\tau \beta_{n}^{2}-1\right)-\frac{k^{2}}{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l} . \tag{3.1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.1.9) we get for $\beta_{n}$

$$
\beta_{n}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} \frac{4 \mathrm{n}^{2} \pi^{2}+4 l^{2}+k^{2} l^{2}}{4 l^{2}}}
$$

and so $\Phi(x)$ is

$$
\Phi(x)=e^{\frac{k(x-l)}{2}}[B \sin (b(l-x))] .
$$

The separated solution for the Green's function at this point is

$$
G(x, t)=\Phi(x) T(t)=B e^{-\frac{k(l-x)}{2}}\left[\sin \left(\left(\sqrt{\left(\tau \beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}-1\right)-\frac{k^{2}}{4}}\right)(l-x)\right)\right] e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} t}
$$

Thus, with an infinite sum over index $n$, the generated solution for the Green's function which is of the form

$$
G(x, t)=\Phi(x) T(t)=\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} b_{\mathrm{n}} e^{-\frac{k x}{2}}\left[\sin \left(\left(\sqrt{\left(\tau \beta_{n}^{2}-1\right)-\frac{k^{2}}{4}}\right)(l-x)\right)\right] e^{-\beta_{n}^{2} t}
$$

where $\beta_{\mathrm{n}}=B e^{-\frac{k l}{2}}$. To find $b_{\mathrm{n}}$ we note that $G(x, 0)=\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)$. For example, if $k=0$ (i.e., the cylinder is not tapered), then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{l} \int_{0}^{l} G(x, 0) \sin \left[\left(\frac{N \pi}{l}(l-x)\right)\right] d x & =\sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} b_{\mathrm{n}} \int_{0}^{l} \sin \left(\frac{N \pi}{l}(l-x)\right) \sin \left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}(l-x)\right) d x \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \sin \left[\left(\frac{N \pi}{l}(l-x)\right)\right] d x & =l b_{N} \\
\frac{1}{l} \sin \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}\left(l-x_{0}\right)\right)\right] & =b_{N} \tag{3.1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we get

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x, t) & =\frac{2}{l} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty} \sin \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}\left(l-x_{0}\right)\right)\right] \sin \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}(l-x)\right)\right] e^{\frac{-k(l-x)}{2}-\beta_{n}^{2} t} \\
& =\frac{1}{l} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left\{\cos \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}\left(l-x_{0}\right)\right)\right]-\cos \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}\left(2 l-x-x_{0}\right)\right)\right]\right\} e^{\frac{-k(l-x)}{2}-\beta_{n}^{2} t} . \tag{3.1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

To get the full solution $u(x, t)$ we use a convolution with the Green's function.

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x, t) & =\int_{0}^{t} G(x, t-p) y(p) d p \\
& =\frac{1}{l} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\infty}\left\{\cos \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}\left(l-x_{0}\right)\right)\right]-\cos \left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{n} \pi}{l}\left(2 l-x-x_{0}\right)\right)\right]\right\} \times \\
& e^{\frac{-k(l-x)}{2}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{n}^{2} t-p} y(p) d p \tag{3.1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

As an application of the solution we can determine the voltage term $V(t)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
V(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} G(x, t-p) y(p) d p \\
V_{x} & =\int_{0}^{t} G_{x}(x, t-p) y(p) d p \\
V_{x x} & =\int_{0}^{t} G_{x x}(x, t-p) y(p) d p \\
V_{t} & =G(x, 0) y(t)+\int_{0}^{t} G_{t}(x, t-p) y(p) d p \\
V_{x x}-\tau V_{t}-V & =\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) y(t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left(G_{x x}-\tau G_{t}-G\right)(t-p) y(p) d p \\
V_{x x}-\tau V_{t}-V & =\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) y(t) \tag{3.1.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which has the solution

$$
V(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta^{2}(t-p)} y(p) d p
$$

### 3.2 Embedding Method and Recursion Scheme

A simplified model of the hot spot voltage $y(t)$ is given by

$$
y^{\prime}=a y+m b\left(y-V_{\mathrm{NaP}}\right)^{3} .
$$

To approximate the solution to the hot spot model we use a modified Carleman scheme [2]. In particular let $V_{\mathrm{n}}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(t-u)} \frac{y(u)^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!} d u$. The change of variable $w=$ $t-u \Longrightarrow u=t-w$ leads to

$$
V_{\mathrm{n}}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}(u)} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}}(t-u)}{\mathrm{n}!} d u .
$$

It follows that the derivative of $V_{\mathrm{n}}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}= & e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{(\mathrm{n}-1)!} y^{\prime}(t-u) d u \\
= & e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{(\mathrm{n}-1)!}\left[a y+m b\left(y-V_{\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{P}}\right)^{3}\right] d u \\
= & e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} t}+\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{(\mathrm{n}-1)!}\left[a y+m b\left(y^{3}-3 y^{2} V_{\mathrm{NaP}}+3 y V_{\mathrm{NaP}}^{2}-V_{\mathrm{NaP}}^{3}\right)\right] d u \\
= & e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} t}+a \mathrm{n} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!} d u \\
& +m b \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+2) \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}+2}}{(\mathrm{n}+2)!} d u-3 m b \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) V_{\mathrm{NaP}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}+1}}{(\mathrm{n}+1)!} d u \\
& +3 m b \mathrm{n} V_{\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{P}}^{2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!} d u-V_{\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{P}}^{3} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} u} \frac{y^{\mathrm{n}-1}}{(\mathrm{n}-1)!} d u .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result is an infinite dimensional linear system of equations of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}=e^{-\beta_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} t}+-m b V_{\mathrm{NaP}}^{3} V_{\mathrm{n}-1}+\left(\mathrm{n}\left(a+3 m b V_{\mathrm{NaP}}^{2}\right)\right) V_{\mathrm{n}} \\
&-3 m b \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1) V_{\mathrm{NaP}} V_{\mathrm{n}+1}+m \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+1)(\mathrm{n}+2) V_{\mathrm{n}+2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now have a recursive scheme for the derivative of $V_{\mathrm{n}}$ which can be used to set up the system of equations needed to solve for $V_{1}$.

## 4 Conclusions and Future Work

The Carleman linearization worked well for a short period of time. The process became unstable thereafter as the matrix solution produced nearly singular matrices.

The next step in this project is to adapt this work to Poznanski's persistent sodium channel model [10]. This model has been shown to be an accurate representation of a large class of neurons. Currently, approximations are based on the solution to an equivalent Volterra integral equation. Moreover, the original equation must be linearized before transformed to a Volterra integral equation.

The embedding technique does not require a linearization. Moreover, adapting our techniques to other models requires only a modification of the embedding equation.
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## APPENDICES

# A Mathematica ${ }^{\text {© }}$ Code for the Eigenvalue Prob- 

## lem

lavoies.nb

- Computational Loop

```
Timing[For[i = 1, i \leq numMatr,
    (* Choose the size*)
    useMatrix =
    Take[workMatrix, {1, numMin + i-1}, {1, numMin + i-1}];
    useForceMatrix = Take[forceMatrix, {1, numMin +i-1}];
    useIdentityMatrix = IdentityMatrix[numMin +i - 1];
    ttime = 0.0; (* Start time loop *)
    inverseMatrix = Inverse[useMatrix + \beta}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ useIdentityMatrix];
    For[kk = 1, kk <= numTimeSteps,
            V = (MatrixExp[useMatrixttime] - e e-}\mp@subsup{|}{}{2}\mathrm{ ttime
            useIdentityMatrix).inverseMatrix.useForceMatrix;
            (* Here I need storage *)
            soln[[i, kk]] = V[[1]];
            ttime += timeStep;
            kk ++;
            ] (* end time loop *)
            i ++;
]](* End for i = 0, choose matrix size *)
```
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