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## Abstract

Examples are given of legendrian links in the manifold of cooriented contact elements of the plane, or equivalently, in the 1 -jet space of the circle which are not equivalent via an isotopy of contact diffeomorphisms. These examples have generalizations to linked legendrian spheres in contact manifolds diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times S^{n-1}$. These links are distinguished by applying the theory of generating functions to contact manifolds.

## 1. Introduction

A contact 3 -manifold is a smooth manifold with a field of tangent 2 -planes satisfying a non-degeneracy condition. This non-degeneracy implies the field of hyperplanes has no intregral surfaces. However, there are many integral curves, known as legendrian curves. A basic problem in contact topology is to classify legendrian curves up to contact isotopies of the ambient manifold. Legendrian knots are legendrian submanifolds diffeomorphic to $S^{1}$ and a legendrian link is a collection of disjoint legendrian knots. Background on legendrian knots can be found, for example, in $[\mathbf{B}],[\mathbf{E}],[\mathbf{A}]$.

Motivated by [A], this paper focuses on examples of standard links in the manifold of cooriented contact elements, a contact manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$. The components of the links will consist of the following legendrian knots in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, S^{1}:=\mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(1):=\left\{(\cos \theta, \sin \theta, \theta): \theta \in S^{1}\right\}, \\
& G(2):=\left\{(2 \cos \theta, 2 \sin \theta, \theta): \theta \in S^{1}\right\}, \\
& R(2):=\left\{(2 \cos \theta, 2 \sin \theta, \theta+\pi): \theta \in S^{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is often convenient to visualize these knots as quotients of right-handed helices in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of radii 1,2 , respectively. $R(2)$ and $G(2)$ differ only by a translation in the $S^{1}$ coordinate. The links

$$
G(1) \amalg G(2), \quad G(1) \amalg R(2)
$$

are topologically the same : there exists an isotopy of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ that takes $G(2)$ to $R(2)$ and at the same time returns $G(1)$ to $G(1)$. The legendrian knots $G(2)$ and $R(2)$ are equivalent via an isotopy of contact transformations. However,

[^0]Theorem. The legendrian links $G(1) \amalg G(2), G(1) \amalg R(2)$ are not equivalent via an isotopy of contact diffeomorphisms of the manifold of cooriented contact elements.
This result has a slightly more general formulation in the contact manifold $\mathscr{g}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$, the 1 -jet space of real-valued functions on a circle, with its standard contact structure. The 1 -jet of a smooth function $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\Lambda_{f}:=\left\{\left(q, \frac{d f}{d q}(q), f(q)\right)\right\} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right),
$$

is a legendrian knot. Links are naturally formed by considering tuples of functions.
Theorem'. Consider $f, g, h: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $f(q)<g(q)<h(q)$, for all $q \in S^{1}$. Then the legendrian links

$$
\Lambda_{g} \amalg \Lambda_{h}, \quad \Lambda_{g} \amalg \Lambda_{f}
$$

are topologically equivalent but not equivalent via an isotopy of contact transformations of $\mathscr{G}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$.

The theorems above are proved in Section 5 as an application of generating functions in contact topology. The proof that the above links are different is reminiscent of Viterbo's proof of the symplectic camel theorem, [V].
In a more 'twisted' version of the manifold of cooriented contact elements, $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ with an alternate contact structure, there exist non-equivalent legendrian links whose knot components complete more turns of either a right-handed or left-handed helix before closing; see Section 7. The above results also have generalizations to higher dimensions. There exist legendrian links in a contact manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times S^{n-1}$ which are topologically equivalent but not equivalent via an isotopy consisting of contact transformations. Here, each component of the link is diffeomorphic to $S^{n-1}$; see Section 8. Limitations of this technique to the study of other links are discussed in Section 9 .

## 2. Background

A contact structure on a $(2 n+1)$-manifold $M$ is a completely non-integrable tangent hyperplane field, $\xi$. The complete non-integrability of $\xi$ can be expressed by the inequality $\alpha \wedge(d \alpha)^{n} \neq 0$ where $\xi$ is locally described by $\xi=\operatorname{ker} \alpha$. For simplicity, throughout this section, it will be assumed that $\xi$ is transversally orientable so that it can be described as the kernel of some 1 -form $\alpha$. A diffeomorphism $\kappa:\left(M_{0}, \xi_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{1}, \xi_{1}\right)$ is a contactomorphism or contact diffeomorphism if $\kappa_{*}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=\xi_{1}$. A contact isotopy is a smooth 1-parameter family of contactomorphisms, $\kappa_{t}:(M, \xi) \rightarrow(M, \xi), t \in[0,1]$, such that $\kappa_{0}=i d$. An $n$-dimensional submanifold $\mathscr{L}$ in $\left(M^{2 n+1}, \xi\right)$ is legendrian if it is tangent to $\xi: T \mathscr{L} \subset \xi$. For more background on contact geometry, see [A-G], [E], [M-S].

For a fixed contact 3 -manifold $(M, \xi)$, let $\mathscr{L} \mathscr{E}\left(S^{1}\right)$ denote the space of embeddings of $S^{1}$ into $M$ with legendrian images. Then consider

$$
{\underset{1}{L}}_{\amalg_{\mathscr{E}}\left(S^{1}\right):=\left\{\left({ }_{1}, \ldots,{ }_{k}\right): \quad{ }_{i} \in \mathscr{L} \mathscr{E}\left(S^{1}\right), \quad \operatorname{Im}_{i} \cap \operatorname{Im}_{j}=\emptyset, \quad i \neq j\right\} . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }}
$$

A legendrian knot, $\mathscr{K}$, is the image of $\in \mathscr{L} \mathscr{E}\left(S^{1}\right)$. A legendrian link, ( $\left.\mathscr{K}_{1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathscr{K}_{k}\right)$, is
the image of $\Phi \in \amalg_{1}^{k} \mathscr{L} \mathscr{E}\left(S^{1}\right)$. Two lengendrian links, $\left(\mathscr{K}_{1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathscr{K}_{k}\right),\left(\mathscr{L}_{1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathscr{L}_{k}\right)$, are equivalent if there exists a contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}: M \rightarrow M, t \in[0,1]$, such that $\kappa_{1}\left(\mathscr{K}_{i}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$. The notation $\left(\mathscr{K}_{1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathscr{K}_{k}\right) \simeq\left(\mathscr{L}_{1} \amalg \ldots \amalg \mathscr{L}_{k}\right)$ will be used to denote equivalent legendrian links.
The following three central results in contact topology hold for contact manifolds of all dimensions. The first is the contact analogue of the symplectic 'Moser stability'. Proofs can be found in [A-G], [M-S].
(2•1) Gray stability. Let $M$ be a manifold without boundary. Suppose $\xi_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, is a smooth family of contact structures such that $(d / d t) \xi_{t}=0$ on a closed submanifold $Q$ and on the complement of $C$ where $C$ is a compact set. Then there exists an isotopy $\kappa_{t}$ of $M$ such that $\left(\kappa_{t}\right)_{*} \xi_{t}=\xi_{0}$ and $\kappa_{t}=i d$ on $Q$ and on the complement of $C$, for all $t \in[0,1]$.
One consequence of Gray stability is the legendrian neighbourhood theorem which implies that legendrian links do not have any local invariants. For details, see [A-G].
(2•2) Legendrian neighbourhoods. If $\mathscr{L}_{0} \subset M_{0}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{1} \subset M_{1}$ are diffeomorphic, closed, legendrian submanifolds then there exist neighbourhoods $U_{0}, U_{1}$ of $\mathscr{L}_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{1}$ and a contact diffeomorphism $\kappa$ : $\left(U_{1}, \mathscr{L}_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(U_{0}, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right)$.
The next result implies that the equivalence of legendrian knots or links can be reduced to the study of paths in $\coprod_{1}^{k} \mathscr{L} \mathscr{E}\left(S^{1}\right)$. For the reader's convenience, a proof is included.
(2•3) Legendrian isotopy extension theorem. Let $L$ be a closed lengendrian submanifold of $(M, \xi)$ and let $j_{t}: L \rightarrow M, t \in[0,1]$, be an isotopy $\left(j_{0}=i d\right)$ such that $j_{t}(L)$ is legendrian. Then there exists a contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}:(M, \xi) \rightarrow(M, \xi)$ such that $\left.\kappa_{t}\right|_{L}=j_{t}$.

Proof. First it will be useful to review the concepts of a Reeb vector field and a contact hamiltonian. Suppose $\xi=\operatorname{ker} \alpha$. Given the contact form $\alpha$, there exists a unique vector field $R=R_{\alpha}: M \rightarrow T M$ such that

$$
\alpha(R)=1, \quad i_{R} d \alpha=0 .
$$

The vector field is called the Reeb vector field determined by $\alpha$. Given any function $h: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique vector field $X=X_{h}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies

$$
\alpha(X)=h, \quad i_{X} d \alpha=d h(R) \alpha-d h .
$$

To see this, suppose $h$ is given. Since $\left.d \alpha\right|_{\xi}$ is non-degenerate, there exists a unique vector field $Z: M \rightarrow T M$ such that $Z \in \xi$ and $\left.i_{Z} d \alpha\right|_{\xi}=\left.d h\right|_{\xi}$. The vector field $X_{h}:=Z+h R$ is as required. Note that if $X$ is integrable, the characterizations of $X$ imply

$$
\mathscr{L}_{X} \alpha=d\left(i_{X} \alpha\right)+i_{X} d \alpha=d h+(d h(R) \alpha-d h)=d h(R) \alpha
$$

and thus $X$ integrates to a contact isotopy.
Now suppose $j_{t}: L \rightarrow M$ is a legendrian isotopy. Consider the vector field $X_{t}$ defined along $j_{t}(L)$ by

$$
X_{t} \circ j_{t}=\frac{d}{d t} j_{t} .
$$

Then consider $h_{t}=\alpha\left(X_{t}\right): j_{t}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The idea is to extend $h_{t}$ to a compactly supported function $\hat{h}_{t}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that for all $p \in j_{t}(L)$,

$$
\alpha\left(X_{t}\right)=\hat{h}_{t}, \quad i_{X_{t}} d \alpha=d \hat{h}_{t}(R) \alpha-d \hat{h}_{t} .
$$

Then the vector field $\hat{X}_{t}$ uniquely defined by $\hat{h}_{t}$ as in $(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2)$ will be integrable and extend $X_{t}$. First suppose $\hat{h}_{t}$ is chosen so that for all $p \in j_{t}(L), \hat{h}_{t}(p)=h_{t}(p)$. This guarantees that on $j_{t}(L), \alpha\left(X_{t}\right)=\hat{h}_{t}$. This also implies that for $v \in T\left(j_{t}(L)\right)$,

$$
d \alpha\left(X_{t}, v\right)=X_{t} \alpha(v)-v \alpha\left(X_{t}\right)-\alpha\left(\left[X_{t}, v\right]\right)=-v \alpha\left(X_{t}\right)=-d h_{t}(v)=-d \hat{h}_{t}(v)
$$

If more generally, it is required that $\hat{h}_{t}$ is chosen so that $d \hat{h}_{t}(p)(v)=-d \alpha(p)\left(X_{t}, v\right)$ for all $v \in \xi_{p}, p \in j_{t}(L)$, then it is easy to check that $i_{X_{t}} d \alpha(v)=d \hat{h}_{t}(R) \alpha(v)-d \hat{h}_{t}(v)$ for all $v \in T_{p} M, p \in j_{t}(L)$ and thus $\hat{X}_{t}$ will extend $X_{t}$ as desired.

## 3. Standard links in a solid torus

There are two standard contact manifolds which are diffeomorphic to the open, solid 3-torus. First consider the manifold of cooriented contact elements of the plane, $S T * \mathbb{R}^{1}$. A point in this manifold consists of a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and a line in the tangent space at this point, $\ell \subset T_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, together with a choice of one of the two half-planes into which $\ell$ divides the tangent plane. Standard coordinates on this manifold consist of the cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ of a point in the plane and the angular coordinate $\theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$ of the coorienting normal vector to $\ell$. With respect to these coordinates, the standard contact structure, $\xi_{1}$, is globally defined by

$$
\xi_{1}=\operatorname{ker}(\cos \theta d x+\sin \theta d y)
$$

A second standard contact manifold diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$ is the 1-jet space of realvalued functions on the circle, $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\{(q, p, z): q \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\}$, with its standard contact structure,

$$
\eta=\operatorname{ker}(d z-p d q)
$$

In fact, $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ are contactly equivalent via the hodograph transformation. This contactomorphism $\tau:\left(S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{2}, \xi_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right), \eta\right)$ is given by

$$
\tau(x, y, \theta)=(\theta,-\sin \theta x+\cos \theta y, \cos \theta x+\sin \theta y)
$$

Thus results about the contact topology of $\left(S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{2}, \xi_{1}\right)$ can be translated into results about the contact topology of $\left(\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right), \eta\right)$ and vice versa. In this paper, it is often easier to visualize legendrians in $S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{2}$ but to calculate in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$.

For these standard contact manifolds, it is convenient to describe lengendrian curves from their wave fronts. In general, if a contact manifold $M$ is a legendrian fibration over a manifold $X, \pi: M \rightarrow X$, then the wave front of a legendrian submanifold $\mathscr{L} \subset M$ is $\pi(\mathscr{L}) \subset X$. For example, the projection $\pi: S T * \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and the projection $\pi: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \rightarrow S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ onto the $(q, z)$-coordinates are both legendrian fibrations. Generic wave fronts of legendrians in $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$ will be cooriented immersed curves with semi-cubic cusp singularities. The cooriented wave front in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ uniquely defines the legendrian in $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$. For more details, see [A]. Wave fronts of legendrian knots in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ will include immersed graphs of multi-valued functions with nonvertical tangents and semi-cubic cusp singularities. Again, the wave front in $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ uniquely defines the legendrian in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$. The links studied in this paper have easily described wave fronts.

Definition 3.4. For $r \geqslant 0, C^{ \pm}(r) \subset S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$ are legendrian knots:

$$
\begin{gathered}
C^{+}(r):=\{(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, \theta): \theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\}, \\
C^{-}(r):=\{(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, \theta+\pi): \theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The wave front of $C^{+}(r)$ is the circle of radius $r$ with outward pointing normals while the wave front of $C^{-}(r)$ is the circle with inward pointing normals. The $\pm$ sign of these legendrians will be referred to as the charge of the legendrian.

Definition $3 \cdot 5$. The graph of any smooth function $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a lift to the legendrian submanifold

$$
\Lambda_{f}:=\left\{(q, p, z): p=\frac{d f}{d q}(q), \quad z=f(q)\right\} .
$$

Remark 3.6. Under the hodograph transformation, $\tau\left(C^{ \pm}(r)\right)=\Lambda_{ \pm r}$ where $\Lambda_{ \pm r}$ are the legendrians associated to the constant functions of value $\pm r$,

$$
\Lambda_{r}=\left\{(q, 0, r): q \in S^{1}\right\}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R} . \diamond
$$

In fact, any two legendrians knots in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ which have graph wave fronts are equivalent.
Lemma 3.7. For any smooth functions $f, g: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the legendrians $\Lambda_{f}, \Lambda_{g} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ are equivalent.
Proof. The contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$,

$$
\kappa_{t}(q, p, z)=\left(q, p+t\left(g^{\prime}-f^{\prime}\right)(q), z+t(g-f)(q)\right), \quad t \in[0,1],
$$

satisfies $\kappa_{1}\left(\Lambda_{f}\right)=\Lambda_{g} . \quad$ I
Corollary 3•8. For $r \geqslant 0, C^{+}(1) \simeq C^{+}(r) \simeq C^{-}(r)$.
Proof. Because of Remark 3•6, this statement is an immediate corollary of Lemma $3 \cdot 7$. However, for later purposes, it will be helpful to keep in mind the following isotopy of $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Consider the path in $\mathscr{L} \mathscr{E}\left(S^{1}\right), \ell_{t}: C^{+}(r) \rightarrow S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}, t \in[-1,1]$, $\ell_{t}(x, y, \theta)=(t x, t y, \theta)$. Since $\operatorname{Im} \ell_{1}=C^{+}(r)$ and $\operatorname{Im} \ell_{-1}=C^{-}(r)$, Lemma $2 \cdot 3$ implies $C^{-}(r) \simeq C^{+}(r)$.
Consider links which are pairs of these lengendrian knots. For example, consider the four legendrian links

$$
C^{ \pm}(1) \amalg C^{ \pm}(2), \quad C^{ \pm}(1) \amalg C^{\mp}(2) .
$$

Each of the four links $C^{ \pm}(1) \amalg C^{ \pm}(2), C^{ \pm}(1) \amalg C^{\mp}(2)$ differ by translations in the $\theta$ coordinate and thus are pairwise topologically equivalent. The radii 1,2 were chosen for convenience and some of these legendrian links are clearly equivalent.

Proposition 3•10. For $0 \leqslant r_{1}<r_{2}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \simeq C^{+}\left(r_{1}\right) \amalg C^{+}\left(r_{2}\right) ; \\
C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) \simeq C^{-}\left(r_{1}\right) \amalg C^{-}\left(r_{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.  \tag{i}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \simeq C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) ; \\
C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) \\
\simeq C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) .
\end{array}\right. \\
C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) \simeq C^{+}(2) \amalg C^{-}(1) \simeq C^{+}(2) \amalg C^{+}(1) . \tag{ii}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Slight modifications of the proof of Corollary 3.8 prove all statements.
However, not all of the legendrian links in (3.9) are equivalent. Notice that in

Proposition $3 \cdot 10$ (iii), to change the charge on the outermost wavefront, the strands of the link were interchanged. The main result of this paper is that it is impossible to make this charge change on the outer wave front without swapping the strands.
Theorem 3-11. $C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) \neq C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2)$.
This theorem will be proved in Section 5. Assuming Theorem 3.11, there are a number of other links that can be immediately distinguished.

Corollary 3•12.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) \neq C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) ;  \tag{i}\\
& C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \neq C^{+}(2) \amalg C^{+}(1) ; \\
& C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(1) \not \approx C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Statement (i) follows from Theorem $3 \cdot 11$ since by Proposition $3 \cdot 10$,

$$
C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2) \simeq C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2), \quad C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \simeq C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) .
$$

To prove (ii), note that by Proposition 3.10,

$$
C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \simeq C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \simeq C^{-}(2) \amalg C^{+}(1)
$$

which by Theorem $3 \cdot 11$ is not equivalent to $C^{+}(2) \amalg C^{+}(1)$. Statement (iii) follows from Theorem $3 \cdot 11$ since $C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(1) \simeq C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2)$ and, by Proposition 3•10,

$$
C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(1) \simeq C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) \simeq C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) .
$$

Theorem $3 \cdot 11$ can be generalized to the following result about $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$.
Theorem 3.13. Let $f_{1}, g_{1}, f_{2}, g_{2}: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions satisfying

$$
f_{1}(q)<g_{1}(q), \quad f_{2}(q)<g_{2}(q), \quad \forall q \in S^{1} .
$$

If $\Lambda_{f_{i}}, \Lambda_{g_{i}} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ denote the associated legendrian knots then

$$
\Lambda_{f_{1}} \amalg \Lambda_{g_{1}} \simeq \Lambda_{f_{2}} \amalg \Lambda_{g_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \Lambda_{f_{1}} \amalg \Lambda_{g_{1}} \neq \Lambda_{g_{2}} \amalg \Lambda_{f_{2}} .
$$

The pointwise ordering on the functions guarantees the knot components of the link are disjoint. Theorem $3 \cdot 13$ will be proved in Section 5 after the machinery of generating functions is developed in Section 4. A more 'twisted' version of Theorem $3 \cdot 11$ is described in Section 7 and higher dimensional generalizations of Theorems $3 \cdot 11$ and $3 \cdot 13$ are discussed in Section 8.

## 4. Legendrian generating functions

Consider the 1 -jet space $\mathscr{f}^{1}(Z)$ of a closed $n$-manifold $Z$ with its standard contact structure $\eta=\operatorname{ker} \alpha$ where $\alpha:=d z-p d q$. The 1 -jet of a smooth function, $f: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is a closed, legendrian submanifold. More generally, if $F: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has fibre derivatives $\partial F / \partial x$ transverse to 0 then $\Lambda$, described in local coordinates as

$$
\Lambda:=\left\{\left(q, \frac{\partial F}{\partial q}(q, x), F(q, x)\right): \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(q, x)=0\right\},
$$

is an immersed legendrian submanifold of $\mathscr{g}^{1}(Z)$ and $F$ is called a generating function for $\Lambda$. A function $F: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quadratic at infinity if outside a compact
set, $F(q, x) \equiv Q(x)+C$ where $Q$ is a non-degenerate quadratic function and $C$ is a constant. Critical points of a generating function correspond to points where $\Lambda$ intersects the set $\{p=0\}$.
The following existence theorem is proved by Chaperon in [C]. An alternate proof which uses a symplectization procedure is given in the appendix to this paper.
(4•1) Let $Z$ be a closed manifold and let $\Lambda_{0} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}(Z)$ denote the 1-jet of the zero function. If $\kappa_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, is a contact isotopy of $\mathscr{\mathscr { F }}^{1}(Z)$ then there exists a smooth 1-parameter family of quadratic at infinity generating functions $F_{t}: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\Lambda_{t}:=\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$.
Following ideas of Viterbo, [V], there are two natural invariants associated to these quadratic at infinity functions. First, for a non-critical value $b$ of $F: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider

$$
F^{b}:=\{(q, x): F(q, x) \leqslant b\} .
$$

If $F$ is quadratic at infinity then for $0 \ll b<c, F^{b}$ is a deformation retract of $F^{c}$ and $F^{-c}$ is a deformation retract of $F^{-b}$. If $F^{ \pm \infty}$ denotes $F^{ \pm c}$ for $c \gg 0$, a direct calculation shows that the relative homology groups are isomorphic to the homology groups of $Z$ :

$$
\tau: H_{*}(Z) \stackrel{\simeq}{\sim} H_{*+\iota}\left(F^{+\infty}, F^{-\infty}\right),
$$

where $\iota$ is the index of the quadratic $Q, F \equiv Q+C$ outside a compact set. Also notice that for all $b$, there is a homomorphism $i_{*}: H_{*}\left(F^{b}, F^{-\infty}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(F^{+\infty}, F^{-\infty}\right)$ induced by inclusion. Given a quadratic at infinity function $F$, define

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{+}(F)=\inf \left\{b: \tau\left(\mu_{n}\right) \in i_{*}\left(H_{n+\iota}\left(F^{b}, F^{-\infty}\right)\right)\right\} \\
c_{-}(F)=\inf \left\{b: \tau\left(\mu_{0}\right) \in i_{*}\left(H_{\iota}\left(F^{b}, F^{-\infty}\right)\right)\right\},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $\mu_{n}, \mu_{0}$ are generators of $H_{n}(Z), H_{0}(Z), \operatorname{dim} Z=n$, respectively. The following proposition is proved by Viterbo in [ $\mathbf{V}]$. A proof is given for the reader's convenience.
(4.3) If $F_{t}$ is a smooth family of quadratic at infinity functions, $F_{t}: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $c_{ \pm}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $c_{ \pm}(t)=c_{ \pm}\left(F_{t}\right)$ are continuous, piecewise smooth functions.

Proof. Fix $t_{0} \in[0,1]$. Given $\epsilon>0$, suppose $b$ is a non-critical value of $F_{t_{0}}$ with $\left|c_{ \pm}(t)-b\right| \geqslant \epsilon>0$. Choose $\epsilon_{b}$ so that $\left[b-\epsilon_{b}, b+\epsilon_{b}\right]$ contains no critical values of $F_{t_{0}}$. By applying fiber-preserving diffeomorphisms, it can be assumed $d / d t F_{t}=0$ outside a compact set. Thus there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|F_{t}-F_{t_{0}}\right\|<\epsilon_{b}$ for all $t \in\left(t_{0}-\delta, t_{0}+\delta\right) \cap$ $[0,1]$. By choosing $\delta_{b}, 0<\delta_{b}<\delta$, it can be assumed that $\left[b-\epsilon_{b}, b+\epsilon_{b}\right]$ contains no critical values of $F_{t}$ for all $t \in\left(t_{0}-\delta_{b}, t_{0}+\delta_{b}\right) \cap[0,1]$ and thus the inclusions $F_{t}^{b-\epsilon_{b}} \subset F_{t_{0}}^{b} \subset F_{t}^{b+\varepsilon_{b}}$ induce an isomorphism $H_{*}\left(F_{t_{0}}^{b}, F_{t_{0}}^{-\infty}\right) \simeq H_{*}\left(F_{t}^{b}, F_{t}^{-\infty}\right)$. The result then follows from the definition of $c_{ \pm}\left(F_{t}\right)$.
In general it may be difficult to calculate $c_{ \pm}(F)$. However, for 1 -jets of constant functions, $\Lambda_{r}:=\Lambda_{f}$ where $f \equiv r$, these numbers can be easily calculated.
Lemma 4.4. If $F$ is a quadratic at infinity generating function for $\Lambda_{r}, c_{ \pm}(F)=r$.
Proof. If $F: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic at infinity generating function for $\Lambda_{r}$, then

$$
\Lambda_{r}=\{(q, 0, r)\}=\left\{\left(q, \frac{\partial F}{\partial q}(q, x), F(q, x)\right): \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(q, x)=0\right\}
$$

and it follows that $r$ is the only critical value of $F$. I

## 5. Proof of Theorems $3 \cdot 11$ and $3 \cdot 13$

By Remark 3•6, Theorem $3 \cdot 11$ is an immediate corollary of Theorem 3.13. Let $f_{1}$, $g_{1}, f_{2}, g_{2}: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions satisfying $f_{1}(q)<g_{1}(q), f_{2}(q)<g_{2}(q)$, for all $q \in S^{1}$. A slight modification of the proof of Lemma $3 \cdot 7$ proves that $\Lambda_{f_{1}} \amalg \Lambda_{g_{1}} \simeq \Lambda_{f_{2}} \amalg \Lambda_{g_{2}}$. Thus, to complete the proof of Theorem 3•13, it suffices to prove that

$$
\Lambda_{0} \amalg \Lambda_{1} \neq \Lambda_{0} \amalg \Lambda_{-1},
$$

where $\Lambda_{r}$ is the 1 -jet of the constant function $f \equiv r$.
Suppose there exists a contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, of $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ such that $\kappa_{1}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)=\Lambda_{0}$, $\kappa_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=\Lambda_{-1}$. Let $\Lambda_{0}(t):=\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right), \Lambda_{1}(t):=\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$. By (4•1), for all $t, \Lambda_{0}(t), \Lambda_{1}(t)$ have quadratic at infinity generating functions,

$$
F_{0}^{t}: S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad F_{1}^{t}: S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} .
$$

Consider $D^{t}: S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
D^{t}\left(q, \eta_{1}, \eta_{0}\right):=F_{1}^{t}\left(q, \eta_{1}\right)-F_{0}^{t}\left(q, \eta_{0}\right) .
$$

$D^{t}$ is a quadratic at infinity generating function for the (immersed) legendrian

$$
\Delta(t):=\left\{\left(q, p_{1}-p_{0}, z_{1}-z_{0}\right):\left(q, p_{1}, z_{1}\right) \in \Lambda_{1}(t), \quad\left(q, p_{0}, z_{0}\right) \in \Lambda_{0}(t)\right\} .
$$

By (4:3), the function $c_{+}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, c_{+}(t)=c_{+}\left(D^{t}\right)$ is continuous. Since $D^{0}$ is a generating function for $\Lambda_{1}$ and $D^{1}$ is a generating function for $\Lambda_{-1}$, Lemma $4 \cdot 4$ implies $c_{+}(0)=1$ and $c_{+}(1)=-1$. By the continuity of $c_{+}$, there exists $t^{\prime}$ such that $c_{+}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then since $c_{+}\left(t^{\prime}\right)=0$ is a critical value of $D^{t^{\prime}}$, there exists $q^{\prime} \in S^{1}$ so that $\left(q^{\prime}, 0,0\right) \in \Delta\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ and thus, by the above description of $\Delta\left(t^{\prime}\right)$, there exists $\left(q^{\prime}, p_{1}^{\prime}, z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(q^{\prime}, p_{0}^{\prime}, z_{0}^{\prime}\right) \in \Lambda_{1}\left(t^{\prime}\right) \cap$ $\Lambda_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)$, a contradiction.

## 6. Non-concentric wave fronts

The links in $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$ studied above have concentric, non-intersecting wave fronts. To study links with intersecting wave fronts, let $\tau_{z}: S T * \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$ denote the translation

$$
\tau_{z}(x, y, \theta)=(x+z, y, \theta) .
$$

Notice that $\tau_{z}\left(C^{ \pm}(r)\right)$ has a wave front centred at $(x, y)=(z, 0)$ and thus when $0<z \leqslant r$, the wave fronts of $\tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right)$ and $\tau_{z}\left(C^{-}(r)\right)$ will intersect. In fact, a link whose wave front consists of intersecting circles of opposite charge is equivalent to one of the links already studied.

Proposition 6.1. For $0<z<r, 0 \leqslant z_{1}<r_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) \amalg \tau_{z}\left(C^{-}(r)\right) & \simeq \tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) \amalg \tau_{z_{1}}\left(C^{-}\left(r_{1}\right)\right) \\
\tau_{-z}\left(C^{-}(r)\right) \amalg C^{+}(1) \amalg \tau_{z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) & \simeq \tau_{z}\left(C^{-}(r)\right) \amalg \tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) \\
\left(C^{-}(r)\right. & \simeq C^{-}(1) \amalg C^{+}(2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. $0 \leqslant z_{1}<r_{1}$ implies that the wave fronts of both $\tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right)$ and $\tau_{z_{1}}\left(C^{-}\left(r_{1}\right)\right)$ intersect the line $\{x=0\}$. A proof similar to the proof of Corollary $3 \cdot 8$ proves that $\tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) 山 \tau_{z}\left(C^{-}(r)\right) \simeq \tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) \amalg \tau_{z_{1}}\left(C^{-}\left(r_{1}\right)\right)$. When $r \ll r_{1}, z_{1}=0$, the wave front of
$\tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right)$ will be completely contained in the bounded component of the complement of $\tau_{z_{1}}\left(C^{-}\left(r_{1}\right)\right.$ )'s wavefront and thus $\tau_{-z}\left(C^{+}(r)\right) \amalg \tau_{z}\left(C^{-}(r)\right) \simeq C^{+}(1) \amalg C^{-}(2)$. A similar argument proves the second statement.

Corollary 6.2. For $r>0, \tau_{-r}\left(C^{+}(2 r)\right) \amalg \tau_{r}\left(C^{-}(2 r)\right) \neq \tau_{-r}\left(C^{-}(2 r)\right) \amalg \tau_{r}\left(C^{+}(2 r)\right)$.

## 7. Higher twisting

As a more 'twisted' version of $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$, consider the open solid torus, $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}$, with the alternative contact structure $\xi_{n}$,

$$
\xi_{n}=\operatorname{ker}(\cos (n \theta) d x+\sin (n \theta) d y), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Notice that when $n=1$, this is precisely $S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right),|n|>1$, it is possible to construct legendrian knots which complete more turns of the helix before closing. When $n<0$, these knots will be portions of a circular, left-handed helix. More precisely, for $r \geqslant 0$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
C_{n}^{+}(r):=\{(r \cos (n \theta), r \sin (n \theta), \theta): \theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\} \\
C_{n}^{-}(r):=\{(r \cos (n \theta), r \sin (n \theta), \theta+\pi / n): \theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

are legendrian knots in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right)$. (Notice that

$$
\{(r \cos (n \theta), r \sin (n \theta), \theta+2 \pi / n): \theta \in \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

is a different parameterization of $C_{n}^{+}(r)$.)
The circles above and in previous sections had length $2 \pi$. More generally, for $\lambda>0$, let $S^{1}(\lambda)$ denote the circle of length $\lambda$ :

$$
S^{1}(\lambda):=\mathbb{R} / \lambda \mathbb{Z}, \quad \lambda>0 .
$$

The 1 -jet spaces of $S^{1}(\lambda), \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\lambda)\right)$, can be considered as a contact manifold with the contact structure, $\eta$, again defined by

$$
\eta=\operatorname{ker}(d z-p d q)
$$

For $\lambda<0, \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\lambda)\right)$ will denote the 1 -jet space of $S^{1}(-\lambda)$ with the contact structure

$$
\eta=\operatorname{ker}(d z+p d q) .
$$

Then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right)$ is contactly equivalent to the 1 -jet space of a circle of appropriate 'size and orientation':

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\tau_{n}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(2 n \pi)\right), \eta\right), & \\
\tau_{n}(x, y, \theta)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(n \theta,-\sin (n \theta) x+\cos (n \theta) y, \cos (n \theta) x+\sin (n \theta) y), & n>0 \\
(-n \theta,-\sin (n \theta) x+\cos (n \theta) y, \cos (n \theta) x+\sin (n \theta) y), & n<0 .
\end{array}\right\}, ~
\end{array}
$$

Under these contact diffeomorphisms, $C_{n}^{ \pm}(r)$ correspond to $\Lambda_{ \pm r}$, the 1 -jets of the constant functions $f \equiv \pm r$.

Lemma 7.7. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \mathscr{g}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\lambda)\right)$ is contactly equivalent to $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\mu)\right)$. For
$n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\},\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{m}\right)$ are equivalent contact manifolds. Moreover, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ there exists a contact diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{gathered}
w:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{m}\right) \\
w\left(C_{n}^{ \pm}(r)\right)= \begin{cases}C_{m}^{ \pm}\left(\frac{m r}{n}\right), & n m>0 \\
C_{m}^{\mp}\left(-\frac{m r}{n}\right), & n m<0 .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, consider the contact diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
s_{\lambda, \mu}: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\lambda)\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\mu)\right), & s_{\lambda, \mu}(q, p, z)=\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} q, p, \frac{\mu}{\lambda} z\right), \\
\rho: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\lambda)\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(-\lambda)\right), & \rho(q, p, z)=(q,-p,-z) .
\end{array}
$$

A combination of these diffeomorphisms shows the equivalence of $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\lambda)\right)$ and $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}(\mu)\right)$, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. Together with the contactomorphisms $\tau_{n}, \tau_{m}$, these diffeomophisms imply $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{m}\right)$ are contactomorphic when $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. If $w:=\tau_{m}^{-1} \circ s_{n, m} \circ \tau_{n}$, then it is a contactomorphism with the specified property.

Corollary 7•8. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, $C_{n}^{+}(1) \amalg C_{n}^{-}(1)$ and $C_{n}^{-}(1) \amalg C_{n}^{+}(1)$ are nonequivalent legendrian links in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{1}, \xi_{n}\right)$.

## 8. Higher dimensions

The links studied above have natural generalizations to 'links' in $S T * \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$. Each of these contact manifolds are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times S^{n-1}$ and the hodograph transformation again proves that these contact manifolds are equivalent, [A]. Natural legendrian knots in these contact manifolds consist of legendrian embeddings of $S^{n-1}$ : the $(n-1)$-sphere of radius $r$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with outward (inward) normals defines the legendrian $C^{ \pm}(r) \in S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and functions $f: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define legendrians $\Lambda_{f} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{n-1}\right)$. Under the hodograph transformation, $C^{ \pm}(r)$ correspond to $\Lambda_{ \pm r}$. It is easy to see that the links $\Lambda_{0} \amalg \Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{0} \amalg \Lambda_{-1}$ are topologically equivalent. However, the generating function argument from Section 5 again proves that these legendrian links are not contactly equivalent.

## 9. Others links

There are a number of obstacles when attempting to apply the theory of generating functions to other links in $S T * \mathbb{R}^{2}$ or $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$. First, many legendrian knots in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ do not admit quadratic at infinity generating functions. For example, consider the transversal knot $\mathscr{T}:=\{p=1, z=0\} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$. There exists a $C^{0}$ perturbation $\tau$ so that $\mathscr{L}:=\tau(\mathscr{T})$ is legendrian, $[\mathrm{E}]$. It can be assumed that $\mathscr{L}$ does not intersect $\{p=0\}$. However, if $\mathscr{L}$ admits a quadratic at infinity generating function $F$, by the arguments in Section 5, the existence of $c_{ \pm}(F)$ implies that $\mathscr{L}$ must intersect $\{p=0\}$. As another example, consider the (topologically trivial) legendrian knot $L^{+} \subset S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{2}\left(L^{-} \subset S T^{*} \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ whose wave front is the 'eye' with two cusps
with 'upward' ('downward') normals. Under the hodograph transformation, $L^{+}\left(L^{-}\right)$ is mapped to a legendrian in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ that does not project onto $S^{1}$. It is easy to check that such a legendrian cannot have a quadratic at infinity generating function as defined in Section 4. The following is an open question about legendrian versions of the Hopf link. It is interesting to compare this with Corollary $6 \cdot 2$.
Question 9•1. Assume $\tau_{-}, \tau_{+}$are translations as in Section 6 so that the wave fronts

$$
\pi\left(\tau_{-}\left(L^{+}\right)\right) \cap \pi\left(\tau_{+}\left(L^{+}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset .
$$

It is easy to check that $\tau_{-}\left(L^{+}\right) \amalg \tau_{+}\left(L^{+}\right) \simeq \tau_{+}\left(L^{-}\right) \amalg \tau_{-}\left(L^{-}\right)$. Is it true that

$$
\tau_{-}\left(L^{+}\right) \amalg \tau_{+}\left(L^{+}\right) \neq \tau_{-}\left(L^{-}\right) \amalg \tau_{+}\left(L^{-}\right) ?
$$

Appendix: legendrian generating functions
Versions of Theorem A•1 are proved by Chaperon in [Ca] and in the thesis of David Théret, $[\mathbf{T h}]$. The purpose of this appendix is to give an alternate proof, described to me by Ya. Eliashberg, which uses a symplectization procedure and Yuri Chekanov's 'formula' (cf. \{Ce]). Background on symplectic geometry can be found in [A-G], [M-S].
Throughout this appendix, $\Lambda_{0} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}(Z), \Lambda_{0}^{m} \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ will denote the 1 -jets of the zero function.

Theorem A•1. Let $Z$ be a closed manifold. If $\kappa_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, is a compactly supported contact isotopy of $\mathscr{J}^{1}(Z)$ then there exists a smooth 1-parameter family of quadratic at infinity generating functions $F_{t}: Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $\Lambda_{t}:=\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$. This means that in local coordinates

$$
\Lambda_{t}=\left\{\left(q, \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial q}(q, x), F_{t}(q, x)\right): \frac{\partial F_{t}}{\partial x}(q, x)=0\right\} .
$$

By the following proposition, it suffices to prove the analogue of $(\mathrm{A} \cdot 1)$ for the situation where $Z=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\kappa_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, is an isotopy of compactly supported contactomorphisms of $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

Proposition A•2. Let $Z$ be a closed manifold. Given a contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, of $\mathscr{J}^{1}(Z)$ there exists an embedding $e: \mathscr{J}^{1}(Z) \rightarrow \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ satisfying $e\left(\Lambda_{0}\right) \subset \Lambda_{0}^{m}$ and a compactly supported contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}^{m}$ of $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right), t \in[0,1]$, such that $\kappa_{t}^{m} \circ e\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)=$ $e \circ \kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$. If $\kappa_{t}^{m}\left(\Lambda_{0}^{m}\right)$ has a quadratic at infinity generating function, $\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$ has a quadratic at infinity generating function.
Proof. There exists an embedding $j: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, for some $m$. This induces an embedding $e: \mathscr{J}^{1}(Z) \rightarrow \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ so that $e\left(\Lambda_{0}\right) \subset \Lambda_{0}^{m}, e^{*}\left(\alpha^{m}\right)=\alpha$, where $\alpha, \alpha^{m}$ are the standard contact forms on $\mathscr{J}^{1}(Z), \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Since the focus is on $\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right), t \in[0,1]$, by applying an argument using Gray stability, it can be assumed that $\kappa_{t}$ is compactly supported. Let $X_{t}$ be the contact vector field on $\mathscr{J}^{1}(Z)$ whose flow is $\kappa_{t}$. If $h_{t}:=\alpha\left(X_{t}\right)$ : $\mathscr{J}^{1}(\mathscr{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then $h_{t}$ is compactly supported and if $R$ denotes the Reeb vector field of $\alpha$ (see proof of $(2 \cdot 3)$ ) then

$$
\mathscr{L}_{X_{t}} \alpha=d h_{t}+i_{X_{t}} d \alpha=d h_{t}(R) \alpha .
$$

Consider a function $h_{t}^{m}: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h_{t}^{m}=\left(e^{-1}\right)^{*} h_{t}$ on points of $\operatorname{Im} e$ and the derivatives of $h_{t}^{m}$ vanish in directions normal to $\operatorname{Im} e$. If $R^{m}$ denotes the Reeb vector
field of $\alpha^{m}$, then on points of $\operatorname{Im} e, d h_{t}^{m}\left(R^{m}\right)=d h_{t}(R) \circ e^{-1}: \operatorname{Im} e \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Thus the vector field $X_{t}^{m}$ uniquely defined by the conditions

$$
\alpha^{m}\left(X_{t}^{m}\right)=h_{t}^{m}, \quad d h_{t}^{m}+i_{X_{t}^{m}} d \alpha^{m}=d h_{t}^{m}\left(R^{m}\right) \alpha^{m},
$$

(see proof of (2:3)) will satisfy $X_{t}^{m}=e_{*}\left(X_{t}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Im} e$. Thus if $X_{t}^{m}$ is integrable, it will integrate to a contact isotopy $\kappa_{t}^{m}$ such that $\kappa_{t}^{m} \circ e=e \circ \kappa_{t}$. By choosing the function $h_{t}^{m}$ to be zero outside a compact set of $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right), X_{t}^{m}$ will be integrable and $\kappa_{t}^{m}$ will be compactly supported. If $F^{m}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a quadratic at infinity generating function for $\kappa_{t}^{m}\left(\Lambda_{0}^{m}\right)$, then $F:=(j \times i d)^{*}\left(F^{m}\right): Z \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be a quadratic at infinity generating function for $\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$. I
The $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ version of (A•1) follows from a slight modification of the proof of the symplectic, $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ version of $(\mathrm{A} \cdot 1)$ : if $\mathscr{L} \subset T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ is a lagrangian with quadratic at infinity generating function and $\psi_{t}, t \in[0,1]$, is a compactly supported symplectic isotopy of $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, then $\psi_{t}(\mathscr{L})$ has a quadratic at infinity generating function. In fact, the idea is to transform all relevant contact objects in $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ into 'symmetric' symplectic objects in $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.
Given the contact manifold $\left(\mathscr{g}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \eta\right), \eta=\operatorname{ker} \alpha, \alpha:=d z-p d q$, let $\mathbb{R}^{+}:=(0, \infty)$ and consider the symplectic manifolds

$$
\left(\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, d(t \alpha)\right), \quad\left(T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \quad \omega:=d q \wedge d p+d t \wedge d z\right) .
$$

These symplectic manifolds are equivalent:

$$
\sigma: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \quad \sigma(q, p, z, t)=(q, t, t p, z) .
$$

For a legendrian submanifold $\Lambda \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, consider the lagrangian submanifolds

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\Lambda} \subset\left(\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), & \mathscr{L}_{\Lambda} \subset T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \\
\hat{\Lambda}:=\{(q, p, z, t):(q, p, z) \in \Lambda\}, & \mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}:=\sigma(\hat{\Lambda}) . \tag{A•3}
\end{align*}
$$

For a contact difeomorphism $\kappa$ isotopic to $i d, \kappa^{*} \alpha=f \alpha$ where $f$ is a positive function. If $\kappa$ is written $\kappa(q, p, z)=\left(\kappa_{q}(q, p, z), \kappa_{z}(q, p, z)\right)$, consider the symplectic diffeomorphism $\hat{\kappa}$ of $\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$defined by

$$
\hat{\kappa}(q, p, z, t):=\left(\kappa_{q}(q, p, z), \kappa_{p}(q, p, z), \kappa_{z}(q, p, z), \frac{t}{f(q, p, z)}\right), \quad \kappa^{*} \alpha=f \alpha .
$$

$\psi_{\kappa}$ will denote the corresponding symplectic diffeomorphism of $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$:

$$
\psi_{\kappa}:=\sigma \circ \hat{\kappa} \circ \sigma^{-1} .
$$

$\Gamma_{\psi_{k}}:=\left\{\left(x, \psi_{k}(x)\right)\right\} \subset \overline{T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \times T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is then a lagrangian submanifold. Let $\tau$ denote the symplectic diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau: \overline{T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)} \times T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \rightarrow T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \\
& \quad(q, t, p, z, Q, T, P, Z) \mapsto(p, z, Q, T, q-Q, t-T, P-p, Z-z),
\end{aligned}
$$

and define

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}_{\psi_{k}}:=\tau\left(\Gamma_{\psi_{k}}\right) .
$$

The symplectic, $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ version of ( $\mathrm{A} \cdot 1$ ) follows by iterated applications of the following proposition which says that generating functions for $\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda} \subset T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\psi_{k}} \subset$ $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$can be 'composed' to get a generating function for $\psi_{\kappa}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}\right) \subset$ $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. For more details or a proof of Proposition A•5, see $[\mathbf{T r}, \S 4]$.

Proposition A.5. Suppose $\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}$ is a lagrangian in $T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$that has a quadratic at infinity generating function $G_{1}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$
\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}=\left\{\left(q, t, \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial q}(q, t, \eta), \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial t}(q, t, \eta)\right): \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial \eta}(q, t, \eta)=0\right\}
$$

and $\psi_{\kappa}$ is sufficiently $C^{1}$-close to the identity so that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\psi_{\kappa}} \subset T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 m+1} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ is the graph of an exact 1-form, i.e. there exists $G_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{2 m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\psi_{k}}=\Gamma_{d G_{2}}$. Then $G_{3}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$
G_{3}\left(x_{2}, s ; q, t, x_{1}, y, \eta\right)=G_{1}(q, t ; \eta)+G_{2}\left(x_{1}, y, x_{2}, s\right)+x_{1}\left(x_{2}-q\right)+y(s-t),
$$

is an asymptotically quadratic at infinity generating function for $\psi_{\kappa}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{\kappa(\Lambda)}$ which can be made quadratic at infinity by a fibre preserving difeomorphism.

Thus for all $t \in[0,1]$, the lagrangian $\psi_{\kappa_{t}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda_{0}}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)} \subset T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$has a quadratic at infinity generating function equal to zero on points corresponding to the points of $\psi_{\kappa_{t}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda_{0}}\right)$ outside a compact set. The existence of generating functions for the legendrians $\kappa_{t}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right) \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ will be a consequence of the $\mathbb{R}^{+}$-symmetry present in the lagrangian formed by the symplectization procedure. To describe this symmetry, consider

$$
b: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \rightarrow T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \quad b(\mu, q, t, p, z)=(q, \mu t, \mu p, z)
$$

This is a conformal symplectic action: if for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, b_{\mu}$ is defined by

$$
b_{\mu}: T *\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \rightarrow T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), \quad b_{\mu}(v)=b(\mu, v)
$$

then $b_{\mu}^{*} \omega=\mu \omega$ and $b_{\mu_{2} \mu_{1}}=b_{\mu_{2}} b_{\mu_{1}} \cdot \mathscr{L} \subset T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is $\mathbb{R}^{+}$-equivariant if $b\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathscr{L}\right)=$ $\mathscr{L}$.

Proposition A•6. For any legendrian $\Lambda \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, $\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{+}$-equivariant lagrangian. If $\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}$ has a quadratic at infinity generating function $G$ then

$$
F(q ; x):=G(q, 1 ; x)
$$

is a quadratic at infinity generating function for the legendrian $\Lambda \subset \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. To see that $\mathscr{L}_{A}$ is an $\mathbb{R}^{+}$-equivariant lagrangian, consider the conformal symplectic action

$$
a: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times\left(\mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad a(\mu, q, p, z, t)=(q, p, z, \mu t)
$$

It is clear that $\hat{\Lambda}$ is invariant with respect to this action. Since $b_{\mu}=\sigma \circ a_{\mu} \circ \sigma^{-1}, \mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{+}$-equivariant. Suppose $\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda}$ has a quadratic at infinity generating function. Since $\mathscr{L}_{\Lambda} \cap\{t=1\}=\{(q, 1, p, z):(q, p, z) \in \Lambda\}$, it follows that if $\pi: \mathscr{J}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow T^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ denotes the projection, the lagrangian $\pi(\Lambda)$ is generated by $F$ and thus for some constant $C$, $F+C$ generates $\Lambda$. By construction, $\Lambda$ coincides with $\Lambda_{0}$ outside a compact set and thus it can be concluded that $C=0$.
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