

The European Social Fund within the context of the economic crisis from Romania

Corina Cace and Sorin Cace and Stefan Cojocaru and Victor Nicolaescu

Academy of Economic Studies, Institute for Quality of Life Research

2012

Online at https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/85212/ MPRA Paper No. 85212, posted 20 March 2018 05:37 UTC

The european social fund within the context of the economic crisis from Romania

Corina CACE
Sorin CACE
Ştefan COJOCARU
Victor NICOLĂESCU

Corina CACE

Associate Professor, Head of Teachers' Training Department, Academy of Economic Studies, Bucharest,

Romania Tel.: 0040-213-191.900 E-mail: corina.cace@dppd.ase.ro

Sorin CACE

Senior Researcher, PhD, Institute for Quality of Life Research (ICCV), Bucharest, Romania

Tel.: 0040-213-182.461 E-mail: corsorin@mailbox.ro

Stefan COJOCARU

Associate Professor, Department of Sociology and Social Work, Faculty of Philosophy and Social - Political Sciences, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Iaşi, Romania

Tel.: 0040-744-788.779 E-mail: contact@stefancojocaru.ro

Victor NICOLĂESCU

Associate Professor, Faculty of Social Work and Sociology, Petre Andrei University, Iaşi, Romania Tel.:

0040-751-516.016 E-mail: vic72ro@gmail.com

Abstract

The paper shows the positive traits of the European Social Fund proved by the history of this mechanism which has been applied in the European Community for almost half a century, as well as the measures adopted in order to improve the measures in the field of employment during the period of the economic crisis. The European Social Fund (ESF) helps increasing the adaptability of the workforce and of the enterprises; it helps increasing the access to the labour market; it prevents unemployment and prolongs the active life; it increases the participation of women and of the immigrants to the labour market; it increases the social inclusion of the disadvantaged people and fights discrimination. In Romania, workforce employment is a priority goal, and POSDRU1 contributes to the implementation of the measures set by the European Strategy for Employment. The paper shows the need to identify solutions to the crisis of employment in Romania using the funds allocated to the Operational Program, Human Resources Development. which prod-uce measurable effects with regard to unemployment rate in Romania.

Keywords: employment policies, structural funds, crisis, unemployment, human resources.

¹ Programul Operaţional Sectorial Dezvoltarea Resurselor Umane (POSDRU) in Romanian, Sectoral Operational Program Human Resources Develop-ment (SOPHRD) in English.

1. Introduction

Until de end of the 1990s, Social Europe was hardly visible in comparison with the internal market and the monetary union. Economic integration, it was said, was pressing on the national welfare systems, but little was done to support and fortify them (Scharpf, 1996). All that referred to the integration of social policies was left 'to develop at the hand of the markets within the legal and economic system' (Leibfried and Pierson, 1995).

In the next century, this evolution prepared the field for a much more active integrative social policy. The Lisbon Congress, particularly, was the first step. The governments decided to implement the open method of coordination of the social policies (Ferrera, Hemmerijck and Rhodes, 2000; Mosher, 2000). Because there is a legitimate debate regarding the progress brought by this method to the Social Europe, the general opinion is that it makes differences in the social policy of the EU and of the member states (Chalmers and Lodge, 2003; Shelkle, 2004).

In terms of society, the EU provides support to the individuals, but it also promotes the solidarity between people, which is meant to strengthen social cohesion. Martin and Ross (2004, p. 11) gave a general explanation for the composition of the European social model which 'refers to the institutional arrangements which form the welfare state (transfer payments, collective social services, financing of these collective social services) and the system of the work relations (work legislation, labour unions, collective negotiations)'. Schelkle defines the social model as the 'political norms and economic functions which are fulfilled by a particular welfare state', which are rather a strategy of legitimization than an operational purpose in which social policy is used for economic growth (Schelkle, 2004, p. 3). However, Schelkle says that the 'unity of a model should derive from a functionalist consensus, that the social policy of the EU has a particular role in solving the problems for economy, i.e. to serve the competitiveness and flexible adjustment, replacing thus other ones such as the New Deal consensus, according to which the social policy should serve maintaining the incomes, or the Beveridge norm, according to which, the social policy must ensure the basic and universal security' (Schelkle, 2004). Thus, the opinion is that it is more adequate to interpret the new European social policy as a production factor which improves competitiveness, its purpose being to harmonise social policies.

The current global economic crisis prompted national governments to take measures intended to stop the economic recession and to relocate the economic growth parameters. The variety of measures taken by the United States of America and by the European Union showed that there is no universal panacea to be used by the national policies aiming to limit the effects of the crisis and to end the recession. The purpose of this paper is not to review these measures and to compare their effects, but rather to investigate credible and informed sources which may consolidate the conclusions.

The paper makes an evaluation of the extent to which the European Social Fund, in general, and the funds allocated to the Sectoral Operational Program, Human Resources Development, in particular, may have a direct, measurable and beneficial effect on the unemployment rate in Romania.

From this perspective, the presentation of the positive traits of the European Social Fund proved by the history of this mechanism which has been applied in the European Community for almost half a century is the evidence we need in order to become aware of the accomplishments in the field of workforce employment. The ESF allocations for Romania during the current programming cycle 2007-2013, compared to the other member countries, provide a new guiding framework to highlight the premises to unlock a declining employment market. The context, which is analysed historically and comparatively, allows us to support the hypothesis of the revival of the absorption of funds allocated to POSDRU, taking into consideration the indicators of job creation by implementing the projects contracted within this program.

2. The European Social Fund and European reactions to alleviate the effects of the crisis

Modern social policies develop the idea of using the power of the state in order to establish the conditions for a modern market and for a successful economy. Hence, the major goal of the policies is the protection of the unemployed by actions fighting the effects of poverty in correlation with the development of that particular country and of its market.

The 'European Strategy for Employment' recommends member states to focus their efforts on three main directions:

- Drawing in and maintaining as many people as possible in employment, increasing the labour force offer and modernization of the social protection systems;
- Improve workforce and enterprise adaptability; and
- Increase the investments for a better education and formation of the human capital.

EFS is the most important and powerful instrument on the labour market, it is the result of more than 50 years of experience in the EU (since it was established by the 1957 Treaty of Rome). ESF supports high employment rates, equal opportunities for men and women, economic and social cohesion. ESF is the financial instrument of the Employment Strategy, which supports PNAO priorities, thus providing a direct support for the recommendations in the field of employment.

On 28-29 of June 2007 at Potsdam, the EU celebrated half a century from the Treaty of Rome and 50 years of 'investments in people' through the European Social Fund. The table below synthesizes the main results and successes in the field of labour force in the European Community (Table 1).

It is necessary to create a 'friendly employment environment', to promote life-long learning, to have continuous professional formation, to have the workforce adapted continuously to the changing conditions, to improve the management of the active labour market policies, to allow the access of the minority groups on the labour market, to promote equal opportunities, to develop the partnership with the social partners and civil society. In this context, it must not be neglected to provide and assure the

quantified information regarding the financial resources that may be allocated for the accomplishment of the different goals of the policies.

Table 1: Successful initiatives in EFS implementation

Period	Initiatives/Trends
The 1970s	Opening the ESF towards a broader selection of employees (agriculture, textile industry);
	Support for the people experiencing practical problems in finding a job in another CEE country;
	• Support the training studies and the innovative pilot schemes to test new ideas and practices;
	Support for youth in workforce recruitment and employment;
	More consistent support for women and for other specific social groups such as old workers or people
	with disabilities (people aged 50+).
	This period marked the beginning of a process in which the Commission and the member states
	were supposed to define common priorities within the CEE and to allocate significant funds to tackle
	these priorities. The new system of pre-approval and the more structured approach called for more
	inspections in order to guarantee that EFS funds were used correctly.
The 1980s	EFS was used for formation in the field of the new emerging technologies;
	The requirement that a re-qualified person should have worked at least six months after finishing
	the training course in a job associated to his/her qualification was removed;
	• In 1983 it was decided that EFS funds must be directed towards the regions which needed these
	funds most;
	More than half of EFS funds were directed towards the schemes promoting workforce employment
	in the poorer regions and countries, such as Greece, the French departments overseas, Ireland,
	Southern Italy Mezzogiorno region and Northern Ireland;
	Support for youth with poor perspectives of employment because of the lack of professional training
	or with improper training, and support for the long-term unemployed. The funds also included the
	early school leavers because a very large number of young people were leaving school early and
	with no qualification.
	• The 1988 reform of the EFS highlighted the importance of 'integrating the women in the professions
	where they were considerably underrepresented'.
	The 1988 reform was the shift from (individual) projects implemented within the national context
	to a planned multiannual effort in partnership between the member states and the Commission.
	Consequently, the EFS was closer to the needs of the regions and of the member states.
The 1990s	An increasing number of people could get a job; the employment rate of women increased; the day-
	care units for children increased and the entrepreneurial spirit was encouraged.
	Adaptability was another important dimension, its objective being to foster the capacity of an employee
	to adapt to new areas of activity, if necessary.
	• EFS allocated 5% of its budget to finance innovative schemes (including pilot actions and schemes,
	transfer and dissemination of good practices) in order to evaluate the efficiency of the projects
	financed from FSE and to support the exchange of experience between the member states, with
	the purpose to promote innovation all across Europe.
	New programs supported the unskilled youth to get their first job; supported groups such as single
	parents, homeless people, the refugees and the former convicts to get a safe job and to fight
	against racial discrimination or against other forms of discrimination during the process of formation
	or employment; they supported people to accommodate to the changes in the business or industry
	environment, such as formation in the field of information technology.
	• EFS allocated funds for the formation of old people on the job, thus allowing them to remain employed
	for a longer period of time, or to bring them back on the labour market.
	• EFS contributed with subsidies for the day-care centres for children, so that if a mother was supposed
	to attend training courses three days per week, EFS was bearing the costs of the nursery.
	Although it was still high at the beginning of the decade, the unemployment rate decreased to 15.9
	million by 1999, almost 3 million unemployed people less. Furthermore, the EU workforce increased
	by 9 million from 1994 to 1999 (from 149 million to 158 million people).

Initiatives/Trends
• EFS contributes to the development of the educational systems in order to make them better fitted
to react to labour market necessities. The stress is on life-long education and professional formation,
including within the companies.
• Development of active measures for the labour market, with preventive strategies directed towards
jobs, including by a higher number of unemployed people which were trained or which received
similar formation measures.
• Consolidation of the potential for full employment in the sector of services and related industrial services, particularly in the fields of information technology and environment.
• Support the new member states, after their accession to the EU, to correct the inequalities and to identify the priorities of their employment policies.
 Administration of the immigration flows through a coordinated approach taking into account the economic and demographic situation of the EU.
• Support for a longer active, professional life, increasing the employment opportunities for people aged 40-60 through a number of formation schemes.
• Enhance the working capacity by a wider access to professional formation and to improved working conditions.
• Support career development and the systems for individual guidance, such as education for career
included in the school curriculum; counselling for career from community agencies and counsellors; workforce counselling by the public employment offices, by the employment agencies and by the
agencies providing assistance and counselling upon sacking; counselling and apprenticeship for the employers through organisations for continuous formation.
• Development of new methods to fight discrimination and inequalities on the labour market and to
promote a more comprehensive approach by fighting against discrimination and exclusion on grounds
of ethnic origin, race, religion, beliefs, disability, age or sexual orientation.
1

Source: European Communities, 2007

The enlargement of the workforce market should not be, but most times it is, in the hierarchy of the problems to be solved by the contemporary societies. Within this context, it is a surprise to see the tolerance towards high unemployment rates in contemporary Europe (Sen, 1997, p. 168). Other analyses too reported this economic anomia observed within the European communities: 'The purpose of the economic activity is to improve the individual welfare (...). This statement can become dull (...). Indeed, the related policies often prove to be antithetical to this purpose' (Stiglitz, 2002, p. 168).

According to the Report of the Council of the European Union from March 2009, most of the labour markets from the EU member states were not seriously affected by the recent economic recession: from 2007 to 2008, more than 6 million new jobs have been created and unemployment was below 7%, the lowest rate during the last decade. The employment rates continued to increase in the European Union, reaching an average of 65.5%, of which 58.3% for women and 44.7% of the old people, thus bringing the European Union closer to the Lisbon Agenda goals. The higher employment rates during the period of consumer trust deterioration and of the employment expectation deterioration may be considered a positive development. The structural unemployment continued to decrease to 7.6% in 2008 and it was significantly lower than in 2000. This indicator too confirmed the positive structural impact of the Lisbon reforms implemented during the recent years, which facilitated the transitions from the European labour

markets and which exceeded the barriers to job creation. Due to the same reasons, the high unemployment rate was expected to be transient and to return quickly to the low rates of the recent years, when the real economy revives (Council of the European Union, 2009).

In March 2010, the unemployment rate in the EU remained at 9.6%, compared to February, although the total number of the unemployed increased by 123,000, to 23.13 million (Eurostat, 2010). The number of unemployed people in March 2010 was 2.546 million higher compared to the same period of 2009. The most significant increases of the unemployment rate were reported by Latvia (from 14.3% to 22.3%), Estonia (from 7.6% to 15.5%) and Lithuania (from 8.1% to 15.8%). On the other hand, the lowest increases were reported by Luxemburg (from 5.4% to 5.6%) and Malta (from 6.7% to 6.9%). Compared to these evolutions, the changes in the systems of social insurances of the European countries varied in intensity and significance, and in terms of the covered risk. Thus, taking into account three main indicators (minimal conditions of affiliation, duration of compensation and size of the compensation), in the eleven EU member states and Switzerland (Unédic, DAJ, Département des études internationales, 2008), one may notice a diversity of the benefits, with some common elements; nevertheless: the minimal conditions of affiliation demand employment and payment of contributions for at least half of the period of reference and the minimal period of compensation of about 6 months (except for Spain and the Netherlands); generally, the unemployment insurances decreased towards the end of the insured period (Table 2).

Table 2: Unemployment insurance schemes in 11 EU member states and Switzerland (January 1st, 2008)

Country	Minimal conditions	Duration	Size	Unemployment rate	
Country	of affiliation	of the compensation	of the compensation	(December 2007)	
Germany	12 months over the	6 to 24 months	60% or 37% from the net wage		
	past 2 years		of reference, depending on the	7.8%	
			family members in support		
Belgium	12 months over the	Unlimited, except	55% to 60% from the gross wage		
	past 18 months	for the long-term	of reference, depending on the	7.00/	
		unemployment	family members in support and	7.2%	
			on the duration of unemployment		
Denmark	52 weeks of the	Uniform, limited to 4	90% of the reference wage, but		
	past 3 years + 1	years	maximum €2,043.61		
	year membership			3.1%	
	of unemployment				
	insurance fund				
Spain	12 months over the	4 to 24 months	70% and then 60% of the	0.00/	
	past 6 years		reference wage	8.6%	
France	6 months over the	7 to 36 months (it may	57.4% to 75% of the gross		
	past 22 months	be maintained to the	reference wage	7.8%	
		retirement age)	-		
United	Contributions paid by	Uniform, limited to	Basic allocation depending on		
Kingdom	savings, 25 times the	182 days	the age	5.2%	
-	lowest national limit				
Republic of	52 weeks of paid	12 or 15 months	Basic allocation, but the benefits		
Ireland	contributions since	depending on the	are decreased if the reference	4.5%	
	starting to work	period of active work	wage is lower than €150 a week		

Country	Minimal conditions of affiliation	Duration of the compensation	Size of the compensation	Unemployment rate (December 2007)
Italy	52 weeks of 8 or 12 months contributions over the depending on the past 2 years		60% of the gross reference wage for the first 6 months, 50% in the seventh month	6%
Luxemburg	past 12 months	Equal with the period of affiliation, but limited to 365 days over a period of 2 years	80% of the gross reference wage, increasing to 85% if there are children in support	4.8%
Netherlands	over the past 36	3 months 3 to 38 months	75% of the gross reference wage for the first two months and 70% subsequently	2.9%
Portugal	15 months over the past 24 months	9 to 38 months	65% of the gross reference wage	8.2%
Switzerland	12 months over the past 2 years	18.5 to 24 months	70% or 80% of the gross reference wage, depending on the family situation or on the reference wage	3.6% September

Source: Unédic, DAJ, Département des études internationales, 2008

Within the context of the crisis, in 2010 there was increasing demand for a closer coordination of the economic and financial policies at the EU level (Eurobarometer 73, 2010): 75% of the citizens wanted stronger European economic governance (+2 points compared to the fall of 2009 and +4 points compared to February 2009). The economic governance was most strongly supported in Slovakia (89%), Belgium (87%), and Cyprus (87%). There has also been a significant change of opinion in favour of stronger economic governance in several countries, particularly in Finland and Ireland (+13 points compared to the fall of 2009), Belgium and Germany (+7 points), Austria, Luxemburg and Slovakia (+6 points), and in the Netherlands (+5 points). A relevant aspect regards the high trust in EU institutions in May 2010 compared to the trust in the national governments and parliaments (42% compared to 29% and 31%, respectively), even if trust in the EU decreased at the peak of the crisis (42% compared to 48% in the fall of 2009). The highest trust was recorded in Estonia (68%), Slovakia (65%), Bulgaria and Denmark (61%), while the lowest trust was recorded in the United Kingdom (20%).

Europe 2020 Strategy adopted by the European Council in 2010 is a new strategy for jobs and growth, based on consolidation and better coordination of the economic and social policies depending on clear objectives (Table 3) set according to the following priorities (European Commission, Europe 2020 Strategy, 2010):

- Smart growth 'consolidation of knowledge and innovation as drive of our future growth';
- Sustainable growth 'promotion of a much more efficient use of the resources, of the greener and more competitive economy';

• Inclusive growth (this term is used for the first time in the official European documents) – 'establishment of an economy with high employment rate, ensuring the social and territorial cohesion', 'empowering the people by a high rate of employment, by investments in better competencies, by the fight against poverty and by modernizing the labour market, by formation and social protection, thus supporting the citizens to manage and anticipate the changes, while constructing an inclusive society'.

Table 3: Objectives of Europe 2020 Strategy

- An economic objective employment rate: 75% (of the people aged 20-64);
- A technological objective '20x20x20': 20% reduction of the greenhouse gas emissions (compared to 1990), 20% higher efficiency of energy utilisation, or 20% decrease of the energy consumption, 20% increase of the proportion of renewable energy resources within the final gross consumption of energy;
- A social objective decrease by 20 million of the number of people exposed to the risk of poverty (25% less people running the risk of poverty);
- An educational objective maximum 10% rate of early school dropout and minimum 40% of the people aged 30-34 graduating tertiary education or equivalent;
- An objective general support of development proportion of the total (public and private funds for researchdevelopment: 3% of EU GDP.

Source: European Commission, Europe 2020 Strategy, 2010

However, the measures of the employment policies aiming to limit the effects of the crisis did not have the expected results on the background of higher European unemployment rates. Thus, the unemployment rate reached a new level, 10.1% in January 2012 (EU 27) and 10.7% in the Euro zone. The number of unemployed people in the EU was 24.325 million, with 0.6% increase from March 2011 to January 2012 (European Commission, D. G. for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion, 2012b).

The extension of the unemployment insurances is supported by the need to cover the employment, mobility and flexibility risks. The co-financing of the professional paths and routes (characterised by discontinuity), with the purpose to preserve and develop human rights, is a crucial element of the multifunctional implementation of the European Social Fund. The future labour market demands not just 'payment for the work', but also 'payment for transition'. These measures applied to the people affected by unemployment must be correlated with other systems, also undergoing reorganisation (pension insurances, health care insurances and payment of the disease benefits). The reason behind this correlation is to encourage the people to accept the 'risky' transition between different forms of employment, to combine different productive activities and even to take jobs in different geographical areas.

3. The European Social Fund in Romania

The specific allocations of the European Social Fund for each member state from 2007 to 2013 shows that the new member states received 27,07% of the €117,501,192,762 distributed to all the European countries (Table 4).

Table 4: ESF allocation, for the member states, for 2007-2013

	ESF co-funding breakdown								
Country	EU	National	Private	Total					
Austria	€524,412,560	€549,921,560	€110,000,000	€1,184,334,120					
Belgium	€1,073,217,594	€1,155,010,157	€91,656,855	€2,319,884,606					
Bulgaria	€1,185,459,863	€209,198,799	€0	€1,394,658,662					
Cyprus	€119,769,154	€29,942,289	€0	€149,711,443					
Czech Republic	€3,774,521,428	€661,259,283	€0	€4,435,780,711					
Denmark	€254,788,619	€169,989,437	€84,799,182	€509,577,238					
Estonia	€391,517,329	€51,514,377	€18,775,822	€461,807,528					
Finland	€618,564,064	€801,836,655	€0	€1,420,400,719					
France	€5,394,547,990	€3,692,289,165	€1,188,416,953	€10,275,254,108					
Germany	€9,380,654,763	€4,786,130,114	€1,499,483,428	€15,666,268,305					
Greece	€4,363,800,403	€1,362,266,800	€0	€5,726,067,203					
Hungary	€3,629,088,551	€640,427,395	€0	€4,269,515,946					
Ireland	€375,362,370	€981,757,963	€3,000,000	€1,360,120,333					
Italy	€6,938,007,896	€8,382,975,181	€0	€15,320,983,077					
Latvia	€550,653,717	€85,691,846	€20,612,487	€656,958,050					
Lithuania	€1,028,306,727	€105,884,641	€75,822,521	€1,210,013,889					
Luxembourg	€25,243,666	€25,243,666	€0	€50,487,332					
Malta	€112,000,000	€19,764,705	€0	€131,764,705					
Netherlands	€830,002,737	€467,973,207	€407,350,315	€1,705,326,259					
Poland	€9,707,176,000	€1,713,031,059	€0	€11,420,207,059					
Portugal	€6,512,387,865	€2,697,500,732	€0	€9,209,888,597					
Romania	€3,684,147,618	€651,225,177	€0	€4,335,372,795					
Slovakia	€1,499,603,156	€264,635,856	€0	€1,764,239,012					
Slovenia	€755,699,370	€133,358,718	€0	€889,058,088					
Spain	€8,057,328,822	€3,243,162,467	€125,323,084	€11,425,814,373					
Sweden	€691,551,158	€691,551,158	€0	€1,383,102,316					
United Kingdom	lom €4,474,917,728 €4,134,516,286 €215,162,274		€8,824,596,288						
	€75,952,731,148	€37,708,058,693	€3,840,402,921	€117,501,192,762					

Source: European Commission, D. G. for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion, 2012b

In order to increase the rate of absorption the EU funds available for New Member states it is necessary to ensure 'that in the process of putting policies into practice all principles –programming, partnership, co-financing, concentration, monitoring, evaluation and control are applied in compliance with the EU rules and the European Commission's proposals with regard to efficiency, transparency are entirely understood and carefully observed' (Profiroiu *et al.*, 2009, p. 153). From this stand point, the momentum and effervescence displayed during the pre-accession period, boosted by the conditions of the integrating process should become endogenous aspects specific to the assumption by every new member state of responsibility for the absorption of the structural funds. In absence of this endogenous element of internal growth, fundamented by political will, there is a possibility to call on exogenous instruments to redirect the process of positive integration of the member states and regions displaying significant lags (Cace *et al.*, 2010a, p. 92). In this respect, the principle of solidarity involves proactive reac-tions from the 'old' member states by making use of their experience to successfully

overcome the difficulties of the crisis and to generate new ways of absorption of the structural funds by the new member states (Cace *et al.*, 2010b, p. 101). On the other side, at the domestic level, 'Romanian authorities should increase the absorption rate by accelerating contracting of the projects and allocating more human resources towards monitoring project implementation and evaluation' (Braşoveanu *et al.*, 2011, p. 46).

The financing for Romania for 2007-2013 amounts €19,213,036,712. The funds are administered through the management authorities of the seven operational programs. The structure of the structural instruments shows the programs with the highest structural allocations (POS-T 26.97%, POS M 21.36%, and POSDRU 20.14%), as well as the programs with allocations less than 1% from the total funds for 2007-2013 (PO DCA 0.98%, and PO AT 0.81%) (ACIS, 2009, p. 25). For Romania, financial support of EU funds represents a great opportunity for central and local administration in order to develop the communities'social and economic dimensions (Brăgaru, 2011, p. 200).

The operational programs financed by ESF in Romania take into consideration the complexity of the economic and social aspects, aiming to get the education and professional formation, including the PhD studies and the university education, closer to the requirements of the labour market. ESF also supports the adaptation of the workforce to the changing economic conditions, increasing its participation on the labour market and substantially modernising the public administration.

Given the challenges confronting Romania, the operational programs of the ESF focus on two main directions.

- A. The first operational program is 'Human resources development' and its goal is to develop the human capital from Romania.
- B. The second operational program is 'Development of the administrative capacity' and it aims to improve the infrastructure of services and public policies with the purpose to accomplish the goals of the operational program 'Human resources development'.

The absorption rates for the programs specific to the European Social Fund in Romania, for 2007-2013, were rather low on March 31, 2012. Thus, POSDRU had an absorption rate of just 5.48%, while PODCA had an absorption rate of 9.08% (Table 5).

Program	EU allocation 2007-2013 (mil. lei)	Submitted projects			Approved projects c		Signed payrontracts tow		Internal payments towards beneficiaries		Statements of expenditure sent to EC		Intermediary payments to EC	
		Nr.	Total value (mil. lei)	Nr.	EU value (mil. lei)	Nr.	EU value (mil. lei)	(mil. lei)	%	(mil. lei)	%	(mil. euro)	%	
POSDRU	15114	10217	43257	2999	15160	2468	12687	4627	28.85	225.01	6.47	190.33	5.48	
PODCA	904	1371	3675	397	981	354	709	128	13.36	23.71	11.40	18.89	9.08	

 Table 5: ESF funds absorption in Romania (March 31, 2012)

Source: Government of Romania, Ministry of European Affairs, 2012

The standard model for analysis showed a swifter rhythm of ESF funds absorption by Romania; this expresses largely the efforts of the potential beneficiaries to adapt to the conditions of the financing lines and less a stimulating and selective administrative process concerning the efficiency of the submitted projects. However, the low rate of absorption of the structural and cohesion funds shows that the government of the country has to promote the most adequate measures required to attain the efficiency parameters measurable and comparable with the other states (Cace *et al.*, 2011, p. 103).

With the purpose of attracting European funds, the Government of Romania adopted several measures intended to produce a positive impact in this direction (Morovan, 2010, pp. 14-15): a) the possibility to grant an important proportion from the eligible value of the project as pre-financing; b) presentation by the private companies during the contracting phase of some documents required until now when the application for financing was filed; c) flexibilization of the eligibility criteria in terms of the duties of the applicant to the state budget; d) merging of the first two stages of the evaluation process, administrative eligibility checking; e) simplification of the public procurement norms. Several major problems have also been identified at the level of the management authorities, as well as the possible solutions to these problems depending on the type of program (Morovan, 2010, pp. 9-13). If Romania wants to avoid a 'saturation point' it has to develop with priority its capacity to absorb the structural funds which are allocated for the period 2007-2013 (Cace *et al.*, 2009, p. 24).

4. Benefits of POSDRU for the employment policies in Romania

Before the negotiations for accession to the EU started, it was considered that 'in Romania there is a high disproportion compared to the EU states regarding the occupational structure of the workforce. The most worrying evolution is the migration of the trained active population towards activities and engagements which require a lower level of professional training, towards the underground economy and towards the agriculture' (Stanciu, 1999, p. 69).

Workforce employment was considered a priority objective, making efforts to adapt the Romanian system in order to apply the European Strategy for Employment. Thus, in 2001, the Action Plan for employment (PNAO) was developed, together with the Program for the stimulation of employment and decrease of unemployment, which transposed Resolution 99/312/CE regarding the guiding lines in the field of employment.

For Romania, the accomplishment of economic performance and employment at the national level, correlated with a fair social system, is crucial for the sustainable development of society, which is why a set of national priorities has been set, among which we mention the increase of participation on the labour market and promotion of the employment quality (National Reform Programme 2007-2010, p. 46) through:

- Ensuring labour market functioning favourable to job creation, to the decrease of informal work and to the proper management of change among the enterprises and workers;
- Improving the access of the vulnerable groups on the labour market; and
- Promoting the competitiveness on the labour market, particularly by a better correlation between the educational and training systems on the one hand, and labour market requirements, on the other hand.

The 'National Strategic Reference Framework 2007-2013' (NSRF) aims to harmonize all these priorities within a coherent strategy, adequate for Romania, and in agreement with the EU strategies, including with the Lisbon Strategy, and has as an effect economic development and job creation.

It makes the connection between the national and European priorities specified in the 'Strategic Directing Lines for Economic, Social and Territorial Cohesion 2007-2013' and in the 'Integrated Directing Lines for Economic Growth and Employment 2008-2010'. The 'National Strategic Reference Framework 2007-2013' assumed the strategic vision from the 'National Plan of Development 2007-2013' (NPD 2007-2013), setting as a general goal the reduction of social and economic development disparities between Romania and the EU member states.

In terms of the human resources, NSRF highlights the development and more efficient use of the human capital in Romania. The aim is to 'support the educational and training system to improve the quality of education and the qualification of the workforce, and to ensure a higher flexibility of the educational system' (National Strategic Reference Framework 2007-2013, p. 3).

In the National Plan of Development 2007-2013, priority P4, 'Human Resources Development, promotion of employment and social inclusion and strengthening of the administrative capacity' states that the 'general objective is to develop the human capital and to increase its competitiveness on the labour market by ensuring the equal opportunity for life-long education and by the development of a modern, flexible and inclusive labour market which wauld lead, by 2015, to the sustainable employment of 900,000 people' (NPD 2007-2013, 2005, p. 298). At the European level, the agreed goal for 2020 is the increase of the employment rate to 75% of the population aged 20-64. The National Reform Programme 2011-2013 (April 2011) sets an ambitious target for Romania: 70% employment rate by 2020.

On the other hand, the Operational Sectoral Program Human Resources Development will have 1,650,000 beneficiaries (about 18% of the active population), by activities meant to improve the educational level, the level of professional insertion and worker adaptability.

Within this context defined by various programmatic documents that quantify in different formulas the employment in Romania, one can notice that the unemployment indices underwent positive adjustments in 2010-2012 (March): the number of the recorded unemployed people decreased from 740,000 (peak of the crisis in 2010) to 470,000 (January 2012) (European Commission, 2012a, p. 87). At the end of February, the national unemployment rate was 5.27%, the total number of unemployed people being 473,866 (ANOFM, 2012). Another representative example for the economic revival shows that Romania is among the six EU member states where the unemployment rate decreased in January 2012 compared to the spring of 2011 – Austria (-0.2pp to 4%), Slovakia (-0.2pp to 13.3%), Romania (-0.1pp to 7.2%), Finland (-0.1pp to 7.5%), Lithuania (-1.0pp to 14.3% in December 2011) and Latvia (-1.4% to 14.7% in September 2011).

We may certainly identify different sectoral effects of the measures taken to increase the employment rate, which might be shown to be successful within the context in which the results seem to indicate towards an improvement of the economic situation. We may thus show the benefits which the European Social Fund, through the Sectoral Operational Program Human Resources Development, had for the employment rate. POSDRU 2007-2013 has been adopted by the European Commission Decision no. 5811 of November 22, 2007 when the program implementation started. The Sectoral Operational Program Human Resources Development has 7 Priority Axes and 21 major Areas of Intervention, as shown in the table below (Table 6):

Table 6: Priority axes and major areas of intervention of the Sectoral Operational Program Human Resources Development

Priority axes	Major areas of intervention
1. Education and professional formation	Access to quality initial education and professional formation;
in support of the economic growth and of	Quality higher education;
the development of the knowledge-based	Human resources development in education and professional formation;
society	Quality in CPF;
	Doctoral and post-doc programs in support of research.
Correlation of the life-long education	Transition from school to the active life;
with the labour market	Prevention and correction of the early school dropout;
	Access and participation in CPF.
3. Increase the adaptability of workers	Promote the entrepreneurial culture;
and enterprises	Formation and support for the enterprises and their employees for the
	promotion of adaptability;
	Development of partnerships and encouragement of the initiatives of the
	social partners and of the civil society.
4. Modernization of the public	Strengthen the capacity of the Public Employment Service to supply
employment service	employment services;
	Formation of the staff of the Public Employment Service.
5. Promote active measures of	Development and implementation of active employment measures;
employment	Promote the long-term sustainability of the rural areas regarding human
	resources development and workforce employment.
6. Promotion of the social inclusion	Development of the social economy;
	Improve the access and participation of the vulnerable groups on the
	labour market;
	Promote equal opportunity on the labour market;
	Trans-national initiatives for an inclusive labour market.
7. Technical assistance	Support for POSDRU implementation, general management and
	evaluation;
	Support for POSDRU promotion and communication.

Source: Government of Romania, Ministry for Administration and Interior, 2010

As of the date when POSDRU implementation started, until March 31, 2012, a total of 1,050,802 people (63.68% of POSDRU 2007-2013 target of 1,650,000 people) participated, and 963,296 participated in just one operation (58.38% of POSDRU 2007-2013 target). For the 2,468 contracts of financing signed from 2008 to March 2012, it is suggestive to notice the wide coverage of the impact for the people supported directly through projects (Table 7).

Table 7: People supported directly through projects, POSDRU 2008 – March 2012

• 167,695 employees	• 43,670 people wanting to start an independent activity			
• 13,047 entrepreneurs	12,759 people with disabilities			
15,585 rural employees	23,855 Roma people			
8,196 PhD students	• 58,399 job seekers			
61,928 school children running the risk of early school	37,495 people engaged in subsistence agriculture			
dropout	9,324 long-term unemployed			
 16,002 parents/tutors of the school children running the 	84,641 unemployed			
risk of early school dropout	• 55,146 long-term unemployed			
• 1,846 people affected by diseases influencing their	• 21,846 unemployed over 45			
professional and social life (such as HIV/MIDS, cancer etc.)	65 839 young unemployed			

Source: AMPOSDRU, 2012

POSDRU priorities and the related financial allocations are in agreement and support the interdependent goals of the Lisbon Strategy: full employment (increase the overall employment rate to 70%, increase the employment rate for women to 60%, increase the employment rate for the old people to 50%), increase work quality and productivity, strengthening the social cohesion and inclusion. About 93.27% of the financial allocations of ESF for POSDRU 2007-2013 support the goals of the Lisbon Strategy.

6. Conclusions

In order to identify possible solutions to solve the employment crisis from Romania it is important to continue and detail the labour market analyses only within the context of the situation at the European level and in close connection with the process of participation of Romania to the administration of the main financial instruments of support from the European Union.

The European Social Fund (ESF), governed by Regulation (EC) no. 1081/2006, supports the adaptability of the workforce and of the enterprises, the increased access on the labour market, the prevention of unemployment, the prolongation of the active life and a higher rate of participation of the women and of the immigrants; it also supports the social inclusion of the disadvantaged people and fights discrimination. Even though it states that the 'European institutions are not quite visible in terms of their role for the implementation of such policy' (Serrano Pascual, 2003, p. 162) it is acknowledged that the 'role of the union must not be underestimated because the European Strategy for Employment is to promote and popularize a specific diagnosis of the problem (interpretation and causes), the principles of legitimating, the targets of the intervention and the role of the state' (Serrano Pascual, 2003, p. 151).

In Romania, workforce employment is a priority goal and efforts are taken to adapt the Romanian system in order to implement the European Strategy for Employment. Thus, POSDRU implements different measures by supporting the formation and acquisition of managerial qualifications; encouraging corporative responsibility by providing stimulants for the employers; making employers and employees aware of the necessity of the continuous professional formation; improvement of the quality of the employment services; social economy development; improved access and participation

of the vulnerable groups on the labour market; promoting equal opportunities on the labour market; consolidation and improvement of the way in which the systems of initial and continuous education and professional formation work.

References:

- Agenția Națională pentru Ocuparea Forței de Muncă (National Agency for Employment

 ANOFM, Rata șomajului în luna februarie 2012 (Unemployment Rate in February 2012), [Online] available at http://www.anofm.ro/rata-%C5%9Fomajului-%C3%AEn-luna-februarie-2012, accessed on March 26, 2012.
- AMPOSDRU (Managment Authority for POSDRU), National conference 'POSDRU

 Principalul furnizor de formare, ocupare şi incluziune socială în România 2012'
 (POS-DRU the Main Provider of Formation, Employment and Social Inclusion in Romania), Bucharest, April 11, 2012.
- Autoritatea pentru Coordonarea Instrumentelor Structurale (Authority for Coordinating Structural Instruments – ACIS), 'Asistenţa tehnică pentru implementarea instrumentelor structurale în România' (Technical Assistance for Implementing Structural Instruments in Romania), Bucharest: Direcţia Asistenţă Tehnică, Ministerul Finanţelor Publice, no. 1, 2009.
- 4. Braşoveanu, I.V., Silvestru, I.C., Pavel, A. and Onica, D., 'Structural and Cohesion Funds: Theoretical and Statistical Aspects in Romania and EU', 2011, *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, no. 33 E, pp. 30-48.
- 5. Brăgaru, C., 'Absorption of European Funds, Priority Objective for Local Communities Development', 2011, *Revista de cercetare și intervenție socială*, vol. 35, pp. 194-201.
- 6. Cace, C., Cace, S. and Nicolăescu, V., 'Absorption of the Structural Funds in Romania', 2011, *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 84-105.
- 7. Cace, C., Cace, S., Iova, C. and Nicolăescu, V., 'Capacity of Phare and Structural Funds Absorption: Pre-accession versus Post-accession', 2010a, *Revista de Cercetare și Intervenție Socială*, vol. 28, pp. 78-96.
- 8. Cace, C., Cace, S. and Nicolăescu, V., 'Management of the Structural Funds within the Context of the Global Economic Crisis', 2010b, *Review of International Comparative Man-agement*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 87-101.
- 9. Cace, C., Cace, S., Iova, C. and Nicolăescu, V., 'Absorption Capacity of Structural Funds, Integrating Perspectives', 2009, *Revista de cercetare și intervenție socială*, vol. 27, pp. 7-28.
- 10. Chalmers, D. and Lodge, M., *The Open Method of Co-ordination and the European Welfare State*, Centre for Analysis of Risk and Regulation, ESRC, London School of Economics and Political Science, Discussion Paper no. 11, June 2003, [Online] available at http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/35993/1/Disspaper11.pdf, accessed on April 14, 2012.
- 11. Council of the European Union, 'Proiect de raport comun privind ocuparea forței de muncă' (Project of Common Report on Employment), February 20, 2009 (26.02), Bruxelles, [Online] available at http://register.consilium.europa.eu/pdf/ro/09/st06/st06452. ro09.pdf, accessed on April 5, 2012.
- 12. European Commission, Communication from the Commission COM(2010) 2020 final, 2010, 'Europe 2020 A Strategy for Smart, Sustainable and Inclusive Growth', [Online] available at http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2010:2020:F IN:EN:PDF, accessed on April 14, 2012.

- 13. European Commission, 'EU Employment and Social Situation', Quaterly Review, Mar-ch 2012a, [Online] available at ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=7548&langId=en, accessed on April 3, 2012.
- 14. European Commission, Directorate General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion, Labour Market Fact Sheet, March 2012b, [Online] available at http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=ro&catId=113&newsId=1231&furtherNews=yes, accessed on March 5, 2012.
- 15. European Communities, 'European Social Fund, 50 years investing in people', Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2007, [Online] available at http://ec.europa.eu/esf/main.jsp?catId=35&langId=ro, accessed on April 5, 2012.
- 16. European Council, Presidency Conclusions, Lisbon European Council 23 and 24 March 2000, Press release: Lisbon (24/3/2000) no: 100/1/00, [Online] available at http://www.plan.be/websites/ferado/pdf/eulis_e.pdf, accessed on April 9, 2012.
- 17. Eurostat, News Release, Euroindicators, no. 59/2010 from 30 April 2010, [Online] available at http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/cache/ITY_PUBLIC/3-30042010-BP/EN/3-30042010-BP-EN.PDF, accessed on April 19, 2012.
- 18. Eurobarometer 73, Public Opinion in the European Union, First Results, August 2010, [Online] available at http://ec.europa.eu/public_opinion/archives/eb/eb73/eb73_first_en.pdf, accessed on April 7, 2012.
- 19. Ferrera M., Hemmerijck, A. and Rhodes, M., *The Future of Social Europe. Recasting Work and Welfare in the New Economy*, Lisbon: CELTA, 2000.
- 20. Government of Romania, Ministry of European Affairs, Absorption Rate for Structural and Cohesion Funds for Each Operational Programme up to March 31, 2012, [Online] available at www.dae.gov.ro/admin/files/Stadiul%20absorbtiei%20la%2031%20martie%202012.pdf, accessed on April 2, 2012.
- 21. Government of Romania, Cadrul Strategic Naţional de Referinţă 2007-2013 (National Stra-tegic Reference Framework 2007–2013), [Online] available at http://www.fonduri-ue.ro/ res/filepicker_users/cd25a597fd-62/Doc_prog/CSNR/1_CSNR_2007-2013_%28eng.%29. pdf, accessed on March 12, 2012.
- 22. Government of Romania, 'Planul Naţional de Dezvoltare 2007-2013' (National Plan of Development 2007-2013), [Online] available at http://www.fonduri-ue.ro/res/filepick-er_users/cd25a597fd-62/Doc_prog/PND_2007_2013/1_PND_2007_2013(Ro.).pdf, accessed on April 5, 2012.
- 23. Government of Romania, 'National Reform Programme 2007-2010', [Online] available at http://www.posdru.edu.ro/index.php/articles/6847, accessed on April 5, 2012.
- 24. Government of Romania, 'National Reform Programme 2011-2013', April 2011, [Online] available at http://ec.europa.eu/europe2020/pdf/nrp/nrp_romania_en.pdf, accessed on April 5, 2012.
- 25. Government of Romania, Ministry for Administration and Interior, Documentul cadru de implementare a Programului Operaţional 'Dezvoltarea capacităţii administrative' (Framework Document for the Implementation of the Sectoral Operational Program Administrative Capacity Development), version 3, 2010, [Online] available at http://www.fonduriadministratie.ro/arhiva/360_Document%20cadru%20de%20implementare%20a%20PO%20DCA%20-%20versiunea%203%281%29.pdf, accessed on May 15, 2012.

- 26. Leibfried, S. and Pierson, P., European Social Policy. Between Fragmentation and Integration, Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institute, 1995.
- 27. Martin, A. and Ross, G., *Euros and the Europeans. Monetary Integration and the European Model of Society*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- 28. Morovan I., 'Suntem în grafic? 2010: primul bilanț Utilizarea fondurilor structurale', Centrul Român de Politici Europene, Policy Memo, no. 7, 2010.
- 29. Mosher, J., 'Open Method of Co-ordination: Functional and Political Origins', 2000, *ECSA Review*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 6-7.
- 30. Profiroiu, M., Ionescu, V.R., Constantin, D.L. and Marchiş, G., 'Between Do's and Don'ts in the Catching-up Process Lessons for Romania from the EU-15 Cohesion Countries', 2009, *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, no. 26E, pp. 139-155.
- 31. Regulation (EC) no. 1081/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2006 on the European Social Fund and repealing Regulation (EC) no. 1784/1999, published in the Official Journal of the European Union, L 210/12, on July 31, 2006.
- 32. Scharpf, F., 'A New Social Contract? Negative and Positive Integration in the Political Economy of European Welfare States', 1996, EUI Working Paper RSC no. 96/44.
- 33. Schelkle, W., 'Understanding New Forms of European Integration: A Study in Competing Political Economy Explanations', in Jones, E. and Verdun, A. (eds.), *Political Economy Approaches to the Study of European Integration*, London and New York: Routledge, 2004, pp. 149-169.
- 34. Sen, A., 'Inequality, Unemployment and Contemporary Europe', 1997, *International La-bour Review*, vol. 136, no. 2, pp. 155-171.
- 35. Serrano Pascual, P.A., 'Towards Convergence of European Activation Policies?', in Fo-den, D. and Magnusson, L. (eds.), *Five Years' Experience of the Luxembourg Employment Strategy*, Brussels: ETUI Editions, 2003, pp. 141-166.
- 36. Stanciu M., 'Probleme actuale ale ocupării forței de muncă din România', 1999, *Calitatea Vieții*, no. 1-2, pp. 61-71.
- 37. Stiglitz, J., 'Employment, Social Justice and Societal Well-Being', 2002, *International Labour Review*, vol. 141, no. 1-2, pp. 9-29.
- 38. Unédic, DAJ, Département des études internationales, 2008, [Online] available at http:// info.assedic.fr/unijuridis/travail/documents/summarytable_010108.pdf, accessed on April 25, 2009.