

# MPRA

Munich Personal RePEc Archive

## **Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) and the Digital Economy: challenges and issues**

Jim DiGabriele and Marianne Ojo

Montclair State University, George Mason University

2018

Online at <https://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/83734/>

MPRA Paper No. 83734, posted 8 January 2018 17:08 UTC

# Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) and the Digital Economy: Challenges and Issues

*Prof James A DiGabriele (PhD) : Montclair State University, USA*  
*Prof Marianne Ojo (PhD): George Mason University, USA*

## Abstract

The digital economy, undoubtedly, has contributed to the immense task of clearly identifying, ascertaining, and accounting for sources, rationales, and audit trails relating to tax transactions. This is not only evident owing to difficulties associated with cross-border transaction regulations which govern different jurisdictions as well as the enforcement of such regulations, but also in respect of risks associated with the present global financial environment – all having generated from the rise in automation, increased and improved sophisticated technologies, globalization, and conglomeration. This chapter not only seeks to highlight the extent, contribution, and significance of the digital economy in respect of those risks associated with base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS) but also amongst other aims and objectives to recommend measures whereby regulations can be better enforced as a means of addressing practices associated with BEPS.

Keywords: Multilateral Instruments, Joint Audits, Aggressive Tax Planning Schemes, Digital Economy, Transfer Pricing, Global Value Chains, Cross Sectional Services Risks

# Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) and the Digital Economy: Challenges and Issues

*Prof James A DiGabriele (PhD) : Montclair State University, USA*  
*Prof Marianne Ojo (PhD): George Mason University, USA*

## **Aims and Objectives of the Chapter**

Hence the aims and objectives of the chapter are as follows:

- To investigate those risks associated with base erosion and profit shifting
- To highlight and evaluate global transparency initiatives made in relation to BEPs – inclusively of those involving the digital economy: namely, B2C digital supplies GST/VAT registration and B2C physical supplies
- To evaluate legislative initiatives in respect of interest deductions (India Finance Act 2017 EBITDA rule), for example. Traditional debt equity based rules have been retained or made more stringent by some Asia Pacific jurisdictions (Australia) with others such as Indonesia and Malaysia introducing such regulations. Other initiatives undertaken in Europe, the United States and Latin America, will also considered.
- To highlight the extent, contribution and significance of the digital economy in respect of those risks associated with base erosion and profit shifting
- To investigate how joint audits are able to contribute to better compliance, enforcement and monitoring strategies
- To recommend measures whereby regulations can be better enforced, as a means of addressing practices associated with BEPS.

Hence this chapter will focus on one particular form of base erosion and profit shifting, namely, hybrid mismatch arrangements – as well as the impact of such arrangements in the global economy. The use of vehicles and financial instruments such as hybrid entities, hybrid instruments and hybrid transfers has been known to contribute to various forms of risks – particularly fueled by the digital economy and advanced technologies. Just as conglomeration has made it more difficult to distinguish between banking, insurance and securities based activities, particularly facilitating shadow banking activities, the digital economy has also

contributed to the channels through which hybrid instruments are able to operate. For these reasons, and given the fact that risks, are by nature, difficult to quantify, audits provide a more reliable way of quantifying and accounting for such risks. However, as will be revealed in the chapter, the very essence and foundation of generating certainty and clarity, whereby a common set of rules can be applied, is not exactly a feasible or easily accomplishable task.

## REFERENCES

CBBC. (n.d.). *CBBC Guide to E-Commerce in China*. Retrieved from [http://www.iberchina.org/files/E-Commerce-Report\\_china.pdf](http://www.iberchina.org/files/E-Commerce-Report_china.pdf)

Ernst and Young. (2016). *Japan Releases 2017 Tax Reform Outline*. Retrieved June 10, 2017 from [http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/Japan\\_releases\\_2017\\_tax\\_reform\\_outline/\\$FILE/2016G\\_04338-161Gbl\\_Japan%20releases%202017%20tax%20reform%20outline.pdf](http://www.ey.com/Publication/vwLUAssets/Japan_releases_2017_tax_reform_outline/$FILE/2016G_04338-161Gbl_Japan%20releases%202017%20tax%20reform%20outline.pdf)

India Union Budget. (2017). Retrieved July 15 2017 from file:///C:/Users/Autumn/Downloads/India%20Budget%202017.pdf

KPMG. (2015a). China Looking Ahead. In *International Tax Review* (5<sup>th</sup> ed.). Retrieved March 6th 2017 from <https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2015/12/China-Looking-Ahead-ITR-201512.pdf>

KPMG. (2015b). *China Tax Alert: SAT solicits public comments on new China transfer pricing and special tax adjustments guidance discussion draft*. Retrieved Jan 1<sup>st</sup> 2017 from <https://home.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2015/09/china-sept20-2015secondversion.pdf>

KPMG. (2016a). *China Tax Alert, BEPS Multilateral Instrument for worldwide tax treaty updates released by OECD*. Retrieved from Jan 19<sup>th</sup> 2017 <https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/cn/pdf/en/2016/11/china-tax-alert-35.pdf>

KPMG. (2016b). *Addressing Hybrid Mismatch Arrangements: A Government Discussion Document*. Retrieved 3<sup>rd</sup> May from <https://home.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/nz/pdf/November/dd-hybrids-mismatch-comments-kpmg-nz-2016.pdf>

KPMG. (2017a). *KPMG TaxWatch: Tax Reform Understanding Border Adjustability*. Retrieved February 2, 2017 from <http://www.kpmg-institutes.com/institutes/tax-governance-institute/articles/campaigns/tax-reform-under-trump/tax-reform-market-polling.html>

KPMG. (2017b). *Global Trends in Tax Reform and BEPS Implementation*. Retrieved 22 February 2017 from <https://home.kpmg.com/xx/en/home/insights/2017/01/global-trends-in-tax-reform-beps-implementation.html>

McKenzie, B. (2016). *Japan 2017 Tax Reform Proposal Newsletter*. Retrieved Jan 5 2017 from [https://www.bakermckenzie.co.jp/wp/wp-content/uploads/Newsletter\\_161220\\_TaxReformProposal\\_E.pdf](https://www.bakermckenzie.co.jp/wp/wp-content/uploads/Newsletter_161220_TaxReformProposal_E.pdf)

OECD. (2011). *Corporate Loss Utilization through Aggressive Tax Planning*. OECD Publishing. 10.1787/9789264119222-en

OECD. (2013). *Addressing Base Erosion and Profit Shifting*. OECD Publishing. 10.1787/9789264192744-en

OECD. (2017). *Signatories Of The Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement On The Exchange Of Country-By-Country Reports (Cbc Mcaa) And Signing Dates*. Retrieved 6<sup>th</sup> March 2017 from <https://www.oecd.org/tax/beps/CbC-MCAA-Signatories.pdf>

PwC. (2012). *The Physical Side of E-Commerce*. Retrieved 25<sup>th</sup> Feb from <http://ebiz.pwc.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/PwC-The-physical-side-of-Ecommerce-v.110512-ebiz.pwc.com-.pdf>

U.S.-to-China. (2016). *B2c E-Commerce: Improving Logistics To Grow Trade Stanford Value Chain Innovation Initiative*. Retrieved 10<sup>th</sup> Feb 2017 from <https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/sites/gsb/files/publication-pdf/vcii-white-paper-us-china-ecommerce-imrpove-logistics-grow-trade.pdf>