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# THE NECESSITY OF DRAWING UP THE ANNUAL PRODUCTION PLAN AND THE IMPORTANCE OF ESTABLISHMENT CROP STRUCTURE FOR NEXT AGRICULTURAL YEAR 

ȘURCĂ DANIELA -ELENA ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

Summary: Planning represents establishment and substantiate the objectives, accomplish tasks and necessary resources for appropriate period plan ( of perspective, annual, quarterly, monthly). Drawing up annual production plan into a ferm is required primarily for evolution or involution recorded by economical phenomenes, which directly determines the operation of the farm. After determining the annual production plan can establish structures and cultures for the next agricultural year using modeling and simulation methods. Following the application of modeling and simulation methods in a farm resulting optimal dimensions of business operations with profit maximization in terms of economic efficiency increased.
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## INTRODUCTION

Economic situation of the year depends on the decisions made about what crops will be set up in the spring and the judicious use of the land, the culture plan drawn up.

In the decisions we take, there are some mandatory directions that we have to consider, such as:

- The crop in the previous year, according to which we must establish what cultivate to ensure a good crop rotation, knowing that the right choice of prior plants is the cheapest way to combat weeds, diseases, pests
- Restoring soil water reserves after a highly dry autumn and a started winter with little rainfall, which obliges us to orient of crops with less water, so resistant to drought;
-Establishing the structure of crops for the next agriculture year is important because activities must be dimesnsionate optimally to ensure a positive result of the exercise with minimal expenses.


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

For establish the annual plan of crop one material is indispensable, this is the data sheet technological, this is a document in which they are listed in chronological order all agricultural work (manual and mechanized) - being executed for a certain culture.

Technological sheet helps us to determine: need for agricultural machinery and equipment, the need for manual labor and mechanized, the necessary raw materials and the necessary financial resources setting will help us in forecasting average production to be obtained in culture respective.

In the technological sheet are found only direct costs.
To determine the structure of crops for next agricultural year we used two methods of modeling and simulation:

1) Method logical variants -Method modeling and simulation of activities within a company and serves to determine the optimal structure of company activities in order to profit maximization. To use this method starts from the surface and consumption, the method consists in building the "x" alternatives taking into account the consumption indicator lines is considered bigger and consider indicators that are restrictive.

These variants were made using calculations necessary human days in months and to the economic and financial cultures, all this information was obtained from annual production plan.

[^0]Tables variants have changed crops in rotation surfaces, respecting restrictions to choose, what brings the greatest profit.
2) Linear programming optimization method size and structure of farm crops

- Parts of the mathematical model
a. lens- function may be to maximize profit or minimize costs
b. technical and economic-matrix coefficients
c. Resources
d. non-negativity condition (CNN)-any activity of the company must be greater than 0

3) The mathematical model to optimize the size farm.

After determining the activities and resources, creating the mathematical model and algebraic form of a matrix can build notepad file by using the file we run the simplex to determine first solution and dual solution.The optimization algorithm using farm size SIMPLEX- that schedule is represented by a number of determinants, calculations, signs, conditions and restrictions.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION <br> Making a model of annual production plan

The annual plan production on a farm is a support to farmers, it helps to better management of activities in society. : Development plan is done taking into account several criteria:

- The favorable land available for different culture
- Crop rotation
- Impact on soil and the environment
- Net profit (per parcel and per holding)
- Restrictions in preparing crop rotation

Knowing the cost of the necessary human resources, materials and equipment, consequential helps anticipation of such holding planned and will be able to ensure the optimum functionality.

Whereby the Company issued annual production plan is fictitious located in Prahova Ciorani ,cultures prevalent for this area are: wheat and rye, maize, sunflower, sugar beet, potatoes and vegetables.

Table 1. Cultures were grown in the plan at the company analyzed

| No. | Culture | Previous Year |  | Year Plan |  | Next Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ha | $\mathbf{\%}$ | ha | \% | ha | \% |
| 1 | Pease | 4 | $6.25 \%$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8 1 \%}$ | 3 | $4.68 \%$ |
| 2 | Wheat | 28 | $43,75 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 3 1 \%}$ | 30 | $46,88 \%$ |
| 3 | Maize | 18 | $28,12 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 8 8 \%}$ | 19 | $29.68 \%$ |
| 4 | Sunflower | 14 | $21,87 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | 12 | $18,76 \%$ |
|  | Total | 64 | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 64 | $100 \%$ |

With technological sheet for each crop we Calculation of man days in months and cultures, data were extracted from column No. 11 and based on the work carried ha is possible that day man will be represented in the same month several times, in this case the data obtained are gathered in that month multiplied by the total number of hectares for each crop

Table 2. Calculation of man days per month and culture

| No. | Month | Total holding | Wheat <br> $\mathbf{2 9} \mathbf{h a}$ | Maize <br> $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{h a}$ | Sunflower <br> $\mathbf{1 6}$ ha | Peas <br> $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~ h a ~}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | February | 2,9 | 2,9 | - | - | - |
| 3 | March | 7,065 | - | - | 5,28 | 1,785 |
| 4 | April | 75,804 | 23,9 | 3,024 | 48,48 | 0,4 |
| 5 | May | 42,42 | - | 42,42 | - | - |


| 6 | June | 96,42 | 4,64 | 42,42 | 48,96 | 0,4 |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | July | 10,43 | 3,48 | - | - | 6,95 |
| 8 | August | 27,57 | 21,17 | - | 6,4 | - |
| 9 | September | 51,42 | 2,9 | 48,02 | - | 0,5 |
| 10 | October | 63,13 | 6,09 | 55,44 | 1,6 | - |
| 11 | November | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | December | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Total | 377,16 | 65,08 | 191,32 | 110,72 | 10,035 |

Source: own processing -conform sheet technology
After achieving this table I found that analyzed holding permanent workers will have 2 and 4 laborers (for the whole year) which is employed only where required to do so months (April, June, September, October).

Still using sheet technology have established and the necessary normal day of mechanized and the demand for tractors, data were extracted from column No. 7, according to the work carried ha are likely day of mechanized be represented in the same month several times in this case the data obtained are gathered on the month and multiplied by the total number of hectares for each crop and divided to 8 (this being the number of hours worked per day)

Table 3. Establishing the necessary days and the necessary time mechanized tractors

| No. | Month | Total holding | Wheat <br> $\mathbf{2 9} \mathbf{~ h a}$ | Maize <br> $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{~ h a}$ | Sunflower <br> $\mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{h a}$ | Peas <br> $\mathbf{5}$ ha |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | February | 1,381 | 1,381 | - | - | - |
| 3 | March | 6,525 | - | - | 5,128 | 1,397 |
| 4 | April | 7,948 | 1,359 | 5,467 | 0,888 | 0,234 |
| 5 | May | 0,56 | - | 0,56 | - | - |
| 6 | June | 6,251 | 3,292 | 0,87 | 1,744 | 0,345 |
| 7 | July | 20,072 | 8,856 | - | 5,596 | 5,62 |
| 8 | August | 1,892 | 1,892 | - | - | - |
| 9 | September | 17,819 | 11,662 | 2,814 | - | 3,343 |
| 10 | October | 17,932 | 8,098 | 4,834 | 5 | - |
| 11 | November | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | December | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Total | 80,38 | 36,54 | 14,544 | 18,356 | 10,939 |

Source: own processing -conform sheet technology
Using the table above we found that we needed one tractor to be completely independent of equipment outside the company.

Table 4. The needs of diesel per hectare

| No. | Month | Total holding | Wheat <br> $\mathbf{2 9} \mathbf{h a}$ | Maize <br> $\mathbf{1 4} \mathbf{h a}$ | Sunflower <br> $\mathbf{1 6}$ ha | Peas <br> $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{h a}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January |  | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | February | 1,527 | 1,527 | - | - | - |
| 3 | March | 30,293 | - | - | 16,021 | 14,272 |
| 4 | April | 26,786 | 1,29 | 21,206 | 3 | 1,29 |
| 5 | May | 2,2 | - | 2,2 | - | - |
| 6 | June | 13,979 | 4,62 | 2,979 | 4,29 | 2,09 |
| 7 | July | 66,614 | 16,266 | - | - | 50,348 |
| 8 | August | 27,008 | 1,968 | - | 25,04 | - |
| 9 | September | 78,724 | 27,66 | 9,974 | - | 41,09 |
| 10 | October | 63,028 | 14,263 | 26,659 | 22,106 | - |
| 11 | November | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | December | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Total | 310,159 | 67,594 | 63,018 | 70,457 | 109,09 |

Table 5. Requirement retributions per hectare

| No. | Month | Total holding | Wheat | Maize | Sunflower | Peas |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | January | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | February | 4,1 | 4,1 | - | - | - |
| 3 | March | 32,4 | - | - | 17,6 | 14,8 |
| 4 | April | 140,9 | 3,3 | 8,9 | 125,4 | 3,3 |
| 5 | May | 125,4 | - | 125,4 | - | - |
| 6 | June | 261,9 | 6,6 | 125,4 | 126,6 | 3,3 |
| 7 | July | 62,5 | 5,0 | - | - | 57,3 |
| 8 | August | 47,3 | 30,7 | - | 16,6 | - |
| 9 | September | 128,4 | 0,4 | 124 | - | 4 |
| 10 | October | 175,5 | 8,4 | 163,1 | 4 | - |
| 11 | November | - |  | - | - | - |
| 12 | December | - |  | - | - | - |
| 13 | Total | 978,4 | 58,5 | 546,8 | 290,2 | 82,9 |

Source: own processing -conform sheet technology
The need for retributions is shown in column no. 13 of sheets technological and represents the amount of money spent on certain activities per hectare.

Following those discussed above will be calculated expenses, revenues and financial results for the entire farm.

Table 6. Distribution of expenses per per hectare per crop area

| No. | Activity | Surface | Direct Expenses |  | Indirect Expenses |  | Total expenses |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  | ha | ha | Surface | ha | Surface | ha | Surface |
| 1 | Wheat | 29 | $3.293,1$ | $95.499,9$ | 131,72 | 3.820 | $3.424,82$ | $99.319,9$ |
| 2 | Maize | 14 | $3.241,8$ | $45.385,2$ | 129,67 | $1.815,41$ | $3.371,47$ | $47.200,61$ |
| 3 | Sunflower | 16 | $2.923,9$ | $46.782,4$ | 116,96 | $1.871,30$ | $3.040,86$ | $48.653,70$ |
| 4 | Peas | 5 | $4.097,4$ | 20.487 | 163,90 | 819,48 | $4.261,30$ | $21.306,48$ |
| 5 | Total | - | - | $\mathbf{2 0 8 . 1 5 4 , 5}$ | - | $\mathbf{8 . 3 2 6 , 1 8}$ | - | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6 4 8 0 , 7}$ |

Source: own processing -conform sheet technology
Direct expenditures are drawn from technological sheet and indirect costs represent $4 \%$ of direct expenses. Analyzing the structure of total expenditures reveals that the highest values were recorded by Expenditures of the wheat crop lei 99319.9. At the opposite pole pea crop, accounting value of 21306.48.

Table 7. Calculation economic and financial results

| No. | Activity | Surface | Production (tonnes) |  | Production Cost | Sale <br> Price | Revenue |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | ha | ha | Surface |  |  |  | lei/ Surface |
| 1 | Wheat | 29 | 5 | 145 | 684,96 | 700 | 3.500 | 101.500 |
| 2 | Maize | 14 | 6 | 84 | 561,91 | 650 | 3.900 | 54.600 |
| 3 | Sunflower | 16 | 4 | 64 | 760,21 | 1.400 | 5.600 | 89.600 |
| 4 | Peas | 5 | 4,5 | 22,5 | 946,95 | 1.200 | 5.400 | 27.000 |
| 5 | Total |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 7 2 . 7 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Expenses |  | Profit / loss without subsidies |  | Profit / loss with subsidies |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lei/ha | lei/Surface | lei/ha | lei/ Surface | lei/ha | lei/Surface |
| $3.424,82$ | $99.313,9$ | 75,17 | $2.186,1$ | 779,17 | $22.596,1$ |
| $3.371,47$ | $47.200,61$ | 528,52 | 739,39 | 928,52 | $12.999,39$ |
| $3.040,85$ | $48.653,7$ | $2.559,14$ | $40.946,3$ | $2.691,14$ | $43.058,3$ |
| $4.261,29$ | $21.306,48$ | $1.138,70$ | $5.693,52$ | $1.270,70$ | $6.353,52$ |
| - | $\mathbf{3 2 5 . 1 2 3 , 5}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 2 2 5 , 3 2}$ | - | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0 0 7 , 3 2}$ |

Source: own processing -conform sheet technology

In the annual production plan we have the following steps:

- Establishing crop
- The location of the crop in the previous year plan, the planyear and the following year plan
- Establishing the necessary mechanized and manual ruledays
- Evaluation of prices and tariffs
- Calculation of revenue and financial results at farm leveland for each activity


## Development of a model to optimize the size and structure of farm crops by methods of modeling and simulation

Multiple logical method variants - is the construction of "x" variants that are given values each activity so as to comply with certain requirements on total arable area, crops to rotation requirements, the availability of labor. This is a method of modeling and simulation and is designed to determine the optimal structure of company activities in order to obtain maximum profit.

| Variant logic no. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Profit |  | consumption man days / ha |  | consumption m.d/surface |  | available man days |  | available <br> m.d.consumption <br> /surface |  |
| Activity | Surface | ha | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total |
| Wheat | 28 | 779,17 | 21.816,93 | 0.16 | 1.5 | 4.48 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | 18 | 928,52 | 16.713,5 | 3.03 | 13.66 | 54.54 | 245.88 |  |  |  |  |
| Sunflower | 14 | 2.691,14 | 37.676,02 | 3.06 | 7.02 | 1.12 | 98.28 |  |  |  |  |
| Peas | 4 | 1.270,70 | 5.082,81 | 0.08 | 2.007 | 12.24 | 8.028 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 64 |  | 81.289,26 |  |  | 72.38 | 394.188 | 46 | 414 | -26.38 | 19.812 |

Variant logic no. 2

|  | Profit |  |  |  | consumption <br> man days / <br> ha |  |  | consumption <br> m.d/surface |  | available <br> man days |  | available <br> m.d.consumpti <br> on/surface |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Surface | ha | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total |  |
| Wheat | 29 | 779,17 | $22.596,1$ | 0.16 | 1.4 | 4.64 | 40.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | 14 | 928,52 | $12.999,39$ | 3.03 | 13.66 | 42.42 | 191.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sunflowe <br> r | 16 | $2.691,14$ | $43.058,3$ | 3.06 | 7.02 | 48.96 | 112.32 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peas | 5 | $1.270,70$ | $6.353,52$ | 0.08 | 2.007 | 0.4 | 10.035 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 64 |  | $\mathbf{8 5 . 0 0 7 , 3 2}$ |  |  | 96.42 | 354.195 | 46 | 414 | -50.42 | 59.81 |  |

Variant logic no. 3

|  |  | Profit |  | consumption man days/ ha |  | consumption m.d/surface |  | available man days |  | available m.d.consumption /surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Surface | ha | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total |
| Wheat | 23 | 779,17 | 17.921,05 | 0.16 | 1.4 | 3.68 | 32.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | 19 | 928,52 | 17.642,03 | 3.03 | 13.66 | 57.57 | 259.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Sunflower | 16 | 2.691,14 | 43.058,3 | 3.06 | 7.02 | 48.96 | 112.32 |  |  |  |  |
| Peas | 5 | 1.270,70 | 6.353,52 | 0.08 | 2.007 | 0.4 | 10.035 |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 84.974,9 |  |  | 110.61 | 414.095 | 46 | 414 | -64.61 | -0.095 |

Variant logic no. 4

|  |  | Profit |  | consumption man days / ha |  | consumption m.d/surface |  | available man days |  | available <br> m.d.consumption <br> /surface |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | Surface | ha | Total | Mont <br> h | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total | Month | Total |
| Wheat | 19 | 779,17 | 14.804,34 | 0.16 | 1.4 | 3.04 | 26.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | 20 | 928,52 | 18.570,56 | 3.03 | 13.66 | 60.6 | 273.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Sunflower | 16 | 2.691,14 | 43.058,3 | 3.06 | 7.02 | 48.96 | 112.32 |  |  |  |  |


| Peas | $\mathbf{8}$ | $1.270,70$ | $10.165,63$ | 0.08 | 2.007 | 0.64 | 16.056 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{8 6 . 5 9 8 , 8 4}$ |  |  | 113.24 | 428.176 | 46 | 414 | -67.24 | -14.176 |

I realized logical variants using tables 2 and 5, the tables have changed variants crops in rotation surfaces, respecting restrictions to choose, what brings the greatest profit. Thus, we obtained the 4th variant as the best option. With this variant, a profit of 86598.84 , conducted by two workers for 23 days per month during 9 months.

## Linear programming method to optimize the size and structure crop farm

Linear programming is directed to a particular class of optimization problems which are often found in the economic applications. These problems consist of maximizing or minimizing a linear function called objective function, whose variables must satisfy:

- relations system data in the form of equations and / or non-strict linear inequalities, collectively restrictions;
- requirement to take only non-negative numeric values $(\geq 0)$

Components of the mathematical model:

1. The objective function, which is to maximize profit or minimize costs
2. The technical and economic matrix coefficients
3. resources
4. The condition of non-negativity

Table 8. Activities and resources

| Activity | Wheat | Maize | Sunflower | Peas | 64 ha |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profit | 779,17 | 928,52 | 2691,1 | 1270,7 |  |
| consumption man days / year | 65,08 | 191,32 | 110,72 | 10,035 |  |
| consumption man days june /ha | 4,64 | 42,42 | 48,96 | 0,4 |  |
| consumption mechanized days/year | 36,54 | 14,54 | 18,35 | 10,93 |  |
| consumption mechanized days june/ha | 3,29 | 0,87 | 1,744 | 0,345 |  |
| retributions | 58,5 | 546,8 | 290,2 | 82,9 | 16000 |

## $>$ algebraic form of the mathematical model

O.F $=$ profit $=$ surface
$O . F=779,17 * x 1+928,52 * x 2+2691,1 * x 3+1270,7 * x 4$

L1 SURFACE TOTAL
L2 STRAW SURFACE
L3 SURFACE.SUNFLOWER (25\%)
L4 SURFACE MAIZE(30\%)
L5 SURFACE VEGETABLES (15\%)
L6 consumption man days / year
L7 consumption man days june / ha
L8 consumption mechanized days/year
L9 consumption mechanized days june/ha $=0,11 \times 1+0,602 \times 2+0,109 \times 3+0,069 \times 4$ L10 retributions

$$
=\mathrm{X} 1+\mathrm{X} 2+\mathrm{X} 3+\mathrm{X} 4 \leq 64 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

$$
=\mathrm{X} 1 \quad \leq 32 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

$$
=\quad \mathrm{X} 3 \quad \leq 16 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

$$
=\quad \mathrm{X} 2 \quad \leq 20 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

$$
=\quad \mathrm{X} 4 \leq 11 \mathrm{Ha}
$$

$$
=1,4 \times 1+13,66 \times 2+7,02 \times 3+2,007 \times 4 \quad \leq 414
$$

$$
=0,16 \times 1+3,03 \times 2+3,06 \times 4+0,08 \times 4 \quad \leq 46
$$

$$
=3,22 \times 1+1,63 \times 2+1,11 \times 3+2,18 \times 4 \leq 414
$$

$$
=58,5 \times 1+546,8 \times 2+290,2 \times 3+82,9 \times 4 \leq 16000
$$

## $>$ matrix form of the mathematical model

O.F.. [779,17;928,52; 2691,1;1270,7]

| L1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\leq$ | 64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\leq$ | 32 |
| L3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\leq$ | 16 |
| L4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\leq$ | 20 |
| L5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\leq$ | 11 |

Following the completion of two forms, algebra and matrix, a mathematical model can be built using notepad file which can run simplex algorithm, used to optimize the size of the farm to reach maximum profit. After running the program will give two solutions:
a) PRIMARY solution - this is reflected in the structure and activities are eaten each restriction placed

My example is done for a unit with an arable area of 64 ha which is manual labor two workers standing for 23 normal days per month for nine months and has two machines for 23 normal days per month period of 9 months.

To get maximum profits can grow on the 64ha area: 32 hectares of wheat, 20 hectares of maize, 16 hectares sunflower and 11 hectares peas. Of the four selected crops were grown only 2.61 hectares of maize, 11 hectares over the surface of peas and corn 16 hectares.

The contribution activities in this holding is as

| Variabila: Denumire: |  | Marime : | Valoarea | Aport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X 2 | Porumb | 2.61 | 928.52 | 2426.68 |
| X 3 | Floarea Soa | 16.00 | 2691.10 | 43057.60 |
| X 4 | Mazare | 11.00 | 1270.70 | 13977.70 |
| Varia | 1a: Denumire : | Cantitatea ramasa: | Cantitatea initiala : | Cantitatea consumata: |
| L 1 | suptot | 34.39 | 64.00 | 29.61 |
| L 2 | SUP PAI | 32.00 | 32.00 | 0.00 |
| L 3 | SUP Pb | 17.39 | 20.00 | 2.61 |
| L 6 | ZO TOT | 243.90 | 414.00 | 170.10 |
| L 7 | ZMEC TOT | 356.24 | 414.00 | 57.76 |
| L9 | ZMEC IUN | 41.92 | 46.00 | 4.08 |
| L 10 | RETRIBUTII | 9015.84 | 16000.00 | 6984.16 | follows: the 2.61 ha planted with maize intake of 2426.68 lei ( $2.61 * 92.52$ ) fl.soarelui area has 16 ha planted with a contribution of $43,057.60$ lei ( 16 * 2691.10) on 11ha planted peas are obtained 13977.70 lei (11 * 1270.70).

b) DUAL solution - it contains only the resources that are consumed entirely

Interpretation dual solution
Restrictions that condition for chosen cultural :

- L4 SUPFls (16 ha),
- L5 SupMz (11),
- L8 JUN ZO (46),
which are wholly consumed in the multitude of restrictions, resulting in maximum profit. (resources of this solution are limiting factors).
Noteworthy is that the value of 59461.98 O.F. product yield and 11ha *28.87559lei + 16ha * 2.058,79+ 46 * 569.6442 lei

VARIABILE DUALE:

| Variabila:Denumire: | Valoare | CANTITATE: APORT |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | duala: |  | marginal |
| L4 SUP F1s | 2058.795 | 16 | 32940.72 |
| L5 Sup Mz | 28.87559 | 11 | 317.6315 |
| L 8 ZO IUN | 569.6442 | 46 | 26203.63 |

$>$ parameterization is achieved by modifying restrictive factors and aims to optimize its tasks efficiently in order to obtain maximum profit.
> By modifying restrictive factors in dual solution namely man days june (from 46 to 115 days ie from 2 to 5 workers) and man days / year (from 414-621, ie from 2-3 permanent workers)found that all four cultures were cultivated sunflower and pea areas being cultivated in toatalitate, corn surface area being cultivated in $88 \%-17.62$ ha, the area cultivated least $43.2 \%-13.83$ wheat.

The contribution to the objective function for each crop being 10776.72 - wheat, 16362.50-corn, 43057.60 -sunflower, 13977.70 -peas. O.F. $\rightarrow 84174.53$
$>$ I noticed it is that the value of O.F. increased from 59461.98 to 84174.53 after parameterization

## CONCLUSIONS

The production plan of the farm includes all the results expected to be obtained on production sectors and categories of activities and material and human resources necessary to fulfill them.

For the preparation of production and business at farm level (vegetable farms) can also use the program AGR4 it allows updating all indicators, monthly or at any time, which is information realist "Today" in the act of operative management, increasing the effectiveness of the decisions.

Although both methods of modeling and simulation profit realized I recommend the method of modeling and simulation through linear programming, even if it does not bring a profit as high as the profit obtained by the method variants logical believe that this profit is made safe since it established more restrictions.
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