

1 THE RESIDUAL EFFECTS OF ZINC FERTILIZATION ^{1/}

2 G. E. Leggett ^{2/}

3 ABSTRACT

4 The residual value of zinc fertilizer applied to Portneuf silt loam
5 near Kimberly, Idaho was determined by three methods, a) DTPA-extract-
6 able Zn, b) plant growth and Zn uptake by beans (Phaseolus vulgaris)
7 grown in a field experiment, and c) plant growth and Zn uptake by beans
8 grown in a pot experiment on soil taken from field plots previously
9 fertilized with Zn.

10 The plant growth and Zn uptake data from the field and pot
11 experiments indicate that application of 10 lb Zn/A is adequate for at
12 least three bean crops. DTPA-extractable Zn on samples taken in 1983
13 indicate adequate available Zn for a fourth crop. The soil tests are
14 still above 1 ppm and they decrease slowly with time. Thus, the single
15 10 lb Zn/A will likely suffice for several more crops. The experiment
16 is being continued.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ^{1/} Proceedings, 34th Annual NW Fertilizer Conference, Portland,
25 Oregon, July 12-13, 1983.

26 ^{2/} Soil Scientist, Snake River Conservation Research Center,
27 USDA-ARS, Kimberly, ID 83341

INTRODUCTION

1
2 Relatively few reports exist in the literature dealing with field
3 studies of residual zinc. Boawn et al. (1960) first reported pronounced
4 residual effects of Zn applied to a neutral soil in central Washington.
5 They showed that Zn rates ranging up to 16 lb Zn/A increased the 0.1 N
6 HCl-extractable Zn from the soil and increased the Zn uptake by crops
7 for as long as 6 years. Brown et al. (1964) related the residual
8 response of crops to field applications of different Zn rates, time
9 since applications were made, and the dithizone-extractable Zn. Visual
10 effects on plant growth were evident 3 or 4 years after the Zn was
11 applied. Boawn (1974, 1976) reported results from two experiments
12 conducted on calcareous and non-calcareous soils in Washington. Seven
13 crops of corn (Zea mays L.) were grown on these experiments. He
14 concluded that a new elevated equilibrium level of 0.1 N HCl- or
15 DTPA-extractable Zn persisted through the seven crops when as little as
16 5 lb Zn/A was applied. The enhanced availability of Zn as measured by
17 soil tests was also evident from the Zn uptake by each of the crops.

18 Residual Zn effects have been reported as long as 4 years after
19 field application from California (Zink, 1966), Michigan (Vinande et
20 al., 1968), and Virginia (Schnappinger et al., 1972).

21 The work reported here was conducted to supply answers to
22 frequently asked questions concerning the need for frequent application
23 of Zn to beans (Phaseolus vulgaris) grown in southern Idaho.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

24
25 A field experiment was conducted on Portneuf silt loam near
26 Kimberly, Idaho wherein the plots were large enough to continue them for
27 several years to determine the residual value of Zn fertilizer. The

1 plots (20x45 ft) were cropped to beans each of 3 years. Starting in
2 1980, 10 lb Zn/A was applied to selected plots; in 1981 an additional
3 10 lb Zn/A was applied to some of the previously treated plots as well
4 as to previously unfertilized plots. Similar treatments were applied a
5 third time in 1982. Thus, in the third year the total applied zinc
6 amounted to 0, 10, 20, and 30 lb Zn/A. In addition the single 10 lb
7 Zn/A applied in each of the 3 years allowed evaluating the residual
8 effects of these single applications. The zinc was applied by spraying
9 zinc sulfate solution on the soil surface before plowing. The
10 treatments were evaluated on the basis of soil tests for available Zn,
11 plant growth in the field, and by pot tests using soil from the
12 field-treated plots.

13 Soil samples consisting of 15 cores per plot to a depth of 12 in.
14 were taken from each plot in the spring of each year. They were dried
15 at 30 C, sieved (2 mm) to remove debris, and analyzed for
16 DTPA-extractable Zn (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978).

17 The beans were planted about June 1 each year. They were furrow
18 irrigated according to the needs established by tensiometers placed in
19 the rows at 12- and 18-in depths. Plants were sampled (15 whole plants)
20 at various times, washed in distilled water, dried at about 55 C,
21 weighed, and ground to pass a 40-mesh screen in a Wiley mill equipped
22 with stainless steel blades and screen. Bean yields were measured by
23 harvesting two 30-ft rows from each plot when the crop was mature.

24 An additional evaluation of residual zinc was made by growing
25 Sanilac beans in a growth chamber on soil (0 to 12 in) from some of the
26 variously treated field plots. Besides the soil as it came from the
27 field, treatments also included fresh applications of 10 ppm Zn as zinc

1 sulfate. Each pot contained 4.5 kg of soil. De-ionized water was added
2 as needed to bring the soil moisture to 25 percent by weight.
3 Supplemental N, P, K, and S were added to all pots to ensure adequate
4 amounts of these nutrients. The beans were allowed to grow for
5 approximately 4 weeks. The above-ground portions were harvested and
6 processed in the same way as the field samples. All plant samples were
7 digested in a mixture of nitric and perchloric acids (3+1). The
8 resulting solution was diluted and analyzed for Zn and several other
9 mineral constituents.

10 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

11 Soil Tests

12 The DTPA-extractable Zn (Table 1) indicates marked responses to
13 applied Zn and that the increased soil tests persisted into succeeding
14 years. The soil test values measured in 1983 indicate only slight
15 differences among the 10 lb Zn/A applications made in 1980, 1981, and
16 1982. All of the levels were increased well above the 0.6 ppm Zn
17 considered adequate for good crop growth. In addition values resulting
18 from accumulative 20 or 30 lb Zn/A show step-wise increases.

19 When the 1982 soil tests are plotted against the amount of Zn
20 applied over the 3 years, the data form a straight line that indicates
21 the DTPA-extractable Zn increases about 1.0 ppm from applying 7 lb Zn/A.
22 Boawn (1971) showed that the DTPA soil test increased 1.0 ppm for each
23 7 lb Zn/A applied and that both the 0.1 N HCl and DTPA soil tests on
24 Warden fine sandy loam in central Washington responded linearly to
25 application of as much as 800 lb Zn/A. Comparison of the two methods
26 indicates that the ratio of DTPA-Zn to 0.1 N HCl-Zn was approximately
27 0.625.

1 Values for the 1983 sampling appear to be generally lower than
2 those obtained in 1982, although by current calibration they are still
3 adequate. This general decrease may result from reversion of the Zn to
4 un-extractable forms or it may be a seasonal fluctuation. The latter
5 seems reasonable, since the 1983 values for the single 10 lb Zn/A
6 applied in each of the 3 years all are essentially the same. The 1982
7 application showed the same decrease after 1 year as did the 1980
8 application after 3 years. If reversion of Zn to un-extractable forms
9 were occurring, the Zn applied in 1980 should have reverted the most.

10 These results are similar to those presented by Boawn (1974, 1976)
11 for calcareous and non-calcareous soils in Washington. The
12 DTPA-extractable Zn in his studies decreased faster than those obtained
13 in this study, but elevated soil test values persisted throughout
14 7 years from a single application of 10 lb Zn/A on both soils, however,
15 they were considered adequate for only the first 3 years. He concluded
16 that the extractable Zn levels appeared to approach a new equilibrium
17 value for each level of Zn applied. Application of 20 lb Zn/A was
18 adequate for 7 years on both soils studied and may provide an adequate
19 level of Zn for many years, since the final values measured were still
20 well above the adequate level.

21 Zn Uptake Field Experiment

22 In each of the three years the beans not fertilized with Zn showed
23 strong to severe zinc deficiency symptoms. Those fertilized with Zn
24 grew normally. The results of plant analysis on whole plant samples
25 taken on July 16, 1982 are shown in Table 2.

26 The dry weights of all Zn fertilized plants were nearly the same.
27 Zn concentration, however, increased with increasing soil test as a

1 result of increasing Zn fertilization. As a consequence Zn uptake
2 increased as Zn fertilization increased. It is significant to note that
3 Zn uptake on July 16 was essentially the same for the three single 10 lb
4 Zn/A applied in each of 3 years.

5 Boawn (1974) measured Zn uptake by corn where Zn was applied 1, 2,
6 3, or 4 years previously. All values were the same as for a current
7 season application for corn grown on the noncalcareous Shano soil (0.185
8 to 0.197 lb Zn/A) and showed only a slight decrease in the fourth year
9 for that grown on the calcareous Hezel soil (0.261 to 0.355 lb Zn/A).
10 These levels of uptake are much larger than those measured for beans in
11 the experiment reported here which averaged generally less than 0.1 lb
12 Zn/A. This difference in Zn removal by the two crops may be a factor in
13 the more rapid decrease in soils test Zn given by Boawn. Especially
14 since all above ground portions of the corn were removed, whereas the
15 bean straw was returned to the plots during bean harvest. Thus, about
16 three or more times more Zn was removed from the soil in the corn
17 experiments as was removed from the bean experiment.

18 Growth Chamber Experiment

19 A third indication of the residual value of Zn fertilization is
20 shown in Table 3. The plant growth data indicate a marked response to
21 residual Zn regardless of which of the 3 years it was applied. Where
22 10 lb Zn/A had been applied, plant growth was more than 2.5 times that
23 from the unfertilized treatment. For the 30 lb Zn/A accumulative
24 treatment plant growth was increased almost 4 times that from the
25 unfertilized soil. The Zn concentration of the plants increased only
26 slightly until maximum growth was obtained and then increased markedly
27 with increasing Zn availability, thus both plant size and Zn

1 concentration increased Zn uptake. Again, the three single 10 lb Zn/A
2 applications made in 3 different years showed equal Zn uptake.
3 Additional uptake occurred from the 30 lb Zn/A accumulative treatment
4 and where the fresh Zn applications were made at the beginning of the
5 pot experiment. The increased Zn availability resulting from the fresh
6 applications and the high rate applied in the field probably results
7 from the small pots (4.5 kg soil) and the rapid growth rate the plants
8 experienced under the favorable conditions in the growth chamber. Such
9 increases were not evident in the field experiment.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

LITERATURE CITED

1. Boawn, Louis C. 1971. Zinc accumulation characteristics of some leafy vegetables. *Comm. Soil Sci. and Plant Anal.* 2:31-36.
2. Boawn, Louis C. 1974. Residual availability of fertilizer zinc. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 38:800-803.
3. Boawn, Louis C. 1976. Sequel to "Residual availability of fertilizer zinc." *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 40:467-468.
4. Boawn, L. C., F. G. Viets, Jr., C. L. Crawford, and J. L. Nelson. 1960. Effect of nitrogen carrier, nitrogen rate, zinc rate, and soil pH on zinc uptake by sorghum, potatoes, and sugar beets. *Soil Sci.* 90:329-337.
5. Brown, A. L., B. A. Krantz, and P. E. Martin. 1964. The residual effect of zinc applied to soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 28:236-238.
6. Lindsay, W. L., and W. A. Norvell. 1978. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 42:421-428.
7. Schnappinger, M. G., D. C. Martens, G. W. Hawkins, D. F. Amos, and G. D. McCart. 1972. Response of corn to residual and applied $ZnSO_4$ and $ZnEDTA$ in field investigations. *Agron. J.* 64:64-66.
8. Vinande, R., B. Knezek, J. Davis, E. Doll, and J. Melton. 1968. Field and laboratory studies with zinc and iron fertilization of pea beans, corn and potatoes in 1967. *Quarterly Bull. Mich. Agr. Exp. Sta.* 50:625-636.
9. Zink, F. W. 1966. The response of head lettuce to soil applications of zinc. *Proc. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 89:406-414.

Table 1. The DTPA-Extractable Zn as affected by time of application and sampling date, resulting from field application of Zn fertilizer

Zn Applied			DTPA-Extractable Zn			
1980	1981	1982	1980	1981	1982	1983
lbs/A			ppm			
0	0	0	0.4 \pm 0.42	0.4 \pm 0.05	0.5 \pm 0.10	0.4 \pm 0.05
10	0	0	1.6 \pm 0.26	1.8 \pm 0.28	1.7 \pm 0.11	1.4 \pm 0.21
0	10	0	0.5 \pm 0.05	1.8 \pm 0.17	1.9 \pm 0.53	1.2 \pm 0.21
0	0	10	0.4 \pm 0.05	0.4 \pm 0.10	1.7 \pm 0.54	1.5 \pm 0.14
10	10	0	1.6 \pm 0.26	3.4 \pm 0.32	2.9 \pm 0.53	2.3 \pm 0.63
0	10	10	0.5 \pm 0.05	2.1 \pm 1.23	3.5 \pm 0.61	2.4 \pm 0.21
10	0	10	1.4 \pm 0.28	1.9 \pm 0.36	2.8 \pm 0.25	2.1 \pm 0.38
10	10	10	1.4 \pm 0.28	3.2 \pm 0.31	4.6 \pm 0.94	3.0 \pm 0.12
Date Sampled			5/80	5/81	5/82	3/83

Table 2. The plant yield, Zn concentration and Zn uptake of Viva beans grown in the field, sampled July 16, 1982, variously fertilized with Zn.

Zn Applied			DTPA-Extr Zn - 1982	Dry Weight	Zn Conc.	Zn Uptake
1980	1981	1982				
	lbs/A		ppm	lbs/A	ppm	lbs/A
0	0	0	0.45	950	17.7	0.0167
10	0	0	1.70	1350	24.2	0.0326
0	10	0	1.90	1120	24.0	0.0268
0	0	10	1.70	1230	26.5	0.0325
10	10	0	2.90	1310	29.0	0.0379
0	10	10	3.55	1290	29.0	0.0375
10	0	10	2.82	1280	31.0	0.0395
10	10	10	4.57	1260	33.0	0.0416
LSD 0.05				190	5.0	0.009
0.01				260	6.8	0.012

Table 3. The yield, Zn concentration and Zn uptake of beans grown in pots on soil from field plots fertilized with Zn at different times

Zn Applied To				DTPA- Extr. Zn	Dry Wt.	Zn Conc.	Zn Uptake
Field		Pots					
1980	1981	1982	1983	ppm	g/pot	ppm	µg/pot
	lbs/A		ppm				
0	0	0	0	0.6	1.74	10.0	17
0	0	0	10		7.12	17.0	121
10	0	0	0	1.5	4.77	12.5	58
10	0	0	10		7.36	19.0	140
0	10	0	0	1.7	4.61	11.6	53
0	10	0	10		8.25	19.0	156
0	0	10	0	1.7	4.92	12.0	59
0	0	10	10		7.60	20.6	156
10	10	10	0	4.6	6.87	17.0	117
10	10	10	10		7.90	21.6	170
LSD 0.05					2.38	5.0	62
0.01					3.26	6.8	82