

# MPRA

Munich Personal RePEc Archive

## Nyquist Frequency in Sequentially Sampled Data

Nezameddin Faghih and Ali Faghih

Shiraz University, University of Maryland

2008

Online at <http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/14311/>

MPRA Paper No. 14311, posted 27. March 2009 03:22 UTC

## Nyquist Frequency in Sequentially Sampled Data

Nezameddin Faghih\* and Ali Faghih\*\*

### **ABSTRACT**

This paper studies the sequential sampling scheme as a solution to the problem of aliasing, where the sampling interval is restricted to a minimum allowable value  $\Delta T$ . In sequential sampling, the signal is sampled at intervals of  $\Delta T$ ,  $\Delta T + \Delta\tau$ ,  $\Delta T + 2\Delta\tau$ ,  $\Delta T + 3\Delta\tau$ , ...; where  $\Delta\tau < \Delta T$  and  $\Delta\tau$  may be selected as desirable. Sequential sampling is, however, analyzed and it is proved that when the ratio  $\Delta T / \Delta\tau$  is an integral number, the associated spectral estimates give a Nyquist frequency  $\frac{1}{2\Delta\tau}$ . This sampling scheme can, therefore, be employed to yield a required cut-off frequency.

---

\* Shiraz University ([faghihnezam@ut.ac.ir](mailto:faghihnezam@ut.ac.ir))

\*\* University of Maryland, College Park

## **INTRODUCTION**

Some data acquisition systems have a minimum allowable sampling interval and do not provide a desired sampling period less than a minimum allowable value. This may be due to some restrictions set by the measuring instrument that has to be used [1-7].

Let the minimum allowable sampling time be  $\Delta T$ ; if the uniform sampling scheme is employed then, the Nyquist or cut-off frequency is known [8] to be given as:

$$f_c = \frac{1}{2\Delta T} \quad (1)$$

This would mean that if frequencies higher than  $f_c$  are present, aliasing will occur. Otherwise, the signal would have to be filtered so that only frequencies below  $f_c$  are passed and, therefore, the spectral analysis will be restricted [8].

The sequential sampling scheme can, however, be employed to obtain an autocorrelation function with estimates  $\Delta\tau$  apart, where  $\Delta\tau < \Delta T$ , with the exception of coefficients lying inside the range  $R(0) \rightarrow R(\Delta T)$ . In this sampling scheme, the signal would be sampled at intervals of:

$$\Delta T, \Delta T + \Delta\tau, \Delta T + 2\Delta\tau, \Delta T + 3\Delta\tau, \dots$$

From the sampled signal, an autocorrelation function can be obtained with the coefficients:

$$R(0), R(\Delta T), R(\Delta T + \Delta\tau), R(\Delta T + 2\Delta\tau), \dots$$

While  $\Delta T$  is restricted, the value of  $\Delta\tau$  may be chosen as desirable. It will be proved, in this paper, that the sequential sampling can give an increased cut-off frequency as:

$$f_{cs} = \frac{1}{2\Delta\tau} \quad (2)$$

The sequential sampling can, therefore, be employed to overcome aliasing and the restrictions of spectral analysis, by selecting a sufficiently small value for  $\Delta\tau$ .

## **THE CUT OFF FREQUENCY IN THE SEQUENTIAL SAMPLING**

The cut-off frequency provided by the sequential sampling scheme is considered in this section. The analysis employs the impulse representation of a continuous signal as an approach to discretization [9-14].

In the sequential sampling, the signal is sampled at intervals of:

$$\Delta T, \Delta T + \Delta\tau, \Delta T + 2\Delta\tau, \Delta T + 3\Delta\tau, \dots$$

The sampling instants are, therefore, given by:

$$t_i = 0, \Delta T, 2\Delta T + \Delta\tau, 3\Delta T + 3\Delta\tau, 4\Delta T + 6\Delta\tau, \dots \quad (3)$$

This can be written as:

$$t_i = i\Delta T + \left[ \sum_{r=0}^i r - i \right] \Delta \tau, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4)$$

Since,  $\sum_{r=0}^i r = \frac{i}{2}(i+1)$ , then equation (4) gives:

$$t_i = i\Delta T + \frac{i}{2}(i-1)\Delta \tau \quad (5)$$

When a continuous signal  $x(t)$  is sampled, the sample values  $x(t_i)$  are acquired. A discrete autocorrelation function, with coefficients  $R(\tau_j)$ , may be obtained from the discrete signal, by contributions of the products  $x(t_i)x(t_{i+j})$ . Equation (5) can be used to give the time delay  $\tau_j$  as:

$$\tau_j = t_{i+j} - t_i = \Delta T + \left[ \frac{j}{2}(j-1) + ij + (j-1)\mu \right] \Delta \tau \quad (6)$$

$$i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant given by:

$$\mu = \Delta T / \Delta \tau \quad (7)$$

It is seen from equation (6) that for  $j=0$ , the time delay is zero and for  $j=1$ , the time delay is  $\Delta T + i\Delta \tau$  (where  $i=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). An autocorrelation function is, therefore, obtainable at discrete values of the time delay given as:

$$\tau_n = 0, \Delta T + n\Delta \tau \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (8)$$

If the ratio  $\mu$  is an integral number, then higher values of  $j$  would also provide more contributions to the autocorrelation estimates at the above time delays  $\tau_n$ . This is because  $j(j-1)/2$  is always even, and any value of  $j$  would hence add a multiple of  $\Delta \tau$  to  $\Delta T$ .

The discrete autocorrelation function may be represented as:

$$R^*(\tau) = \Delta \tau \cdot R(\tau) \delta_b(\tau) \quad (9)$$

where  $R(\tau)$  is the continuous autocorrelation function and  $\delta_b(\tau)$  is the following form of the delta comb:

$$\delta_b(\tau) = \delta(\tau) + \delta(\tau - \Delta T) + \delta(\tau - \Delta T - \Delta \tau) + \delta(\tau - \Delta T - 2\Delta \tau) + \dots \quad (10)$$

It is established [9-10] that the Fourier transform of equation (10) can be written as:

$$\Delta_b(\omega) = 1 + e^{-j\omega\Delta T} \sum_0^{\infty} e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau} \quad (11)$$

which by manipulation [9-10] can be re-written as:

$$\Delta_b(\omega) = \frac{1 - e^{-j\omega\Delta\tau} + e^{-j\omega\mu\Delta\tau}}{1 - e^{-j\omega\Delta\tau}} \quad (12)$$

where substitution has also been made for  $\Delta T$  from equation (7).

The Fourier transformation of  $R^*(\tau)$  gives the spectral density  $S^*(\omega)$  corresponding to the sampled signal and that of  $R(\tau)$  would yield the spectral density  $S(\omega)$  of the original continuous signal. The approach adopted for the Fourier transformation of equation (9) is based on the convolution and residue theorems [9]. By evaluating the residue terms [9] and using the convolution property [9], for substitution into equation (9), the Fourier transform of this equation can be obtained as:

$$S^*(\omega) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{j2\pi n\mu} S(\omega + 2n\omega_{cs}), \quad \omega_{cs} = \pi/\Delta\tau \quad (13)$$

However, if the ratio  $\mu=(\Delta T/\Delta\tau)$  is an integral number then,

$$e^{j2\pi n\mu} = 1$$

noting that  $n$  is also an integer. Substituting this into equation (13) gives:

$$S^*(\omega) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(\omega + 2n\omega_{cs}), \quad \omega_{cs} = \pi/\Delta\tau \quad (14)$$

Now, consider the periodicity of  $S^*(\omega)$ ; this can also be examined by applying the corresponding methods [9-14]. Using equations (9), (10), (11) and the rules established for discrete Fourier transformation [9-10], it can be written:

$$\Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j\omega\Delta T} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau}] = \Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j\omega\mu\Delta\tau} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau}] \quad (15)$$

and then for an integer  $m$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j(\omega+2m\omega_{cs})\mu\Delta\tau} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j(\omega+2m\omega_{cs})n\Delta\tau}] = \\
& \Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j\omega\mu\Delta\tau} e^{-j2m\omega_{cs}\mu\Delta\tau} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau} \cdot e^{-j2m\omega_{cs}n\Delta\tau}] = \\
& \Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j\omega\mu\Delta\tau} \cdot e^{-j2m\mu\pi} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau} \cdot e^{-j2mn\pi}] = \\
& \Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j\omega\mu\Delta\tau} \cdot e^{-j2m\mu\pi} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau}] \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

since  $m$  and  $n$  are integers. If  $\mu$  is also an integral number, this would reduce to:

$$\Delta\tau[R(0) + e^{-j\omega\mu\Delta\tau} \sum_0^{\infty} R(\Delta T + n\Delta\tau)e^{-j\omega n\Delta\tau}]$$

from which it follows that:

$$S^*(\omega + 2m\omega_{cs}) = S^*(\omega) \quad (17)$$

This is the mathematical statement for  $S^*(\omega)$  to be periodic with period  $2\omega_{cs}$ . Otherwise, if  $\mu$  is not an integral number,

$$S^*(\omega + 2m\omega_{cs}) \neq S^*(\omega) \quad (18)$$

and the requirement for periodicity is not met.

It is, therefore, seen that when the ratio  $\mu(=\Delta T/\Delta\tau)$  is an integral number, the periodic pattern, conforming with the Nyquist theorem,[9-14] is obtained. That is, the sequential sampling gives a cut-off frequency  $\omega_{cs} = \pi/\Delta\tau$  or  $f_{cs} = \frac{1}{2\Delta\tau}$ . On the contrary, when  $\mu$  is not a whole number,  $S^*(\omega)$  is related to the true spectral density by equation (13); it includes a complex term and is not periodic.

## CONCLUSIONS

This paper has considered the sequential sampling scheme, as a solution to the problem of aliasing, where the sampling interval is restricted to a minimum allowable value  $\Delta T$ . In the sequential sampling, the signal is sampled at intervals of  $\Delta T, \Delta T + \Delta\tau, \Delta T + 2\Delta\tau, \Delta T + 3\Delta\tau, \dots$ ; where  $\Delta\tau \geq \Delta T$  and may be selected as desirable.

The sequential sampling was considered analytically and it was proved that, when the ratio  $\Delta T / \Delta\tau$  is an integral number, the corresponding spectral estimates give a cut-off frequency of  $\frac{1}{2\Delta\tau}$ . On the contrary, when the ratio is not a whole number, the associated spectrum of the sequentially

sampled data was found to comprise a complex term in its relation to the true spectrum and would not be periodic in terms of the cut-off frequency.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 - Wirth, W.D. (1995), "Energy Saving by Coherent Sequential Detection of Radar Signals with Unknown Doppler Shift", IEE Proceedings on Radar, Sonar and Navigation, 142, 145-52.
- 2 - Willis, N.P. and Bresler, Y.(1992), "A New Approach to the Time-Sequential Sampling Problem", Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 3, 277-80.
- 3 - Allebach, J.P. (1984), "Design of Antialiasing Patterns for Time-Sequential Sampling of Spatiotemporal Signals", IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, 32, 1, 137- 44.
- 4 - Allebach, J.P. (1981), "Design of Sampling Patterns for Time Sequential Sampling of Spatio- Temporal Signals", Proceedings of Micro-Delcon Delaware Bay Computer Conference, 9-13.
- 5 - Aizawa, A.N. and Wah, B.W. (1994), "A Sequential Sampling Procedure for Genetic Algorithms", Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 27, 9-10, 77-82.
- 6 - Gaster, M. and Bradbury, L.J.S. (1976), "The Measurement of the Spectra of Highly Turbulent Flows by a Randomly Triggered Pulsed-Wire Anemometer", J. Fluid Mech, 77, 499-509.
- 7 - Bradbury, L.J.S. (1978), "Examples of the Use of the Pulsed Wire Anemometer in Highly Turbulent Flow", Proceedings of the Dynamic Flow Conference, Marseille, 489-509.
- 8 - Bendat, J.S. and Piersol, A.G. (1986), "Random Data: Analysis and Measurement Procedures", Wiley-Interscience.
- 9 - Saucedo, R. and Schiring, E. (1968), "Introduction to Continuous and Digital Control Systems", Macmillan.
- 10 - Papoulis, A. (1962), "The Fourier Integral and its Applications", McGraw- Hill.

- 11 - Oppenheim, A.V. et al (1983), "Signals and Systems", Prentice- Hall.
- 12 - Carlson, A.B. (1986), "Communication Systems", McGraw-Hill.
- 13 - Jones, R.H. and Steele, N.C. (1989), "Mathematics in Communication Theory", Ellis Horwood Publishers.
- 14 - Steward, E.G. (1989), "Fourier Optics: an Introduction", Ellis Horwood Publishers.