PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 031505(R) (2012)

Search for spin-dependent short-range force between nucleons using optically polarized ³He gas

W. Zheng,¹ H. Gao,¹ B. Lalremruata,¹ Y. Zhang,¹ G. Laskaris,¹ W. M. Snow,² and C. B. Fu³

¹Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory and Department of Physics, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708, USA

²Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47408, USA

³Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, 200240, China

(Received 24 October 2011; published 23 February 2012)

We propose a new method to detect short-range *P*- and *T*-violating interactions between nucleons, based on measuring the precession frequency shift of polarized ³He nuclei in the presence of an unpolarized mass. To maximize the sensitivity, a high-pressure ³He cell with thin glass windows (250 μ m) is used to minimize the distance between the mass and ³He. The magnetic field fluctuation is suppressed by using the ³He gas in a different region of the cell as a magnetometer. Systematic uncertainties from the magnetic properties of the mass are suppressed by flipping both the magnetic field and spin directions. Without any magnetic shielding, our result has already reached the sensitivity of the current best limit. With improvement in uniformity and stability of the field, we can further improve the sensitivity by 2 orders of magnitude over the force range from $10^{-4} - 10^{-2}$ m.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.85.031505

PACS numbers: 14.20.Dh, 13.75.Cs

The possible existence of new forces with weak couplings and macroscopic ranges have been proposed by several authors [1–4]. A *P*-and *T*-violating macroscopic force with an interaction range from cm to μ m first proposed in [5] has the form

$$V(z) = \frac{g_s g_p \hbar^2 \hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}}{8\pi m_n} \left(\frac{1}{r\lambda} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) \exp(-r/\lambda), \qquad (1)$$

where g_s and g_p are the scalar and pseudoscalar coupling constants, \hbar is the Plank's constant, $\hat{\sigma}$ is the spin of the polarized nucleon, $\hat{r} = \vec{r}/r$ is the unit vector from the unpolarized nucleon to the polarized nucleon, m_n is the nucleon mass, and λ is the range of the force. This short-range force is mediated by exchanging an axionlike particle between unpolarized nucleons and polarized nucleons. A similar interaction may also exist between nucleon and electron. Many experimental efforts have been devoted to search for this interaction between either nucleons or nucleon and electron, and various techniques have been used, such as sensitive torsion pendula [6,7], clock comparisons between two different polarized species [8–10], and measurements of neutron bound states on a flat surface in the gravitational field [11]. Very recently, measurements of the longitudinal relaxation rate Γ_1 and transverse relaxation rate Γ_2 of polarized ³He gas were used to search for this short-range interaction between nucleons [12–15]. As the relaxation time of polarized ³He can be as long as tens of hours, any new interaction with the polarized ³He nuclei can lead to a visible change in the relaxation time. These measurements provide to our knowledge the most stringent direct laboratory constraint on the coupling constant product $g_s g_p$ for a monopole-dipole interaction between nucleons of the form in Eq. (1) over distances from 10^{-6} to 10^{-2} m [15]. Note that this limit is still more than 9 orders of magnitude larger than the standard Axion coupling originally proposed to solve the strong CP problem [8,16].

In this work, we present a new method to search for the spin-dependent macroscopic force between nucleons by measuring the frequency difference of optically polarized ³He gas with and without a nearby unpolarized mass. The frequency difference due to the magnetic field gradient is a first order effect, as such it is more sensitive than the relaxation measurement because the gradient-induced relaxation is a second order effect [17,18]. We also performed a pilot experiment to demonstrate how this method works. With a modest stability of the magnetic field, the sensitivity of this experiment already reaches the current best laboratory limit on g_sg_p . With improved stability of the magnetic field, the proposed method could be used to improve the current best limit by two to 3 orders of magnitude in the force range from 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} m.

The spin-dependent short-range interaction changes the precession frequency of the polarized nuclei through the interaction $\hat{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r}$ in Eq. (1), which is similar to the wellknown $\hat{\mu} \cdot \vec{B}$ interaction of a magnetic dipole moment $\hat{\mu}$ in an external magnetic field \vec{B} . Consider a cylindrical cell containing polarized ³He gas with its polarization pointing in the z direction along the axis of the cylinder and a block of unpolarized mass is placed next to the end of the cell. The short-range interaction on each ³He atom inside the cell can be obtained by integrating Eq. (1) over the unpolarized source mass. In the limit case in which the transverse dimensions (x, y direction) of the mass are much larger than the force range, the mass can be approximated as an infinite plane source with its normal pointing in the zdirection. In this limit the frequency shift from the planar mass block is [14]

$$\Delta \omega = \frac{g_s g_p N \hbar \lambda}{4m_n} e^{-z/\lambda} (1 - e^{-d_0/\lambda}), \qquad (2)$$

where z is the distance measured from the surface of the mass block to the polarized ³He, N is the nucleon number density of the mass, and d_0 is the thickness of the mass block. If the external magnetic field is uniform with a value of B_0 , then the spin precession frequency in the presence of the mass has a spatial dependence which can be written as

$$\omega = \omega_0 + A e^{-z/\lambda},\tag{3}$$

where $A = \frac{g_s g_p N \hbar \lambda}{4m_n} (1 - e^{-d_0/\lambda})$. As the precession signal received by the pickup coil is a weighted sum from all the ³He inside the cell, the signal induced in the pickup coil is

$$S \propto \int_{d}^{\infty} \cos(\omega_0 t + A e^{-z/\lambda} t) B(z) dz,$$
 (4)

where *d* is the window thickness of the cell, B(z) is the field profile of the pickup coil along the cell axis, and the reciprocity theorem is applied here to compute the signal induced in the pickup coil [19]. When *A* is zero, Eq. (4) is a pure sinusoidal function with a well-defined frequency. When *A* is nonzero Eq. (4) shifts the mean frequency of the signal. The mean oscillation frequency determined from N_c observed periods during a time *T* is $f = N_c/T$. In the presence of the interaction for the same number of periods the time duration changes to T' and the new frequency is $f' = N_c/T'$. The frequency difference is¹

$$\Delta f = \frac{N_c}{T'} - \frac{N_c}{T} \cong -f\frac{\Delta T}{T},\tag{5}$$

where $\Delta T = T' - T$. Equation (5) establishes a relation between Δf and ΔT . ΔT can be calculated for different strengths $g_s g_p$ and ranges λ of the spin-dependent interaction by numerically integrating Eq. (4). For any given λ , the parameter A in Eq. (4) is tuned in such a way that the calculated ΔT matches the experimentally determined frequency shift Δf . Hence, constraints on $g_s g_p$ with different values of λ are established given the sensitivity of the experiment.

In this pilot experiment we used a 7 amg² high-pressure ³He cell originally constructed as a ³He gas target for twoand three-body photo-disintegration experiments [20]. The cell had two chambers, a spherically-shaped spin-exchange optical pumping chamber and a 40 cm long cylindrical target chamber connected by a glass tube (see Fig. 1). The target chamber had two thin glass windows on its ends. The thickness of the window was about 250 μ m. A Macor machinable ceramic mass block was used as the

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 031505(R) (2012)

unpolarized mass. It was repeatedly brought into contact with and moved away from the cell window by a G-10 rod connected to a stepper motor. The stepper motor moved the mass to a final position with better than 10 μ m repeatability, more than an order of magnitude smaller than the cell window thickness. Two identical pickup coils were mounted right below each window. Pickup coil A was used to measure the frequency of the polarized ³He nuclei influenced by the mass; pickup coil B was used to monitor the magnetic holding field. The 40 cm long ³He cell was positioned at the center of a Helmholtz coil pair. Because of fairly large gradients at the end of the cylindrical chamber, two identical two-axis gradient coil pairs (dashed line in Fig. 1) were added at both ends to compensate the gradients from the Helmholtz coils and background fields. The measured transverse relaxation time T_2 of the ³He signal is greater than 1 s with this arrangement.

Free induction decay (FID) at 24 kHz was performed to measure the ³He precession signal. The RF pulse with small tipping angle was applied to make the polarization loss negligible. The precession signal is digitized and recorded by the computer. In order to determine the frequency unambiguously, the acquisition time stopped at the instant when the signal-to-noise ratio is either below 10 or at 0.2 s, whichever comes first. The frequency is computed by counting the periods during the acquisition time. In a 7 amg ³He gas cell, the diffusion constant is about 0.27 cm²/s [21], and it is known that the effective diffusion rate is lowered at the cell boundary [22]. Therefore effects from the diffusion of the ³He can be ignored during the FID measurement and it is valid to use Eq. (4) to analyze the



FIG. 1. The diagram of the test experiment apparatus (not to scale). The cylindrical cell axis is the *z* direction. The cell contains 7 amg ³He gas and is optically pumped in the pumping chamber to about 40% polarization. The coils in the dashed lines are gradient coils to actively compensate the gradients from the Helmholtz coil and other background fields.

¹When S/N becomes the limiting factor of the measurement (not the case in the present work), phase difference or frequency spectrum may provide a better measurement of the frequency shift than the peak counting method.

²1 amg is the number density of 1 atm gas molecules at 0° C.

SEARCH FOR SPIN-DEPENDENT SHORT-RANGE FORCE ...



FIG. 2 (color online). The frequency difference correlated with the position of the ceramic mass block. The error bars show the standard deviation of the magnetic holding field after correction by coil B.

experimental data. Each measurement cycle contains two measurements: first with the mass in contact with the window (in position) and next with the mass moved far away from the window (out position). Simultaneous measurements at pickup coil B were performed to monitor the magnetic field fluctuations. The peak to peak variation of the field is about 5×10^{-3} %. Removing the field fluctuations measured by coil B reduced the peak to peak variation of the field to 4×10^{-4} %. After the field correction, the frequency difference between the "in" and "out" positions is calculated as $\Delta f = f_{in} - f_{out}$.

The magnetic susceptibility of the mass can change the field at the location of the ³He through its effect on the holding field and therefore lead to a systematic effect. Although the magnetic susceptibility of Macor ceramic is known to be small enough not to cause a systematic error in this test experiment, the real material can in principle contain paramagnetic or even ferromagnetic impurities. Paramagnetic impurities would increase the local field strength (and therefore the ³He precession frequency) independent of field direction. The spin-dependent interaction can increase or decrease the precession frequency depending on the magnetic holding field direction. We therefore can isolate a possible spin-dependent interaction from paramagnetic effects by flipping the magnetic field. However, a frozen-in field from possible ferromagnetic impurities has the same magnetic field dependence as the spin-dependent interaction, which makes it difficult to separate them apart. The most likely ferromagnetic contamination of the mass block comes from machining process, during which ferromagnetic tools are usually used to cut the material. To minimize this effect, cutting tools with diamond tips are used to ensure that there is no physical contact between the metallic part of the tools and the surface of the block. Additionally, we also flip spin

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 031505(R) (2012)

direction in order to cancel any spin-dependent systematic effect in the system if there is any. Therefore, we took data in four different configurations for B field and spin directions, Δf_{++} , Δf_{-+} , Δf_{--} , and Δf_{+-} , representing B/S : + +, B/S : - +, B/S : -, and B/S : + -, respectively. If Δf_B represents the field-dependent frequency shift, Δf_S represents spin-dependent frequency shift, and Δf_0 represents frequency shift without B or S dependence, then

$$\Delta f_{++} = +\Delta f_B + \Delta f_S + \Delta f_0 \tag{6}$$

$$\Delta f_{-+} = -\Delta f_B + \Delta f_S + \Delta f_0 \tag{7}$$

$$\Delta f_{--} = -\Delta f_B - \Delta f_S + \Delta f_0 \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta f_{+-} = +\Delta f_B - \Delta f_S + \Delta f_0. \tag{9}$$

In this notation, the short-range force induced Δf_B can be expressed as

$$\Delta f_B = \frac{1}{4} (\Delta f_{++} + \Delta f_{+-} - \Delta f_{--} - \Delta f_{-+}).$$
(10)

The uncertainty of Δf_B is given by

$$\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\sigma_{++}^2 + \sigma_{+-}^2 + \sigma_{--}^2 + \sigma_{-+}^2},$$
 (11)

where σ_{++} , σ_{-+} , σ_{--} , and σ_{+-} are the uncertainties of Δf_{++} , Δf_{-+} , Δf_{--} , and Δf_{+-} , respectively. The noise in the measurement is mainly due to the magnetic field fluctuation, which limits the uncertainties of the frequency measurements.

We performed 100 measurement cycles for each of the four configurations to determine the average frequency difference between the two mass positions. The frequency differences from the spin-dependent force for these runs are shown in Fig. 2. The average frequency difference of 100 measurements was $\Delta f_B = -0.003 \pm 0.005$ Hz, consistent with zero.

Equation (10) is then used to calculate the frequency difference from the spin-dependent short-range force to place an upper limit on $g_s g_p$ as a function of λ . For f =24 kHz and $\Delta f = 0.005$ Hz, Eq. (5) yields $\Delta T = -2.1 \times$ $10^{-7}T$ s. With a fixed frequency shift, ΔT should increase linearly with respect to the acquisition time T. Theoretically, ΔT is obtained by comparing Eq. (4) with a sinusoidal function in absence of the force. With a real pick-coil profile, Eq. (4) is obtained by numerical integration, using the actual geometry of the experiment. The resultant ΔT as a function of T is shown in Fig. 3 (the upper black curve). Surprisingly, ΔT increases linearly only for a short period of time. As time elapses, ΔT oscillates around a constant value, indicating that the frequency shift due to this exponential type of force is not fixed and diminishes at large T. This striking behavior suggests that one will not gain more information from



FIG. 3 (color online). The upper curve shows the $|\Delta T|$ as a function of *T*, using the real field profile of the pickup coil. The lower curve shows the same curve but with the pickup coil profile approximated by a rectangular function. The inset of the figure shows the linear behavior of $|\Delta T|$ at small *T*.

longer measurement time though the frequency resolution is improved by doing so.

An closed form solution of Eq. (4) can be obtained if one approximates the real profile of B(z) by a rectangular function with a cut-off position w mimicing the width of the profile. In this case, the upper limit of the integral is replaced by w, and the integration yields

$$S(t) = \lambda [\cos(\omega_0 t)(\operatorname{Ci}(Ae^{-(d/\lambda)}t) - \operatorname{Ci}(Ae^{-(w/\lambda)}t)) - \sin(\omega_0 t)(\operatorname{Si}(Ae^{-(d/\lambda)}t) - \operatorname{Si}(Ae^{-(w/\lambda)}t))], (12)$$

where Ci(x) is Cosine Integral and defined as Ci(x) = $-\int_x^{\infty} \frac{\cos t}{t} dt$; Si(x) is Sine Integral and defined as Si(x) = $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$ [23]. One can use Eq. (12) to calculate the ΔT in Eq. (5). To the first order, ΔT can be expressed as

$$\Delta T = -\frac{\operatorname{Si}(Ae^{-(d/\lambda)}T) - \operatorname{Si}(Ae^{-(w/\lambda)}T)}{\operatorname{Ci}(Ae^{-(d/\lambda)}T) - \operatorname{Ci}(Ae^{-(w/\lambda)}T)}.$$
 (13)

Using the value of $d = 250 \ \mu\text{m}$ and $w = 5.825 \ \text{cm}$, Eq. (13) is plotted in Fig. 3 (the lower red curve). It is surprising to find that ΔT does not increase linearly with respect to T all the way up. At certain point, ΔT becomes more or less a constant, which means the phase difference due to the short-range force stop accumulating after certain time. A longer measurement time will not increase the phase difference due to the short-range force. This behavior is the result of the inhomogeneous broadening due to the varying distance between the ³He spin and the mass.

This simple approximation yields a satisfactory result in terms of the time at which the linear relationship breaks down (The exact solution is plotted as a black curve in Fig. 3). In our experiment, the maximum measurement



FIG. 4 (color online). Constraints on the coupling constant product g_sg_p of the spin-dependent force as a function of the range λ and the equivalent mass of the axionlike particle mediating the short-range interaction. The dashed line is the result from [8], the dash-dotted line is the reanalysis of the T_2 measurements of [25] by [15], the solid line is the analysis of our present experiment, and the dotted line is a projected sensitivity achievable using our method based on the stability of the magnetic field demonstrated in [24]. The dark gray is the excluded region and the light gray is the region that could be excluded with the improved field stability.

time is less than 0.2 s to guarantee that the FID measurement is in the linear region, so that the frequency comparison is valid even the measurement time for each configuration is slightly different.

By choosing different values of λ , the constraints on the coupling constants $g_s g_p$ are found and plotted as a solid line in Fig. 4. The 250 μ m window thickness of the double chamber glass cell allows us to constrain interactions ranges λ down to 10^{-4} m. The dominant source of the uncertainty in our experiment came from magnetic field fluctuations. The gradient compensation coils, needed to achieve an uniform field in our apparatus, added uncorrelated magnetic field noise to the holding field as the gradient coils were powered by independent power supplies.

There are many avenues for the improvement of the measurement sensitivity using this technique. One can use a dedicated ³He cell with a shorter length and add magnetic shielding instead of gradient coils to improve the field uniformity and stability. In [24], the authors conducted a precision frequency measurement using polarized gases in an apparatus with 3 layers of cylindrical μ metal shielding for field uniformity and a comagnetometer technique to reduce the magnetic field noise by 3 to 4 orders of magnitude. They achieved a precision of 10^{-6} Hz out of 1000 Hz, 2 orders of magnitude better than our pilot experiment. In Fig. 4 we show the limits on g_sg_p (dotted line) which could be achieved with this technique using the

SEARCH FOR SPIN-DEPENDENT SHORT-RANGE FORCE ...

sensitivity demonstrated in [24] assuming all other geometric parameters (window thickness, pickup coil size, etc.) are unchanged. As shown in Fig. 4, this projected sensitivity would represent a significant improvement in the λ region of 10^{-2} to 10^{-4} m, compared with the best existing laboratory limit derived by the authors of [15], shown as the dotted-dashed line, based on the results of a T_2 measurement from [25].

The sensitivity of the experiment below $\lambda = 10^{-4}$ m is clearly limited as the thickness of the window becomes much larger than the force range. The 7 amg ³He cell has an internal pressure of more than 10 atm at 200°C and the 250 μ m window thickness is needed for strength. However, the high-pressure is not necessary for this experiment. A 1 amg ³He cell with reduced window thickness could be used to improve the sensitivity of the measurement, especially in the $\lambda < 10^{-4}$ m range. Another order of magnitude improvement on the sensitivity could

PHYSICAL REVIEW D 85, 031505(R) (2012)

be achieved if denser material was used as the unpolarized mass, such as pure copper or tungsten. We conclude that our proposed method shows a promising sensitivity, with at least 1 to 2 orders of magnitude improvement over the current best limit possible in a dedicated experiment with better magnetic field stability. A even higher sensitivity could be achieved if a thinner wall for the ³He cell and denser material is used.

The authors want to thank Mike Souza and Gordon Cates' group for their help with the cell construction and Todd Averett's group for the cell filling. This work was supported by the School of Arts and Sciences of Duke University and the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-FG02-03ER41231. C. Fu and W.M. Snow acknowledge support from the U. S. National Science Foundation through grant PHY-1068712. M. Snow acknowledges support from the Indiana University Center for Spacetime Symmetries.

- [1] J. Leitner and S. Okubo, Phys. Rev. **136**, B1542 (1964).
- [2] C.T. Hill and G.G. Ross, Nucl. Phys. **B311**, 253 (1988).
- [3] B.A. Dobrescu and I. Mocioiu, J. High Energy Phys. 11 (2006) 005; arXiv:hep-ph/0605342v1.
- [4] J. Jaeckel and A. Ringwald, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60, 405 (2010).
- [5] J.E. Moody and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D 30, 130 (1984).
- [6] R. C. Ritter L. I. Winkler, and G. T. GilliesPhys. Rev. Lett. 70, 701 (1993).
- [7] G. D. Hammond C. C. Speake, C. Trenkel, and A. Pulido Patón, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 081101 (2007).
- [8] A.N. Youdin D. Krause, Jr., K. Jagannathan, and L.R. Hunter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2170 (1996).
- [9] G. Vasilakis, J. M. Brown, T. W. Kornack, and M. V. Romalis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 261801 (2009).
- [10] A.G. Glenday C.E. Cramer, D.F. Phillips, and R.L. WalsworthPhys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 261801 (2008).
- [11] S. Baessler V. V. Nesvizhevsky, K. V. Protasov, and A. Yu. Voronin, Phys. Rev. D 75, 075006 (2007).
- [12] Y. N. Pokotilovski, Phys. Lett. B 686, 114 (2010).
- [13] A. Serebrov, Phys. Lett. B 680, 423 (2009).

- [14] C. B. Fu T. R. Gentile, and W. M. Snow, Phys. Rev. D 83, 031504(R) (2011); A. K. Petukhov, G. Pignol, and R. Golub, Phys. Rev. D 84, 058501 (2011).
- [15] A.K. Petukhov G. Pignol, D. Jullien, and K. H. Andersen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 170401 (2010).
- [16] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977).
- [17] C.P. Slichter, *Principles of Magnetic Resonance* (Harper & Row, New York, 1963).
- [18] G.D. Cates S.R. Schaefer, and W. Happer, Phys. Rev. A 37, 2877 (1988).
- [19] E. K. Insko M. A Elliot, J. C. Schotland, and J. S. Leigh, J. Magn. Reson. 131, 111 (1998).
- [20] Q. Ye et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 44, 55 (2010).
- [21] B.T. Saam et al., Magn. Reson. Med. 44, 174 (2000).
- [22] T.M. De Swiet, J. Magn. Reson., Ser. B 109, 12 (1995).
- [23] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, 9th printing (Dover, New York, 1972), p. 231.
- [24] T.E. Chupp, E.R. Oteiza, J.M. Richardson, and T.R. White, Phys. Rev. A 38, 3998 (1988).
- [25] C. Gemmel et al., Eur. Phys. J. D 57, 303 (2010).