

Tree Physiology

# Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases root exudation from loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) seedlings as an N-mediated response

Journal:	Tree Physiology
Manuscript ID:	TP-2009-125.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	
Complete List of Authors:	Phillips, Richard P; Indiana University, Department of Biology Bernhardt, Emily; Duke University, Department of Biology Schlesinger, William; Cary Insitute of Ecosystem Studies
Keywords:	carbon sequestration, progressive nitrogen limitation, rhizodeposition, rhizosphere C flux



#### 

# Title Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases root exudation from loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) seedlings as an N-mediated response

Running title

CO<sub>2</sub> and N effects on root exudation

Authors

RICHARD P. PHILLIPS<sup>1,2</sup>, EMILY S. BERNHARDT<sup>1</sup> and WILLIAM H. SCHLESINGER<sup>3</sup>

Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Box 90338

Duke University, Durham, NC 27708, USA

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author

Department of Biology

Indiana University

1001 East Third St.

Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

rpp6@indiana.edu; 812.856.0593

<sup>3</sup>Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies

Milbrook, NY 12545, USA

# 4 Figures; 3 Tables

http://mo	manucari	intoontra	l com/to
тир.//шс.	manuscr	picenna	ii.com/ip

**Summary** The degree to which forest ecosystems provide a long-term sink for increasing atmospheric  $CO_2$  likely depends upon the capacity of trees to increase the availability of growth limiting resources. It has been widely speculated that trees exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment may increase the release of root exudates to soil as a mechanism to stimulate microbes to enhance nutrient availability. As a first test to examine how atmospheric  $CO_2$  and nitrogen availability affect rates of root exudation, we conducted two experiments in which exudates were collected from loblolly pine (Pinus taeda L.) seedlings grown in controlled growth chambers under low and high  $CO_2$  and at low and high rates of N supply. Despite differences in experimental design between the two studies, plants grown at high CO<sub>2</sub> were larger and thus whole plant exudation rates were higher under elevated  $CO_2$  (p = 0.019), but the magnitude of this response depended on the N level in both studies. Seedlings increased mass specific exudation rates in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in both experiments, but only at low N supply. Moreover, N supply had a greater impact on exudation rates than did CO<sub>2</sub>, with mass-specific exudation rates significantly greater (98% and 69% in experiments 1 and 2, respectively) in seedlings grown at low N supply relative to high N supply. These results provide preliminary evidence that loblolly pines alter exudation rates in response to both  $CO_2$  concentration and N supply, and support the hypothesis that increased C allocation to root exudates may be a mechanism by which trees can delay progressive N limitation in forested ecosystems.

19 Keywords: carbon sequestration, progressive N limitation, rhizodeposition, rhizosphere C flux

#### 

# 20 Introduction

Aggrading forest ecosystems in northern latitudes currently represent a large sink for atmospheric  $CO_2$  on a global basis (IPCC 2007). Understanding the factors which regulate forest productivity and their role in global C cycling is central to understanding potential feedbacks to climate change. In most temperate forests, productivity is nutrient limited. Thus, the long-term capacity of forests to sequester C likely depends on the degree to which trees allocate resources to acquire growth-limiting nutrients such as nitrogen (N) (Reich et al. 2006; Zak et al. 2003). Numerous studies have reported increased fine root production in trees exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Lukac et al. 2003; Mikan et al. 2000; Norby et al. 2004; Pritchard et al. 2008), indicating that trees may be using the "extra" C to forage for N in soil. Yet exploiting a larger volume of soil via increased root production may have little affect on total N uptake if the majority of N in soil is in unavailable (e.g. organic) forms. Hence, in forest soils where inorganic N concentrations are low, increased soil exploration under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> will likely only increase N acquisition if microbes are stimulated to transform N into available forms (Phillips 2007).

One mechanism by which trees stimulate microbial activity is through the release of root exudates. Root exudates are soluble organic compounds (e.g. sugars, amino acids and low molecular weight organic acids) that are both passively released by roots due to diffusion gradients between the cytoplasm and soil solution and actively secreted in response to stress and nutrient deficiency (Curl and Truelove 1986; Marschner 1995). Although the causes and consequences of exudation are incompletely understood, several recent experiments have documented that a large fraction of the C used to support biological activity in forest soils results from the release of root-derived C (Hogberg 2008; Hogberg and Read 2006; Keel et al. 2006). Such rhizosphere C fluxes are estimated to represent 1-10% of net primary productivity in forests, and are believed to disproportionately affect nutrient availability due to their chemical quality as microbial substrates (Smith 1976).

45 Despite the perceived importance of exudation to ecosystem function (Cheng 1999;
46 Rogers et al. 1994), there have been remarkably few measurements of exudation from trees
47 exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (reviewed in Grayston et al. 1996; Jones et al. 2004). In the few studies
48 where such measurements have been made, the results have been variable and inconclusive.
49 Norby et al. (1987) reported that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> stimulated exudation in *Pinus enchinata* seedlings

after 34 weeks of growth but had no effects after 41 weeks of growth. Delucia et al. (1997)
found that *Pinus ponderosa* seedlings exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased exudation of some
compounds (e.g. oxalic acid) but decreased exudation of others (e.g. phosphatase enzymes). And
Uselman et al. (2000) reported that although elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased exudation in *Robinia pseudoacacia* seedlings, such changes resulted from increases in root biomass rather than
increases in mass-specific exudation rates.

An important mediator of the belowground responses of trees to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is N availability (Cheng 1999). Carbon allocation in trees is generally believed to be controlled equally by shoots and roots (Farrar et al. 2003) until a functional equilibrium is established between C assimilation and nutrient acquisition (Thornley 1977). Thus, the exudation response of tree roots to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> should depend, in part, on N supply. In soils where N supply is low, exudation rates may increase under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> if greater belowground C allocation is triggered by nutritional stress in the plant (Farrar and Jones 2000). Alternatively, CO<sub>2</sub> effects on exudation may be lower in nutrient-poor soils if total C assimilation rates (and by extension, belowground C allocation) are reduced by nutritional constraints.

Here we report the results of two independent growth chamber experiments designed to examine whether N supply affects the root exudation response of loblolly pine seedlings to variable levels of CO<sub>2</sub>. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to examine the interactive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and N on tree root exudation across a range of growth conditions. We expected root exudation rates would increase under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> but hypothesized that the magnitude of the CO<sub>2</sub> effect would be greatest under lower N availability. Experimentally demonstrating that the interaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and N is a significant driver of root exudation rates in seedlings represents an obvious first step in supporting the hypothesis that trees will allocate more C to soluble exudates when N limits growth.

75 Materials and Methods

Two experiments were performed, utilizing similar experimental treatments but different exudate collection methods. In both experiments pine seedlings were grown from seed in sand under controlled growth conditions. In experiment 1 pine seedlings were transplanted from sand into hydroponic solution culture in order to measure exudation rates. In experiment 2, seedlings

80 were transplanted from sand into unsaturated glass bead culture for exudate capture. Experiment 81 1 utilized methods common to many previously reported exudate studies, while experiment 2 82 was intended to more closely approximate the conditions of soil-grown plants (e.g. mechanical 83 impedance of root growth, low nutrient conditions, etc.). Our primary goal was to determine 84 whether the treatment effects were qualitatively consistent between the two studies, and 85 secondarily to estimate how artifacts inherent in each experimental design might affect 86 quantitative estimates of root exudation rates.

# *Experiment 1 – solution culture*

*Growing conditions* 

Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) seeds collected from the North Carolina piedmont (Weyerhaueser Co., WA) were cold-stratified at 4° C for 30 days, planted in steam-sterilized sand (three seeds per pot), and inoculated with the mycorrhizal fungus *Pisolithis tinctorius* (Plant Health Care Inc., Pittsburgh, PA). Each pot (3.5 L) was randomly assigned to one of two N treatments and one of two atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (n = 20 pots per treatment). Two weeks after seedling emergence, all pots were "thinned" so that each container contained a single seedling.

All pots were distributed between four growth chambers at the Duke University Phytotron, NC: two maintained at approximately ambient  $CO_2$  (350 ppm by volume) and two at 2x ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (700 ppm by volume). In-line CO<sub>2</sub> scrubbers were used to maintain levels in the 350 ppm chambers. A 14-h diurnal photoperiod was maintained in each chamber using a combination of incandescent and high intensity discharge lamps. Light levels averaged 535  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> during the photoperiod with the exception of a 2-h "mid-day" period where levels were increased to 1075  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. Chamber temperature and relative humidity averaged 25° C and 85%, respectively during the photoperiod, except during the 2-h peak period where temperature and humidity were maintained at 28° C and 75%. Each week the CO<sub>2</sub> treatment was assigned at random to two chambers and the seedlings were moved accordingly to minimize chamber effects. In addition, the distribution of seedlings within each chamber was rotated weekly. The growth period lasted 100 days.

All pots were watered to saturation each day with a modified Hoagland's solution (1/2)strength; pH = 6.1) with one of two N concentrations (1 and 5 mM N; hereafter low N and high N, respectively). Nitrogen was added in the form of NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, and these concentrations were chosen to represent a gradient in N availability in loblolly pine seedlings from sub-optimal to supra-optimal based on previous growth chamber studies (Griffin et al. 1997; Griffin et al. 1993; Larigauderie et al. 1994). All pots were watered in the evening with distilled water to prevent salt accumulation in the pots (BassiriRad et al. 1997; Delucia et al. 1997; Uselman et al. 2000). A layer of pea gravel (~3 cm thickness) was added on the sand surface after ~1 month to reduce evaporative water loss and minimize algal growth. 

*Exudate collection* 

One hundred days after germination, a subset of pots was randomly chosen for exudate collection (10 per treatment). Seedlings were carefully removed from their pots and rinsed with distilled water to remove any sand adhering to roots. Seedlings were transplanted into 1-L containers containing a modified Hoagland's solution of the same concentration of N as their watering solution (1 or 5 mM N), and returned to their respective growth chambers for seven days to overcome the transplant shock (Norby et al. 1987). Each container was aerated continuously with an aquarium airstone and bubbler, and received fresh nutrient solution every other day.

Seven days after being transferred into solution culture, seedlings were placed into clean Mason jars filled with 800 mL of sterile, aerated nutrient solution (same N concentrations) and returned to their respective growth chambers. Because the roots were submerged in a static bathing solution for the duration of the incubation, we collected subsamples from each jar ( $\sim 30$ mL) at three time intervals (4, 9 and 24h) to determine the equilibrium point where C efflux was approximately balanced by reabsorption of exudate by the pine roots (Personeni et al. 2007; Uselman et al. 2000). Based on these measurements, we concluded that exudation rates were still increasing linearly until 9 hours in all treatments but declined between 9 and 24 hours (Figure 1). Thus, net exudation rates were calculated as the change in dissolved organic carbon (DOC) content in each jar between the beginning and end of the 9h incubation period. All samples collected from the jars were filtered through 0.45-µm membrane filters (Whatman Inc., 

Florham Park, NJ) and analyzed on a Tekmar-Dohrmann total organic carbon (TOC) analyzer(Cincinnati, OH).

138 Plant Harvest

Following exudate collection, plants were harvested and separated into needles, stems, fine roots (<2 mm diameter), and coarse roots (>2 mm diameter). Fine roots were initially separated into <1 mm and 1-2 mm diameter roots. However, we chose to pool these fractions based on the abundance of borderline roots ( $\sim$ 1 mm) and mycorrhizal tips which made separation of the two categories sensitive to root-sorter bias. We did not quantify the percentage of roots colonized by mycorrhizal fungi in this study, but previous studies show that up to 50% of the root tips of Pinus taeda seedlings grown under similar conditions at this facility may have been colonized (Lewis et al. 1994). All plant material was oven-dried at 60° C for at least 72h for determination of dry weights.

148 Experiment 2 – glass beads

## 149 Growing conditions

Loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) seeds from a 1<sup>st</sup> generation orchard mix from the North Carolina piedmont (NCSU seedlot #SOM8) were planted, inoculated and sown as described in experiment 1. All growing conditions were the same as in Exp. 1 with the exception of the N concentration of the nutrient solution and the  $CO_2$  concentration of the chambers which were changed to better reflect the gradients that exist at the Duke Forest FACE site, NC. In experiment 2, we used a modified Hoagland's solution containing either 0.5 or 1 mM N (all as NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>; hereafter low N and high N, respectively). The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chambers of 385 ppmv and 585 ppmv are the same as those at the Duke Forest site (Andrews et al. 1999). The growth period for this experiment lasted 150 days.

159 Exudate collection

One hundred forty days after planting, a subset of pots was randomly chosen for exudate collection (n = 16 per treatment plus 6 non-vegetated controls). All seedlings were carefully removed from their pots and rinsed with distilled water to remove any sand adhering to roots. The entire root system of each seedling was then dipped into an anti-bacterial cocktail (50 ppm streptomycin and 50 ppm penicillin) for 2-3 minutes to arrest rhizoplane bacterial growth. This anti-biotic treatment was found to reduce exudate consumption in previous experimental trials (data not shown). Following the antibiotic treatment, root systems were rinsed with water and transferred into cylindrical PVC cores (20 cm length x 5 cm diameter) sealed on the bottom by mesh (30 µm). Each core was carefully filled with sterile glass beads (~750 µm diameter; La De Da Designs, Baton Rouge, LA), such that roots were distributed evenly throughout the core. Beads were used instead of quartz sand because preliminary experiments suggested strong adsorption of low molecular weight organic acids by sand but not beads (data not shown). Cores were then wrapped in aluminum foil to prevent light penetration, and foam bungs with a slit removed to accommodate the plant stem were placed at the top of each core to prevent algal growth and minimize evaporative loss. All seedlings were returned to their respective growth chambers, and watered as before (i.e. nutrient solution in the morning and distilled water in the evening).

After a 7-d acclimation period, all seedlings were removed from the growth chambers and flushed with 250 mL of reverse osmosis water (3 times the water-holding capacity of each core) to remove accumulated DOC. All cores were watered with dilute C-free nutrient solution and returned to their respective growth chambers for a 10-h incubation period. For the incubation period, we used a nutrient solution 1/10<sup>th</sup> the concentration of the previous nutrient solution (i.e. 50 and 100 µM N). These concentrations were chosen to more closely resemble concentrations in the soil solution draining the O horizon at the Duke Forest FACE site (Jackson et al. In Press). After 10h, seedlings were removed from the growth chambers and flushed 3 times with 60 mL of distilled water. In addition to quantifying DOC efflux, we measured nutrient uptake rates by seedlings over the 10-h incubation period using the nutrient depletion method (Bassirirad et al. 1996). All leachate flushed from the cores was filtered through a 0.2-µm membrane filter (Millex GV, Millipore Co., Billerica, MA) and analyzed for non-particulate 

organic C (for exudation rates) and total N (for uptake rates) on a TOC analyzer with TN module(Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Columbia, MD).

# 191 Plant Harvest and Photosynthesis

Plant tissues for all experimental seedlings were separated into the same fractions as described in experiment 1. Total leaf area of each plant was measured on a 3000A portable area meter (Lincoln, NE) from a subsample of needles ( $\sim 1/3$  of the total mass). Fine root length, surface area and number of root and mycorrhizal tips were measured on a randomly selected subset of fine roots (n = 10 per treatment) that were photocopied following the methods of Larigauderie et al. (1994), and scanned and analyzed using WinRHIZO software (Regent Instruments, Inc., Canada). All plant material was oven-dried at 60° C for at least 72h for determination of dry mass. On a subset of seedlings (n = 6 per treatment), photosynthetic rates were measured in a conifer chamber attached to a Licor 6400 (Licor Co., Lincoln, NE). For each plant, rates were measured on two different sections of the canopy, under saturating light conditions (>1000 µmol  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ ), and at both 385 and 585 ppmv in the leaf chamber. 

# 203 Calculations

Whole plant C assimilation rates for the 10-h incubation period were estimated by multiplying the average photosynthetic rates (within each N treatment and at a given  $CO_2$  level) by the total needle area of each plant. To account for non-saturating light conditions during the 10-h incubation (average 530  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), total C gain during the photoperiod was calculated as a weighted mean where photosynthetic rates under non-saturating light conditions were assumed to be 75% of those under saturating light based on light curves of loblolly pine seedlings grown under similar growth chamber conditions and N levels (Zhang et al. 1997). To independently verify these estimates of whole plant C assimilation during the 10-h incubation period, we also calculated the amount of whole plant C gain for the day of the exudate collection (i.e. day 145) using exponential growth equations developed for loblolly pine seedlings growing under nearly identical elevated  $CO_2$  and N levels at the Duke Phytotron (Griffin et al. 1993). These calculations yield a C gain of 36 and 93 mg C during the 24-h period of exudate collection in the low and high N treatments, respectively, and suggest that our estimated rates of C gain during the 

217 10-h incubation (18 and 57 mg C in the low and high treatments) represent a reasonable218 approximation of total C gain during the photoperiod.

Exudation rates were scaled in two ways. First, whole plant exudation (mg C plant<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated as a percentage of the C gain over the 10-h incubation period. In the second calculation, the amount of C released via exudation was divided by whole plant C accumulation per day (mg C d<sup>-1</sup>) by measuring exudation rates on a subset of loblolly pine seedlings (n = 6; using the same methods as described in Exp. 2) during a 24-h period that included both light (14h) and dark (10h) conditions in the chambers.

225 Statistics (both experiments 1 and 2)

Due to differences in the CO<sub>2</sub> and N treatment levels, data for experiments 1 and 2 were analyzed separately. The effects of  $CO_2$  and N treatments on plant biomass and exudation rates (experiments 1 and 2) were examined by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Because of the potentially confounding effects of treatments on exudation rates via alteration of root surface area to bathing solution volume ratios (see Discussion below), CO<sub>2</sub> effects on exudation were analyzed within a given N level only using linear contrasts. All non-normally distributed data were transformed (using log or square root transformations) prior to analysis, and all data was analyzed using JMP statistical software (v. 6, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

**Results** 

#### 235 Experiment 1

In general, N treatments had a much greater stimulatory effect on plant biomass than did CO<sub>2</sub>; total biomass at high N was nearly four-fold greater than at low N (p < 0.0001; Table 1). Similarly, the biomass of all shoot and root fractions was greater in the high N treatment relative to the low N treatment (p < 0.0001 for all), with the largest response occurring in the shoots (e.g. five-fold greater foliar biomass at high N). The strong effects of N on biomass partitioning is also reflected by the two-fold greater root: shoot ratios in the low N relative to high N treatments (p < 0.0001).

Page 11 of 30

In contrast to the N treatments, most tissue fractions were unaffected by CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. Only total root biomass was affected by CO<sub>2</sub>, increasing by 35% at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (p = 0.046). Consistent with previous studies with loblolly pine seedlings (Griffin, Thomas & Strain, 1993, Larigauderie, Reynolds & Strain, 1994), CO<sub>2</sub> effects on shoot and root biomass were largely mediated by N, with the greatest stimulation of shoot and root biomass occurring in the high N treatment.  $CO_2$  induced a 49% enhancement of total plant biomass at the high N level (p = (0.028) but had no effect on total biomass at the low N level (p = 0.808). This pattern was evident in both aboveground and belowground tissues. Needle biomass was 52% greater at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> at high N (p = 0.071) but unaffected by CO<sub>2</sub> at low N (p = 0.960). Similarly, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increased fine root biomass by 79% in the high N treatment (p = 0.036), but had no effect on this fraction in the low N treatment (p = 0.985). Overall, root:shoot ratios were unaffected by the  $CO_2$  treatment as a whole (p = 0.405) or within each individual N level. 

Although whole plant exudation was 36% higher under elevated  $CO_2$  (p = 0.019), the magnitude of this response depended on the N level (Figure 2a), as CO<sub>2</sub> effects on exudation were significant at low N (61% stimulation; p = 0.006) but unaffected at high N (p = 0.593). Exudation rates calculated per unit fine root biomass (mg C exuded  $g^{-1} h^{-1}$ ), indicated that N but not CO<sub>2</sub> significantly affected mass-specific rates in these seedlings (Figure 2b). Similar to whole plant exudation, there was a significant  $CO_2$  by N interaction (p = 0.025) on mass-specific rates; however,  $CO_2$  effects on mass-specific rates at low N were only marginally significant (p = 0.067).

## 263 Experiment 2

264 Despite appreciable differences in the  $CO_2$  and N levels used in the two experiments, treatment 265 effects on total biomass in experiment 2 were similar to those in experiment 1, as N but not  $CO_2$ 266 influenced biomass production. As in experiment 1, N strongly stimulated total biomass (Table 267 2) with increases in needle, stem, fine root and coarse root biomass (all with p < 0.0001). 268 Moreover, root:shoot ratios were significantly decreased at the high N level (p < 0.0001). Root 269 morphology was affected by N availability, with significantly greater fine root surface area (p < 270 0.0001) and higher numbers of fine root tips (p = 0.04) in the highest N treatment.

Similar to experiment 1, there were no main effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on pine shoot and root biomass, nor were there any CO<sub>2</sub> x N interactions. The proportion of C allocated to roots (i.e. root:shoot ratio) was 15% greater under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> but this response was not statistically significant (p = 0.075). Root morphology was mostly unaffected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> although fine root surface area of roots was increased by 33% (p = 0.033) in the CO<sub>2</sub> treatments. Total N uptake over the 10-h incubation period was unaffected by CO<sub>2</sub> but was increased significantly by N (p = 0.002).

Consistent with the response in experiment 1, mass-specific exudation rates (mg C exuded  $g^{-1} h^{-1}$ ) were decreased by N (p < 0.0001) and marginally reduced by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (p = 0.092; Figure 3b). Within the 0.5 mM N treatment,  $CO_2$  resulted in a small but marginally significant increase in mass-specific rates (28%; p = 0.082). Whole plant exudation rates were increased under elevated  $CO_2$  by approximately the same magnitude as in experiment 1 (45%; p = 0.029 - Figure 3a) but unaffected by N (p = 0.922). However, N level was important in mediating the magnitude of  $CO_2$  effects on mass-specific exudation – consistent with the response in experiment 1 (Figure 3b). At low N, CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in a small but marginally significant increase in mass-specific exudation (41%; p = 0.069). At high N, mass-specific rates were lower (p < 0.0001), but did not influence the magnitude of CO<sub>2</sub> effects (p = 0.827).

Because changes in root morphology can also affect exudation rates, we calculated exudation rates as a function of fine root surface area and the number of root and mycorrhizal tips (data not shown). Neither area-specific rates nor exudation rates per number of root tips were significantly affected by the main effects of elevated  $CO_2$  (p = 0.520 and 0.230, respectively). However, similar to mass-specific rates, area-specific rates were strongly affected by N treatments. For seedlings in the low N treatment, area-specific rates were 43% greater than at high N (p=0.035). The important role of N in mediating exudation is also suggested by the relationship between fine roots and exudation within the low N but not the high N level. At low N, there was a weak but significant correlation between fine root biomass and exudation (r = 1)0.48; p = 0.003; data not shown), as well as between fine root surface area and exudation (r = 0.50; p = 0.01). This is in contrast to patterns at high N where there was no significant

#### Manuscripts submitted to Tree Physiology

 299 correlation between fine root biomass and exudation (r = 0.17; p = 0.122) or fine root surface 300 area and exudation (r = 0.24; p = 237).

Both approaches used to scale exudation rates revealed a similar pattern. Exudation per unit of C assimilated (estimated from the scaled photosynthesis measurements) ranged from 0.27 to 0.96% (Table 3), and these values were similar in direction and magnitude to exudation rates scaled per unit net C accumulation (Figure 4a). Moreover, the total quantity of exudates released across the four treatments was positively correlated with the mean photosynthetic rate of seedlings (Figure 4b;  $r^2 = 0.951$ ; p < 0.0001).

307 Discussion

The degree to which root exudates influence nutrient cycling in forest soils is poorly understood owing to the challenges associated with quantifying rhizosphere processes in situ (Phillips et al. 2008). In this study, we conducted two growth chamber experiments with loblolly pine seedlings as a first attempt to understand the extent to which root exudation rates were responsive to CO<sub>2</sub> and N availability. The magnitude of C flux from exudation per unit of C assimilated (estimated from scaled photosynthesis measurements) ranged from 0.27 to 0.96%, with the greatest rates occurring under conditions of low N and elevated  $CO_2$  (Table 3). Because exudation and photosynthesis rates were calculated as mean treatment effects, we did not statistically evaluate how CO<sub>2</sub> and N affected these patterns in individual trees. However, exudation rates scaled per unit of C accumulated over the duration of the experiment suggest that differences in exudation rates were significantly affected by the treatments. Exudation rates scaled per unit net C accumulation yielded results similar in direction and magnitude (Figure 4a), with significantly greater exudation rates in the low N treatment (p < 0.0001). Moreover, the total quantity of exudates released across the four treatments was positively correlated with the mean photosynthetic rate of seedlings (Figure 4b;  $r^2 = 0.951$ ; p < 0.0001) suggesting a tight coupling between C assimilation and exudation. Despite differences between the two experiments in CO<sub>2</sub> levels, N supply and exudate collection methods used, we found a remarkably consistent response - CO<sub>2</sub>-induced increases in whole plant and mass specific exudation rates at low N. We interpret this response as evidence of a coupling between

exudation rates and the internal nutrient status of loblolly pine seedlings (Jones et al. 2004;Neumann and Romheld 2001).

# 329 CO<sub>2</sub> and N effects on exudation

 An important compensatory adjustment by plants exposed elevated  $CO_2$  is the preferential allocation of C to belowground tissues (Reich et al. 2006; Zak et al. 2003). Numerous growth chamber and field experiments have reported increased belowground production in trees exposed to  $CO_2$  enrichment (Ainsworth and Long 2005; Curtis and Wang 1998; Pendall et al. 2004) indicating that trees grown at high  $CO_2$  are allocating more C to roots, presumably to forage for growth-limiting nutrients.

There have been several reports of CO<sub>2</sub>-induced increases in mass-specific exudation in non-woody plants but few reports of this response in trees (reviewed in Cheng and Gershenson 2007). CO<sub>2</sub>-induced increases in exudation have been reported for seedlings of *Pinus ponderosa* (Delucia et al. 1997), Pinus enchinata (Norby et al. 1987) and Robinia pseudoacacia (Uselman et al. 2000) but in each case, the CO<sub>2</sub> effects were not significantly different when scaled per unit of fine root biomass. In both experiments of this study, treatment effects on fine root biomass (Tables 1, 2) were insufficient to explain greater exudation in seedlings grown at elevated  $CO_2$ and low N (Figure 2b, 3b). That the stimulatory effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> were only present in plants grown at low N suggests that nutritional imbalances in the pines may have triggered the exudation response. This would be consistent with previous studies reporting a greater magnitude of belowground response of loblolly pine seedlings to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> under low nutrient conditions (Griffin et al. 1997; Griffin et al. 1995; Larigauderie et al. 1994).

In addition to increased belowground C allocation, it is possible that greater exudation in low N resulted from CO<sub>2</sub>- and N-induced changes in root architecture (Neumann and Romheld 2001). Darwent et al.(2003) reported that decreased exudation from Hordeum vulgare roots resulted from reductions in root length and the numbers of root tips due to increased N supply. In loblolly pine, root morphology has been reported to be responsive to changes in both  $CO_2$  and N (Larigauderie et al. 1994), but the consequences of these changes for exudation is unknown. In experiment 2 of this study, root surface area and the numbers of root tips were greatest at high 

Page 15 of 30

#### Manuscripts submitted to Tree Physiology

N, resulting in decreased exudation. However, N-induced changes in root surface area and the number of tips were similar in magnitude to changes in root biomass, and thus we cannot conclude whether the N-induced decreases in exudation resulted from changes in C allocation or changes in root morphology. Moreover, it is also possible that the greater exudation at low N resulted from increased membrane permeability of roots due to nutritional stress (Neumann and Romheld 2001). Further examination of root exudation across a broader range of N levels and with more detailed characterization of root morphology and physiology would be a worthwhile focus of future studies.

Given the strong effects of N in mediating belowground C allocation and root exudation in this study, an obvious question arises: do loblolly pine seedlings increase exudation as a response to N deficiencies? To date, most reports of exudation in response to nutrient stress have been reported for plants under P and Fe deficiency or metal (e.g. Al) toxicity (Marschner 1995). In non-woody plants, mass-specific exudation rates have been shown to increase (Henry 2005; Paterson 2006), decrease (Darwent 2003; Hodge et al. 1996; Paterson et al. 1999; Werth and Kuzyakov 2006) or vary (Neumann and Romheld 2001; Nguyen 2003) in response to N amendment. In trees, Aitkenhead-Peterson et al. (2005) reported a three-fold increase in mass-specific exudation from *Picea abies* saplings at low N. However, Uselman et al. (2000) found two-fold greater mass-specific exudation from *Robinia pseudoacacia* seedlings grown at high N. Collectively, these results indicate that our understanding of CO<sub>2</sub> and N effects on tree root exudation is incomplete, and may limit our predict feedbacks to forest productivity under global change (Giardina et al. 2005).

Exudation rates from experiment 2 in this study were both lower (Aitkenhead-Peterson 2005; Grayston et al. 1996; Norton et al. 1990) and higher (Norby et al. 1987; Uselman et al. 2000) than those reported for seedlings of other tree species. Because the magnitude of exudation is highly sensitive to the experimental system used to collect the exudates (Phillips et al. 2008), we cannot say whether our rates reflect true species differences. Perhaps a more important question is whether exudation rates from seedlings can help inform what occurs in forests where N concentrations in soil solution are generally much lower than those used in growth chamber experiments (Lucash et al. 2005). Phillips et al. (2008) recently developed a

method to measure exudation rates from trees *in situ* using a modification of the glass bead culture method used in this study. They reported exudation rates in 26 year-old loblolly pine trees (~1% of net assimilated C) in close agreement with exudation rates from this study (0.3-0.8% of net assimilated C). The paucity of direct exudation estimates from tree roots in situ in response to changing environmental conditions suggests that seedling studies – despite their obvious limitations – may be an important starting point for developing appropriate scaling factors to estimate the potential contribution of exudates to soil processes in forests exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Results from our controlled studies of loblolly pine seedlings suggest that soluble root exudates could represent a considerable belowground C flux, perhaps similar to fine root turnover.

# 394 Experimental artifacts in exudation measurement

All methods used to measure exudation have inherent artifacts associated with their design (Neumann and Romheld 2001), and thus we consider whether our methods may have influenced exudation patterns. Although there was qualitative agreement between the two experiments, the exudation rates we measured for seedlings in solution culture (experiment 1) were much higher than those in glass beads (experiment 2). Our direct comparison of approaches provides an important caution to attempts to scale from individual controlled laboratory studies to ecosystem-scale processes. There are several possible reasons for measuring higher exudation rates in hydroponic experiments than in unsaturated artificial soil incubations. First, the exudation rates in experiment 1 may have been greater due to greater diffusion gradients between the root and the solution. Basal exudation is highly sensitive to gradients in soluble C between the root cytoplasm, apoplast and soil solution (Jones et al. 2004), and the gradient in solution culture would presumably be greater than in non-saturated glass beads due to the intimate contact between roots and solution. Second, because the roots in solution culture were fully submerged, non-exudate higher molecular weight C compounds may have leached from roots. This problem would have been exacerbated by the 5-fold difference in N supply between low and high N in experiment 1 (which increased root biomass), and by the larger pore size used to filter exudates in experiment 1 (0.45  $\mu$ m) relative to experiment 2 (0.2  $\mu$ m). And finally, roots in experiment 2

Page 17 of 30

#### Manuscripts submitted to Tree Physiology

412 (but not experiment 1) were treated with antibiotics which may have decreased the release of413 exudates if the antibiotics negatively affected the plant (Neumann and Romheld 2001).

Static bathing solutions, or hydroponic incubations, have often been used to measure exudation rates because they require little maintenance, use a lesser volume of solution than in percolating solutions, and permit the collection of exudates from the entire rooting volume rather than from preferential flow paths. Because exudates accumulate in the bathing solution, these systems need to be sampled during the incubation period to ensure C accumulation does not reduce exudation as the equilibrium between efflux and influx is approached (Uselman et al. 2000). The nutrient content of static bathing solutions may also change during the course of the incubation due to nutrient uptake, thereby affecting exudation rates. In experiment 1, exudation increased linearly over the first nine hours of collection (Figure 1), suggesting that C accumulation in the bathing solution was unlikely to be affecting the gross efflux. Moreover, we found no evidence of differences in accumulation rates of exudates between low and high N treatments despite a two-fold greater root biomass suggesting that N effects on mass-specific rates were unlikely to have been the result of changes in the efflux and influx of exudates in the bathing solution (Personeni et al. 2007). Changes in nutrient content owing from N uptake were unlikely to have affected exudation patterns. In experiment 2, the N content of the post-incubation bathing solution was still greater in the high N (0.6 mg N) than in the low N (0.46 mg N) treatment. This suggests that it is unlikely that the changes occurring during the incubation period contributed to the observed exudation patterns.

Reabsorption of exudates has been reported to occur in several grass species (de Graaff 2007; Phillips et al. 2006), but to our knowledge, there have been no reports of reabsorption by trees. Given the greater density of roots in experiment 2 relative to experiment 1, it is certainly possible that some fraction of exudates were re-acquired by roots during the incubation. However, in a separate experimental trial with loblolly pine, exudates accumulated linearly over the first eight hours in bead-filled cuvettes packed at similar densities to those used in experiment 2 (0.81 vs. 0.83 cm<sup>2</sup> root surface area per ml cuvette; Phillips, *unpublished data*), suggesting little effect of uptake on net C efflux. Moreover, it is unlikely that exudate re-uptake would have influenced treatment effects as amino acids and sugars – the primary compounds

taken up by roots – (Jones et al. 2004) generally occur in low concentrations in *Pinus* exudates
(Smith 1976).

Although we fully recognize the inherent limitations of our experimental systems, the qualitative agreement between the two experiments suggests that our results are robust with respect to the direction of treatment effects.

#### **Conclusions**

Numerous investigators have invoked root exudation as a locally missing sink for plant-derived C in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments, but there has been little empirical work to support this hypothesis. In this study, we have demonstrated in two independent experiments that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may increase root exudation in loblolly pine seedlings, but that such effects are sensitive to the availability of N in the growing medium. Thus, the N status of pines may determine whether additional C fixed under elevated  $CO_2$  is released to soil as root exudates, possibly as a mechanism for increasing N availability in soil. CO<sub>2</sub>-induced increases in exudation arose from both increases in fine root biomass and from greater mass-specific exudation in the low N levels. Despite differences in the rates between experiments, the relative effects of the treatments were consistent, and thus provide evidence that the degree to which trees sequester C under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> may depend on the magnitude and ecological consequences of changes in C released to soil via root exudation.

#### 459 Acknowledgements

We are extremely thankful for assistance received from the Phytotron staff, especially Norm
Hill, Todd Smith and Mel Turner. We also thank Mike McGowan, Yael Erlitz, Hayes Neely and
the rest of the Bernhardt lab. This research was supported by the DOE FACTS1 grant.

**References** 

55 465 

<ul> <li>466 Ainsworth, E.A. and S.P. Long 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytologist. 165:351-372.</li> <li>467 Aitkenhead-Peterson, J.A. 2005. Short-term response on the quantity and quality of rhizo- deposited carbon from Norway spruce exposed to low and high N inputs. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687- 693.</li> <li>473 Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>476 Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NIL<sup>4</sup> and NO<sub>5</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 1901-9.</li> <li>478 Bassirirad, H. K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:937-962.</li> <li>488 Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, C.A., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>489 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>490 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO2, increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO2, increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>492 Griarfin, M.A. 2007. Biotesmost reporting of root exudation from</li></ul>	1		
<ul> <li>Amsworth, E.A. and S.P. Long 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-aur CO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy</li> <li>properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytologist. 165:351-372.</li> <li>Aitkenhead-Peterson, J.A. 2005. Short-term response on the quantity and quality of rhizo-</li> <li>deposited carbon from Norway spruce exposed to low and high N inputs. Journal of Plant</li> <li>Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Planzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687-</li> <li>693.</li> <li>Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root</li> <li>respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon</li> <li>Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and</li> <li>NO<sub>7</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment.</li> <li>Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+). N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings.</li> <li>Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:31-320.</li> <li>Curti, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass. form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:209-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany, 54:325-334.</li> <li>d</li></ul>	2	166	
<ul> <li>467</li> <li>enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic revew of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2, New Phytologist. 165:351-372.</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>749</li> <li>749</li> <li>741</li> <li>741</li> <li>741</li> <li>741</li> <li>741</li> <li>741</li> <li>742</li> <li>743</li> <li>744</li> <li>744</li> <li>744</li> <li>745</li> <li>745</li> <li>745</li> <li>745</li> <li>746</li> <li>747</li> <li>746</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>748</li> <li>747</li> <li>748</li> <li>748</li> <li>748</li> <li>748</li> <li>749</li> <li>749</li> <li>749</li> <li>749</li> <li>740</li> <li>740</li> <li>741</li> <li>741</li> <li>741<td>4</td><td>466</td><td>Ainsworth, E.A. and S.P. Long 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air <math>CO_2</math></td></li></ul>	4	466	Ainsworth, E.A. and S.P. Long 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air $CO_2$
<ul> <li>des properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytologist. 105:351-372.</li> <li>dikenheid-Peterson, J.A. 2005. Short-term response on the quantity and quality of rhizo-deposited carbon from Norway spruce exposed to low and high N inputs. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687-693.</li> <li>Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Ar: Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>7</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH<sub>4</sub>+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Three Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A., Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, C.A., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curti, F.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Occologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Barwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen thizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 13:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E</li></ul>	5	467	enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy
<ul> <li>Aikenhead-Peterson, J.A. 2005. Short-term response on the quantity and quality of thizo-deposited carbon from Norway spruce exposed to low and high N inputs. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687-693.</li> <li>Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Ctheng, W. X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curti, F.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> incree Mrysiologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for poderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock</li></ul>	6	468	properties and plant production to rising $CO_2$ . New Phytologist. 165:351-372.
<ul> <li>deposited carbon from Norway spruce exposed to low and high N inputs. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687- 693.</li> <li>Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>Bassiriad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>5</sub> absorption rates of lobiolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Bassiriard, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag. Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Occologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D.J. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Dues 2000. The control of carbon alcoation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implication</li></ul>	7	469	Aitkenhead-Peterson, J.A. 2005. Short-term response on the quantity and quality of rhizo-
<ul> <li>9471 Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687-693.</li> <li>472 Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63: 1429-1435.</li> <li>476 BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>7</sub> absorption rates of lobiolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>479 Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N15 uptake rate of lobiolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>481 Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. In The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>482 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>483 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Occologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>484 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen thizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived mitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>496 Farara, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>497 F</li></ul>	8	470	deposited carbon from Norway spruce exposed to low and high N inputs. Journal of Plant
<ul> <li>633.</li> <li>6472 633.</li> <li>7473 Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACD). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>7476 BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>5</sub> absorption rates of lobiolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>7479 Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of lobiolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>7481 Cheng, W., and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>7485 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>7486 Currl, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>7470 Currl, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>7487 Curris, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and Physiology. Occologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>7490 baoto nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>7491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodposoition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>7493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphores acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>7496 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>741 978 Gardina, C.P., M. Coleman,</li></ul>	9 10	471	Nutrition and Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde. 168:687-
<ul> <li>Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub>* and NO<sub>3</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-IS by take rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. In The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curt, E.A. and B. Truclove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J.K. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Colleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregi</li></ul>	11	472	693.
<ul> <li>474</li> <li>respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub>" and NO<sub>5</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190;1-9.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and X. Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon alcuino in forest to global change. In Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	12	473	Andrews, J.A., K.G. Harrison, R. Matamala and W.H. Schlesinger 1999. Separation of root
<ul> <li>475 Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.</li> <li>BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>5</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment.</li> <li>477 Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>2482 Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>2483 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>2484 Curti, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>2487 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>2490 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>2491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen hizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>2493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>2494 Farar J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>2507 Gravan, J., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species</li></ul>	13	474	respiration from total soil respiration using carbon-13 labeling during Free-Air Carbon
<ul> <li>BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub> absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 1901-19.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curti, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany, 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Tretin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O. V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon allocation in incrobial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li></li></ul>	14	475	Dioxide Enrichment (FACE). Soil Science Society of America Journal. 63:1429-1435.
<ul> <li>477 NO<sub>5</sub> absorption rates of lobiolly and ponderosa pine in response to CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>479 Bassirirad, H., KL, Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of lobiolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>481 Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>485 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>486 Curt, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>487 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>489 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen thizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>496 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>497 503</li> <li>498 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>409 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere c</li></ul>	15	476	BassiriRad, H., K.L. Griffin, J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1997. Changes in root NH4 <sup>+</sup> and
<ul> <li>Plant and Šoil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Plant and Šoil. 190:1-9.</li> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO2. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Occologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO2 increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nittree and and crobon</li></ul>	16	477	$NO_3$ absorption rates of loblolly and ponderosa pine in response to $CO_2$ enrichment.
<ul> <li>Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Reynolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings. Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W. X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO2. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curl, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oceologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaeghan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <l< td=""><td>1/ 10</td><td>478</td><td>Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.</td></l<></ul>	1/ 10	478	Plant and Soil. 190:1-9.
<ul> <li>and the second second</li></ul>	10	479	Bassirirad, H., K.L. Griffin, B.R. Strain and J.F. Revnolds 1996. Effects of CO2 enrichment on
<ul> <li>481 Tree Physiology. 16:957-962.</li> <li>482 Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>485 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>486 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Occologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>487 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>488 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>494 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>495 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>67ayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	20	480	growth and root (NH4+)-N-15 uptake rate of loblolly pine and ponderosa pine seedlings.
<ul> <li>Cheng, W. and A. Gershenson 2007. Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere. <i>In</i> The Rhizosphere - An Ecological Perspective Eds. Z.G. Cardon and J.L. Whitbeck. Academic Press, San Diego, CA., pp. 31-56.</li> <li>Cheng, W. X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>Curl, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986. The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrog</li></ul>	21	481	Tree Physiology 16.957-962
<ul> <li>483</li> <li>484</li> <li>484</li> <li>484</li> <li>485</li> <li>486</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>480</li> <li>480</li> <li>480</li> <li>480</li> <li>481</li> <li>481</li> <li>482</li> <li>484</li> <li>485</li> <li>486</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>480</li> <li>480</li> <li>480</li> <li>480</li> <li>481</li> <li>481</li> <li>482</li> <li>483</li> <li>484</li> <li>484</li> <li>484</li> <li>485</li> <li>486</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>489</li> <li>480</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>494</li> <li>496</li> <li>494</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>494</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li></ul>	22	482	Cheng W and A Gershenson 2007 Carbon fluxes in the rhizosphere In The Rhizosphere - An
<ul> <li>460</li> <li>470</li> <li>481</li> <li>485</li> <li>485</li> <li>486</li> <li>487</li> <li>487</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>487</li> <li>487</li> <li>487</li> <li>488</li> <li>489</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>494</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>495</li> <li>496</li> <li>497</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>498</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>499</li> <li>490</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>491</li> <li>492</li> <li>492</li> <li>491</li> <li>493</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li> <li>494</li></ul>	23	483	Ecological Perspective Eds. 7 G Cardon and LL Whitheck Academic Press San Diego
<ul> <li>485 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>486 Cheng, W.X. 1999. Rhizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology. 19:313-320.</li> <li>487 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1988. A meta-analysis of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>488 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>489 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>491 belucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>495 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>496 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>673 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>607 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	24	405	CA np. 31-56
<ul> <li>485 Curd, E.A. and B. Truelove 1986 The Rhizosphere. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>487 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, 488 form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>489 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to 490 shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial 492 immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of 494 phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. 495 Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>494 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the 497 rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>498 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 499 147:43-53.</li> <li>490 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan 491 and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global 492 change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley 493 and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>494 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison 495 with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity 496 and untrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>507 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil 497 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from 498 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	25	-0- 185	Chang W X 1000 Phizosphere feedbacks in elevated CO. Tree Physiology 10:313 320
<ul> <li>430 Curtis, P.X. and B. Huchve 1930. The Kinzosphere: Spinglet-Verlag, Berlin. 288 p.</li> <li>431 Curtis, P.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, 432 form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>432 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to 433 shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>433 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial 4491 immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>433 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of 444 phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. 445 Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>434 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the 447 rhizosphere. Ecology, 84:827-837.</li> <li>448 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 445 147:43-53.</li> <li>445 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan 445 and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global 450 change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley 451 and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>452 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison 453 with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity 454 and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>456 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil 456 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/hizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from 456 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	20 27	405	Curl E A and P. Truelova 1086. The Directory Springer Verlag, Parlin 289 n
<ul> <li>487 Curtis, F.S. and X.Z. Wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.</li> <li>488 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>490 shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen thizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>496 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>498 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>504 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>507 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/hizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	28	400	Curtis D.S. and V.Z. Wang 1008. A meta analysis of alaystad CO2 affacts on woody plant mass.
<ul> <li>488 form, and physiology. Occologia. 113:299-513.</li> <li>489 Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>492 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>496 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>497 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	29	48/	Curus, P.S. and X.Z. wang 1998. A meta-analysis of elevated CO2 effects on woody plant mass,
<ul> <li>489 Jarwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exualiton from Hordeum vulgare in relation to shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>490 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>492 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>494 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>497 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>408 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>418 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>419 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	30	488	form, and physiology. Oecologia. 113:299-313.
<ul> <li>shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.</li> <li>de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	31	489	Darwent, M.J. 2003. Biosensor reporting of root exudation from Hordeum vulgare in relation to
<ul> <li>491 de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>494 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>497 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>408 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>418 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>419 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	32	490	shoot nitrate concentration. Journal of Experimental Botany. 54:325-334.
<ul> <li>442 immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.</li> <li>493 Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of 494 phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. 495 Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>496 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the 497 rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>498 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 499 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan 415 and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global 416 change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley 417 and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison 418 with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity 419 and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil 410 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from 450 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	33	491	de Graaff, M.A. 2007. Elevated $CO_2$ increases nitrogen rhizodeposition and microbial
<ul> <li>Jolucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	34	492	immobilization of root-derived nitrogen. New Phytologist. 173:778-786.
<ul> <li>494 phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>496 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>497 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>498 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>497 Giardina, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>507 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	36	493	Delucia, E.H., R.M. Callaway, E.M. Thomas and W.H. Schlesinger 1997. Mechanisms of
<ul> <li>Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.</li> <li>Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	37	494	phosphorus acquisition for ponderosa pine seedlings under high $CO_2$ and temperature.
<ul> <li>496 Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the 497 rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>498 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 499 147:43-53.</li> <li>41500 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan 415 and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global 416 change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley 417 and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>418 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison 419 with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity 410 and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>411 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil 411 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from 412 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	38	495	Annals of Botany. 79:111-120.
<ul> <li>40 497 rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.</li> <li>41 498 Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>43 500 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>48 504 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>507 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	39	496	Farrar, J., M. Hawes, D. Jones and S. Lindow 2003. How roots control the flux of carbon to the
<ul> <li>Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist. 147:43-53.</li> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	40	497	rhizosphere. Ecology. 84:827-837.
<ul> <li>42 499 147:43-53.</li> <li>43 500 Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>48 504 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>507 507 508 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509</li></ul>	41	498	Farrar, J.F. and D.L. Jones 2000. The control of carbon acquisition by roots. New Phytologist.
<ul> <li>Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	42 12	499	147:43-53.
<ul> <li>45 501</li> <li>45 501</li> <li>46 502</li> <li>47 503</li> <li>48 504</li> <li>49 505</li> <li>505</li> <li>506</li> <li>507</li> <li>507</li> <li>508</li> <li>509</li> <li>501</li> <li>501</li> <li>502</li> <li>503</li> <li>504</li> <li>505</li> <li>506</li> <li>507</li> <li>507</li> <li>508</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>501</li> <li>501</li> <li>501</li> <li>502</li> <li>502</li> <li>503</li> <li>504</li> <li>505</li> <li>505</li> <li>506</li> <li>507</li> <li>507</li> <li>508</li> <li>508</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>501</li> <li>501</li> <li>501</li> <li>502</li> <li>502</li> <li>503</li> <li>504</li> <li>505</li> <li>505</li> <li>505</li> <li>506</li> <li>507</li> <li>507</li> <li>508</li> <li>508</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>509</li> <li>500</li> <li>500&lt;</li></ul>	43 44	500	Giardina, C.P., M. Coleman, J. Hancock, J. King, E. Lilleskov, W. Loya, K. Pregitzer, M. Ryan
<ul> <li>46 502 change. <i>In</i> Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley</li> <li>47 503 and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>48 504 Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison</li> <li>505 with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity</li> <li>506 and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>507 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil</li> <li>508 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from</li> <li>509 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	45	501	and C. Trettin 2005. The response of belowground carbon allocation in forests to global
<ul> <li>and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.</li> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	46	502	change. In Tree species effects on soils: implications for global change Eds. D. Binkley
<ul> <li>Grayston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	47	503	and O.V. Menyailo. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht, p. 358.
<ul> <li>with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	48	504	Gravston, S.J., D. Vaughan and D. Jones 1996. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison
<ul> <li>and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology. 5:29-56.</li> <li>Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil</li> <li>nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from</li> <li>loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> </ul>	49	505	with annual plants: The importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity
<ul> <li>507 Griffin, K.L., M.A. Bashkin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1997. Interactive effects of soil</li> <li>508 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from</li> <li>509 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>59</li> <li>60</li> </ul>	50 51	506	and nutrient availability. Applied Soil Ecology, 5:29-56
<ul> <li>507 Grinn, R.D., Mart Bashkin, R.D. Thomas and Data State Data State 1997. Interactive circus of soli</li> <li>508 nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from</li> <li>509 loblolly and ponderosa pine seedlings. Plant and Soil. 190:11-18.</li> <li>56</li> <li>57</li> <li>58</li> <li>59</li> <li>60</li> </ul>	52	507	Griffin K L M A Bashkin R B Thomas and B R Strain 1997 Interactive effects of soil
<ul> <li>500 Introgen and atmospheric carbon droute on roournizosphere carbon droute error roournizosphere carbon droute error root roournizosphere carbon droute error root root root droute error root root root root droute error root root root root root droute error root root root root root root r</li></ul>	53	508	nitrogen and atmospheric carbon dioxide on root/rhizosphere carbon dioxide efflux from
55 56 57 58 59 60	54	500	loblolly and nonderosa nine seedlings. Plant and Soil 190:11-18
56 57 58 59 60	55	509	torony and ponderosa pine securings. I fait and 50ff. 190.11-10.
57 58 59 60	56		
58 59 60	57		
59 60	58 50		
	60		

2		
3	510	Griffin, K.L., R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1993. Effects of nitrogen supply and elevated carbon
4	511	dioxide on construction cost in leaves of <i>Pinus taeda</i> (L) seedlings. Oecologia, 95:575-
5	512	580
7	513	Griffin K L. W F. Winner and B R. Strain 1995. Growth and Dry-Matter Partitioning in
8	513	I oblolly and Ponderosa Pine-Seedlings in Response to Carbon and Nitrogen Availability
9	515	New Devtologist 120:547 556
10	516	Honry E 2005 How does nitroeon evailability alter rhizodenesition in Lalium multiflamm
11	510	Henry, F. 2005. How does mitrogen availability after mizodeposition in Lonum multiforum
12	517	Lam. during vegetative growth? Plant and Soli. 209:181-191.
13	518	Hodge, A., S.J. Grayston and B.G. Ord 1996. A novel method for characterisation and
14 15	519	quantification of plant root exudates. Plant and Soil. 184:97-104.
10	520	Hogberg, P. 2008. High temporal resolution tracing of photosynthate carbon from the tree
17	521	canopy to forest soil microorganisms. New Phytologist. 177:220-228.
18	522	Hogberg, P. and D.J. Read 2006. Towards a more plant physiological perspective on soil
19	523	ecology. Trends In Ecology & Evolution. 21:548-554.
20	524	IPCC 2007. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution
21	525	to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intenational Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)
22	526	Eds. S.D. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Avery, M. Tignor
23	527	and H.L. Miller. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdon.
24	528	Isidorov, V. and M. Jdanova 2002. Volatile organic compounds from leaves litter. Chemosphere.
20	529	48.975-979
27	530	Iones D.L. A Hodge and Y Kuzyakov 2004 Plant and mycorrhizal regulation of
28	531	rhizodenosition New Phytologist 163:459-480
29	532	Keel S.G. P.T.W. Siegwolf and C. Korner 2006 Canony CO. enrichment permits tracing the
30	532	fate of recently assimilated earbon in a meture deciduous forest. New Phytologist
31	524	172.210 220
32	534	1/2.319-329.
33	535	Larigauderie, A., J.F. Reynolds and B.R. Strain 1994. Root response to $CO_2$ enrichment and
35	536	nitrogen supply in lobiolly pine. Plant and Soil. 165:21-32.
36	537	Lewis, J.D., K.L. Griffin, R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1994. Phosphorus Supply Affects the
37	538	Photosynthetic Capacity of Loblolly-Pine Grown in Elevated Carbon-Dioxide. Tree
38	539	Physiology. 14:1229-1244.
39	540	Lucash, M.S., J.D. Joslin and R.D. Yanai 2005. Temporal variation in nutrient uptake capacity
40	541	by intact roots of mature loblolly pine. Plant and Soil. 272:253-262.
41	542	Lukac, M., C. Calfapietra and D.L. Godbold 2003. Production, turnover and mycorrhizal
42 13	543	colonization of root systems of three <i>Populus</i> species grown under elevated CO <sub>2</sub>
44	544	(POPFACE). Global Change Biology. 9:838-848.
45	545	Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants Academic Press, London.
46	546	Mikan, C.J., D.R. Zak, M.E. Kubiske and K.S. Pregitzer 2000. Combined effects of atmospheric
47	547	CO <sub>2</sub> and N availability on the belowground carbon and nitrogen dynamics of aspen
48	548	mesocosms. Oecologia. 124:432-445.
49	549	Neumann G and V Rombeld 2001. The Release of Root Exudates as Affected by the Plant's
50	550	Physiological Status In The Rhizosphere: Biochemistry and Organic Substances at the
51 52	551	Soil-Plant Interface Eds R Pinto 7 Varanini and P Nanninieri Dekker New York nn
53	552	A1 02
54	552	Neuven C 2002 Dizedenosition of organic C by plants; machanisms and controls. A granomic
55	555	13guyen, C. 2003. Kinzoucposition of organic C by plants. mechanisms and controls. Agronolline.
56	554	<i>43.313-37</i> 0.
57		
58		
59 60		
00		

1 2		
3	555	Norby R.I. I. Ledford, C.D. Reilly, N.E. Miller and E.G. O'Neill 2004. Fine-root production
4	556	dominates response of a deciduous forest to atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment. In Proceedings
5	557	of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, p. 9689.
7	558	Norby, R.J., E.G. O'Neill, W.G. Hood and R.J. Luxmoore 1987. Carbon allocation, root
8	559	exudation, and mycorrhizal colonization of <i>Pinus echinata</i> seedlings grown under CO <sub>2</sub>
9	560	enrichment. Tree Physiology. 3:203-210.
10	561	Norton, J.M., J.L. Smith and M.K. Firestone 1990. Carbon flow in the rhizosphere of ponderosa
11	562	pine-seedlings. Soil Biology & Biochemistry. 22:449-455.
12	563	Paterson, E. 2006. Root exudation from <i>Hordeum vulgare</i> in response to localized nitrate supply.
14	564	Journal of Experimental Botany, 57:2413-2420.
15	565	Paterson, E., A. Hodge, B. Thornton, P. Millard and K. Killham 1999. Carbon partitioning and
16	566	rhizosphere C-flow in Lolium perenne as affected by CO2 concentration, irradiance and
17	567	below-ground conditions. Global Change Biology, 5:669-678.
10	568	Pendall, E., S. Bridgham, P.J. Hanson, B. Hungate, D.W. Kicklighter, D.W. Johnson, B.E. Law.
20	569	Y.O. Luo, J.P. Megonigal, M. Olsrud, M.G. Rvan and S.O. Wan 2004, Below-ground
21	570	process responses to elevated $CO_2$ and temperature: a discussion of observations.
22	571	measurement methods, and models. New Phytologist. 162:311-322.
23	572	Personeni, E., C. Nguyen, P. Marchal and L. Pages 2007. Experimental evaluation of an efflux-
24 25	573	influx model of C exudation by a individual apical root segments. Journal of
26	574	Experimental Botany. 58:2091-2100.
27	575	Phillips, D.A., T.C. Fox and J. Six 2006. Root exudation (net efflux of amino acids) may
28	576	increase rhizodeposition under elevated CO <sub>2</sub> . Global Change Biology. 12:561-567.
29	577	Phillips, R.P. 2007. Towards a rhizo-centric view of plant-microbial feedbacks under elevated
30	578	atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> . New Phytologist. 173:664-667.
32	579	Phillips, R.P., Y. Erlitz, R. Bier and E.S. Bernhardt 2008. New approach for capturing soluble
33	580	root exudates in forest soils. Functional Ecology. 22:990-999.
34	581	Pritchard, S.G., A.E. Strand, M.L. McCormack, M.A. Davis, A. Finzi, R.B. Jackson, R.
35	582	Matamala, H.H. Rogers and R. Oren 2008. Fine root dynamics in a loblolly pine forest
36	583	are influenced by free-air-CO <sub>2</sub> -enrichment: a six-year-minirhizotron study. Global
38 38	584	Change Biology. 14:1-15.
39	585	Reich, P.B., B.A. Hungate and Y.Q. Luo 2006. Carbon-nitrogen interactions in terrestrial
40	586	ecosystems in response to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide. Annual Review of Ecology
41	587	Evolution and Systematics. 37:611-636.
42	588	Rogers, H.H., G.B. Runion and S.V. Krupa 1994. Plant-Responses to Atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub>
43 44	589	Enrichment with Emphasis on Roots and the Rhizosphere. Environmental Pollution.
45	590	83:155-189.
46	591	Schimel, J.P. and J. Bennett 2004. Nitrogen mineralization: Challenges of a changing paradigm.
47	592	Ecology. 85:591-602.
48	593	Smith, W.H. 1976. Character and significance of forest tree root exudates. Ecology. 57:324-331.
49 50	594	Thornley, J.H.M. 1977. Interpretation of Shoot - Root Relationships. Annals of Botany. 41:461-
51	595	464.
52	596	Tissue, D.T., R.B. Thomas and B.R. Strain 1993. Long-Term Effects of Elevated CO <sub>2</sub> and
53	597	Nutrients on Photosynthesis and Rubisco in Loblolly-Pine Seedlings. Plant Cell and
54 57	598	Environment. 16:859-865.
50 56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

2		
3 4	599	Uselman, S.M., R.G. Qualls and R.B. Thomas 2000. Effects of increased atmospheric CO <sub>2</sub> ,
5	600 601	temperature, and soil N availability on root exudation of dissolved organic carbon by a N-fixing tree (Robinia pseudoacacia L) Plant and Soil 222:191-202
6 7	602	Werth, M. and Y. Kuzyakov 2006. Assimilate partitioning affects C-13 fractionation of recently
8	603	assimilated carbon in maize. Plant and Soil. 284:319-333.
9 10	604	Zak, D.R., W.E. Holmes, A.C. Finzi, R.J. Norby and W.H. Schlesinger 2003. Soil nitrogen
11	605 606	cycling under elevated $CO_2$ : A synthesis of forest face experiments. Ecological Applications, 13:1508, 1514
12 13	607	Zhang, S.S., T.C. Hennessev and R.A. Heinemann 1997. Acclimation of loblolly pine ( <i>Pinus</i>
14	608	<i>taeda</i> ) foliage to light intensity as related to leaf nitrogen availability. Canadian Journal
15 16	609	of Forest Research. 27:1032-1040.
17	610	
18 10	611	
19 20	612	Figure legends
21 22	613	
23	614	Figure 1. Root exudate accumulation in static bathing solution culture over a 24 h period. Error
24 25	615	bars for each of the four treatments represent one standard error of the mean $(n = 10)$ .
26 27	616	
28 29	617	Figure 2. The effects of elevated $CO_2$ and N on a) the total quantity of root exudates released,
30 31	618	and b) mass-specific exudation rates in 100 day-old loblolly pine seedlings (experiment 1). Error
32	619	bars are standard errors of the mean $(n = 10)$ . Significant differences between ambient and
33 34	620	elevated CO <sub>2</sub> (open and black bars, respectively) within an N level are noted by asterisks ( $\dagger = p$
35 36	621	< 0.10, * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, and *** = p < 0.0001).
37 38	622	
39	623	Figure 3. The effects of elevated $CO_2$ and N on a) the total quantity of root exudates released,
40 41	624	and b) mass-specific exudation rates in 145 day-old loblolly pine seedlings (experiment 2). Error
42 43	625	bars are standard errors of the mean $(n = 10)$ . Significant differences between ambient and
44 45	626	elevated CO <sub>2</sub> within an N level are noted by asterisks ( $\dagger = p < 0.10$ , $* = p < 0.05$ , $** = p < 0.01$ ,
46 47	627	and $*** = p < 0.0001$ ).
48	628	
49 50	629	Figure 4. Magnitude of exudation by 145 day-old loblolly pine seedlings in response to two
51 52	630	levels of CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment and N supply (experiment 2). In a), exudates collected from a subset
53 54	631	of seedlings (n=12) during a 14h photoperiod and 10h non-photoperiod are scaled as a proportion
55	632	of estimated biomass accumulation rates on the day of exudate collection (see methods). In b),
56 57 58 59 60	633	mean exudation from each of the four treatments ( $n = 16$ ) are plotted as a function mean light-

1		
2 3	634	saturated net photosynthetic rates from a subset of seedlings ( $n=6$ ). The regression line $v = 1$
4 5	625	Subtraction for photosymmetric factor from a subset of second gs (n=0). The regression fine $y = 0.068x = 0.102$ , $r^2 = 0.0511$ , $r = < 0.0001$
6	035	0.068x - 0.193; r = 0.9511; p = < 0.0001.
7		
о 9		
10		
11 12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25 26		
27		
28 29		
30		
31 32		
33		
34 25		
36		
37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 49		
40 49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54 55		
56		
57		
58 59		
60		









Table 1. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (ppmv) and N (mmol N L<sup>-1</sup>) on biomass (g) of loblolly pine seedlings grown in sand for 100 d in experiment 1. Values are means and standard errors (n = 10).

		Lo	w N	Hig	h N
		350 ppm	700 ppm	350 ppm	700 ppm
_	Shoot (g)				
	Foliage	0.93 (0.15)	0.91 (0.14)	3.97 (0.73)	6.05 (0.69)
	Stem	0.28 (0.05)	0.21 (0.03)	0.96 (0.12)	1.44 (0.15)
	Root (g)				
	>2mm	0.12 (0.03)	0.10 (0.02)	0.51 (0.07)	1.11 (0.2)
	<2 <i>mm</i>	0.15 (0.02)	0.20 (0.06)	0.36 (0.07)	0.65 (0.08)
	Root:shoot	0.66 (0.18)	0.64 (0.09)	0.31 (0.02)	0.34 (0.02)

6

## Page 29 of 30

Table 2. Effects of elevated  $CO_2$  (ppmv) and N (mmol N L<sup>-1</sup>) on biomass (g) and root 

morphology of loblolly pine seedlings grown in sand for 150 days in experiment 2. Values are

means and standard errors (n = 16 for aboveground biomass; n = 12 for root variables).

	Lo	w N	High N	
	385 ppm	585 ppm	385 ppm	585 ppm
Shoot biomass (g)				
Foliage	1.38 (0.22)	1.43 (0.20)	3.76 (0.41)	4.02 (0.51
Stem	0.29 (0.06)	0.37 (0.06)	1.23 (0.21)	1.19 (0.18
Root biomass (g)				
>2mm	0.14 (0.05)	0.2 (0.04)	0.44 (0.07)	0.54 (0.07
<2 <i>mm</i>	0.94 (0.12)	1.23 (0.17)	1.78 (0.22)	2.05 (0.20
Root:shoot biomass	0.68 (0.06)	0.77 (0.05)	0.43 (0.05)	0.50 (0.04
Root morphology				
$SRL(g\ cm^{-1})$	1059 (142)	1082 (69)	1051 (167)	948 (104)
Surface area $(cm^{-2})$	352 (42)	501 (69)	589 (75)	749 (89)
Tips (number)	3277 (605)	3990 (554)	4885 (753)	5285 (865
			L.C.L	

Table 3. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and N on loblolly pine root exudation (mg C), net C

assimilation (mg C), and the proportion of exuded C (% of net assimilated C). All pine seedlings

(n = 16) were incubated for 10h in glass bead solution culture (experiment 2).

	Exudation (mg C)	Net C assimilation (mg C)	Exudate flux (% of C assim
Low N			
385 ppm CO <sub>2</sub>	0.12	16.3	0.76
585 ppm CO <sub>2</sub>	0.20	20.4	0.96
High N			
385 ppm CO <sub>2</sub>	0.14	52.9	0.27
585 ppm CO <sub>2</sub>	0.18	59.7	0.29