RECOIL RANGES OF NUCLEI PRODUCED IN PROTON-INDUCED REACTIONS J. Jastrzebski,* H. Karwowski, M. Sadler,** and P.P. Singh

The energy with which various nuclei, produced in a nuclear reaction, recoil depends dramatically on the reaction mechanism which leads to their production. For example, the recoil energy $E_R(CN)$ of the compound nucleus formed after capture of a projectile with kinetic energy E_p and of mass A_p by target of mass A_t , given by $E_R(CN) = A_p A_T E_p /$ $(A_p + A_T)^2$, may be as much as a factor of ten larger than the recoil energy of a nucleus produced by the same projectile and target combination but through a direct reaction such as inelastic scattering or the like. Further, nuclei which are produced following nucleon evaporation from some heavier nucleus must have the recoiling characteristics which are similar to that of their parent

Í

nucleus, except for some modification resulting from the evaporation. Thus study of recoil ranges can give an important clue towards the mechanism with which various nuclei are produced in an inclusive reaction.

The experimental method used in measuring the recoil ranges of the residual nuclei is schematically depicted in Fig. 1. If R is the average range and $\theta_{\rm R}$ is the average recoiling angle of the nuclei produced in the reactions induced by incident protons in the target, then only those nuclei which are produced in the last segment of the target, R cos $\theta_{\rm r}$ in thickness, will be able to get out into the catcher foil. If it is assumed that the nuclei are produced uniformly throughout the





-120-

target, a reasonable assumption for the target thickness of 1-3 mg/cm² employed, then the ratio of the activity measured in the catcher to the total activity times the target thickness T is a good measure of the component of R along the beam direction. These measurements have been made with ⁵⁸Ni targets at 80, 153 and 164 MeV and for ⁶²Ni at 80, 136, 153 and 164 MeV. The results for ⁵⁸Ni at 80 MeV and for ⁶²Ni at 153 MeV are illustrated in Figs. 2 and 3 respectively.

The observed ranges vary, almost linearly, from about 50 μ g/cm² for nuclei close to the target mass to about 700 μ g/cm² for the nucleus farthest from the target at 153 bombarding energy. At 80 MeV the increase in the observed ranges with the number of nucleons removed from the target, ΔA is similar though perhaps a little slower for larger values of ΔA . It is instructive to compare the observed ranges for each nucleus with the range of



the corresponding compound nucleus (referred to as R_{CN} hereafter). For 80 MeV protons on ${}^{58}Ni$ R_{CN} (80 MeV) is equal to 370 µg/cm² for ${}^{59}Cu$ and for 153 MeV protons on ${}^{62}Ni$ R_{CN} (153 MeV) is equal to 600 µg/cm² for ${}^{63}Cu$. Note (See Fig. 4) that R_{CN} is close to the values of observed ranges only for the lightest of the nuclei at the two energies. The evaporation of nucleons tends to slightly increase the recoil energy, on the average, and the recoil ranges. However, these changes are expected to be relatively small and should not affect the discussion of these results significantly.

From the fact that the ranges of most nuclei are considerably less than R_{CN}, it can be concluded that none of these nuclei, except perhaps the lightest of the observed nuclei, were formed from the decay of the CN (i.e. the target + the projectile). The extremely small range of nuclei near the target mass imply that these nuclei were produced in processes in which



-121-

most of the incident momentum and energy is taken away by the emitted particles, a characteristic of the pre-equilibrium interactions. Increasing values of the recoil range with ΔA implies that the corresponding nuclei are produced in events in which a progressively smaller fraction of the incident energy is carried out by the emitted particles. The picture of the proton-nucleus interactions that emerges from the systematics of the observed ranges is that as the incident nucleon interacts with the target nucleons a number of residual nuclei A1, A1-1, -- (following the emission of some of the nucleons involved in the interaction) are left with a broad range of energy deposited as a consequence of those nucleons which are not able to escape from the nucleus. Most of the final products are produced following evaporation of nucleons from these parent nuclei. Since it takes 10 MeV of excitation energy to evaporate a nucleon, lighter final products are produced from successively higher excitations of the parent nuclei. Further, because evaporation does not substantially change the recoil energies² the final product nuclei, the daughters, shall be recoiling with the same energy as that of the parents.

Semi-quantitatively speaking, assume that a product of mass $A_t - \Delta A$, where A_t is the target mass and ΔA is the number of nucleons evaporated, is formed from a parent of mass A_p at an excitation E^* . Then to a good approximation, in analogy with the capture reactions, its recoil energy E_R is equal to $\frac{(A_p \cdot A_t)E_d}{(A_p + A_t)^2}$, where E_d is the energy deposited in the nucleus as a consequence of the interaction. From the conservation of energy it is obvious that $E_d \equiv E_{in} - E_{out} =$ $E^* + Q \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} 10 \Delta A$. Here Q has been ignored in comparison with E* and the latter is equated to 10 ΔA utilizing the fact that it takes 10 MeV of excitation to evaporate one nucleon. The recoil range R is related^{3,4} to the recoil energy E_R as R = kE^m, where k is a constant depending upon the properties of the medium and the exponent m is unity for E_R less than about 1 MeV and decreases to 1/2 for E_p greater than 35 MeV. Thus, substituting for E one obtains that R $^{\text{A}}$ k $10^{\text{m}}(\Delta A)^{\text{m}}$ or R & 10k AA since m is close to unity for recoil energies encountered in this study. It is interesting that this simple picture is able to predict the observed linear behavior of R with ΔA .

A more quantitative accounting of the observed ranges can be attempted in terms of the recoil energies of the parent nuclei, A_t+1, A_t, A_t-1, --at appropriate excitation energy, which leads to the production of particular daughter nuclei of mass $A_t+1-\Delta A$ by using the recoil energies and recoil angles calculated in terms of the cascade model.⁵ The calculated ranges and their projections along the incident direction are shown as solid and dashed lines, respectively, in Figs. 2 and 3. Though the projected ranges given by the model are consistently lower than the observed ranges, their magnitude and dependence with ΔA is not very far from reality. It is hoped that when the kick given by the evaporation is taken into account that the remaining discrepancy may also

-122-

disappear.

Slight disagreement not withstanding, it is very impressive that from the magnitudes and trends of measured ranges with ΔA one can conclude (a) that all final products are produced as a consequence of a few quasi-free interactions among the incident nucleon and the target nucleons and (b) that product nuclei which are many nucleons removed from the nuclei that are actually produced in the preequilibrium phase remember their parentage at least in so far as their recoil energies are concerned.

*Present address: INR, Swierk, Poland **Present address: Department of Physics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90024

- 1) See IUCF Technical and Scientific Report, 1977.
- Winsberg, L. and Alexander, J.M., Phys. Rev. <u>121</u> (1961) 518.
- Northcliffe, L.C. and Schilling, R.F., Nuclear data Tables A7 (1970) 233.
- Lindhard, J. and Scharff, M., Phys. Rev. <u>124</u> (1961) 128.
- 5) M. Sadler, et al., this report, p. 124.