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Differential cross section and analyzing power measurements for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d})$ reaction at 120 MeV bombarding energy have been obtained for the final states in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ at $12.71,14.08,15.11,16.11,16.58$, $17.76,18.13,18.80,19.9,20.3$, and 20.6 MeV .1 The current experiment used the QDDM spectrometer and polarized proton beam and these data complement those from a similar experiment done previously at the IUCF in conjunction with a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)$ run. ${ }^{2}$ In that experiment, states up to 16 MeV were analyzed, but the resolution was typically $200-300 \mathrm{keV}$. Data were collected for laboratory angles between $4^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ}$.

An overall resolution of $40-80 \mathrm{keV}$ was obtained. Good agreement exists between the differential cross sections and analyzing powers for the deuteron groups populating the 15.11 and 16.11 MeV states of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, which were measured in both experiments.

The main objective has been to obtain information on those states in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ above 16 MeV that were indicated in the earlier run, and on the nature of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ground state, in particular its relationship to the configuration ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ground state plus one neutron.

The intermediate coupling calculations of Cohen and Kurath ${ }^{3}$ predict that most of the $l_{1 / 2}$ and $l_{3 / 2}$ single particle transfer strength should lie in the ground, 4.44-, 12.71-, 15.11- and $16.11-\mathrm{MeV}$ states. The current results are consistent with this, as are those at lower energies 7,9. Since those calculations were done within a lp-shell basis, only even parity
states with $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{f}} \leqslant 2$ are predicted to be populated. The presence of the odd parity states at 9.64 MeV (3-) and $16.58 \mathrm{MeV}\left(2^{-}\right)$, and the possible presence of the 13.35 $\mathrm{MeV}\left(2^{-}\right)$state thus indicate the importance of higher shell contributions to the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ground state. Shell model calculations by Jager and Kirchbach, and Gillet and Vinh $\mathrm{Mau}^{4}$ indicate the importance of the $\left(1 p^{-1}, 1 d\right)$ configurations for the 9.64 - and $16.58-\mathrm{MeV}$ states and analysis of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\right)$ experiment by Comfort et al. 5 shows that the $\left(l_{3 / 2}{ }^{-1}, l_{5 / 2}\right)$ and $\left(l_{p_{3 / 2}}{ }^{-1}, \mathrm{~s}_{1 / 2}\right)$ configurations are both necessary for the reproduction of the differential cross section of the proton group populating the $16.58-\mathrm{MeV}$ state.

Figure 1 shows that considerable structure exists above 16 MeV . In recent years much interest has been


Figure 1. Composite spin-up spectrum (3 spectrograph bites) taken at a laboratory angle of $30^{\circ}$. The numbers give excitation energies of the final states in MeV.
shown in intermediate energy ( $p, d$ ) reactions since many more high excitation states are significantly populated than at lower ( $T_{p}<100 \mathrm{MeV}$ ) energies, thus providing new spectroscopic data. Standard DWBA analyses indicate, however, that the reaction mechanism is not simple, and that multi-step mechanisms may be important. There are indications in the present experiment of their importance in the transitions to the $14.08-, 18.8-$, and $20.6-\mathrm{MeV}$ states. The shapes of these cross sections show major differences from the others. Further, both the 14.08 - and $20.6-\mathrm{MeV}$ states are dominant at $800 \mathrm{MeV}, 6$ but are only weakly present (20.6 MeV) ${ }^{7}$ or absent $(14.08 \mathrm{MeV})^{8}$ at energies of around 60 MeV . This is particularly significant for the 14.08 MeV state, since it has a spin-parity of $4^{+}$, which would require the pick-up of a $1 f_{7 / 2}$ neutron if a single step mechanism were appropriate. The absence of this state at the lower energy, together with the suggested importance of multi-step mechanisms shows that little $\mathrm{f}_{7 / 2}$ amplitude exists in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ground state wave function.

The cluster of states at about 20 MeV has been resolved into the $19.9-, 20.27-$, and $20.6-\mathrm{MeV}$ states of Ref. 1. None of these is well known; however, a tentative value of $3^{-}$has been assigned to the $20.6-\mathrm{MeV}$ state, which is consistent with its weak presence in this reaction at 62 MeV . This same value has recently been deduced from ${ }^{11} B\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ scattering data by Borchers et al., ${ }^{10}$ who also give a $1^{+}$spin-parity assignment to the 20.3 MeV state. It is well known that analyzing powers should be sensitive to the $j$-transfer value and this is clearly seen in the $j_{t}=1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ transfers. An isospin dependence is also evident for transitions of the same j-transfer with the analyzing powers of deuterons to $\mathrm{T}=1$ states being somewhat weaker (especially for $j_{t}=1 / 2$ ) than those for $T=0$ states.


Figure 2. Analyzing powers measured for 19.9- and $\overline{20.3-\mathrm{MeV}}$ states, and also the ground state $\left(0^{+} ; \mathrm{T}=0\right)$ and $17.76\left(0^{+} ; T=1\right) \mathrm{MeV}$ states of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$.

Comparisons between the $19.9-\mathrm{MeV}$ and $20.3-\mathrm{MeV}$ states and the $17.76-\mathrm{MeV}$ state $\left(0^{+} ; \mathrm{T}=1\right)$ and ground state $\left(0^{+} ; \mathrm{T}=0\right)$ analyzing powers suggest that an assignment $\left(j^{\pi} ; T\right)=\left(0^{+} ; 1\right)$ should be made for both the 19.9 and $20.3-\mathrm{MeV}$ states. Further analysis is proceeding.
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Zero or finite range one-step DWBA calculations for our ( $p, t$ ) experiment as the nuclear wave functions
for two-nucleon transfer processes can account for angular distributions and their $L$ dependence as well as for effects due to selection rules. However, one does not reproduce absolute cross sections or the observed J dependence of analyzing powers for a given $L$ using even very sophisticated one-step approaches. Recent analyzing power measurements $\left({ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{p}),{ }^{48} \mathrm{Ca}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{p})\right.$ [Ref. 1], and ${ }^{90} \operatorname{Zr}(\vec{p}, t)$ [Ref. 2] could be understood qualitatively by including important sequential transfer channels explicitly. To study the effects of sequential two-nucleon transfer mechanisms at medium energy in a simple nucleus, we chose ${ }^{17} 0$ as a target
near the p-shell closure are considered to be well understood. The measurements were made with the IUCF QDDM Spectrometer using $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ targets enriched to $55 \%$ ${ }^{17} 0,25 \%{ }^{18} 0$, and $20 \%{ }^{16} 0$. In order to distinguish final states of ${ }^{15} 0$ from those of ${ }^{16} 0$ we also took data with an almost pure ${ }^{18} 0$ target $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$ enriched to $95 \%$ ${ }^{18} 0$ ). The beam energy ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=90 \mathrm{MeV}$ ) was chosen to match the capabilities of the magnetic spectrometer. The average beam polarization was about $75 \%$ for both spin directions.

The triton spectrum for the two momentum bites of the spectrograph taken at $\theta_{1 a b}=10^{\circ}$ is shown in Fig. 1 .


