



Catherine Miller, Alexandrine Barontini, Marie-Aimée Germanos, Jairo Guerrero and Christophe Pereira (dir.)

Studies on Arabic Dialectology and Sociolinguistics Proceedings of the 12th International Conference of AIDA held in Marseille from May 30th to June 2nd 2017

Institut de recherches et d'études sur les mondes arabes et musulmans

Deontic Modality in Baghdadi Arabic

George Grigore

DOI: 10.4000/books.iremam.3917

Publisher: Institut de recherches et d'études sur les mondes arabes et musulmans

Place of publication: Aix-en-Provence

Year of publication: 2019

Published on OpenEdition Books: 24 January 2019

Serie: Livres de l'IREMAM

Electronic ISBN: 9791036533891



<http://books.openedition.org>

Electronic reference

GRIGORE, George. *Deontic Modality in Baghdadi Arabic* In: *Studies on Arabic Dialectology and Sociolinguistics: Proceedings of the 12th International Conference of AIDA held in Marseille from May 30th to June 2nd 2017* [online]. Aix-en-Provence: Institut de recherches et d'études sur les mondes arabes et musulmans, 2019 (generated 12 janvier 2021). Available on the Internet: <<http://books.openedition.org/iremam/3917>>. ISBN: 9791036533891. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4000/books.iremam.3917>.

This text was automatically generated on 12 January 2021.

Deontic Modality in Baghdadi Arabic

George Grigore

Introduction

- 1 In this paper, I tried to present and analyze the possibilities of expressing the deontic – with its multiple facets – in the Spoken Arabic of Baghdad, using, as basis, a corpus of data that I have gathered throughout the years during my visits in Baghdad, corroborated with al-Ḥanafī (1978), Biṭunā (2013), Grigore (2010), McCarthy and Raffouli (1964, 1965).
- 2 The deontic modality indicates the permission or the obligation, imposed externally, to complete an action according to a set of preexisting principles whose basic meanings refer to what is obligative (“You must go now”) or permissive (“You may/can go now”) (Palmer 2001: 71). The most known manifestation of deontic modality consists in the directives which Searle defines as “where we try to get others to do things” (Searle 1983: 166). Another subdivision of the deontic modality, the commissive, is defined by the same author as “where we commit ourselves to do things” (Searle 1983: 166) known also as the volitive/desiderative deontic which indicates the decisions that are taken based on the wishes of the individual instead of being based on previously established rules or principles. This type of deontic has a high degree of subjectivism with respect to the obligation and permission of completing a specific action (*e.g. I want you to eat; you may eat*). From Zafiu’s point of view, the real deontic is based on extra linguistic support, an independent set of rules, and, when it doesn’t exist, the speaker may operate upon false rules which correspond to its own subjective willingness, in this case, the deontic draws near the volition (Zafiu 2005: 687).
- 3 Other authors, such as Bybee, Perkins, and Pagliuca reject the denomination of deontic and replace it by two concepts which are the speaker-oriented modality, where the speaker imposes conditions on an addressee, and the agent-oriented modality that

reports the existence of an obligation imposed by external conditions to an agent forced to complete an action (1994: 176-181).

- 4 I will analyze below the directives (obligation and permission) in Baghdadi Arabic.

1. The obligation

1.1. Imperative

- 5 The verb's imperative mood, in its affirmative form, always suggests the necessity or compulsiveness of performing the required act:

rūḥ 'uḥud min ḥāṭir aḥū-k 'almūd mā yibqā za'lān 'alē-kl!
Go make up with your brother so he doesn't stay upset with you.
lahhib id-dīč zeyn gabuḥ mā tuṭabḥ-a!
Sing the rooster well before you cook it!

- 6 The negative form of the imperative verb – realized by using the negation particle *lā* – indicates the prohibition of an action:

Lā tad'ač-nī b-idi čāy!
Don't jostle me! I am holding some tea.
'alā kēf-ak! lā ddarfu!
Take it easy! Don't push!
yā za'ṭuṭ! lā titḥaṭṭā l-ḥudūd 'b-ḥačy-ak wiyyā bībeyt-ak!
O, child! Don't overstep the boundaries in talking with your grand-mother!

- 7 Sometimes, the imperatives may be preceded by the particle: *yalla* (*yā 'allāh*) “oh, God”, “come on”, “go on”, “hurry up”, which signifies an encouragement, an incentive to perform the required task:

yalla, ṭhalḥal 'šwayya ḥāṭir aftaḥ bāb it-tallāge!
Come on, move a little so I can open the door of the refrigerator!

- 8 For reinforcement *yalla* can be combined with *ḥallī* “let's” in many cases:

yalla, Hasan, ḥallī ninzil min il-buruḡ, tarā ānī dāyḥ!
Come on, Hasan, let's go down from the tower because I am dizzy!

- 9 Also, *yalla* can sometimes precede the particle *de* which strengthens the imperative, thus indicating that the speaker lost patience and the recipient should proceed with performing the required task:

de-yalla, ḥallī nirḡa' li-t-ṭarma!
Oh, come on, let's come back to the porch!

- 10 Besides the aforementioned one, there is another reinforced form of the imperative which is formed by adding the particle *de* before the simple imperative verb: *de-rūḥ* “go immediately!”, *de-ktib* “write immediately!”, etc.

- 11 The particle *de* seems to have its origin in Ottoman Turkish where it is used as an abbreviation for *hayde/haydi*, *hadi* “come on”:

Haydi qoş çabuq!
Allons, cours vite!
Come on, run quickly!
Hayde qîz-îm biz-e bir-er qahve söyle!
Allons, ma fille, commande-nous un café à chacun!
Come on, my girl, order a coffee for each of us! (Deny 1928: 714).

- 12 This preverbal particle is also found in contemporary varieties of Anatolian Turkish:

de-git!

Go immediately!

- 13 The similarity, on all levels, of the Mesopotamian preverbal particle *de* with the Turkish particle *de* is more than obvious. Haim Blanc considers that: “Such imperatives with /*de*/ are perhaps a shade more energetic than the plain imperative and a shade less energetic than the imperfect plus /*ma*/” (1964 : 117):

‘alī gāl hīcī? d-ḥallīnā min hādā! msawdan!

Ali said that? Spare us from him! He’s crazy!

1.2. The VII stem

- 14 Out of all the derived Arab verbal stems, the VII stem (which represents the passive voice in the Mesopotamian dialects), at the imperfective aspect shows the necessity of performing an action in a certain fashion and comes as a recommendation, as an advice to be cautious so that the usual practice is respected in the given conditions:

li-hdūm tinḥisil ḥnā bi-ṭ-ṭašit!

The clothes can be washed here in the wash basin!

- 15 The most prevalent use of this derived stem is the negation which adds a meaning different from the affirmative form, thus indicating the stringent need to not perform a certain action, insisting on this fact which, hence, becomes totally prohibited:

il-ḥubuz mā yinḍebb bi-z-zibāle!

The bread can’t be thrown in the garbage!

dīr bālek! hādī l-bāb mā tinfiṭiḥ! mafhūm?

Be careful! This door can’t be open! Understood?

hādā l-‘ilāḡ mā yinširib gabu! ir-rayūḡ!

This remedy can’t be drunk before breakfast!

- 16 In all the above mentioned examples, the verb can be preceded, for strengthening, by *lāzim* “must”. The insertion of *lāzim* decreases the degree of prohibition, drawing it nearer to a recommendation:

li-hdūm lāzim tinḥisil ḥnā bi-ṭ-ṭašit!

The clothes must be washed here in the wash basin!

1.3. Derivatives from the root l.z.m.

- 17 Most of times, in Baghdadi Arabic, for expressing the deontic, derivatives from the root l.z.m. – *yilzam*, *lāzim*, *malzūm*, *luzūm* – that indicate the necessity of performing an action, action which cannot be delayed – are used:

-āku luzūm aḡī-k bācīr?

- lā, māku luzūm tiḡi ent, tigdar tdizz fadd wāḥid aḡar.

- Is there need that I come to you tomorrow?

- No, there is not need that you come, you can send another one.

- bācīr kull šofer lāzim ‘alē yinṭī-nī fad[d] pāket ḡigāyir.

- Tomorrow every driver must give me a packet of cigarettes.

mū lāzim tdallil iṭ-ṭifil, li’an yikbur miṭil wilid ni’ma w dalāl.

You must not spoil the child, because he will grow up as the pampered rich.

āni malzūm arūḡ wiyyā-k.

I am obliged to go with you.

1.4. Prepositional structures

- 18 The preposition ‘*ind*’ or ‘*idd*’ “at” has the meaning of property when it indicates a material thing at the disposal of its owner ‘*ind-i Ḥāšūge*’ “I have a spoon” or it has metaphoric meaning with respect to abstract notions such as time, science etc. When used with verbal nouns it has the meaning of performing an action:

‘ind-a kitāba.

He has to write.

‘ind-i ḥačī wiyyā-k.

I have to talk to you.

- 19 The use of the preposition *warā* “behind” is more common than the use of ‘*ind*’ “at” as if the action pursues the performer, forcing him to solve it or to act upon it in order to get rid of it:

warā-y rūḥa li-bēt ‘amm-i.

I have to go to my uncle’s house.

il-yōm warā-y Ḥasil iz-zūliyye w ṭabuḥ.

Today I have to wash the carpet and to cook.

mā agdar addān-ak il-yōm..., waḷḷa, warā-y dafat il-kahrabā’.

I cannot lend you today, by God, I have to pay the electricity.

- 20 At times, the spatial orientation can be opposite with regards to what I just presented, more exactly *warā* is replaced by *giddām* “in front of”; in this situation the action that must be performed becomes a hurdle for the performer, thus, forcing him to remove it in order to continue his way.

- 21 The expressions used in the Standard Arabic *al-fuṣḥā* for introducing the deontic modality, such as *lā budda*, *lā maḥraḡa*, *lā mafarra*, etc. (the common denominator – “there is no escape” – being the lack of any possibility of escaping from accomplishing the required action) can also be found in Baghdadi Arabic: *māku mafarr* “there is no escape”, *māku ṭafra* “there is no jumping”, *māku šarda* “there is no fleeing”, *māku mukān* “there is no place”, etc. Generally, they appear together with *lāzim*:

māku šarda min id-dōlma, yōmiyye lāzim tinwakil.

There is no escape from the dolma, you have to eat it daily.

māku šarda min iḡ-ḡihāl, lāzim trabbī-hum, tlabbis-hum, tnawwim-hum, t’akkil-hum.

There is no escape from children, you must raise them, dress them, put them to sleep, and feed them.

māku ṭafra min hādā l-msawdan, lāzim tintī-h fad(d) rašde.

There is no escape (jumping) from this crazy, you must hit him.

māku mukān trūḥ l-a, lāzim tibqā hnā.

There is no place to go, you must stay here.

māku ayy ḥall, lāzim tnām mustašfā.

There is no solution, you must enter hospital.

- 22 Sometimes, the reinforcement of the deontic is attained by the repetition of the verb, underlining the indomitable destiny:

Māku ṭafra min[n] iz-zawāḡ, titzawwaḡ-titzawwaḡ.

There is no escape (jumping) from marriage, you get married-you get married.

1.5. Be careful!

- 23 The phrase *dīr bāl-ak* “be careful”, “pay attention” is used for warning the recipient upon a punishment that follows the execution of a certain action. Hence, it indicates the forbidding of an action, or, better said, the abstinence from performing it:

dīr bāl-ak tōgaf^hhnā!

Be careful, don't stay here!

Mamnu' tōgaf^hhnā!

It is forbidden to stay here!

dīr bāl-ak tiktub 'a-l-ḥāyit̄ ib-ṭabāšīr!

Be careful don't write with the chalk on the wall!

dīr bāl-ak tākel dhūm w ent 'ind-ak sukkar

Be careful, don't eat fat because you have diabetes.

dīr bāl-ak tḥarmuṣ is-sayyāra māl-t-i

Be careful, don't scratch my car!

1.6. *rād-yrīd* “to want”

- 24 The verb *rād-yrīd* “to want” at the imperfective aspect 3rd person, plural, signifies an imposition of an act from the authorities (*dōl*, *hādōl* “these”, “the authorities”, “those in power”):

yirdūn minn-ak viyez, idā tidḥul Turkiyā.

They want visa from you, if you enter Turkey.

- 25 *Yrād*, an abbreviation from *yinrād*, the VII stem has a role of passive voice of the verb *rād-yrīd* “to want” with the assimilation of the consonant /n/ by the consonant /r/ followed by the latter's reduction – due to usage – to a simple /r/:

yrād l-ak šuḡul hwāye, ḥattā tkawwin nafs-ak.

There is much work needed from you, until you fulfil yourself.

1.7. The necessity meaning

- 26 All the names that have the meaning of necessity, need – such as *ḥāḡa*, *ḡarūra*, *ḡarūri* – are used for expressing a deontic meaning:

'aku ḥāḡa tiṭla' min il-bēt ib-hāḡa l-wakit?

Is there any need to get out of the house in this time?

māku ḥāḡa tsawwī kull ḥāy il-hōse.

There is no need to make all this uproar.

ḡarūri tḥābir-nī l-yōm.

You must call me today.

1.8. *ḡaṣban 'alā*

- 27 The expression *ḡaṣban 'alā* “in spite of” is used to express the coercion of somebody, hence, in spite of his will, to perform an action, the coerced not being convinced of its utility:

raḥ tsudd il-bāb ḡaṣban 'alē-k

You are going to close the door in spite of your wish.

ḡaṣban 'alē-k, tidrus il-handase, mū l-adab.

In spite of you(r) (wish), you are going to study engineering, not literature.

1.9. Past participles

- 28 The past participle – *muḍtarr*, *mağbūr* – of some verbs that express compulsiveness is used to show an action imposed by a situation that has no other way out:

muḍtarr iddāyan flūs min ‘amm-a.

He is obliged to borrow money from his uncle.

mağbūr il-yōm arūḥ li-l-ḥiwāza.

I am obliged today to go to the farm (i.e. private estate).

1.10. The oath

- 29 The oath is defined as the binding of self to refrain from something or to set out to perform something, bearing the meaning of absolute truth for the one who takes an oath, of pure faith. Its insertion in a sentence gives the acceptance of compulsiveness of fulfilling the mentioned act in such a way that the speaker will not lose his credibility in front of peers:

w dā’t abūya rāḥ aḍrub-ak bi-s-siċċin.

By my father’s honor, I’ll bit you with the knife.

1.11. The verb *ḥalla* “let’s”

- 30 The imperative of the verb *ḥalla*, even though it has deontic acceptations, still holds the predominant meanings of advice, incentive:

Ḥallī nfūt minnā Ḥāṭir mā yšūfnā.

Let’s go this way so he won’t see us.

lā tḥallī l-’itwī ybūl bi-ṭ-ṭarma!

Don’t let the tomcat piss on the porch!

2. The permission

2.1. The verb *yğūz*

- 31 The manifestation of permissiveness is accomplished with the help of the verb *yğūz*:

yğūz tākel hassa.

It is fit to eat now.

2.2. *masmūḥ* “permitted”

- 32 The past participle of the verb *sumah* “to permit”:

masmūḥ l-ak tākel hassa.

It is permitted for you to eat now.

2.3. The verb *ḥallā*

- 33 And, also, with the imperfective and imperative of the verb *ḥallā*:

aḥallī-k tiğ’ud wiyyā-nā ba’ad ma tiğlib el-nā raggiyye ellī taḥat iĉ-ĉerpāye.

I allow/ I permit you to sit with us after you bring us the watermelon which is under the bed.

lā tḥallī l-‘itwī ybūl bi- ṭ-ṭarma!
Don't let the tomcat piss on the porch!

Final remark

- 34 A remark emerges from all the given examples that, across this research, the verb is either imperative or imperfective (present), the perfective form eliminating the deontic meaning, hence the sentence becomes purely descriptive:

mā ḥān lāzim tqūmīn min il-firāš w tuṣubjīn ša‘r-eč bi-l-ḥanna.
You should not have got out of the mattress and to dye your hair with henna.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

al-Ḥanafī, Ġalāl. 1978. *Mu‘jamu l-luġati l-‘ammiyyati l-baġdādiyyati*. Baghdad: Dāru l-ḥurriyya li-l-ṭibā‘ati.

Biṭunā, Gabriel. 2013. “Š-aku māku? A Lexical-Semantic Approach to the Specific Vocabulary of the spoken Arabic of Iraq”, *Romano-Arabica* 13. 61-78.

Blanc, Haim. 1964. *Communal Dialects in Baghdad*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Bybee, Joan L. & Perkins, Revere & Pagliuca, William. 1994. *The evolution of grammar: Tense, aspect and modality in the languages of the world*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Deny, J. 1920. *Grammaire de la langue turque (Dialecte osmanli)*. Paris : Éditions Ernest Leroux.

Dury, Richard. s.a. *A Brief Glossary of Modality* [online]. Available at: <http://dinamico2.unibg.it/anglistica/slin/modgloss.htm> [Accessed July 11, 2015].

Grigore, George. 2007. *L’arabe parlé à Mardin: monografie d’un parler arabe périphérique*. București: Editura Universităţii din Bucureşti.

Grigore, George. 2010. “Les verbes à racines quadriconsonantiques dans l’arabe parlé à Bagdad”, *Analele Universităţii din Bucureşti – Limbi și Literaturi Străine*. Year LIX. Bucharest: Editura Universităţii din Bucureşti. 55-64.

Jacobsson, Bengt. 1994. “Recessive and emergent uses of modal auxiliaries in English”, *English Studies* 72(2): 166-182.

Kärkkäinen, Elise. 1992. “Modality as a Strategy in Interaction: Epistemic Modality in the Language of Native and Non-Native Speakers of English”, *Pragmatics and Language Learning*, Vol. 3. 197-216.

Lyons, John. 1977. *Semantics*. Volume 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

McCarthy, Joseph; Raffouli, Faraj. 1964. *Spoken Arabic of Baghdad*. Beirut: Librairie Orientale.

McCarthy, Joseph; Raffouli, Faraj. 1965. *Spoken Arabic of Baghdad. Part two (A) – Anthology of Texts*. Beirut: Librairie Orientale.

Palmer, F. R. 2001. *Mood and Modality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Searle, J, R. 1983. *Intentionality*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Woodhead, D. R.; Beene, Wayne (eds.). 1967. *A Dictionary of Iraqi Arabic. Arabic-English*. Washington D. C.: Georgetown University Press.

Zafiu, Rodica. 2005. "Modalizarea", Valeria Guțu Romalo (coord.), *Gramatica academică a limbii române (GALR)*, vol. II. Bucharest: Editura Academiei Române. 673-697.

ABSTRACTS

The deontic modality – also known in the literature on the topic as speaker-oriented modality – indicates an obligation or permission imposed externally, compelling an agent to complete an action, in accordance with a corpus of pre-existent rules. In this paper, I will present an analysis of the possibilities to express the deontic modality – with its multiple facets – in Baghdadi Arabic, analysis based on a corpus of data gathered by me in the last ten years, during my visits to Iraq. The present analysis will point out the main modal verbs (i.e.: *yimkin* “to be possible”, *yrīd* “to want”, etc.), modal expressions (i.e.: *lā budd min* “it is inevitable”, *akū luzūm* “there is an obligation”, etc.), pre-verbal particles (i.e.: *d-*, *d-rūh* “go immediately”, etc.) and so on used to introduce the subjective degree of the compulsoriness or the permissibility, the necessity or the acceptability of an action.

INDEX

Keywords: Baghdadi Arabic, deontic modality, permission, obligation.

AUTHOR

GEORGE GRIGORE

Department of Arabic, University of Bucharest, Romania