

Titre: Title:	Discussion of "Role of Watershed Geomorphic Characteristics on Flooding in Indiana, United States" by Kuk-Hyun Ahn and Venkatesh Merwade
Auteurs: Authors:	Carl Gagnon-Ouellette et Musandji Fuamba
Date:	2016
Référence: Citation:	Gagnon-Ouellette, Carl et Fuamba, Musandji (2016). Discussion of "Role of Watershed Geomorphic Characteristics on Flooding in Indiana, United States" by Kuk-Hyun Ahn and Venkatesh Merwade. <i>Journal of Hydrologic Engineering</i> , 21(9), 07016011. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001418



Document en libre accès dans PolyPublie

Open Access document in PolyPublie

URL de PolyPublie: PolyPublie URL:	http://publications.polymtl.ca/2456/
Version:	Version finale avant publication / Accepted version Non révisé par les pairs / Unrefereed
Conditions d'utilisation: Terms of Use:	CC BY-NC-ND



Document publié chez l'éditeur commercial

Document issued by the commercial publisher

Titre de la revue: Journal Title:	Journal of Hydrologic Engineering
Maison d'édition: Publisher:	ASCE
URL officiel: Official URL:	https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001418
Mention légale: Legal notice:	

**Ce fichier a été téléchargé à partir de PolyPublie,
le dépôt institutionnel de Polytechnique Montréal**

This file has been downloaded from PolyPublie, the
institutional repository of Polytechnique Montréal

<http://publications.polymtl.ca>

1 Discussion of **Role of Watershed Geomorphic Characteristics on Flooding in Indiana, United**
2 **States**

3 Kuk-Hyun Ahn¹ and Venkatesh Merwade, DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001289.

4 Discussers:

5 CARL GAGNON-OUELLETTE¹ and MUSANDJI FUAMBA²

6 **Methodology Summary**

7 The authors investigated the relationship between flooding and geomorphic characteristics in
8 Indiana, United States, by using data at 94 streamflow gauging stations. They categorized the flood
9 magnitude into three groups, including moderate, extreme, and severe. The flood in each group is
10 related to geomorphic characteristics. Obtained results show that the extreme flooding is most
11 affected by the watershed morphometry, particularly the watershed length, and the severe flooding
12 by the watershed slope and land use type. It was also found that the geomorphic characteristics of
13 the watershed have impact on the severity of flooding. Using the Kruskal-Wallis rank test when
14 investigating the effect of the land cover type and the watershed size showed that the land cover
15 type affects the occurrence of flooding even if its role may be relatively smaller compared with
16 other variables. Additionally, flooding is been found to be affected by the watershed length than
17 the watershed area for the watersheds. To quantify the significance of the flood, the Flash Flood
18 Index (FFI) is calculated with the following equation

19
$$FFI = RK + RM + RTP + RIT \quad (1)$$

¹ M.A.Sc. student, Department of Civil, Geological and Mining Engineering, École Polytechnique de Montréal, 2500
Chemin de Polytechnique, Montreal, H3C 3A7, Canada. E-mail: carl.gagnon-ouellette@polymtl.ca

² Professor, Department of Civil, Geological and Mining Engineering, École Polytechnique de Montréal, 2500 Chemin
de Polytechnique, Montreal, H3C 3A7, Canada. E-mail: musandji.fuamba@polymtl.ca, Ph.D, M.ASCE.

20 where RK is the relative rising curve gradient; RM the relative flood magnitude ratio, RTP the
21 relative flood response time; and RIT the relative ratio with the rainfall intensity (Ahn and
22 Merwade 2015) with more details in (Kim and Choi 2015).

23 Following are steps described by the authors to perform the suggested approach. First, the floods
24 are ranked by percentile of FFI occurrences in the following categories: less than 70% for
25 moderate, between 70% and 90% for severe and 90% for extreme. The results confirm that
26 flooding is more severe in urban and mountainous areas. Second the authors suggested to find
27 independent geomorphological variables that are most associated with the occurrence of floods by
28 the simple correlation analysis and PCA rotated factor loading. Then the number of variables is
29 strictly reduced from 36 to 14. The remaining variables are normalized to establish a multiple
30 regression (stepwise) with the occurrence of severe and extreme flooding separately.

31 Third, land use effects are assessed using the K-mean clustering method. The created groups
32 account for three uses of the soil: forests, farms and urban areas. The authors compared the effect
33 of land use on the occurrence of floods by the rank test of Kruskal-Wallis and the Dunn test. The
34 authors concluded that the land has less impact on the occurrence of flooding than the
35 morphological characteristics of watersheds.

36 **Discussion and comments**

37 Following are a few comments presented by the discussers:

- 38 1. As mentioned by the authors in accordance with the limitations of their study, the Thiessen
39 polygon Method ignores the relief when allocating the rainfall intensity (Anctil et al. 2012).
40 The authors stated that watersheds with higher flood occurrence are most of time in the
41 mountainous regions of the case study. Moreover, the discussers question the sensitivity of the

42 RIT parameter in the calculation of FFI chosen by the authors. Indeed, this parameter depends
43 directly on the rainfall intensity spread over the watershed.

44 2. In addition, the selection of the percentile rank for flood seems arbitrary. The authors clearly
45 stated that the initiators of FFI had never regrouped the significance of flood in categories.
46 However, they did not justify for establishing such occurrence thresholds of FFI values.

47 3. Before applying the multiple regression technique, the authors eliminated dependent variables
48 incorporated in one of the two methods named above. The gradual introduction (stepwise) of
49 the 14 variables allowed the authors to rule respectively to the extreme and severe floods and
50 a 6 variables. Although the previously selected variables are considered insignificant after the
51 use of the regression method, the authors should explicitly show detailed results allowing the
52 removal. According to the way in which the variables were excluded, the results can change a
53 lot with relatively low population data (Borcard 2009).

54 4. To validate the model proposed by the authors, one needs to use a data set that has not been
55 used to determine the coefficients of the regression. Thus, the authors did not use a completely
56 independent validation by comparing the predicted occurrences by the model to occurrences
57 derived from the measured data. Knowing that only 5 years of data were available, it is
58 understandable that the whole observation period was used. With validation data range, the
59 authors would be able to decide on the robustness of the conclusions drawn from the model.

60 **A few suggestions**

61 1. For the most rugged watershed, kriging may be appropriate to reflect the topography as well
62 as being available on multiple software (Anctil et al. 2012). Several methods adapted to the
63 interpolation of hydrological data have been developed over time (Baillargeon 2005). It is

64 reasonable to expect that the amended FFI is sensitive to the rainfall intensity value estimated
 65 for watersheds. The discussers state that the use of a method taking into account topography
 66 to determine the rainfall intensity on the watershed would impact the highest values of FFI
 67 which is located in mountainous areas according to the authors.

68 2. Related to the choice of percentiles to make difference between extreme floods, severe or
 69 moderate, the solution could be to combine the values of FFI to the potential damage or rarity
 70 of the event. For potential damage, the solution is not applicable because the FFI takes into
 71 account only the rainfall and its resulting hydrograph. In fact, two floods of the same FFI can
 72 cause very different damages if, for example, human occupation of watershed is different
 73 between the two floods.

74 However, due to the rarity of the event, the return period of an event related to a FFI could be
 75 fixed to determine the boundaries between moderate flooding, severe and extreme. Indeed, an
 76 earlier version of FFI has been associated with IDF curves to match the return periods to a FFI
 77 for a given watershed (Kim and Choi 2011). Also, according to the index used in the paper,
 78 the resulting intensity and magnitude of the hydrograph correspond to half of the calculation
 79 of FFI because it contains four terms as shown in Equation 1. The return period will
 80 necessarily correlate with the calculated value of FFI. Then, from the literature, it will be
 81 possible to establish a recurrence period beyond which a flood is seen as extreme or severe.
 82 Using the definition of the return period as follows

$$83 \quad T = \frac{1}{p(FFI \geq x)} \quad (2)$$

84 Where T is the return period, p is the probability of occurrence that a value of FFI be larger
 85 than x and x is the threshold value of the FFI.

86 The thresholds for classifying occurrences of FFI may be established. At the end, it should be
 87 possible to take all events with a FFI higher than the threshold to constitute the data set leading
 88 to multiple regressions.

89 3. Although the list of variables of the study is already long, it would be interesting to add a new
 90 variable in relation to the prevalence of forest fires. Indeed, Smith (unpublished data, 2003)
 91 focuses on the importance of this variable in the lightning floods in the state of Utah (USA).
 92 His results show that this variable has some impact on flooding. The idea would be to check
 93 whether this impact is general to all projects or only selective. Moreover, it can be judicious to
 94 work with the change in time of variables linked to the use of soil.

95 **References**

- 96 Ahn, K.-H., and Merwade, V. (2015). "Role of Watershed Geomorphic Characteristics on Flooding
 97 in Indiana, United States." *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 21(2), 05015021,
 98 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001289.
- 99 Anctil, F., Rousselle, J., and Lauzon, N. (2012). *Hydrologie - Cheminement de l'eau 2e ed.*, Presse
 100 internationales Polytechnique, Montréal.
- 101 Baillargeon, S. (2005). "Le krigeage : revue de la théorie et application à l'interpolation spatiale
 102 des données de précipitations." Maîtrise, Université Laval, Québec.
- 103 Borcard, D. (2009). "Régression multiple." *Bio-2042*, Département de sciences biologiques -
 104 Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec.
- 105 Kim, E. S., and Choi, H. I. (2011). "Assessment of Vulnerability to Extreme Flash Floods in Design
 106 Storms." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8(7), 2907–
 107 2922, 10.3390/ijerph8072907.
- 108 Kim, E. S., and Choi, H. I. (2015). "A method of flood severity assessment for predicting local flood
 109 hazards in small ungauged catchments." *Nat Hazards*, 78(3), 2017–2033,
 110 10.1007/s11069-015-1817-4.

111