UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL

CMOS AND MEMS BASED MICROSYSTEMS FOR MANIPULATION AND DETECTION OF MAGNETIC BEADS FOR BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS

YUSHAN ZHENG DÉPARTEMENT DE GÉNIE ÉLECTRIQUE ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE DE MONTRÉAL

THÈSE PRÉSENTÉE EN VUE DE L'OBTENTION DU DIPLÔME DE PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR (GÉNIE ÉLECTRIQUE) NOVEMBRE 2014

© Yushan Zheng, 2014.

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE DE MONTRÉAL

Cette thèse intitulée :

CMOS AND MEMS BASED MICROSYSTEMS FOR MANIPULATION AND DETECTION OF MAGNETIC BEADS FOR BIOMEDICAL APPLICATIONS

présentée par : ZHENG Yushan

en vue de l'obtention du diplôme de : Philosophiae Doctor

a été dûment acceptée par le jury d'examen constitué de :

M. LAURIN Jean-Jacques, Ph. D., président

M. SAWAN Mohamad, Ph. D., membre et directeur de recherche

M. MARTEL Sylvain, Ph. D., membre

Mme TABRIZIAN Maryam, Ph. D., membre externe

DEDICATION

To my family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my supervisor, Professor Mohamad Sawan for all his support, encouragement and guidance during my Ph.D. research life in Polystim Neurotechnologies Laboratory.

I would also like to thank Professor Jean-Jacques Laurin, Professor Sylvain Martel, and Professor Pierre Haddad for their suggestions at the early stage of my Ph.D. research. Their resourceful experience helped me get rid of some unnecessary detours in research.

Thanks are due to three intern students I guided, Sara Bekhiche, Abir Mannai and Cyril Jacquemod, for their hard work to contribute to my research project.

I thank all staff members and colleagues of Polystim Lab who helped me during my stay in Polytechnique Montreal. Special thanks are due to the following people for their helps and collaborations: Marie-Yannick Laplante, Laurent Mouden, Rejean Lapage, Jean Bouchard, Arash Moradi, Sami Hached, Saeid Hashemi, Faycal Mounaim, Amine Miled, Mohamed Zgaren, and Nan Li.

I am also grateful for the support from the Canada Research Chair in Smart Medical Devices, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, CMC Microsystems and the scholarship from China Scholarship Council.

Finally, I want to express the deepest gratitude to my family for their love and encouragements during my study.

RÉSUMÉ

Les micro et nano billes magnétiques dédiées à l'étiquetage des bio-particules attirent de plus en plus d'intérêt dans de nombreuses applications environnementales et sanitaires, tels que l'analyse de gènes, le transport des médicaments, la purification et l'immunologie. Les dimensions réduites et la haute sensibilité des billes magnétiques rendent leurs manipulations à haute précision possibles. Leur simplicité de suivi dans le milieu biologique et leur biocompatibilité permettent d'effectuer des détections rapides et à haute sensibilité pour des applications *in vivo* et *in vitro*. L'utilisation traditionnelle des billes magnétiques prend place dans un laboratoire se servant du matériel encombrant et dispendieux. Avec le développement de la technologie de microfabrication, des billes magnétiques peuvent être traitées dans un microsystème, plus précisément, dans une structure laboratoire sur puce (LoC). La combinaison microfluidique et microélectronique offre des possibilités d'autoévaluation, ce qui peut augmenter l'efficacité du travail.

Cette thèse est orientée vers de nouvelles approches pour la manipulation et la détection de bioparticules se servant de la technologie de microsystèmes basées sur des structures microelectroniques et microfluidiques et en utilisant des marqueurs de billes magnétiques. Basé sur un réseau de microbobines à la fois comme une source de champ magnétique et un capteur inductif, le microsystème proposé est réalisé grâce à l'efficacité de fabrication de structures CMOS-MEMS, ainsi que des circuits intégrés dédiés CMOS de haute performance afin d'obtenir un rendement élevé de manipulation et de détection de billes magnétiques.

Plusieurs défis ont été analysés dans la mise en œuvre de ces microsystèmes et des solutions correspondantes fournies. Plus précisément, la conception et la mise en œuvre d'une plate-forme contrôlée en température en format portable sont d'abord présentées, dans un effort réalisé pour résoudre la question de la chaleur par effet Joule lors de l'application du réseau de microbobines comme une source de champ magnétique dédié à la manipulation de billes magnétiques. Une plateforme similaire à cette dernière a été améliorée pour effectuer une analyse magnétique immunologique, en ajoutant des circuits de détection par des billes magnétiques. De plus, des IgG et anti-IgG de souris ont été utilisés dans des expériences pour vérifier les performances de détection de la plateforme de microsystème proposé. En outre, un substrat de silicium intégrant une structure MEMS et incorpore à la fois un microcanal microfluidique et un réseau de

microbobines sur une seule puce a été conçu et fabriqué suivant l'analyse par éléments finis (FEA) de résultats de simulation. Cette dernière structure a été testée à l'aide de bio-particules attachées à des billes magnétiques. Cette structure monolithique est susceptible d'être utilisée pour des applications *in vivo*.

ABSTRACT

Magnetic micro/nano beads as labels of bio-particles have been attracting more and more interest in many environmental and health applications, such as gene and drug delivery, purification, and immunoassay. The miniature size and high sensitivity of magnetic bead allow accurate manipulation, whereas its high distinguishability from biological background and biocompatibility make fast and high sensitivity detection possible for *in vitro* and *in vivo* applications. Traditional employment of magnetic beads is done in laboratory environment with the assist of bulky and expensive equipment. Thanks to the development of microfabrication technology, magnetic beads therefore can be handled on a microsystem, more specifically, a Labon-Chip (LoC). The combination of microfluidics with microelectronics offers the possibility of automatic analyses, which can liberate the labor and increase the efficiency.

This thesis focuses on new approaches for bio-particles manipulation and detection on microelectronic/microfluidic hybrid microsystems using magnetic beads as labels. Based on planar microcoil array as both magnetic field source and the front-end inductive sensor, the proposed microsystems can take advantage of the massive producible CMOS/MEMS fabrication process, as well as the customized high performance CMOS circuits, to achieve a high efficient magnetic beads manipulation and a quantitative detection.

Several challenges in implementing such microsystems are analyzed and corresponding solutions are provided. Specifically, the design and implementation of a temperature controllable LoC platform in portable format is firstly presented, for the sake of resolving the Joule heat issue when applying microcoil array as magnetic field source in magnetic beads manipulation. The similar platform is then improved to be used for magnetic immunoassay, by adding magnetic beads sensing circuits. Mouse IgG and anti-mouse IgG are employed in experiments to verify the detection performance of the proposed microsystem platform. Additionally, a fully integrated silicon substrate MEMS chip which integrates both microfluidic channel and microcoil array on a single chip is designed and fabricated following the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) simulation results and tested using bio-particles attached magnetic beads. This monolithic chip has the potential to be applied for *in vivo* applications.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
RÉSUMÉ	v
ABSTRACT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
INTRODUCTION	1
Motivation	1
Research Objectives	2
Research Contributions	
Thesis Organization	5
CHAPTER 1 THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF MAGNET	TIC BEADS
MANIPULATION AND DETECTION	6
1.1 Superparamagnetic Beads	
1.2 Forces on Magnetic Beads in Liquid	9
1.3 Magnetic Field Generated by Planar Microcoil	
1.4 Joule Heat Generated by Microcoil	
1.5 Magnetic Beads Detection	14
CHAPTER 2 STATE OF THE ART OF THE LAB-ON-CHIP MIC	CROSYSTEMS
USING MAGNETIC BEADS	

2.1 Labeled and Non-labeled Manipulation and Detection of Bioparticles-A Comparison	18
2.2 Microsystems for Magnetic Beads Manipulation	. 20
2.3 Microsystems for Magnetic Beads Detection	. 26
2.3.1 GMR Sensor	. 26
2.3.2 Hall Sensor	. 28
2.3.3 NMR Sensor	. 30
2.3.4 Inductance Detection Based Magnetic Sensor	. 32
2.4 Microsystems Packaging Techniques	. 34
CHAPTER 3 A TEMPERATURE CONTROLLABLE PLATFORM FOR MAGNETIC BEADS MANIPULATION	. 39
3.1 Introduction	. 39
3.2 Planar Microcoil Array Based Temperature-controllable Lab-on-Chip Platform	. 40
3.2.1 Abstract	. 40
3.2.2 Introduction	. 40
3.2.3 Joule Heat Effect Analysis and Simulation	. 42
3.2.4 Current Supply Circuit	. 47
3.2.5 Device Architecture and Fabrication	. 49
3.2.6 Experimental Results	. 52
3.2.7 Conclusion	. 56
CHAPTER 4 A MICROSYSTEM FOR MAGNETIC IMMUNOASSAY BASED ON	
PLANAR MICROCOIL ARRAY	. 57
4.1 Introduction	. 57
4.2 A Microsystem for Magnetic Immunoassay Based on Planar Microcoil Array	. 58
4.2.1 Abstract	. 58
4.2.2 Introduction	. 58

4.2.3 Micro	coil Sensor	
4.2.4 Integra	ated CMOS Chip Design	67
4.2.5 Micros	system Prototype and Experiments	75
4.2.6 Conclu	usion	
CHAPTER 5 A	NOVEL BIO-MEMS CHIP FOR MAGNETIC BEADS APPLI	CATIONS
5.1 Introduction)n	
5.2 A BioMEN	MS Chip with Integrated Micro Electromagnet Array towards B	io-particles
Manipulation.		
5.2.1 Abstra	ict	
5.2.2 Introdu	uction	
5.2.3 Design	1 and Fabrication	
5.2.4 Contro	ollable Magnetic Field by Microcoil Array	
5.2.5 Experi	ments	91
5.2.6 Discus	ssion	94
5.2.7 Conclu	usion	94
CHAPTER 6	GENERAL DISCUSSION	
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSION	
7.1 Conclusion	n	
7.2 Recommen	ndation for Future Work	
BIBLIOGRAPH	Υ	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 MATERIAL PARAMETERS FOR MODELLING AND SIMULATIONS	43
Table 2 COMPARISON WITH STATE-OF-THE-ART RESEARCHES	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Diagram of (a) a red blood cell, (b) a magnetotactic bacterium, (c) a cell with digested magnetic nanoparticles, and (d) a cell with magnetic micro/nano particles attached to the surface [9]
Figure 1.2 Structure of a magnetic bead showing its polymer shell, the attached bioparticles and the contained superparamagnetic nanomagnets in the presence (a) and in the absence (b) of external magnetic field
Figure 1.3 Simplified schematic diagram of the main directions of the most important forces acting on a magnetic bead
Figure 1.4 Magnetic field generated by one loop of microcoil
Figure 1.5 (a)Planar spiral coil model: wire thickness 1um, inner diameter 60 μm, outer diameter 400um, and the current passing through the coil 100mA; (b) and its 3D model in FEA software and the magnetic field distribution
Figure 1.6 Magnitude of total magnetic flux density (a) along a line parallel to the surface of the microcoil and located 20 µm above it; (b) along a line perpendicular to the surface of the microcoil and located at the center of it
 Figure 1.7 Magnetic field distribution for different geometrical planar microcoils: a) planar coil models, each coil occupies an area of 450 um by 450 um, carrying a current of 30 mA; b) corresponding magnetic field distribution on the z = 1 um plane
Figure 1.8 Planar microcoil for monitoring magnetic susceptibility: a) the microcoil and magnetic particles with toxins captured; b) high frequency equivalent circuit model; c) cross sectional view of a microcoil showing the magnetic field distribution
Figure 2.1 Magnetic bead separator employing permanent magnets[54]20
Figure 2.2 A microsystem combining permanent magnets and electromagnets. Cu current lines are buried in the back side of the substrate at the positions indicated by the white lines.
Figure 2.3 A summary of the performance of various planar electromagnets. Row 1: a schematic view of the microcoil; row 2: image under optical microscope; row 3: the simulated

- Figure 2.6 An open cavity microsystem integrating CMOS coil array for individual magnetic bead manipulation [51]......25

Figure 2.7 Schematic of a GMR based magnetic flow sensor design [54]27

- Figure 2.9 Conceptual drawing of the NMR relaxometer and its proximity assay [59]. (a) The bulk spin-spin relaxation time T2 for water molecules without test samples. (b) The relaxation time is reduced with monodispersed magnetic particles. (c) The target molecule i.e., proteins, trigger the proximity assay and lead to aggregation of magnetic particles to form clusters, which further decrease the relaxation time of the water molecules.

Figure 2.11 Inductance detection based magnetic sensor for detecting DNA [64]	
Figure 2.12 Application of PDMS in microfluidic chip [60]	

Figure 2.13 Fabrication procedure of DWFP technique: (a) ink deposition, (b) encapsulation in
epoxy and (c) ink extraction [81]
Figure 2.14 A Sensonit glass substrate based hybrid microsystem[69]
Figure 3.1 Magnetic beads as solid phase carriers for bioparticles manipulation
Figure 3.2 The proposed closed-loop temperature control system
Figure 3.3 3D Model of microcoil with channel and substrate in CoventorWare: (a) complete model; (b) Substrate is hidden to show microcoil array
Figure 3.4 Thermal propagation analysis when the input of a microcoil is constant-frequency square-wave current
Figure 3.5 Maximum temperature variation for different current inputs: (a) DC input; (b Constant frequency inputduty cycle 1:2 and frequency 1Hz; (c) Variable frequency inputduty cycle 1:2 and frequency 1Hz from 0 to 2.75s; duty cycle 1:5 and frequency 1Hz from 0 to 2.75s; duty cycle 1:5 and frequency 1 Hz after 2.75s
Figure 3.6 Schematic of the proposed bidirectional current source
Figure 3.7 Post layout simulation of bidirectional current supply circuit49
Figure 3.8 Architecture of the microcoil array based LoC platform
Figure 3.9 The proposed polyimide substrate LoC device: (a) Hexagonal microcoil chip with microfluidic structure; (b) Bottom view showing the heat dissipation layer and CMOS chip; (c) Microphotograph of the CMOS chip; Die size 0.85mm by1.15mm; (d Square microcoil array
Figure 3.10 Individual magnetic bead manipulated by two adjacent microcoil: (a)The targeted observation area in the microcoil array; (b) Original position of the targeted magnetic bead; (c) Magnetic bead was polarized and attracted toward the lower coil; (d)-(g Magnetic bead was attracted toward the upper microcoil
Figure 3.11 Mass beads trapping experiment showing the magnetic beads in solution concentrated in the active coil region

Figure 3.12 Monitored	temperature	variation	during	the	whole	magnetic	beads	trapping
experiment.								

Figure 4.4 Planar microcoil as magnetic sensor: a) the concept of microcoil and magnetic particles with toxins captured; b) high frequency equivalent circuit model; c) cross sectional view of a microcoil showing the total magnetic field distribution; d) our fabricated microcoil with inductance 210nH, DC resistance 0.65Ω and Q factor 75 at 200 MHz.

- Figure 4.6 Schematic of cross coupled LC oscillator and self biased buffer circuit and simulation results: a) schematic; b) transient simulation result; c) phase noise simulation results.

- Figure 4.9 Prototype of the microsystem: a) The view of platform showing the setup of 96 wells microplate, microcontroller board, LCD display and power supply circuits ; b) The sensor board under the microplate; c) The backside view of sensor board showing the mount of our designed CMOS chip; d)The micrograph of our designed CMOS chip. 76

- Figure 5.4 Magnetic field distribution of coil array: a) Four-coil array model in Ansys. Width 8 um, space 8 um, outer diameter 450 um, inner diameter 80 um; b) applying current in four coils with same amplitude and direction, mode AAAA; c) applying current with same amplitude but opposite direction for adjacent coils, mode ABAB; d) applying same amplitude current to four coils but with same direction in the top two and opposite direction to the bottom two, mode AABB; e) x directional magnetic field distribution, mode ABAB; f) z directional magnetic field distribution, mode ABAB. (A and B represent the opposite current direction; figure b, c and d share the top legend, whereas e and f share the bottom one)......90

- Figure 5.6 Experimental results showing the normalized trapping ratios versus current consumption for single, double and triple activated coil. Trapping ratio of 90% can be achieved for triple coil regime while consuming a current of 40 mA......93

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

LoC	Lab on Chip
CMOS	Complementary metal-oxide semiconductor
MEMS	Micro electro-mechanical systems
PMOS	P channel metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors
NMOS	N channel metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
PoC	Point of care
GMR	Giant magnetoresistance
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
DC	Direct current
AC	Alternative current
DEP	Dielectrophoresis
SPAD	Single photon avalanche diode
MIA	Magnetic immunoassay
PDMS	Polydimethylsiloxane
EDA	Electronic design automation
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
PCB	Printed circuit board
PTAT	Proportional to absolute temperature
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
TDC	Time to digital convertor
PLL	Phase lock loop
ADC	Analog to digital convertor

MCU	Micro control unit
MUX	Multiplexier
DWFP	Direct wirte fabrication process
μTAS	Micro total analysis system
RT	Room temperature

INTRODUCTION

Motivation

Microelectronic/microfluidic hybrid microsystems, as a branch of Lab on a Chip (LoC), has been creating more and more interests in many application fields, including point of care (POC) diagnostics, life science and environmental applications. These microsystems share the same advantageous of LoC over the traditional macroscale in-lab methods, including compact size, decreased reagent volumes, increased functionality, disposability, high throughput analyses. Moreover, the combination of microfluidics with microelectronics offers the possibility of turning the time-consuming and/or labor-intensive processes towards high efficient automated analyses. Additionally, thanks to the development of microfabrication technology, many new applications that are difficult to be realized in macro scale can be achieved on emerging hybrid microsystems, because the interaction of microsystems with bioparticles opens a new window to novel methods of medical diagnostics and environmental monitoring.

Various microsystems built by different mechanisms for bioparticles manipulation and detection have been reported, such as mechanical structures based micro-tweezers for manipulation and micro-cantilever for detection[1][2], electric field based dielectrophoresis (DEP) manipulation and resistive/capacitive detection[3][4], magnetic field based magnetic beads labeled bioparticles manipulation and detection[5][6], as well as optical field based light beam for manipulation and fluorescence labeled bioparticles detection[7][8]. Among these microsystems, the ones using magnetic micro/nano beads as labels of bioparticles have been attracting great attention in many environmental and health applications, such as gene and drug delivery[9], purification[10], and immunoassay[11]. As the labels of bioparticles, magnetic beads can be accurately manipulated due to their miniature size and high magnetic susceptibility. Moreover, their high distinguishability from biological background and their biocompatibility make fast and high sensitivity detection possible for *in vitro* and *in vivo* applications.

Conventional devices for magnetic beads labeled bioparticles manipulation exploit external ferromagnets or simple topological on-chip electromagnets for generating magnetic field, thus they suffer from some drawbacks including low power efficiency, high cost, inaccurate manipulation, and more difficulties for miniaturization. Therefore, the design of optimized on-

chip electromagnets for high efficiency and high flexibility manipulation are in demand.

Furthermore, in electromagnetic LoC applications, the inevitable Joule heating effect is widely mentioned but usually treated by an additional complicated cooling system, which highly increases the system complexity and total power consumption. In fact, it is possible to reduce the Joule heat by improving the design of electromagnets and applying advanced control algorithms, without increasing the complexity of hardware system design, thus the "overheating spot" issue in conventional device can be avoided and the temperature of liquid can be always maintained within the safe range to bioparticles.

Additionally, for the detection of bioparticles using magnetic beads as labels, despite a few microsystems have been reported, there are several challenges yet to be overcome. The first is the design of a high performance front-end magnetic sensor and its sensing circuits, which should respect both sensitivity and linearity, for the sake of quantitative analysis. Moreover, many of the conventional microsystems exploit expensive materials and non-standard fabrication process to achieve the magnetic sensor, thus they are not suitable for large scale deployment for multiple sites monitoring in environment or low cost PoC diagnostics. Furthermore, the packaging technique is also very challenging because a robust biological interface will definitely facilitate the device setup and testing process, thus shorter detection time and more reliable results can be achieved.

Research Objectives

The main objective of this research is to study new approaches for bioparticles manipulation and detection on microelectronic/microfluidic hybrid microsystems using magnetic beads as labels. The detailed objectives are as follows:

- To design and fabricate planar microcoil array using mainstream microelectronic fabrication processes for high efficiency magnetic beads manipulation
- To resolve the overheat spot issue caused by high current electromagnets, without additional cooling system
- To be able to use the same microcoil array as both the magnetic field source for manipulation and the front-end inductive sensor for detection

- To design high performance CMOS circuits to control microcoil array and to receive sensing signal, in order to achieve a high efficient magnetic beads manipulation and a quantitative detection
- To exploit a robust packaging technique for microfluidic/microelectronic hybrid microsystem
- To test and verify the proposed microsystems using both artificial magnetic beads and real bioparticles for practical use, for example, magnetic immunoassay.
- To explore new approach for miniaturization of microsystem by integrating microfluidic structures and microelectronics on a single chip

Research Contributions

The contributions of this thesis are summarized as follows:

1\ Modeling, simulation and optimization of planar microcoil array with different geometries and topologies by FEA software in order to optimize the magnetic field generated by microcoil, as well as the magnetic force on magnetic beads by different controlling regimes of multiple coils, thus different applications, such as trapping, separating, mixing and transporting, can be realized at the highest efficiency in terms of power consumption.

2\The inevitable but often neglected Joule heat issue in electromagnetic LoC applications is analyzed and proved to be controllable using our proposed current supply scheme. Microcoil can be used as heat source to keep the temperature of whole microfluidic system within the safe range for bioparticles, saving the external incubator. To verify the concept, a polyimide substrate LoC platform is fabricated and tested using magnetic beads ranging from 1µm to 2µm.

The above contributions are detailed in our published articles:

- Yushan Zheng; Sawan, M., "Planar Microcoil Array Based Temperature-Controllable Lab-on-Chip Platform," Magnetics, IEEE Transactions on, vol.49, no.10, pp.5236-5242, Oct. 2013.
- Yushan Zheng; Bekhiche, S.; Sawan, M., "Planar microcoils array applied to magnetic beads based lab-on-chip for high throughput applications," Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), IEEE International Symposium on , pp.2345-2348, 15-18 May 2011.

3\ Circuit and system implementations of a novel microsystem platform for magnetic immunoassay are presented. Three main challenges facing this work, design of a high performance magnetic microcoil sensor, packaging technique and design of the sensing circuits are discussed and corresponding solutions are provided. A complete magnetic immunoassay experiment is performed using the proposed microsystem and results show that a fine detecting sensitivity and linearity can be achieved thus the proposed platform is suitable for quantitative analysis in medical diagnostics, food pathogen detection or water analysis.

The above contribution is reported in the following articles:

- Yushan Zheng; Jacquemod, C.; Sawan, M., "A portable lab-on-chip platform for magnetic beads density measuring," Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), IEEE International Symposium on , pp.1071-1074, 19-23 May 2013.
- Yushan Zheng; Sawan, M., "A microsystem for magnetic immunoassay towards protein toxins detection," Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), IEEE International Symposium on, pp.225-228, 1-5 June 2014.
- Yushan Zheng; Shang, N.; Haddad, P.; Sawan, M. "A Microsystem for Magnetic Immunoassay Based on Planar Microcoil Array," Submitted to IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems.

4\ Design and fabrication of a novel BioMEMS chip towards the manipulation of magnetic micro/nano particles is presented. The proposed monolithic chip integrates planar microcoil array and microfluidic structure on a single chip, without any post-fabrication process. Meanwhile, a multiple coil cooperation scheme is studied by simulation in FEA Software and applied in experiment to increase the manipulation efficiency. Taking advantage of computer-aided microplotter to introduce microfluidics to the chip, the traditional microtubes and syringe pump are avoided. Hence, this chip is compact, low-cost and mass-producible.

More details can be found in the following article:

• Yushan Zheng, Abir Mannai, Mohamad Sawan, "A BioMEMS chip with integrated micro electromagnet array towards bio-particles manipulation", Microelectronic Engineering, Vol. 128, 5 Oct. 2014, Pages 1-6.

Thesis Organization

The theoretical foundations of magnetic beads manipulation and detection are introduced in Chapter 1, including the physical and biological properties of magnetic beads, forces on magnetic beads in liquid, analysis of magnetic field generated by microcoil, Joule heat analysis, and magnetic beads detection basis. A literature review on the state of the art microsystems for magnetic beads manipulation and detection is discussed in Chapter 2, different types of electromagnets for generating magnetic field and various magnetic biosensors are summarized and compared. The design and implementation of a temperature controllable lab-on-chip platform dedicated to resolving the overheat spot issue caused by big current in electromagnets is proposed in Chapter 3, followed by the design and implementation of a microsystem for magnetic immunoassay in Chapter 4, which includes also the design of a frequency shift magnetic biosensor and its CMOS interface circuits. A monolithic bioMEMS chip integrated in a silicon substrate is proposed in Chapter 5, with a microcoil array integrated on chip and a new method for microfluidics handling, this chip achieves tubeless microfluidic processing. A general discussion is conducted in Chapter 6, which summaries and discusses the whole thesis work. Finally, the conclusions of thesis work, along with the recommendation for future work, are presented in Chapter 7.

CHAPTER 1 THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF MAGNETIC BEADS MANIPULATION AND DETECTION

1.1 Superparamagnetic Beads

Depending on the behavior of the solid material under an external magnetic field, various types of magnetism can be distinguished, such as diamagnetism(Au, Cu), paramagnetism(Pt, Mn), ferromagnetism(Fe), ferrimagnetism(ferrite) and antiferromagnetism(Cr)[12], whereas magnetic particles are referred to those micro/nano particles that can be manipulated using magnetic field.



Figure 1.1 Diagram of (a) a red blood cell, (b) a magnetotactic bacterium, (c) a cell with digested magnetic nanoparticles, and (d) a cell with magnetic micro/nano particles attached to the surface [9]

Usually there are four categories of magnetic particles employed in biomedical applications. The first is a type of cells with intrinsic magnetic properties, for example, the deoxygenated red blood cell (RBC), as shown in Fig. 1.1(a). Hemoglobin is the iron-containing oxygen-transport metallo-protein in the RBCs of vertebrates[13]. In mammals, the protein makes up about 97% of the RBC's dry content, and around 35% of the total content (including water). Oxygenated RBCs therefore have a small relative magnetic susceptibility with respect to that of water[14]. However, this value is so small that only a magnetic field in tesla range can retain or separate RBCs. The second type is another kind of magnetic cells existing in nature, which is usually called

magnetotactic bacteria as shown in Fig. 1.1(b). These mobile bacteria are present in water-based sediments and move along the earth's field lines, a phenomenon called magnetotactism[15]. The manipulation of these bacteria is at the basis of generating magnetic field line so that the magnetic Fe3O4 crystals inside the bacteria can be polarized and guided.



Figure 1.2 Structure of a magnetic bead showing its polymer shell, the attached bioparticles and the contained superparamagnetic nanomagnets in the presence (a) and in the absence (b) of external magnetic field.

The other two types of magnetic particles are actually using high susceptibility magnetic beads as labels or carries of non-magnetic particles, either merged (Fig. 1.1(c)) or coated (Fig. 1.1(d)), so that the whole compound can be manipulated. The choice of magnetic beads, in terms of size, composite material and surface coating, depends on the specific applications, but the most commonly used magnetic beads are a class of artificial micro/nano particles which are comprised of high magnetic susceptibility nano-elements with a functional polymer overcoating for the encapsulation of magnetite and the introduction of reactive groups. The nano-elements inside of a magnetic bead are usually iron, nickel, cobalt and their chemical compounds, which are ferromagnetism. However, when the size of ferromagnetism reduces below tens of nanometer, the thermal fluctuation will make the magnetization of the entire complex randomly change, while the individual atomic moments maintain their ordered status relative to each other[16]. Therefore, for a magnetic bead, the total magnetic dipoles of the inside ferromagnetic nano-

elements will be averaged to zero due to thermal fluctuation and the whole magnetic bead does not exhibit magnetization in the absence of external field[17][18]; when an external magnetic field is applied, the magnetic moments of the nano-elements will overcome the thermal fluctuations and line up, thus the magnetic bead will exhibit a significant net magnetic moment as illustrated in Fig. 1.2. Due to its similarity with paramagnetism but with much higher magnetic susceptibility, this behavior is called superparamagnetism[19], and the beads that contain nanosuperparamagnetic nanoparticles are called superparamagnetic beads. This thesis employs such superparamagnetic beads and uses the term "magnetic beads" for abbreviation. Compared with other types of magnetic particles, for example torque-based Artificial Bacteria Flagella[20], magnetic bead is chosen as carriers or labels or bioparticles because of higher magnetic susceptibility and more flexible control. Usually, permanent magnets and big current electromagnets can easily produce magnetic fields sufficiently strong to saturate the magnetization of magnetic beads, making the beads behave simply as permanent magnets [21].

Magnetic bead can be prepared by encapsulating superparamagnetic nanoparticles inside of a polymer shell as shown in Fig. 1.2. Polymer shell is used to isolate liquid from direct contact with magnetic materials, and the amount of nanoparticles inside of a magnetic beads affect its size, magnetic moment and surface to volume ratio^[22]. Usually the increase of size results in the decrease of surface to volume ration but higher magnetic moment. After the encapsulation of magnetic bead, to attach the magnetic bead to bioparticles, the surface of the magnetic bead needs to be chemically modified. This functionalized bead then can be bound to specific target bioparticles. The preparation of functional magnetic beads with attached bioparticles for biological and medical applications has been well studied and several reviews on this topic have been reported [22] [23]. In general, benefiting from large surface-to-volume ratio, such modified particles can be advantageously used as solid phase or carrier for bio-assays, or even for in vivo applications; they can be easily recovered from dispersion, reversibly re-dispersed; the attachment and detachment usually are controlled by changing the some parameters of the solution, such as temperature and pH. Nowadays, people can even purchase some well prepared bioparticles attached magnetic beads from various companies and use them directly in applications.

1.2 Forces on Magnetic Beads in Liquid

For a single magnetic bead in liquid, in addition to the magnetic force \vec{F}_{mag} , it experiences hydrodynamic drag force \vec{F}_{drag} , gravitational force and buoyancy force, while the latter two forces can be considered as effective gravitational force \vec{F}_{g} as presented in Fig.1.3, and usually neglected due to its small size.



Figure 1.3 Simplified schematic diagram of the main directions of the most important forces acting on a magnetic bead.

From Maxwell tensor equation, the force on a magnetic bead due to the applied magnetic field is

$$\vec{F}_{mag} = V \chi_m (\vec{H} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B} \tag{1}$$

where \vec{F}_{mag} is the magnetic force on the bead, V is the volume of the bead, χ_m is its magnetic susceptibility per unit volume, \vec{H} is the magnetic field intensity, and \vec{B} is the magnetic flux density, and " ∇ " is vector differential operator. Meanwhile, we know

$$\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H} \tag{2}$$

where μ is the permeability of the medium.

In orthogonal coordinate system, extending Eq. (1) in x direction leads to

$$F_{x} = \frac{V\chi_{m}}{\mu_{0}} \left(B_{x} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial x} + B_{y} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial y} + B_{z} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial z}\right)$$
(3)

Eq. (3) indicates that the magnetic force acting on a bead depends on the magnetic field intensity, as well as magnetic field gradient. That means a strong but uniform magnetic field may polarize or even saturate magnetic beads, but only a non-uniform magnetic field with a significant gradient will result in the movement of magnetic bead.

In addition to magnetic force, from Stokes's law, we know the hydrodynamic force

$$\vec{F}_{drag} = 6\pi\eta R_{bead} \left(\vec{v}_{bead} - \vec{v}_{fluid} \right) \tag{4}$$

where \vec{v}_{bead} , \vec{v}_{fluid} , R_{bead} and η are the bead velocity, liquid velocity, bead radius and fluid's viscosity, respectively. If we consider the case when beads are immobilized at the center of microcoils, when we turn off the coil, magnetic bead will be forced to move along the flow direction due to Eq. (4), at an initial velocity 0 and initial acceleration $6\pi\eta R_{bead}\vec{v}_{fluid} / m_{bead}$, where m_{bead} is the mass of a magnetic bead. Since in most microfluidic applications, \vec{v}_{fluid} is very small, the acceleration is also very small, which means the beads will not escape from the coil center area right away even if the magnetic force is removed in a short while.

For the manipulation of magnetic beads, the magnetic force on beads is required to be bigger than hydrodynamic drag force, and a bigger magnetic force will result in a more sensitive controlling. The magnetic field can be generated either by permanent magnets or electromagnets. The use of permanent magnets offers advantage of strong magnetic field thus large magnetic force, but it doesn't allow flexible control of magnetic field, thus electromagnets are preferred, because the intensity and directions of magnetic field can be easily controlled the current through electromagnets.

1.3 Magnetic Field Generated by Planar Microcoil

Among various electromagnets, planar microcoil is usually preferred in LoC applications, because of its low cost and compatibility with layer based microelectronic fabrication technology. A spiral microcoil of multi-turn can be considered to be composed of an equal number of concentric loops of different lengths, the total magnetic field is the sum of the magnetic field induced by each loop, and it can be obtained from the Biot-Savart's law as shown in Fig. 1.4:

$$\vec{B} = \int d\vec{B} = \int \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{Id\vec{l} \times \vec{\hat{r}}}{|r|^2}$$
(5)

where μ_0 is the magnetic constant; I is the current passing through wire; $d\vec{l}$ is a vector whose magnitude is the length of the differential element of the wire, and whose direction is the direction of current; \vec{r} is the displacement unit vector, in the direction pointing from the wire element to the point at which the field is being computed and *r* is the distance from the wire element to the point at which the field is being computed.



Coil of interest

Figure 1.4 Magnetic field generated by one loop of microcoil

Eq. (5) reveals that, approximately, for certain positions in space, the magnetic flux density generated by a coil is directly proportional to the current through the coil and inversely proportional to the distance from the investigated position to the coil. As the size of coil shrinks, it need less and less current to generate the required magnitude of magnetic field within the coil's layout region. Hence, it can be concluded that following the minimum allowed metal width of specific fabrication process to design the coil will result in strongest magnetic field in the coil layout region.

Take the spiral microcoil as shown in Fig. 1.5 as an example: it is modeled in FEA software, with wire thickness of 1um, inner diameter of 60 μ m, outer diameter of 400um, and the current passing through the coil of 100mA. FEA simulation results of the magnetic flux density versus horizontal direction and vertical direction are shown in Fig. 1.6. When the center of the coil is recognized as the origin, it is found that the magnetic flux density is almost symmetric both in x-direction and z-direction, and the magnitude of magnetic field drops quickly as the distance to the

coil's centre increases, which means both the maxima of magnetic flux density and magnetic field gradient are located in the vicinity of this coil's center.



Figure 1.5 (a)Planar spiral coil model: wire thickness 1um, inner diameter 60 μ m, outer diameter 400um, and the current passing through the coil 100mA; (b) and its 3D model in FEA software and the magnetic field distribution.



Figure 1.6 Magnitude of total magnetic flux density (a) along a line parallel to the surface of the microcoil and located 20 μ m above it; (b) along a line perpendicular to the surface of the microcoil and located at the center of it.

However, the above feature is not always true when the topology of microcoil varies, because the magnetic field distribution is highly geometry dependent. In a given area and consuming same current, different geometries could lead to different maximum values of magnetic field as well as their distribution. Since magnetic beads always tend to be attracted to the nearest maxima of magnetic field, the distribution of trapped magnetic beads can be estimated through investigating the geometries of planar microcoils. For example, as indicated in Fig. 1.7, coil 2&4 have their maximum magnetic field at the center with large gradient, whereas coil 1&3 don't have their maximum magnetic field at the center but exert relatively more uniform magnetic field. In some applications, for example trapping, magnetic beads are required to be trapped on the substrate surface uniformly, instead of attracting all the magnetic beads to the center to form cluster, so the design of coil 1 or 3 to achieve a more uniform and larger trapping area is more preferred. From Fig. 1.7, it's also found that the maximum magnetic field in coil 1&3 are lower than that in coil 2&4, therefore realizing a more uniform magnetic field is at the cost of higher current consumption as a higher current is needed to reach the same magnetic force to manipulate the magnetic beads.



Figure 1.7 Magnetic field distribution for different geometrical planar microcoils: a) planar coil models, each coil occupies an area of 450 um by 450 um, carrying a current of 30 mA; b) corresponding magnetic field distribution on the z = 1 um plane.

1.4 Joule Heat Generated by Microcoil

One inevitable but often neglected issue in electromagnet based LoC applications is Joule heat effect. The heat may damage the viability of bioparticles, because most of the bioparticles can

only keep vital in a relatively narrow temperature range. Therefore, the heat generated by microcoils has to be controllable. For a working microcoil, the induced Joule heat is

$$\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{J}} = R\mathbf{J}\mathbf{J} \tag{6}$$

where R is the DC resistance and J is the current density. The parabolic equation of temperature conduction is expressed [24] as

$$\hat{q} = \rho c(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{K}(T)] \nabla T$$
⁽⁷⁾

where T is the temperature, ρ is the density, c(T) is the specific heat which might depend on the temperature, K(T) is a conductivity matrix which might be orthotropic or temperature dependant, and \hat{q} is the heat generation rate per unit volume.

Eq. (6) and eq. (7) illustrate that a typical heating process can be recognized as two separate phases: the first is the heat generation, whereas the second is heat conduction. The topology of microcoil array influences the resistance and current density, thus affects the first process; the parameters of substrate and the medium affect the second because different materials have different specific heat and conductivities. If a DC current is applied to a microcoil, the heat generation process dominates the heating dissipation process, so the maximum temperature keeps rising. When the current is cut off, since there is no heating source any more, the heating dissipation process will dominate and the temperature of microcoil will tend to return to ambient temperature. Some previous studies used on-chip water cycling system or external thermoelectric cooler to keep temperature stable in channel, which are proved effective in holding temperature, but prior to these passive action, it is better to explore some more economic means to control Joule heat by means of novel design concept or operation scheme, which will be elaborated in Chapter 3.

1.5 Magnetic Beads Detection

In addition to using microcoil as magnetic field source to manipulate magnetic beads, the same microcoil can be used as biosensor to detect the magnetic beads. The detection of magnetic beads relies on the planar microcoil to sense the susceptibility variation caused by the presence of magnetic beads in vicinity. A microcoil is in fact an inductor, therefore, from the perspective of energy stored in the microcoil inductor,

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{2}LI^2 - \frac{1}{2}L_0I^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta LI^2$$
(8)

where L is the inductance of microcoil and I is the current through it.



Figure 1.8 Planar microcoil for monitoring magnetic susceptibility: a) the microcoil and magnetic particles with toxins captured; b) high frequency equivalent circuit model; c) cross sectional view of a microcoil showing the magnetic field distribution.

On the other hand, from the perspective of energy stored in the magnetic field which is generated by the same inductor,

$$\Delta E' = \frac{1}{2} \iiint \vec{H} \cdot \vec{B} dv - \frac{1}{2} \iiint \vec{H} \cdot \vec{B}_0 dv$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0}{2} \iiint_{V_p} [|\vec{H}|^2 (1 + \chi) - |\vec{H}|^2] dv$$

$$= \frac{\chi}{2\mu_0} \iiint_{V_p} |\vec{B}|^2 dv \approx \frac{\chi}{2\mu_0} |\vec{B}|^2 V_p$$
(9)

where V_p is the volume of magnetic particles, χ is the magnetic susceptibility per unit volume and μ_0 is the magnetic permeability in free space. Therefore, ΔL can be computed by equating (8) and (9)

$$\Delta L \approx \frac{\chi}{\mu_0} \frac{|\vec{B}|^2}{I^2} V_p \tag{10}$$

From (10) we know the inductance variation of microcoil caused by magnetic beads is proportional to magnetic susceptibility χ , the magnitude of magnetic flux density \vec{B} , and inversely proportional to the current I through the inductor. Noting that the above computing is under the assumption that the magnetic bead is so small that it does not affect the overall magnetic field intensity \vec{H} , meanwhile \vec{B} is first-order proportional to I [25] [26]. Therefore, the inductance variation of a microcoil is linear to the quantity of magnetic beads in vicinity, because a bigger quantity results in a bigger total volume V_p . To achieve an accurate measurement of inductance variation, the microcoil can be regarded as the inductor of a LC tank, the presence of magnetic beads will increase the effective inductance, so the output frequency changes to

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{(L_0 + \Delta L)C_0}} = f_0 (1 + \frac{\Delta L}{L_0})^{-1/2}$$

$$\approx f_0 \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \atop 0\right) (\frac{\Delta L}{L_0})^n = f_0 (1 - \frac{\Delta L}{2L_0})$$
(11)

where L_0 , C_0 and f_0 are the original inductance, capacitance and oscillation frequency, respectively. From (11), we know the output frequency is approximately linear to inductance variation hence linear to magnetic susceptibility according to (10). If we can measure the frequency shift in small intervals, we will get the corresponding inductance variation caused by the presence of magnetic beads, and their relationship should also be roughly linear.

In fact, although theoretically the quantity of magnetic beads is linear to the frequency shift, in practical application, some parameters need to be considered. For example, the parasitic capacitance caused by magnetic beads or bioparticles will change the oscillating frequency of the

LC tank, thus making the final frequency output nonlinear to the quantity of magnetic beads. Moreover, a good microcoil sensor needs to differentiate less magnetic beads located at stronger magnetic field region and more magnetic beads located at weaker magnetic field region, due to the non-uniformity of the magnetic field generated by microcoil. Therefore, the design of a microcoil sensor is critical. The above mentioned issues will be addressed in Chapter 4 by proposing the design of a microcoil sensor.
CHAPTER 2 STATE OF THE ART OF THE LAB-ON-CHIP MICROSYSTEMS USING MAGNETIC BEADS

Lab-on-chip is a multidisciplinary approach used for the miniaturization, integration and automation of biological assays or procedures in analytical chemistry [27]. To realize a complete LoC microsystem, various technologies are required, such as microfluidics, microelectronic circuits, sensor technologies and packaging techniques. The microscopic handling of biological particles has gained great progress, with the development of microfluidics and microfabrication. We review in this chapter the state of the art of these technologies for bioparticles manipulation and detection using magnetic beads.

2.1 Labeled and Non-labeled Manipulation and Detection of Bioparticles---A Comparison

A variety of techniques have been reported for bioparticles manipulation and detection, and they can be classified to different types according to various classification methods, for example, according to whether bioparticles are labeled or not.

Non-labeled manipulation applies direct handling on bioparticles, exempting from the preparation of labels attach and detach. For example, mechanical micro tweezers[28] can pick and place the bioparticles in microscale for micro-surgery and tissue engineering, the manipulation is accurate and fast, but the mechanical fatigue of material limits its use. Optical manipulation, sometimes called optical tweezers, takes advantage of the forces generated by a strongly focused beam of light to trap and move objects ranging in size from tens of nanometres to tens of micrometres[29]. It can achieve high throughput manipulation but at the cost of high power consumption. Additionally, it's very hard to integrate all the optical components, such as laser, lenses and motor, in a microsystem, which conflicts with our miniaturization target. Dielectrophoresis (DEP) can be employed to electrically manipulate the polarisable particles induced by electric field gradients[30][31], and an accurate manipulation can be achieved by applying high resolution electrodes, however, there exists some disadvantage of dielectrophoresis. Since the DEP force is proportional to the volume of the particles, which means when the size of particle decreases, higher electric field intensity is needed for generating the force to resist Brownian motion. Higher electric field intensity is from high voltage signal between electrodes, which may cause severe ionic current flow and double layer polarization effects to damage bioparticles [32][33].

Therefore, it is generally impractical to use it for objects with characteristic lengths in nanometer scale, such as DNA and some antibody-antigens [25].

Non-labeled detection of bioparticles relies on the contrast of some parameters between bioparticles and background liquid. For example, resistive biosensor measures the resistance difference between bioparticles and medium[34][35] whereas capacitive biosensor measures the capacitance difference [36] [37], these biosensors are easy to be implemented, as the techniques regarding resistance and capacitance measurement are mature, however, the subtle difference between bioparticles and background liquid environment results in extremely high requirement for the sensitivity of such biosensor, which is not easy to be realized. Usually, resistive and capacitive biosensors are used in low sensitivity or large amount bioparticles detection; in order to achieve higher sensitivity bioparticles detection, optical method is employed. For example, single-photon avalanche diode (SPAD) detects the presence of bioparticles by counting the photons blocked by bioparticles[38][39], the sensitivity can be qualified for single bioparticle detection, depending on the design of SPAD. The disadvantage of this method is that an external light source needs to be installed, which is difficult to be miniaturized and integrated. A common drawback for all the above mentioned non-labeled detection techniques is that these biosensors are non-specific. Regarding the complexity of biological environment, multiple types of bioparticles usually coexist, whereas their resistances, capacitances or sizes are comparable in a short range, so it's very difficult to differentiate them.

On the other hand, labeled bioparticles are manipulated indirectly by manipulating the labels. For example, magnetic beads as labels or carriers of bioparticles can be manipulated by magnetic field generated by permanent magnets or electromagnets. Due to the high magnetic susceptibility of magnetic beads, a very accurate and sensitive manipulation can be achieved. The labeled bioparticles detection relies on the detection of bio-labels to reflect the existence of corresponding bioparticles. For example, fluorescent labeling is a process of covalently attaching fluorophores to target bioparticles, such as protein or nucleic acid. Fluorescence-labeled bioparticles can then be detected by measuring the intensity of scattered light[40] [41] via a fluorescence microscope, flow cytometer or some other fluorescence reading instrument. It's a widely used method in localization of a target within a cell[42], however, it also suffers from several disadvantages such as photo-bleaching, spectral overlap and additional bulky detection equipment [43][44][45][46]. These constraints have inspired the efforts of researchers to develop

the detection method using other bio-labels, for example, magnetic bead. Magnetic micro/nano particles and magnetic sensor based bioassay is called magnetic immunoassay (MIA) [47][48][49][50]. The detection of magnetic beads labeled bioparticles relies on the measurement of the magnetic susceptibility of medium in vicinity of magnetic sensor[26]. Magnetic beads as bio-labels offer a number of advantages over fluorophores labels, for example, there is no significant magnetic background present in biological environment, thus the noise interference can be minimized; magnetic beads are biocompatible and stable over time; magnetic beads as labels of bioparticles can also be manipulated by magnetic field during detection, thus various applications are possibly be achieved by configuring the local magnetic field.

2.2 Microsystems for Magnetic Beads Manipulation

Since the magnetic beads are used as carriers of various bioparticles, the mechanisms applied in the manipulation of bioparticles are actually same as the manipulation of magnetic beads. Different types of magnetic beads manipulation on microsystems, such as trapping, sorting, transporting, have been presented[51][52][53], and the most commonly used magnetic beads in those applications are ranging from 1 μ m to 10 μ m. As for the magnetic field, it can be generated by either permanent magnets or electromagnets.



Figure 2.1 Magnetic bead separator employing permanent magnets[54].

A microfluidic magnetic bead separator for high-throughput applications is introduced in [54]. This microsystem consists of an array of small Nd–Fe–B permanent magnets and an array of integrated permalloy elements which are encapsulated in the bottom of a separation chamber. The permanent magnets can provide magnetic forces with a resolution of millimeter, whereas the integrated permalloy elements are employed to enhance the local forces on $\sim 10\mu$ m scale near the bottom of separation chamber. Due to the use of permanent magnets, a strong magnetic field can be generated, thus the a high efficiency of magnetic beads separation (~90%) was achieved. However, the disadvantage of using permanent magnets in microsystem is that the magnetic field distribution is fixed once the microsystem is fabricated, thus reconfigurable and flexible control of magnetic field are difficult. Meanwhile, the fabrication process to integrate permanent magnets is usually non-standard and not compatible with modern microelectronic fabrication technology. Therefore, in smart medical and biological device, planar electromagnets, which can be massive produced and integrated with microfluidic structures, are more preferred to be used as magnetic field generator.



Figure 2.2 A microsystem combining permanent magnets and electromagnets. Cu current lines are buried in the back side of the substrate at the positions indicated by the white lines.

Another hybrid magnetic bead separator demonstrated in [55] combines an external magnetic field with current lines as thick as 175 mm buried in the back side of a silicon wafer so that the magnetic beads can be activated by the uniform external strong magnetic field as well as manipulated by the on chip electromagnets. The use of electromagnets adds flexibility to this

microsystem, but the current through Cu metal is as high as 2A, which results in very high power consumption. Another drawback of applying big current lines is that due to the Joule heat generate by high current, a cooling system has to be added to avoid the overheat spots, thus the total power consumption and system complexity are further increased. These disadvantages inspire the researchers to explore how to reduce the current to generate the required magnetic field to manipulate magnetic beads. The most effective and economic way is to improve the design of electromagnets, including the geometry and topology. Fortunately, with the development of finite element analysis (FEA) software, the magnetic field generated by electromagnets can be simulated and optimized before implementing, thus more and more designs of the micro electromagnets aiming for higher manipulation efficiency have been proposed.



Figure 2.3 A summary of the performance of various planar electromagnets. Row 1: a schematic view of the microcoil; row 2: image under optical microscope; row 3: the simulated magnetic flux density profile; row 4: schematic of the magnetic bead trapping profile and; row 5: optical image of the magnetic beads trapped by corresponding microcoils [56]

Various designs of planar micro electromagnets and their FEA simulation results have been presented in [57][58][59][60][56][61]. The comparison of different geometrical configurations in Fig. 2.3 has concluded that planar spiral microcoil results in the best power efficiency, i.e. in a

given area, spiral microcoil will generate the biggest total magnetic flux density and steepest magnetic field gradient. Adding ferromagnetic pillar to the center of microcoil will further enhance the local magnetic field, but at the cost of extra fabrication steps and cost. Fig. 2.4 shows the implementation of a magnetic pillar on a silicon substrate microsystem. It's worth noting that although spiral microcoil generates the strongest magnetic field, it is not always the best option, because in order to make a spiral microcoil, at least two metal layers are required, which is not always available for many fabrication processes. Moreover, the magnetic field distribution of a spiral magnetic field is not as uniform as other geometries, for example, serpentine, so it's not necessarily always the best option in some magnetic beads applications. One has to consider multiple facts when designing the planar electromagnets for LoC applications.





In addition to generating magnetic field within a coil's layout region, a planar microcoil is also possible to cooperate with adjacent microcoils to form a combined magnetic field. While extending the control region from single coil to coil array, more diverse functions can be achieved. Two silicon substrate based microsystems which integrate microelectronic circuits and microcoil array are introduced in [62] and [63], which aim for magnetic beads cluster manipulation and individual magnetic beads manipulation, respectively. By controlling the on and off of specific microcoil in the array, and applying corresponding control algorithm, different applications, such as trapping and transporting, can be realized. For example, in Fig. 2.5, the

transport of magnetic beads cluster is realized by an algorithm that current pulses with appropriate values and directions are alternatively applied to two neighboring microcoils. Thanks to the development of Very-Large-Scale Integration (VLSI) circuits, controlling a large scale microcoil array at a high efficiency is not a difficult task any more. All parameters regarding microcoil array controlling, such as current intensity and current direction can all be flexibly customized.



Figure 2.5 Microcoil array for magnetic beads manipulation. By controlling currents in the microcoils, many different magnetic field patterns can be generated. To generate the required fields, current pulses with appropriate values and directions are alternatively applied to two neighboring microcoils[62].

Another microsystem shown in Fig. 2.6 employs similar microcoil array and control algorithm as in [62], but for the purpose of individual magnetic beads manipulation. Individual magnetic beads manipulation follows the same mechanism as beads cluster manipulation, but usually requires higher control resolution. The manipulation resolution is highly relative to the size of microcoil and limited by fabrication process. The perfect case would be that the size of a microcoil is the same as that of a magnetic bead, thus an extremely accurate control can be achieved. Another point that is worth mentioning is that the microsystem in Fig. 2.6 exploits open cavity as microfluidic packaging, therefore it is a fast prototyping method, though not very reliable for practical use.





Although it has been demonstrated that planar microcoil based microsystem is capable of manipulate magnetic beads for different applications, such as trapping, sorting and transporting, it's worthy of further research on the design of microcoil array, because previous research only exploited simple geometrical microcoil and controlling scheme, whereas a universal optimization method for the design of microcoil array to achieve the highest efficiency is lacked. Moreover, the inevitable overheat spot issue for electromagnets in microfluidic applications, is often ignored, thus a safe temperature range for vital bioparticles is hard to be maintained. Additionally, previous microsystems are either open cavity, for which the liquid is directly exposed to the air, or using customized processes to achieve microfluidic structures, whereas a robust microfluidic/microelectronic interface taking advantage of standard packaging technology is rarely reported. Therefore, the above presented microsystems are mostly confined in laboratory. These constraints are addressed in Chapter 3 and Chapter 5 of this thesis work and resolved by our proposed microsystems.

2.3 Microsystems for Magnetic Beads Detection

Accurate and effective detection of bioparticles in microfluidic is always a challenging work, as several criteria need to be respected simultaneously, including repeatability, accuracy, stability, cost and most importantly, the viability of bioparticles. In magnetic beads applications, the detection of bioparticles is represented by the detection of magnetic beads, because the bioparticles are attached to the magnetic beads. The main advantage is that a high signal to noise (SNR) ratio can be achieved, since magnetic beads as labels of bioparticles show strong contrast to non-magnetic background biological environment. To detect the bioparticles attached magnetic beads, various biosensors have been reported, including Hall sensor, Giant Magnetoresistance (GMR) sensor, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance(NMR) sensor, and inductance sensor[64][43]. In this chapter, we summarize all these techniques with emphasis on inductance measurement based sensor, as this sensor uses the low cost coil as inductor and the fabrication process is compatible with regular integrated circuits thus it provides significant cost advantage over others. Additionally, planar microcoil based inductance sensor can take advantage of the manipulation capability of the same coil, thus a multifunctional LoC microsystem can be achieved on the same substrate.

2.3.1 GMR Sensor

GMR is a quantum mechanical magnetoresistance effect observed in thin film structures composed of alternating ferromagnetic and non magnetic layers[65]. The 2007 Nobel Prize in physics was awarded to Albert Fert and Peter Grünberg for the discovery of GMR. Depending on whether the magnetizations of adjacent ferromagnetic layers are in parallel or anti-parallel alignment, a significant change in the electrical resistance can be observed. The total resistance is relatively low for parallel alignment of adjacent layers and relatively high for anti-parallel alignment.

Recently, GMR has been drawing attention for the application in biochip. For example, Figure 2.7 shows a proposed integrated silicon substrate based GMR sensor for magnetic particles detection [54]. It includes four spin-valve GMRs wired as Wheatstone bridges. In each bridge, two GMRs act as sensing resistors and are located under a microfluidic channel. The other two GMRs serve as reference resistors, which are located beneath the insulation layer and separated from the edge of the neighboring sensing GMRs. As the magnetic particles approach the sensing

GMRs, the magnetic field increases, which in turn gives rise to an increase in the sensing GMR resistances and therefore a decrease in the voltage drop (E2-E1) across the bridge. As the front of the magnetic particles moves past the sensing GMRs, the magnetic field will decrease rapidly and the response then slowly decreases as the middle of the magnetic particles flows across the sensing GMRs.



Figure 2.7 Schematic of a GMR based magnetic flow sensor design [54]

This design demonstrates the sensing of individual ferromagnetic particle in flow, and indicates that for the sake of detecting magnetotactic bacteria or superparamagnetic beads, one may require to redesign the sensors by shrinking its size. Employing GMRs as biosensors promises a number of advantages. First of all, the GMR sensors are compatible with the established CMOS processes and are able to provide an electronic signal directly suitable for automated analysis. They are scalable and can be tailored in geometry to meet any desired functionality. Furthermore, there is no background signal if unspecifically bound magnetic markers are avoided, thus the signal to noise ration can be high. Contrary to fluorescent markers, magnetic beads as markers are over time stable, so that measurements can be repeated many times. Additionally, the miniature size of GMR sensor allows for the combination of magnetic beads detection with manipulation, because the magnetic beads carrying the analytical molecules can be manipulated on chip to specific

binding sites where GMR sensors locate. Such flexibility make GMR biosensors a good candidate for hand-held lab-on-a-chip devices [66].

However, the utilization of GMRs in Lab-on-chip brings enormous increases in cost, because GMR fabrication on silicon is not a standard CMOS process and some special materials are used to achieve a good performance. In the given example above, a deposition process of Ta, NiFeCo, CoFe, Cu and CrPtMn is required. For this reason, the research about GMR applied in biochip has been staying in laboratory for a long time.

2.3.2 Hall Sensor

Hall Effect has been well studied and widely used in many applications. The principle behind Hall Effect is that when an external magnetic field is applied, the charge carriers in a conductor experience magnetic force and are moved away from the nominal current direction. This phenomenon leads to a transverse electrical field, which eventually stops the carrier drift and can be measured as a transverse electrical potential which is called Hall voltage[67].

Recently, the Hall Effect has been attracting the attentions in the field of biosensors, because it can be utilized to implement magnetic biosensors for magnetic particles detection[68][69]. For a typical Hall sensor as shown in Fig. 2.8, the magnetic bead is firstly immobilized on the sensor surface through specific method, either by magnetic field or physical placement. Then, an external magnetic field is applied to polarize the magnetic bead which produces a stray magnetic field. The combination of the excitation field and the stray field is then measured by reading out the output voltage the Hall sensor, thus the presence of magnetic bead can be detected. Hall sensors are fully compatible with standard CMOS processes without any requirement for expensive metal, compared with GMR sensor, because they can be realized using retrograded nwell or active region of a MOS transistor[68]. It is worth mentioning that the distance between the magnetic bead and the sensor surface must be minimized to receive the biggest signal due to the stray field. Therefore, a metal wet etching has to be conducted on the silicon chip to remove the passivation layer on top of the Hall sensor. This is considered as a drawback of Hall sensor because additional post processing steps result in additional cost and lower yield. Note that the external biasing magnetic field shown in Fig. 2.8 can also be generated on-chip, for example using metal wires. In this way, the system setup and cost can all be reduced.



Figure 2.8 A conceptual schematic view of a Hall sensor for single magnetic particle detection[69]. An external magnetic field is applied to polarize the magnetic bead which produces a stray magnetic field.

The active area of the Hall sensor in Fig. 2.8 is 2.4 μ m by 2.4 μ m which is a similar to the size of a single magnetic bead. In order to detect large quantities of magnetic beads, usually Hall sensors array can be implemented. For example, in one reported design, the sensor chip is realized in a 0.18 μ m CMOS process, it contains 64 sensor units and is capable of detecting one single 4.5 μ m magnetic particle for each sensor[68]. Another design in a 0.25 μ m CMOS process achieves 1,024 sensing units and immunoassay experiments were conducted[70]. The immunoassay results based on this sensor implementation demonstrated the protein detection limit of 1 nano gram per micro liter, which is a comparable sensitivity with traditional biomedical method.

The main disadvantage of Hall effect sensor is that a strong and uniform magnetic field is required to polarize magnetic beads before detection, normally, this magnetic field can only be generated by external ferromagnets, which adds cost and volume to the overall device, or very large current on-chip electromagnet, which is very power consuming. Additionally, a post fabrication process for silicon chip is required to the passivation layer on top of Hall sensor, which further increases the fabrication complexity and cost.

2.3.3 NMR Sensor

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is a physical phenomenon in which nuclei in a magnetic field absorb and re-emit electromagnetic radiation[71]. NMR relaxometer as magnetic sensor explores the change in the bulk spin-spin relaxation time of water molecules to indirectly reflect the presence of magnetic bead [72]. It is very different from GMR sensor and Hall sensor, both of which utilize the stray field of polarized magnetic beads for detections. A typical implementation for NMR sensor is shown in Fig. 2.9. A proximity assay is needed to be employed in such sensor, which assumes that functional magnetic beads are first in monodisperse state in the medium. Once the under-test analyte is introduced, it triggers the binding and aggregation of the magnetic beads. This leads to self-assembled magnetic clusters in the medium, de-phases the nuclear spin of the surrounding water molecules, and decreases the bulk spin-spin relaxation time. By measuring the relaxation time T2, the quantity of magnetic beads can be reflected. Because of the self-amplifying nature of the proximity assay, this sensing scheme has the potential to achieve a very high sensitivity.

The complete NMR relaxation measurement setup requires key components including a large external magnetic field, usually in Tesla range, a transmitting/receiving coil, and transmitter/receiver circuits to generate the excitation magnetic field and receive the NMR relaxation signal. Either high-power electromagnet or rare-earth magnet is required to realize such strong biasing magnetic field, while the biasing field in Hall sensors can be generated on-chip. This requirement on an external magnet significantly increases the overall system complexity and cost. Moreover, in practical applications, due to this strong magnetic field, appropriate shielding techniques are needed to safeguard both the sensor and the nearby environment. An implementation reported in [72] utilizes integrated transmitter and receiver circuits in a 0.18 µm CMOS process; the transmitting/receiving coil remains off-chip due to its

large size required by the low-frequency operation. A refined version of the NMR relaxometer successfully integrates the transmitting/receiving coil on-chip, offering a compact NMR relaxometer sensor solution and also reducing the size of the rare-earth magnet. Microfluidic network is implemented and integrated to enable sample feeding and proximity assay reactions. The NMR relaxometer sensor is characterized based on detecting actual bio-samples. The sensor achieves avidin detection at 3 nano mole concentration. Bacteria detection using Staphylococcus aureus is also tested, and the sensor is capable of registering as few as ten bacteria in a 10 nL sample. Mammalian cell detections are also performed, which shows a detection limit of 17.5 cells per nano liter for human bladder cancer cells and one single magnetically modified macrophage cells per 10nL medium.



Figure 2.9 Conceptual drawing of the NMR relaxometer and its proximity assay [59]. (a) The bulk spin-spin relaxation time T2 for water molecules without test samples. (b) The relaxation time is reduced with monodispersed magnetic particles. (c) The target molecule i.e., proteins, trigger the proximity assay and lead to aggregation of magnetic particles to form clusters, which further decrease the relaxation time of the water molecules.

2.3.4 Inductance Detection Based Magnetic Sensor

When magnetic beads are placed within the effective range of an inductor, due to the high magnetic susceptibility, magnetic beads are able to affect the effective inductance of the inductor. By measuring the inductance change, the quantity of magnetic beads can be reflected. Inductance detection based magnetic sensor offers two major advantages compared with the above other schemes[73]. Firstly, since the fabrication of an inductance is completely compatible with CMOS process and does not require any post-processing steps, it is therefore low cost and massive producible. Secondly, external bias magnetic field is not required, which further simplifies the system implementation. Therefore, inductance detection based magnetic sensor can be potentially extremely low cost, compact and easy-to-use , which is a good candidate for portable point of care applications[64].



Figure 2.10 A microsystem for CMOS frequency-shift-based magnetic sensor array with integrated microfluidic structures (left) and the zoom-in view of one differential sensing pair (right) [59].

To accurately detect the inductance change caused by magnetic beads is very challenging, because the inductance value is dependent on frequency and very sensitive to parasitic parameters. A commonly used method is to employ inductor and capacitor as a LC resonance tank to detect the frequency shift. An implementation of frequency shift magnetic sensor is shown in Fig. 2.10.

The current through the inductor of a LC tank generates a local magnetic field which will polarize the nearby magnetic beads. Due to the high magnetic susceptibility of magnetic beads, the total magnetic energy is increased as well as the effective inductance of the resonator, which subsequently leads to a down-shift of resonant frequency of the LC tank. Then the resonant frequency can be read out to indicate the quantity of magnetic beads.



Figure 2.11 Inductance detection based magnetic sensor for detecting DNA [64]

The main concern of designing the above mention magnetic sensor is the sensitivity, because the frequency shift could be too small be accurately extracted from the circuit noise, especially when aiming to detect low density magnetic beads. Usually, a combination of careful design of front end inductor sensor with low phase noise on-chip oscillator is preferred. For example, a ultra-high-frequency shift magnetic sensor which combines on-chip circuit and LC oscillators has been achieved in [64], this microsystem can be used for DNA detection and single magnetic bead sensitivity is claimed. The concept is shown in Fig. 2.11. It takes advantage of the concept of magnetic immunoassay. Magnetic bead is used as the label of anti-digoxigenin, which forms a sandwich structure with DNA and biotin molecule, so the presence of specific DNA can be reflected by the measurement of magnetic bead. To note that although the principle of frequency shift magnetic sensor is not difficult to be understood for qualitative detection, the practical employment for quantitative detection is not easy, because a lot more parameters need to be considered, including interference of parasitic capacitance, nonlinearity, noise from circuits and temperature shift issue. To achieve a high sensitivity and good linearity sensor for quantitative

detection, one has to optimize the design of microcoil associated with the sensing signal conditioning circuit by dedicated design optimization and high circuit complexity.

Above all, to achieve the widespread adoption of magnetic sensors for medical diagnosis and environment monitoring applications, there are still several technical challenges that need to be addressed, the pros and cons of each aforementioned magnetic sensing schemes need to be considered to decide the most appropriate scheme for specific application. Moreover, many aforementioned magnetic sensors show high sensitivity, or even single magnetic bead sensitivity, however, in practical applications, in addition to high sensitivity, good linearity is also an important feature for quantitative measurement. A reliable measurement needs both accuracy and repeatability. Moreover, magnetic sensors, like many high precision sensors, may present environment related long term signal drift, for example in the frequency-shift magnetic sensors. Although differential sensing and advanced noise cancellations scheme can largely suppress such drifts, new refinement techniques for drift removal are highly desirable. We address the above issues in Chapter 4 by proposing the design of a microcoil magnetic sensor with good linearity, and a scheme to ease the signal drift due to temperature varying.

2.4 Microsystems Packaging Techniques

A robust microfluidic/microelectronic package can facilitate the prototyping of microsystem and bolster reliable experimental operation. However, packaging for microfluidic chip has historically been a challenging work, because microfluidic devices typically require multiple interconnects and in many cases the packaging components are much larger than the microelectronics interfaces. Usually, these microfluidic packaging structures, including but not limited to microchannels, chambers, and wells should be performed using a low temperature process with reliable bonding, since the leakage of reagents from microfluidic components may bring contamination and increase the parasitic capacitance or resistance of microelectronic circuits. Various packaging techniques have been applied to realize microelectrnic/microfluidic hybrid microsystem.



Figure 2.12 Application of PDMS in microfluidic chip [60]

PDMS (Polydimethylsiloxane) is widely used in various microfluidic packaging methods, because of its low cost and rapid fabrication, which is particular advantageous in exploratory stage of research. Moreover, PDMS is optically transparent, and in general is considered to be inert, non-toxic and non-flammable[74]. However, despite its many advantages and its wide use in academic laboratories, its low elastic modulus becomes a significant issue for high pressure operation as it leads to a large alteration of channel geometry[75]. Besides, PDMS has poor compatibility with many organic solvent and the surface modification is not stable over time. Therefore, in commercial products, PDMS is not as popular as in laboratory. As complementary to PDMS, some other polymers with similar fabrication procedure but higher rigidity and resistance to solvent have been identified, such as Thermoset Polyester (TPE)[76], Polyurethane Methacrylate (PUMA)[77] and optical adhesives[78]. These polymer materials can be used to form the microchannels and chamber containing the reagent by processes such as inject modeling, hot embossing, laser ablation and polymer casting[79][80]. Fig. 2.12 illustrates a common application of PDMS in microfluidic chip.



Figure 2.13 Fabrication procedure of DWFP technique: (a) ink deposition, (b) encapsulation in epoxy and (c) ink extraction [81].

In addition to these conventional packaging techniques, recently, direct-write fabrication process (DWFP) has also been proved to be a promising technique for microfluidic/microelectronic hybrid system fast prototyping. It is a fugitive ink based, robot assisted fast microchannel prototyping method. Normally, it only takes a few hours to finish the whole prototyping. Layer-by-layer structures composed of filaments with cylindrical, hexagonal or square cross sections can be produced. The filaments are formed during the extrusion of a paste-like material through a micro nozzle and deposited on a substrate in order to build planar or three-dimensional (3D) structures[82]. The deposition of a fugitive organic ink scaffold was then conducted by the infiltration of an uncured epoxy resin. After polymerization, the ink is extracted at moderate temperature in order to obtain the desired microfluidic network[81]. DWFP is compatible with standard CMOS technology as well as any other planar layer-by-layer structures based technologies, such as PCB and glass. Compared with conventional polymer processes, DWFP is a more automatic and standard technique with higher resolution. Part of this thesis work benefits from DWFP technique on prototyping and packaging of microfluidic chip.



Figure 2.14 A Sensonit glass substrate based hybrid microsystem [69].

An alternative material to polymer for microfluidic chip packaging is glass. Even though glass micromachining needs more time and higher cost compared to polymer, it is still a favorable choice to build microfluidic chip, due to its superior optical properties, surface stability, solvent compatibility and excellent resistance to high pressure. Nowadays, glass substrate based microfluidic chip can be fabricated through commercial available processes. For instance, Sensonit is a microfluidic prototyping technology offered by Micronit Microfluidics BV. This technology enables users to realize microfluidic structures and integrated metal electrodes in the same glass substrates for microfluidic applications. Moreover, it allows for the integration of CMOS sensor to achieve a hybrid microsystem. Sensonit can be used in various physical and chemical applications, as well as applications in the life sciences, e.g., cell manipulation, genetic analysis, medical diagnostics, protein and peptide analysis, microchemical analysis, drug delivery, and DNA analysis. However, this technology is not always suitable for planar microcoil fabrication, because complicated spiral topology is hard to be realized as no through layer vias

are provided between different metal layers. Therefore, for magnetic field generation, only those electromagnets with simple geometries can be exploited.

In conclusion, microfluidic/microelectronic hybrid microsystem packaging requires various technical steps, such as substrate micromachining, bonding, and sealing, whereas different techniques can be employed according to the requirement of project in terms of cost, time, complexity, etc. Fast prototyping techniques are usually low cost but labor intensive, so they are usually exploited at laboratory stage to verify the concept. On the other hand, standard techniques are more expensive and take more time but with better robustness, they are usually the preference for industrial products.

CHAPTER 3 A TEMPERATURE CONTROLLABLE PLATFORM FOR MAGNETIC BEADS MANIPULATION

3.1 Introduction

A fully functional LoC using magnetic beads for bioassay has great potential in automated health and environmental applications. As the labels of bioparticles, magnetic beads need to be manipulated according to specific requirement, such as trapping, separating, sorting and transporting. Since the behavior of magnetic beads is affected by the magnetic field in vicinity, a flexible and accurate control of magnetic field on chip is required. Two-dimensional planar microcoil array is a good candidate for generating a controllable magnetic field, because it can be flexibly configured by microelectronic controlling circuits and is scalable. However, the magnetic field generated by planar microcoil is usually weak, unless a big current is applied. Due to the big current and non-symmetrical layout, Joule heat is significant and results in overheat spot. This issue was widely mentioned but usually treated by an additional complicated cooling system, which highly increases the system complexity and total power consumption. In fact, it is possible to model the heat generating process for the microcoil array by FEA software, and exploit advanced control method to reduce the Joule heat, without increasing the complexity of hardware system design. In parallel, the microcoil array's geometry layout can be improved to achieve more uniform "heating spots" to avoid the "overheating spot" in conventional LoC device, so that the temperature of liquid can be maintained within the safe range to bioparticles.

In this chapter, the design and implementation of a compact LoC platform dedicated for magnetic beads based bioparticles manipulation is introduced. For this platform, it takes advantage of a planar microcoil array to generate distributed magnetic field, and by the incorporation of multiple microcoils, magnetic beads can be manipulated in different ways, leading to different applications such as trapping, separation and mixing. Moreover, instead of using an external cooling system, an advanced current supply method is applied to reduce the Joule heat and maintain the controllable temperature in liquid. The microcoil array is fabricated in a very thin polyimide substrate based on a standard and mass-producible double metal layer process. The concepts were verified by both simulations in FEA software and experiments using artificial magnetic beads. The following section is the reproduction of an article published in IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol.49, No.10, pp.5236-5242, Oct. 2013.

3.2 Planar Microcoil Array Based Temperature-controllable Lab-on-Chip Platform

3.2.1 Abstract

In this paper, we present the design and implementation of a planar microcoil array based temperature-controllable Lab-on-chip (LoC) platform for magnetic bead manipulation. Magnetic beads are used as the solid phase carriers of bioparticles and microcoil array acts as the scattered magnetic field source to manipulate the magnetic beads in microfluidics. Meanwhile, the Joule heat issue, which is inevitable and often considered as drawback of electromagnetic LoC applications, is analyzed and proved to be controllable using our proposed current supply method. With this method, microcoil can be used as heat source to keep the temperature of microfluidic within the safe range for bioparticles, saving the external incubator. To verify the concept, a polyimide substrate LoC platform was fabricated and tested. Taking advantage of the commercially available process, it is standard and mass-producible. Experimental results show that both individual single bead and mass beads varying from 1µm to 2µm can be manipulated with acceptable current consumption, while temperature can be maintained in a safe range.

Index Terms— Planar coil array, Lab-on-Chip (LoC), Joule heating, Magnetic beads, Polyimide substrate

3.2.2 Introduction

Emerging Lab-on-Chip (LoC) devices have been perceived as a promising technology ever since its concept was first introduced, due to the advantages such as low consumption for samples, small size for device and short analysis time [83]. One of the most popular applications of LoCs is bioparticles manipulation, for which several techniques are performed, such as dielectrophoresis [31], magnetophoresis [84] and optical field [85]. Among them, the usage of magnetic beads to manipulate bioparticles (e.g. cells, proteins, DNA) in microfluidics has been attracting noticeable attention due to the high controllability and good biocompatibility, compared with other techniques mentioned above. Magnetic beads are actually the solid phase carriers of bioparticles as depicted in Fig. 3.1, they can be manipulated by applying magnetic field in microfluidics, thus different applications can be realized by controlling the scattering of magnetic field, such as separation, mixing, sorting, and transport [86] [87] [88]. For generating the scattering magnetic field in microfluidics, in-channel or on-chip planar coils are preferable compared with external ferromagnets, because magnetic flux intensity and direction can be controlled simply by changing the current passing through the coils, thus resulting in a more flexible control.



Figure 3.1 Magnetic beads as solid phase carriers for bioparticles manipulation

As for planar coil based bioparticles manipulation, some previous studies only involved individual coils or simple topological coil array, whereas the coordination of multiple coils were often neglected [56] [61] [89]. In those cases, the motion of bioparticles relies on the motion of fluidic flow when bioparticles are out of the trapping range of individual microcoils, so an external syringe pump is usually required to generate a moving fluid flow. Therefore, those devices suffer from some drawbacks including low throughput, inaccurate manipulation, more challenge for miniaturization and low-power efficiency. The most cost effective way for us to overcome those drawbacks is to improve the topology of microcoil array to achieve a more efficient manipulation, and to take into account the coordination of multiple microcoils to achieve a bigger manipulating region, so that the migration of bioparticles between coils can be realized without the help of external syringe pump, and with acceptable power consumption.

Another challenging issue in electromagnetic LoC applications is the inevitable Joule heating effect. It was widely mentioned but usually treated by an additional complicated cooling system [90] [55] [91], which highly increases the system complexity and power consumption. In fact, it is possible for us to exploit some advanced control method to reduce the Joule heat, without increasing the complexity of hardware system design. At the same time, we can improve the

microcoil array's geometry layout to achieve more uniform "heating spots" to avoid the "overheating spot" in conventional device, so that the temperature of liquid can be maintained within the safe range to bioparticles.

In this paper, we describe the design and implementation of a compact LoC platform dedicated for magnetic beads based bioparticles manipulation. For this platform, it takes advantage of a planar microcoil array to generate distributed magnetic field, and by the incorporation of multiple microcoils, magnetic beads can be manipulated in different ways, leading to different applications such as trapping, separation and mixing. Moreover, instead of using an external cooling system, we employ an advanced current supply method to reduce the Joule Heating effect and maintain the controllable temperature in liquid. The microcoil array is fabricated in a very thin polyimide substrate based on a standard and mass-producible double metal layer process. The concepts were verified by both simulations in Finite Elements Analysis (FEA) software and experiments using artificial magnetic beads.

3.2.3 Joule Heat Effect Analysis and Simulation

One inevitable but often neglected issue in microcoil array based LoC applications is Joule heat effect. Coil heating strongly influences the maximum value of generated magnetic field [92], and heating spot causes the formation of bubbles in liquid [93], moreover, it may heat up the microfluidic solution, and then damage the viability of bioparticles, because most of the bioparticles can only keep vital in a relatively narrow temperature range [94]. Therefore, the heat generated by microcoils has to be controllable. Some previous studies used on-chip water cycling system or external thermoelectric cooler to keep temperature in channel, which are proved effective in holding temperature, but prior to these passive action, it is better to explore some more economic means to control Joule heat by means of novel design concept or operation principle. First, we investigate the heating process of a microcoil.

For a working microcoil, the induced Joule heat is

$$\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{J}} = R\mathbf{J}\mathbf{J} \tag{1}$$

and the parabolic equation of temperature conduction is expressed [24] as

$$\hat{q} = \rho c(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{K}(T)] \nabla T$$
⁽²⁾

where R is the resistance, J is the current density vector, T is the temperature, ρ is the density, c(T) is the specific heat which might depend on the temperature, K(T) is a conductivity matrix which might be orthotropic or temperature dependant, and \hat{q} is the heat generation rate per unit volume.

Eq. (1) and eq. (2) illustrate that a typical heating process can be divided into two phases: the first is the heat generation, whereas the second is heat conduction. The topology of microcoil array influences the resistance and current density, thus affects the first process; the parameters of substrate and the medium affect the second because different materials have different specific heat and conductivities. If DC current is applied to a microcoil, the heat generation process dominates the heating dissipation process, so the maximum temperature keeps rising, when the current is cut off, since there is no heating source any more, the heating dissipation process will dominate and the temperature of microcoil will tend to return to ambient temperature.

Material	Thermal conductivity	Specific heat
	[W/m·K]	[J/Kg·K]
Polymide	4.0	1150
Copper	398	384
Water	0.59	4181
Epoxy	0.22	1460

Table 1 MATERIAL PARAMETERS FOR MODELLING AND SIMULATIONS



Figure 3.2 The proposed closed-loop temperature control system.



Figure 3.3 3D Model of microcoil with channel and substrate in CoventorWare: (a) complete model; (b) Substrate is hidden to show microcoil array.

Instead of applying a DC current to microcoil as in many previous works [61] [55], we propose an AC current supply method with feedback from temperature sensor, to adjust the current signal duty cycle, thus adjust the effective time of heat generation process and heat dissipation process as depicted in Fig. 3.2. To verify this concept, we performed the Thermal Analysis in CoventorWare for the model in Fig. 3.3, using the material parameters shown in Table 1. In this model, copper, polyimide and epoxy were selected for the materials of microcoil, substrate and microchamber, respectively. The fluid medium surrounding microcoil is deionized water. Copper wire thickness is $35 \mu m$; inner diameter is $500\mu m$, outer diameter is 2mm. Note that specific heat and conductivity are assumed to be constant to simplify the calculation, instead of temperature dependent. The initial temperature for the model is assumed to be 300 K. Using the mathematical formulas and simulation method introduced in our previous work [95], we first obtained that a current intensity of 50mA could generate applicable magnetic field to manipulate magnetic bead. Then we performed the thermal simulation with DC input. Starting from time 0, a constant current with amplitude 50 mA was injected into the coil, causing the increase of temperature on the contact surface of coil and liquid. After 5 seconds, the highest temperature increment reached 3.4 K, and if it continued to increase, it could be dangerous to bioparticles. In this case, the heat generation process dominates the heat dissipation process, so the maximum temperature keeps rising. Then, a square wave current with amplitude of 50 mA and constant frequency of 1 Hz was injected into the coil, over 5 seconds, we got the temperature varying image in Fig. 3.4. Different from constant current, the maximum temperature image here was flashing instead of continuously increasing.



Figure 3.4 Thermal propagation analysis when the input of a microcoil is constant-frequency square-wave current.



Figure 3.5 Maximum temperature variation for different current inputs: (a) DC input; (b) Constant frequency input---duty cycle 1:2 and frequency 1Hz; (c) Variable frequency input --- duty cycle 1:2 and frequency 1Hz from 0 to 2.75s; duty cycle 1:5 and frequency 1Hz from 0 to 2.75s; duty cycle 1:5 and frequency 1 Hz after 2.75s.

Simulation results in Fig. 3.5 show that the final temperature increment is only 1.1 K, which is 67.6% lower than the constant current coil driving method. At last, we performed another simulation with variable frequency input current. The duty cycle was changed from 1:2 to 1:5 at time 2.75s. It shows that the temperature can be maintained roughly constant. In practical applications, the frequency and duty cycle ratio of the input pulse waveform can be adjusted according to the feedback from temperature sensor, and more advanced control technique, e.g. PID-control can be applied, so that a controllable heat generation and heat dissipation can be maintained. Another advantage of this method is that it is possible to use microcoil as heat source to keep the temperature of microfluidic within the safe range for bioparticles, saving the external incubator which is widely used in most biomedical applications.

One may argue that the magnetic beads could escape from the coil during the negative half-cycle of input square-wave current. In fact, for a single magnetic bead in microfluidic, in addition to the magnetic force, it experiences hydrodynamic drag force, gravitational force and buoyancy force, whereas the latter two forces can be neglected due to bead's small size and the cancellation of each other in some degree. From Stokes' law [18], we know

$$\vec{F}_{drag} = 6\pi\eta R_{bead} \left(\vec{v}_{bead} - \vec{v}_{fluid} \right)$$
(3)

where \vec{v}_{bead} , \vec{v}_{fluid} , R_{bead} and η are the bead velocity, microfluidic velocity, bead radius and fluid's viscosity, respectively. Now we consider the case when beads are immobilized at the center of microcoils. If we turn off the coil, magnetic bead will be forced to move along the flow's direction due to eq. (3), at an initial velocity 0 and initial acceleration

$$\vec{a} = 6\pi \eta R_{bead} \vec{v}_{fluid} / m_{bead} \tag{4}$$

where m_{bead} is the mass of magnetic bead. Since in most microfluidic applications, \bar{v}_{fluid} is very small, normally tens of micrometers per second, the acceleration is also very small, which means the beads will not escape from the coil center area even when magnetic force is removed for a short while. For example, when the velocity of fluid is 10 µm/s, one immobile magnetic bead needs more than 3s to move over a distance of 10 µm. If we reactivate the coils within the beads' escape time, the beads will be attracted to the center of coils again, from the macroscopic view, the magnetic beads never leave. Note that Brownian motion here is neglected, with the assumption that escape time due to Brownian motion is bigger than 10s [62]. One obvious advantage of such kind of operation is that we can use digital switches to control the on and off of microcoils, since the high speed of digital switches can be easily achieved, all the coils can share one current source, which is definitely beneficial to power consumption and especially for heating issue.

3.2.4 Current Supply Circuit

To achieve such a system as introduced in previous section, we need a bidirectional and amplitude-variable current supply circuit. Meanwhile, considering that the multifunctional LoC platform requires reconfiguration for different applications, we designed a CMOS circuit to control the microcoil array. As the crucial microelectronic part of the whole LoC system, it was firstly fabricated in TSMC 0.18 μ m process, taking advantage of the ultra thick (2um) top metal layer for big current path, and then mounted on the backside of the same substrate of off-chip microcoil array. The bidirectional current source is connected to an addressable low on-resistance

multiplexer for driving the microcoil array. Different microcoils can be selected through multiplexer by external microcontroller. The current source we use is similar to the one in [96] and the bidirectional current control circuit in our design is an H-bridge circuit as shown in Fig. 3.6. The current direction can be changed depending on the on and off of transistors pair "1&4" or "2&3". Since we need a relatively high current to drive the coils, a very low on resistance Ron for the transistors used in the above circuits is required. We choose a relatively bigger width and minimum length to achieve a lower Ron. Furthermore, in practical circuit design, paralleled PMOS and NMOS transistors are applied to reduce Ron and share the high current to avoid the overcurrent and latch-up problem. The post layout simulation results of this circuit are shown in Fig. 3.7. It reveals that when applying a direction control signal with frequency 50 kHz, a current varying between \pm 50 mA can be achieved, with power supply voltage 3.3 V. Based on our observation, 15mA is only capable of polarizing magnetic beads whereas 50mA is high enough to manipulate them.



Figure 3.6 Schematic of the proposed bidirectional current source.



Figure 3.7 Post layout simulation of bidirectional current supply circuit.

3.2.5 Device Architecture and Fabrication

For our proposed LoC platform, two main parts are included, namely reusable electronic device and disposable microfluidic structure as shown in Fig. 3.8. The reusable device consists of the microelectronic circuits for controlling the microcoil array and users interface for signal readout, whereas the low cost microfluidic structure is for under-test liquid sample. The microfluidic structure can be pulled off the microcoil array chip after test, and then a new microfluidic structure can be remounted for the next test. The microcoil array is the connection between microfluidics and microelectronics, since magnetic beads in microfluidic channels are activated, manipulated and detected all by the microcoil array, whereas the behavior of microcoil array is controlled by our proposed CMOS circuit. With the incorporation of microelectronic circuits, the local magnetic fields can be reconfigured and programmed, thus various manipulations can be achieved.



Figure 3.8 Architecture of the microcoil array based LoC platform.



Figure 3.9 The proposed polyimide substrate LoC device: (a) Hexagonal microcoil chip with microfluidic structure; (b) Bottom view showing the heat dissipation layer and CMOS chip; (c) Microphotograph of the CMOS chip; Die size 0.85mm by1.15mm; (d) Square microcoil array.

Although there are already a few LoC prototypes presented in previous works, most of them were either simple microfluidic chip without electronics part or complicated microfluidic structures combining microelectronics on silicon. In our study, we propose a novel LoC platform consisting of low cost disposable microfluidic structure and reusable electronic part. The devices shown in Fig. 3.9 are our proposed polyimide substrate LoC platform with different topological microcoils. The substrate is a very thin all-polyimide composite of polyimide film bonded to copper foil. It's a low cost and mass producible product provided by Pyralux®. In this technology, the dielectric thickness is only 100 µm, copper thickness is 35 µm. The minimum wire width and space allowed by design rules are 50 μ m and 75 μ m, respectively. Compared with the metal thickness for silicon substrate which is usually below 3µm, the thicker metal in this process can tolerate a higher current and leads to generate a stronger magnetic field. Meanwhile, the ultra thin dielectric layer results in less magnetic energy loss and better heat dissipating, because we can add a dummy metal layer in large area on the backside. To note that the dummy metal layer will cause Eddy current which will cancel part of the magnetic field at high frequency, but this drawback can be neglected at low frequency. Another significant advantage for this technology is that we can make some pads on the back side of the substrate as shown in Fig. 3.9 (b). Therefore, the integration of microfluidics and electronics will be easier and the miniaturization of device will be possible. Indeed, it seems that the scheme of CMOS chip plus off-chip coil array has limitation on the scale of microcoil array due to the countable I/O ports, but in most of the practical biological applications, such as sorting, mixing, transporting, just several microcoils would satisfy the requirement. Thus in these situations, it is not necessary to apply large scale of microcoils. After all, if large scale off chip microcoil array is really needed, to say the least, we just need to combine several CMOS chips to achieve the mass coils control.

The fabrication of microfluidic structures takes advantage of an efficient direct-write microfluidic packaging procedure as introduced in the previous work of our research group [97] [98]. It is a fugitive ink based, robot assisted fast microchannel prototyping method. Normally, it only takes a few hours to finish the whole prototyping. Meanwhile, benefiting from the separation of microelectronic part and microfluidic structures, we can achieve different microfluidic systems such as channels, chambers and wells, without affecting the electronic part.

3.2.6 Experimental Results

Prior to testing our proposed LoC platform, we prepared magnetic beads solution original from Bangs Labratories, Inc. These magnetic beads are composed of iron oxide crystals dispersed in a polymer matrix, with a functional polymer overcoating for the encapsulation of magnetite and the introduction of reactive groups. To get a better image quality, we exploited the internally fluorescent dyed magnetic beads. The size of beads varies from 1 to 2 μ m, and the density is 0.236% per micro liter. During the experiments, the magnetic beads solution was first diluted with deionized water and then injected into our device by a syringe. Afterwards, the whole device was placed under microscope (Olympus BX51W1) for observation. Different types of manipulation were achieved by an external preprogrammed microcontroller MSP430.

We first tested the behavior of individual magnetic bead by diluting the solution until there are only several beads in sight. Fig. 3.10 shows the microcoil image under microscope and the whole procedure of magnetic bead migration between two adjacent microcoils. Originally, the targeted magnetic bead was close to the boundary of two adjacent microcoils, and then the lower coil was turned on with a square wave current of 50 mA, so magnetic bead was attracted toward the center of lower coil, where the magnetic gradient is strongest. After about 3 seconds, before the magnetic bead escape from the trapping area of the upper coil, the lower coil was turned off and the upper coil was turned on, so the magnetic bead magnetic bead was attracted toward the center of upper coil. We then tested the mass beads manipulation capability of the microcoil array by a high density magnetic beads solution which is 0.118% per micro liter. As shown in Fig.3.11, when only the top-right microcoil was turned on, most of the magnetic beads are still uniformly dispersed.

The above experimental results are based on the square microcoil chip, whereas the presentation of experiments on hexagonal microcoil chip is omitted, due to the similar performance. After all, the comparison of different topological microcoils is not the focus of this paper.

During the whole experiments, the temperature of platform was monitored by a temperature sensor DS18B20, which is mounted on the backside heat dissipation metal. Since the temperature sensor is in direct contact with the heat dissipation metal, and the thickness of substrate is only $100\mu m$, the temperature sensor can reflect the temperature variation tendency of the liquid. From

datasheet, we know this sensor has a resolution of 0.0625 °C and is accurate to ± 0.5 °C over the whole tested temperature range. It provides feedback signal to microcontroller to adjust the duty cycle of input current, thus avoids the overheating problem and maintain a biocompatible temperature range. We recorded the data from the sensor as shown in Fig. 3.12. At the beginning, DC current was applied to the coil, so the magnetic beads were attracted to the coil region meanwhile the temperature of the coil kept increasing. At the 5th second, the current supply of coil was switched from DC to variable frequency, since the "off time" of coil was always shorter than the beads' escape time, the magnetic beads remained in the trapping region. After adjustment for a few seconds, the temperature reached a roughly stable state. The variation of the final temperature was due to the limitation of sensor's performance, such as measurement delay, accuracy. To achieve a better temperature controlling, we are designing an integrated CMOS chip with temperature sensor array and microcoil array on silicon substrate. We also observed that even with this controlling method, the temperature will increase after a long time due to the accumulation of heat in environment, but this method is still useful when the manipulation procedure can be finished within a few minutes.

Based on the manipulation of magnetic beads, we suggest an immunoassay scheme [99] for fast detection of antigen using our proposed device. Magnetic beads are used as the solid phase for the capture of antibodies, and as carriers of captured target antigens. Firstly, antibody coated magnetic beads are introduced to the microfluidic chamber and immobilized by applying a scattering magnetic field in chamber. While holding the antibody-coated beads, antigens are injected into the channel. Only targeted antigens are immobilized, and thus separated onto the magnetic bead surface due to the antibody-antigen reaction. Then, other antigens get washed out with the flow. Next, fluorescence-labeled secondary antibodies are introduced and incubated with the immobilized antigens. The chamber is then flushed to remove all unbound secondary antibodies. Finally, the immune complex coated magnetic beads are transported to the specific detection area. If we can observe the fluorescence under the excitation light source, it means there exist antigens, otherwise, there is no antigen in the sample.

By this method, we don't need the specified microtiter plate as in ELISA (Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay), and avoid the numerous liquid washing steps and quantization that depends on a spectro-photometers that is usually not available in a mobile, portable form [100].
Additionally, compared with conventional manual detection, by using our proposed portable device, the whole detection procedure can be accelerated due to the automatic control.



Figure 3.10 Individual magnetic bead manipulated by two adjacent microcoil: (a)The targeted observation area in the microcoil array; (b) Original position of the targeted magnetic bead; (c) Magnetic bead was polarized and attracted toward the lower coil; (d)-(g) Magnetic bead was attracted toward the upper microcoil.



Figure 3.11 Mass beads trapping experiment showing the magnetic beads in solution concentrated in the active coil region.



Figure 3.12 Monitored temperature variation during the whole magnetic beads trapping experiment.

3.2.7 Conclusion

The design and implementation of a planar coil array based temperature-controllable Lab-on-chip (LoC) platform was introduced. We begin with demonstrating the concept of applying microcoil array in LoC platform, then, the heating issue, which is widely mentioned and inevitable in electromagnetic LoC applications, is analyzed and proved to be controllable using our proposed current supplying method. Then the prototype of planar coil array based LoC platforms is introduced and compared with other prototypes. Consisting of low cost disposable microfluidic structure and reusable electronic part, our proposed prototype takes advantage of commercially available processes, thus it is standard and mass-producible. At last, the validation of the proposed LoC device is confirmed by experiments using magnetic beads ranging from 1-2 μ m. Different manipulation of magnetic beads were achieved, such as immobilization to microcoil, and migration between microcoils. The advantages of our proposed LoC platform over current state-of-the-art researches are that we achieved a lower-cost high-throughput mass-producible LoC platform, which has better power efficiency and heat controllability. Using our proposed LoC platform, various in situ biomedical applications can be applied, such as immunoassay and enzymatic reactions.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Laurent Mouden and Rejean Lapage for their help in using lab facilities for this project, and Dr. Daniel Therriault and his student Shuangzhuang Guo for their help in making microfluidic structures.

CHAPTER 4 A MICROSYSTEM FOR MAGNETIC IMMUNOASSAY BASED ON PLANAR MICROCOIL ARRAY

4.1 Introduction

In previous chapter, we have demonstrated that planar microcoil array is a good candidate for magnetic beads manipulation, whereas for magnetic beads detection, it will greatly facilitate the implementation of LoC and lower the cost, if the same microcoil array can be used as biosensor. For the sake of designing a high performance, low-cost and easy-to-use microsystem platform, several issues need to be considered. The most critical issue is how to design the front-end microcoil sensor and the interface sensing circuit, which determines the sensitivity of detection. Additionally, the packaging technique is also very important as a robust biological interface will definitely facilitate the device setup and testing process, thus shorter detection time and more reliable results can be achieved. The microcoil sensor array is the connection between microfluidics and microelectronics. With the incorporation of microelectronic circuits, the sensing signal is processed and the local magnetic field is possible to be reconfigured, thus various functions can be achieved.

In this chapter, we introduce the design and implementation of a microsystem platform with the potential for detecting toxins and pathogens in food and water. Magnetic beads are used as biolabels of toxins and detected through measuring the susceptibility variation of media. We focus on the design of front-end microcoil and sensor circuit, as well as the packaging techniques for a robust biological interface. The detecting scheme is analyzed and simulated to verify our concept firstly, whereas testing results with mouse IgG and anti-mouse IgG are also reported. The concept of separating microfluidic structure from microelectronic part brings several advantages such as low cost, easy-to-use and flexible reconfiguration. To further improve the stability and reliability, we use multiplexer to switch the microcoil to manipulating mode before detecting, for the sake of concentrating the magnetic beads before sensing. Experimental results show that mouse IgG with a minimum density of 100pg/mL can be detected, which is a comparable result to conventional optical ELISA. Our proposed device is a good candidate to replace the conventional ELISA equipment in terms of cost and usability, and it is also well suited to be used for tracking and positioning magnetic labels in point of care (PoC) diagnostics. The following section is the reproduction of an article submitted to IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems.

4.2 A Microsystem for Magnetic Immunoassay Based on Planar Microcoil Array

4.2.1 Abstract

This work focuses on the circuit and system implementation of a microsystem platform for magnetic immunoassay, which is a novel type of diagnostic method using magnetic beads as labels. Three main challenges facing this work-design of a high performance sensor, packaging technique and design of integrated circuits are discussed. Planar microcoil array are exploited as sensor of magnetic beads, whereas ultra thin bottom microplate in traditional Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) is used for the assay. Main circuit blocks include bidirectional current supply circuit, magnetic field sensing circuit and on-chip temperature sensor. Experiments using anti-mouse IgG attached magnetic beads and mouse IgG were performed on the proposed platform, results show that a minimum density of 100pg/mL mouse IgG can be detected, which is a comparable result to conventional optical ELISA, and a quantitative relationship can be achieved in the range from 1ng/ml to 1ug/ml, thus this platform is suitable for quantitative analysis in practical health and environment application and has potential for medical diagnostics, food pathogen detection or water analysis.

Index Terms-Microsystem, magnetic immunoassay, magnetic sensor, planar microcoil.

4.2.2 Introduction

Recently, extensive efforts have been focused on food and water safety issues caused by toxins which are produced by bacteria, such as Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli [101][102][103]. Due to the fast-growing nature of bacteria, it is always urgent to develop the methods for fast and early detection of food and water contamination [104]. As an enabling technology, microsystem for environmental and health applications is therefore attracting more and more interests, because it offers numerous advantageous over the traditional macroscale inlab methods, including miniature size, decreased reagent volumes, high sensitivity and multifunction. Moreover, it provides the possibility of automated analysis with the aid of electronic system and spreading the real-time detection results at different sites of public society, via the growing wireless sensor network, as shown in Fig. 4.1. Therefore, people with a smartphone can monitor the threat in environment in real-time to avoid the contamination source.



Figure 4.1 Sensor network involving microsystem for monitoring environmental toxins.

To detect the presence or concentration of specific toxins in a complex media, usually immunoassay is exploited, in which the labeled antibody interacts with antigen to form a detectable compound. Traditional bio-labels were florescence which can be detected optically, for example Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). However, it suffers from some disadvantages such as photo-bleaching, spectral overlap and additional bulky detection equipment [43][44][45][46]. These constraints have inspired the efforts of researchers to develop a complete magnetic micro/nano particles and magnetic sensor based bioassay - magnetic immunoassay (MIA) [47][48][49][50]. A typical MIA procedure is shown in Fig. 4.2. Antibody is firstly coated on the bottom surface of substrate through incubation; then the sample under-test is introduced. Due to the antigen-antibody reaction, the specific antigen will be captured by antibody, and other molecules can be flushed out. The first two steps are similar to the traditional ELISA. Lastly, antibody coated magnetic particles are introduced, and sandwich structure is formed due to the specific binding of antibody-antigen-antibody. Therefore, the presence of magnetic particles can reflect the quantity of antigen. Magnetic particles as bio-labels are biocompatible, highly sensitive and stable over time. Indeed, a variety of magnetic biosensors[64] with the potential to be employed for MIA devices have been reported. R. Gaster et al. developed some antibody sandwich assays on giant magnetoresistance sensor (GMR) which is capable of detecting protein tumour markers [105][106][107]; A post-processed hall effect sensor on silicon substrate to detect magnetic particles with comparable sensitivity to ELISA was reported by Aytur et al. [70]; N. Sun et al. demonstrated a CMOS RF transceiver based nuclear magnetic resonance system for the detection of biological objects [108]; Wang et al. [73] [109]proposed a resonent frequency based handheld platform for magnetic beads detection and claimed a sensitivity of single individual bead. Among these magnetic sensors, planar microcoil based sensor shows more advantages in biomedical/microelectronic hybrid microsystems, since it is compatible with mainstream mass-producible processes and the microcoil per se can be used as magnetic field source to activate magnetic particles, avoiding external permanent magnets. Additionally, the LC oscillating tank based shift frequency counting technique allows for an accurate measurement in wide range with low power consumption. Therefore, it has the greatest potential for portable point-of-care diagnostics applications.



Figure 4.2 Flow chart for a typical magnetic immunoassay: a) antibodies are patterned on bottom surface of substrate; b) under-test sample solution is introduced, specific antigens will be captured due to antigen-antibody reaction, and other molecules in solution are flushed out; c) magnetic beads labeled antibodies are injected, a sandwich structure is formed. Superfluous beads that are not bound are flushed out. Finally the amount of magnetic beads that can be detected by sensor reflects the amount of antigen.

Although the aforementioned biosensors can achieve high sensitivity and miniaturization, they are still confined to laboratory due to several challenges. First, due to the combination of

microfluidic structures with microelectronics on the same silicon die, great care needs to be taken when treating the planar coil sensor surface for functionality to avoid damages, and the treatment usually requires clean room environment, uses non-standard processes and takes several days, thus it's hard to meet our need for real-time detection. Second, the bonding of silicon die with low-cost microfluidic structures increases the testing cost, because the direct contact of sensor with liquid samples makes silicon chip disposable. Last, once bonded to silicon die, microfluidic structure doesn't allow sequential reconfiguration to adapt for different applications. To overcome these drawbacks, we propose a scheme that exploiting reusable electronic device and disposable microfluidic structure, whereas microcoil array is their connection but not in direct contact with analytes. Electronic device consists of integrated detection circuit, off-chip planar microcoil as sensor and microcontroller, whereas microfluidic structure takes advantage of standard biomedical components. In this manner, the whole detection time is reduced because sensor treatment and many other preparation steps are eliminated. Moreover, it is more economic for repeatable testing and it allows for a flexible reconfiguration of microfluidic structures for specific application.

For the sake of designing a high performance, low-cost and easy-to-use microsystem platform, several issues need to be respected. The most critical issue is how to design the front-end microcoil and sensing circuit, as it determines the sensitivity of detection. Additionally, the packaging technique is also very important as a robust biological interface will definitely facilitate the device setup and testing process, thus shorter detection time and more reliable results can be achieved. The architecture of our proposed microsystem platform is depicted in Fig. 4.3. It consists of four main parts, namely, the microfluidic structure, the microcoil sensor array, the CMOS application specific integrated circuit (ASIC) and microcontroller. All of them are mounted on a small PCB board which is possible for any other necessary extension functions. The microcoil sensor array is the connection between microfluidics and microelectronics. With the incorporation of microelectronic circuits, the sensing signal is processed and the local magnetic field is possible to be reconfigured, thus various functions can be achieved. Be aware that the sensor substrate may vary depending on different implementations and thus leads to different integration mode. For example, if microcoil sensor substrate is silicon, it is usually preferred for microcoil to share the same substrate with CMOS chip to achieve a better integration, whereas when substrate is other materials for example polymer, we connect it with



CMOS chip by wire-bonding. Accordingly, the microfluidic structure may also change in form, such as channels, wells and chambers.

Figure 4.3 Main components of the proposed Magnetic Immunoassay microsystem.

This paper is organized as follows. The design and fabrication of microcoil sensor, as well as its packaging interface with biological environment are introduced in Section II, followed by the design and simulation of the integrated circuit blocks presented in Section III. The proposed microsystem prototype and experimental results are shown in Section IV. Finally, this work is discussed and concluded in Section V.

4.2.3 Microcoil Sensor

Since we rely on the planar microcoil to sense the susceptibility variation caused by the presence of magnetic beads in vicinity, the design of microcoil is critical. If we look into this situation from the perspective of energy stored in the microcoil inductor, we have

$$\Delta E = \frac{1}{2}LI^2 - \frac{1}{2}L_0I^2 = \frac{1}{2}\Delta LI^2$$
⁽¹⁾

where L is the inductance of microcoil and I is the current through it. If we look into the same situation from the perspective of energy stored in the magnetic field which is generated by the inductor, we then have

$$\Delta E' = \frac{1}{2} \iiint \vec{H} \cdot \vec{B} dv - \frac{1}{2} \iiint \vec{H} \cdot \vec{B}_0 dv$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0}{2} \iiint_{V_P} [|\vec{H}|^2 (1+\chi) - |\vec{H}|^2] dv$$

$$= \frac{\chi}{2\mu_0} \iiint_{V_P} |\vec{B}|^2 dv \approx \frac{\chi}{2\mu_0} |\vec{B}|^2 V_P$$
(2)

where V_p is the volume of magnetic particles, χ is the magnetic susceptibility per unit volume and μ_0 is the magnetic permeability in free space. Therefore, ΔL can be computed by equating (1) and (2)

$$\Delta L \approx \frac{\chi}{\mu_0} \frac{|\vec{B}|^2}{I^2} V_p \tag{3}$$

From (3) we know the inductance variation of microcoil caused by magnetic beads is proportional to magnetic susceptibility χ , the magnitude of magnetic flux density \vec{B} , and inversely proportional to the current I through the inductor. Noting that the above computing is under the assumption that the magnetic bead is so small that it does not affect the overall magnetic field intensity \vec{H} , meanwhile \vec{B} is first-order proportional to I [25] [26]. Therefore, the inductance variation of a microcoil is linear to the quantity of magnetic beads in vicinity, because a bigger quantity results in a bigger total volume V_p . However, to design a linear microcoil sensor in realistic, some other parameters also need to be considered.

The first consideration is the influence of parasitic capacitance. At frequencies below a few GHz [25], the presence of the magnetic particles leads to an increase in the magnetic susceptibility of the medium in vicinity of microcoil, meanwhile, the self resonant frequency and quality factor of a microcoil are dependent on the self inductance L and serial resistance Rs, as well as the parasitic capacitance between wires Co, the parasitic capacitance between wire and substrate Cox and the substrate resistance Rsi, as shown in Fig. 4.4 (b). Regarding the complex media of under test samples, the background biological particles in fluid could influence the parasitic capacitance between self resonant

frequency and magnetic susceptibility is no longer linear even though the ΔL is linear to magnetic susceptibility as indicated in (3), because the self resonant frequency is determined by both the total inductance and parasitic capacitance. To avoid this issue, we can add a thin isolation layer on top of microcoil to make sure that the magnetic beads are still in the strong magnetic field region, but the fluid does not approach the gap between wires to change the parasitic capacitance.



Figure 4.4 Planar microcoil as magnetic sensor: a) the concept of microcoil and magnetic particles with toxins captured; b) high frequency equivalent circuit model; c) cross sectional view of a microcoil showing the total magnetic field distribution; d) our fabricated microcoil with inductance 210nH, DC resistance 0.65Ω and Q factor 75 at 200 MHz.

The second consideration is the uniformity of magnetic field generated by planar microcoil. Since we aim for quantitative biomedical measurement, we need to make sure that the sensor can differentiate less magnetic beads in strong magnetic area and more magnetic beads in weak magnetic area, because these two cases could result in same influence to the total inductance variation of microcoil. Therefore, we need to design a microcoil with relatively more uniform

magnetic field within the sensing area. Fig. 4.4 (c) shows the cross sectional view of the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) result of the magnetic field generated by a microcoil. If we define the center of a microcoil as origin, the plane that is parallel to the surface of microcoil as x-y plane, and the plane that is perpendicular to x-y plane is z plane, then it is worth noting that the uniformity of magnetic field in x-y plane varies over z direction[110]. It means the distance from sensor surface to the surface that magnetic beads are attached needs to be considered. Generally, there are two schemes: the first scheme is to employ only the center area of the sensor as in [26], which provides relatively uniform magnetic field. However, this scheme suffers from a reduced sensing area, because most of the sensor surface is wasted. Additionally, an extra post-fabrication step for aligning the microfluidic and sensor positions is required, it is quite challenging because the consistency for multiple sensors packaging is difficult to be guaranteed especially when microcoil size is small. The second scheme is to employ the sensing area at higher distance to sensor surface in z direction. Due to the better uniformity, it can achieve a bigger sensing area. However, there is always a tradeoff between uniformity and sensitivity, because a further distance means a weaker magnetic field. Therefore, the thickness of isolation layer should be carefully designed while respecting the FEA simulation results. Since the effective magnetic field region is comparable to the layout region of a microcoil in x and y direction, but decreases sharply in z direction, as shown in Fig. 4.4 (c), the isolation layer between metal and microfluidic should be as thin as possible while the magnetic beads locate in the uniform magnetic field area. This point is very meaningful, because on one hand when the sensor is fixed, we can control the uniformity and detection area by changing the thickness of isolation layer, on the other hand when the isolation layer is fixed, for example when we use commercial microfluidic components, we can change the design of sensor to make sure the bottom surface of microfluidic structure locates in the appropriate magnetic field region.

In addition to the above two considerations, the dimension of microcoil also need to be discussed. Generally, it can be divided into two categories – single magnetic particle detection using comparable size microcoil as sensor and mass-coverage sensors with active areas of hundreds of square microns to millimeters. Obviously, in practical measurement, it is more significant for providing statistical counting of a large number of magnetic labels in bioassay, instead of just a positive or negative output. Therefore, we employ moderate size microcoil that is capable of detecting a series of magnetic beads with different densities. In our prototype, our fabricated

microcoil array as shown in Fig. 4.4 (d) exploited a process that the substrate is a very thin allpolyimide composite of polyimide film bonded to copper foil. It's a low cost and mass producible product provided by Pyralux[®]. In this technology, the dielectric thickness is only 100 μ m, but copper thickness is 35 µm. Compared with the metal thickness for silicon substrate which is usually below 3µm, the thicker metal in this process can tolerate a higher current which leads to generate a stronger magnetic field. Moreover, thicker metal results in less resistance and higher Q factor for the inductor, which is extremely important for high frequency noise reduction. Meanwhile, the ultra thin dielectric layer results in less magnetic energy loss and better heat dissipating. The minimum wire width and space allowed by the design rules are 50 µm and 75µm, respectively. The sensing area is designed to be comparable with the size of each well of standard 96 wells microplate used in biomedical experiments. Another significant advantage for this technology is that we can make some pads on the back side of the substrate, thus the integration of microfluidics and electronics will be easier and the miniaturization of device is possible because all the off-chip components can be integrated on the backside of sensor board. The achieved inductance is around 210nH-212nH, DC resistance is 0.65Ω - 0.7Ω and Q factor is about 75 at 200MHz, the variation is due to non-symmetric layout and should be normalized and tuned in application.

Our fabricated microcoil sensor is compatible with various microfluidic structures, such as channels, wells and chambers, however, most of the conventional interfaces to connect electrical sensor with biological sample tend to bring extra errors during experiments, due to many inevitable issues in non-standard process, such as the unsmooth surface and leakage. In order to increase the accuracy of sensing and minimize the errors result from non-standard packaging, as well as to take advantage of the mature experimental techniques of traditional ELISA, we apply standard 96 wells microplate [70] as the container of under-test sample. To note that using common 96 wells microplate suffers from low detection sensitivity, because the thickness of bottom plate is usually over 1mm, thus the distance from magnetic beads to microcoil exceed the effective range of strong magnetic field generated by microcoil. Fortunately, special microplate with ultra thin bottom can be employed. In our experiments, we used Corning® 96 well special optics flat clear bottom microplate, whose bottom plate thickness is only 127 µm. The fabricated microcoil was designed to accommodate the size of each well of microplate and the thickness of bottom plate, so that the detection area can be maximized and magnetic field uniformity can be

improved. Moreover, benefiting from the separation of reusable electrical environment and disposable biological environment, the consumed material in each testing is further reduced whereas more parallel data can be collected.

4.2.4 Integrated CMOS Chip Design

Our integrated circuits were fabricated in CMOS 180nm technology provided by TSMC, the circuit blocks are shown in Fig. 4.5. The main detection circuit is based on a LC tank oscillator in which the above introduced microcoil sensor plays the role of inductor and voltage controlled transistors are used as capacitors. The oscillating signal is then shaped to frequency countable digital signal through signal conditioning circuit, and the final output is processed by an off-chip microcontroller (MCU) MSP430. A bidirectional current source is used to supply the microcoil a high current for generating strong magnetic field, so as to attract the magnetic beads in solution to the bottom of microplate for a higher interaction ratio between antigen and antibody, whereas different microcoil can be selected by multiplexer. Additionally , for the sake of maintaining a proper temperature range to assure the viability of bioparticles, and to reduce the performance degradation of detection circuit due to temperature drift, two proportional-to-absolute temperature (PTAT) sensors are implemented on chip to monitor the ambient temperature.



Figure 4.5 Block diagram of the achieved CMOS chip.

One of the key circuits in our designed CMOS chip is the cross coupled LC oscillator and the self biased amplifier as buffer. As shown in Fig. 4.6(a), transistors M1-M4 form the cross coupled structure so as to provide the negative Gm to cancel the loss in the LC tank as well as a DC bias for following stage; M5-M9 form the self-biased amplifier to provide additional gain thus no matter the oscillator works at voltage limited mode or current limited mode[111], the magnitude of output signal will always be significant; M10-M11 forms the output buffer. The frequency of oscillating signal depends on the LC tank and directly reflects the quantity of magnetic particles in media. The signal is then shaped by the self-biased circuit and buffered out for frequency counting. The self biased circuit can also amplify the signal before the LC tank oscillates stably, thus facilitates the high-precision frequency counting. If we regard the microcoil as the inductance of a LC tank, the presence of magnetic beads will increase the effective inductance, so the output frequency changes to

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{(L_0 + \Delta L)C_0}} = f_0 (1 + \frac{\Delta L}{L_0})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\approx f_0 \sum_{n=0}^{1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \atop 0\right) (\frac{\Delta L}{L_0})^n = f_0 (1 - \frac{\Delta L}{2L_0})$$
(4)

where L_0 , C_0 and f_0 are the original inductance, capacitance and oscillation frequency, respectively. After first-order Taylor series approximation, we know the output frequency is almost linear to inductance variation hence linear to magnetic susceptibility according to (3). If we can measure the frequency shift in small intervals, we will get the corresponding inductance variation caused by the presence of magnetic beads.

The transient time simulation result of signals from LC tank and final output are shown in Fig. 4.6 (b). Inductance and capacitance used in simulation were 210 nH and 4.7 pF, respectively. It indicates that the irregular analog oscillating signal can be shaped to countable digital circuit even when it works at voltage limited mode. Therefore, fast and wide range detection can be achieved simultaneously.



Figure 4.6 Schematic of cross coupled LC oscillator and self biased buffer circuit and simulation results: a) schematic; b) transient simulation result; c) phase noise simulation results.

Sensitivity is usually the most important factors to evaluate the performance of a sensor. From (4) we know that the sensitivity of measurement mainly depends on the original sensor inductance and oscillating frequency. Smaller inductance and higher oscillating frequency result in higher sensitivity. However, on-chip minimized microcoil usually requires post fabrication process for surface treatment to accommodate microfluidic and complicated alignment for precise placement of liquid on sensor. Furthermore, minimized sensor has a smaller uniform detection area, thus it has a lower capacity to contain detectable targets and higher risk of random error caused by nonspecific binding. In order to achieve a bigger area for linear quantitative detection, choosing appropriate size microcoil while scarifying some sensitivity is acceptable. After all, accuracy is another important factor to evaluate the sensor in addition to sensitivity, for example, single magnetic bead detection in MIA experiment can not necessarily be guaranteed to be accurate. Considering these facts, the size of sensor is better to be determined by the size of container for biomedical reagents, particularly when our aim is to design a portable and easy-to-use device.

Another important consideration is noise, as it will affect both sensitivity of accuracy of the sensor. Basically, in biomedical microsystem, noise can be divided into electrical noise and biomedical noise. Electrical noise needs be minimized through circuit design whereas biomedical noise can be minimized through exploiting better testing protocol. For our proposed oscillator circuit, phase noise is an important factor to evaluate its performance, as it indicates the stability of the circuit, especially in the inductance based measurement for which the main tone is variable. Noise source may cause both magnitude and frequency of oscillation to vary, thus lead to inaccurate frequency counting. Previous research on LC oscillator[26] shows that the noise floor of an oscillator circuit is proportional to the ratio of L/Q, which is determined by the design of microcoil, and usually can be predicted in a reasonable range when the area is fixed for planar coil. In the cross coupled LC oscillator circuit, we can minimize the upconversion of 1/f noise by tuning the relative widths of the PMOS and NMOS transistors. Through exploitation of symmetry in this manner, the $1/f^3$ noise can also be reduced. Furthermore, the bridge like arrangement of the transistors quad allows for greater signal swings, compounding the improvement in phase noise[112]. As a result of respecting all of these factors, the simulation

result in Fig. 4.6(c) reveals that at an offset of 500 KHz and 10 KHz, the phase noise of our prosed circuit is -143.0dBc/Hz and -105.8dBc/Hz, respectively.

Biomedical noise generally means how well the reactions between antigen and antibody are. Owing to the fact that during MIA the binding between antigen and antibody is not necessarily one to one, the nonlinearity issue could be severe because our final quantitative detection result is reflected directly by the amount of magnetic beads. The best solution is to make sure the complete reaction by introducing large amount of antibody coated magnetic beads into reaction area. In practical MIA testing, magnetic beads are randomly dispersed in the original solution under test but the antigen-antibody structure forms only at the bottom plate. If the magnetic beads which are supposed to bond to all the antigen are not fully bonded, it will lead to nonlinear result. Using a high density magnetic beads solution may result in a more complete interaction, but the superfluous magnetic beads tend to form chain or clutter by self-interaction[83], furthermore, high density magnetic beads increase the risk of residue after washing steps, which will in turn lead to nonlinearity. Our proposed solution is that the same microcoil is designed to be switched between manipulating mode and detecting mode by a multiplexer as shown in Fig. 4.5. The detection procedure is as follows: firstly, microcoil is switched to manipulating mode to attract the magnetic beads in vicinity, after a short time, when most of the magnetic beads are attracted to the bottom of liquid and antigen-antibody interaction is fully completed, then the microcoil is switched off and the extra magnetic beads are re-dispersed into the solution and washed out of the wells of microplate; finally the corresponding microcoil is switched to detecting mode, waiting for the frequency counting. In this manner, a relatively lower density of magnetic beads solution can be employed to achieve a more complete interaction without the assistance of external magnets.

In order to generate a strong and relatively uniform magnetic field at the bottom of microplate to attract the magnetic beads, we employ a current source with high current output capacity. The current source we use in our design is an H-bridge circuit with current sink as shown in Fig. 4.7. The current direction can be changed depending on the on and off of transistors pair "1&4" or "2&3", and current capacity can be controlled by current sink. Different microcoils can be selected by a low on-resistance multiplexer. Post layout simulation results in Fig. 4.7 reveal that when applied a direction control signal with frequency 200 KHz, the current through coil can vary between \pm 50mA, with each step about 15mA. Intuitively, higher current may result in

higher attraction ratio, but in practical experiment, the value of current needs to be optimized by observing the final measurement. In fact, coil may get saturated when increasing current no longer increase the attraction ratio significantly, in this situation, the extra heat will level up the noise floor of the whole system instead.



Figure 4.7 Bidirectional current supply circuit: a) schematic and b) post-layout simulation result. Since we need a big current to drive the coils, a very low on resistance for the transistors used in the above circuits is required to reduce the total power consumption. We chose face to face PMOS and NMOS transistors pair as digital controlling circuit to select different microcoils. Therefore the main resistance is from the on resistance of transistors pair. Main factors that affect

Ron are input voltage, gate width, channel length and temperature. Basically Ron can be expressed as

$$R_{on} = \frac{1}{(\eta_n C_{OX} \frac{W}{L})(VCC - V_{In} - V_T)}$$
⁽⁵⁾

From (5), we know bigger width and minimum length lead to a lower Ron. Furthermore, in practical circuit design, paralleled PMOS and NMOS transistors are often applied to reduce Ron. Nevertheless, there is a constant trade-off between the on-resistance and the parasitic capacitances of this transistor pair. If W is chosen to be too small, large Ron will degrade the overall quality factor of the tank. On the other hand, if W is chosen to be too big, the excessive parasitic capacitances will result in nonlinearity of LC tank, because the parasitic capacitance of a transistor is proportional to the product of W and L. Thus system simulation needs to be conducted after layout to find the most appropriate value. In our design, W=500 um and L=0.18 um are finally employed.

For the reason that the employed oscillator is actually free running, its performance is dependent on ambient temperature, and usually the oscillating frequency shifts to a lower frequency when ambient temperature increases[113], however, the implementation of current source to drive microcoil as magnetic source may lead to heat dissipation thus increase the ambient temperature. In order to increase the stability of LC oscillator and make sure that the whole microsystem is always maintained in a safe temperature range for bioparticles, we integrate a PTAT sensor on chip to monitor the system temperature and minimize the errors due to ambient temperature. The schematic and concept of temperature control is shown in Fig. 4.8 (a). The output voltage of PTAT sensor can be sampled by the integrated analog to digital converter (ADC) in MCU, and then the temperature will be analyzed and compared with the preset value, which is a 5 °C range. If the temperature is still within the safe range, MCU will control the current source through multiplexer to drive microcoil array to generate magnetic field, otherwise the magnetic field is cut off. Two PTAT sensors are on the same chip, for calibration and comparison purpose, to ensure the accuracy of temperature monitor. From Fig. 4.8(a), we know the relationship between the final output voltage and temperature can be expressed as

$$V_{PTAT} = \frac{W_5}{W_2} \cdot \frac{k \cdot T \cdot \ln(n) \cdot R_2}{q \cdot R_1}$$
(6)

where n is the emitter current density ratio, k is Boltzmann's constant, and q is the electron charge. In our design, the bipolar transistor array is 3 by 3, which means n=8. From the post layout simulation results, we know in the range of 20 °C to 50°C, the sensitivity is 4.6 mV/°C, which is a acceptable resolution for our application.



Figure 4.8 On-chip PTAT sensor circuit: a) schematic showing the temperature monitor and control loop; b) simulation result.

4.2.5 Microsystem Prototype and Experiments

For biomedical microsystems that need be scaled from laboratory to massive industrial production, the design of microelectronic/microfluidic interface is also challenging in addition to the design of biosensor and circuits, because a fine environment for bioparticles in terms of temperature and humidity is not usually unwanted for electronic device. A superior interface will facilitate the packaging procedure so that a fast setup can be realized whereas liquid leaking issue can be eased. Beneficial from the separating of microelectronics and the microfluidic structures, it is quite flexible to make different microfluidic structures such as channels, chambers and wells, depending on specific application. In our testing, we exploited ultra thin bottom 96 well microplate as the container of under test sample, compared with customized or manual made container, it results in improved consistency, repeatability, and reduced possible defects. The proposed complete MIA platform is shown in Fig. 4.9 (a). It is portable format, with reusable electronic platform and disponsible microplate. Fig. 4.9 (b) shows the sensor board with a microcoil array of 21 coils in total. It's worth noting that the microcoil array can be easily scaled up if a bigger amount of data analysis is required. Our designed CMOS chip was mounted on the backside of the sensor board, whereas its micrograph is shown in Fig. 4.9 (d). This platform can be further miniaturized by integrating all the off-chip components on the same substrate with microcoil sensor to reduce the overall weight and cost, but the minimum size is limited by the size of microplate as the sensor board has to accommodate the microplate.

We performed MIA experiments to measure the density of mouse IgG in the following procedure according to manufacturer's instructions of all materials. During the whole measurement, the temperature the microsystem is maintained roughly same using the scheme proposed in [114], to guarantee the viability of bioparticles and performance of electrical components.

1/ The 96-well plate (Costar EIA plates, Lowell, MA) was incubated for overnight with 100μl per well of goat Fc-specific anti-mouse IgG (Jackson Immuno Research, West Grove, PA) diluted by PBS buffer (NaCl 137mmM, KCl 2.7mmM, KH2PO4 1.5mmM, N2HPO4 8.1mmM) at 10μg/ml. As a result, a high density of anti-mouse IgG was planted on the bottom surface of plate wells.

2/ The antibody-coated microplate was washed three times with 200µl PBS for 5 minutes on a shaker, before blocking the nonspecific site for 1 hour at room temperature (RT).

3/ Followed by three times washes, ten-fold serial dilutions of purified mouse IgG (Jackson Immuno Research) from 1µg/ml to 100pg/ml were applied in triplicates for 2 hours incubation at RT. Blocking buffer without mouse IgG was also added as negative control. As a result, mouse IgG and anti-mouse IgG were bonded to together, and mouse IgG was guaranteed to be fully bonded.



Figure 4.9 Prototype of the microsystem: a) The view of platform showing the setup of 96 wells microplate, microcontroller board, LCD display and power supply circuits ; b) The sensor board under the microplate; c) The backside view of sensor board showing the mount of our designed CMOS chip; d)The micrograph of our designed CMOS chip.

4/ 5ml goat anti-mouse IgG coated magnetic beads (mean diameter 1.5μm) solution (BipMag Plus, Bang's Laboratories Inc) were transferred to the centrifuge tube. By magnetically separation, the solution was cleared and the supernatants were removed gently by pipette. The particles were washed three times with the equal amount of wash buffer (PBS, 1% BSA, 0.1% NaN3, 1mmM NaN2 EDTA, Bangs Laboatories, Inc) by resuspending the particles and removing

the supernatants. As a result, anti-mouse IgG coated magnetic beads solution was purified and ready to be used.

5/ The plate was washed three times, and then 100 µl of 50 µg/ml solution prepared in step 4 was added in each well and incubated for 2 hours at RT. As a result, antigen-antibody sandwich compound were formed.

6/ Followed three times washing, plate was dried and placed on detection platform for measuring the quantity of magnetic beads.



Figure 4.10 Experiments results: a) real time data record showing output frequency v.s. time; b) extracted data showing frequency shift v.s. density of mouse IgG.

After all the above steps, each hole of 96 wells microplate was aligned on top of microcoil sensor board, and the output frequency was sampled and recorded by row of the 96 wells microplate from lowest to highest density. In between of each sampling with time gate 10s, the frequency counting circuit was switched back to negative control group and revealed an original oscillating frequency 195 MHz. The amplitude of noise floor, i.e. the frequency variability for control group, is about 20 KHz. The real-time output frequency data recording is shown in Fig. 4.10 (a), it consists of over 1000 sampling dots. The extracted data is shown in Fig. 4.10(b), after filtering out the unreliable data when switching the different coils. To minimize the influence of noise floor and some unexpected random error, the results of each column of microplate, which includes 12 holes, are averaged. The minimal density of 100pg/ml can be detected, but it has already approached the limit of sensitivity, because the sampled data is very close to the noise floor. When the density of mouse IgG is 10ug/ml, it seems that the saturation effect begins to dominate, thus the sensor is not linear anymore. Some random errors happen during measuring the output frequency, but it can be easily removed by programming algorithm in microcontroller. To note that the x axis of Fig. 4.10(b) is in logarithmic scale, therefore, the relationship between the shift frequency and density of mouse IgG is not directly proportional, although we have theoretically proved that the shift frequency and the amount of magnetic beads have a relation that is approximately linear. The reason is that the binding process of magnetic beads and bioparticles is not linear. Due to the large size of magnetic bead (above 1 µm) compared with that of IgG (below tens of nm), usually a single magnetic bead carries a large quantity of anti-mouse IgG on the microsphere surface, but not all of these anti-mouse IgG can fully react with mouse IgG, because the antigen-antibody compound are attached to a flat surface, instead of uniformly dispersed in solution.

To double verify our measurement results, we also observed the transparent microplate under microscope after MIA experiments as shown in Fig. 4.11, where magnetic beads are adhered to the bottom as we expected, and the quantity of magnetic beads vary according to the density of mouse IgG. To note that Fig. 4.11 only provides us a visual verification to the measurement results, instead of an accurate counting of magnetic beads. The reason is that due to the large detection area comparing to magnetic bead size, it's not practical to manually count the total number of magnetic beads in each well, especially for those wells with high density attachment. Moreover, at low density, the binding of mouse IgG with anti-mouse IgG is not uniform, thus

multiplying the amount of magnetic beads in view by the total area of each well is not an accurate counting method also. As a matter of fact, we observed that for the lowest density of mouse IgG (100pg/ml), the total amount of attached magnetic beads on the bottom plate of well is in the range of 20-30, which is close to the detection sensitivity limit of our sensor.



Figure 4.11 Microscopic view showing the attached different densities of magnetic beads in different microplate wells, the corresponding mouse IgG added in wells are: a)1ng/ml; b)10ng/ml; c)100ng/ml; d)10ug/ml.

From the experimental results, we find that at lower density of IgG, the main constraint to further increase the sensitivity is the level of noise floor. We believe that high performance applying TDC (Time-to-Digital-Convertor) circuit or PLL (Phase-Lock-Loop) circuit will further increase the sensitivity but at the cost of higher circuit complexity and power consumption. Compared with [115]in which a bulky solenoid coil oscillated at low frequency (hundreds of KHz) was used to detect magnetic beads with low detection sensitivity (10⁵) and [109]in which micro planar on silicon coil oscillated at ultra high frequency (1.1GHz) was used to detect magnetic beads with single bead sensitivity, our sensor works at middle frequency (160MHz-200MHz), with moderate sensitivity but bigger detection area and reliable results due to the high linearity in wide density

range. Additionally, the employment of our off-chip coil sensor makes the platform compatible with traditional biomedical components which is easier to use, thus better for widespread deployment.

4.2.6 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce the implementation of a microsystem platform for detecting toxins and pathogens in food and water. Magnetic microbeads are used as bio-labels of toxins and detected through measuring the susceptibility variation of media. We focus on the design of front-end microcoil and sensor circuit, as well as the packaging techniques for a robust biological interface. The detecting scheme was analyzed and simulated to verify our concept firstly, whereas testing results with mouse IgG and anti-mouse IgG are also reported. The concept of separating microfluidic structure with microelectronic part brings several advantages such as low cost, easy-to-use and flexible reconfiguration. To further improve the stability and reliability, we use multiplexer to switch the microcoil to manipulating mode in order to concentrate the magnetic beads before sensing. Experimental results show that mouse IgG with a minimum density of 100pg/mL can be detected, which is a comparable sensitivity to conventional optical ELISA. Our proposed device is a good candidate to replace the conventional ELISA equipment in terms of cost and usability, and it is also well suited to be used for tracking and positioning magnetic labels in point of care diagnostics.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from ReSMiQ, NSERC and CMC Microsystems. The authors also want to thank Rejean Lepage and Laurent Mouden for their help in using the hardware and software of Polystim Lab, and Nan Li for his constructive suggestion.

CHAPTER 5 A NOVEL BIO-MEMS CHIP FOR MAGNETIC BEADS APPLICATIONS

5.1 Introduction

Although various LoCs for magnetic particles manipulation have been reported, integrating microfluidic and electromagnets on a single chip is still challenging, due to the lack of reliable fabrication techniques. The current devices are exploiting electromagnets chip plus post-fabricated microfluidic structures or microfluidic chip plus external electromagnets, while the consistency and repetition of the devices are hard to be maintained. Thus, a mass producible monolithic chip integrating microfluidic structures and electromagnets is desirable.

As for the design of planar microcoil array, some previous studies only exploited simple geometrical electromagnets or individual coils, whereas the optimization of individual microcoil design for particular application and the coordination of multiple coils were often neglected. Consequently, power consumption and manipulating efficiency cannot be well balanced. Therefore, we need to investigate the geometry of individual microcoil to get a higher performance and take into account the coordination of multiple microcoils to achieve a higher manipulating efficiency.

In this chapter, we introduce a novel monolithic microfluidic chip from design, simulation to experimental results. It takes advantage of the commercial MetalMUMPs process, from which both the top electromagnetic and bottom microfluidic structures can be achieved from the same fabrication process. Investigation on multiple coils cooperation is conducted by modeling and simulation in FEA software, for the sake of achieving higher efficiency and bigger trapping area. Additionally, we introduce a novel method to introduce micro scale fluid to chip, without using the conventional microtubes. This method facilitates the device testing and avoids the liquid leaking issue as well. The following section is the reproduction of an article published in Journal of Microelectronic Engineering, Volume 128, 5 October 2014, Pages 1-6, ISSN 0167-9317.

5.2 A BioMEMS Chip with Integrated Micro Electromagnet Array towards Bio-particles Manipulation

5.2.1 Abstract

We propose in this paper the design and implementation of a novel BioMEMS chip towards the manipulation of magnetic micro/nano particles. Our proposed device integrates a planar microcoil array and a microfluidic structure on a single chip, without any post-fabrication process. Meanwhile, a multiple coil cooperation scheme is studied from simulation by Finite Element Software and applied in application to increase the manipulation efficiency. Taking advantage of computer-aided microplotter to introduce microfluidics to the chip, the traditional microtubes and syringe pump are avoided. Hence, this chip is compact, low-cost and mass-producible. Experiment is performed using antibody coated magnetic particles with mean diameter 1.5μ m, and the results reveal that this chip can achieve a high efficiency with trapping rate over 90% while consuming a current of 40 mA, thus it has a great potential in bio-particles manipulation for point of care diagnostics.

Keywords: Bio-MEMS chip; integrated electromagnets; planar microcoil array; magnetic particles; manipulation.

5.2.2 Introduction

Magnetic micro- and nano- particles have been widely used in biomedical applications as labels or carriers of bioparticles, such as DNA, proteins, and cells [116][117][118][119]. These magnetic particles are actually superparamagnetic beads, and can be manipulated by permanent magnets or electromagnets. In practical application, planar microcoil array is usually preferred in bio-MEMS applications due to the flexible control of magnetic field, greater scalability and better compatibility with mainstream microfabrication process [120][121][122]. With the cooperation of external control circuit, magnetic particles in microfluidics can be programmably activated, guided, trapped or sorted by planar microcoil array, to accomplish different biomedical applications.

Although various BioMEMS chips for magnetic particles manipulation have been reported, integrating microfluidic and electromagnets on a single chip is still challenging, due to the lack of reliable fabrication techniques. The current devices are either electromagnets chip plus post-

fabricated microfluidic structures [56][87] or microfluidic chip plus external electromagnets [91], while the consistency and repetition of the devices are hard to be maintained. Thus, a mass producible monolithic chip integrating microfluidic structures and electromagnets is desirable.

As for the design of planar microcoil array, some previous studies only exploited simple geometrical electromagnets or individual coils [61][92], whereas the optimization of individual microcoil design for particular application and the coordination of multiple coils was often neglected [123][124]. Consequently, power consumption and manipulating efficiency cannot be well balanced. Therefore, we need to investigate the geometry of individual microcoil to get a higher performance and take into account the coordination of multiple microcoils to achieve a higher manipulating efficiency.

In this paper, we introduce a novel monolithic microfluidic chip from design, simulation to experimental results. It takes advantage of the commercial MetalMUMPs process, from which both the top electromagnetic and bottom microfluidic structures can be achieved from the same fabrication process. Investigation on multiple coils cooperation is conducted by modeling and simulation in Finite Element Analysis (FEA) software, for the sake of achieving higher efficiency and bigger trapping area. Additionally, we introduce a novel method to introduce micro scale fluid to chip, without using the conventional microtubes. This method facilitates the device testing and avoids the liquid leaking issue as well.

5.2.3 Design and Fabrication

For our proposed device, the magnetic particles in microfluidic are activated and manipulated all by the magnetic field generated by microcoil array, whereas no external permanent magnets are applied. Due to the higher scalability and easier layout, square spiral microcoil is usually preferred. To get a better understanding of the working mechanism, we firstly discuss the situation of magnetic particle in magnetic field.

When magnetic particles are in magnetic field, from Maxwell tensor equation, the force on an unsaturated magnetic particle due to the applied magnetic fields is [125]

$$\vec{F}_{mag} = V \chi_m (\vec{H} \cdot \nabla) \vec{B} \tag{1}$$

where \vec{F}_{mag} is the magnetic force on the bead, V is the volume of the bead, χ_m is its magnetic susceptibility per unit volume, \vec{H} is the magnetic field intensity, \vec{B} is the magnetic flux density and

$$\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H} \tag{2}$$

where μ is the permeability of the medium.

In orthogonal coordinate system, extending eq.(1) in x direction leads to

$$F_{x} = V \frac{\chi_{m}}{\mu} \left(B_{x} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial x} + B_{y} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial y} + B_{z} \frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial z} \right)$$
(3)

Eq. (3) reveals that the magnetic force acting on a bead depends on the magnetic field intensity, as well as magnetic field gradient. Since a spiral microcoil of multi-turn can be considered to be composed of an equal number of concentric square loops of different lengths, the total magnetic field is the sum of the magnetic field induced by each loop, and it can be obtained from the Biot-Savart law:

$$\vec{B} = \int d\vec{B} = \int \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{Id\vec{l} \times \hat{\vec{r}}}{|r|^2}$$
(4)

where μ_0 is the magnetic constant; I is the current passing through wire; $d\vec{l}$ is a vector whose magnitude is the length of the differential element of the wire, and whose direction is the direction of current; \vec{r} is the displacement unit vector, in the direction pointing from the wire element to the point at which the field is being computed and r is the distance from the wire element to the point at which the field is being computed. Eq. (4) reveals that, approximately, the magnetic flux density generated by a coil is directly proportional to the current through it and inversely proportional to its diameter (coil size). As the size of coil shrinks, it need less and less current to generate the required magnetic field. Therefore, it can be concluded that following the minimum metal width of fabrication process to design the coil will result in strongest magnetic field.

Our proposed single chip electromagnet microfluidic hybrid system has been fabricated using the MetalMUMPs process [126]. Although it is usually designed for general purpose electroplated

nickel micromachining of MEMS devices, such as RF structure, relays, and magnetic switches [127], it can be used to create microfluidic structure, after taking into account the characteristics of this process. Fig. 5.1 shows the main four steps during fabrication of metal structure and microfluidic structure.

The main process features we utilize for the proposed device are:

1) Electroplated nickel (20 um) is used as the main coil structure. Compared with the metal thickness of other processes, for example, CMOS process, the extremely thick metal can sustain a stronger current thus generate stronger magnetic field.

2) Doped polysilicon (0.7 um) is used for resistors and cross-over electrical routing to achieve double-layer spiral planar coil. On chip resistor helps for further integration and prevents the possible over-current problem.

3) Silicon nitride 1 (0.35 um) and 2 (0.35 um) are used as electrical isolation layer for microfluidic/metal and metal/polysilicon, respectively. Therefore no post-process isolation is needed for biological operation.

4) Trench layer (25 um) is used as microchannel or microwells for microfluidics. Due to the high aspect ratio, complicated microfluidic structure can be easily achieved.

We model and simulate the designed MEMS chip by both Coventorware and Ansys software, and then finish the layout in Coventorware. The designed model is shown in Fig. 5.1 (e). The 4×4 microcoil array and microchannel are isolated by silicon nitride (0.7 um). Besides the above mentioned advantages, this process provides a novel topological structure of microsystem. Most of the previous work exploited the structure with electromagnets in substrate and microfluidic structure on top of substrate. In those cases, some of the magnetic particles tend to adhere to the bottom plate of channel after turning off the current supply, due to the gravitational force and frictional force between particles and the rough substrate [128]. These forces can be neglected in perfect microchannel whose inner surface is smooth, but have to be considered when the microchannel fabrication processes are not able to produce smooth substrate surface or the substrate material itself is not smooth. This issue can be highly eased by MetalMUMPs process, because the electromagnets locate on top of microfluidic structure, hence, magnetic particles are easier to be released and a more efficient manipulation of magnetic particles can be achieved.



Figure 5.1 Main fabrication flow used in this design: a) deposition and pattern of isolation oxide, sacrifice oxide and nitride layer 1 and 2; b) deposition and pattern of metal layer(coil structure), anchor metal layer(connection between polysilicon and metal) and polysilicon layer; c) removal of oxide layer by HF solution to form the suspended structure; d) KOH silicon etching to form

the trench; e) top view of final device model in Coventorware to show the whole device and microchannel.

5.2.4 Controllable Magnetic Field by Microcoil Array

We first investigate the magnetic field distribution for different geometrical microcoil. As a matter of fact, magnetic field distribution is highly geometry dependent for a planar microcoil. In a given area and consuming same current, different geometries could lead to different maximum values of magnetic field as well as their distribution. Since magnetic particles always tend to be attracted to the nearest maxima of magnetic field, we can estimate the distribution of trapped magnetic particles by choosing the geometry of planar microcoils. For example, as indicated in Fig. 5.2, coil 2&4 have their maximum magnetic field at the center with large gradient, whereas coil 1&3 don't have their maximum magnetic field at the center but exert relatively more uniform magnetic field. In our application, we need to trap as many magnetic particles as possible on the substrate surface uniformly, instead of attracting all the magnetic particles to the center to form cluster, so we choose the design of coil 1 or 3 to achieve a more uniform and larger trapping area. From Fig. 5.2, we also see that the maximum magnetic field in coil 1&3 are lower than that in coil 2&4, therefore realizing a more uniform magnetic field is at the cost of higher current consumption as we need a higher current to reach the same magnetic force to manipulate the magnetic particles.



Figure 5.2 Total magnetic field flux density distribution for different geometrical planar microcoils: a) planar coil models, each coil occupies an area of 450 um by 450 um, carrying a current of 30 mA; b) corresponding magnetic field distribution on the z = 1 um plane.

We then investigate the magnetic field distribution of coil model 1 in z direction. The coil is designed following the limitation of MetalMUMPs design rules. For each coil, the width is 8 um, space is 8 um, outer diameter is 450 um, and inner diameter is 80 um. The outer terminal is connected to a pad which is wire bonded to a standard package of integrated circuit; the inner terminal is connected through polysilicon first and then to another pad. Since the microchannel depth is 25 um, isolation layer is 0.7 um, we need to find out the minimum current to generate the required magnetic field that is strong enough to attract the magnetic particles at the farthest distance in the microchannel. In addition to magnetic force, magnetic particles experience hydrodynamic force and gravitational force, where the latter can be neglected due to small size.



Figure 5.3 a) Total magnetic field flux density distribution on x-z plane where y=0; b) magnetic flux density in x direction where $z=25 \mu m$.

From Stoke's law, we know

$$\vec{F}_{hydro} = 6\pi\eta R_{bead} \left(\vec{v}_{bead} - \vec{v}_{fluid} \right)$$
⁽⁵⁾

where \vec{v}_{bead} , \vec{v}_{fluid} , R_{bead} and η are the particle velocity, microfluidic velocity, bead radius and fluid's viscosity, respectively. Taking into account Eq. (1), the magnetic force needs to be bigger than hydrodynamic drag force. For a typical magnetic particle with radium 1 um, $\eta \approx 10^{-3} Nm^{-2}$, and $\vec{v} = 1.67^{-5} m/s$ (1 millimeter per minute), we have $\vec{F}_{hydro} = 0.314$ pN. Substituting 0.314 pN to Eq. (1), and assuming the magnetic susceptibility is 0.33 [129], we know the magnetic field has to satisfy the below condition to attract the particle:

$$\vec{B} \times \nabla \vec{B} = 0.034 \ T^2 m^{-1} \tag{6}$$

As the magnetic field generated by a spiral coil is extremely nonuniform, the magnetic field gradient is usually high enough, therefore, we are more concerned with the magnetic field density. Empirical value of minimum magnetic field to attract magnetic particles is about 10 Gauss [20]. Simulation result from Fig. 5.3 (a) shows the cross sectional view of magnetic field distribution when y=0 and then we found a current of 30 mA through our designed coil was able to generate more than 10 Gauss on the plane where z=25 um, and the magnetic flux density in x axis is as indicated in Fig. 5.3 (b). To note that the coil model is not strictly symmetrical thus the magnetic field distribution is asymmetrical.

In addition to the individual behavior of coil, we model and simulate four coils to investigate their cooperation. The aim is to control the magnetic field in microchannel by manipulating the amplitude and direction of the current in four coils. We investigate the xy plane where z=10 um. The simulation results of the total magnetic flux density B are shown in Fig. 5.4 (b), (c) and (d) for different cases. It indicates that when the current directions in four coils are identical, the magnetic field generated by each coil is confined within each coil's layout region, whereas the maxima of magnetic flux density are located at the border region of adjacent coils when the current directions of adjacent coils are opposite. Therefore, if we take into account the current intensity and direction at the same time, then different control logics can be combined to achieve different magnetic field distribution in microchannel, leading to different biomedical applications,
such as trapping, mixing, separating and transporting of bioparticles. For example, trapping is to generate a relatively steady magnetic field to immobilize magnetic beads; mixing is to generate an unsteady magnetic field to attract and repel magnetic beads alternatively; separating is a special application of trapping, while multiple targets are differentiated by their magnetability; transporting magnetic beads is based on the position variation of maximum magnetic field...



Figure 5.4 Magnetic field distribution of coil array: a) Four-coil array model in Ansys. Width 8 um, space 8 um, outer diameter 450 um, inner diameter 80 um; b) applying current in four coils with same amplitude and direction, mode AAAA; c) applying current with same amplitude but opposite direction for adjacent coils, mode ABAB; d) applying same amplitude current to four coils but with same direction in the top two and opposite direction to the bottom two, mode AABB; e) x directional magnetic field distribution, mode ABAB; f) z directional magnetic field distribution, mode ABAB. (A and B represent the opposite current direction; figure b, c and d share the top legend, whereas e and f share the bottom one).

It is worth noting that the aforementioned simulation results were discussed in terms of total magnetic flux density, whereas for some applications, directional magnetic flux density should be more emphasized. For example, when magnetic particles are located away from the microcoil surface plane in the perpendicular direction, the z directional magnetic field dominates in the process of attracting them to the microcoil. On the other hand, when we want to transport magnetic particles that are located on the border of two microcoils to the adjacent microcoil, the x or y directional magnetic field contributes more. Fig. 5.4 (e) and (f) shows the directional magnetic field distribution of the same microcoil array in Fig. 5.4 (a). We can see that the magnetic force exerts different directions on magnetic particles at different positions. Due to this reason, magnetic particles are prone to rotating during manipulation process, especially when they move across different regions of a microcoil.

5.2.5 Experiments

The fabricated chip and experiment setup are shown in Fig. 5.5. The device is firstly placed on the platform of SonoPlot® GIX Microplotter, with the external current supply and control circuits. The Microplotter uses controlled ultrasonics to spray fluid in a noncontact manner with camera monitor. It is very suitable for high resolution, high throughput microfluidic applications. After liquid is delivered into the inlets of our MEMS chip, we then manipulate the magnetic particles in the fluid by controlling the current in microcoil array. The motion of liquid in a microchannel relies on the pressure from the wedge shaped inlet, from which the continuously sprayed liquid pushes the liquid in microchannel to move. Be aware that this method is not suited for all microchannels, especially for microchannels with small dimensions, because the pressure may not be high enough to overcome the surface tension of water. The use of microplotter facilitates the introduction of microfluidic to the chip, thus facilitates the whole testing, by avoiding installing hand-made inlet/outlet tubes and syringe pump for fluid. Meanwhile, the microplotter can be programmed for multiple chips use, thus it can shrink the time for mass production testing.



Figure 5.5 Micrograph of MEMS chip: a) microcoil and bonding wires; b) inlet/outlet of microfluidic; c) micropipette absorbing liquid containing magnetic particles; d) micropipette dispensing liquid from inlet; e) magnetic particles near the outlet of microchannel.

To test the performance of our device, we use magnetic particles with goat anti-mouse IgG antibody attached. The magnetic particles actually contain superparamagnetic nano particles inside and polymer shells outside, thus exhibit almost the same magnetic behavior with superparamagnetic particles. The mean diameter of magnetic particles is 1.5μ m, original concentration is 1mg/mL and it is purchased from Bangs Laboratories. Before performing the experiments, the original magnetic particles solution is firstly filtered by permanent magnets to make sure that all the particles in solution are magnetically functional, and then the filtered solution is diluted by deionized water to the density of 100ng/µl for use.



Figure 5.6 Experimental results showing the normalized trapping ratios versus current consumption for single, double and triple activated coil. Trapping ratio of 90% can be achieved for triple coil regime while consuming a current of 40 mA.

Parallel experiments are performed on three identical devices to verify the trapping capacity of microcoil array. Magnetic particles solution is firstly sprayed from the inlet of microchannel, when we turn on the microcoils on top of microchannel to trap the magnetic particles. Depending on the different trapping regimes, magnetic particles can be trapped in microchannel at different ratios. After that, pure deionized water is continuously sprayed from the inlet of microchannel by microdispenser at a stable frequency to maintain a roughly stable flow in microchannel, until the overflow of liquid from the outlet fulfill the whole outlet tank. Therefore the magnetic particles that are not trapped by microcoil array will be flushed out and observed from outlet tank. The counting of magnetic beads is realized by the specified image processing software of microscope (Olympus BX51WI). Then the counted amount of magnetic particles is compared with the amount that is injected into the microchannel to calculate the trapping ratio. Fig. 5.6 shows that trapping ratio versus current of microcoil and different microcoil array control regimes. Below 10 mA, the magnetic force generated by microcoil is not high enough to counter hydrodynamic force; as the current increasing, the trapping ratio is increasing gradually, until around 40 mA, where magnetic force is high enough to trap most of the magnetic beads, and after that, increasing current doesn't bring a significant increase of trapping ratio anymore. Note that on the path of moving magnetic particles solution, there are actually four coils on top of microchannel, but the first coil is so close to the inlet that the spray of microfluidic flow could affect the trapping to result in uncertainty, to get rid of the errors due to non-identical parameters and get more reasonable comparison, we only exploit three of the four coils. The control regime of multiple

coils is that all coils shares one current source and are turned on alternatively to save power consumption and avoid overheat problem as illustrated in [22].

Specifications	[21]	[87]	Our proposed device
Fabrication process	CMOS 0.5µm	Non- standard	MetalMUMPs
Substrate material	Silicon	Silicon	Silicon
Minimum metal width	1.8 µm	6 µm	8 µm
Metal thickness	675 nm	300 nm	20 µm
Magnetic particle size	2.8 µm	2.8 µm	1.5 µm
µFluidic structure	Open- cavity	Post fabricated	Fully integrated
Applied Current	40 mA	30mA	10mA-65mA
Mass producible	Yes	No	Yes
Multi-coil cooperation	Yes	No	Yes

Table 2 COMPARISON WITH STATE-OF-THE-ART RESEARCHES

5.2.6 Discussion

This project provides a new solution to build planar microcoil array based microfluidic devices. From simulation by Ansys, layout by Coventorware to fabrication by MEMSCAP, all procedures are in repeatable and standard way, thus researchers can put more focus on the biomedical function design so as to accelerate the time-to-market. Additionally, as multiple microcoils are applied, a bigger trapping area and better trapping capability can be achieved. We compare the summarized performance of our device with the literatures in Table II. It shows that our device can achieve a comparable performance while achieving fully integration of microfluidic structure on-chip. Furthermore, the extremely thick metal can sustain a much higher current and generate less heat, thus potentially leading to more extensive application.

5.2.7 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce the design, simulation and experimental results of a novel monolithic microfluidic chip. It takes advantage of the MetalMUMPs process, from which both the top

electromagnetic and bottom microfluidic structures can be achieved from the same fabrication run. The new structure concentrates the magnetic particles in the top region of the fluid, resulting in a great ease for the gravity and stiffness problem from the traditional devices. Moreover, the regime that applying multiple microcoils in trapping process leads to a higher trapping ratio, without adding current consumption. We also introduce a novel method to introduce assay microfluidic to chip, avoiding the use of conventional tubes and syringes. By this method, it facilitates the testing and avoids the liquid leaking issue as well.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge CMC for the design tools. The authors also want to thank Laurent Mouden for his help in testing the device.

CHAPTER 6 GENERAL DISCUSSION

This thesis demonstrates the feasibility of applying microcoil array as the magnetic field generator as well as in-situ magnetic beads sensor. A microsystem for bioparticles high-efficiency manipulation and high-sensitivity detection is therefore possible to be achieved. Meanwhile, the performance of such microsystems can be further improved by more advanced fabrication process, for example, a process that leads to lower coil resistance and higher inductance quality factor. The proposed microsystems are well suitable for Point of Care (PoC) diagnostics and environmental applications where the detection of protein pathogens is required.

Three microsystems dedicated for magnetic beads manipulation and detection have been proposed in Chapters 3, 4, and 5, respectively. A planar coil array based temperature-controllable LoC platform is introduced in Chapter 3, it firstly demonstrates the concept of applying optimized microcoil array in LoC platform, moreover, the heating issue, which is widely mentioned and inevitable in electromagnetic LoC applications, is analyzed and proved to be controllable using the proposed current supplying method. Then the prototype of planar coil array based LoC platforms is introduced and compared with other prototypes. Consisting of low cost disposable microfluidic structure and reusable electronic part, our proposed prototype takes advantage of commercially available processes, thus it is standard and mass-producible. At last, the validation of the proposed LoC device is confirmed by experiments using magnetic beads ranging from 1-2 µm. Different manipulation of magnetic beads were achieved, such as immobilization to microcoil, and migration between microcoils. The advantages of our proposed LoC platform over current state-of-the-art researches are that we achieved a lower-cost highthroughput mass-producible LoC platform, which has better power efficiency and heat controllability. Using the proposed LoC platform, various in situ biomedical applications can be applied, such as immunoassay and enzymatic reactions.

The second is a microsystem platform for detecting toxins and pathogens in food and water. Magnetic microbeads are used as bio-labels of toxins and detected through measuring the susceptibility variation of media. It focuses on the design of front-end microcoil and sensor circuit, as well as the packaging techniques for a robust biological interface. The detecting scheme was analyzed and simulated to verify our concept firstly, whereas testing results with mouse IgG and anti-mouse IgG are also reported. The concept of separating microfluidic structure with microelectronic part brings several advantages such as low cost, easy-to-use and flexible reconfiguration. To further improve the stability and reliability, a multiplexer is used to switch the microcoil to manipulating mode in order to concentrate the magnetic beads before sensing. Experimental results show that mouse IgG with a minimum density of 100pg/mL can be detected, which is a comparable results to conventional optical ELISA, and a quantitative relationship can be achieved in the range from 1ng/ml to 1ug/ml. The proposed device is a good candidate to replace the conventional ELISA equipment in terms of cost and usability, and it is also well suited to be used for tracking and positioning magnetic labels in point of care diagnostics.

Lastly, another novel monolithic microfluidic chip is introduced. It takes advantage of the MetalMUMPs process, from which both the top electromagnetic and bottom microfluidic structures can be achieved from the same fabrication run. The new structure concentrates the magnetic particles in the top region of the fluid, resulting in a great ease for the gravity and stiffness problem from the traditional devices. Moreover, the regime that applying multiple microcoils in trapping process leads to a higher trapping ratio, without adding current consumption. It also introduces a novel method to introduce assay microfluidic to chip, avoiding the use of conventional tubes and syringes. By this method, it facilitates the testing and avoids the liquid leaking issue as well.

All of the above proposed microsystems integrate both microfluidic structures and microelectronic circuits, and the final prototypes are realized in miniature form, either monolithic chip or handheld device. Moreover, all their fabrication and packaging techniques are based on low cost, standard processes. Therefore, similar prototypes can be easily reproduced in short time. For realizing the microfluidic structures, low-cost and fast-prototyping PDMS technology is firstly and only exploited in concept verification stage of this research in laboratory, and then standard, mass producible technologies, such as fugitive ink based robotic assisted direct write fabrication process and thin bottom 96-wells microplate, are employed. Although a fully integrated monolithic chip which integrates both microchannels for microfluidics and microcoil array in the same silicon substrate is demonstrated feasible to be used for magnetic beads applications, the high cost may limit its use, because usually microcoil array takes large area. In fact, as a good candidate, glass substrate based technology shows advantages in cost compared with silicon substrate, we indeed tried to implement such microsystem based on standard glass

substrate technology for which both microfluidic structures and in channel electrodes are accessible. However, the lack of through holes vias in glass substrate based technology leads to the employment of only simple topological electromagnets, whereas the fabrication of spiral microcoil is not easy, because a spiral microcoil needs at least two metal layers. In our proposed magnetic beads manipulation and detection schemes, spiral microcoil is preferred as a strong magnetic field and high quality factor inductance is required. The strict requirement for fabrication process could be a limitation of our research but can be resolved as the development of microfabrication technology in future.

CHAPTER 7 CONCLUSION

7.1 Conclusion

We present in this thesis the modeling, simulation and optimization of planar microcoil array by FEA software in order to understand the magnetic field generated by microcoil in different geometries and topologies, as well as the magnetic force on magnetic beads by different controlling regimes of multiple coils, thus different applications, such as trapping, separating, mixing and transporting, can be realized at the highest efficiency in terms of power consumption and magnetic field intensity. Meanwhile, the inevitable Joule heat issue in electromagnetic LoC applications is analyzed and proved to be controllable using our proposed current supply method. Microcoil can be used as heat source to keep the temperature of microfluidic within the safe range for bioparticles, saving the external incubator. To verify the concept, a polyimide substrate LoC platform was fabricated and tested using magnetic beads ranging from 1µm to 2µm. In addition to magnetic beads manipulation, the circuit and system implementation of a novel microsystem platform for magnetic beads detection based magnetic immunoassay are presented. Three main challenges facing the detection system---design of a high performance microcoil sensor, packaging technique and design of the sensing circuits are discussed and corresponding solutions are provided. A complete magnetic immunoassay experiment is performed and results show that a fine detecting sensitivity and linearity can be achieved thus the proposed platform is suitable for quantitative analysis in practical health and environment application and has potential for medical diagnostics, food pathogen detection or water analysis. Lastly, a novel BioMEMS chip towards the manipulation of magnetic micro/nano particles is presented. The proposed monolithic chip integrates planar microcoil array and microfluidic structure on a single chip, without any post-fabrication process. Meanwhile, a multiple coil cooperation regime is studied from simulation by FEA Software and applied in application to increase the manipulation efficiency. Taking advantage of computer-aided microplotter to introduce microfluidics to the chip, the traditional microtubes and syringe pump are avoided. Hence, the proposed microsystem is compact, low-cost and mass-producible.

7.2 Recommendation for Future Work

Regarding the presented work in this thesis, improvement can be overtaken from two aspects. First, fabrication technology is a dominating factor in microsystem implementations. Lower cost and higher performance are always the main motivation. For the proposed planar microcoil array, according to the analysis in chapter 1, if a new technology allows multiple metal layers and higher aspect ratio (thickness to width) for metal wire, then the microcoil will sustain higher current and generate stronger magnetic field. Meanwhile, as the magnetic sensor, a higher aspect ratio leads to higher quality factor, thus lower noise and higher sensitivity can be achieved. Second, due to the lack of experience in biological field, the characteristics of the proposed microsystems were examined using general bio-particles (mouse IgG and anti-mouse IgG) provided by commercial company, whereas samples from real human body or nature water were not exploited. In reality, the additional interference particles will lead to more severe noise issue thus affects the accuracy of detection. Additionally, more investigation on the antigen-antibody reaction process should be conducted, to see whether the presence of magnetic beads will affect the reaction proportions, because we observed that the reaction rate of antigen and antibody is lowered after binding them to magnetic beads. Above all, all of these aspects need to be characterized by plenty of parallel experiments.



Figure 7.1 Concept of an automated PCR chip with magnetic immunoassay for pathogens detection.

This thesis has demonstrated the manipulation and detection of bioparticles attached magnetic beads in microfluidics, and one practical application---the detection of IgG antibody is

successfully carried out. Although these microsystems are in portable format, all the aforementioned experiments are still done by manual operation. However, for some PoC diagnostics and environmental applications, if fully automated LoC can be achieved, then biological samples can be collected directly from human body or environment. These biological samples therefore will cover vital cells, not limited to prepared DNA or protein. Above all, to develop a fully functional LoC which is able to process cells is in high demand. More specifically, a few functions need to be integrated on a single LoC: microfluidic control to deliver multiple sources of fluids into microchannel; cells concentrator to concentrate low density bioparticles in nature water into high density under test sample; cells lysis chamber, to break down cells and release the DNA or protein from inside to outside of cells; Polymerase chain reaction(PCR), to amplify the quantity of target DNA sections ; magnetic immunoassay chamber, to perform MIA detection. This concept is indicated in Fig. 7.1.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] O. Fuchiwaki, A. Ito, D. Misaki, and H. Aoyama, "Multi-axial micromanipulation organized by versatile micro robots and micro tweezers," in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2008. ICRA 2008*, 2008, pp. 893–898.
- [2] L. M. Lechuga, J. Tamayo, M. Álvarez, L. G. Carrascosa, A. Yufera, R. Doldán, E. Peralías, A. Rueda, J. A. Plaza, K. Zinoviev, C. Domínguez, A. Zaballos, M. Moreno, C. Martínez-A, D. Wenn, N. Harris, C. Bringer, V. Bardinal, T. Camps, C. Vergnenègre, C. Fontaine, V. Díaz, and A. Bernad, "A highly sensitive microsystem based on nanomechanical biosensors for genomics applications," *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 118, no. 1–2, pp. 2–10, Oct. 2006.
- [3] Y. Huang, K. L. Ewalt, M. Tirado, R. Haigis, A. Forster, D. Ackley, M. J. Heller, J. P. O'Connel, and M. Krihak, "Electric Manipulation of Bioparticles and Macromolecules on Microfabricated Electrodes," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 73, no. 7, pp. 1549–1559, Apr. 2001.
- [4] H.-S. Moon, Y.-W. Nam, J. C. Park, and H.-I. Jung, "Dielectrophoretic Separation of Airborne Microbes and Dust Particles Using a Microfluidic Channel for Real-Time Bioaerosol Monitoring," *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 43, no. 15, pp. 5857–5863, Aug. 2009.
- [5] E. Paleček and M. Fojta, "Magnetic beads as versatile tools for electrochemical DNA and protein biosensing," *Talanta*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 276–290, Dec. 2007.
- [6] B.-I. Haukanes and C. Kvam, "Application of Magnetic Beads in Bioassays," *Nat. Biotechnol.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 60–63, Jan. 1993.
- [7] M. Dienerowitz, M. Mazilu, and K. Dholakia, "Optical manipulation of nanoparticles: a review," *J. Nanophotonics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 021875–021875–32, 2008.
- [8] B. R. Rae, C. Griffin, K. R. Muir, J. M. Girkin, E. Gu, D. R. Renshaw, E. Charbon, M. D. Dawson, and R. K. Henderson, "A Microsystem for Time-Resolved Fluorescence Analysis using CMOS Single-Photon Avalanche Diodes and Micro-LEDs," in *Solid-State Circuits Conference, 2008. ISSCC 2008. Digest of Technical Papers. IEEE International*, 2008, pp. 166–603.

- [9] S. C. McBain, H. H. Yiu, and J. Dobson, "Magnetic nanoparticles for gene and drug delivery," *Int. J. Nanomedicine*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 169–180, Jun. 2008.
- [10] M. Nagy, P. Otremba, C. Krüger, S. Bergner-Greiner, P. Anders, B. Henske, M. Prinz, and L. Roewer, "Optimization and validation of a fully automated silica-coated magnetic beads purification technology in forensics," *Forensic Sci. Int.*, vol. 152, no. 1, pp. 13–22, Aug. 2005.
- [11] R. S. Sista, A. E. Eckhardt, V. Srinivasan, M. G. Pollack, S. Palanki, and V. K. Pamula, "Heterogeneous immunoassays using magnetic beads on a digital microfluidic platform," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 8, no. 12, p. 2188, 2008.
- [12] A. H. Morrish, "The Physical Principles of Magnetism," Phys. Princ. Magn. Allan H Morrish Pp 696 ISBN 0-7803-6029-X Wiley-VCH January 2001, vol. -1, Jan. 2001.
- [13] M. Zborowski, G. R. Ostera, L. R. Moore, S. Milliron, J. J. Chalmers, and A. N. Schechter, "Red blood cell magnetophoresis," *Biophys. J.*, vol. 84, no. 4, pp. 2638–2645, Apr. 2003.
- [14] W. M. Spees, D. A. Yablonskiy, M. C. Oswood, and J. J. Ackerman, "Water proton MR properties of human blood at 1.5 Tesla: magnetic susceptibility, T(1), T(2), T*(2), and non-Lorentzian signal behavior," *Magn. Reson. Med. Off. J. Soc. Magn. Reson. Med. Soc. Magn. Reson. Med.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 533–542, Apr. 2001.
- [15] S. Martel, M. Mohammadi, O. Felfoul, Z. Lu, and P. Pouponneau, "Flagellated Magnetotactic Bacteria as Controlled MRI-trackable Propulsion and Steering Systems for Medical Nanorobots Operating in the Human Microvasculature," *Int. J. Robot. Res.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 571–582, Apr. 2009.
- [16] D. L. Leslie-Pelecky and R. D. Rieke, "Magnetic Properties of Nanostructured Materials," *Chem. Mater.*, vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 1770–1783, 1996.
- [17] M. A. M. Gijs, F. Lacharme, and U. Lehmann, "Microfluidic applications of magnetic particles for biological analysis and catalysis," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 110, no. 3, pp. 1518– 1563, Mar. 2010.

- [18] J.-W. Choi, C. H. Ahn, S. Bhansali, and H. T. Henderson, "A new magnetic bead-based, filterless bio-separator with planar electromagnet surfaces for integrated bio-detection systems," *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 68, no. 1–3, pp. 34–39, 2000.
- [19] C. P. Bean and J. D. Livingston, "Superparamagnetism," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 30, no. 4, pp. S120–S129, Apr. 1959.
- [20] L. Zhang, J. J. Abbott, L. Dong, B. E. Kratochvil, D. Bell, and B. J. Nelson, "Artificial bacterial flagella: Fabrication and magnetic control," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 94, no. 6, p. 064107, Feb. 2009.
- [21] S. S. Shevkoplyas, A. C. Siegel, R. M. Westervelt, M. G. Prentiss, and G. M. Whitesides,
 "The force acting on a superparamagnetic bead due to an applied magnetic field," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 1294–1302, Oct. 2007.
- [22] F. E. Kruis, H. Fissan, and A. Peled, "Synthesis of nanoparticles in the gas phase for electronic, optical and magnetic applications—a review," *J. Aerosol Sci.*, vol. 29, no. 5–6, pp. 511–535, Jun. 1998.
- [23] "Focus Beads and chips: new recipes for analysis," *Lab Chip*, vol. 3, no. 4, p. 60N–68N, 2003.
- [24] V.Snirpunas, E. Stupak, R. Kacianauskas, A. Kaceniauskas, "Finite element analysys of thermal fields in the pulsed power magnetic field generator," *Energetika*, vol. 4, pp. 12– 18, 2004.
- [25] P. C. Fannin, L. Cohen-Tannoudji, E. Bertrand, A. T. Giannitsis, C. Mac Oireachtaigh, and J. Bibette, "Investigation of the complex susceptibility of magnetic beads containing maghemite nanoparticles," *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, vol. 303, no. 1, pp. 147–152, Aug. 2006.
- [26] C. Sideris and A. Hajimiri, "Design and implementation of an integrated magnetic spectrometer for multiplexed biosensing," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 773–784, Dec. 2013.
- [27] CMOS Capacitive Sensors for Lab-on-Chip Applications A Multidisciplinary Approach. .

- [28] A. H. B. de Vries, B. E. Krenn, R. van Driel, and J. S. Kanger, "Micro Magnetic Tweezers for Nanomanipulation Inside Live Cells," *Biophys. J.*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 2137–2144, Mar. 2005.
- [29] D. G. Grier, "A revolution in optical manipulation," *Nature*, vol. 424, no. 6950, pp. 810–816, Aug. 2003.
- [30] R. Pethig and M. S. Talary, "Dielectrophoretic detection of membrane morphology changes in Jurkat T-cells undergoing etoposide-induced apoptosis," *IET Nanobiotechnology IET*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 2–9, Feb. 2007.
- [31] D. J. Bakewell and H. Morgan, "Dielectrophoresis of DNA: time- and frequencydependent collections on microelectrodes," *NanoBioscience IEEE Trans. On*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 139 – 146, Jun. 2006.
- [32] H. Liu, S. Qian, and H. H. Bau, "The Effect of Translocating Cylindrical Particles on the Ionic Current through a Nanopore," *Biophys. J.*, vol. 92, no. 4, pp. 1164–1177, Feb. 2007.
- [33] B. Lin and H. Ban, "Effect of Electrical Double Layer on Electrical Conductivity and Pressure Drop in Pressure-Driven Micro-Channel Flow," pp. 765–773, Jan. 2006.
- [34] S.-R. Lee, Y.-T. Lee, K. Sawada, H. Takao, and M. Ishida, "Development of a disposable glucose biosensor using electroless-plated Au/Ni/copper low electrical resistance electrodes," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 410–414, Nov. 2008.
- [35] Z. Lu, J. El-Fouladi, S. Martel, and Y. Savaria, "A hybrid bacteria and microparticle detection platform on a CMOS chip: Design, simulation and testing considerations," in *Mixed-Signals, Sensors, and Systems Test Workshop, 2008. IMS3TW 2008. IEEE 14th International*, 2008, pp. 1–7.
- [36] Z. Cheng, E. Wang, and X. Yang, "Capacitive detection of glucose using molecularly imprinted polymers," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 179–185, May 2001.
- [37] C. Berggren, B. Bjarnason, and G. Johansson, "Capacitive Biosensors," *Electroanalysis*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 173–180, Mar. 2001.
- [38] L.-Q. Li and L. M. Davis, "Single photon avalanche diode for single molecule detection," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, vol. 64, no. 6, pp. 1524–1529, Jun. 1993.

- [39] M. A. Itzler, r. Ben-Michael, C.-F. Hsu, K. Slomkowski, A. Tosi, S. Cova, F. Zappa, and R. Ispasoiu, "Single photon avalanche diodes (SPADs) for 1.5 μm photon counting applications," J. Mod. Opt., vol. 54, no. 2–3, pp. 283–304, Jan. 2007.
- [40] H.-T. Chen and Y.-N. Wang, "Optical microflow cytometer for particle counting, sizing and fluorescence detection," *Microfluid. Nanofluidics*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 529–537, Apr. 2009.
- [41] S. H. J. Mei, J. J. Haitsma, C. C. Dos Santos, Y. Deng, P. F. H. Lai, A. S. Slutsky, W. C. Liles, and D. J. Stewart, "Mesenchymal Stem Cells Reduce Inflammation while Enhancing Bacterial Clearance and Improving Survival in Sepsis," *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.*, vol. 182, no. 8, pp. 1047–1057, Oct. 2010.
- [42] M. S. T. Gonçalves, "Fluorescent Labeling of Biomolecules with Organic Probes," *Chem. Rev.*, vol. 109, no. 1, pp. 190–212, Jan. 2009.
- [43] J. Llandro, J. J. Palfreyman, A. Ionescu, and C. H. W. Barnes, "Magnetic biosensor technologies for medical applications: a review," *Med. Biol. Eng. Comput.*, vol. 48, no. 10, pp. 977–998, Oct. 2010.
- [44] S. Pal, E. Guillermain, R. Sriram, B. L. Miller, and P. M. Fauchet, "Silicon photonic crystal nanocavity-coupled waveguides for error-corrected optical biosensing," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 4024–4031, Jun. 2011.
- [45] F. Conzuelo, M. Gamella, S. Campuzano, A. J. Reviejo, and J. M. Pingarrón, "Disposable amperometric magneto-immunosensor for direct detection of tetracyclines antibiotics residues in milk," *Anal. Chim. Acta*, vol. 737, pp. 29–36, Aug. 2012.
- [46] M. Lucas and E. Riedo, "Invited Review Article: Combining scanning probe microscopy with optical spectroscopy for applications in biology and materials science," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, vol. 83, no. 6, p. 061101, Jun. 2012.
- [47] P. I. Nikitin, P. M. Vetoshko, and T. I. Ksenevich, "Magnetic Immunoassays," Sens. Lett., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 296–299, Mar. 2007.
- [48] J. C. Rife, M. M. Miller, P. E. Sheehan, C. R. Tamanaha, M. Tondra, and L. J. Whitman, "Design and performance of GMR sensors for the detection of magnetic microbeads in biosensors," *Sens. Actuators Phys.*, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 209–218, Nov. 2003.

- [49] J. Do and C. H. Ahn, "A polymer lab-on-a-chip for magnetic immunoassay with on-chip sampling and detection capabilities," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 542, 2008.
- [50] A. Tsukamoto, K. Saitoh, D. Suzuki, N. Sugita, Y. Seki, A. Kandori, K. Tsukada, Y. Sugiura, S. Hamaoka, H. Kuma, N. Hamasaki, and K. Enpuku, "Development of multisample biological immunoassay system using HTSSQUID and magnetic nanoparticles," *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 656–659, Jun. 2005.
- [51] A. Rida and M. A. M. Gijs, "Manipulation of Self-Assembled Structures of Magnetic Beads for Microfluidic Mixing and Assaying," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 76, no. 21, pp. 6239– 6246, Nov. 2004.
- [52] H. Lee, A. M. Purdon, and R. M. Westervelt, "Manipulation of biological cells using a microelectromagnet matrix," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 85, no. 6, pp. 1063–1065, Aug. 2004.
- [53] Z. Jiang, J. Llandro, T. Mitrelias, and J. a. C. Bland, "An integrated microfluidic cell for detection, manipulation, and sorting of single micron-sized magnetic beads," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 99, no. 8, p. 08S105, Apr. 2006.
- [54] T. B. C. Minqiang Bu, "Characterization of a microfluidic magnetic bead separator for high-throughput applications," *Sens. Actuators Phys.*, pp. 430–436, 2008.
- [55] K. Smistrup, H. Bruus, and M. F. Hansen, "Towards a programmable magnetic bead microarray in a microfluidic channel," J. Magn. Magn. Mater., vol. 311, no. 1, pp. 409– 415, 2007.
- [56] Q. Ramadan, D. Poenar, and C. Yu, "Customized trapping of magnetic particles," *Microfluid. Nanofluidics*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 53–62, 2009.
- [57] Q. Ramadan, C. Yu, V. Samper, and D. P. Poenar, "Microcoils for transport of magnetic beads," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 88, no. 3, p. 032501, Jan. 2006.
- [58] L. C. Qasem Ramadan, "Individual cells immobilization for water-borne pathogen detection and enumeration," 2009.
- [59] Q. Ramadan, L. Christophe, W. Teo, S. Li, and H. H. Feng, "Flow-through immunomagnetic separation system for waterborne pathogen isolation and detection:

application to Giardia and Cryptosporidium cell isolation," *Anal. Chim. Acta*, vol. 673, no. 1, pp. 101–108, Jul. 2010.

- [60] M. A. M. G. Qasem Ramadan, "Simultaneous sample washing and concentration using a "trapping-and-releasing" mechanism of magnetic beads on a microfluidic chip.," *The Analyst*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 1157–66, 2011.
- [61] Q. Ramadan, V. Samper, D. P. Poenar, and C. Yu, "An integrated microfluidic platform for magnetic microbeads separation and confinement," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 1693–1702, 2006.
- [62] H. Lee, Y. Liu, D. Ham, and R. M. Westervelt, "Integrated cell manipulation system-CMOS/microfluidic hybrid," *Lab Chip*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 331–337, 2007.
- [63] F. T. Abu-Nimeh and F. M. Salem, "An Integrated Open-Cavity System for Magnetic Bead Manipulation," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.*, vol. PP, no. 99, p. 1, 2012.
- [64] H. Wang, "Magnetic Sensors for Diagnostic Medicine: CMOS-Based Magnetic Particle Detectors for Medical Diagnosis Applications," *IEEE Microw. Mag.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 110–130, Jul. 2013.
- [65] M. N. Baibich, J. M. Broto, A. Fert, F. N. Van Dau, F. Petroff, P. Etienne, G. Creuzet, A. Friederich, and J. Chazelas, "Giant Magnetoresistance of (001)Fe/(001)Cr Magnetic Superlattices," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 61, no. 21, pp. 2472–2475, Nov. 1988.
- [66] H. Brückl, M. Brzeska, D. Brinkmann, J. Schotter, G. Reiss, W. Schepper, P.-B. Kamp, and A. Becker, "Magnetoresistive logic and biochip," *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, vol. 282, pp. 219–224, Nov. 2004.
- [67] D. K. Cheng, *Field and Wave Electromagnetics*, 2 edition. Reading, Mass: Prentice Hall, 1989.
- [68] K. Skucha, P. Liu, M. Megens, J. Kim, and B. Boser, "A compact Hall-effect sensor array for the detection and imaging of single magnetic beads in biomedical assays," in *Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and Microsystems Conference (TRANSDUCERS), 2011 16th International*, 2011, pp. 1833–1836.

- [69] P.-A. Besse, G. Boero, M. Demierre, V. Pott, and R. Popovic, "Detection of a single magnetic microbead using a miniaturized silicon Hall sensor," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 80, no. 22, pp. 4199–4201, Jun. 2002.
- [70] T. Aytur, J. Foley, M. Anwar, B. Boser, E. Harris, and P. R. Beatty, "A novel magnetic bead bioassay platform using a microchip-based sensor for infectious disease diagnosis," J. *Immunol. Methods*, vol. 314, no. 1–2, pp. 21–29, Jul. 2006.
- [71] I. I. Rabi, J. R. Zacharias, S. Millman, and P. Kusch, "A New Method of Measuring Nuclear Magnetic Moment," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 318–318, Feb. 1938.
- [72] Y. Liu, N. Sun, H. Lee, R. Weissleder, and D. Ham, "CMOS Mini Nuclear Magnetic Resonance System and its Application for Biomolecular Sensing," in *Solid-State Circuits Conference*, 2008. ISSCC 2008. Digest of Technical Papers. IEEE International, 2008, pp. 140–602.
- [73] H. Wang, Y. Chen, A. Hassibi, A. Scherer, and A. Hajimiri, "A frequency-shift CMOS magnetic biosensor array with single-bead sensitivity and no external magnet," in *Solid-State Circuits Conference - Digest of Technical Papers*, 2009. ISSCC 2009. IEEE International, 2009, pp. 438-439,439a.
- [74] S. Grilli, V. Vespini, and P. Ferraro, "Surface-Charge Lithography for Direct PDMS Micro-Patterning," *Langmuir*, vol. 24, no. 23, pp. 13262–13265, Dec. 2008.
- [75] E. Sollier, C. Murray, P. Maoddi, and D. Di Carlo, "Rapid prototyping polymers for microfluidic devices and high pressure injections," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 11, no. 22, pp. 3752– 3765, Nov. 2011.
- [76] G. S. Fiorini, M. Yim, G. D. M. Jeffries, P. G. Schiro, S. A. Mutch, R. M. Lorenz, and D. T. Chiu, "Fabrication improvements for thermoset polyester (TPE) microfluidic devices," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 923–926, Jul. 2007.
- [77] J. S. Kuo, Y. Zhao, L. Ng, G. S. Yen, R. M. Lorenz, D. S. W. Lim, and D. T. Chiu, "Microfabricating high-aspect-ratio structures in polyurethane-methacrylate (PUMA) disposable microfluidic devices," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 9, no. 13, pp. 1951–1956, Jul. 2009.
- [78] P. Wägli, A. Homsy, B. Guélat, and N. de Rooij, "Microfluidic devices made of UVcurable glue (NOA81) for fluorescence detection based applications," presented at the the

14th International Conference on Miniaturized Systems for Chemistry and Life Sciences (MicroTAS, 2010.

- [79] E. Roy, M. Geissler, J.-C. Galas, and T. Veres, "Prototyping of microfluidic systems using a commercial thermoplastic elastomer," *Microfluid. Nanofluidics*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 235– 244, Mar. 2011.
- [80] J. Giboz, T. Copponnex, and P. Mélé, "Microinjection molding of thermoplastic polymers: a review," *J. Micromechanics Microengineering*, vol. 17, no. 6, p. R96, Jun. 2007.
- [81] D. Therriault, S. R. White, and J. A. Lewis, "Chaotic mixing in three-dimensional microvascular networks fabricated by direct-write assembly," *Nat. Mater.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 265–271, Apr. 2003.
- [82] E. Ghafar-Zadeh, M. Sawan, and D. Therriault, "Novel direct-write CMOS-based laboratory-on-chip: Design, assembly and experimental results," *Sens. Actuators Phys.*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 27–36, Feb. 2007.
- [83] P. Abgrall and A.-M. Gué, "Lab-on-chip technologies: making a microfluidic network and coupling it into a complete microsystem—a review," J. Micromechanics Microengineering, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. R15–R49, May 2007.
- [84] M. Iwasaka, J. Miyakoshi, and S. Ueno, "Magnetophoresis of diamagnetic cells and microorganisms in a culture medium," *Magn. IEEE Trans. On*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 2644 – 2646, 2001.
- [85] A. Ashkin, "History of optical trapping and manipulation of small-neutral particle, atoms, and molecules," *Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. IEEE J. Of*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 841–856, Dec. 2000.
- [86] D. Keum, P. Lee, J. Kim, and K. Hong, "Manipulation of Magnetic Beads by Magnetic Fields of Narrow Metallic Wires for Use in Biosensors," *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 2875 –2877, Jun. 2009.
- [87] F. Li and J. Kosel, "A Magnetic Method to Concentrate and Trap Biological Targets," *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 2854–2856, Nov. 2012.

- [88] R. Wirix-Speetjens and J. de Boeck, "On-chip magnetic particle transport by alternating magnetic field gradients," *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1944 – 1946, Jul. 2004.
- [89] K. Smistrup, P. T. Tang, O. Hansen, and M. F. Hansen, "Microelectromagnet for magnetic manipulation in lab-on-a-chip systems," *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, vol. 300, no. 2, pp. 418–426.
- [90] S.-H. Song, H.-L. Lee, Y. H. Min, and H.-I. Jung, "Electromagnetic microfluidic cell labeling device using on-chip microelectromagnet and multi-layered channels," *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, vol. 141, no. 1, pp. 210–216, 2009.
- [91] N. Pamme and C. Wilhelm, "Continuous sorting of magnetic cells via on-chip free-flow magnetophoresis," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 6, no. 8, p. 974, 2006.
- [92] A. Grainys and J. Novickij, "Investigation of Microcoils for High Magnetic Field Generation," *Electron. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 109, no. 3, Mar. 2011.
- [93] J.-H. Tsai and L. Lin, "Active microfluidic mixer and gas bubble filter driven by thermal bubble micropump," *Sens. Actuators Phys.*, vol. 97–98, no. 0, pp. 665–671, 2002.
- [94] S. Reuveny, Y. J. Kim, C. W. Kemp, and J. Shiloach, "Effect of temperature and oxygen on cell growth and recombinant protein production in insect cell cultures," *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 619–623, Feb. 1993.
- [95] Y. Zheng, S. Bekhiche, and M. Sawan, "Planar microcoils array applied to magnetic beads based lab-on-chip for high throughput applications," in *Circuits and Systems* (ISCAS), 2011 IEEE International Symposium on, 2011, pp. 2345 –2348.
- [96] H. Lee, Y. Liu, R. M. Westervelt, and D. Ham, "IC/microfluidic hybrid system for magnetic manipulation of biological cells," *Solid-State Circuits IEEE J. Of*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1471 – 1480, Jun. 2006.
- [97] E. Ghafar-Zadeh, M. Sawan, and D. Therriault, "A Microfluidic Packaging Technique for Lab-on-Chip Applications," *Adv. Packag. IEEE Trans. On*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 410 –416, May 2009.

- [98] E. Ghafar-Zadeh and M. Sawan, "A Hybrid Microfluidic/CMOS Capacitive Sensor Dedicated to Lab-on-Chip Applications," *Biomed. Circuits Syst. IEEE Trans. On*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 270–277, Dec. 2007.
- [99] T. Alefantis, P. Grewal, J. Ashton, A. S. Khan, J. J. Valdes, and V. G. Del Vecchio, "A rapid and sensitive magnetic bead-based immunoassay for the detection of staphylococcal enterotoxin B for high-through put screening," *Mol. Cell. Probes*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 379– 382, 2004.
- [100] P. J. Lee, P. J. Hung, R. Shaw, L. Jan, and L. P. Lee, "Microfluidic application-specific integrated device for monitoring direct cell-cell communication via gap junctions between individual cell pairs," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 86, no. 22, pp. 223902–223902–3, May 2005.
- [101] N. Nikkhoo, P. G. Gulak, and K. Maxwell, "Rapid Detection of E. coli Bacteria Using Potassium-Sensitive FETs in CMOS," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 621–630, Oct. 2013.
- [102] A. Roda, L. Cevenini, S. Borg, E. Michelini, M. M. Calabretta, and D. Schüler, "Bioengineered bioluminescent magnetotactic bacteria as powerful tool for chip-based whole-cell biosensors," *Lab. Chip*, Oct. 2013.
- [103] A. V. Orlov, J. A. Khodakova, M. P. Nikitin, A. O. Shepelyakovskaya, F. A. Brovko, A. G. Laman, E. V. Grishin, and P. I. Nikitin, "Magnetic Immunoassay for Detection of Staphylococcal Toxins in Complex Media," *Anal. Chem.*, vol. 85, no. 2, pp. 1154–1163, 2013.
- [104] D.-H. Jung, K. Min, Y. Jeon, W. Jang, and Y. Kwon, "Optimized magnetic bead-based immunoassay for automated detection of protein toxins," *BioChip J.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 293–298, Sep. 2012.
- [105] R. S. Gaster, D. A. Hall, C. H. Nielsen, S. J. Osterfeld, H. Yu, K. E. Mach, R. J. Wilson, B. Murmann, J. C. Liao, S. S. Gambhir, and S. X. Wang, "Matrix-insensitive protein assays push the limits of biosensors in medicine," *Nat. Med.*, vol. 15, no. 11, pp. 1327–1332, Nov. 2009.

- [106] A. C. Mak, S. J. Osterfeld, H. Yu, S. X. Wang, R. W. Davis, O. A. Jejelowo, and N. Pourmand, "Sensitive giant magnetoresistive-based immunoassay for multiplex mycotoxin detection," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 1635–1639, Mar. 2010.
- [107] S. Gambini, K. Skucha, P. Liu, J. Kim, R. Krigel, R. Mathies, and B. Boser, "A CMOS 10kpixel baseline-free magnetic bead detector with column-parallel readout for miniaturized immunoassays," in *Solid-State Circuits Conference Digest of Technical Papers (ISSCC), 2012 IEEE International,* 2012, pp. 126–128.
- [108] N. Sun, Y. Liu, H. Lee, R. Weissleder, and D. Ham, "CMOS RF Biosensor Utilizing Nuclear Magnetic Resonance," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1629– 1643, 2009.
- [109] A. Pai, A. Khachaturian, S. Chapman, A. Hu, H. Wang, and A. Hajimiri, "A handheld magnetic sensing platform for antigen and nucleic acid detection," *The Analyst*, vol. 139, no. 6, pp. 1403–1411, Mar. 2014.
- [110] Y. Zheng, A. Mannai, and M. Sawan, "A BioMEMS chip with integrated micro electromagnet array towards bio-particles manipulation," *Microelectron. Eng.*, vol. 128, pp. 1–6, Oct. 2014.
- [111] A. Hajimiri and T. H. Lee, "Design issues in CMOS differential LC oscillators," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 717–724, May 1999.
- [112] T. H. Lee and A. Hajimiri, "Oscillator phase noise: a tutorial," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 326–336, Mar. 2000.
- [113] Y. Hong, S.-G. Kim, B.-H. Kim, S.-J. Ha, H.-J. Lee, G.-H. Yun, and J.-G. Yook, "Noncontact Proximity Vital Sign Sensor Based on PLL for Sensitivity Enhancement," *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Circuits Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 584–593, Aug. 2014.
- [114] Y. Zheng and M. Sawan, "Planar Microcoil Array Based Temperature-Controllable Labon-Chip Platform," *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 5236–5242, 2013.
- [115] J. Richardson, A. Hill, R. Luxton, and P. Hawkins, "A novel measuring system for the determination of paramagnetic particle labels for use in magneto-immunoassays," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 16, no. 9–12, pp. 1127–1132, Dec. 2001.

- [116] H. C. Tekin, M. Cornaglia, and M. A. M. Gijs, "Attomolar protein detection using a magnetic bead surface coverage assay," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1053–1059, Feb. 2013.
- [117] L. Bonnemay, S. Hostachy, C. Hoffmann, J. Gautier, and Z. Gueroui, "Engineering Spatial Gradients of Signaling Proteins Using Magnetic Nanoparticles," *Nano Lett.*, Oct. 2013.
- [118] C. P. Gooneratne, C. Liang, and J. Kosel, "A planar conducting microstructure to guide and confine magnetic beads to a sensing zone," *Microelectron. Eng.*, vol. 88, no. 8, pp. 1757–1760, 2011.
- [119] I.-M. Hsing, Y. Xu, and W. Zhao, "Micro- and Nano- Magnetic Particles for Applications in Biosensing," *Electroanalysis*, vol. 19, no. 7–8, pp. 755–768, 2007.
- [120] U. Lehmann, D. de Courten, C. Vandevyver, V. K. Parashar, and M. A. M. Gijs, "On-chip antibody handling and colorimetric detection in a magnetic droplet manipulation system," *Microelectron. Eng.*, vol. 84, no. 5–8, pp. 1669–1672, 2007.
- [121] M. S. U. Lehmann, "Microparticle photometry in a CMOS microsystem combining magnetic actuation and in situ optical detection," *Sens. Actuators B Chem.*, no. 2, pp. 411–417.
- [122] M. Sawan, S. Hashemi, M. Sehil, F. Awwad, M. Hajj-Hassan, and A. Khouas, "Multicoils-based inductive links dedicated to power up implantable medical devices: modeling, design and experimental results," *Biomed. Microdevices*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 1059–1070, Oct. 2009.
- [123] Y.-H. Lin, C.-H. Chiang, M.-H. Wu, T.-M. Pan, J.-D. Luo, and C.-C. Chiou, "Solid-state sensor incorporated in microfluidic chip and magnetic-bead enzyme immobilization approach for creatinine and glucose detection in serum," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 99, no. 25, p. 253704, Dec. 2011.
- [124] M. Karle, J. Wöhrle, J. Miwa, N. Paust, G. Roth, R. Zengerle, and F. von Stetten, "Controlled counter-flow motion of magnetic bead chains rolling along microchannels," *Microfluid. Nanofluidics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 935–939, Apr. 2011.

- [125] A. Santra, N. Chakraborty, and R. Ganguly, "Analytical evaluation of magnetic field by planar micro-electromagnet spirals for MEMS applications," *J. Micromechanics Microengineering*, vol. 19, no. 8, p. 085018, Aug. 2009.
- [126] Allen Cowen, Bruce Dudley et al., "MetalMUMPs Design Handbook," MEMSCAP.
- [127] A. Cao, J. Kim, and L. Lin, "Bi-directional electrothermal electromagnetic actuators," J. Micromechanics Microengineering, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 975, May 2007.
- [128] N. Manaresi, A. Romani, G. Medoro, L. Altomare, A. Leonardi, M. Tartagni, and R. Guerrieri, "A CMOS chip for individual cell manipulation and detection," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 2297–2305, 2003.
- [129] H. Lee, Y. Liu, D. Ham, and R. M. Westervelt, "Integrated cell manipulation system--CMOS/microfluidic hybrid," *Lab. Chip*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 331–337, Mar. 2007.