

The University of Notre Dame Australia ResearchOnline@ND

Physiotherapy Papers and Journal Articles

School of Physiotherapy

2018

Reducing falls in older adults recently discharged from hospital: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Chiara Naseri

Terry P. Haines

Christopher Etherton-Beer

Steven McPhail

Meg E. Morris

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article

Part of the Physical Therapy Commons, and the Physiotherapy Commons

This article was originally published as:

Naseri, C., Haines, T. P., Etherton-Beer, C., McPhail, S., Morris, M. E., Flicker, L., Netto, J., Francis-Coad, J., Lee, D. A., Shorr, R., & Hill, A. (2018). Reducing falls in older adults recently discharged from hospital: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age and Ageing, 47* (4), 512-519.

Original article available here: https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

This article is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/physiotherapy_article/144. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.



Authors

Chiara Naseri, Terry P. Haines, Christopher Etherton-Beer, Steven McPhail, Meg E. Morris, Leon Flicker, Julie Netto, Jacqueline Francis-Coad, Den-Ching A. Lee, Ronald Shorr, and Anne-Marie Hill

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in *Age and Ageing* following peer review.

The version of record: -

Naseri, C., Haines, T.P., Etherton-Beer, C., McPhail, S., Morris, M.E., Flicker, L., Netto, J., Francis-Coad, J., Lee, D.A., Shorr, R., and Hill, A. (2018) Reducing falls in older adults recently discharged from hospital: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age and Ageing*, *47*(4), 512-519. doi: 10.1093/ageing/afy043

available online at: <u>https://academic.oup.com/ageing/article/47/4/512/4951828</u>

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

RUNNING HEAD: Falls prevention for older adults after hospital

TITLE: Reducing falls in older adults recently discharged from hospital: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Published Systematic Review protocol: doi:10.11124/JBISRIR-2016-002952

AUTHORS: Chiara Naseri, ¹ B. Sc (Physiotherapy) Terry P Haines, ² PhD Christopher Etherton-Beer, ³ PhD Steven McPhail, ^{4,5} PhD Meg E Morris, ⁶ PhD Leon Flicker, ⁷ PhD Julie Netto, ⁸ PhD Jacqueline Francis-Coad, ⁹ PhD Den-Ching A Lee, ²PhD Ronald Shorr, ^{10,11} PhD

This study was conducted at Curtin University, Faculty of Health Science, School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Author institutional affiliations:

- School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Sciences, Faculty of Health Science, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia
- Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Science, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria
- Department of Geriatric Medicine, School of Medicine and Pharmacology, Royal Perth Hospital Unit, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia
- 4. School of Public Health and Social Work, Faculty of Health, Queensland University of Technology
- Centre for Functioning and Health Research, Metro South Health, Brisbane, Queensland
- Healthscope & La Trobe Centre for Sport & Exercise Medicine Research, La Trobe University, Victoria, Australia
- Western Australian Centre for Health & Ageing, Centre for Medical Research, University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia
- School of Occupational Therapy and Social Work, Faculty of Health Science, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia
- School of Physiotherapy, Institute for Health Research, The University of Notre
 Dame Australia, Fremantle, Western Australia
- Malcom Randall VA Medical Center, Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center (GRECC),
- 11. College of Medicine, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida USA

There are no relationships, conditions or circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Acknowledgement of any presentation of this material:

This material has not been presented to anyone or anywhere.

Acknowledgment of financial support

Authors CN, SM, TPH, MEM, JF-C, CE-B, D-CAL, LF, RS, AMH have received funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia) for a trial to reduce falls and regain independence in older people after hospital discharge (Project App no:1078918). However, this grant funding has not been received directly to the authors rather to the institutions they represent. The authors have not received financial support for this study.

Corresponding author from whom reprints can be obtained:

Chiara Naseri

School of Physiotherapy and Exercise Science

Faculty of Health Science, Curtin University

GPO Box U1987

Perth, Western Australia 6845

chiara.naseri@postgrad.curtin.edu.au

WORD COUNT

Abstract: 263; Manuscript: 2978; Tables: 1; Figures: 3; Supplementary Appendices :8

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

IMPACT STATEMENT

We certify that this work is novel.

The potential impact of this research on clinical care or health policy includes the following:

- a vigorous and in-depth review of available evidence of effective falls prevention interventions for older adults recently discharged from hospital.
- provides clinical recommendations based on GRADE evidence and is clinically relevant for broad multidisciplinary geriatric healthcare.
- substantially adds to existing discharge care guidelines for older adults and clarifies the gaps in the evidence for future research opportunities.
- a new perspective for advancing geriatric rehabilitation, improving the quality of life of older adults and advancing the efficiency of healthcare.

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Older adults are known to have increased falls rates and functional decline following hospital discharge, with substantial economic health care costs. This systematic review aimed to synthesise the evidence for effective falls prevention interventions in older adults recently discharged from hospital.

METHODS: Literature searches of 6 databases of quantitative studies conducted from 1990 to June 2017, reporting falls outcomes of falls prevention interventions for communitydwelling older adults discharged from hospital were included. Study quality was assessed using a standardized JBI critical appraisal tool (MAStARI) and data pooled using Rev-Man Review Manager®

RESULTS: Sixteen studies (total sample size N=3290, from 8 countries, mean age 77) comprising 12 interventions met inclusion criteria. We found home hazard modification interventions delivered to those with a previous falls history (1 study), was effective in

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

reducing the number of falls (RR 0.63, 95%CI 0.43, 0.93, Low GRADE evidence). Home exercise interventions (3 studies) significantly increased the proportion of fallers (OR 1.74, 95%CI 1.17, 2.60, Moderate GRADE evidence), and did not significantly reduce falls rate (RR 1.27, 95%CI 0.99, 1.62, Very Low GRADE evidence) or falls injury rate (RR 1.16, 95%CI, 0.83, 1.63, Low GRADE evidence). Nutritional supplementation for malnourished older adults (1 study) significantly reduced the proportion of fallers (HR 0.41, 95% CI 0.19, 0.86, Low GRADE evidence).

CONCLUSION: The recommended falls prevention interventions for older adults recently discharged from hospital are to provide home hazard minimisation particularly if they have a recent previous falls history and consider nutritional supplementation if they are malnourished.

KEY WORDS: falls prevention interventions; after hospital discharge; falls outcomes; older adults; systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Implementing effective strategies to reduce falls among older adults has become a worldwide health challenge as the global population continues to age. [1] Falls are a leading cause of hospitalisation for older adults. [2, 3] Hospital length of stay is decreasing, leaving older adults at risk of adverse events following hospital discharge. Falls are known to increase among older adults during the post-discharge period with an increased risk of hip fracture.[4-6] Compared to the average annual fall rate of 30% in the general older community with 10% of these falls resulting in a serious injury, [7-9] 40% of older adults recently discharged from hospital fall at least once in the 6-month period following discharge and 54% of these falls result in a serious injury. [10-14]

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Several large systematic reviews have established evidence for the effectiveness of falls prevention interventions for older adults in the general community. [15-20] However, the studies included in these reviews did not specifically evaluate interventions in the post-discharge period and the findings may not translate to falls prevention among community-dwelling older adults recently discharged from hospital. Systematic reviews of interventions that provided broad discharge support for older adults showed limited reduction in falls or adverse events. [21,22] One systematic review that evaluated falls prevention education for older adults concluded there was some evidence that education was effective in reducing falls rates during and after hospitalisation (risk ratio [RR] 0.77, 95% confidence interval 0.69 to 0.87), however other falls prevention interventions were not examined. [23] The lack of research evidence regarding effective falls prevention interventions in the period following hospitalisation needs to be addressed.

The primary objective of this review was to collate randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that delivered falls prevention interventions for older adults just prior or immediately following hospital discharge, and to synthesise the evidence regarding their effectiveness during the 6 months immediately following hospital discharge.

METHODS

A review was undertaken according to a protocol published in JBI Register of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, [24] and reported in accordance with PRISMA guidelines. [25]

Search strategy and information sources

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

The search was undertaken using a three-step strategy recommended by the JBI systematic review library, [26] using MeSH terminology and keywords to ensure all relevant studies were captured. Full details of the search strategy and information sources can be found in Supplementary Appendix 1 available in *Age and Ageing* online from the journal website.

Inclusion Criteria

This review examined studies that evaluated any falls prevention intervention delivered to adults 60 years of age or older who were hospitalised and then discharged to the community. The interventions must have been delivered/commenced in hospital or in the first month after hospital discharge from hospital. [24] Studies eligible for the review were published and unpublished studies written in English from any country between January 1990 and June 2017, including RCTs and pseudo-RCTs comparing interventions against no intervention or placebo control. The start date of 1990 was considered appropriate as research concerning falls prevention is a relatively recent field and other large systematic reviews investigating falls interventions include RCTs dated from 1990. [27] Studies needed to measure a falls outcome within at least 6 months after discharge from hospital including falls rate (expressed per 1000-person days), proportion of people who fell one or more times, injurious falls rate (expressed per 1000-person days) or proportion of people who had one or more injurious falls, with consideration of ProFANE common set of falls outcome definitions and measures. [28-33]

Study quality

Papers selected for critical appraisal were assessed by three independent reviewers for risk of bias and methodological quality. [26] This was completed prior to inclusion in the review, using the standardised critical appraisal from JBI Meta-Analysis of Statistics Assessment and

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Review Instrument (JBI-MAStARI), found in Supplementary Appendix 2 available in *Age and Ageing* online from the journal website.

Data Synthesis and Analysis

Data was collated by one reviewer then three reviewers independently confirmed accuracy of the data synthesis. Effectiveness of interventions was classified according to outcomes. [33,34] For outcomes reported as rates (rates of falls and rate of injurious falls), data were pooled using inverse variance DerSimonian and Laird method. [35] This produced a relative rate effect size with 95% confidence intervals (CI). For outcomes measured as proportions, the number of fallers and non-fallers in each group were entered and data pooled using Mantel-Haenszel model. [36] This produced an odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals. For studies that employed factorial designs, we extracted the main effect of each intervention if no interaction effect was identified. Quantitative data were pooled in statistical meta-analysis using Rev-Man Review Manager (Version 5.3.2014 TM) to generate forest plots. [37] All studies were analysed in terms of falls outcomes and sub-group meta-analyses based on pooling of comparable interventions. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I2 statistic and visual inspection of forest plots. A fixed-effects model was used to calculate estimates, based on examination of the literature. [26, 38, 39] The criteria that guided our decision to use the fixed-effect model in meta-analysis was the small number, size and heterogeneity of the studies evaluating each falls intervention. The included studies were clinical trials, methodologically homogenous, and measured one common true effect, a falls outcome. The only possible exception within this criterion was potential between-study heterogeneity of the participants. Notwithstanding fulfilment of our study sample inclusion criteria, we reasonably considered the participants to be similar enough to appropriately represent the population of older people recently discharged from hospital. [39]

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

RESULTS

Selected Studies

The search generated 1068 articles from the six databases. Figure 1 illustrates the study selection process. Supplementary Appendix 3, available in *Age and Ageing* online outlines the characteristics of included (n=16) studies.

The articles included in the review comprised one quasi RCT and 15 RCTs, [14, 40-54] please refer to Supplementary Appendix 4 for the full reference list of included studies, available in *Age and Ageing* online. All the selected studies' sample populations consisted of older adults recruited from inpatient wards. Participants' mean age across the 16 studies were 77 years, with an age range of 70-84. Further details regarding the study population can be found in Supplementary Appendix 5, available in *Age and Ageing* online.



Figure 1. Study Selection Flow Chart

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Study Interventions

The interventions from 9 studies were grouped according to a recommended taxonomy to describe falls-prevention interventions, [29] including home hazard modification interventions, home exercise program, and cholecalciferol therapy. Two studies delivered exercise and cholecalciferol in factorial designed RCTs. [48, 52] One study provided a home exercise intervention as well as multifactorial falls risk minimisation strategies based on falls risk factors, [54] identified using a falls risk assessment tool for older people in the community (FROP-Com). [55, 56].

There were 8 interventions included in the review that could not be pooled in meta-analysis because they only featured once across all the studies. These were geriatric team management, inpatient falls prevention education, tailored falls prevention education prior to discharge, a day hospital balance class, high intensity quadriceps exercise, nutritional supplementation, discharge planning, and an inpatient balance circuit class.

Quality of studies

The critical appraisal of all 16 included studies can be found in Supplementary Appendix 6, available in *Age and Ageing* online from the journal website.

Effectiveness of falls prevention interventions

Home hazard modification intervention

Three trials evaluated the effect of home hazard modification interventions on falls outcomes, however they differed in their presentation of effect estimates, therefore their results were

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

analysed at the individual study level. One study dichotomised falls data, showing no significant effect on proportion of fallers (relative risk 1.06, 95%CI, 0.48, 2.34). [47] Another study used the Andersen-Gill approach to describe falls as recurrent events and showed a significant reduction in proportion of fallers for the subgroup of participants with a previous falls history (HR 0.75, 95%CI 0.58, 0.96). [42] (Supplementary Appendix 7 Figure S1, available in *Age and Ageing* online)

In another home hazard modification intervention study, results showed a reduction in falls using rate ratio (RR), also for a subgroup of participants with a previous history of frequent falls (RR 0.63, 95%CI 0.43, 0.93). [43] (Supplementary Appendix 7 Figure S2, available in *Age and Ageing* online)

Home exercise intervention

Meta-analysis of falls rate data from 3 studies in the home exercise group of interventions showed moderate heterogeneity ($I^2=32\%$ p=0.23), this may have been due to the differing doses of intervention and clinical variation in the populations across the studies. Overall the intervention did not significantly reduce falls (RR 1.27, 95%CI 0.99, 1.62), or falls injuries (RR 1.16, 95%CI, 0.83,1.63), however meta-analysis from 2 studies found a significant increase in proportion of fallers (OR 1.74, 95%CI, 1.17, 2.60) (Figure.2).

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

						Risk Ratio		Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[R	isk Ratio	o] §	E We	eight l	V, Fixed, 95% Cl		IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD		-0.32	8 0.39	7 10	0.0%	0.72 (0.33, 1.57)		
Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program)	0.09	5 0.28	1 20	0.0%	1.10 (0.63, 1.91)		+
Sherrington et al.2014-Strength & Balance HEP		0.35	7 0.1	5 70	0.0%	1.43 [1.07, 1.92]		
Total (95% CI)				100	0.0%	1.27 [0.99, 1.62]		◆
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 2.92, df = 2 (P = 0.23); I² = 32%								
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.88 (P = 0.06)							U.I F	Favours [intervention] Favours [control]
Outcome 2: FALLS INJURY R	ATE							
Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Evercise DVD		-0.19	AR 0.48	4 13	27%	0.82/0.32/2.121		
Sherrington et al 2014-Strength & Balance HEP		0.13	31 0.20	9 61	8.0%	1.14 [0.76, 1.72]		
Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Progran	า	0.45	51 0.39	12 1	9.3%	1.57 [0.73, 3.38]		- -
Total (95% CI)				10	0.0%	1 16 [0 83 1.63]		•
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 1.11$ df = 2 (P = 0.57); $I^2 = 0.96$						into [olooj noo]		
1000000000000000000000000000000000000								
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38)							0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 11 Favours [intervention] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38)	OPLE	WH	O FI	ELL	1		0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control]
Test for overall effect Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38)	DPLE Intervent	WH (O FI Contro	E LL	4	Odds Ratio	0.1	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio
Test for overall effect. Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC	DPLE Intervent Events	WH tion Total E	O FI Contro	E LL II Total	Weight	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95%	0.1 6 CI	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC Study or Subgroup Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program	DPLE Intervent Events 64	WH tion Total E 57	OFI Contro Events 80	CILIL II Total 75	Weight	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima	0.1 % CI	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD	DPLE Intervent Events 64 11	WH tion Total E 57 19	OFI Contro Events 80 20	E ILIL I Total 75 34	Weigh 16.7%	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima 0.96 (0.31, 3	0.1 6 Cl able .00]	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC Study or Subgroup Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD Sherrington et al.2014-Strength & Balance HEP	DPLE Intervent Events 64 11 98	WH tion <u>Total E</u> 57 19 171	OFI Contro Events 80 20 70	CILIL I Total 75 34 169	Weight 16.7% 83.3%	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima 0.96 (0.31, 3 1.90 (1.23, 2	0.1 6 Cl 6 ble .00] .92]	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC Study or Subgroup Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD Sherrington et al.2014-Strength & Balance HEP Total (95% CI)	DPLE Intervent Events 64 11 98	WH tion Total E 57 19 171 247	O FI Contro Events 80 20 70	CILIL II 75 34 169 278	Weight 16.7% 83.3% 100.0%	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima 0.96 (0.31, 3 1.90 (1.23, 2 1.74 (1.17, 2.	6 CI (ble .00] .92] 60]	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC Study or Subgroup Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD Sherrington et al.2014-Strength & Balance HEP Total (95% CI) Total events	DPLE Intervent Events 64 11 98 173	WH0 tion Total E 57 19 171 247	O FI Contro Events 80 20 70 170	C LL I T <u>otal</u> 75 34 169 278	Weight 16.7% 83.3% 100.0%	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima 0.96 (0.31, 3 1.90 (1.23, 2 1.74 [1.17, 2.	0.1 6 Cl 6 Dl 9 Dl 9 Dl 6 Dl 6 Dl	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC Study or Subgroup Batchelor et al.2012-Balance Home Exercise Program Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD Sherrington et al.2014-Strength & Balance HEP Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.20. df = 1 (P = 0.27): P = 16%	DPLE Intervent Events 64 11 98 173	WH tion Total E 57 19 171 247	O FI Contro <u>events</u> 80 20 70 170	C LL I 75 34 169 278	Weight 16.7% 83.3% 100.0%	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima 0.96 (0.31, 3 1.90 (1.23, 2 1.74 (1.17, 2.	0.1 6 CI ble 00] 92] 60]	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.88 (P = 0.38) Outcome 3: NUMBER OF PEC <u>Study or Subgroup</u> Satchelor et al. 2012-Balance Home Exercise Program Haines et al. 2009 - Balance Home Exercise DVD Sherrington et al. 2014-Strength & Balance HEP Total (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.20, df = 1 (P = 0.27); i ² = 16% Fest for overall effect: Z = 2.71 (P = 0.007)	PLE Intervent Events 64 11 98 173	WH0 tion <u>Total E</u> 57 19 171 247	O FI Contro ivents 80 20 70 170	C LL 1 75 34 169 278	Weight 16.7% 83.3% 100.0%	Odds Ratio t M-H, Fixed, 95% Not estima 0.96 (0.31, 3 1.90 (1.23, 2 1.74 [1.17, 2.	0.1 (6 C1 (ble (00) (92) (60) (0.1)	0.2 0.5 1 2 5 11 Favours [intervention] Favours [control] Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl .1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 11 Favours [control]

Figure 2. Forest Plots (Pooled) Overall Effect of Home Exercise on Falls Outcomes

Short-term nutritional supplementation intervention

A single study provided a multi-component nutritional intervention that included cholecalciferol supplementation for malnourished older adults commencing at hospital admission and continuing to 3 months after discharge. [50] Falls rates were treated as binary data (participants did/did not fall), describing the hazard ratio of patients experiencing one or

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

more falls, with an overall significant reduction in the number of people who fell (HR 0.41, 95% CI, 0.19,0.86) at the study level.

There were 6 further interventions analysed at the study level, these are described in Supplementary Appendix 8 available in *Age and Ageing* online. The interventions included cholecalciferol therapy, [48] inpatient falls prevention education [14] and education provided at discharge, [41] geriatric team management, [49] inpatient balance circuit training, [51] high-intensity quadriceps resistance training, [52] and day hospital strength and balance training. [46]

Overall comparison of effect of interventions (un-pooled)

The effects of all interventions, categorised according to studies, are presented using an unpooled forest plot in Figure 3 (effect of all interventions on falls rates and proportion of people who fell). Six interventions were found to be ineffective in this population, including a single occupational therapist phone call, [47] a balance home exercise program using an instructional DVD, [53] a non-supervised strength and balance home exercise program, [55] an inpatient balance home exercise program, [51] cholecalciferol therapy, [48] and an inpatient falls education program. [14]

There was between study variation in GRADE quality of evidence for the effect of home exercise intervention on falls outcomes (Table 1), despite heterogeneity scores during metaanalysis being low (Figure 2). This could be related to the clinical variation across the studies population, including older people diagnosed as high falls risk following a recent stroke, and discharged from general surgical and medical wards (Supplementary Appendix 3). We would advise caution against overinterpreting the GRADE recommendation, and guide readers to

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

consider home exercise intervention content, dose, duration, delivery setting and supervision

at the study level.



Figure 3. Un-pooled Effect of Interventions on Falls Rate and Proportion of People Who Fell

Recommendations based on the evidence

Table 1 illustrates the summary of the evidence using the GRADE approach. [57]

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afv043

		o	ANTICIDATED ADOL LITE EFFECT									
	Number of	Quality of the	ANTICIPATE	ED ABSOLUTE EFFECT								
	Participants (studies)	evidence (GRADE)	Relative effects (%)	Absolute effect with intervention [95% CI]								
HOME EXERCISE	INTERVENTION											
Bibliography: Batchelor et al, (2012); Sherrington et al (2014); Haines et al (2009).												
Incident rate of falls Pooled	n= 525 participants (3 studies)	DOOOVERY LOW ^{1,2} due to inconsistency & imprecision	-	Risk ratio for falls in the intervention groups was 1.27 [0.99 to 1.62]								
Incident rate ratio of falls injury rate Pooled	n= 525 (3 studies)	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus LOW^1$ due to imprecision	-	Risk ratio for falls injuries in the intervention groups was 1.16 [0.83 to 1.63]								
Proportion of fallers Pooled	n= 369 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE	-	Odds ratio for proportion fallers with intervention v 1.74 [1.17, 2.60]								
NUTRITIONAL SUI	PLEMENTATIO	N										
Bibliography: Neelmaat	et al (2012)											
Proportion of fallers	n= 210 (1 study)	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus LOW$ due to only 1 study	-	Hazard ratio for proportio of fallers with intervention was 0.41 [0.19, 0.86]								
1 Imprecision the 95% C	'I of the pooled estimat	e included 1 or no effe	ect									

Table 1. GRADE Summary of the evidence

precision: the 95% Cl of the pooled estimate in

2 Inconsistency: heterogeneity may be explained by the differing characteristics of the populations, content and duration of the intervention across the studies.

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect. Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. Low quality: Further research is very likel to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

GRADEpro GDT: GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool [Software]. McMaster University, 2015 (developed by Evidence Prime, Inc.). http://www.gradepro.org. [Accessed 16th March 2017]

DISCUSSION

Summary of findings

The present systematic review and meta-analysis is the first to synthesize evidence of

effective falls prevention interventions for older adults following recent hospital discharge.

Overall results indicated there was limited evidence that home hazard modifications reduced

falls outcomes, though the intervention was more effective among a sub group of participants

who had a frequent falls history. Home hazard modifications have previously been found to

be effective in preventing falls among the general community population, [16] and are

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

frequently a component of hospital discharge plans. [58-60] These previous findings suggest that if these interventions were tailored and evaluated specifically for older adults recently discharged from hospital, there could be a greater amount of evidence of their effectiveness for falls prevention during the post-discharge period.

Previous evidence has shown that falls prevention exercise programs are beneficial for older adults in the community setting, [16, 18, 61] however the findings of this review indicate they may have a different effect in older adults recently discharged from hospital. There are many precipitating factors to be considered in providing home exercise falls interventions to older adults following hospital discharge, including the likely need for regular supervision over an extended period to increase safety, challenge balance, and maintain compliance. [44, 62-65] A large meta-analysis led by Sherrington et al [18] concluded that falls prevention programs that include a moderate to high challenge to balance are associated with a reduction in falls among community-dwelling older adults. However, when these exercise parameters were introduced to older adults recently discharged from hospital, [44] this led to an increase in the number of falls, falls injuries, and proportion of fallers. The components of the home exercise programs that may assist to explain this effect include no direct supervision or limited oneon-one supervision of the exercises, and recommended frequencies of 30-40 minutes at least 3-6 days per week. Since this population is generally functionally declined, participants may have required more supervision initially, and a lower commencement dosage of exercise.

This review found that cholecalciferol therapy did not significantly reduce falls in older adults discharged from hospital. Although previous reviews have demonstrated a protective effect of cholecalciferol therapy on falls in community-dwelling and institutionalised older adults, [16] some more recent RCTs have concluded a higher risk of falls at higher doses,

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

particularly for older adults with a previous falls history.[66, 67] Despite the lack of evidence for falls prevention following hospital discharge, falls prevention guidelines recommend at least 800 international units of vitamin D per day for those with proven or suspected vitamin D deficiency, abnormal gait and risk of falls.[68]

Previous reviews have indicated that patient population may impact outcomes of falls prevention interventions, such as older adults who are frail and at risk of functional decline following recent hospital discharge. [15, 19, 22] Critical elements of frailty, such as muscle weakness and malnutrition are associated with falls and functional decline. [69] A multifactorial intervention incorporating an individualised home program for frail older adults improved risk factors for falls but did not reduce rate of falls. [70] One study in this review that provided nutrition supplementation for malnourished older adults was effective in reducing the proportion of people who fell post-discharge, [50] indicating interventions that target elements of frailty are likely to have a positive effect on falls risk factors and falls outcomes. This result is consistent with previous RCTs and systematic reviews [21, 71-73]

Study Strengths and Limitations

This systematic review was a comprehensive undertaking with a large range of falls prevention interventions analysed. Falls prevention interventions that are effective in the general older population may require tailoring to be effective for older adults recently discharged from hospital. There are additional and possibly specific factors to be considered in delivering interventions to this population, including the need for regular supervision and support to aid functional recovery and prevention of hospital re-admission.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Although this review did not find one falls prevention intervention was significantly effective overall, there is a possibility that tailoring different components of the interventions to the identified falls risks, physical and social needs of the older person, could lead to better uptake of falls prevention strategies. Although a large systematic review investigating falls prevention found multi-factorial interventions were implemented in 19 trials, [16] we found no trials that evaluated multi-factorial falls interventions in the post-discharge setting. Targeted multi-dimensional falls risk assessment and management offered by multi-disciplinary specialists for older adults following hospital discharge is warranted, Especially considering current healthcare services tend to discharge older adults from hospital with ongoing medical illnesses, frailty and risk of further falls. This is a consideration for future research as multi-factorial falls interventions have been shown to be effective for falls prevention of older adults in the community setting. [16]

A possible limitation of this review is the grouping of interventions that necessitated simplification of often complex interventions. The analysis is based on overall effects of the intervention categories, without consideration of characteristics of individual participants, modification of intervention doses, or adherence to interventions.

Despite efforts to be comprehensive in our choice of search terms, we did not cover all MeSH terms such as "elderly" and "seniors", and so there is potential that studies were not found during our search strategy and screening process. However, the MeSH terms used in our search include "frail elderly" as well as people "aged 65 to 79 years", and during the final search phase, we hand-searched reference lists of all studies examined in full text to strengthen our search.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Conclusion

Falls are a serious problem for older adults who have recently been discharged from hospital, with associated decline in quality of life and functional independence. [9-13] Falls prevention interventions found to be effective in the general older population are not necessarily transferrable to older adults following hospital discharge.

We found low to moderate quality GRADE evidence following data-analysis from studies grouped according to interventions. The recommended falls prevention interventions for older adults recently discharged from hospital are to provide home hazard minimisation particularly if they have a recent previous falls history and consider nutritional supplementation if they are malnourished. Future randomised controlled trials to investigate the post-discharge falls prevention effects of tailored education, multi-factorial falls interventions and structured supervised exercise programs are warranted. Falls prevention intervention for this population may need to be tailored to the individual older patient, with planned review after hospital discharge to support uptake of falls prevention strategies.

KEY POINTS

- There is a range of falls interventions delivered in a limited number of small studies
 for the post-discharge older population with potential high risk of bias.
- Falls prevention interventions effective in the general older population are not automatically transferrable in the post-discharge setting and may need to be tailored to the individual older patient, with post-discharge review to support uptake.
- Recommendations based on the evidence are to implement home hazard minimisation particularly if there is a history of recent falls and consider nutritional supplementation if the older adult is malnourished.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

- Future research to investigate the post-discharge falls prevention effects of tailored education, multi-factorial interventions, and structured supervised exercise programs is warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Author Contributions

All authors meet the criteria for authorship stated in the Uniform Requirements for

Manuscripts Submitted to Biomedical Journals.

Sources of Funding

The authors have not received financial support for this study.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1 Study Selection Flow Chart

Figure 2. Forest Plots (Pooled) Overall Effect of Home Exercise on Falls Outcomes

Figure 3. Forest Plots (Un-pooled) Effect of Interventions on Falls Rate and Proportion of People Who Fell

TABLE LEGEND

Table 1 GRADE Summary of the evidence

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDICES

Supplementary Appendix 1 Search strategy and Information sources

Supplementary Appendix 2 Critical appraisal instrument

Supplementary Appendix 3 Characteristics of included studies

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Supplementary Appendix 4 Full Reference list

Supplementary Appendix 5 Study population

Supplementary Appendix 6 Critical appraisal of included studies

Supplementary Appendix 7 Forest plots

Supplementary Appendix 8 Effectiveness of falls prevention interventions

Authors of the show

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 1: Search strategy and information sources

Search	Key word		Key		Key word
			word		
1.	accidental fall	AND	older	AND	post-hospital
2.			age*		post-hospital
3.			older		hospital discharge
4.			age*		hospital discharge
5.			older		after hospital
6.			age*	$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	after hospital
7.	fall*	AND	older	AND	post-hospital
8.			age*	~	post-hospital
9.		\sim	older		hospital discharge
10.	C	V.	age*		hospital discharge
11.	X	2	older		after hospital
12.	NO'		age*		after hospital
13.	intervention*	AND	older	AND	post-hospital
14.	5		age*		post-hospital
15.			older		hospital discharge
16.			age*		hospital discharge
17.			older		after hospital
18.			age*		after hospital
19.	prevent*	AND	older	AND	post-hospital

Search strategy using PubMed terminology

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

20.	age*	post-hospital
21.	older	hospital discharge
22.	age*	hospital discharge
23.		after hospital
24.		after hospital

Information sources

A three-step search strategy was conducted using MeSH terminology and keywords to ensure all relevant studies were captured. At stage one the first author conducted a search of MEDLINE (Ovid), PubMed and CINAHL Plus with full text (EBSCO) using key words accidental fall, fall, intervention; and prevent combined with post-hospital, after hospital, hospital discharge; and older and age (Table 1). Text words in the title and abstract of the identified studies together with index terms describing these studies were used in five further databases: the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (The Cochrane Library), The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports, EMBASE, AMED and Psych INFO. Unpublished studies were sought by conducting an electronic search of trials registers Current Controlled Trials (http://www.controlled-trials.com) and the National Institute of Health Clinical Database (http://clinicaltrials.gov), Universal Index of Doctoral Dissertations in Progress, Mednar, Grey Literature Report and Google. Additionally, hand searches of reference lists of all identified studies were performed.

Stage two of study selection involved a full screening of the abstracts by the first author (CN), then in stage three eligibility assessment was performed by two reviewers using full text retrieval. A third reviewer was available for final consensus.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 2

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Randomised Control / Pseudo-randomised Trial

Rev	iewer	_ Date					
Auth	lor	_ Year _	F	Record Numb	ber		
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not Applicable		
1.	Was the assignment to treatment groups truly random?						
2.	Were participants blinded to treatment allocation?						
3.	Was allocation to treatment groups concealed from the allocator?						
4.	Were the outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in the analysis?						
5.	Were those assessing outcomes blind to the treatment allocation?						
6.	Were the control and treatment groups comparable at entry?						
7.	Were groups treated identically other than for the named interventions						
8.	Were outcomes measured in the same way for all groups?						
9.	Were outcomes measured in a reliable way?						
10.	Was appropriate statistical analysis used?						
Ove	Overall appraisal: Include		ide 🗌	See	k further info. □		
Con	nments (Including reason for exclusion)						

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 3 Characteristics of included studies

Study *	Population†	Therapy, Dose, Frequency	N (I, C)	Mean age, Female% Drop-out%	F/Up, months setting	How falls assessed	Incident Rate Ratio Total Falls (95% CI)	Incident Rate Ratio of Falls Injuries (95% CI)	Risk Ratio of Fallers (95% CD)
Batchelor et al. ⁵⁴ Australia	Recent stroke and assessed high falls risk	1: 1. PT HEP (Otago), 30- 40min, 3-5x/week 2. Falls advice based on FROP-Com ⁵⁶ C: UC	156 (64, 80)	70, 36.5%, 15%	12 HV	Monthly FD mailed	1.10(0.63,1.90)	1.57 (0.73, 3.4)	0.83(0.60, 1.14)
Berggren et al ⁴⁹ Sweden	Post-repair hip fracture	I: GMT in hospital then AH P/C 2weeks post-d/c offered rehabilitation in home or DH C: UC	199 (102, 97)	82, 74%, 20%	12‡HV	MR in hospital & during F/Up HV	12months 0.64(0.40, 1.02)	n/a	n/a
Bischoff- Ferrari et al. ⁴⁸ § Switzerland	Post-repair hip fracture	I: PT HEP C: no HEP	173 (87, 86)	84, 79.2%, 26%	6 & 12 HV	Monthly PC & FD	0.75 (0.56,0.99)	n/a	n/a
Bischoff- Ferrari et al. ⁴⁸ § Switzerland	Post-repair hip fracture	I: Vit D 2000IU/day for 12 months C: Vit D 800IU/day for 12 months	173 (86, 87)	84,79.2%,2 6%	6 & 12 HV	Monthly PC & FD	1.28 (0.96, 1.68)	n/a	n/a
Cumming et al. ⁴² Australia	Post- rehabilitation	I: OT HV & HHM recommendations monitored at 2 weeks C: UC	530 (264, 266)	77, 57%, 27%	12 HV or PC	Monthly FD mailed	n/a	n/a	1.27 (0.9, 1.8)
Di Monaco et al ⁴⁰ * Italy	Recent hip fracture	I: Single HV by OT suggestions for HHM C: UC	95 (58, 61)	80, 100%, 7%	6 HV	6-month HV VR	n/a	n/a	OR 0.275 (0.08, 0.94)
Di Monaco et al. ⁴⁷ Italy	Recent hip fracture	I: Single OT PC to recommend HHM C: UC	169 (78, 75)	78, 100%, 9.5%	6 PC	6-month PC VR	n/a	n/a	1.06 (0.48, 2.34)
Haines et al. ⁵³ Australia	Post-d/c geriatric, surgical or medical	I: HEP using Kitchen table +DVD +workbook delivered by PT in home monitored weekly for 8weeks (P/C or HV) C: UC	53 (19, 34)	80, 60%, 5.7%	2 & 6 clinic or PC	Monthly PC & FD	0.72 (0.33,1.57)	Fracture: 0.88 (0.08, 9.7)	0.96 (0.31, 3.00)
Hauer et al. ⁴⁶ Germany	Post d/c from falls-related rehabilitation	I: DH Ex 3days/week for 12 weeksC: Placebo ex group	57 (31, 26)	82, 100%, 21%	3 & 6 clinic	Fortnightly FD and VR in clinic	0.75 (0.45, 1.24)	n/a	n/a
Hill et al. ⁴¹ Australia	Older inpatients	I: Tailored education focussed on post-discharge falls prevention C: UC	50 (25, 25)	78, 64%, 2%	1 PC	1 Month PC	0.29 (0.08, 1.05)	0.23 (0.03, 1.63)	0.33 (0.08, 1.33)
Hill et al. ¹⁴ Australia	Older inpatients	I: Inpatient falls prevention education Materials only self- directed workbook and DVD. Complete: Materials + Health professional tailored education C: UC	343 (Mat 123, Complete 120, Control 100)	79.4, 61.2%, 11%	6 PC	Monthly PC & FD	Mat only 1.48(0.95, 2.30) Compl1.18(0.71, 1.96)	Mat only 1.36 (0.80, 2.30) Compl1.00 (0.60, 1.66)	Mat only 2.12(1.21, 3.70) Compl1.3 4(0.76, 2.37)
Huang & Liang ⁴⁵ Taiwan	Recent hip fracture	I: d/c plan + brochure + social supports+ HV at 1-week post- d/c by Nurse+ weekly PC 3 months C: UC (no brochures or HVs)	126 (63, 63)	75, 69%, 3%	2 & 12 weeks HV	Weekly PC & FD	n/a	n/a	n/a
Latham et al. ⁵² § Australia & New Zealand	Frail older people	I: High intensity quadriceps resistance HEP using ankle weights 3 times per week for 10 weeks C: Frequency matched HV	243 (112, 110)	79, 53%, 5.6%	3 & 6 HV	FD & weekly PC	0.96 (0.67, 1.36)	n/a	n/a

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Latham et al. ⁵² § Australia & New Zealand	Frail older people	I: Single dose Vit D, 300,000IU C: placebo tablets	243 (108, 114)	79, 53%, 5.6%	3 & 6 HV	FD & weekly PC	1.12 (0.79, 1.59)	n/a	n/a
Neelmaat et al. ⁵⁰ Netherlands	Malnourishe d older people	I: Nutritional supplementation (energy + protein + 400IU VitD)/day + weekly phone counselling for 3 months C: UC	210 (105, 105)	74, 58%, 30%	6 & 12 weeks	FD 6 and 12 weeks	n/a	n/a	0.41 (0.19, 0.86)
Nikolaus & Bach ⁴³ Germany	Older inpatients	I: HIT HV + HHM recommended and monitored at 3 months C: Geriatric assessment	360 (181, 179)	81.5, 73.3%, 5%	3 & 12 HV	Monthly PC & FD	0.69 (0.51, 0.97)	n/a	n/a
Sherrington et al ⁴⁴ Australia	Older inpatients	PT prescribed HEP using Better Balance Program + workbook, unsupervised recommended 6 times per week for 20-30minutes.10 HV over 12 months to monitor C: UC+ falls prevention booklet	340 (171,169)	81.2, 74%, 7%	3 & 12 HV	Monthly FD	1.43 (1.07,1.93)	1.14 (0.76, 1.73)	1.38 (1.11, 1.73)
Treacy et al. ⁵¹ Australia	Older rehabilitation patients	I: Inpatient balance circuit class 6 x 1-hour sessions over 2 weeks supervised by PT C: no class	162 (81, 81)	82, 52%, 14%	2 weeks and 3 months clinic	VR at 2 weeks and 3 months clinic	1.13 (0.64, 1.96)	n/a	n/a

*RCT except Di Monaco et al⁴⁰ is a quasi RCT † Community-dwelling older adults recruited from hospital I Intervention C Control PT Physiotherapist HEP Home exercise program FROP-Com Falls risk assessment tool for older people in the community [56] UC usual care F/Up Follow-up FD Falls diary CI Confidence interval n/a not available from the study DH Day hospital GMT Geriatric management team AH Allied Health ‡from day of hospital admission d/c discharge MR Medical records HV Home visit §Factorial designed RCT VitD Vitamin D PC Phone-call OT Occupational therapist HHM Home hazards modification DH Ex Day hospital strength and balance exercise program VR Verbal reports + plus HIT Home intervention team, consists of either a PT or OT and a Nurse

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 4: Full Reference list

*denotes study included in the systematic review

1. WHO. Falls - WHO Fact sheet N°344. Available from:

https://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs344/en/2012. Accessed 3-03-2016.

2. Bradley C. Hospitalisations due to falls in older people, Australia 2008-09. Injury

research and statistics series no. 62. Cat. no. INJCAT 138. Canberra: Australian

Institute of Health and Welfare, 2012. Canberra: Australia.

http://www.aihw.gov.au/publication-detail/?id=10737421923 Accessed 27-02-2016

- Healey F, Scobie S, Oliver D et al. Falls in English and Welsh hospitals: a national observational study based on retrospective analysis of 12 months of patient safety incident reports. Qual Saf Health Care 2008; 17(6): 424-30.
- Wolinsky FD, Bentler SE, Liu L, et al. Recent hospitalization and the risk of hip fracture among older Americans. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2009;64:249–55.
- Ehlenbach WJ, Larson EB, Curtis JR et al. Physical function and disability after acute care and critical illness hospitalizations in a prospective cohort of older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2015; 63(10): 2061-9.
- Wong, RY, Miller WC. Adverse outcomes following hospitalization in acutely ill older patients. BMC Geriatr 2008; 8: 10.
- Mahoney JE, Palta M, Johnson J, Jalaluddin M, Gray S, Park S, Sager M. Temporal association between hospitalization and rate of falls after discharge. Archives of Internal Medicine 2000;160(18): 2788-2795.
- 8. Inouye SK, Studenski S, Tinetti ME et al. Geriatric syndromes: clinical, research, and policy implications of a core geriatric concept. J Am Geriatr Soc 2007; 55(5): 780-91.
- Heyland DK, Garland A, Bagshaw SM et al. Recovery after critical illness in patients aged 80 years or older: a multi-center prospective observational cohort study.
 Intensive Care Med 2015; 41(11): 1911-20.

This article has been published in Age and Ageing 23 March 2018 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

- 10. Sager MA, Franke T, Inouye SK et al. Functional outcomes of acute medical illness and hospitalization in older persons. Arch Intern Med 1996; 156(6): 645-52.
- Moyer VA. Prevention of falls in community-dwelling older adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med 2012; 157(3):
 197.http://www.aafp.org/afp/2012/1215/od3.html. Accessed 3-03-2016
- Rubenstein LZ, Josephson KR. Falls and their prevention in elderly people: what does the evidence show? Med Clin North Am 2006; 90(5): 807-24
- Mahoney J, Sager M, Dunham NC et al. Risk of falls after hospital discharge. J Am Geriatr Soc 1994; 42(3): 269-274.
- 14*. Hill AM, Hoffmann T, McPhail S et al. Evaluation of the sustained effect of inpatient falls prevention education and predictors of falls after hospital discharge-follow-up to a randomized controlled trial. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2011; 66(9): 1001-12.
- 15. Goodwin VA, Abbott RA, Whear R et al. Multiple component interventions for preventing falls and fall-related injuries among older people: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Geriatr 2014; 14(1):1-8.
- 16. Gillespie LD, Robertson M C, Gillespie WJ, Sherrington C, Gates S, Clemson LM, et al. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community.
 Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012; 9:Cd007146.

- Bunn F, Dickinson A, Barnett-Page E et al. A systematic review of older people's perceptions of facilitators and barriers to participation in falls-prevention interventions. Ageing Soc 2008; 28.
- 18. Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Fairhall N, Close JC, Lord SR. Exercise to prevent falls in older adults: an updated meta-analysis and best practice recommendations. N S W Public Health Bull 2011; 22(3-4): 78-83.
- 19. Winter H, Watt K, Peel NM. Falls prevention interventions for community-dwelling older persons with cognitive impairment: a systematic review. Int Psychogeriatr 2013; 25(2): 215-27.
- 20. Batchelor F, Hill K, Mackintosh S et al. What works in falls prevention after stroke?:A systematic review and meta-analysis. Stroke 2010; 41(8): 1715-22.
- 21. Mistiaen P, Francke AL, Poot E. Interventions aimed at reducing problems in adult patients discharged from hospital to home: a systematic meta-review. BMC Health Serv Res 2007; 7: 47.
- 22. Conroy SP, Stevens T, Parker SG et al. A systematic review of comprehensive geriatric assessment to improve outcomes for frail older people being rapidly discharged from acute hospital: 'interface geriatrics'. Age Ageing 2011; 40(4): 436-443.

- Lee D-CA, Pritchard E, McDermott F et al. Falls prevention education for older adults during and after hospitalization: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Health Educ J 2014; 73(5): 530-544.
- 24. Naseri C, McPhail S, Francis-Coad J, et al. Effectiveness of falls prevention interventions for older adults newly discharged from hospital: a systematic review protocol. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2017; 15(3):686-693.
- Liberati A, Altman D, Tetzlaff J et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analysis of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions. Explanation and elaboration. BMJ 2009; 339: b2700.
- Joanna Briggs Institute. The Reviewers' Manual 2008 Edition. The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2008. Adelaide: Australia.
- Campbell A J, Borrie MJ, Spears GF et al. Circumstances and consequences of falls experienced by a community population 70 years and over during a prospective study. Age Ageing 1990; 19(2): 136-141.
- Haines TP, Hill AM. Inconsistent results in meta-analyses for the prevention of falls are found between study-level data and patient-level data. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64(2): 154-162.

- 29. Lamb S, Becker C, Gillespie L et al. Reporting of complex interventions in clinical trials: development of a taxonomy to classify and describe fall-prevention interventions. Trials 2011; 12.
- Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ 2014;348:g1687.
- Ferrucci L, Windham G, Fried L. Frailty in older persons: the need for an operational definition. Genus 2005; 61(1): 39-53.
- 32. Skelton D, Hauer K, Lamb, S. Re: Falls Definition Validation. Age Ageing 2007;36(1): 111-2.
- Hauer K, Lamb SE, Jorstad EC et al. Systematic review of definitions and methods of measuring falls in randomised controlled fall prevention trials. Age Ageing 2006; 35.
- 34. Lamb SE, Jorstad-Stein E C, Hauer K et al. Development of a common outcome data set for fall injury prevention trials: the Prevention of Falls Network Europe consensus.
 J Am Geriatr Soc 2005; 53(9): 1618-22.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Contol Clin Trials 1986;
 7(3): 177-188.

This article has been published in Age and Ageing 23 March 2018 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

- Higgins J, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic review of interventions version 5.1.0. Chichester, UK: The Cochrane Collaboration:http://cochranehandbook.org. Accessed 10-11-2016.
- 37. Review Manager (RevMan) [Software program]. Version 5.3.2014. The Nordic Cochrane Centre: Copenhagen. The Cochrane Collaboration: http://communityarchive.cochrane.org/ Accessed 03-03-2016
- 38. Higgins J, Thompson S, Deeks J et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses.BMJ 2003; 327(7414): 557-560.
- 39. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Stephenson M, Aromataris E. Fixed or random effects metaanalysis? Common methodological issues in systematic reviews of effectiveness. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 2015;13(3):196-207.
- 40*. Di Monaco M, Vallero F, De Toma E et al. A single home visit by an occupational therapist reduces the risk of falling after hip fracture in elderly women: a quasi-randomized controlled trial. J Rehabil Med 2008; 40(6):446-50.
- 41*. Hill AM, Etherton-Beer C, Haines TP. Tailored education for older patients to facilitate engagement in falls prevention strategies after hospital discharge-a pilot randomized controlled trial. PLoS One 2013;8(5):e63450.

- 42*. Cumming RG, Thomas M, Szonyi G et al. Home visits by an occupational therapist for assessment and modification of environmental hazards: a randomized trial of falls prevention. J Am Geriatr Soc 1999; 47(12): 1397-402.
- 43*. Nikolaus T, Bach M. Preventing falls in community-dwelling frail older people using a home intervention team (HIT): results from the randomized Falls-HIT trial. J Am Geriatr Soc 2003; 51(3): 300-5.
- 44*. Sherrington C, Lord SR, Vogler CM et al. A post-hospital home exercise program improved mobility but increased falls in older people: a randomised controlled trial. PLoS One 2014; 9(9):e104412.
- 45*. Huang TT, Liang SH. A randomized clinical trial of the effectiveness of a discharge planning intervention in hospitalized elders with hip fracture due to falling. J Clin Nurs 2005; 14(10): 1193-1201.
- 46*. Hauer K, Rost B, Rútschle K et al. Exercise training for rehabilitation and secondary prevention of falls in geriatric patients with a history of injurious falls. J Am Geriatr Soc 2001; 49(1): 10-20.
- 47*. Di Monaco M, De Toma E, Gardin L et al. A single postdischarge telephone call by an occupational therapist does not reduce the risk of falling in women after hip fracture: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med 2015; 51(1): 15-22.

This article has been published in Age and Ageing 23 March 2018 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afv043

- 48*. Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Platz A et al. Effect of high-dosage cholecalciferol and extended physiotherapy on complications after hip fracture: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170(9): 813-20.
- 49*. Berggren M, Stenvall M, Olofsson B, Gustafson Y. Evaluation of a fall-prevention program in older people after femoral neck fracture: a one-year follow-up. Osteoporos Int 2008; 19(6):801-9.
- 50*. Neelemaat F, Lips P, Bosmans JE et al. Short-term oral nutritional intervention with protein and vitamin D decreases falls in malnourished older adults. J Am Geriatr Soc 2012; 60(4): 691-699 9p.
- 51*. Treacy D, Schurr K, Lloyd B et al. Additional standing balance circuit classes during inpatient rehabilitation improved balance outcomes: an assessor-blinded randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing 2015; 44(4): 580-586.
- 52*. Latham NK, Anderson C S, Lee A et al. A randomized, controlled trial of quadriceps resistance exercise and vitamin D in frail older people: the Frailty Interventions Trial in Elderly Subjects (FITNESS). J Am Geriatr Soc 2003; 51(3): 291-299 9p.
- 53*. Haines TP, Russell T, Brauer SG et al. Effectiveness of a video-based exercise programme to reduce falls and improve health-related quality of life among older adults discharged from hospital: a pilot randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil 2009; 23(11): 973-85.

This article has been published in Age and Ageing 23 March 2018 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

- 54*. Batchelor FA, Hill KD, Mackintosh SF et al. Effects of a multifactorial falls prevention program for people with stroke returning home after rehabilitation: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2012; 93(9): 1648-1655 8p.
- 55. Sherrington C, Lord SR, Close JC et al. A simple tool predicted probability of falling after aged care inpatient rehabilitation. J Clin Epidemiol 2011; 64(7): 779-86.
- 56. Russell M, Hill K, Day L et al. Development of the falls risk for older people in the community (FROP-Com) screening tool. Age Ageing 2009; 38(1): 40-6.
- 57. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schűnemann HJ. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008; 336(7650): 924.
- Shepperd S, Lannin NA, Clemson LM et al. Discharge planning from hospital to home. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 1:Cd000313.
- 59. Clemson L, Mackenzie L, Ballinger C et al. Environmental interventions to prevent falls in community-dwelling older people: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. J Aging Health 2008; 20(8): 954-71.
- 60. Swanton R, Britton L. An intensive occupational therapy discharge planning intervention was not more effective in improving activities of daily living performance and participation than a hospital-based discharge planning consultation for older adults in the acute hospital setting. Aust Occup Ther J 2017; 64(3): 279-280.

- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Falls: assessment and prevention of falls in older people. 2013. http://www.guidance.nice.org.uk/cg161. 2013. Accessed 3-03-2016
- 62. Nyman SR, Victor CR. Older people's participation in and engagement with falls prevention interventions in community settings: an augment to the Cochrane systematic review. Age Ageing 2012; 41(1): 16-23.
- 63. Hawley H. Older adults' perspectives on home exercise after falls rehabilitation:
 Understanding the importance of promoting healthy, active ageing. Health Educ J, 2009; 68.
- 64. Sjösten N, Salonoja M, Piirtola M, Vahlberg TJ, Isoaho R, Hyttinen HK, et al. A multifactorial fall prevention programme in the community-dwelling aged: predictors of adherence. Eur J Pub Health 2007; 17(5): 464-70.
- 65. Harvey LA, Mitchell RJ, Lord SR, Close JCT. Determinants of uptake of home modifications and exercise to prevent falls in community-dwelling older people. Aust N Z J Public Health 2014; 38(6): 585-90.
- 66. Glendenning P, Zhu K, Inderjeeth C et al. Effects of three-monthly oral 150,000 IU cholecalciferol supplementation on falls, mobility, and muscle strength in older postmenopausal women: A randomized controlled trial. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 2012; 27(1): 170-176.

This article has been published in Age and Ageing 23 March 2018 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

- 67. Bruyere O, Cavalier E, Souberbielle JC et al. Effects of vitamin D in the elderly population: current status and perspectives. Arch Public Health 2014; 72(1): 32.
- 68. Panel on Prevention of Falls in Older Persons, American Geriatrics Society and British Geriatrics Society, Summary of the Updated American Geriatrics Society/British Geriatrics Society Clinical Practice Guideline for Prevention of Falls in Older Persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 2011; 59: 148–157.
- 69. Gill TM, Williams CS, Tinetti ME. The combined effects of baseline vulnerability and acute hospital events on the development of functional dependence among community-living older persons. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.1999; 54:M377– M383.
- 70. Fairhall N, Sherrington C, Lord SR, Kurrle SE, Langron C, Lockwood K, et al. Effect of a multifactorial, interdisciplinary intervention on risk factors for falls and fall rate in frail older people: a randomised controlled trial. Age Ageing 2014; 43(5): 616-622.
- 71. Beswick AD, Rees K, Dieppe P, Ayis S, Gooberman-Hill R. Horwood J, et al.
 Complex interventions to improve physical function and maintain independent living in elderly people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet 2008; 371(9614): 725-735.
- 72. Chase C, Mann K, Wasek S et al. Systematic review of the effect of home modification and fall prevention programs on falls and the performance of community-dwelling older adults Am. J. Occup. Ther 2012; 66: 284-291.

This article has been published in Age and Ageing 23 March 2018 https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

- 73. Chang JT, Morton SC, Rubenstein LZ, Mojica WA, Maglione M, Suttorp MJ et al. Interventions for the prevention of falls in older adults: systematic review and metaanalysis of randomised clinical trials. BMJ 2004; 328(7441): 680.
- Hill AM, Hoffmann T, Haines TP. Circumstances of falls and falls-related injuries in a cohort of older patients following hospital discharge. Clin Interv Aging 2013; 8: 765-74.
- 75. Mackintosh SF, Goldie P, Hill K. Falls incidence and factors associated with falling in older, community-dwelling, chronic stroke survivors (> 1 year after stroke) and matched controls. Aging Clin Exp Res 2005; 17(2): 74-81.
- 76. Porritt K, Gomersall J,Lockwood C. JBI's Systematic Reviews: Study selection and critical appraisal. Am J Nurs 2014; 114(6): 47-52.

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 5: Study Population

The articles included in the review comprised 15 RCTs and one quasi RCT. [40] Eight of the studies were conducted in Australia [14, 41, 42, 44, 51, 52, 53, 54], two in Germany, [46, 43] and Italy, [40, 47] one in Switzerland, [48] Taiwan, [45] Netherlands [50] and Sweden. [49] The sample sizes ranged from 50 [41] to 530, [42] with a total sample size of 3290. The mean age across the 16 studies was 77 years, with an age range of 70-84. The total number of participants that completed baseline assessment was 3,290 and 2,729 completed final assessments across the 16 studies, with an average retention rate of 83%. The largest drop-out

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

rate was 30%, [50] with 70% of participants retained over a three-month study duration, however this drop-out rate could be explained by the frailty of the population (participants were malnourished). The lowest drop-out rate was 7.4% in a study of one year's duration. [44]

All the selected studies' sample populations consisted of older adults recruited from inpatient wards, 6 of the studies followed patients with a hip fracture, [40, 45, 47-49] 9 followed patients from a rehabilitation ward [14, 40-44, 49-53], and 1 study followed patients who had a diagnosis of stroke from a stroke ward. [54] Twelve studies excluded older adults with cognitive impairment. [14, 40-47, 49, 52, 53] All studies' populations consisted of participants that were discharged home to the community and 4 studies also included 5-17% of participants who were discharged to a residential care setting. [14, 50-52] One study population consisted of 37% of participants discharged to a residential care setting [49] and one study did not specify discharge destination in the inclusion criteria or baseline characteristics. [51]

There was a wide range of baseline characteristics measured across the studies (see Supplementary Appendix 3). Falls risk factors such as number of falls in 1 year prior to admission or inpatient falls, gait, mobility and cognition were recorded in most included studies baseline characteristics. Three studies did not record number of inpatient falls prior to dicharge. [47, 48, 50] As an established clinical predictor of falls following hospital discharge, [74, 75] this would ideally have been required during selection and randomisation to avoid selection bias. [76]

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 6:

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Critical Appraisal of all studies

Study	Adequate sequence generation described	Participant allocation blinding described	Allocation concealmen t described	Incomplete outcome data addressed	Assessor blinding	Similar baseline characteri stics	Groups treated identically other than named interventions	Outcomes measured same for groups	Outcomes measured reliably	Appropriate statistical analysis used
Batchelc et al, 20	or Y 10	Ν	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y
Berggrer al, 2008	net Y	U	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Bischoff Ferrari e al, 2010	- Y t	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	(Y)	Y	Y
Cummin et al, 19	g Y 99	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Di Mona et al, 20	co U 08	Ν	Ν	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Ν	Y
Di Mona et al, 20	co Y 15	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Haines e al, 2009	t Y	Y	Y		Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hauer et 2001	al, U	Ν	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hill et al 2011	, Y	N	0	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hill et al 2013	, Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Huang & Liang,20	ч Ү 05	N	Y	Y	Ν	Y	Ν	Y	U	U
Latham al, 2003	et Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Neelmaa et al, 20	at Y 12	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Nikolaus Bach, 20	s& Y 03	U	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

Sherringto n et al, 2014	Y	N	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Treacy et al, 2015	Y	Ν	Y	Y	Y	Y	U	Y	Y	Y

Key: Y-Yes; N-No; U-Unclear

Quality of studies

There were 14 studies that satisfied concealed random allocation of participants to intervention and control groups, two studies being unclear in their description of randomisation, sequencing, allocation and concealment. [40, 46] Only 2 studies were compliant in blinding of participants, [41, 53] while all studies consistently showed an intention to treat and included loss to follow-up data in the analysis. Three studies did not maintain blinding of outcome assessors, [40, 45, 49] introducing the potential for selective outcome reporting bias. [76] The remaining studies followed international recommendations of reporting falls outcomes, with daily prospective falls reporting using a falls diary coupled with details about falls through a phone call at least once per month. [28]

Studies excluded from meta-analysis following critical appraisal

The trial led by Huang and Liang, [45] provided a discharge plan for older adults in Taiwan returning home from hospital following a falls related hip fracture, and secondary falls outcomes measured were limited to the proportion (number) of fallers and did not include incidence of falls or injurious falls. The study did not limit potential risk of bias as some participants moved between families to enable sharing of carer responsibility – this may have affected the outcomes and blinding of outcome assessors. Due to these disparities in quality, the study was subsequently removed from the meta-analysis.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

The study led by DiMonaco [40] was the only quasi RCT included in the review. This study evaluated occupational therapy home visits for falls prevention by obtaining falls data verbally and retrospectively from participants at the 6-month follow-up home visit. The JBI critical appraisal tool for quasi-experimental studies accommodates an absence of random allocation, however there is still a requirement for outcomes to be measured in a reliable way, and at multiple time points after the intervention is complete. As this study did not measure falls in accordance with recommended guidelines and obtained follow-up data on only one occasion at 6 months post-discharge, it had reduced power to reliably detect falls incidence, [28] hence the study was removed from the meta-analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 7:

Forest plots showing un-pooled effects of interventions on falls outcomes at the individual study level

			Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Cumming et al.1999-OTHome intervention_Falls Hx	-0.287	0.128	0.75 [0.58, 0.96]	-+-
Cumming et al.1999- OT Home intervention_together	-0.15	0.094	0.86 [0.72, 1.03]	+
Cumming et al.1999-OTHome intervention_noFalls Hx	0.058	0.14	1.06 [0.81, 1.39]	-
Footnote: OT-Occupational Therapist Falls Hx-History of falls prior to admi	ssion			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 Favours [intervention] Favours [control]

Figure S1: un-pooled effect of home hazard modification on number of people who fell from study led by *Cumming et al [42]*.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

	Rate Ratio Log[Rate Ratio] SE_IV_Eived_05%_CL				Rate Ratio				
Study or Subgroup	log[Rate Ratio]	SE	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl			IV, Fixed	I, 95% CI		
Nikolaus&Bach.2003-Home intervention_FreqFallsHx	-0.462	0.199	0.63 [0.43, 0.93]						
Nikolaus& Bach.2003-Home intervention_Adherers -0.446 0.251 0.64 [0.39, 1.05]							ł		
Nikolaus&Bach.2003-Home intervention_NoFreqFallsHx	-0.094	0.134	0.91 [0.70, 1.18]			-+	_		
Footnote: FreqFallsHx- History of frequent falls p Adherers – Participants adhered to reco	rior to admi	ission nterv	ention	0.1	0.2 Favours	0.5 [intervention]	1 2 Favours	5 [control]	10

Figure S2: un-pooled effect of home hazard modification on rate of falls in study led by *Nikolaus et al [43].*



Figure S3: un-pooled effect of various falls prevention interventions on rate of falls

injuries

SUPPLEMENTARY APPENDIX 8:

Effectiveness of falls prevention interventions

Cholecalciferol therapy intervention

Two studies were included in analysis of cholecalciferol therapy, one described an increase in rate of falls (per observed patient year) using crude relative rate difference (30, 95% CI -1, 70), the other study described a non-significant effect using raw falls data (number of falls and fallers) and relative risk of a fall (0.96, 95%CI, 0.67, 1.36) though it was unclear if this was formulated based on (study or participant standardized) time or number of participants. The studies differed in falls outcome reporting, dose and duration of cholecalciferol therapy,

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

one provided a daily high-dose (2000 IU) over one year, whereas the other a single dose (300,000IU), thus results of these studies were not pooled.

Falls prevention education intervention

There were two studies in the review that provided falls prevention education, however the results were not pooled due to differences in the content and intended population of the intervention. One provided inpatient falls prevention education, whereas the other delivered education focused on falls prevention in the period after discharge. The education that focused on inpatient falls prevention had no ongoing effect in the 6 months after discharge. The study that delivered falls prevention education just prior to hospital discharge, was effective in reducing falls rates (RR 0.3, 95% CI, 0.08,1.05), however had no significant effect on falls injury rates, or number of fallers (Figure 3, Figure 4).

Geriatric team management intervention

One study provided geriatric team management, however falls rates were not significantly reduced at the study level, expressed as incident rate ratio (IRR 0.64, 95%CI, 0.40, 1.02) (Figure 3).

Inpatient balance circuit training intervention

One study investigated the efficacy of balance circuit training for inpatients over a 2-week period. Falls rates were reduced in hospital, however this did not carry over to the period following hospital discharge (IRR 1.13, 95% CI, 0.65, 1.98). (Figure 3)

High-intensity quadriceps resistance training intervention

https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afy043

One study implemented a high-intensity quadriceps resistance exercise program in the home for older, frail people following hospital discharge, having no significant overall effect on falls rates after 6 months (RR 0.96, 95% CI, 0.67, 1.36). (Figure 3)

Day hospital strength and balance training intervention

A single study provided strength and balance training in a day hospital setting for 3 days per week for 12 weeks, for older women post hip fracture. Participants in the intervention group demonstrated improvements in strength and function, but no significant falls rate reduction during the 6-month post-discharge period (IRR 0.75, 95% CI, 0.46, 1.25) (Figure 3)

END OF DOCUMENT