

2017

Tracking of vitamin D status from childhood to early adulthood and its association with peak bone mass

Kun Zhu

Wendy Oddy

Patrick Holt

Wendy Chan She Ping-Delfos

The University of Notre Dame Australia, wendy.chan.she.ping-delfos@nd.edu.au

Jennifer Mountain

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/med_article

 Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

This article was originally published as:

Zhu, K., Oddy, W., Holt, P., Chan She Ping-Delfos, W., Mountain, J., Lye, S., Pennell, C., Hart, P., & Walsh, J. (2017). Tracking of vitamin D status from childhood to early adulthood and its association with peak bone mass. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 106 (1), 276-283.

<http://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.150524>

This article is posted on ResearchOnline@ND at https://researchonline.nd.edu.au/med_article/905. For more information, please contact researchonline@nd.edu.au.



Authors

Kun Zhu, Wendy Oddy, Patrick Holt, Wendy Chan She Ping-Delfos, Jennifer Mountain, Stephen Lye, Craig Pennell, Prue Hart, and John Walsh

*This article has been accepted for publication in American Journal of Clinical Nutrition
Published by Oxford University Press.*

Zhu, K., Oddy, W., Holt, P., Chan She Ping-Delfos, W., Mountain, J., Lye, S. ... Walsh, J. (2017). Tracking of vitamin D status from childhood to early adulthood and its association with peak bone mass. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 106(1), 276-283.
doi: [10.3945/ajcn.116.150524](https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.150524)

Tracking of vitamin D status from childhood to early adulthood and its association with peak bone mass

Kun Zhu^{1,2}, Wendy H Oddy³, Patrick Holt⁴, Wendy Chan She Ping-Delfos⁵, Jenny Mountain⁶, Stephen Lye⁷, Craig Pennell⁸, Prue H Hart⁴, John P Walsh^{1,2}

¹Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Perth, Australia;

²School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia;

³ Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia;

⁴ Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, Australia;

⁵ University of Notre Dame, Perth, Australia;

⁶ School of Population Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia;

⁷ Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Canada;

⁸ School of Women's and Infants' Health, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

Authors' last names: Zhu, Oddy, Holt, Ping-Delfos, Mountain, Lye, Pennell, Hart, Walsh

Corresponding author: Kun Zhu, PhD, Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Sir Charles Gardiner Hospital, Nedlands, WA 6009, Australia; Email: kun.zhu@uwa.edu.au; Phone: +61 8 6457 4969; Fax: +61 8 6457 4109

Sources of Support: The University of Western Australia, the Raine Medical Research Foundation, Telethon Kids Institute, Women and Infants Research Foundation, Curtin University, Edith Cowan University, the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council, Lions Eye Institute WA, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Running title: Vitamin D status during growth and peak bone mass

Abbreviations: 25(OH)D, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; CV, coefficient of variation; DXA, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; IPAQ, International Physical Activity Questionnaire

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** There are few longitudinal studies of vitamin D status from childhood to early
3 adulthood, and it is uncertain whether vitamin D predicts peak bone mass in young adults.

4 **Objective:** The purpose of this longitudinal study was to evaluate long-term stability of
5 vitamin D status from age 6 to 20 in healthy individuals and to study associations between
6 serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) at different developmental stages and bone mass
7 measured at age 20.

8 **Design:** Participants are offspring of the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study.
9 Serum 25(OH)D was assessed at age 6, 14, 17 and 20, and whole body bone mineral content
10 (BMC) and density (BMD) measured at age 20 using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry
11 (DXA). This analysis included 821 participants (385 females) who had ≥ 3 serum 25(OH)D
12 measures and DXA data. Using latent class growth analysis, four vitamin D status trajectories
13 were identified: consistently lower (n=259), decreasing (n=125), increasing (n=138), and
14 consistently higher (n=299).

15 **Results:** There were significant correlations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations at
16 different time points in both sexes ($r=0.346-0.560$, $P<0.001$), with stronger correlations at
17 adjacent time points. In males, but not females, serum 25(OH)D at 6, 17 and 20 years was
18 positively associated with total body BMC and BMD at 20 years (covariate-adjusted
19 increments of 40.7-53.9 g and 14.7-18.6 mg/cm², respectively, per 25 nmol/L 25(OH)D);
20 when 25(OH)D at all four ages were included in the same model, the level at age 6 remained
21 significant. Males in the “consistently higher” trajectory had 3.2-3.4% higher total body
22 BMC and BMD than those who were “consistently lower”, accounting for age,
23 anthropometric and lifestyle factors.

24 **Conclusion:** Within both sexes, there are moderate associations between vitamin D status
25 measured in pre-puberty, adolescence and early adulthood. Vitamin D status in childhood is a
26 significant predictor of peak bone mass in males but not females.

27 **Key words:** vitamin D status, tracking, early adulthood, peak bone mass, Raine Study

28

29 **Introduction**

30 The physiological importance of vitamin D in calcium homeostasis and bone mineralization
31 is well-established (1), but vitamin D deficiency in children and adolescents is common. In
32 cross-sectional studies, the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in adolescents ranges from 17
33 to 47% in different countries (2). Even in Australia, a country of low latitude, the 2011-2012
34 National Health Measures Survey showed that 15% of children aged 12-17 years and 31% of
35 adults aged 18-34 years were vitamin D-deficient (defined as serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D
36 (25(OH)D) < 50 nmol/L) (3).

37

38 There is evidence that vitamin D status during childhood and adolescence is associated with
39 bone mineral accretion (4-6), but the results have been inconsistent (7, 8). A 3-year,
40 longitudinal study of Finnish girls aged 9-15 years showed that vitamin D status at baseline
41 had significant correlation with change in bone mineral density (BMD) at the lumbar spine
42 and femoral neck (4). In the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children, 25(OH)D₃
43 measured during childhood (9.9 or 11.8 or 7.6 years) positively associated with cortical bone
44 mineral content, cortical thickness and bone strength measured at 50% mid-tibia using
45 peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) at 15.5 years (9). However, in a
46 cross-sectional study from Northern Ireland of adolescents aged 12 and 15, higher serum
47 25(OH)D was associated with higher forearm (but not heel) BMD in girls, but not in boys (7),
48 and in a cross-sectional study of American adolescents, there were no significant associations
49 between vitamin D status and bone mass in males or females (8). It is uncertain whether
50 vitamin D status is stable in individuals during childhood and adolescence, and whether a
51 single measurement (as is typical in cross-sectional and longitudinal studies) is a valid long
52 term measure of vitamin D status. In a longitudinal study of 99 South African adolescents
53 followed from age 11 to 20, there was no significant correlation between 25(OH)D in the

54 earlier and later years of adolescence, and measurement of 25(OH)D at a single time point
55 did not reflect long term vitamin D status (10). By contrast, a large study of Norwegian adults
56 showed significant correlations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations measured 14 years
57 apart ($r = 0.42$ to 0.52) (11).

58

59 To our knowledge, tracking of vitamin D status from childhood to early adulthood and its
60 associations with peak bone mass have not been evaluated in a long-term prospective study.
61 In the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study, serum 25(OH)D was assessed in
62 the offspring at age 6, 14, 17 and 20 years, and whole body dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry
63 (DXA) scanning was performed at age 20. A longitudinal study of Canadian children showed
64 that whole body peak bone mass was generally achieved by 18.5 years of age in girls and 20
65 years in boys (12). The aims of our study were firstly to determine whether tracking of
66 vitamin D status occurs from childhood to young adulthood, and secondly to examine
67 whether vitamin D status at key developmental stages (childhood, adolescence and skeletal
68 maturity) and vitamin D trajectories are predictors of peak bone mass.

69 **Subjects and methods**

70 *Participants*

71 This longitudinal, prospective study included data from 821 offspring (436 males and 385
72 females) from the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study. The original study
73 recruited 2900 pregnant women from the antenatal clinic at King Edward Memorial Hospital
74 and nearby private clinics in Perth, Western Australia between May 1989 and November
75 1991. Inclusion criteria were a gestational age between 16 and 20 weeks, English language
76 skills sufficient to understand the study demands, an expectation to deliver at King Edward
77 Memorial Hospital, and an intention to remain in Western Australia to enable future follow-
78 up of their child (13). All offspring were invited to attend periodic follow-ups. Compared
79 with the general Western Australian population, the Raine cohort at birth was characterized
80 by higher proportions of high-risk births and fathers employed in managerial and professional
81 positions, but comparison of participants remaining in the study at the 14-year follow-up
82 suggested that attrition resulted in a cohort comparable with the general population (14). A
83 total of 1306 offspring participated in the clinical component of the 20 year follow-up, and
84 1183 had a valid whole body DXA scan. The current study is restricted to participants who
85 had a whole body DXA at 20 years and measurements of serum 25(OH)D at three or more of
86 the study time points at age 6, 14, 17 and 20 years. The study at each follow-up was approved
87 by the Human Research Ethics Committee of Princess Margaret Hospital (year 6, 14 and 17)
88 and University of Western Australia (year 20). At each study visit, written informed consent
89 was obtained from parents and/or offspring, as appropriate.

90

91 *Vitamin D status at 6, 14, 17 and 20 years*

92 Fasting venous blood was collected at age 6, 14, 17 and 20, and serum was then securely
93 stored at -80°C. Serum 25(OH)D at ages 6 and 14 was measured using an enzyme

94 immunoassay (EIA) (Immunodiagnostic Systems (IDS) Ltd, Scottsdale, AZ, USA), whereas
95 at ages 17 and 20, isotope-dilution liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry (LC-
96 MS/MS) was performed by RMIT Drug Discovery Technologies (Melbourne, Victoria,
97 Australia) according to published methodology (15). In 12 participants aged 14 years both
98 methods were used, with a strong correlation ($r^2 = 0.933$) (16). Analysis of 50 samples
99 from participants aged 6 years revealed that EIA overestimated 25(OH)D compared with LC-
100 MS/MS, particularly for 25(OH)D values over 100 nmol/L. Therefore an equation developed
101 using Weighted Deming Regression (17) was used to calculate standardized 25(OH)D values
102 at year 6: standardized 25(OH)D = 22.3 + 0.58 * EIA. Since the enzyme immunoassay at the 6
103 and 14-year follow-ups did not differentiate between serum 25(OH)D₂ and 25(OH)D₃,
104 analyses at all four time points were performed on total serum 25(OH)D concentrations (18).
105 The inter-assay coefficients of variations (CVs) for the EIA were low standard (40.3 nmol/L)
106 4.6%, medium standard (72.0 nmol/L) 6.4%, and high standard (132.0 nmol/L) 8.7%. For
107 the LC-MS/MS, the CVs for 25(OH)D₃ were low standard (27.1 nmol/L) 7.1%, medium
108 standard (75.4 nmol/L) 5.0%, and high standard (163.8 nmol/L) 5.3%; the CVs for
109 25(OH)D₂ were low standard (23.4 nmol/L) 8.8%, medium standard (66.0 nmol/L) 6.7%,
110 and high standard (150.1 nmol/L) 6.7%.

111

112 Latent class growth analysis which can discern classes defined by different developmental
113 trajectories was used to estimate trajectories of vitamin D status, in which serum 25(OH)D
114 concentrations at each time point were categorized into four groups according to quartile. Sex
115 was used as an active covariate in the models and a series of models with between 1 and 8
116 trajectories were estimated. Four trajectories were chosen based on a combination of
117 statistical criteria, parsimony and interpretability (19). Participants were assigned to the
118 trajectory class for which they had the highest posterior probability of membership. The four

119 vitamin D status trajectories identified were: consistently lower (most values in the two
120 bottom quartiles, n = 259), decreasing (moving from the two top quartiles to the two bottom
121 quartiles over time, n = 125), increasing (moving from the two bottom quartiles to the two
122 top quartiles over time, n = 138), and consistently higher (most values in the two top quartiles,
123 n = 299).

124

125 *Whole body DXA at 20 years*

126 Whole body scanning was performed at the 20 year follow-up visit using DXA on a Norland
127 XR-36 densitometer (Norland Medical Systems, Inc., Fort Atkinson, WI, USA), according to
128 manufacturer-recommended procedures. Analysis of scans was performed using built-in
129 machine software (version 4.3.0) which provided estimates of whole body BMC (g), bone
130 area (cm²) and areal BMD (g/cm²). Daily calibration was performed prior to each scanning
131 session, and the inter-scan coefficient of variation was less than 2%.

132

133 *Other assessments*

134 At age 6, 14, 17 and 20 years, body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg with subjects
135 dressed in light clothes, and height measured with a hypsometer to the nearest 0.1 cm. Body
136 mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)², and data on organized sports
137 participation and TV watching collected using a questionnaire. Month and year of menarche
138 in girls were recorded at 14 years. A validated semi-quantitative food frequency
139 questionnaire from the Cancer Council Victoria (20) was used to assess dietary intake
140 including calcium and alcohol intake at 20 years. Physical activity level at 20 years was
141 assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), and categorized as
142 low, medium and high according to the IPAQ scoring protocol (21). Information on smoking
143 habit and oral contraceptive using in females at 20 years was collected using a questionnaire.

144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167

Statistical analysis

Variables are presented as mean (SD) unless otherwise stated. The normality of continuous variables was checked through the construction of histograms. The characteristics of participants included in the present study were compared with those of the whole Raine Study cohort to determine whether participants were representative of the broader cohort. These comparisons as well as those between male and female participants were made using Student's t test and chi-square test. The tracking of vitamin D status was assessed using Pearson's correlation analysis to calculate the correlation coefficients between serum 25(OH)D measured at different time points. In addition, intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was obtained from a linear mixed model with 25(OH)D measures at all time points as the dependent variable, age as timeline, subject effects as random and season as covariate (for models with raw values), and the square root of the ICC is given as an estimate of the correlation between any two 25(OH)D measures for the same individual. Associations between serum 25OHD at different ages (6, 14, 17 and 20 years) and total body BMC and BMD at age 20 were evaluated using linear regression analyses in males and females separately, adjusting for covariates including season of blood collection, age, height, body weight, TV watching (22), organized sports participation or physical activity level at the time of 25(OH)D assessment and at age 20, and calcium intake, smoking, alcohol consumption and bone area (for the models for BMC only) at 20 years. To account for seasonal variation of vitamin D status, deseasonalized vitamin D concentrations were calculated using a published formula (23), and the above analyses were repeated using the deseasonalized values (without inclusion of season as a covariate).

168 Comparisons between those with serum 25(OH)D concentrations below or above the
169 sufficiency level of 50 nmol/L (24) at age 17 and 20 and between the four vitamin D status
170 trajectories (consistently lower, decreasing, increasing, consistently higher) on bone
171 outcomes were made by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with Bonferroni post hoc test
172 adjusted for age, height, body weight, physical activity, TV watching, calcium intake,
173 smoking, alcohol consumption and bone area (for BMC only) at 20 years (the models for age
174 17 additionally adjusted for covariates at 17 years). In females, further analyses were made
175 including age of menarche and oral contraceptive use as covariates. The homogeneity of
176 variance of each model was checked by Levene's Test. Statistical significance level was set at
177 $P < 0.05$ (two-tailed). All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS (version 22, IBM,
178 Chicago, IL, USA) and R (version 3.3.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,
179 Austria).

180 **Results**

181 *Characteristics of participants*

182 In total 821 participants (436 males and 385 females) who underwent whole body DXA
183 scanning at age 20 years, and who had measurements of serum 25(OH)D from three or more
184 study visits at age 6, 14, 17 and 20 were included in this analysis (**Supplemental Figure 1**).
185 Compared with Raine participants who had whole body DXA at 20 years but were not
186 included in the analysis (n = 362), the subsample included in our study (n = 821) did not
187 differ in age, body weight, BMI and total body BMD. The characteristics of participants at 6,
188 14, 17 and 20 are presented in **Table 1**. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations did not differ
189 significantly between males and females at 6 and 17 years, but at age 14, mean 25(OH)D was
190 significantly higher in males, whereas at age 20 it was higher in females. In girls, the mean
191 age of menarche was 12.8 ± 1.1 years, and at age 20, 56.5% of females were using an oral
192 contraceptive.

193

194 *Tracking of vitamin D status*

195 There were significant correlations between serum 25(OH)D concentrations measured at
196 different time points in both males (raw values $r = 0.360-0.560$; deseasonalized values $r =$
197 $0.440-0.673$, all $P < 0.001$) and females (raw values $r = 0.346-0.537$; deseasonalized values $r =$
198 $0.399-0.629$, $P < 0.001$), and the associations were stronger at adjacent time points (**Table**
199 **2**). The square root of ICC range was 0.667-0.697 for these values (Table 2), and were very
200 similar (0.684 for males and 0.667 for females) when using the unstandardized (measured)
201 values at year 6 in the models. When analyzed according to whether serum 25(OH)D was
202 below or above the median at age 6, it was found that the majority of participants (64.4-69.7%
203 of males and 58.9-66.5% of females) remained in the same group (i.e. below or above the
204 median) at age 14, 17 and 20 (**Supplemental Table 1**).

205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228

Relationship between vitamin D status and peak bone mass

In males, serum 25(OH)D concentration using the raw values at age 6, 17 and 20 years was positively associated with total body BMC and BMD at age 20, with regression coefficients of 40.7-53.9 g for BMC and 14.7-18.6 mg/cm² for BMD per 25 nmol/L increase in 25(OH)D (all P<0.05) after adjustment for season of blood collection, age, height, weight, TV watching, organized sports participation or physical activity level at the time of 25(OH)D assessment and at age 20, and calcium intake, smoking, alcohol consumption and bone area (for BMC only) at age 20 (**Table 3**). When measured (unstandardized) 25(OH)D values at year 6 were used instead for the year 6 models, the regression coefficient (95% CI) was slightly lower as 31.3 (3.6, 59.0) g for BMC, and 10.8 (1.1, 20.5) mg/cm² for BMD. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations at age 14 in males and at age 6, 14, 17 and 20 in females were not significantly correlated with total body bone measures at 20 years (Table 3). In females, the results remained similar after further adjustment for age of menarche and oral contraceptive use (data not shown). Using deseasonalized 25(OH)D values yielded similar results (Table 3).

In a further regression model in males where 25(OH)D at the four different ages were simultaneously included, only 25(OH)D at year 6 remained significant, with regression coefficient (95% CI) of 50.6 (6.7, 94.4) g for BMC, and 18.1 (2.6, 33.6) mg/cm² for BMD per 25 nmol/L increase in serum 25(OH)D. When measured (unstandardized) 25(OH)D values at year 6 were used instead, the regression coefficient (95% CI) was slightly lower at 29.3 (3.9, 54.8) g for BMC, and 10.5 (1.5, 19.5) mg/cm² for BMD. Using deseasonalized 25(OH)D values yielded similar results (data not shown).

229 There were 1.0%, 3.9%, 15.4% and 16.7% participants with serum 25(OHD) below 50
230 nmol/L at age 6, 14, 17 and 20, respectively. Comparing to those with serum 25(OHD) below
231 50 nmol/L, those with serum 25(OHD) \geq 50 nmol/L had 3.8-4.1% higher total body BMC
232 and BMD at age 17 and 20 (**Table 4**).

233

234 *Vitamin D trajectories and peak bone mass*

235 The mean serum 25(OH)D values at each time point for the four vitamin D status trajectories
236 identified (consistently lower, decreasing, increasing and consistently higher) are presented
237 in **Figure 1**. At age 20, males in the “consistently higher” vitamin D trajectory had 3.2% and
238 3.4% higher total body BMC and BMD, respectively, compared with those in the
239 “consistently lower” category, after accounting for age, height, body weight, physical activity,
240 TV watching, calcium intake, smoking, alcohol consumption and bone area (for BMC only)
241 at 20 years (BMC 3222 ± 21 vs 3123 ± 24 g, $P = 0.013$; BMD 1135 ± 7 vs 1098 ± 8 mg/cm²,
242 $P = 0.008$) (**Figure 2**). In females, there were no significant differences between the four
243 trajectory classes in total body bone measures at 20 years after adjustment for these
244 covariates (Figure 2), or after further adjustment for age of menarche and oral contraceptive
245 use (data not shown).

246 **Discussion**

247 In this longitudinal study of 821 boys and girls examined at age 6, 14, 17 and 20, we found
248 evidence of tracking of vitamin D status, with significant associations between vitamin D in
249 individuals assessed at pre-puberty, adolescence and early adulthood in both genders. In
250 males, but not females, serum 25(OH)D at age 6, 17 and 20 was a significant predictor of
251 total body BMC and BMD at 20 years, with the level at age 6 remaining significant when
252 25(OH)D at four different ages were included in the same model. Males in the “consistently
253 higher” vitamin D trajectory had significantly higher total body BMC and BMD than those in
254 the “consistently lower” category, after accounting for age, anthropometric and lifestyle
255 factors. Childhood and adolescence are critical periods for bone mineral accretion, and
256 achieving optimal peak bone mass at skeletal maturity is considered an effective strategy
257 against osteoporosis in later life. An increase in peak bone mass by one standard deviation is
258 estimated to reduce the osteoporotic fracture risk in later life by 50% (25). Therefore the
259 magnitude of the difference observed in total body BMD in our study (~0.35 SD) may be
260 clinically relevant, with implications for reducing the fracture risk in later life.

261

262 Vitamin D has well-established physiological roles in calcium absorption and bone
263 mineralization, and an association between vitamin D status in childhood/adolescence and
264 peak bone mass is biologically plausible. The basis for the sex difference observed in the
265 present study is uncertain, but may arise from differences in sex hormone effects in bone
266 between males and females. Estrogens and androgens influence the growth and maintenance
267 of bones and muscles and are responsible for sexual dimorphism. Estrogen is needed for
268 closure of epiphyseal growth plates in both sexes (26), and the more rapid epiphyseal
269 maturation in girls compared with boys is mostly due to higher circulating estradiol levels
270 (27). Estrogen stimulates renal 1- α hydroxylase activity, converting 25(OH)D to the more

271 biologically active hormone 1,25(OH)₂D (28), stimulates vitamin D receptor expression via
272 activation of the ERK 1/2 signaling pathway (29), and increases intestinal calcium absorption
273 through vitamin D-dependent, and possibly vitamin D-independent mechanisms (30).
274 Estrogen also reduces circulating levels of sclerostin, an inhibitor of Wnt signaling, which
275 has anti-anabolic effects on bone (31). It is thus possible that in females, estrogenic effects
276 may counteract the effects of lower 25(OH)D levels, whereas in males this compensatory
277 effect is absent, explaining the sex difference in our results. In the same cohort, associations
278 between vitamin D status, atopy and asthma at age 6 and 14 years were seen mainly in boys
279 (16). Another possible explanation is that males experience a longer period of bone mineral
280 accretion and require a greater amount of calcium than females (12), and therefore may be
281 more at risk from suboptimal intestinal calcium absorption associated with lower vitamin D
282 levels. Consistent with our findings, in a Korean study of 1926 men and 2350 women aged
283 10-40 years, significant positive associations between serum 25(OH)D and BMD of spine
284 and hip were observed in men but not women (32).

285

286 The significant, moderate correlations observed between serum 25(OH)D concentrations at
287 age 6, 14, 17 and 20 in our West Australian cohort differ from a study of South African
288 adolescents, in which no association was found between 25(OH)D values measured in early
289 and late adolescence (10). Our results are consistent with data from Norwegian adults, in
290 whom, after seasonal adjustment, the correlation coefficients of serum 25(OH)D
291 concentrations measured 14 years apart ranged between 0.42 to 0.52, similar to those seen for
292 cardiovascular risk factors, blood pressure and lipids (11), and close to the coefficients
293 observed in our study. In the majority of our participants, vitamin D status at 6 years with
294 respect to the quartile they were in predicted their vitamin D status at subsequent visits. Such
295 tracking in vitamin D status from childhood to young adulthood may be due to multiple

296 factors known to affect vitamin D status, including genetic factors, body fatness, and lifestyle
297 factors such as dietary intake and sunlight exposure (18, 33). In a meta-analysis of six
298 randomized controlled trials of vitamin D supplementation in healthy children, there was no
299 significant effect of vitamin D on BMC or BMD overall, but there was a significant positive
300 effect on total body BMC in children with low baseline 25(OH)D levels (<35 nmol/L) (34).
301 Therefore, optimizing vitamin D status in children and adolescents could play an important
302 role in promoting the attainment of optimal peak bone mass.

303

304 A strength of this study is the measurement of serum 25(OH)D at multiple time points,
305 allowing the longitudinal analysis of vitamin D at different developmental stages and use of
306 trajectories as predictors of peak bone mass. Other strengths include the large sample size,
307 prospective, detailed data collection and assessment of bone mass at the age of accrual of
308 peak bone mass (12). Our study also has limitations. Firstly, its observational nature means
309 we cannot assume that the relationships between vitamin D status and bone are causal.

310 Although we adjusted for important confounding variables including anthropometric
311 measures and lifestyle factors, the significant associations observed may be due to potential
312 residual or uncontrolled confounders. Secondly, the majority of participants were Caucasian,
313 with median serum 25(OH)D concentrations between 70 and 80 nmol/L at different time
314 points; the study findings may not be applicable to other ethnic groups or communities with
315 substantially different vitamin D status. Thirdly, two different methods were used to measure
316 serum 25(OH)D. This may affect measured 25(OH)D values, but should have minimal or no
317 effect on ranking and trajectory. In addition, we used standardized values at age 6 to correct
318 for between-method differences and also presented analyses results using unstandardized
319 values, whereas at age 14 there was good agreement between the methods. Finally, we did
320 not measure BMD at fracture-relevant sites such as spine and hip, but previous studies have

321 shown the value of total body BMD in predicting hip fracture (35) and a close relationship
322 between BMD measures of total body, lumbar spine and hip (36).

323

324 In conclusion, we found moderate associations between serum 25(OH)D measured at pre-
325 puberty, adolescence and early adulthood in both genders, and evidence of tracking of
326 vitamin D status across key developmental stages. In males, but not females, vitamin D status
327 in childhood and adolescence was a significant, independent predictor of peak bone mass at
328 age 20. Optimizing vitamin D status during childhood may play a role in achievement of
329 optimal peak bone mass, particularly in males, which may in turn reduce the risk of fracture
330 in adult life.

331 **Acknowledgments**

332 We gratefully acknowledge the efforts of the Raine Study participants, the Raine Study team
333 for study co-ordination and data collection, and Denise Anderson for developing the
334 Weighted Deming Regression equation for calculating standardized 25(OH)D values at 6
335 years.

336 **Authors' contributions:** KZ, CP, and JPW designed research; WO, PH, WCSP-D, JM, SL,
337 CP, PHH conducted research; KZ analyzed data; KZ and JPW wrote the paper and had
338 primary responsibility for final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

339 **Conflict of Interest Statement:** KZ, WO, PH, WCSP-D, JM, SL, CP, PHH, and JPW
340 declare that they have no conflict of interest.

341 **Role of the Sponsor:** None of the funding agencies had any role in the design and conduct of
342 the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation,
343 review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

1. DeLuca HF. Evolution of our understanding of vitamin D. *Nutr Rev.* 2008;66(10 Suppl 2):S73-87.
2. Golden NH, Abrams SA, Committee on Nutrition. Optimizing bone health in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics.* 2014;134(4):e1229-43.
3. ABS. 4364.0.55.006 - Australian Health Survey: Biomedical Results for Nutrients, 2011-12. Internet:
<http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Lookup/4364.0.55.006Chapter2002011-12>
(accessed 3 October 2016).
4. Lehtonen-Veromaa MK, Mottonen TT, Nuotio IO, Irjala KM, Leino AE, Viikari JS. Vitamin D and attainment of peak bone mass among peripubertal Finnish girls: a 3-y prospective study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;76(6):1446-53.
5. El-Hajj Fuleihan G, Nabulsi M, Tamim H, Maalouf J, Salamoun M, Khalife H, Choucair M, Arabi A, Vieth R. Effect of vitamin D replacement on musculoskeletal parameters in school children: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2006;91(2):405-12.
6. Farrar MD, Mughal MZ, Adams JE, Wilkinson J, Berry JL, Edwards L, Kift R, Marjanovic E, Vail A, Webb AR, et al. Sun Exposure Behavior, Seasonal Vitamin D Deficiency, and Relationship to Bone Health in Adolescents. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016;101(8):3105-13.
7. Cashman KD, Hill TR, Cotter AA, Boreham CA, Dubitzky W, Murray L, Strain J, Flynn A, Robson PJ, Wallace JM, et al. Low vitamin D status adversely affects bone health parameters in adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;87(4):1039-44.

8. Abrams SA, Griffin IJ, Hawthorne KM, Gunn SK, Gundberg CM, Carpenter TO. Relationships among vitamin D levels, parathyroid hormone, and calcium absorption in young adolescents. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005;90(10):5576-81.
9. Sayers A, Fraser WD, Lawlor DA, Tobias JH. 25-Hydroxyvitamin-D3 levels are positively related to subsequent cortical bone development in childhood: findings from a large prospective cohort study. *Osteoporos Int.* 2012;23(8):2117-28.
10. Poopedi MA, Norris SA, Micklesfield LK, Pettifor JM. Does vitamin D status track through adolescence? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2015;102(5):1025-9.
11. Jorde R, Sneve M, Hutchinson M, Emaus N, Figenschau Y, Grimnes G. Tracking of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels during 14 years in a population-based study and during 12 months in an intervention study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2010;171(8):903-8.
12. Baxter-Jones AD, Faulkner RA, Forwood MR, Mirwald RL, Bailey DA. Bone mineral accrual from 8 to 30 years of age: an estimation of peak bone mass. *J Bone Miner Res.* 2011;26(8):1729-39.
13. Newnham JP, Evans SF, Michael CA, Stanley FJ, Landau LI. Effects of frequent ultrasound during pregnancy: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 1993;342(8876):887-91.
14. Robinson M, Oddy WH, McLean NJ, Jacoby P, Pennell CE, de Klerk NH, Zubrick SR, Stanley FJ, Newnham JP. Low-moderate prenatal alcohol exposure and risk to child behavioural development: a prospective cohort study. *BJOG.* 2010;117(9):1139-50.
15. Maunsell Z, Wright DJ, Rainbow SJ. Routine isotope-dilution liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry assay for simultaneous measurement of the 25-hydroxy metabolites of vitamins D2 and D3. *Clin Chem.* 2005;51(9):1683-90.

16. Hollams EM, Hart PH, Holt BJ, Serralha M, Parsons F, de Klerk NH, Zhang G, Sly PD, Holt PG. Vitamin D and atopy and asthma phenotypes in children: a longitudinal cohort study. *Eur Respir J*. 2011;38(6):1320-7.
17. Manuilova E, Schuetzenmeister A, Model F. mcr: Method Comparison Regression. R package version 1.2.1. Internet: <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mcr> (accessed 23 June 2014).
18. Black LJ, Burrows SA, Jacoby P, Oddy WH, Beilin LJ, Chan She Ping-Delfos W, Marshall CE, Holt PG, Hart PH, Mori TA. Vitamin D status and predictors of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in Western Australian adolescents. *Br J Nutr*. 2014;112(7):1154-62.
19. Jung T, Wickrama KAS. An introduction to latent class growth analysis and growth mixture modeling. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*. 2008;2(1):302-17.
20. Hodge A, Patterson AJ, Brown WJ, Ireland P, Giles G. The Anti Cancer Council of Victoria FFQ: relative validity of nutrient intakes compared with weighed food records in young to middle-aged women in a study of iron supplementation. *Aust N Z J Public Health*. 2000;24(6):576-83.
21. IPAQ. Internet: <http://www.ipaq.ki.se/scoring.htm> (accessed 3 October 2016).
22. McVeigh JA, Zhu K, Mountain J, Pennell CE, Lye SJ, Walsh JP, Straker LM. Longitudinal Trajectories of Television Watching Across Childhood and Adolescence Predict Bone Mass at Age 20 Years in the Raine Study. *J Bone Miner Res*. 2016; 31(11):2032-2040.
23. Gelfand JM, Cree BA, McElroy J, Oksenberg J, Green R, Mowry EM, Miller JW, Hauser SL, Green AJ. Vitamin D in African Americans with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology*. 2011;76(21):1824-30.

24. Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D*. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, 2010.
25. Bonjour JP, Chevalley T. Pubertal timing, bone acquisition, and risk of fracture throughout life. *Endocr Rev*. 2014;35(5):820-47.
26. Vaananen HK, Harkonen PL. Estrogen and bone metabolism. *Maturitas*. 1996;23 Suppl:S65-9.
27. Cutler GB, Jr. The role of estrogen in bone growth and maturation during childhood and adolescence. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 1997;61(3-6):141-4.
28. Gallagher JC, Riggs BL, DeLuca HF. Effect of estrogen on calcium absorption and serum vitamin D metabolites in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1980;51(6):1359-64.
29. Gilad LA, Bresler T, Gnainsky J, Smirnoff P, Schwartz B. Regulation of vitamin D receptor expression via estrogen-induced activation of the ERK 1/2 signaling pathway in colon and breast cancer cells. *J Endocrinol*. 2005;185(3):577-92.
30. Fleet JC, Schoch RD. Molecular mechanisms for regulation of intestinal calcium absorption by vitamin D and other factors. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci*. 2010;47(4):181-95.
31. Papapoulos SE. Targeting sclerostin as potential treatment of osteoporosis. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2011;70 Suppl 1:i119-22.
32. Lim JS, Kim KM, Rhee Y, Lim SK. Gender-dependent skeletal effects of vitamin D deficiency in a younger generation. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97(6):1995-2004.
33. DeLuca HF. Overview of general physiologic features and functions of vitamin D. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004;80(6 Suppl):1689S-96S.
34. Winzenberg T, Powell S, Shaw KA, Jones G. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on bone density in healthy children: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2011;342:c7254.

35. Schott AM, Cormier C, Hans D, Favier F, Hausherr E, Dargent-Molina P, Delmas PD, Ribot C, Sebert JL, Breart G. How hip and whole-body bone mineral density predict hip fracture in elderly women: the EPIDOS Prospective Study. *Osteoporos Int.* 1998;8(3):247-54.
36. Franck H, Munz M. Total body and regional bone mineral densitometry (BMD) and soft tissue measurements: correlations of BMD parameter to lumbar spine and hip. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2000;67(2):111-5.

Table 1 Characteristics of male and female participants at each time point

	Male	Female	P¹
6 years	<i>n = 271</i>	<i>n = 228</i>	
Age, year	5.9 ± 0.2	5.9 ± 0.2	0.822
Weight, kg	21.4 ± 3.6	20.9 ± 2.8	0.031
Height, cm	116.1 ± 5.1	115.5 ± 4.5	0.186
25(OH)D measured, nmol/L	105.4 ± 34.3	101.2 ± 28.8	0.138
25(OH)D standardized, nmol/L	83.4 ± 19.9	81.0 ± 16.7	0.138
25(OH)D deseasonalized, nmol/L	83.2 ± 18.9	81.3 ± 15.7	0.226
Watch TV ≥ 2 hours/day, %	25.1	19.2	0.057
Participated in organized sports, %	35.9	32.6	0.363
14 years	<i>n = 412</i>	<i>n = 366</i>	
Age, year	14.1 ± 0.2	14.1 ± 0.2	0.285
Weight, kg	57.4 ± 12.6	56.0 ± 10.4	0.091
Height, cm	165.9 ± 8.5	162.8 ± 6.3	<0.001
25(OH)D, nmol/L	89.6 ± 31.0	83.7 ± 28.6	0.005
25(OH)D deseasonalized, nmol/L	89.9 ± 26.6	83.3 ± 25.4	<0.001
Watch TV ≥ 2 hours/day, %	47.7	44.5	0.391
Participated in organized sports, %	94.0	87.6	0.002
17 years	<i>n = 409</i>	<i>n = 366</i>	
Age, year	17.0 ± 0.2	17.1 ± 0.3	0.037
Weight, kg	70.7 ± 13.2	62.8 ± 10.9	<0.001
Height, cm	177.5 ± 7.0	166.7 ± 6.6	<0.001
25(OH)D, nmol/L	74.7 ± 28.6	75.1 ± 26.2	0.857
25(OH)D deseasonalized, nmol/L	75.4 ± 25.2	75.1 ± 23.9	0.871

Watch TV \geq 2 hours/day, %	31.3	28.8	0.497
Participated in organized sports, %	85.5	72.3	<0.001
20 years	<i>n</i> = 436	<i>n</i> = 385	
Age, year	20.0 \pm 0.4	20.0 \pm 0.4	0.312
Weight, kg	76.8 \pm 14.1	65.5 \pm 12.7	<0.001
Height, cm	178.3 \pm 7.1	166.3 \pm 6.4	<0.001
BMI, kg/m ²	24.1 \pm 3.9	23.7 \pm 4.6	0.198
25(OH)D, nmol/L	70.6 \pm 24.3	75.2 \pm 26.2	0.009
25(OH)D deseasonalized, nmol/L	71.3 \pm 22.2	75.6 \pm 24.8	0.010
Watch TV \geq 2 hours/day, %	26.5	26.1	0.931
Physical activity, %			
Low	8.0	13.1	<0.001
Moderate	33.6	52.6	
High	58.4	34.4	
Current smoker, %	15.6	12.5	0.274
Alcohol intake \geq 3 units/day, %	16.6	4.6	<0.001
Calcium intake, mg/day	1029.6 \pm 435.8	803.4 \pm 334.9	<0.001
Total body BMC, g	3182 \pm 429	2719 \pm 325	<0.001
Total body bone area, cm ²	2830 \pm 192	2649 \pm 181	<0.001
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	1122 \pm 107	1025 \pm 83	<0.001

Values are mean \pm SD unless otherwise stated. 25(OH)D, 25 hydroxyvitamin D; BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density.

¹ Student's t-test or chi-square test.

Table 2 Correlations between individual participants' 25(OH)D concentrations measured at 6, 14, 17 and 20 years

	Raw values			Deseasonalized values		
	Year 14	Year 17	Year 20	Year 14	Year 17	Year 20
<i>Male</i>	<i>n</i> = 412	<i>n</i> = 409	<i>n</i> = 422	<i>n</i> = 412	<i>n</i> = 409	<i>n</i> = 422
Year 6 (<i>n</i> = 271)	0.441 ¹	0.455 ¹	0.399 ¹	0.483 ¹	0.473 ¹	0.440 ¹
Year 14 (<i>n</i> = 412)		0.560 ¹	0.360 ¹		0.577 ¹	0.483 ¹
Year 17 (<i>n</i> = 409)			0.501 ¹			0.673 ¹
Square root of ICC ²		0.667			0.691	
<i>Female</i>	<i>n</i> = 366	<i>n</i> = 366	<i>n</i> = 371	<i>n</i> = 366	<i>n</i> = 366	<i>n</i> = 371
Year 6 (<i>n</i> = 228)	0.473 ¹	0.394 ¹	0.358 ¹	0.493 ¹	0.430 ¹	0.399 ¹
Year 14 (<i>n</i> = 366)		0.412 ¹	0.346 ¹		0.463 ¹	0.487 ¹
Year 17 (<i>n</i> = 368)			0.537 ¹			0.629 ¹
Square root of ICC ²		0.667			0.697	

¹ P < 0.001, Pearson correlation coefficients.

² Square root of ICC (intraclass correlation coefficient) obtained from linear mixed model with subject effects as random, age as timeline and adjusted for season for raw values.

Table 3 Associations between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D at each time point and 20 year total body bone measures

	Regression coefficients (95% CI) per 25 nmol/L increase in serum 25(OH)D concentration			
	Year 6	Year 14	Year 17	Year 20
	(M = 271, F = 228)	(M = 412, F = 366)	(M = 409, F = 366)	(M = 422, F = 371)
Male (raw values)				
Total body BMC, g	53.9 (6.2, 101.6) ¹	12.8 (-10.6, 36.2)	40.7 (13.5, 68.0)	43.5 (12.0, 74.9)
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	18.6 (1.9, 35.3)	4.7 (-3.5, 13.0)	14.7 (5.1, 24.3)	15.7 (4.7, 26.7)
Male (deseasonalized values)				
Total body BMC, g	48.4 (0.01, 96.8)	6.0 (-19.8, 31.9)	42.6 (13.4, 71.7)	38.8 (6.1, 71.6)
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	16.6 (-0.3, 33.5)	2.2 (-6.9, 11.3)	15.2 (5.0, 25.5)	14.0 (2.6, 25.5)
Female (raw values)				
Total body BMC, g	2.4 (-39.8, 44.6)	1.6 (-16.4, 19.7)	-8.1 (-31.1, 14.8)	7.9 (-13.0, 28.8)
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	1.1 (-15.1, 17.2)	0.02 (-6.9, 6.9)	-2.2 (-11.0, 6.6)	2.7 (-5.2, 10.7)
Female (deseasonalized values)				
Total body BMC, g	-5.2 (-50.6, 40.2)	5.5 (-14.0, 25.0)	-4.3 (-27.5, 19.0)	6.1 (-14.9, 27.2)
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	-2.4 (-19.7, 14.9)	1.2 (-6.3, 8.7)	-0.8 (-9.6, 8.1)	2.1(-5.9, 10.1)

BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density.

¹ Multiple linear regression models adjusted for age, height, weight, TV watching, organised sports participation or physical activity level at time of 25(OH)D measurement and 20 year, calcium intake, smoking, and alcohol consumption at 20 years, and additionally adjusted for bone area for the models for BMC and season of blood collection for models using raw values.

Table 4 Comparisons between those with 25-hydroxyvitamin D below or above 50 nmol/L at 17 and 20 years and total body bone measures at age 20

	25(OH)D at year 17		25(OH)D at year 20	
	<50 nmol/L	≥50 nmol/L	<50 nmol/L	≥50 nmol/L
Male, n	65	344	76	346
Total body BMC, g	3106 ± 37	3226 ± 16 ¹	3096 ± 32	3215 ± 15 ²
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	1094 ± 13	1136 ± 6 ¹	1088 ± 11	1133 ± 5 ²
Female, n	54	312	56	315
Total body BMC, g	2672 ± 30	2718 ± 12	2701 ± 29	2707 ± 11
Total body BMD, mg/cm ²	1006 ± 11	1025 ± 5	1018 ± 11	1023 ± 4

Values are estimated mean ± SEM. BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density.

¹P = 0.004, ²P = 0.001 compared with 25(OH)D < 50 nmol/L at the same age, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) adjusted for season of blood collection, age, height, weight, TV watching, organised sports participation or physical activity level at time of 25(OH)D measurement and 20 year, calcium intake, smoking, and alcohol consumption at 20 years, and additionally adjusted for bone area for the models for BMC.

Figure legends

Figure 1 Mean serum 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D) both sexes combined at each time point by trajectory classes, error bar represents standard deviation.

Figure 2 Comparison of estimated means of total body bone mineral content (BMC) and density (BMD) by vitamin D status trajectory classes. N = 136, 62, 64 and 174 for males and 123, 63, 74 and 125 for females in the consistently lower, decreasing, increasing and consistently higher groups, respectively. Error bar represents standard error, analysis of covariance with Bonferroni post hoc test, adjusted for age, height, body weight, bone area (for the models for BMC only), physical activity, TV watching, calcium intake, smoking and alcohol consumption at 20 years.

Figure 1




Figure 2

