



William J. Sutherland, Lynn V. Dicks, Nancy Ockendon, Silviu O. Petrovan and Rebecca K. Smith (dir.)

What Works in Conservation 2018

Open Book Publishers

11. Some aspects of enhancing natural pest control

Hugh L. Wright, Joscelyne E. Ashpole, Lynn V. Dicks, James Hutchison, Caitlin G. McCormack and William J. Sutherland

Publisher: Open Book Publishers
Place of publication: Open Book Publishers
Year of publication: 2018
Published on OpenEdition Books: 21 March 2019
Serie: OBP collection
Electronic ISBN: 9791036524547



<http://books.openedition.org>

Electronic reference

WRIGHT, Hugh L. ; et al. 11. *Some aspects of enhancing natural pest control* In: *What Works in Conservation 2018* [online]. Cambridge: Open Book Publishers, 2018 (generated 26 April 2021). Available on the Internet: <<http://books.openedition.org/obp/6770>>. ISBN: 9791036524547.

11. SOME ASPECTS OF ENHANCING NATURAL PEST CONTROL

Hugh L. Wright, Joscelyne E. Ashpole, Lynn V. Dicks, James Hutchison, Caitlin G. McCormack & William J. Sutherland

Expert assessors

Barbara Smith, Game and Wildlife Conservation Trust, UK

Tony Harding, Rothamsted Research, UK

Anthony Goggin, Linking Environment and Farming (LEAF), UK

Felix Wackers, BioBest/University of Lancaster, Belgium/UK

Melvyn Fidgett, Syngenta, UK

Michael Garratt, University of Reading, UK

Michelle Fountain, East Malling Research, UK

Phillip Effingham, Greentech Consultants, UK

Stephanie Williamson, Pesticides Action Network, UK

Toby Bruce, Rothamsted Research, UK

Andrew Wilby, University of Lancaster, UK

Eve Veromann, Estonian University of Life Sciences, Estonia

Mattias Jonsson, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Sweden

Vicky Kindemba, Buglife, UK

Steve Sait, University of Leeds, UK

Scope of assessment: 22 of 92 possible actions to enhance natural regulation of pests (including animals, plants, fungi, bacteria and viruses) in agricultural systems across the world.

Assessed: 2014.

Effectiveness measure is the median % score.

Certainty measure is the median % certainty of evidence, determined by the quantity and quality of the evidence in the synopsis.

Harm measure is the median % score for negative side-effects for the farmer such as reduced yield and profits or increased costs.