

## Thomas Jefferson University Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Faculty Papers

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine

8-7-2018

## Cannabis shenanigans: advocating for the restoration of an effective treatment of pain following spinal cord injury.

Daniel E. Graves, PhD Thomas Jefferson University, daniel.graves@jefferson.edu

## Let us know how access to this document benefits you

Follow this and additional works at: https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rmfp

Part of the <u>Rehabilitation and Therapy Commons</u>

**Recommended** Citation

Graves, PhD, Daniel E., "Cannabis shenanigans: advocating for the restoration of an effective treatment of pain following spinal cord injury." (2018). *Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Faculty Papers*. Paper 38.

https://jdc.jefferson.edu/rmfp/38

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's Center for Teaching and Learning (CTL). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Title: Cannabis shenanigans: advocating for the restoration of an effective treatment of pain following spinal cord injury

Daniel E. Graves, Ph.D.

Professor and Vice Chairman for Research

Thomas Jefferson University, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine

Daniel E. Graves, Ph.D. Corresponding Author

Daniel.Graves@jefferson.edu

Running head: Cannabis Shenanigans

Cannabis is an effective pain reliever that should be available to patients and researchers<sup>1-3</sup>. Because of the international conventions, cannabis is currently listed as a Schedule I drug indicating that it has no medical value and a high risk of abuse and dependence. These conventions were politically expedient and largely driven by the United States against the advice of the physicians present<sup>4-6</sup>. At no time during these conventions was there any attempt to investigate the commercial or medicinal properties of cannabis. It was politically expedient to utilize propaganda<sup>7-9</sup> and racial fear<sup>10</sup> to forge a political wall that impedes access and form a major barrier to medical research into cannabis use. The major argument against the rescheduling of cannabis is that there is research is not convincing<sup>11</sup>. This argument is disingenuous at best, given that the evidence has been presented and rejected at many points during the political dialogue<sup>5, 12</sup> restricting funding for research. Moreover, there was no scientific or medical data utilized in the decisions to criminalize cannabis.

Human beings have peacefully coexisted with cannabis for at least 10,200 years <sup>13</sup>. Trade in cannabis as food, fiber and medicine <sup>14</sup> is evident long before the first written record of medical use around 2,700 BC <sup>15</sup>. During the 19th century, western medicine rediscovered the healing properties of cannabis <sup>16, 17</sup>. However, international alarm over an opium epidemic brewed a global political storm that led to a reaction against opium and "Indian hemp" cultivation and exportation in the early 20th century<sup>4</sup> that was influenced by the temperance movement of the previous century<sup>18</sup>. In this current opioid epidemic, it is ironic that some of the first recorded uses of cannabis in western medicine showed its effectiveness in treating persons with opioid addiction<sup>17</sup>. The 1912 international opium convention and the 1925 International Commishion on Dangerous Drugs pressed countries to restrict import and export of opium and cannabis; signatories' instituted laws restricting the trafficking of opium and cannabis by way of taxation and certification. The US, China and Japan requested that the medical and scientific properties of cannabis and opium be investigated, but the other countries voted the request down<sup>4</sup>. The United States response was the 1937 Marijuana Tax Act that levied exorbitant taxes for the prescription of cannabis in the US <sup>19</sup>.

Cannabis remained in medical use until it was removed from the U.S. pharmacopoeia in 1941<sup>15</sup>. Subsequent legislative action of criminalizing marijuana possession in the Boggs act in 1951 which introduced mandatory minimum sentencing for cannabis possession <sup>20, 21</sup>. Cannabis use for over 10,000 years became criminal activity in the US less than 70 years ago based on absolutely no evidence<sup>22</sup>. The final political victory was found in the Single Convention on Narcotic Drugs 1961 and 1972<sup>23</sup>. This convention mandated that the 100 signatory countries would classify cannabis as having no medical value despite a great deal of evidence to the contrary<sup>5, 6</sup>.

Published research has completely eroded the claim that cannabis has no medicinal value. The discovery of the endocannabinoid system indicates the observed medicinal properties of cannabis have a biological basis for action <sup>24</sup>. The National Academies report that there is conclusive evidence of the effectiveness of cannabis for controlling chronic pain, <sup>1</sup> nausea, and spasticity <sup>2</sup>; and has natural control over pain pathways, its withdraw symptoms are very mild <sup>25</sup> compared to alcohol, opiates or benzodiazepines.

Chronic pain affects up to 83% <sup>26-28</sup> of persons living with SCI; 58% of these patient report the pain is excruciating <sup>29, 30</sup>. Chronic pain limits activities of daily life (ADL) <sup>31, 32</sup>; leads to poorer overall health, lower satisfaction with quality of life <sup>33</sup> and; a greater risk of developing depression <sup>34</sup>. A Cochrane review found only poor quality evidence supporting the long term efficacy of opioids and other pain killers in chronic pain patients<sup>35</sup>and contribute to the current crisis of misuse of prescription drugs <sup>36-38</sup>.

The patient voice is clear. Patients with SCI and chronic pain report that cannabis was the single most effective medication out of 26 pain treatments and the fourth longest acting pain relief <sup>27, 39</sup>. Eighty-one percent of patients strongly agreed that cannabis alone was more effective for pain than cannabis and opioids <sup>37</sup>. Others report relief of pain in 75-83 % medical cannabis patients <sup>38, 40-43</sup> and 92% of the patients reported improved quality of life <sup>44</sup> after other treatment have failed. There is no difference in the occurrence of serious adverse events compared to control <sup>45</sup>. With an overall adult lifetime dependence rate of 9% of cannabis users <sup>46</sup>, drug researchers have consistently listed cannabis as less addictive than caffeine, nicotine and alcohol; placing cannabis last or near the last in a list of addictive drugs <sup>47, 48</sup>.

This evidence shows that cannabis is not a schedule I drug. It indeed has substantial medicinal value in a wide variety of conditions, is less addictive then other drugs and has a very low lifetime dependence rate. The misclassification of cannabis by international convention motivated by political bodies<sup>49</sup> has created a unique situation researchers. The moratorium on federally funded cannabis research leaves clinicians with little scientific base when counseling patients who may be interested in using cannabis for medical reasons. We have no standard

dosing ranges, or warning labels like on tobacco or alcohol. It also leads to a dearth of solid evidence to formulate clinical trials.

The time has come to put the cannabis discussion in a human rights framework<sup>50</sup>. Ethically, it is unjust to withhold and restrict the use of a potentially effective drug, when the typical medication can be ineffective, has a high risk of addiction and could lead to overdose<sup>35</sup>. "Seriously ill patients have the right to effective therapies. To deny patients access to such a therapy is to deny them dignity and respect as person<sup>51</sup>."

People with SCI should feel free to discuss cannabis use with physicians, regardless of the legality or method of acquisition, just as they would discuss supplement use or over-thecounter medications. They should also feel confident that physicians would have accurate and helpful information about the possible risks and benefits of cannabis to help make informed decisions that best suit the person's lifestyle. Currently this information is not readily available for physicians.

There is tension between the needs of a society to protect the vulnerable by restricting the rights of others to live well and with less pain. It is clear that this 70-year war on cannabis has had little effect in controlling the supply of cannabis. Prohibition can never succeed, "It is a tyranny from which every independent mind revolts<sup>18</sup>." People living with chronic pain should not have to risk social stigma, restrictions on employment and even criminal prosecution in order to deal with their pain<sup>52</sup>.

1. National Academies of Sciences E, Medicine. The Health Effects of Cannabis and Cannabinoids: The Current State of Evidence and Recommendations for Research. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2017.

2. Whiting PF, Wolff RF, Deshpande S, Di Nisio M, Duffy S, Hernandez AV et al. Cannabinoids for Medical Use: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Jama 2015;313(24):2456-73.

3. Baron EP. Comprehensive Review of Medicinal Marijuana, Cannabinoids, and Therapeutic Implications in Medicine and Headache: What a Long Strange Trip It's Been. Headache 2015;55(6):885-916.

4. THE INTERNATIONAL OPIUM COMMISSION. British Medical Journal 1910;1(2558):93-7.

5. Bonnie RJ, Whitebread CH. The Forbidden Fruit and the Tree of Knowledge: An Inquiry into the Legal History of American Marijuana Prohibition. Virginia Law Review 1970;56(6):971-1203.

6. Medicine NYAo. LaGuardia Report on Marijuana. New York; 1944.

7. Gasner L. refer madness 1938.

8. Anslinger HJ, Cooper, C.R. Marijuana, Assassin of youth. The American Magazine 1937.

9. Bromberg W. Marihuana: A psychitric study. JAMA 1939;113(1-12).

Gray M. Drug Crazy:how we got into this mess and how we get out. New York: Routledge;
 2000.

11. Andreae MH, Rhodes E, Bourgoise T, Carter GM, White RS, Indyk D et al. An Ethical Exploration of Barriers to Research on Controlled Drugs. The American journal of bioethics : AJOB 2016;16(4):36-47.

12. Medicine NYAo. The La Guardia Committee Report: The Marihuana Problem in the City of New York. Mayor's Committee on Marihuana, by the New York Academy of Medicine. City of New York: New York Academy of Medicine; 1944.

13. Long TW, M. Demske, D., Leipe, C., Tarasov, P. Cannabis in Eurasia: origin of Human Use and Bronze age Trans-continental Connections. Vegetation History and Archaeobotany 2017;26:245-58.

14. Brand E, Zhao, z, . Cannabis in Chinese Medicine:are Some Traditional Indications Referenced in Ancient Literature Related to Cannabinoids? Frontiers in Pharmacology 2017;8:1-11.

15. Earleywine M. Understanding Marijuana. New York, New York: Oxford University Press; 2002.

16. O'Shaughnessy WB. New Remedy for tetanus and other convulsive disorders. the Boston Medical and Surgical Journal 1840;23:153-5.

17. Birch E. The use of Indian hemp in the treatment of chronic Chloral and Chronic opium poisoning. Lancet 1889:625.

18. B. Prohibition. Science 1887;IX(209):105-6.

19. Medicine Io. Marijuana As Medicine?: The Science Beyond the Controversy. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2000.

20. ACLU. The war on Marijuana in Black and White. New York, NY: ACLU 2013.

21. Cooper HLF. War on Drugs Policing and Police Brutality. Substance use & misuse 2015;50(8-9):1188-94.

22. Bauman WA, La Fountaine MF, Cirnigliaro CM, Kirshblum SC, Spungen AM. Administration of increasing doses of gonadotropin-releasing hormone in men with spinal cord injury to investigate dysfunction of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis. Spinal cord 2017.

23. Nations U. Single Convention on Narcotic United Nations 1961.

24. Devane WA, Dysarz FA, 3rd, Johnson MR, Melvin LS, Howlett AC. Determination and characterization of a cannabinoid receptor in rat brain. Molecular pharmacology 1988;34(5):605-13.
25. Joy J, Watson, SJ, BEnson, JA, . Marijuana and Medicine: assessing the Science base.

Washington, DC: National Academy Press 1999.

26. Jensen MP, Hoffman AJ, Cardenas DD. Chronic pain in individuals with spinal cord injury: a survey and longitudinal study. Spinal cord 2005;43(12):704-12.

27. Cardenas DD, Jensen MP. Treatments for chronic pain in persons with spinal cord injury: A survey study. The journal of spinal cord medicine 2006;29(2):109-17.

28. Atkins AM, Gonzalez F, Joyo B, Aisen ML, Atkins AM, Gonzalez F et al. Tapering opioid prescriptions and reducing polypharmacy for inpatients with spinal cord injury at Rancho Los Amigos National Rehabilitation Center. Journal of rehabilitation research and development 2014;51(9):vii-xiv.

29. Siddall PJ, Loeser JD. Pain following spinal cord injury. Spinal cord 2001;39(2):63-73.

30. Siddall PJ, McClelland JM, Rutkowski SB, Cousins MJ. A longitudinal study of the prevalence and characteristics of pain in the first 5 years following spinal cord injury. Pain 2003;103(3):249-57.

31. Putzke JD, Williams MA, Daniel FJ, Bourge RC, Boll TJ. Activities of daily living among heart transplant candidates: neuropsychological and cardiac function predictors. The Journal of heart and lung transplantation : the official publication of the International Society for Heart Transplantation 2000;19(10):995-1006.

32. Turner JT, Lee V, Fletcher K, Hudson K, Barton D. Measuring quality of care with an inpatient elderly population. The geriatric resource nurse model. Journal of gerontological nursing 2001;27(3):8-18.

33. Ville I, Ravaud JF. Subjective well-being and severe motor impairments: the Tetrafigap survey on the long-term outcome of tetraplegic spinal cord injured persons. Social science & medicine (1982) 2001;52(3):369-84.

34. Putzke JD, Richards JS, Kezar L, Hicken BL, Ness TJ. Long-term use of gabapentin for treatment of pain after traumatic spinal cord injury. The Clinical journal of pain 2002;18(2):116-21.

35. Nobel MTJR, Treagear, S.J., Coates, V.H., Wiffen, P.J. Akafomo, C., Schoelles, K.M. . Long-term opioid management for chronic noncancer pain. . Cochrane Database Systematic Review 2010;1.

36. Parker-Pope. Times Health. New York Times 2013 May, 2 2013.

37. Reiman A, Welty M, Solomon P. Cannabis as a Substitute for Opioid-Based Pain Medication: Patient Self-Report. Cannabis and cannabinoid research 2017;2(1):160-6.

38. Graves G. The New Science of Marijuana. Preventioncom. 2016.

39. Heutink M, Post MW, Wollaars MM, van Asbeck FW. Chronic spinal cord injury pain: pharmacological and non-pharmacological treatments and treatment effectiveness. Disability and rehabilitation 2011;33(5):433-40.

40. Meng H, Johnston B, Englesakis M, Moulin DE, Bhatia A. Selective Cannabinoids for Chronic Neuropathic Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Anesthesia and analgesia 2017.

41. Wilsey B, Marcotte TD, Deutsch R, Zhao H, Prasad H, Phan A. An Exploratory Human Laboratory Experiment Evaluating Vaporized Cannabis in the Treatment of Neuropathic Pain From Spinal Cord Injury and Disease. The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society 2016;17(9):982-1000.

42. Wilsey BL, Deutsch R, Samara E, Marcotte TD, Barnes AJ, Huestis MA et al. A preliminary evaluation of the relationship of cannabinoid blood concentrations with the analgesic response to vaporized cannabis. Journal of pain research 2016;9:587-98.

43. Piper BJ, DeKeuster RM, Beals ML, Cobb CM, Burchman CA, Perkinson L et al. Substitution of medical cannabis for pharmaceutical agents for pain, anxiety, and sleep. Journal of psychopharmacology (Oxford, England) 2017;31(5):569-75.

44. Schmidt LA, Jacobs LM, Spetz J. Schmidt et al. Respond. American journal of public health 2016;106(12):e8-e9.

45. Ware MA, Wang T, Shapiro S, Collet JP. Cannabis for the Management of Pain: Assessment of Safety Study (COMPASS). The journal of pain : official journal of the American Pain Society 2015;16(12):1233-42.

46. Cook J, Lloyd-Jones DM, Ogden E, Bonomo Y. Medical use of cannabis: an addiction medicine perspective. Internal medicine journal 2015;45(6):677-80.

47. Hilts P. is Nicotine Addictive? It depends on whose criteria you use. New York Times 1994 August 2, 1994;C 3.

48. Franklin D. Hooked-Not-Hooked: Why isn't everyone an addict? Health 1990 39-52.

49. Nutt DJKLAN, D.E. Effects of schedule I drug laws on neuroscience research and treatment innovation. Neuroscience 2013;14(August):577-85.

50. Cohen PJ. Medical marijuana 2010: it's time to fix the regulatory vacuum. The Journal of law, medicine & ethics : a journal of the American Society of Law, Medicine & Ethics 2010;38(3):654-66.

51. Clark PA. The ethics of medical marijuana: government restrictions vs. medical necessity. Journal of public health policy 2000;21(1):40-60.

52. Bone M, Seddon, T. Human rights, public health and medicinal cannabis use. Critical public health 2016;26(1):51-61.

poisoning

International opium commishion 1909

Interational opium convention 1912

Pushed taxation and control of import and export

international commishion on dangerous drugs 1925

The use of Indian hemp and the preparations derived therefrom may only be authorized for medical and scientific purposes. The raw resin (charas), however, which is extracted from the female tops of the cannabis sativa L, together with the various preparations (hashish, chira, esrar, diamba, etc.) of which it forms the basis, not being at present utilized for medical purposes and only being susceptible of utilisation for harmful purposes, in the same manner as other narcotics, may not be produced, sold, traded in, etc., under any circumstances whatsoever.

Single convention on Narcotic drugs 1961, 1972

Derogable

1909

Interational opium convention

Pushed taxation and control of import and export

Bone human right to use cannabis global

prohibitition of cannabis potential not fully understood.

international commishion on dangerous drugs 1925.

The use of Indian hemp and the preparations derived therefrom may only be authorized for medical and scientific purposes. The raw resin (charas), however, which is extracted from the female tops of the cannabis sativa L, together with the various preparations (hashish, chira, esrar, diamba, etc.) of which it forms the basis, not being at present utilized for medical purposes and only being susceptible of utilisation for harmful purposes, in the same manner as other narcotics, may not be produced, sold, traded in, etc., under any circumstances whatsoever.

Single convention on Narcotic drugs 1961, 1972