



Thomas Jefferson University
Jefferson Digital Commons

Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck
Surgery Faculty Papers

Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck
Surgery

12-11-2018

Taking Free Flap Surgery Abroad: A Collaborative Approach to a Complex Surgical Problem.

Brian Swendseid, MD

Thomas Jefferson University, brian.swendseid@jefferson.edu

Patrick Tassone, MD

Thomas Jefferson University, patrick.tassone@jefferson.edu

Patrick Jean Gilles, MD

University of Haiti

Magda Pavrette, MD

University of Haiti

Matthew Stewart

Thomas Jefferson University, matthew.stewart@jefferson.edu

See next page for additional authors

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/otofp>

 Part of the [Otolaryngology Commons](#), and the [Surgery Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Swendseid, MD, Brian; Tassone, MD, Patrick; Gilles, MD, Patrick Jean; Pavrette, MD, Magda; Stewart, Matthew; Batatope, Mercy; Weed, MD, Donald; Cognetti, MD, David; Luginbuhl, MD, Adam J.; and Curry, MD, Joseph, "Taking Free Flap Surgery Abroad: A Collaborative Approach to a Complex Surgical Problem." (2018). *Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery Faculty Papers*. Paper 41.

<https://jdc.jefferson.edu/otofp/41>

Authors

Brian Swendseid, MD; Patrick Tassone, MD; Patrick Jean Gilles, MD; Magda Pavrette, MD; Matthew Stewart; Mercy Batatope; Donald Weed, MD; David Cognetti, MD; Adam J. Luginbuhl, MD; and Joseph Curry, MD

Title: Taking Free Flap Surgery Abroad: A Collaborative approach to a complex surgical problem

Corresponding author:

Brian Swendseid, MD
925 Chestnut St, 7th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19107
Brian.swendseid@jefferson.edu
215-955-6760

Authors:

Brian Swendseid MD¹
Patrick Tassone, MD¹
Patrick Jean Gilles, MD²
Magda Pavrette, MD²
Matthew Stewart³
Mercy Babatope³
Donald Weed, MD⁴
David Cognetti, MD¹
Adam Luginbuhl, MD¹
Joseph Curry, MD¹

Author Affiliations

1. Department of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery
Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA
2. University of Haiti, Port-Au-Prince, Haiti
3. Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Philadelphia, PA
4. Department of Otolaryngology - Head & Neck Surgery
University of Miami, Miami, FL

Conflicts of Interest: none

Funding disclosure: none

Keywords: surgical outreach, free tissue transfer, surgical outreach, Haiti

This manuscript was presented as an oral presentation at the AAO-HNSF 2017 Annual Meeting.

Abstract

Accessibility to healthcare, especially complex surgical care, represents one of the major healthcare disparities in developing countries. While surgical teams may be willing to travel to these areas to help address these needs, there are many logistical and ethical dilemmas inherent in this pursuit. We reviewed our approach to the establishment of the team-based surgical outreach program, wherein we perform head and neck free-tissue transfer surgery in Haiti. We describe the challenges encountered in the delivery of surgical care as well as ethical dilemmas relevant to surgical outreach trips, highlighting an approach reliant on strong local cooperation. Despite the obstacles in place, our experience shows that free-flap surgery can be successfully and ethically performed in these areas of great need.

Identifying a need for specialized care and training

Haiti is the most underserved nation in the Western Hemisphere.¹ Our group initially travelled to Haiti in 2014 to provide otolaryngologic care to a remote hospital. Through that effort, we met Dr. Jean Gilles, a surgeon who identified an opportunity for free tissue transfer to reconstruct patients with head and neck tumors, a treatment currently unavailable in Haiti, and expressed a clear desire for specialty education. Several groups have successfully performed free flap surgery outreach trips abroad.^{2,3} Therefore, our combined head and neck reconstructive team, including members from the University of Haiti, Thomas Jefferson University, and the University of Miami assessed the feasibility of free flap surgery in Haiti.

Major operations in Haiti present many significant challenges: few hospitals have infrastructure for complex surgical care, local support staff are often unfamiliar with such surgeries, equipment and materials are scarce, and adequate follow-up is difficult to provide. Moreover, even under the best circumstances at high-volume US centers, free tissue transfer is challenging and resource intensive. Free tissue transfers frequently have operative times over 6 hours, an average cost for surgical and aftercare of \$36,000-\$80,000 per patient⁴, and failure rates are not zero.⁵⁻⁸ However, there are advantages to the development of a local program for such a procedure: it allows for patients to be treated near home, provides training to local teams, and can be accomplished at a lower cost.

Our collaborative team relies on the University of Haiti clinicians to identify patients who would most benefit. Our group identified large benign mandibular and maxillary tumors, such as ameloblastomas, as prime candidates for several reasons. First, they occur in younger patients with high functional status who are likely to tolerate surgery well. Second, their benign pathology can be effectively treated with surgery alone. This is important given Haiti's lack of available adjuvant radiation therapy. Third, patients with mandibular tumors often do not present to a specialist until tumors are quite large, causing significant oral dysphagia, as well as severe disfigurement and social isolation. This impacts patient's ability to work and hence induces economic pressure for already marginalized individuals. Finally, these patients have great potential to benefit from free tissue transfer. Complete tumor resection offers high likelihood of cure, but without reconstruction, significant functional and aesthetic deficits occur. For larger tumors, the functional and aesthetic advantage of vascularized bony reconstruction greatly exceeds plate reconstruction or non-vascularized reconstruction. Based on this, the potential benefit to the individual patient and physician community merited the utilization of resources.

Delivery of Care

We assessed the local environment to determine how to best deliver operative and postoperative care. We partnered with a hospital and organization with operating room facilities and a postoperative care unit with space to house our team. Our surgical team collaborated with the a highly experienced University of Haiti anesthesia team organized by Dr. Magda Pavrette. Such partnerships are critical for identification of need, triage, effective communication, and continuity of care.

Medications for surgery and anesthesia were either obtained locally through St Luc's hospital or donated by our home institutions. Surgical instruments were brought by our surgical team. This required careful planning to ensure that all necessary instrumentation was functional and avoid having to abort a partially completed procedure. We use a checklist system and planned redundancy, especially for any instrumentation likely to fail (eg, electric drills and saws). Power supply and reliability of local machinery such as autoclaves varies and redundancy avoids delays from system failure. Given the weight and fragile nature of an operating microscope, we performed microvascular anastomosis with loupe magnification. Although loupe magnification has less magnification than an operative microscope, it has a long-standing record of efficacy.

At our institution, many patients who undergo a mandibular free flap reconstruction also undergo temporary tracheostomy for airway protection. However, tracheotomy requires specialized nursing care and can place additional demands on local providers. In many of the patients we care for in Haiti, we have been able to manage the airway postoperatively with nasal trumpets, bypassing oral obstruction and simplifying postoperative nursing care. Additionally, opioid analgesia in the postoperative setting is minimized. It is our practice to use ketorolac, an intravenous non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, to avoid risks of respiratory suppression.

Post-surgical care:

As our travelling team's time in Haiti for each trip is finite, patient post-surgical care and follow-up is largely in the hands of the local providers. This again highlights the critical need for adequate communication, coordination and collaboration between teams and providers. We employed a gradually escalated model where few complex procedures were completed on our first trip to focus more education and surgical preparation. Furthermore, we planned for additional days of observation prior to departure. To address the possibility of delayed complications, we developed protocols for plans of management as well as open lines of communication for postoperative questions via phone and email with the host team.

Conclusion:

Establishing a surgical outreach program to perform free-flap surgery abroad has been a highly rewarding experience with many challenges requiring creative solutions. Our experience has made it clear that a team-based model that relies heavily on collaboration with local health care providers is an effective method to ensure that the appropriate patient health needs are being addressed, facilitates preoperative selection, surgical and postsurgical care. Moreover, this allows for exchange of knowledge and expertise between local and travelling teams, strengthening education and partnerships.

References

1. Rice S, Graff C, Lewis J. Poverty and Civil War: What Policymakers Need to Know. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. 2006. doi:10.2139/ssrn.1015091.
2. Mofikoya BO, Ugburo AO. Early experiences with microvascular free tissue transfer in Lagos, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Surgery*. 2014;20(1):35-37.
3. Ragbir M, Ali T, Naraynsigh V, Ramdass M, Romany S, Mohammed F. The use of loop magnification in microsurgery in the third world: A Trinidad experience. *Int J Surg*. 2001;2.
4. Gao, L.L., Basta, M., Kanchwala, S.K., Serletti, J.M., Low, D.W., Wu, L.C., 2017. Cost-effectiveness of microsurgical reconstruction for head and neck defects after oncologic resection. *Head Neck* 39, 541–547. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.24644>
5. Rosado P, Cheng H-T, Wu C-M, Wei F-C. Influence of diabetes mellitus on postoperative complications and failure in head and neck free flap reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eisele DW, ed. *Head & neck*. 2015;37(4):615-618. doi:10.1002/hed.23624.
6. Corbitt C, Skoracki RJ, Yu P, Hanasono MM. Free flap failure in head and neck reconstruction. *Head & neck*. 2014;120(Suppl 1):n/a–n/a. doi:10.1002/hed.23471.
7. Yamashiro M, Hasegawa K, Uzawa N, et al. Complications and Outcome of Free Flap Transfers for Oral and Maxillofacial Reconstruction: Analysis of 213 Cases. *Oral Science International*. 2009;6(1):46-54. doi:10.1016/S1348-8643(09)80013-X.
8. Dautremont JF, Rudmik LR, Yeung J, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of a postoperative clinical care pathway in head and neck surgery with microvascular reconstruction. *Journal of otolaryngology - head & neck surgery = Le Journal d'oto-rhino-laryngologie et de chirurgie cervico-faciale*. 2013;42(1):59. doi:10.1186/1916-0216-42-59.