



10-22-2018

Blood Pressure Measurement Validation Off the Cuff? Comment on "A New Cuffless Device for Measuring Blood Pressure: A Real-Life Validation Study".

Noud van Helmond

Thomas Jefferson University, noud.van.helmond@jefferson.edu

Timothy B. Plante

University of Vermont

Jeffrey I. Joseph

Thomas Jefferson University, Jeffrey.joseph@jefferson.edu

[Let us know how access to this document benefits you](#)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://jdc.jefferson.edu/anfp> Part of the [Anesthesiology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

van Helmond, Noud; Plante, Timothy B.; and Joseph, Jeffrey I., "Blood Pressure Measurement Validation Off the Cuff? Comment on "A New Cuffless Device for Measuring Blood Pressure: A Real-Life Validation Study"." (2018). *Department of Anesthesiology Faculty Papers*. Paper 42.
<https://jdc.jefferson.edu/anfp/42>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Jefferson Digital Commons. The Jefferson Digital Commons is a service of Thomas Jefferson University's [Center for Teaching and Learning \(CTL\)](#). The Commons is a showcase for Jefferson books and journals, peer-reviewed scholarly publications, unique historical collections from the University archives, and teaching tools. The Jefferson Digital Commons allows researchers and interested readers anywhere in the world to learn about and keep up to date with Jefferson scholarship. This article has been accepted for inclusion in Department of Anesthesiology Faculty Papers by an authorized administrator of the Jefferson Digital Commons. For more information, please contact: JeffersonDigitalCommons@jefferson.edu.

Letter to the Editor

Blood Pressure Measurement Validation Off the Cuff? Comment on “A New Cuffless Device for Measuring Blood Pressure: A Real-Life Validation Study”

Noud van Helmond¹, MD; Timothy B Plante², MD, MHS; Jeffrey I Joseph¹, DO

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Sidney Kimmel Medical College, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA, United States

²Department of Medicine, Larner College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT, United States

Corresponding Author:

Noud van Helmond, MD

Department of Anesthesiology

Sidney Kimmel Medical College

Thomas Jefferson University

1020 Locust Street

Philadelphia, PA, 19107-6731

United States

Phone: 1 7168809732

Email: noud.van.helmond@jefferson.edu

Related Articles:

Comment on: <https://www.jmir.org/2016/5/e85/>

Comment in: <http://www.jmir.org/2018/10/e12477/>

(J Med Internet Res 2018;20(10):e10089) doi:[10.2196/10089](https://doi.org/10.2196/10089)

KEYWORDS

cuffless blood pressure monitor; blood pressure monitor validation; hypertension

We read with interest the validation study of the commercially available CheckMe blood pressure (BP) monitor by Schoot et al [1]. We agree with the authors that the development of cuffless BP devices may improve rates of out-of-office BP measurement for adults with hypertension and that the value of such devices depends on the devices' accuracy. We feel there are aspects of their report where clarification might be helpful.

One of the reported strengths of the study is that it met European Society of Hypertension International Protocol (ESH-IP) validation guidelines [2]. However, some aspects of the study deviated from the ESH-IP, including obtaining of measurements in varying anatomical positions. Usually, validation is performed in the manufacturer's recommended position of measurement, which is typically in the same position as calibration [3]. Additional information would be helpful to better understand the performance characteristics from the device itself and to assess for bias introduced from protocol deviations.

First, presenting correlation coefficients and scatterplots can help readers assess the relationship between measurements from the devices. Next, the authors present a relative difference in mean systolic BPs, which is near zero. Presenting mean absolute

difference between devices would be more informative to the accuracy of the device [2]. A modified version of the ESH-IP's validation table is presented not in the usual numerical categories. Calculating these categories, the CheckMe deviates from the reference device by ≤ 5 mmHg, ≤ 10 mmHg, and ≤ 15 mmHg for 16%, 62%, and 86% of the measures, respectively. These performance characteristics do not meet the threshold of passing ESH-IP's first part of validation. Finally, contrary to the description in the manuscript, we note that the reference device used has not undergone independent validation and its accuracy is not known [4]. Thus, it is difficult to interpret the accuracy of CheckMe without understanding the performance characteristics of the reference device.

We recognize that it is not possible to adhere to the ESH-IP with novel cuffless BP-measuring devices, since the ESH-IP assumes that the tested monitor does not require user-specific calibration. In response to the ongoing interest in cuffless BP measurement the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) released a guideline specifically for the validation of these devices [5]. Because validation of a cuffless BP monitor immediately after calibration at the same BP could artificially increase its perceived accuracy [3], the IEEE protocol includes

validation measurements after changes in BP from the calibration measurement and validation measurements after a significant period since calibration.

In conclusion, we encourage the authors to present additional analyses and results to improve the understanding of the CheckMe's performance, and to follow up with a formal IEEE-protocol validation study. In the present study, the device is reported to be a Conformité Européenne-approved category

Ila category medical device compliant with directive 93/42/European Economic Community, but it did not meet that directive's accuracy requirements (EN 1060-3). The CheckMe has United States Food & Drug Administration approval for measurements of heart rate, oxygen saturation, temperature, and activity, but not for measurement of systolic blood pressure [6]. As the device does not meet ESH-IP accuracy criteria for blood pressure in this study, we are concerned that it is available for sale directly to consumers and may place adults at undue harm.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Schoot TS, Weenk M, van de Belt TH, Engelen LJLPG, van Goor H, Bredie SJH. A New Cuffless Device for Measuring Blood Pressure: A Real-Life Validation Study. *J Med Internet Res* 2016 May 05;18(5):e85 [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/jmir.5414](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5414)] [Medline: [27150527](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27150527/)]
2. O'Brien E, Atkins N, Stergiou G, Karpettas N, Parati G, Asmar R, Working Group on Blood Pressure Monitoring of the European Society of Hypertension. European Society of Hypertension International Protocol revision 2010 for the validation of blood pressure measuring devices in adults. *Blood Press Monit* 2010 Feb;15(1):23-38. [doi: [10.1097/MBP.0b013e3283360e98](https://doi.org/10.1097/MBP.0b013e3283360e98)] [Medline: [20110786](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20110786/)]
3. Boubouchairopoulou N, Kollias A, Chiu B, Chen B, Lagou S, Anestis P, et al. A novel cuffless device for self-measurement of blood pressure: concept, performance and clinical validation. *J Hum Hypertens* 2017 Jul;31(7):479-482. [doi: [10.1038/jhh.2016.101](https://doi.org/10.1038/jhh.2016.101)] [Medline: [28124684](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28124684/)]
4. Medaval. 2017. Welch Allyn Vital Signs 300 Series URL: <http://medaval.ie/device/welch-allyn-vital-signs-300-series/> [accessed 2018-02-03] [WebCite Cache ID [6wxcESAO4](https://www.webcitation.org/6wxcESAO4)]
5. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. 2014 Aug 26. IEEE 1708-2014 Standard for Wearable Cuffless Blood Pressure Measuring Devices URL: <https://standards.ieee.org/standard/1708-2014.html> [accessed 2018-09-26] [WebCite Cache ID [72jAQRSZx](https://www.webcitation.org/72jAQRSZx)]
6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration, Checkme Pro Health Monitor. 2015 Dec 10. URL: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf15/K150869.pdf [accessed 2018-02-08] [WebCite Cache ID [6x4IH3xMC](https://www.webcitation.org/6x4IH3xMC)]

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 09.02.18; peer-reviewed by J Goris; comments to author 26.09.18; revised version received 27.09.18; accepted 10.10.18; published 22.10.18

Please cite as:

van Helmond N, Plante TB, Joseph JI

Blood Pressure Measurement Validation Off the Cuff? Comment on "A New Cuffless Device for Measuring Blood Pressure: A Real-Life Validation Study"

J Med Internet Res 2018;20(10):e10089

URL: <http://www.jmir.org/2018/10/e10089/>

doi: [10.2196/10089](https://doi.org/10.2196/10089)

PMID: [30348627](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30348627/)

©Noud van Helmond, Timothy B. Plante, Jeffrey I. Joseph. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (<http://www.jmir.org>), 22.10.2018. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <http://www.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.