# Sepsis Treatment: Is There a Role For Vitamins ?

Jon Sevransky, MD, MHS, FCCM Professor Of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine Director EUH MICU Assistant Director for Medicine, Emory Center for Critical Care Assistant Director for EUH, Emory Center for Critical Care Associate Editor, Critical Care Medicine





# Disclosures

#### Financial

- Grant Support Current
  - FDA/BARDA
  - Marcus Foundation
- Stipend from Critical Care Medicine for work as Associate Editor

### Intellectual

- Medical Advisor to Project Hope (ARDS Advocacy Group)
- Member of Surviving Sepsis Guideline Committees (2004, 2008, 2012, 2016, 2020)





# Talk Outline

- Review Treatment of Patients with Sepsis
- Review the Benefits and Limitations of Single Center vs Multicenter Clinical Trials
- Discuss the evidence supporting use of Vitamin C, Thiamine, and Steroids in Sepsis
- To Describe the Design of the VICTAS study (Vitamin C, Thiamine and Steroids in Sepsis)





## Patient JM

- 66 year old with CML- extra lymphatic involvement S/P ABMT 60 days prior to admission
  - Admitted with GVHD with GI symptoms
  - Noted to have tachypnea to 30's B/P 90/55 pulse 108 T 38.5 wbc 1.2 lactate 4
  - Blood cultures oxidase positive gram negative rods
  - Started on ceftazidime
  - Transferred to ICU when required non-rebreather

Organ failure



### Sepsis is a Medical Emergency



#### Concept Highlighted by Manny Rivers







## **Proper Orientation is Important**

#### **Sepsis Care Must Center Around the Patient**





# Sepsis is a Medical Emergency

• Treatment



### • Similar conditions





- Life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection<sup>1</sup>
- <u>**Common**</u>: 0.9-3 million cases/yr<sup>2, 3</sup>
- **Life-threatening**: 15-30% mortality<sup>2</sup>
- <u>**Time-sensitive:**</u> 8% mortality increase for every hour delay in initiation of antibiotics<sup>4</sup>
- <u>A major public health concern</u>: most expensive reason for US hospitalization<sup>5,6</sup>



## Sepsis is a Syndrome

• Disease

• Syndrome

- Known Biomarker
- Diagnostic Test that enables
   identification
- Constellation of signs and syndromes that lead to diagnosis



## Sepsis Diagnosis- Not Always Simple





### Partnering with Patients and Advocacy Groups





![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

### What is in the Sepsis Treatment Toolbox ?

- Early Recognition of Sepsis
- Early Antibiotics and Fluids
- Performance Improvement Projects

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Timing of Antibiotics in Sepsis Induced Hypotension

- 2731 Patients with septic shock
- 44% Admissions From ED
  - Lung, Intra-abdominal and Urine most common sites of infection
- Mortality Rate 21% if Effective Antibiotics given within 1 hour
- Mortality Rate 58% if Effective Antibiotics given within 6 hours

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Following Sepsis Guidelines Helps Patients

Not every patient gets treatment consistent with guidelines

Timeliness of Antibiotics associated with mortality

Timeliness of Fluids Not associated with mortality

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **Performance of Outcome Measurements: Did the Campaign Work?**

#### Small Increase in Process Measures

Type of Measure	Preintervention Cohort	Postintervention Cohort	<i>P</i> Value
Category 1 hospitals (n = 20) No. of tasks completed, mean (SD) [95% CI]	3.25 (1.56) [3.0-3.4]	4.42 (1.97) [4.2-4.6]	<.001
Resuscitation bundle completed, No. (%) [95% Cl]	1 (0.5) [0-1]	16 (4.7) [2-7]	.006
Management bundle completed, No. (%) [95% Cl]	13 (6.4) [3-10]	36 (10.6) [7-14]	.10
Hospital mortality, No. (%) [95% Cl]	98 (48.0) [41-55]	134 (39.3) [34-44]	.05
APACHE II, mean (SD) [95% CI]	20.6 (7.4) [19.6-21.6]	20.0 (7.3) [19.2-20.8]	.37
Category 2 hospitals (n = 19) No. of tasks completed, mean (SD) [95% CI]	4.65 (1.72) [4.46-4.85]	5.22 (1.98) [5.06-5.38]	<.001
Resuscitation bundle completed, No. (%) [95% Cl]	11 (3.6) [2-6]	47 (7.8) [6-10]	.02
Management bundle completed, No. (%) [95% Cl]	24 (7.9) [5-11]	67 (11.2) [9-14]	.13
Hospital mortality, No. (%) [95% Cl]	135 (44.7) [39-50]	245 (40.9) [37-45]	.28
APACHE II, mean (SD) [95% CI]	20.7 (7.3) [19.8-21.6]	21.8 (8.1) [20.9-22.0]	.07
Category 3 hospitals (n = 20) No. of tasks completed, mean (SD) [95% CI]	5.90 (1.92) [5.70-6.11]	6.45 (2.00) [6.27-6.62]	<.001
Resuscitation bundle completed, No. (%) [95% Cl]	33 (9.5) [6-13]	84 (16) [13-19]	.006
Management bundle completed, No. (%) [95% Cl]	56 (16.1) [12-20]	127 (24.2) [21-28]	.004
Hospital mortality, No. (%) [95% Cl]	143 (41.1) [36-46]	201 (38.3) [34-42]	.41
APACHE II, mean (SD) [95% CI]	21.5 (7.3) [20.7-22.3]	21.6 (7.7) [21.0-22.0]	.87

#### **Decreased Mortality Rate**

	Preintervention Cohort $(n = 854)$	Postintervention Cohort $(n = 1465)$	P Value
Mortality, No. (%) [95% Cl]		. ,	
Hospital	376 (44.0) [41-47]	580 (39.7) [37-42]	.04
28-d	311 (36.4) [33-40]	456 (31.1) [29-33]	.009
ICU	315 (36.9) [34-40]	474 (32.4) [30-35]	.03
Hospital stay, d <sup>a</sup>			
Mean (SD) [95% Cl]	28.7 (23.4) [26.6-30.8]	30.7 (25.7) [29.0-32.4]	.16
Median (IQR)	20.9 (13.5-35.7)	22.8 (13.3-41.4)	.25
ICU stay, d <sup>a</sup>			
Mean (SD) [95% Cl]	13.4 (16.0) [11.9-14.0]	13.6 (16.3) [12.5-14.7]	.87
Median (IQR)	7.6 (4.5-15.0)	7.7 (4.0-15.9)	.83

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

EMORY EVALUATIONS: APACHE II, A UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Ferrer, R. et al. JAMA 2008;299:2294-2303.

#### Multicenter Implementation of a Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock Treatment Bundle

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Increasing Compliance with Sepsis Bundle is Associated with Decreasing Patient Mortality
- Compliance with early bundles was associated with decreased need for later intervention
- Lung protective Mechanical Ventilation, inotropes, and steroids were interventions independently associated with mortality

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Am J Resp Crit Care Med 2013:188:77-82

### Following Sepsis Guidelines Helps Patients

Not all sepsis patients get desired treatment

All of the second secon

Time to Completion of 3 hour bundle associated with in hospital mortality

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

## 7.5 year Evaluation of a PI project on Sepsis (SSC)

Model	Risk factors <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI)	P
<ol> <li>Continuous compliance, either resuscitation or management bundle, as a site-level variable and measured in last 2 quarters of site's SSC participation</li> </ol>	For every additional quarter of site participation	0.96 (0.95–0.97)	< 0.001
	10% increase in resuscitation compliance	0.95 (0.94–0.97)	< 0.001
	10% increase in management compliance	0.97 (0.96–0.98)	< 0.001
2. Compliance as a patient-level variable and measuring whether patient's ICU visit was compliant with resuscitation or with management bundle	For every additional quarter of site participation	0.97 (0.96–0.98)	< 0.001
	Resuscitation compliance, yes vs. no	0.82 (0.76–0.88)	< 0.001
	Management compliance, yes vs. no	0.76 (0.71–0.81)	< 0.001

Resuscitation Compliance includes among other things 30 cc/kg /IVF

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

Critical Care Medicine 2015:43:3-12.

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Serum Tumor Necrosis Factor Levels After Endotoxin Challenge

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Monoclonal antibodies to TNF given to animals challenged with endotoxin
  - reverse hemodynamic embarrassment
  - improve mortality

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

Tracey et. al Science 1987;330:662-4

### **Clinical Sepsis Trials of Monoclonal Antibodies Directed Against TNF**

### **Treatment Directed at Modulating Inflammation: Not Effective**

Therapy (Company) [Reference]	Study design	Inclusion criteria	Control arm deaths/Total (%)	Treatment arm deaths/Total (%)
MAK 195F (Knoll) [12]	Open-label, phase II	Severe sepsis or septic shock (69%) <sup>a</sup>	12/29	44/93
		* * · · ·	(41%)	(47%)
MAK 195F (Knoll) [13]	Open-label, phase II	Severe sepsis or septic shock	6/12	7/27°
			(50%)	(26%)
MAK 195F (Knoll) [3]	Double-blind, phase III	Sepsis and high IL-6 levels	125/221	121/225
			(57%)	(54%)
CDP571 (Celltech) [14]	Open-label, phase II	Septic shock (100%) <sup>a</sup>	6/10	20/32 <sup>c</sup>
			(60%)	(63%)
CB006 (Celltech) <sup>b</sup> [15]	Open-label, phase II	Severe sepsis or septic shock	6/19	27/61 <sup>c</sup>
			(32%)	(44%)
BAYx1351 (Bayer/Miles) [16]	Double-blind, phase III	Severe sepsis or septic shock (49%) <sup>a</sup>	108/326	196/645 <sup>c</sup>
*			(33%)	(30%)
BAYx1351 (Bayer/Miles) [17]	Double-blind, phase III	Severe sepsis or septic shock (80%) <sup>a</sup>	66/167	144/386 <sup>e</sup>
			(40%)	(37%)
BAYx1351 (Bayer/Miles) [18]	Double-blind, phase III	Septic shock (100%) <sup>a</sup>	398/930	382/948
			(43%)	(40%)
cA2 (Centacor) [19]	Double-blind, phase II	Severe sepsis	11/28	10/28
	0.55	22	(39%)	(36%)
Total			738/1742	951/2445
			(42%)	(39%)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Sevransky and Natanson Sepsis 1999:3:11-19

### Clinical Sepsis Trials That Did Not Show Beneficial Treatment Effect

Albumin Deplecement in Datients Goal-Directed Resuscitation for Patients High versus Low Blood-Pressure Target Early, Goal-Directed Therapy for Septic Shock Lower versus Higher Hemoglobin Threshold for Transfusion

Hydrocortisone Therapy for Patients with Septic Shock

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

WABE 90.1 - news arts & life music programs -

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

Embed

Transcript

Doctor Turns Up Possible Treatment For Deadly Sepsis

March 23, 2017 · 12:01 AM ET Heard on Morning Edition

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Triple Therapy for Sepsis**

#### Original Research

Hydrocortisone, Vitamin C and Thiamine for the Treatment of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: A Retrospective Before-After Study

Paul E. Marik, MD, FCCM, FCCP<sup>1,</sup> . Vikramjit Khangoora, MD<sup>1</sup>, Racquel Rivera, Pharm D<sup>2</sup>, Michael H. Hooper, M.D., MSc<sup>1</sup>, John Catravas, PhD, FAHA, FCCP<sup>3, 4</sup>

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

CHEST (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Biologic Rationale Vitamin C in Sepsis

- Antioxidant and enzyme cofactor
  - Activates Nrf2
  - Restores cellular antioxidants
  - Catecholamines
- Anti-inflammatory — ↓ NF-кВ
- Necessary for tight junctions and microcirculatory flow

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Thiamine

- Essential for aerobic metabolism:
  - Pyruvate dehydrogenase
  - Alpha ketoglutarate dehydrogenase

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Thiamine and Vitamin C

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Phase I Study of Vitamin C in Sepsis

- Patients- 26 Patients with severe sepsis (1.0) at VCU randomized 1:1:1
- Intervention Vitamin C 50 mg/kg/day in divided doses every 6 hours for 96 hours
- Or
- Vitamin C 200 mg/kg/day in divided doses every 6 hours for 96 hours
- Comparator Placebo
- Outcome measure- Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA scores) and Vitamin C Levels

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

Journal of Translational Medicine 2014, 12:32

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Phase I Study of Vitamin C in Sepsis

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

O

Journal of Translational Medicine 2014, 12:32

EMORY HEALTHCARE EMORY CENTER FOR CRITICAL CARE

### Thiamine in Sepsis

- Patients- Adult patients with septic shock and elevated (> 3 mmol/L) lactate between 2010 and 2014 at 2 hospitals
- Intervention Thiamine 200 mg twice daily for 7 days or until hospital discharge.
- Comparator- Placebo treated patients
- Outcome Lactate level 24 hours after first study dose

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Thiamine in Sepsis

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

EMORY UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Crit Care Med. 2016 February ; 44(2): 360-367.

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Triple Therapy for Sepsis**

#### Original Research

Hydrocortisone, Vitamin C and Thiamine for the Treatment of Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: A Retrospective Before-After Study

Paul E. Marik, MD, FCCM, FCCP<sup>1,</sup> . Vikramjit Khangoora, MD<sup>1</sup>, Racquel Rivera, Pharm D<sup>2</sup>, Michael H. Hooper, M.D., MSc<sup>1</sup>, John Catravas, PhD, FAHA, FCCP<sup>3, 4</sup>

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_6.jpeg)

CHEST (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Rationale for Marik study

- Preliminary data
- Patients with sepsis have low serum levels of Vitamin C
- Patients with sepsis have low serum levels of thiamine
- Small studies have shown feasible to give supplemental Vitamin C and thiamine without obvious harm
- Potential synergistic effect of steroids and Vitamin C

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Before-After Study**

- Patients 47 consecutive patients admitted to the ICU at Sentara Norfolk General Hospital with a primary diagnosis of severe sepsis or septic shock and a procalcitonin ≥ 2ng/ml
- Intervention: intravenous vitamin C (1.5 gm q 6 hourly for 4 days or until ICU discharge), hydrocortisone (50 mg q 6 hourly for 7 days or until ICU discharge followed by a taper over 3 days), intravenous thiamine (200 mg q 12 hourly for 4 days or until ICU discharge).
- Comparator Patients with severe sepsis and septic shock with procalcitonin ≥ 2ng/ml treated during previous year without vitamin C or thiamine, but who could receive hydrocortisone per physicians orders
- Outcome Measure Hospital Survival

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

CHEST (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

![](_page_32_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Additional analysis

### • <u>Propensity score</u>:

- Probability (0-1) of receiving treatment based on covariates
- Logistic regression for OR mortality
  - 1. Propensity score

age

2. Propensity score +

Covariates
Age
Weight
Gender
APACHE IV Score
Mechanical Ventilation
Vasopressors
WBC
Lactate
Procalcitonin
Serum Creatinine

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Before-After Study**

	Treated (n=47)	Control (n=47)
Age	58.3 ± 4.1	62.2 ± 14.3
Sex (male)	27 (57%)	23 (49%)
Comorbidities		
None	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
Diabetes	16 (34%)	20 (42%)
Hypertension	20 (43%)	25 (53%)
Heart Failure	15 (32%)	16 (34%)
Malignancy	5 (11%)	7 (15%)
COPD	8 (17%)	7 (15%)
Cirrhosis	6 (13%)	3 (6%)
CVA	8 (17%)	5 (11%)
CRF	7 (15%)	8 (17%)
Morbid Obesity	6 (13%)	8 (17%)
Immunocompromised	6 (13%)	4 (9%)
Drug addiction	5 (11%)	5 (11%)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

CHEST (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

# **Study Limitations**

Single Center before and after study

Complex intervention

Steroids used in comparator arm

Little information about contemporaneous therapies (antibiotics, fluids etc)

Confirmation bias

Large effect size

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

CHEST (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

![](_page_35_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Highly Polarizing Results

- A significant number of professionals immediately began prescribing this as a cure for sepsis
- A significant number of professionals criticized the study very vociferously
- Much of this discussion has been highlevel intellectual discourse
- Some of it has not been

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Pneumococcal Bacteremia with Especial Reference to Bacteremic Pneumococcal Pneumonia

ROBERT AUSTRIAN, M.D., and JEROME GOLD, M.D.

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

38% ARR

27% ARR

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

Ann Int Med 1964:759-77

# Single Vs Multicenter Trials

#### **Phase II Single Center Trials**

- Test potential novel therapies
- Show potential risks and benefits
- Easier to do/Cheaper
- May change practice at one site or with some physicians
- May have large treatment effects

### **Phase III Multicenter Trials**

- Test Potential new therapies
- Show potential risks and benefits
- Harder to do/More expensive
- The gold standard for changing clinical practice
- Usually with smaller treatment effects

![](_page_38_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Why We Need a Clinical Trial

### Vitamin C Is Not Ready for Prime Time in Sepsis but a Solution Is Close

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### To the Editor:

We read with interest the report by Marik et al<sup>1</sup> published in *CHEST* (June 2017). However, the study lacked blinding, randomization, concurrent control subjects, and case-control propensity matching; it also had a small sample size, thus substantially increasing the risk of false benefits due to confounding combined with selection and ascertainment biases. Many

resolution in an even shorter time.<sup>5</sup> Considering this poor evidence on the safety and efficacy of vitamin C and how swiftly an adaptive RCT can be done, we believe that there is no ethical or scientific justification to use vitamin C outside of a clinical trial at this time.

Copyright © 2017 American College of Chest Physicians. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2017.05.025

# Why We Need a Clinical Trial

#### Viewpoint 1

- To my knowledge, we have had zero patients treated at Vanderbilt. But Jon, you should emphasize this does not reflect any lack of enthusiasm for conducting a proper study, it reflects Vanderbilt's long-standing conservatism regarding "new" or "exciting" therapies, i.e., we believe it is proper to wait until there is sufficient high quality data to begin routinely using these treatments on everyday patients.
- Gordon Bernard MD

#### Viewpoint 2

- "It might help- that's why I used it"
- I'm on service right now and thought I'd relay an event that occurred simultaneous to the foundation presentation. We have a patient who was found down and seems to have acute on chronic liver disease with septic shock, AKI, DIC and ARDS. She was given thiamine because of the alcoholism and steroids because of refractory shock (vasopressin and 50+ mcg of norepinephrine). Because she was doing poorly despite a couple of days of maximal therapy the resident (all credit due) decided to add Vitamin C to the steroids and thiamine already being given. Within 24 hours her vasopressin was turned off and her norepinephrine was 2-5mcg. In full disclosure she also got NAC and albumin because of liver disease and possible HRS, but still!

### What Kind of Evidence Should Change Practice ?

- **1- Single Physician and Single Patient**
- 2- Single Institution
- **3- Most Patients**
- **4- Treatment Guidelines**

- Clinical Experience, Literature
- Clinician Agreement + Data Showing that Practice Change Works in that Institution
- > 1 RCT in a similar patient population
- >1 RCT in similar patient population + evaluation of quality of RCT + cost and downside of intervention

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

CHEST (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.11.036

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Phase III Multicenter Trials Change Practice**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **An Analogy For Multicenter vs. Single Center Trials**

#### New York Knicks vs Cleveland Cavaliers Oct 30th 2017 NY 114 – Cleveland 95

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

• A multicenter trial is more likely to be reproduced than is a single center trial, just as a 7 or 82 game series is more likely to give the same result if repeated.

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Trial Design- What Patient Population to Pick

Critically III Patients: Mortality Endpoint

- More likely to immediately change practice
- Simpler Enrollment Criteria
- Fewer patients
- More sites required
- May take longer to complete

Very Sick but Not Yet Critical:

**Rates & Speed of Improvement Endpoint** 

• Preventing progression to Critically III-

-May be appealing to Patients

- Applies to More Patients
- More complicated enrollment criteria
- Could be less compelling for immediate practice change

## **Design Considerations**

• Patients- which patient population are your studying

• Intervention- What are You Giving

• Comparator- What Treatment does the non-intervention arm get

• Outcome – What is the primary outcome measure

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Victas PICO Questions

- Patients- Up to 2000 Adult patients with confirmed or suspected infection and evidence of respiratory or cardiovascular organ dysfunction (e.g. adult sepsis)
- Intervention Intravenous vitamin C (1.5 grams every 6 hours), thiamine (100 mg every 6 hours), and hydrocortisone (50 mg every 6 hours), will be administered in divided doses each day for 4 days or until ICU discharge.
- Comparator Placebo (unless clinical team desires to give steroids)
- Outcome- Vasopressor and Ventilator Free Days
  - 30 day mortality

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Inclusion Criteria**

- Patients > 18 with confirmed or suspected infection and evidence of respiratory or cardiovascular organ dysfunction
- Confirmed or suspected infection :ordering of blood cultures and administration of at least one antimicrobial agent
- Respiratory Dysfunction
  - Positive pressure ventilation (invasive or non invasive)
  - High Flow Nasal Cannula (>=45 L >=45%%)
- Cardiovascular Dysfunction
  - Vasopressors

![](_page_47_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_9.jpeg)

# **Exclusion Criteria** Designed to limit exclusions and make the study as pragmatic as possible

- Patients that are too ill from other causes in which the treatment is unlikely to fix the other problems (e.g., end stage cancer)
- Patients who refuse to participate
- Patients who are allergic to any of the treatments
- Patients with medical conditions that would make treatment higher risk (kidney stones, problems metabolizing calcium)
- Patients who are participating in another study

![](_page_48_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Sample Size 500 Patients- Simulation Data

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

If the Mortality Difference is 10% between groups, 500 patients would have 80% power to show it

![](_page_49_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Estimates of Patients Needed**

According To Differences in Mortality Between Treatment Groups

Treatment Effect	Patients Needed to Show Treatment Effect
32%	72 ← Marik Manuscript
20%	Treatment Effect 250
10%	500
50/	2000

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

Estimates Dependent Upon Mortality Rates in Control Group

### Interim Analysis Decision Tree ("bypass" Goldilocks)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

Pr=Probability PFVD- vasopressor and ventilator free days ( i.e alive and off vasopressors and ventilators)

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Analytic Plan**

- Final analysis will be done after all enrolled subjects are followed to Primary Endpoint
- For Vasopressor and Ventilator Free Days will use a Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test, using 1 sided alpha of 0.022 (to adjust to control Type I error rate at 0.025)
- In final analysis, patients who died are treated as though they had zero Ventilator and Vasopressor Free Days
- Managed with DCC, with assistance from Berry Consultants

## VICTAS Trial Sites: 46 Enrolling Sites

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_2.jpeg)

# **VICTAS Team**

#### **Emory University**:

Timothy G. Buchman, PhD, MD Lawrence W. Busse, MD Rie Calcaterra Craig Coopersmith, MD Neal Dickert, MD Alex Hall, RN Katherine L. Heilpern MD Greg S. Martin, MD, MSc Caroline Rudolph, MBA Jonathan Sevransky, MD, MHS (PI) David W. Wright, MD (Co-PI)

#### **Johns Hopkins University:**

Roy G. Brower, MD David N. Hager, MD, PhD Gabor David Kelen, MD Richard E. Rothman, MD, PhD (Co-PI) Lauren Sauer, MS Vanderbilt University: Gordon R. Bernard, MD E. Wesley Ely, MD

#### Virginia Commonwealth University:

Christine DeWilde, RN, MSN Alpha A. Fowler, III, MD Ramesh Natarajan, PhD Anna Priday, MS, CCRA

**Eastern Virginia Medical School:** Michael H. Hooper, MD, MSc

Jefferson University David F. Gaieski, MD

National Institutes of Health (NIH): Mark A. Levine, MD

#### **500 Patient Milestones**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Thank you
- Jsevran@emory.edu