

# NIH Public Access

**Author Manuscript** 

New Phytol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2014 August 01

## Published in final edited form as:

New Phytol. 2013 August ; 199(3): 738–748. doi:10.1111/nph.12318.

## Increasing atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial through future levels affects drought tolerance via impacts on leaves, xylem and their integrated function

## Juliana S. Medeiros<sup>1</sup> and Joy K. Ward<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Holden Arboretum, 9500 Sperry Rd, Kirtland, OH 44094, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Kansas, 1200 Sunnyside Ave, Lawrence, KS 66045, USA

## Summary

- Changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration ([CO<sub>2</sub>]) affect plant carbon/water trade-offs, with implications for drought tolerance. Leaf-level studies often indicate that drought tolerance may increase with rising [CO<sub>2</sub>], but integrated leaf and xylem responses are not well understood in this respect. In addition, the influence of low [CO<sub>2</sub>] of the last glacial period on drought tolerance and xylem properties is not well understood.
- We investigated the interactive effects of a broad range of [CO<sub>2</sub>] and plant water potentials on leaf function, xylem structure and function and the integration of leaf and xylem function in *Phaseolus vulgaris*.
- Elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] decreased vessel implosion strength, reduced conduit specific hydraulic conductance, and compromised leaf specific xylem hydraulic conductance under moderate drought. By contrast, at glacial [CO<sub>2</sub>], transpiration was maintained under moderate drought via greater conduit specific and leaf specific hydraulic conductance in association with increased vessel implosion strength.
- Our study involving the integration of leaf and xylem responses suggests that increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] does not improve drought tolerance. We show that under glacial conditions changes in leaf and xylem properties could increase drought tolerance, while under future conditions greater productivity may only occur when higher water use can be accommodated.

## Keywords

drought tolerance; elevated  $[CO_2]$ ; glacial  $[CO_2]$ ; leaf and xylem integration; xylem hydraulic conductance

Author for correspondence: Juliana S. Medeiros, Tel: +1 440 602 3819, jsmedeiros42@gmail.com. Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Table S1 Watering and nutrient regimes applied during the course of the experiment

Table S2 Regression co-efficients relating root surface area to dry biomass for each  $[CO_2] \times$  watering regime combination Methods S1 Detailed methods for implementation of the water transport model.

Please note: Wiley–Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.

## Introduction

Changes in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration ( $[CO_2]$ ) are known to alter tradeoffs between plant carbon gain and water loss, with implications for drought tolerance and productivity. Studies focused on leaf-level responses to changes in  $[CO_2]$  often suggest that drought tolerance may improve as  $[CO_2]$  rises. However, changes in  $[CO_2]$  can alter a wide variety of traits related to drought tolerance beyond leaf traits. Specifically, the effects of  $[CO_2]$  and drought on xylem structure and function and the integration of xylem with leaflevel responses is not well understood, hindering our ability to accurately predict wholeplant responses to changing  $[CO_2]$  and drought. Furthermore, until now, there have been few studies incorporating the effects of low  $[CO_2]$  that occurred during the last glacial period (20,000 yr ago). In this paper we investigate the effects of a broad continuum of  $[CO_2]$  and drought on a suite of plant traits including leaf-level responses, xylem structure and function, as well as patterns of allocation to leaves versus xylem and roots. The results of this work offer unique insights into the mechanisms that drive the fundamental trade-offs between plant water use and carbon gain over geologic and contemporary time scales.

A simultaneous change in both [CO<sub>2</sub>] and water availability has occurred since the last glacial period, and rapid changes are expected to continue into the future. During the last glacial period,  $[CO_2]$  was among the lowest levels that occurred during the evolution of vascular plants (as low as 180 ppm; Berner, 2006). With the onset of anthropogenic activities during the current inter-glacial period, [CO<sub>2</sub>] has risen from 270 to 390 ppm, and is expected to reach 700–1000 ppm by the end of this century (Meehl et al., 2007). Concurrent changes in soil water availability have also occurred, with many regions experiencing more extreme drought during glacial periods compared to modern times (Jansen et al., 2007). Further changes in water availability are also anticipated for the near future, with the length and severity of droughts expected to worsen (Christensen et al., 2007; Sheffield & Wood, 2008). Thus, analysis of the interactive effects of changes in  $[CO_2]$  and water availability is important for understanding the impact of both past and future climates on plant productivity. Actual plant specimens dating to the last glacial period show strong support for leaf-level carbon limitation as evidenced by reduced CO<sub>2</sub> availability in the leaf intercellular space (Ward et al., 2005; Gerhart & Ward, 2010; Gerhart et al., 2011). In addition, leaf-level studies of modern plants often find that increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial through elevated future levels enhances carbon gain for C<sub>3</sub> plants, while at the same time allowing for decreased stomatal conductance (Gerhart & Ward, 2010; Vanaja et al., 2011; Franks et al., 2013). Furthermore, the relative increase in photosynthetic rates at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] is often greatest under drought conditions (Franks et al., 2013), such that the negative impact of drought may be ameliorated as [CO<sub>2</sub>] increases from glacial to future predicted levels by improved carbon gain, even when stomatal closure occurs in response to drought.

Studies examining how changes in  $[CO_2]$  affect water use at the leaf-level do not provide a complete assessment of how drought tolerance may change as  $[CO_2]$  rises, however, mainly because  $[CO_2]$  affects many other plant components that dictate water use. In fact, studies that have examined whole plant responses to altered  $[CO_2]$  often find no positive effect of elevated  $[CO_2]$  on drought tolerance (Vaz *et al.*, 2012; Perry *et al.*, 2013). One reason for this may be that plants must also tolerate the damaging effects of drought on xylem water transport, but little is known about the interactive effects of  $[CO_2]$  and water availability on this aspect of plant function. Hydraulic conductance can be increased when plants are grown under elevated versus current (Tognetti *et al.*, 1999; Tognetti *et al.*, 2001) or current versus glacial  $[CO_2]$  (Quirk *et al.*, 2013). This may result because lower transpiration rates at elevated  $[CO_2]$  allow plants to maintain water potential farther from the point where xylem embolism begins. In some cases, though, hydraulic conductance decreases at elevated  $[CO_2]$  (Tognetti *et al.*, 2005), which may become particularly problematic for plants with poor

stomatal control or for those that retain active physiologically functioning during drought. This may be attributable, at least in part, to the fact that an increase in xylem conduit size is often observed at elevated compared to current [CO<sub>2</sub>], resulting in a reduced ratio of conduit wall thickness to diameter (Eguchi *et al.*, 2008; Kostiainen *et al.*, 2009). Furthermore, [CO<sub>2</sub>] effects on water transport may be strongly linked to concurrent changes in leaf area (Gebauer & BassiriRad, 2011), since an increase in total leaf area under elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] could increase total canopy water demand (Ward *et al.*, 1999; Wullschleger *et al.*, 2002).

If canopy water demand changes with increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>], then greater allocation to water transport may be necessary for plants to maintain similar water supply on a leaf area basis across a broad [CO<sub>2</sub>] range. At elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>], increased allocation of carbon to shoots and/ or roots could improve leaf specific hydraulic conductance during drought, and thereby decrease the risk of hydraulic failure (Maseda & Fernández, 2006). Indeed, plants usually allocate fewer resources to aboveground structures as [CO<sub>2</sub>] increases in both drought and non-drought conditions (Ward et al., 2005; Inauen et al., 2012). Under well-watered conditions, hydraulic conductance on a leaf area basis can be conserved as [CO<sub>2</sub>] increases from pre-industrial to current (Phillips et al., 2011), and from current to elevated levels (Maherali & DeLucia, 2000; Eguchi et al., 2008; Phillips et al., 2011). In other cases, however, leaf specific hydraulic conductance can also be reduced at elevated compared to current [CO2] (Tognetti et al., 2005; Eguchi et al., 2008). Thus, the effects of changing [CO<sub>2</sub>] on the interaction of leaf and xylem traits may provide a mechanistic explanation as to why, in some cases, the positive effect of increasing  $[CO_2]$  on leaf-level traits does not translate into higher growth rates (Ward et al., 1999; Ghannoum et al., 2010). Though such whole-plant effects ultimately determine drought performance and survival, data examining the combined effects of [CO<sub>2</sub>] and drought on the integration of leaf and xylem traits are generally lacking from the literature.

We addressed this knowledge gap by determining the effects of a range of  $[CO_2]$  and plant water potentials on leaves, xylem and whole-plant function. Specifically, we hypothesized that increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial to future predicted levels would: reduce the impact of drought on productivity; and increase the capacity for xylem water transport to meet leaf water demand. We investigated these hypotheses using the annual Phaseolus vulgaris, which has been previously developed as a model system in [CO<sub>2</sub>] studies (e.g. Sage & Reid, 1992; Cowling & Sage, 1998). Though drought does not typically limit *P. vulgaris* growth in irrigated cropping systems, varieties of *P. vulgaris* are commonly cultivated using dryland farming techniques in regions where extended droughts frequently limit their yield (Subbarao et al., 1995). In addition, the effect of changing [CO<sub>2</sub>] and drought on dryland agriculture is expected to intensify in the coming century, such that a more thorough understanding of the interactive effects of  $[CO_2]$  and drought will be crucial for determining future management practices in dryland agricultural regions (Jat et al., 2012). We chose a drought tolerant variety, Bolita, providing a more conservative test of the effects of changing  $[CO_2]$  on drought tolerance. We grew plants under  $[CO_2]$  representing glacial to elevated conditions predicted for the future and drought levels ranging from none to severe. We measured biomass accumulation and allocation, leaf gas exchange, xylem hydraulic conductance, as well as xylem anatomical features related to embolism vulnerability and water transport capacity. In addition, we applied our data to a water transport model developed by Sperry et al. (1998) that quantifies the risk of runaway embolism during drought.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### Plant material and growth conditions

Phaseolus vulgaris L. var. Bolita (hereafter P. vulgaris; seeds from Plants of the Southwest, Santa Fe, NM, USA) were grown for 28–31 d in a full factorial design with three [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments representing glacial (180 ppm), current (380 ppm), or elevated (700 ppm) conditions in combination with four water regimes, resulting in a range of water potentials representing the full operating range of this species (c. -0.2 to -1.8 MPa, Holste *et al.*, 2006). Each [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment was replicated in two different growth chambers (Conviron, BDR16, Winnipeg, Manitoba), and all reported measurements were made on the day of harvest. Plants in all treatments were grown in 3.8 l pots in a sandy clay loam soil (49% sand, 18% silt, 33% clay) for 29–31 d. Growth chamber temperature and RH were controlled at 25 : 18 °C and 50 : 65 % (day : night), respectively. Light was provided from 08:00 h to 22:00 h at 1048 (+/- 66)  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Within each growth chamber pots containing sown seeds were randomly assigned to one of four water availability regimes ranging from high to low (see Supporting Information Table S1 for detailed description of water regimes). For each plant, the level of drought was determined on the day of harvest as predawn plant water potential ( $\Psi_{PD}$ ) measured using a pressure chamber (PMS, Model #1000, Corvalis, OR, USA). We also measured midday plant water potential (  $\Psi_{MD}$ ) for use in the water transport model described later.

Since *P. vulgaris* forms a symbiotic relationship with nitrogen fixing bacteria, all soil was autoclaved before planting to eliminate nodulation and ensure equal access to nitrogen at all [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Nitrogen demand is known to increase at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] in *P. vulgaris* (Jifon & Wolfe, 2002), but high nutrient levels negatively impact hydraulic function and reduce transpiration (Ewers *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, we used preliminary experiments to identify a nutrient regime that maximized transpiration for plants grown at the control [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 380 ppm (See Table S1 for description of nutrient regime).

#### Growth and allocation

Total plant dry biomass (leaves, stems and roots) on the day of harvest was determined after drying at 70°C for 72 h. Biomass allocation was determined as the ratio of absorbing root area to transpiring leaf area ( $A_R:A_L$ ). We measured fresh leaf area using a leaf area meter (LiCor Biosciences, LI-3100, Lincoln, NE, USA). We estimated fresh absorbing root area for each [CO<sub>2</sub>] × water availability combination using a regression co-efficient relating fresh root surface area to dry mass (detailed methods and co-efficient for each treatment combination presented in Table S2).

#### Leaf gas exchange

Gas exchange measurements were made at midday with an LI 6400 (LiCor Biosciences) on the day of harvest, using the upper most leaf large enough to fill the cuvette. For all plants in all treatments, this leaf had developed after the start of drought treatments. Cuvette conditions were controlled to be similar to growth conditions ( $T_{block} = 25$  °C,  $T_{leaf} = 26$  °C  $\pm 1.3$ ,  $RH_{sample} = 48\% \pm 4$ , VPD = 1.8 kPa  $\pm 0.3$ , 1000 µmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> light intensity, and [CO<sub>2</sub>] representing experimental treatments). Leaves were allowed to acclimate to cuvette conditions for a minimum of 10 and up to 20 min, or until stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and transpiration were fully stable for at least 1 min. Upon reaching steady state, we made five measurements over a 1-min period and report the average of these five measurements. Whole plant water use per unit of time was calculated by multiplying transpiration rate measured using the LI 6400 by total leaf area. Instantaneous water use efficiency (*WUE*) was calculated from photosynthetic and transpiration rates measured by the LI 6400.

#### Xylem function and anatomy

Immediately following gas exchange measurements on the day of harvest, we measured total stem hydraulic conductance  $(K_h)$  using an Ultra-Low Flow Meter (apparatus described in Tyree et al., 2002). The precise methods used here are described in detail in Medeiros & Pockman (2011), except that in this study we removed each leaf at the base of the petiole, cut the stem underwater c. 1 cm above the root/shoot junction and then measured  $K_b$  for the entire above-ground portion of the plant stem. We calculated leaf-specific hydraulic conductance  $(K_{l})$  by dividing  $K_{h}$  by leaf area measured as described above. Measurements of xylem anatomy were made using the same plants measured for  $K_h$ . Stem samples were cut from c. 1 cm above the root/shoot junction, embedded in resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Catalog #14300, Hatfield, PA, USA), sectioned, and then imaged using a digital camera (Photometrics, CoolSNAP ES, Tuscon, AZ, USA) connected to a microscope (Nikon Instruments, Eclipse 80i, Melville, NY, USA). We measured vessel diameter for all vessels in a single cross-section. From this, we calculated the hydraulically weighted diameter  $(D_h = \Sigma D^5 / \Sigma D^4)$ , and the conduit area specific hydraulic conductance  $(K_c = K_h / D^4)$ total xylem conduit area), which both provide estimates of total water transport capacity. Based on the method described in Hacke et al. (2001), we also determined vessel implosion strength (t/b), or the ratio of the thickness (t) to the span (b) of the double wall between two adjacent vessels, which is strongly correlated with xylem vulnerability to drought embolism (Hacke et al., 2001). Plants from only one chamber were used in this case for these exceptionally time-intensive measures.

#### Water transport model

Using the water transport model of Sperry et al. (1998) we estimate the critical transpiration rate at which runaway embolism begins, and the corresponding critical plant water potential  $(\Psi_{crit})$ . A brief description of our implementation is provided here, but complete methods are described in the supporting information for this article (Methods S1). The model uses a standard finite difference approach to solve Darcy's law for the main portion of the hydraulic pathway from the bulk soil to the atmosphere. The model was parameterized for each [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment using maximum measured values of transpiration (E),  $K_{l}$ ,  $\Psi_{PD}$  and  $\Psi_{MD}$ . Measurements of E,  $\Psi_{PD}$ ,  $\Psi_{MD}$  and  $A_R:A_L$  for individual plants were used for model inputs, resulting in an output critical transpiration rate and  $\Psi_{crit}$  for each plant. The safety margin from runaway embolism was calculated as the difference between estimated critical transpiration rate and measured E. These model results provide a quantitative estimate of the relative risk of runaway embolism across [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments based on measured leaf and xylem traits, but should be interpreted with some caution since transpiration is typically over-estimated in a cuvette due to elimination of the boundary layer (LI-COR, 2012). We performed t-tests and regression analysis to determine the fit of the model. The fitted regression lines are presented here (Fig. 7a,b) and the results of the model fit analysis are presented in the supporting information for this article.

#### Data analysis

All data were analyzed using SAS (Version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). We performed two-way ANOVA to test for differences across treatments using PROC GLM with  $[CO_2]$ ,  $\Psi_{PD}$ , and  $[CO_2] \times \Psi_{PD}$  as main effects with interactions (growth chamber was treated as a random variable). Because  $\Psi_{PD}$  was not continuously distributed (Fig. 1), it was necessary to treat it as a categorical variable in all analyses. Plants were assigned to one of four drought categories according to measured  $\Psi_{PD}$  (Fig. 1): none, mild, moderate or severe drought, with each  $[CO_2] \times$  drought combination including 4–11 plants. To account for unequal sample sizes, Type III Sums of Squares were used to determine significance for all analyses. Overall, growth chamber effects were negligible, exhibiting a significant effect in only a small subset of measured characters (Table 1) and no significant interactions with

 $[CO_2]$  or drought level. Therefore, interactions with growth chamber were removed from all analyses to conserve model degrees of freedom. Gas exchange parameters were analyzed using MANOVA due to high levels of autocorrelation typical of these measures. Planned pairwise comparisons were made using the LSMEANS option. Because *t/b* and *A<sub>R</sub>:A<sub>L</sub>* are ratios, these data were transformed for analysis using an arcsin square root.

## Results

## **Growth and allocation**

For all  $[CO_2]$  treatments, leaf area was significantly reduced in response to increased drought intensity (Fig. 2a; Table 1a) and the response to drought was similar across  $[CO_2]$  (no significant  $[CO_2] \times$  drought interaction). For plants grown at 180 ppm leaf area was reduced most dramatically in response to drought and was always significantly lower compared to plants grown at 380 and 700 ppm. By contrast, plants grown at 380 had leaf areas similar to, or larger than, those grown at 700 ppm at all levels of drought intensity.

Plants grown at 180 ppm exhibited the lowest root areas across all levels of drought (Fig. 2b), and there was a significant effect of  $[CO_2]$  on this character (Table 1a). For plants grown at the control level of 380 ppm, drought did not significantly affect root area, but for plants grown at 180 and 700 ppm, root area declined in response to greater drought intensity (significant  $[CO_2] \times$  drought interaction).

For plants grown at the control level of 380 ppm, more intense drought resulted in a significant increase in  $A_R:A_L$  (Fig. 2c), while for plants grown at 180 and 700 ppm  $A_R:A_L$  was more similar across all levels of drought (significant [CO<sub>2</sub>] × drought interaction; Table 1a). Under moderate and severe drought,  $A_R:A_L$  did not differ between plants grown at 380 and those grown at 700 ppm.  $A_R:A_L$  was significantly lower for plants grown at 180 ppm compared to those grown at 700 ppm, regardless of drought.

Total plant biomass was significantly reduced by the most severe drought treatment (Fig. 2d; Table 1), and the relative patterns across  $[CO_2]$  treatments were similar (no significant  $[CO_2] \times$  drought interaction). Total plant biomass was always significantly lower for plants grown at 180 ppm compared to those grown at 380 and 700 ppm regardless of drought. By contrast, significant differences between plants grown at 700 and 380 ppm were only observed in the absence of drought.

#### Leaf gas exchange

In the absence of drought, *A* (net assimilation; Fig. 3a) increased with increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>], while *E* (transpiration; Fig. 3b) and  $g_s$  (stomatal conductance; Fig. 3c) declined. MANOVA indicated a significant effect of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on gas exchange parameters ( $F_{126} = 33.44$ , df = 6, P < 0.0001). For plants grown at 700 ppm, mild drought did not affect *A*. However *A*, *E* and  $g_s$  were reduced significantly under moderate and severe drought for all plants (drought effect,  $F_{153.48} = 41.45$ , df = 9, P < 0.0001). The drop in net photosynthetic rates under drought was least dramatic for plants grown at 180 ppm, compared to those grown at 380 and 700 ppm. Thus, we did not detect a significant difference among the three [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments under moderate or severe drought, resulting in a significant [CO<sub>2</sub>] × drought interaction ( $F_{178.68} = 8.27$ , df = 18, P < 0.0001). Similarly, *E* and  $g_s$  remained significantly higher for plants grown at 180 compared to those grown at 380 and 700 ppm under all but the most severe levels of drought. There was not a significant effect of growth chamber on gas exchange ( $F_{63} = 1.86$ , df = 3, P = 0.1461).

Total water use was reduced significantly as drought intensity increased (Fig. 4a; Table 1b), and the relative pattern across  $[CO_2]$  treatments was similar (no significant  $[CO_2] \times$  drought

interaction). Across all levels of drought intensity plants grown at 180 ppm exhibited the highest rates of total water use, resulting in a significant effect of  $[CO_2]$  on this character, though differences across  $[CO_2]$  were not significant under the most severe level of drought. Although in the absence of drought total water use was higher for plants grown at 380 compared to those grown at 700 ppm, there was not a significant difference among these plants under any level of drought.

Plants grown at 180 ppm exhibited the lowest *WUE* at all levels of drought (Fig. 4b), and there was a significant effect of  $[CO_2]$  on this measure (Table 1b). *WUE* did not increase significantly at higher levels of drought for plants grown at 180 ppm. By contrast, *WUE* increased under moderate and severe drought for plants grown at 380 and 700 ppm, and this increase was greatest for plants grown at 700 ppm (significant  $[CO_2] \times$  drought interaction).

#### Xylem hydraulic function

In the absence of drought,  $K_h$  was significantly higher for plants grown at 180 and 380 compared to those grown at 700 ppm (Fig. 5a). Moderate and severe drought significantly reduced  $K_h$  for all [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments (significant drought effect, Table 1c), although  $K_h$  was reduced more dramatically for plants grown at 700 ppm compared with those grown at180 and 380 ppm (significant [CO<sub>2</sub>] × drought interaction). Similarly, in the absence of drought  $K_I$  was significantly higher for plants grown at 180 and 380 compared to those grown at 700 ppm (Fig. 5b). There were also striking differences among the [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatments in the response of  $K_I$  to moderate and severe drought. For plants grown at 180 ppm,  $K_I$  increased under moderate and severe drought, while it remained similar for plants grown at 380 ppm, and decreased for plants grown at 700 ppm (significant [CO<sub>2</sub>] × drought interaction). We also found a significant effect of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on  $K_c$ , with plants grown at 180 and 380 ppm maintaining higher  $K_c$  than plants grown at 700 ppm regardless of drought intensity (Fig. 5c). Though there was a trend for  $K_c$  to decline with increasing drought for all [CO<sub>2</sub>], we did not detect a significant effect of drought or [CO<sub>2</sub>] × drought interaction on this character.

#### Xylem anatomy

There was not a significant effect of drought or a significant  $[CO_2] \times drought$  interaction for any of the measured xylem anatomical traits (Table 1d). Therefore, for simplicity, only the  $[CO_2]$  effect is shown in Fig. 6. Increasing  $[CO_2]$  resulted in a significant increase in mean xylem vessel diameter (Fig. 6a). Vessel density decreased significantly as  $[CO_2]$  increased from 180 to 700 ppm (Fig. 6b). There was also a significant increase in  $D_h$  as  $[CO_2]$  rose from 180 to 380 ppm, although plants grown at 380 and 700 ppm did not differ in this measure (Fig. 6c). In addition, t/b (Fig. 6d) decreased significantly as  $[CO_2]$  increased from 180 to 700 ppm. There were no significant differences across  $[CO_2]$  in xylem cell wall thickness (t, Table 1, Mean t 180 ppm = 4.24 ± 0.43, 380 ppm = 4.45 ± 0.41 and 700 ppm = 4.26 ± 0.23).

#### Water transport model

Based on the measurements of  $A_R:A_L$ , plant water potential, gas exchange, and xylem hydraulic conductance made in the current study, the water transport model predicted lower  $\Psi_{crit}$  for plants grown at 180 and 380 compared with those grown at 700 ppm, regardless of  $\Psi_{PD}$  (Fig. 7a). At higher  $\Psi_{PD}$ , the safety margin from runaway embolism was predicted to be lower for plants grown at 180 ppm than for plants grown at 380 and 700 ppm (Fig. 7b). Under severe drought, however, plants grown at 180 ppm were predicted to have the largest safety margin relative to plants grown at 380 and 700 ppm, respectively. By contrast, a larger safety margin was always predicted for plants grown at 380 compared to those grown at 700 ppm.

## Discussion

A number of leaf-level studies have now indicated that increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial through future predicted levels may improve drought tolerance by enhancing photosynthesis while at the same time allowing for reduced transpiration (e.g. Vanaja et al., 2011; Franks et al., 2013). Yet, [CO<sub>2</sub>] can affect a number of additional components relating to drought tolerance, including xylem function and allocation to leaves, roots and/or xylem. Until now, however, the impact of glacial and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] on the co-ordination of leaf and xylem function has not been adequately addressed. We hypothesized that increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial through future predicted levels would reduce the negative effects of drought on productivity and increase the capacity for xylem water transport to meet leaf water demand. We investigated these hypotheses using *P. vulgaris* grown across a range of [CO<sub>2</sub>] from glacial through elevated levels under drought conditions ranging from none to severe. Surprisingly, we found that the hydraulic function of plants grown at glacial [CO<sub>2</sub>] was least affected by drought. This was associated with reduced leaf area and changes in xylem structure in response to growth at low  $[CO_2]$ , which together allowed higher transpiration rates under moderate drought. By contrast, plants grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] exhibited a reduced ability of xylem water transport capacity to meet leaf water demand and stronger drought-induced limitations on transpiration.

We found evidence that steeper drought-induced declines in net photosynthesis under increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] observed in this study (Fig. 3a) and in others (André & Du Cloux, 1993; Engel et al., 2004) could be driven by negative effects of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] on water transport. In our study,  $K_h$  was reduced during drought for plants grown at current [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 5a), but simultaneous declines in leaf area (Fig. 2a) resulted in similar  $K_l$  as drought progressed (Fig. 5b). By contrast, at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] significantly steeper reductions in  $K_h$  combined with similar reductions in leaf area produced a mismatch between water supply and demand under moderate and severe drought (reduced  $K_{\lambda}$ ). In conjunction with this, plants grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] exhibited no difference in biomass accumulation during drought compared to plants grown in the current [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment (Fig. 2d). In addition, we saw that plants grown at glacial  $[CO_2]$  exhibited a significantly smaller decline in  $K_h$  in response to more intense drought compared to plants grown at elevated [CO2], and had the greatest relative reduction in leaf area. Thus,  $K_I$  went up during drought for glacial [CO<sub>2</sub>]-grown plants. Furthermore, this was associated with higher transpiration rates and a smaller decrease in net photosynthetic rates under mild drought compared to plants grown at current and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 3).

It is worth noting here that simultaneous, and opposing effects of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on leaf area (increased with  $[CO_2]$ ) and  $K_h$  (decreased at elevated  $[CO_2]$ ) resulted in an overall effect that was different than would be predicted from measurement of leaf function, allocation to leaf area, or hydraulic function alone. Consequently, the integration of all factors was critical for a clear understanding the impact of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on plant drought tolerance. Using this approach we found that the effects of [CO<sub>2</sub>] under well-watered conditions likely negatively impacted the response of plants to drought:  $K_I$  was significantly and negatively related to [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Published data for a wide range of plant taxa ranging from trees and crops to lianas suggests that  $K_I$  is often reduced at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] under well-watered conditions (Fig. 8a). Interestingly though, xeric shrubs appear to maintain  $K_1$  at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 8b), while fast growing tree species like *Eucalyptus* and *Quercus sp.* show a relative increase in  $K_I$ (Fig. 8c) as [CO<sub>2</sub>] rises. Thus, the ability of plants to maintain coordinated leaf and xylem function under changing [CO<sub>2</sub>] may vary according to traits such as drought tolerance or life history strategy. Furthermore, our work extends our understanding of the effects of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on whole plant hydraulic function by providing evidence that  $K_l$  can become increasingly compromised during drought for plants grown at elevated [CO2]. The commonality of this

remains to be seen, however, since other studies concerning the combined effects of drought and  $[CO_2]$  on  $K_1$  are lacking in the current literature.

One possible explanation for the drought responses we observed is that reduced  $K_1$  at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] under non-drought conditions caused plants to experienced an increase in drought embolism. We found several lines of evidence in support of this. First, we saw that the differences in  $K_h$  and  $K_l$  between non-drought and drought plants were greatest for plants grown under elevated [CO2]. Second, we found that for a given conduit size relative investment in cell walls declined significantly as  $[CO_2]$  increased (lower t/b, Fig. 6d). Across a wide variety of woody plants reduced t/b is correlated with increased embolism vulnerability (Hacke et al., 2001), though the applicability of this relationship to herbaceous plants has not been experimentally justified. However, our results with glacial [CO<sub>2</sub>] do extend and support observations that t/b is reduced at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] in both herbaceous (Davey et al., 2004) and tree species (Kilpeläinen et al., 2007). Third, an increased risk of embolism with increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] is further suggested by the results of the water transport model, which predicted the highest  $\Psi_{crit}$  for plants grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 7a). The model also predicted a smaller safety margin from runaway embolism for plants grown at elevated compared to current [CO<sub>2</sub>], regardless of water availability (Fig. 7b). A global data set examining 191 forest species (Choat et al., 2012) indicates that in comparison with most other angiosperms *P. vulgaris* var. Bolita has a similar or lower  $\Psi_{crit}$  (at current [CO<sub>2</sub>]  $\Psi_{crit}$ in our study was below -2.5 MPa), suggesting that our results using the drought tolerant Bolita variety of *P. vulgaris* are possibly applicable to a wide range of species.

We also found evidence, however, that the negative effect of increasing  $[CO_2]$  on  $K_1$  was due at least in part to a change in saturated water transport capacity. In support of this, we saw that  $K_c$  was c. 50% lower for plants grown at elevated compared to those grown at glacial and current [CO<sub>2</sub>], regardless of water availability (Fig. 5c). It is possible that  $K_c$  was compromised at elevated  $[CO_2]$  due to greater embolism even under non-drought conditions, but the differences in  $K_c$  across [CO<sub>2</sub>] did not increase as drought progressed, so this possibility cannot be discerned under the current study design. We also saw that the significant positive effect of elevated [CO2] on xylem water transport capacity estimated from xylem conduit diameter ( $D_b$ ; Fig. 6c) was not associated with an increase in either  $K_b$ or total canopy water use at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fig. 4a). Taken together, these results raise the intriguing possibility that the hydraulic and subsequent drought effects of  $[CO_2]$  we observed were driven by not only be changes in embolism rate, but also by changes in xylem anatomical traits related to saturated water transport capacity, such as vessel length. Recent evidence for substantial within-species variation in vessel length across a broad taxonomic range of woody plants (Jacobsen et al., 2012) suggests that this character may display plasticity in response to environmental conditions, but the impact of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on vessel length has not been previously determined. Though our study cannot provide direct support for an impact of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on vessel length, our whole-plant approach did allow us to more narrowly define the impact of [CO<sub>2</sub>] on plant hydraulic function and drought tolerance, and highlights the need for more studies of this nature.

Despite the negative effect of increasing  $[CO_2]$  on hydraulic function, we observed several characteristics of plants grown at current and elevated  $[CO_2]$  that could increase their drought tolerance compared to those grown at glacial  $[CO_2]$ . First, we saw that plants grown at current and elevated  $[CO_2]$  always accumulated more biomass than glacial  $[CO_2]$ -grown plants, regardless of drought (Fig. 2d), which could improve drought survival and recovery (Ward *et al.*, 1999; Wullschleger *et al.*, 2002). Second, we saw that plants grown at glacial  $[CO_2]$  had the highest total water use in most cases (Fig. 4a) and did not significantly increase water use efficiency (*WUE*) in response to drought (Fig. 4b). By contrast, *WUE* increased significantly during drought for those grown at current, and even more so for those

grown at elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Still, it is important to note here that our data concerning xylem hydraulic function (Fig. 5), xylem structure (Fig. 6) as well as  $\Psi_{crit}$  and safety margin (Fig. 7) suggest that lower *WUE* in glacial plants was accommodated without increasing the risk of hydraulic failure, an important component of drought tolerance.

We also found some evidence that growth at elevated  $[CO_2]$  could improve drought tolerance compared to current  $[CO_2]$ , but in this case the benefits did not extend beyond mild drought. First, though total water use was significantly lower for plants grown at elevated compared to current  $[CO_2]$  in the absence of drought (Fig. 4a), we did not find a significant difference between current and elevated  $[CO_2]$  under drought. Second, we observed significantly greater root area (Fig. 2b) and higher  $A_R:A_L$  (Fig. 2c) with increasing  $[CO_2]$  under well-watered and mild drought conditions. This pattern has been observed in a large number of previous studies (e.g. Inauen *et al.*, 2012) and could improve access to soil water resources. But, again, under moderate and severe drought the advantage of elevated compared to current  $[CO_2]$  was diminished. Plants grown under elevated  $[CO_2]$  had significantly lower root area compared to those grown under current conditions, and we saw no significant difference between plants grown at current and elevated  $[CO_2]$  in  $A_R:A_L$ . Taken together these data indicate that elevated  $[CO_2]$  may provide some protection from the onset of drought conditions and/or from the negative effects of mild drought, but the opposite may be true under moderate and severe drought.

Our observations ultimately have broad implications for productivity at both future and past  $[CO_2]$ . Reduced  $K_I$  observed in our study (Fig. 5b) and others (Fig. 8a) suggests that elevated  $[CO_2]$  could compromise productivity under moderate and severe drought by limiting stomatal opening. In addition, the results of the water transport model suggest that cessation of growth may occur at higher plant water potentials as  $[CO_2]$  rises in the future. In addition, our investigation of xylem structure and function indicates that productivity may be reduced at glacial  $[CO_2]$ , not only as a result of low substrate availability and increased photorespiration (Ward, 2005), but also due to the need for relatively greater investment in xylem cell walls (higher t/b). In our study this came at a cost of reduced water transport capacity (Fig. 6c), which in turn can reduce productivity (Pittermann *et al.*, 2006). Though this could lower the risk of xylem embolism, it also increases carbon inputs into construction of xylem (Hacke *et al.*, 2001). Based on this one might predict that carbon limitation at glacial  $[CO_2]$  would result in reduced investment in cell walls, nevertheless, optimal carbon use efficiency may be achieved in some cases when conduits remain functional (Holtta *et al.*, 2011).

In conclusion, our study involving whole-plant responses highlights the fact that a change in  $[CO_2]$  elicits simultaneous changes in xylem structure and function, allocation to leaves and roots as well as leaf function, and it is the collective impact of these changes that governs plant responses to drought. We provide evidence that growth under low  $[CO_2]$  conditions could have increased the drought tolerance of some C<sub>3</sub> plants during glacial times compared to the present. Our work also provides mechanistic support for studies indicating that water availability will remain an important factor C<sub>3</sub> limiting productivity as  $[CO_2]$  increases (Linares *et al.*, 2009; Vaz *et al.*, 2012; Perry *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, we show that increased productivity at elevated  $[CO_2]$  may only occur under conditions where higher water use can be accommodated.

## Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank J. Sperry for generously providing the hydraulic limits model described in Sperry et al. (1998), as well as T. Leibbrandt, E. Duffy, C. Bone and M. Walker for help with plant care. Also, thanks to authors listed in Figure 8 for providing data on leaf specific hydraulic conductance and anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the manuscript. Funding for this research was provided by an NIH IRACDA postdoctoral training grant to J.S.M. and an NSF CAREER award to J.K.W.

## References

- André M, Du Cloux H. Interaction of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and water limitations on photosynthesis and water efficiency in wheat. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 1993; 31:103–112.
- Atkinson CJ, Taylor JM. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on stem growth, vessel area and hydraulic conductivity of oak and cherry seedlings. New Phytologist. 1996; 133:617–626.
- Atwell BJ, Henery ML, Ball MC. Does soil nitrogen influence growth, water transport and survival of snow gum (*Eucalyptus pauciflora* Sieber ex Sprengel.) under CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment? Plant, Cell and Environment. 2009; 32:553–566.
- Berner R. GEOCARBSULF: a combine model for Phanerozoic atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>. Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta. 2006; 70:5653–5664.
- Christensen, JH.; Hewitson, B.; Busuioc, A.; Chen, A.; Gao, X.; Held, I.; Jones, R.; Kolli, RK.; Kwon, W-T.; Laprise, R., et al. Regional Climate Projections. In: Solomon, S.; Qin, D.; Manning, M.; Chen, Z.; Marquis, M.; Averyt, KB.; Tignor, M.; Miller, HL., editors. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
- Cowling SA, Sage RF. Interactive effects of low atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and elevated temperature on growth, photosynthesis and respiration in *Phaseolus vulgaris*. Plant, Cell and Environment. 1998; 21:427–435.
- Davey MP, Bryant DN, Cummins I, Ashenden TW, Gates P, Baxter R, Edwards R. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the vasculature and phenolic secondary metabolism of *Plantago maritima*. Phytochemistry. 2004; 65:2197–2204. [PubMed: 15587703]
- Eguchi N, Morii N, Ueda T, Funada R, Takagi K, Hiura T, Sasa K, Koike T. Changes in petiole hydraulic properties and leaf water flow in birch and oak saplings in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere. Tree Physiology. 2008; 28:287–295. [PubMed: 18055439]
- Engel VC, Griffin KL, Murthy R, Patterson L, Klimas C, Potosnak M. Growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration modifies the transpiration response of *Populus deltoides* to drought and vapor pressure deficit. Tree Physiology. 2004; 24:1137–1145. [PubMed: 15294760]
- Ewers BE, Oren R, Sperry JS. Influence of nutrient versus water supply on hydraulic architecture and water balance in *Pinus taeda*. Plant, Cell and Environment. 2000; 23:1055–1066.
- Franks PJ, Adams MA, Amthor JS, Barbour MM, Berry JA, Ellsworth DS, Farquhar GD, Ghannoum O, Lloyd J, McDowell N, et al. Sensitivity of plants to changing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration: from the geologic past to the next century. New Phytologist. 2013; 197:1077–1094. [PubMed: 23346950]
- Gebauer T, BassiriRad H. Effects of high atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on root hydraulic conductivity of conifers depend on species identity and inorganic nitrogen source. Environmental Pollution. 2011; 159:3455–3461. [PubMed: 21890251]
- Gerhart LM, Harris JM, Nippert JB, Sandquist DR, Ward JK. Glacial tress from the La Brea tar pits show physiological constraints of low CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytologist. 2011; 194:63–68. [PubMed: 22187970]
- Gerhart LM, Ward JK. Plant responses to low [CO<sub>2</sub>] of the past. New Phytologist. 2010; 188:674–695. [PubMed: 20840509]
- Ghannoum O, Phillips NG, Conroy JP, Smith RA, Attard RD, Woodfield R, Logan BA, Lewis JD, Tissue DT. Exposure to preindustrial, current and future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature differentially affects growth and photosynthesis in *Eucalyptus*. Global Change Biology. 2010; 16:303–319.

- Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD, McCulloch KA. Trends in wood density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure. Oecologia. 2001; 126:457–461.
- Heath J, Kerstiens G, Tyree MT. Stem hydraulic conductance of European beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) and pendunculate oak (*Quercus robur* L.) grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Journal of Experimental Biology. 1997; 48:1487–1489.
- Holste EK, Jerke MJ, Matzner SL. Long-term acclimatization of hydraulic properties, xylem conduit size, wall strength and cavitation resistance in *Phaseolus vulgaris* in response to different environmental effects. Plant, Cell and Environment. 2006; 29:836–843.
- Holtta T, Mencuccini M, Nikinmaa E. A carbon cost-gain model explains the observed patterns of xylem safety and efficiency. Plant, Cell and Environment. 2011; 34:1819–1834.
- Huxman KA, Smith SD, Neuman DS. Root hydraulic conductivity of *Larrea tridentata* and *Helianthus annuus* under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant, Cell and Environment. 1999; 22:325–330.
- Inauen N, Korner C, Hiltbrunner E. No growth stimulation by CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in alpine glacier forefield plants. Global Change Biology. 2012; 18:985–999.
- Jacobsen AL, Pratt RB, Tobin MF, Hacke U, Ewers FW. A global analysis of xylem vessel length in woody plants. American Journal of Botany. 2012; 99:1583–1591. [PubMed: 22965850]
- Jansen, E.; Overpeck, JT.; Briffa, KR.; Duplessy, J-C.; Joos, F.; Masson-Delmotte, V.; Olago, D.; Otto-Bliesner, B.; Peltier, WR.; Rahmstorf, S., et al. Palaeoclimate. In: Solomon, S.; Qin, D.; Manning, M.; Chen, Z.; Marquis, M.; Averyt, KB.; Tignor, M.; Miller, HL., editors. Climate change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
- Jat RA, Craufurd PQ, Sahrawat KL, Wani SP. Climate change and resilient dryland systems: experiences of ICRISAT in Asia and Africa. Current Science. 2012; 102:1650–1659.
- Jifon JL, Wolfe DW. Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. is altered by growth response to nitrogen supply. Global Change Biology. 2002; 8:1018–1027.
- Kilpeläinen A, Zubizarreta-Gerendiain A, Luostarinen K, Peltola H, Kellomäki S. Elevated temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration effects of xylem anatomy of Scots pine. Tree Physiology. 2007; 27:1329–1338. [PubMed: 17545132]
- Kostiainen K, Kaakinen S, Saranpää P, Sigurdsson BD, Lundqvist S-O, Linder S, Vapaavouri E. Stem wood properties of mature Norway spruce after 3 years of continuous exposure to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature. Global Change Biology. 2009; 15:368–379.
- LI-COR. Using the LI-6400/LI-6400XT Portable Photosynthesis System. LI-COR Biosciences Inc; 2012.
- Linares J-C, Delgado-Huertas A, Camarero JJ, Merino J, Carreira JA. Competition and drought limit the response of water-use efficiency to rising atmospheric carbon dioxide in the Mediterranean fir *Abies pinsapo*. Oecologia. 2009; 161:611–624. [PubMed: 19593587]
- Maherali H, DeLucia EH. Interactive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on water transport in Ponderosa pine. American Journal of Botany. 2000; 87:243–249. [PubMed: 10675312]
- Maseda PH, Fernández RJ. Stay wet or else: three ways in which plants can adjust hydraulically to their environment. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2006; 57:3963–3977. [PubMed: 17079697]
- Medeiros JS, Pockman WT. Drought increases freezing tolerance of both leaves and xylem of *Larrea tridentata*. Plant, Cell and Environment. 2011; 34:43–51.
- Meehl, GA.; Stocker, TF.; Collins, WD.; Friedlingstein, P.; Gaye, AT.; Gregory, JM.; Kitoh, A.; Knutti, R.; Murphy, JM.; Noda, A., et al. Global Climate Projections. In: Solomon, S.; Qin, D.; Manning, M.; Chen, Z.; Marquis, M.; Averyt, KB.; Tignor, M.; Miller, HL., editors. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press; 2007.
- Perry LG, Shafroth PB, Blumenthal DM, Morgan JA, LeCain DR. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> does not offset greater water stress predicted under climate change for native and exotic riparian plants. New Phytologist. 2013; 197:532–543. [PubMed: 23171384]

- Phillips NG, Attard RD, Ghannoum O, Lewis JD, Logan BA, Tissue DT. Impact of variable [CO<sub>2</sub>] and temperature on water transport structure-function relationships in *Eucalyptus*. Tree Physiology. 2011; 9:945–952. [PubMed: 21712237]
- Pittermann J, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Hacke UG, Sikkema EH. Mechanical reinforcement of tracheids compromises the hydraulic efficiency of conifer xylem. Plant, Cell and Environment. 2006; 29:1618.
- Quirk J, McDowell N, Leake JR, Hudson PJ, Beerling DJ. Increased susceptibility to drought-induced mortality in *Sequoia sempervirens* (Cupressaceae) trees under Cenozoic atmospheric carbon dioxide starvation. American Journal of Botany. 2013; 100:582–591. [PubMed: 23425559]
- Sage RF, Reid CD. Photosynthetic acclimation to sub-ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (20 Pa) in the C<sub>3</sub> annual *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Photosynthetica. 1992; 27:605–617.
- Sheffield J, Wood EF. Projected changes in drought occurrence under future global warming from multi-model, multi-scenario, IPCC AR4 simulations. Climate Dynamics. 2008; 31:79–105.
- Sperry JS, Adler FR, Campbell GS, Comstock JP. Limitation of plant water use by rhizosphere and xylem conductance: results from a model. Plant, Cell and Environment. 1998; 21:347.
- Subbarao GV, Johansen C, Slinkard AE, Nageswara-Rao RC, Saxena NP, Chauhan YS. Strategies for improving drought resistance in grain legumes. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences. 1995; 14:469– 423.
- Tognetti R, Longobucco A, Raschi A. Seasonal embolism and xylem vulnerability in deciduous and evergreen Mediterranean trees influenced by proximity to a carbon dioxide spring. Tree Physiology. 1999; 19:271–277. [PubMed: 12651570]
- Tognetti R, Longobucco A, Raschi A, Jones MB. Stem hydraulic properties and xylem vulnerability to embolism in three co-occurring Mediterranean shrubs at a natural CO<sub>2</sub> spring. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2001; 28:257–268.
- Tognetti R, Raschi A, Longobucco A, Lanini M, Bindi M. Hydraulic properties and water relations of *Vitis vinifera* L. exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in a free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE). Phyton-Annales Rei Botanicae. 2005; 45:243–256.
- Tyree MT, Vargas G, Engelbrecht BMJ, Kursar TA. Drought until death do us part: a case study of the desiccation-tolerance of a tropical moist forest seedling-tree, *Licania platypus* (Hemsl.) Fritsch. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2002; 53:2239–2247. [PubMed: 12379791]
- Vanaja M, Yadav SK, Archana G, Jyothi Lakshmi N, Ram Reddy PR, Vagheera P, Abdul Razak SK, Maheswari M, Venkateswarlu B. Response of C<sub>4</sub> (maize) and C<sub>3</sub> (sunflower) crop plants to drought stress and enhanced carbon dioxide concentration. Plant, Soil and Environment. 2011; 57:207–215.
- Vaz M, Cochard H, Gazarini L, Graca J, Chaves MM, Pereira JS. Cork oak (*Quercus suber* L.) seedlings acclimate to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and water stress: photosynthesis, growth, wood anatomy and hydraulic conductivity. Trees-Structure and Function. 2012; 26:1145–1157.
- Ward, JK. Evolution and growth of plants in a low CO<sub>2</sub> world. In: Ehleringer, JR.; Cerling, TE.; Dearing, D., editors. A history of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and its effects on plants, animals, and ecosystems. New York, NY: Springer; 2005. p. 232-257.
- Ward JK, Harris JM, Cerling TE, Wiedenhoeft A, Lott MJ, Dearing M-D, Coltrain JB, Ehleringer JR. Carbon starvation in glacial trees recovered from the La Brea tar pits, southern California. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005; 102:690–694. [PubMed: 15642948]
- Ward JK, Tissue DT, Thomas RB, Strain BR. Comparative responses of model C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants to drought in low and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Global Change Biology. 1999; 5:857–867.
- Wullschleger SD, Tschaplinski TJ, Norby RJ. Plant water relations at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> -implications for water-limited environments. Plant, Cell and Environment. 2002; 25:319–331.



## Fig. 1.

Plant predawn water potential at the four levels of drought for *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown at glacial (180 ppm; circles), current (380 ppm; triangles) and elevated (700 ppm; squares) [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Measurements were taken on the final day of plant growth, just before measurement of biomass and allocation, gas exchange and xylem hydraulic conductance. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  SE.

Medeiros and Ward



#### Fig. 2.

Growth and allocation of *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown under four levels of drought at glacial (180 ppm; circles), current (380 ppm; triangles) and elevated (700 ppm; squares) [CO<sub>2</sub>] including: (a) leaf area, (b) root area, (c) the ratio between absorbing root area and transpiring leaf area ( $A_R:A_L$ ), and d) total plant biomass. Error bars represent ± 1 SE.



#### Fig. 3.

Leaf-level gas exchange of *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown under four levels of drought at glacial (180 ppm; circles), current (380 ppm; triangles) and elevated (700 ppm; squares) [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  SE.





#### Fig. 4.

Whole-plant water use and water use efficiency of *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown under four levels of drought at glacial (180 ppm; circles), current (380 ppm; triangles) and elevated (700 ppm; squares) [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Error bars represent  $\pm$  1 SE.



#### Fig. 5.

Xylem hydraulic function of *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown under four levels of drought at glacial (180 ppm; circles), current (380 ppm; triangles) and elevated (700 ppm; squares) [CO<sub>2</sub>] including: (a) total stem hydraulic conductance ( $K_h$ ), (b) leaf specific hydraulic conductance ( $K_c$ ). Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  SE.



#### Fig. 6.

Xylem structural characters of *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown at glacial (180 ppm; circles), current (380 ppm) and elevated (700 ppm) [CO<sub>2</sub>] including: (a) vessel diameter, (b) vessel density ( $\rho$ ), (c) hydraulically weighted diameter ( $D_h$ ), and (d) implosion strength (t/b). Error bars represent ± 1 SE.



#### Fig. 7.

Model predictions quantifying the risk of runaway embolism as a function of predawn plant water potential for *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita grown at glacial (180 ppm; solid line), current (380 ppm; dotted line) and elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] (700 ppm; dashed line) including, (a) critical plant water potential ( $\Psi_{crib}$  MPa), and (b) safety margin from runaway embolism (mmol m<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>).



#### Fig. 8.

Relative leaf specific hydraulic conductance ( $K_l$ ) as a function of increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] for wellwatered plants from a variety of plant taxa. Relative  $K_l$  was computed as the ratio of  $K_l$ under ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] to that measured under a given low or elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment. For many types of plants (a), relative  $K_l$  declines as [CO<sub>2</sub>] increases from glacial to future predicted values (trees, *Betula maximowicziana* (Eguchi *et al.*, 2008), *Fagus sylvatica* (Heath *et al.*, 1997), *Prunus avium* × *pseudocerasus* (Atkinson & Taylor, 1996) and *Pinus ponderosa* (Maherali & DeLucia, 2000); crops, *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita (data from this study) and *Helianthus annuus* (Huxman *et al.*, 1999); liana, *Vitis vinifera* (Tognetti *et al.*, 2005); dotted line represents a significant negative relationship for crops, relative change in  $K_l = -0.0008$  [CO<sub>2</sub>] +1.26, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.87, P = 0.0216). For xeric shrubs (b), however, there appears to be no change in  $K_l$  with increasing [CO<sub>2</sub>] (*Larrea tridentata* (Huxman *et al.*,

1999), *Myrtus communis, Juniperus communis* and *Erica arborea* (Tognetti *et al.*, 2001)). By contrast, *Eucalyptus sp.* and *Quercus sp.* (c), exhibit relatively higher  $K_I$  as [CO<sub>2</sub>] increases (*Eucalyptus sideroxylon, E. saligna* (Phillips *et al.*, 2011) and *E. pauciflora* (Atwell et al., 2009), *Quercus robur* (Atkinson & Taylor, 1996; Heath *et al.*, 1997) and *Q. mongolica* (Eguchi *et al.*, 2008); dotted line represents a significant positive relationship for *Eucalyptus sp.*, relative change in  $K_I = 0.0011$  [CO<sub>2</sub>] + 0.66,  $R^2 = 0.69$ , P = 0.0104). For studies that measured more than one time point, only the last time point was included. For studies that altered nitrogen availability, only the low or ambient treatment was included. For studies that altered temperature only treatments in the range of 25–26 °C were included. For studies that included sun and shade leaves, only sun leaves were included.

#### Table 1

*F*-statistics from ANOVAs testing for the effects of [CO<sub>2</sub>], drought level and growth chamber on *Phaseolus vulgaris* var. Bolita physiological and anatomical characteristics including: (a) biomass and allocation ( $A_R:A_L$ , ratio of absorbing root area to transpiring leaf area), (b) whole plant water use and water use efficiency (*WUE*), (c) xylem hydraulic conductance ( $K_h$ , total xylem hydraulic conductance,  $K_h$  leaf specific xylem hydraulic conductance and  $K_c$ , conduit area specific xylem hydraulic conductance), and (d) xylem anatomy ( $D_h$ , hydraulic diameter; *t*, width of the double wall and *t/b*, implosion strength)

Dependent variable	Drought df = 3	[CO <sub>2</sub> ] df = 2	$[CO_2] \times drought$ $df = 6$	Growth chamber df = 1
a) Biomass production and allocation				
Leaf area	56.21 ***	43.84 ***	1.86	10.01 **
Root area	16.32 ***	53.48 ***	5.39 ***	2.93
$A_R:A_L$	1.59	23.08 ***	2.82*	0.00
Total plant biomass	<b>9.8</b> 6 ***	161.40 ***	1.99	<b>4.93</b> *
b) Whole plant water use				
Total water use	122.02 ***	3.12*	1.36	3.40
WUE	258.89 ***	<b>591.36</b> ***	<b>95.24</b> ***	2.67
c) Xylem hydraulic conductance				
$K_h$	35.83 ***	22.40 ***	2.22*	3.60
$K_{I}$	0.95	54.21 ***	5.44 ***	0.02
$K_c$	2.75	7.61 **	1.98	-
d) Xylem anatomy				
Vessel diameter	2.97	20.08 ***	2.15	-
Vessel density	1.03	7.96 **	0.97	-
$D_h$	0.66	14.38**	0.86	-
t	0.07	0.46	0.39	-
t/b	1.80	26.87 ***	2.20	-

Bold text indicates a significant treatment effect.

\* P< 0.05;

\*\* P< 0.01;

\*\*\*\* P< 0.0001,