



NIH PUBLIC ACCESS

Author Manuscript

*J Ment Health Res Intellect Disabil.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 January 01.

Published in final edited form as:

*J Ment Health Res Intellect Disabil.* 2012 January 1; 5(3-4): 203–214. doi:  
10.1080/19315864.2011.593697.

## Early Prevention of Severe Neurodevelopmental Behavior Disorders: An Integration

**Stephen R. Schroeder, Ph.D.** and  
Life Span Institute, University of Kansas

**Andrea Courtemanche, M.A.**  
Applied Behavior Science, University of Kansas

### Abstract

There is a very substantial literature over the past 50 years on the advantages of early detection and intervention on the cognitive, communicative, and social-emotional development of infants and toddlers at risk for developmental delay due to premature birth or social disadvantage. Most of these studies excluded children with severe delays or other predisposing conditions, such as genetic or brain disorders. Many studies of children with biological or socio-developmental risk suggest that behavior disorders appear as early as three years and persist into adulthood if not effectively treated. By contrast, little is known about the infants and toddlers with established risk for severe delays, who make up a significant proportion of the population with dual diagnoses later in life.

In the past decade, there has been a growing interest in early detection and intervention with children aged birth to three years, e.g. the P.L.99-457, Part C Birth-Three population, who may have disabilities and severe behavior problems, e.g. aggression, self-injury, and repetitive stereotyped behaviors. The available research is scattered in the behavior analytic literature, in the child development literature, as well as in the child mental health and psychiatry literature, the developmental disability literature, the animal modeling literature, and the genetics literature. The goal of this introductory overview is to integrate these literatures, by cross-referencing members of these various groups who have worked in this field, in order to provide the reader with an integrated picture of what is known and of future directions that need more research.

### Keywords

severe aggression; self-injurious behavior; stereotyped behavior; early prevention; young children; intellectual disabilities

---

Neurodevelopmental behavior disorders (NBD), especially aggression, self-injury (SIB) and their related counterpart, stereotyped behavior, are some of the most devastating severe behavior problems of people with disabilities. They sometimes occur alone, but often overlap, providing a major barrier to social integration in the family and the community (see Rojahn, Schroeder, & Hoch, 2008 for an extensive review).

SIB, aggression, and stereotyped behavior each have a distinctive history of research, both among human models and among a variety of animal models. In the past two decades more attention has been given to early identification and intervention. The literature is scattered among several streams of research which often have developed independently of one

another with little cross-reference. There appear to be six main streams: 1. infant mental health and psychiatry; 2. child development of infants and toddlers at sociocultural and/or biological risk for developmental delays; 3. psychometric assessment of at risk dimensions of psychopathology among young children and those with established disabilities, e.g. severe developmental, genetic, and neurobiological disorders; 4. behavioral studies of individuals with socially mediated behavior problems and disabilities using direct observations of behavior and single-subject designs; 5. animal models of SIB, aggression, and stereotyped behavior; and 6. genetic and neurobiological factors related to severe behavior problems. Each of these topics has been reviewed independently and frequently over the past 40 years, but they rarely have been integrated into a cohesive body of knowledge in which one informs the other.

Our goal in the introduction to this special issue on early identification and early intervention of SIB, aggression, and stereotyped behavior is to integrate past authoritative reviews with recent advances in research on gene-brain-behavior relationships involved in their emergence and intervention, and to trace the contributions of each to our current state of knowledge.

## Research on Infant Mental Health and Psychiatry

A recent history in a special issue of the *American Psychologist* by Egger & Emde (2011) gives an excellent historical view of the field of infant mental health. They point out the limited empirical base for this area, although much progress has been made recently in devising developmentally sensitive diagnostic criteria for mental health disorders in early childhood. There have been basically two approaches i.e. descriptive and dimensional. The former is modeled after DSM IV (APA, 1994), where a panel of experts described criteria based upon their experience and knowledge of the literature. Another revision, DSM V, is expected in 2013, which may contain some changes in diagnostic categories, but the multiaxial diagnostic system is expected to remain essentially intact. In order to cover the diagnoses of children from 0–3 ages that would stream into DSM IV and ICD-10 (WHO, 1994) criteria, several diagnostic systems have been devised: the Research Diagnostic Criteria-Preschool Age (RDC-PA, Scheeringa, 2003), Early Childhood Symptom Inventory-4 (Gadow & Sprafkin, 2000), which goes down to age two, and the Diagnostic Criteria 0–3 and its updated revision, DC:0-3R (Zero to Three, 2005). The most popular, DC:0-3R, is a multiaxial system with five axes patterned after DSM IV. Axis I covers clinical disorders of affect, adjustment, and regulation. Axis II covers personality disorders. Axis III covers medical and developmental disorders and conditions, but little is done to address the definitions of SIB, aggression, and stereotyped behavior, perhaps because they appear to be rarer disorders. Axis IV covers psychosocial and environmental problems. Axis V covers global assessment of functioning.

DC: 0-3 diagnoses are not yet included in the DSM IV system, so crossing over from one system to the other is difficult. There is a growing prevalence of pediatric psychopharmacology emerging to treat DC: 0-3 disorders (e.g. Luby & Riddle, 2009). However, cautions about the possible effects of such medications on a child's growth and development at this early age are necessary. Longitudinal studies, especially in the birth - three ages, are lacking. Follow-up into later childhood and adulthood, as has been done for children at sociocultural risk (e.g. Ramey & Ramey, 1999), still remains to be done.

## Research on Infants and Toddlers at Sociocultural and Biological Risk for Developmental Delay

This area of research has a long and distinguished history in the literature on Child Development for the past 40 years and has been summarized in many handbooks since then. For instance, see an early anthology by Tjossem (1976) already. Sociocultural risk factors have focused on the effects of prematurity, poverty, parental education, the home caregiving environment and their interrelationships. They form the basis of current early intervention programs such as Birth-to-Three (Part C) programs, Head Start, and others. Ground-breaking analyses by Sameroff and Chandler (1975) and Sameroff (2009) have had a major impact on establishing the effectiveness of early identification and intervention programs (Guralnick, 2005). Most of these studies were directed at promoting cognitive, social, and emotional competence of children with mild delays, and they excluded children with severe disabilities with severe behavior problems of the type currently of interest because they did not have adequately standardized assessment instruments for this population.

In their early days, there was a great controversy on whether people with severe disabilities were essentially different or just developmentally delayed, compared to typically developing children (Zigler & Balla, 1982). This controversy has been rendered moot by the development of appropriate instruments that now cover a wide range of psychopathology for these populations (see Matson, 2007 for a review) and by the advances in genetics and neurobiology which have elucidated a multitude the similarities and differences among different behavior phenotypes (Dykens, Hodapp & Finucane, 2000).

The paper by Richman, et al. (this issue) is an example of exploring the relationship among different fears among young autistic children. This area of research has been fertile ground for developing more targeted early intervention programs with these more specialized populations (Wallace & Rogers, 2010). Longitudinal studies of higher functioning intellectually delayed children three years and older suggest that many of these problems begin at a young age and persist into later childhood if untreated (Baker, Blacher, Crnic, & Edelbrock, 2002; Emerson & Einfeld, 2010).

## Psychometric Assessment of Young Children with Established Risk of Disabilities and NBD

Psychometric studies present a dimensional approach to the study of behavior problems and psychopathology in intellectual disabilities. The best ones are standardized on the target populations and cut-off scores are usually based upon one, two, or three standard deviations from the mean. Most of the early instruments were validated on higher-functioning populations and specifically excluded lower functioning children with established biological syndromes and brain disorders from their samples. However, a variety of instruments have recently appeared, standardized properly on the target populations, and covering a wide variety of disorders, well defined operationally, so that they could be replicated. A good example of a measure of aggression is the Irritability Subscale of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (Aman, Singh, Stewart, and Field (1985) has been used widely in over 500 studies. Another excellent measure of aggression, SIB, and stereotyped behavior is the Behavior Problem Inventory (Rojahn, Matson, Lott, Esbensen, & Smalls, 2001) which has proven a sensitive measure in over 30 studies. An excellent measure of stereotyped behavior is The Repetitive Behavior Rating Scale-Revised (Bodfish, Symons, Parker, & Lewis, (2000). Most of these instruments have been standardized on adult populations with disabilities, however. Only recently have they been used in younger children, e.g. Karabekiroglu and Aman (2009), Maclean, Tervo, Hoch, Tervo, and Symons (2010),

Maclean and Dornbush, (this issue), and Mayo, et al. (this issue). For an in-depth review of assessment instruments for the full range of people with intellectual disabilities, the best text is Matson (2007).

Assessment of the first signs of behavior problems in infancy continues to be difficult. However, a new instrument for assessing the Birth-to-Three population by Matson and colleagues shows considerable promise, i.e. the Baby and Infant Screen for Children with Autism Traits (BISCUIT). (Matson, et al. (2009 a, b; 2010 a, b, c) have shown not only that it has good validity and reliability, but it is also the first instrument that shows relationships between severe behavior problems and comorbid symptoms of psychopathology in infants and toddlers. This may be an important area for future research, especially as these children are followed into later childhood and adulthood.

Mass screening for the first signs of aggression, SIB, and stereotyped behavior is discussed in the paper by Mayo, et al. (this issue) Theirs is a two-phase approach to assessment, rather than the use of a convenience sampling procedure used by Matson and colleagues, and it may be more appropriate for large scale screening and a cost effective strategy for multidisciplinary evaluations. The ages of the children included, the methods of sampling, subject ascertainment and the instruments used have all been shown to be important in estimating the prevalence and severity of NBD in the above studies.

## **Behavioral Studies of NBD in Young Children with Direct Observations and Interventions**

The first studies using behavioral assessment and intervention technology for SIB, stereotyped behavior, and aggression were single-subject studies on children with autism by Wolf, Risley, and Mees (1964) and by Lovaas, Freitag, Gold, and Kassorla (1965). Since that time, thousands of studies have been performed on many aspects of functional assessment and intervention, which are reviewed in detail in Rojahn, et al. (2008). Significant contributions from the behavioral approach have been: clear definition of behaviors, valid sampling of the behaviors using direct observation, coding and analysis of actual frequencies, durations, intensities, and sequential dependencies of the target behaviors, as well as functional assessment methods, which are now commonplace in clinical settings for people with disabilities. A good example is the work of Lovaas and colleagues on intensive treatment for children with autism (Lovaas, 1987). This work has been a break-through which has changed our expectations and methods for educating children with autism (National Research Council, 2001).

Two excellent recent reviews of early development of SIB (Symons, Sperry, Dropik, & Bodfish, 2005) and its early interventions (Richman, 2008) attest to the effectiveness of behavioral methods. Richman and Lindauer (2005) and Kurtz, et al. (2003) showed that SIB in young children could be prevented using functional communication training. The paper in this issue by Danov, Tervo, Meyer, and Symon shows how this technology can be applied to studying drug X behavior interaction effects on severe behavior problems in young children with disabilities. Kurtz, et al. (this issue) also have shown that the emergence of SIB can be observed in very young children with developmental delays which then develops into other topographies and behavior problems which may require treatment. The research on development and treatment of severe aggression by young children with developmental disabilities is much sparser, with few well-controlled studies (see Matson, Dixon, & Matson, 2005 for a review).

## Animal Models of Aggression, SIB, and Stereotyped Behavior

Animal models permit the experimental investigation of gene-brain-behavior relationships underpinning severe behavior problems that would not be ethically permitted among humans. They can be very useful, as long as appropriate precautions concerning generalization from animal models are observed. The most developed animal models of severe behavior problems explore the genetic and neurobiological sources of SIB. We have recently reviewed these models (Schroeder, Loupe, & Tessel, 2008): 1. SIB can be induced by isolate-rearing in primates; 2. by neonatal lesions in nigrostriatal dopamine pathways in rats, which has been suggested as a model for Lesch-Nyhan syndrome (Breese, et al, 2005); 3. by chemical induction of intact rats with amphetamine or pemoline; 4. in several genetic knock-out mouse models; 5. in a compelling mouse model for stereotyped behavior which can be prevented by environmental enrichment and complexity (Lewis & Kim, 2009); 6. finally, recovery from neonatal lesion-induced SIB in nigrostriatal dopamine pathways in rats shows neuroplasticity and can be improved by systematic operant training.

Animal models of aggression have been known for a long time to vary greatly, depending on the different types of aggression exhibited, i.e. territorial aggression, maternal aggression, inter-male aggression, predatory aggression, fear-induced aggression, operant aggression, and their correlated genetic and neural substrates (Moyer, 1976). There is only one animal rodent model of aggression designed specifically to model severe behavior problems among people with disabilities, i.e. the Kennedy and colleagues mouse model of operant aggression. They have shown that Swiss CFW mice performed operant tasks for the opportunity to aggress an intruder mouse (May & Kennedy, 2009). They have been able to relate these behaviors to dopamine functions in the mesocorticolimbic system, especially the nucleus accumbens, in mice (Couppis & Kennedy, 2008; Couppis, Kennedy, & Stanwood, 2008), as well as to polymorphisms on the MAOA gene (May, Srour, Hedges, Lightfoot, Phillips, Blakely, & Kennedy, 2009) and in a serotonin transporter gene (May, Light-foot, Srour, Kowalchuk, & Kennedy, 2010) in human adults with severe aggression and SIB.

Taken together, these animal models implicate the uncoupling of the HPA-axis and correlated dopamine, serotonergic, GABAergic, and opioid peptide systems (see Rojahn et al., 2008; Schroeder, et al., 2008 for reviews). Disruption of stereotypic SIB may be primarily the result of malfunction of the D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> dopamine pathways (Lewis & Kim, 2009), whereas SIB with aggression, as shown in isolate-reared primate models, may be more related to disruption of serotonergic function (Tiefenbacher, Novak, Lutz, & Meyer, 2005). This model seems to be more related to self-aggression and self-mutilation discussed in the Psychiatric literature (Favazza, 1996). All of these systems appear to be connected and to operate in a correlated fashion.

## Emergence of Genetic Factors related to Aggression, SIB, and Stereotyped Behavior

The pioneering discovery of the first SIB-related genetic disorder of Lesch-Nyhan syndrome (Lesch & Nyhan, 1964) occurred almost at the same period as the Lovaas, et al. (1965) work on behavioral assessment and intervention for SIB. These two strains of research continued independently for several years until Nyhan (1970) coined the term “behavior phenotype,” and began attempts at behavioral and pharmacological intervention for Lesch-Nyhan cases (see Rojahn, et al., 2008 for a review). Although many of the effects he found at the time were only transient, research on gene-brain-behavior relationships among both human and animal models was begun and has progressed steadily since then. There are now more than 15 genetic syndromes with a high risk of disabilities and severe behavior problems (see Mayo, et al. Table 1, this issue). In addition to those already discussed in the Kennedy

model of aggression, there are also several other gene mutations and polymorphisms associated with SIB in humans, i.e. the pro-opiomelanocortin system, the POMC gene, which is the precursor to beta-endorphin and ACTH (Sandman, Spence, & Smith, 1999), with aggression in mice, i.e. the COMT gene, which is the precursor of the catabolism of dopamine (Gogos, et al. 1998), and with self-aggression in primates, i.e. the TPH-2 gene, which controls the rate-limiting enzyme in the production of serotonin in the brain which in turn impacts mood regulation and obsessive and compulsive behaviors (Chen, et al., 2010).

## Integration and Future Directions

It has long been accepted that severe behavior problems in people with intellectual disabilities are multiply caused and multiply affected (Schroeder, Mulick, & Rojahn, 1980). Therefore, all of the above research streams are interconnected and should not be viewed in isolation. They require interdisciplinary collaboration and expertise if we are to advance our knowledge in this field. Each time we take such a view, we see additional levels of complexity of the questions and answers and new avenues of research. For instance, we now have extensive descriptions of behavior phenotypes of different genetic syndromes involving SIB and aggression, but few explanations as to how they actually interact to produce their end result. Earlier models, e.g. Guess and Carr (1991), stressed only developmental changes resulting from environmental factors, but Rutter, Moffit, and Caspi (2006) have shown that gene-environment interactions play a powerful role in the development of physical and mental health disorders. Langthorne and McGill (2008) have given a specific seven-stage model of how gene-environment interactions may occur in order to develop into SIB for people with disabilities. Rojahn, et al. (2008) have also given a multidimensional model of how SIB may develop over the life span. These models are worth exploring, and, guiding research in the future. They require that we pursue our research efforts in a multimodal and interdisciplinary manner, since they are all part of multiple causes and multiple effects of severe behavior problems among people with disabilities.

## Acknowledgments

Supported by NIH Fogarty International Grant No. HD 060500

## References

- Aman MG, Singh NN, Stewart AW, Field CJ. The Aberrant Behavior Checklist: a behavior rating scale for the assessment of treatment effects. *American Journal of Mental Deficiency*. 1985; 89:485–491. [PubMed: 3993694]
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. 4. Washington, DC: Author; 1994.
- Baker BL, Blacher J, Crnic K, Edelbrock C. Behavior problems and parenting stress in families of three-year-old children with and without developmental delays. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*. 2002; 107:433–444. [PubMed: 12323068]
- Bodfish JW, Symons FW, Parker DE, Lewis MH. Varieties of repetitive behavior in autism: Comparisons to mental retardation. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2000; 30:237–243. [PubMed: 11055459]
- Breese GR, Knapp DJ, Criswell HE, Moy SS, Papadeas ST, Blake BL. The neonate-6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rat: A model for clinical neuroscience and neurobiological principles. *Brain Research Reviews*. 2005; 48:57–73. [PubMed: 15708628]
- Chen GL, Novak MA, Meyer JS, Kelly BJ, Vallender EJ, Miller GM. TPH2-5'- and 3'-regulatory polymorphisms are differentially associated with HPA axis function and self-injurious behavior in rhesus monkeys. *Gene, Brain, Behavior*. 2010; 9(3):335–347.

- Couppis MH, Kennedy CH. The rewarding effect of aggression is reduced by nucleus accumbens dopamine transporter receptor antagonism in mice. *Psychopharmacology*. 2008; 197:449–456. [PubMed: 18193405]
- Couppis MH, Kennedy CH, Stanwood GD. Differences in aggressive behavior in the mesocorticolimbic DA system between A/J and Bab/cJ Mice. *Synapse*. 2008; 62:715–724. [PubMed: 18651644]
- Danov S, Tervo R, Meyer S, Symons F. Using functional analysis methodology to evaluate the effects of an atypical antipsychotic on severe problem behavior. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. 2011 this issue.
- Dykens, EM.; Hodapp, RM.; Finacune, BM. Genetics and mental retardation syndromes. Baltimore, MD: Paul Brookes Publishing Company; 2000.
- Egger HL, Emde RN. Developmentally sensitive diagnostic criteria for mental health disorders in early childhood. *American Psychologist*. 2011; 66:95–106. [PubMed: 21142337]
- Emerson E, Einfeld S. Emotional and behavioural difficulties in young children with and without developmental delay: a bi-national perspective. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2010; 51:583–593. [PubMed: 20015191]
- Eyberg SM. Tailoring and adapting parent child interaction therapy for new populations. *Education and Treatment of Children*. 2005; 28:197–201.
- Favazza, AR. Bodies under siege: Self-mutilation in culture and psychiatry. 2. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press; 1996.
- Gadow, KD.; Sprafkin, J. Early childhood symptom inventory-4: Norms manual. Stony Brook, NY: Checkmate Plus; 1997.
- Gogos JA, Morgan M, Luine V, Santha M, Ogawa S, et al. Catechol-o-methyltransferase deficient mice exhibit sexually dimorphic changes in catecholamine levels and behavior. *Proceedings of the National academy of Sciences USA*. 1998; 95(17):15484–15489.
- Guess D, Carr EG. Emergence and maintenance of stereotypy and self-injury. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*. 1991; 96:299–319. [PubMed: 1756034]
- Guralnick, MJ., editor. The developmental systems approach to early intervention. Baltimore, MD: Paul Brookes Publishing Company; 2005.
- Karabekiroglu K, Aman MG. Validity of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist in a clinical sample of toddlers. *Child Psychiatry and Human Development*. 2009; 40:99–110. [PubMed: 18600444]
- Kurtz P, et al. Identification of emerging self-injurious behavior in young children: A preliminary study. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. 2011 This issue.
- Langthorne P, McGill P. Functional analysis of the early development of self-injurious behavior: Incorporating gene-environment interactions. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*. 2008; 113:403–417. [PubMed: 18702559]
- Lesch M, Nyhan WL. A familial disorder of uric acid metabolism and central nervous system function. *American Journal of Medicine*. 1964; 36:561–570. [PubMed: 14142409]
- Lewis MH, Kim SJ. The pathophysiology of restricted repetitive behavior. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*. 1:114–132. [PubMed: 21547711]
- Lovaas OI, Freitag G, Gold VJ, Kassorla IC. Experimental studies in childhood schizophrenia. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*. 1965; 2:67–84.
- Lovaas OI. Behavioral treatment and normal educational and intellectual functioning in young autistic children. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 1987; 55:3–9. [PubMed: 3571656]
- Luby, JL.; Riddle, MA., editors. *Advances in Preschool Psychopharmacology*. New Rochelle, NY: Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. Publishers; 2009.
- MacLean WE, Tervo RC, Hoch J, Tervo m, Symons FJ. Self-injury among a community cohort of young children at risk for intellectual and developmental disabilities. *The Journal of Pediatrics*. 2010; 157:979–983. [PubMed: 20630541]
- Maclean WE, Dornbush K. Self-Injury in a statewide sample of young children with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. 2011 (This issue).
- Matson, JL., editor. *International review of research in mental retardation*. Vol. 34. New York: Elsevier; 2007. *Handbook of assessment of persons with intellectual disability*.

- Matson JL, Dixon DR, Matson ML. Assessing and treating aggression in children and adolescents with developmental disabilities: A 20-year review. *Educational Psychology*. 2005; 25:151–181.
- Matson JL, Fodstad JC, Dempsey T. What symptoms predict the diagnosis of autism or PDD-NOS in infants and toddlers with developmental delays using the Baby Infant Screen for aUtism Traits. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*. 2009a; 12:381–388. [PubMed: 20205546]
- Matson JL, Dempsey T, Fodstad JC. Stereotypies and repetitive/restrictive behaviors in infants with autism and pervasive developmental disorder. *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*. 2009b; 12:122–127. [PubMed: 19466619]
- Matson JL, Fodstad JC, Mahan S, Rojahn J. Cut-off norms and patterns of problem behavior in children with developmental disabilities on the Baby and Infant Screen for Children with aUtism Traits (BISCUIT-Part 3). *Developmental Neurorehabilitation*. 2010a; 13:3–9. [PubMed: 20067340]
- Matson JL, Hess JA, Bosjoli JA. Comorbid psychopathology in infants and toddlers with autism and pervasive developmental disorders-not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS). *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*. 2010b; 4:300–304.
- Matson JL, Mahan S, Sipes M, Kozłowski AM. Effects of symptoms of comorbid psychopathology on challenging behaviors among typically developing infants and toddlers as assessed with the Baby and Infant Screen for Children with Autism traits (BISCUIT). *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. 2010c; 3:164–176.
- May M, Kennedy CH. Aggression as positive reinforcement in mice under various ratio- and time-based reinforcement schedules. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior*. 2009; 91:185–196. [PubMed: 19794833]
- May M, Srour A, Hedges LK, Lightfoot DA, Phillips JA, Blakely RD, Kennedy CH. Monoamine oxidase a promoter gene associated with problem behavior in adults with intellectual/developmental disabilities. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*. 2009; 114:269–273. [PubMed: 19642709]
- May M, Lightfoot DA, Srour A, Kowalchuk RK, Kennedy CH. Association between serotonin transporter polymorphisms and problem behavior in adult males with intellectual disabilities. *Brain Research*. 2010; 1357:97–103. [PubMed: 20735998]
- Mayo-Ortega L, Oyama-Ganiko R, Leblanc J, Schroeder SR, Brady N, et al. Mass screening for severe problem behavior among infants and toddlers in Peru. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. 2011 This issue.
- Moyer, KE. *Physiology of aggression and implications for control*. New York: Raven Press; 1976.
- National Research Council. *Educating children with autism*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press; 2001.
- Ramey SL, Ramey CT. Early experience and early intervention for children “at risk” for developmental delay and mental retardation. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*. 1999; 5(1):1–11.
- Richman D, Lindauer S. Stereotypies, proto-injurious and self-injurious behavior in young children with developmental delays. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*. 2005; 110:439–450. [PubMed: 16212447]
- Richman DM. Early intervention and prevention of self-injurious behavior exhibited by young children with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. 2008; 52:3–17. [PubMed: 18173568]
- Richman D, Dotson WH, Rose CA, Thompson S, Abby L. Effects of age on the types and severity of excessive fears in children and young adults with autism. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*. 2011 This issue.
- Rojahn, J.; Schroeder, SR.; Hoch, TA. *Self-injurious behavior in intellectual disabilities*. New York: Elsevier; 2008.
- Rojahn J, Matson JL, Lott D, Esbensen AJ, Smalls Y. The Behavior Problems Inventory: An instrument for the assessment of self-injury, stereotyped behavior, and aggression/destruction in individuals with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 2001; 31:577–588. [PubMed: 11814269]



- Rutter M, Moffitt TE, Caspi A. Gene-environment interplay and psychopathology: Multiple varieties and real effects. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2006; 47:226–221. [PubMed: 16492258]
- Sameroff, AJ.; Chandler, M. Reproductive risk and the continuum of caretaking casualty. In: Horowitz, FD., editor. *Review of child development research*. Vol. 4. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 1975. p. 187-244.
- Sameroff, AJ. *The transactional model of development: How children and contexts shape each other*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2009.
- Sandman CA, Spence JMA, Smith M. Proopiomelanocortin (POMC) dysregulation and response to opiate blockers. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*. 1999; 5:314–321.
- Scheeringa M. Research Diagnostic Criteria for infants and preschool children: The process of empirical support. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2003; 42:1504–1512. [PubMed: 14627886]
- Schroeder, SR.; Loupe, PS.; Tessel, RE. Animal models of self-injurious behavior: Induction, prevention, and recovery. In: Glidden, LM., editor. *International Review of Research in Mental Retardation*. Vol. 36. New York: Elsevier; 2008. p. 195-231.
- Schroeder SR, Mulick JA, Rojahn J. The definition, taxonomy, epidemiology, and ecology of self-injurious behavior. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 1980; 10:417–432. [PubMed: 6985454]
- Symons FJ, Sperry LA, Dropik PL, Bodfish JW. The early development of stereotypy and self-injury: a review of research methods. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*. 2005; 49:144–158. [PubMed: 15634323]
- Tiefenbacher S, Novak MA, Lutz CK, Meyer JS. The physiology and neurochemistry of self-injurious behavior: A nonhuman primate model. *Frontiers in Bioscience*. 2005; 10:1–11. [PubMed: 15576335]
- Tjossem, TD., editor. *Intervention strategies for high risk infants and young children*. Baltimore, MD: University Park Press; 1976.
- Wallace KS, Rogers SJ. Intervening in infancy: Implications for Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2010; 51:1300–1320. [PubMed: 20868374]
- Wolf MM, Risley TR, Mees P. Application of operant conditioning procedures to the behavior problems of an autistic child. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 1964; 1:305–312.
- World Health Organization. *ICD-10: the ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: Clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1994.
- ZERO TO THREE. *Diagnostic classification: 0–3R: Diagnostic classification of mental health and developmental disorders of infancy and early childhood*. Washington, DC: Zero to Three Press; 2005. (Rev. ed.)
- Zigler, E.; Balla, D. *Mental retardation: The developmental-difference controversy*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers; 1982.