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#### Abstract

The first synthesis of dolabelide $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1})$, a cytotoxic marine macrolide, is reported utilizing a phosphate tether-mediated approach. Bicyclic phosphates $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ and $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ serve as the central building blocks for the construction of two major 1,3-anti-diol subunits in $\mathbf{1}$ through selective cleavage pathways, regioselective olefin reduction and cross-metathesis. Overall, phosphate-mediated processes provided copious amounts of both major subunits allowing for a detailed RCM macrocyclization study to the 24 -membered macrolactone 1 .


## I. Introduction: The Dolabelide Family

In 1995, the isolation and structural characterization of two new 22-membered macrolides, dolabelides A and B, from the sea hare Dolabella auricularia was reported (Figure 1). These compounds exhibited cytotoxicity against cervical cancer HeLa-S $3_{3}$ cells with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6.3 and $1.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. ${ }^{1}$ Two years later, dolabelides $C$ and $D,{ }^{2} 24$-membered macrolides, were isolated from the same source and were found to possess cytotoxicity toward $\mathrm{HeLa}-\mathrm{S}_{3}$ cells with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 1.9 , and $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, the mechanism of action of these compounds remains unknown to date.

Common features among the dolabelide family are 11 stereogenic centers, 8 of which bear oxygen, and two $E$-configured trisubstituted olefins. Other structural features possessed by this family of macrolactones include 1,3-anti-diol fragments found at C7/C9 and C19/C21, along with an accompanying 1,3-syn-diol at C9/C11 and polypropionate fragments at C1/C4 and C21/C23. The stereochemical complexity and biological profile of this class of compounds has attracted synthetic interest from several groups ${ }^{3}$ and in 2006, the first total synthesis of dolabelide D was reported by Leighton and coworkers. ${ }^{4}$

Dolabelide C (1) can be disconnected into C1-C14 and C15-C30 subunits, 2 and 3, respectively (Scheme 1). The endgame for this approach is similar to Leighton's strategy towards dolabelide D, ${ }^{4}$ employing a macrocyclization sequence to install the C14/C15 trisubstituted olefin through a late stage ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction. Macrocyclization, via RCM, is preceded by Yamaguchi coupling between the C 1 carboxylic acid of the northern subunit $\mathbf{2}$ and the C23 carbinol center in the southern subunit 3. Central to this approach are the 1,3 anti-diol motifs at C7/C9 and C19/C21, which can be assembled

[^0]and elaborated from bicyclic phosphates $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-\mathbf{5}$ and $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-\mathbf{5}$, respectively, utilizing a phosphate tether-mediate approach.

## Results and Discussion

## II. Construction of $P$-Chiral, Nonracemic Bicyclo[4.3.1]phosphates ( $R, R, R_{P}$ )-5 and (S,S,SP)-5

The enantiomeric phosphate triester building blocks $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ and $\left(S, S, S_{P}\right)-5$ (Scheme 2) were assembled via a phosphate tether, RCM desymmetrization approach ${ }^{5}$ inspired by Burke and coworkers. ${ }^{6}$ In this method, a phosphate tether effectively serves to mediate the tripodal coupling of anti-diol $\mathbf{8}^{7}$ with an allylic alcohol component via either a phosphoryl monochloride or through a one-step coupling/oxidation sequence from commercially available allyl tetraisopropylphosphorodiamidite to yield pseudo- $C_{2}$-symmetric triene 9 . Desymmetrization ${ }^{8}$ by ring-closing metathesis (RCM) using Grubbs catalyst [(IMesH2) $\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh}\left(\right.$ cat-B)] ${ }^{9,10,11}$ affords $P$-chiral bicyclo[4.3.1]phosphate $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ and is based on the premise that only the terminal olefin cis to the phosphate-tethered olefin reacts to generate $\mathbf{5}$ possessing two sterically differentiated olefins.

## III. Construction of C1-C14 Subunit

We embarked upon the synthesis of the C1-C14 subunit of dolabelide beginning with an elaborate cross methesis (CM) between bicyclic phosphate ( $R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) -5 possessing a Type III exocyclic terminal olefin and Type I olefin 10. ${ }^{12}$ As shown previously, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CM}$ of $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-5$ is high yielding with both Type I and Type II olefins in the presence of the Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst (cat-C). ${ }^{14}$ Various conditions for the desired CM of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-5$ were probed and it was found that employing $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DCE gave the CM adduct $\mathbf{4}$ in $72 \%$ yield (Scheme 3). This notable CM event between two complex olefins assembles in a single operation five of the six stereocenters contained within the C1-C14 subunit of dolabelide C. Moreover, excess amounts of 10, a Type II CM partner, could be recovered in near quantitative yield and recycled in future CM events.

Two related regioselective processes were next investigated. The first involved a regioselective removal of the exocyclic C5-C6 olefin in the CM adduct 4 in the presence of the C10-C11 internal olefin, which sets the stage for subsequent regioselective hydride opening of the bicyclic system. Upon probing several hydrogenation conditions, (Wilkinson's catalyst, Crabtree's catalyst, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ) it was found that a mild diimide reduction, generated in situ from $o$-nitrobenzenesulfonyl-hydrazine, ${ }^{15}$ provided the necessary hydrogenated phosphate moiety $\mathbf{1 1}$ with near complete regioselectivity for the exocyclic olefin. In comparison, other diimide conditions (tosylhydrazine, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCE}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) gave drastically lower yields, likely due to bicyclic phosphate instability under basic medium. ${ }^{16}$

Having achieved the regioselectively-hydrogenated product 11, a regioselective opening with hydride was probed as an additional method to unmask the phosphate tether. Initial studies focused on allylic copper hydride addition using various reagents (Stryker's reagent, $\left.\mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl} / \mathrm{PhSiH}_{3}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\right)$ (Scheme 3). Unfortunately, all conditions probed provided only unreacted starting material or total decomposition of the reaction mixture. Pd-catalyzed formate reductions were next investigated for generation of the requisite terminal olefin. ${ }^{17}$ Employment of 1.5 equivalents of formic acid and $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DCE selectively opened phosphate $\mathbf{1 1}$ to provide the desired terminal olefin in 12. Methylation of the phosphate acid intermediate showed that a highly regioselective process was operative ( $>20: 1$ ratio of regioisomers as evident by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR analysis). Purification provided phosphate $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $87 \%$ yield. The remarkable regioselectivity
reveals another feature of the phosphate tether, whereby orthogonal orbital alignment within 11 allows for selective $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed ionization of C 12 over the C 9 allylic phosphate position. This ionization allows Pd to deliver the hydride selectively at the internal C10 position to provide the desired terminal olefin. Addition of the hydride at the terminal C12 position would afford an allylic phosphate anion that is capable of additional ionization events with the C9 phosphate. Ultimately, the success of this reaction results in a net olefin transposition expediting the route to the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 14$ subunit, as well as showcasing an additional facet of the phosphate-mediated methodology.

Upon completion of the synthesis of phosphate 12, work began toward the installation of the C11-C14 fragment (Scheme 4). Cleavage of the phosphate was achieved using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, which generated a diol that was subsequently protected (PPTS, 2,2-dimethoxypropane, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to yield acetonide 13. Subsequent ozonolysis ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : MeOH 1:1, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) of the terminal olefin produced the requisite aldehyde, which was subjected to the corresponding Grignard ${ }^{18}$ derived from 1-iodo-3-methyl-3-butene affording 14 in a $95 \%$ yield. Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) oxidation of the free alcohol in 14 produced the corresponding ketone in $90 \%$ yield. Attempts to selectively reduce the acetonide-protected ketone, using an assortment of reducing agents, failed to give any diastereoselectivity at C 11 . This problem was circumvented by deprotection of the acetonide and subsequent syn reduction utilizing the C 9 alcohol. Selective removal of the acetonide was achieved by the addition of $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and water, ${ }^{19}$ which efficiently ( $86 \%$ yield) cleaved the acetonide-protecting group without loss of the primary TBS group to provide diol 15. Final reduction of ketone 15 using Evan's conditions $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\right)^{20}$ afforded triol 16 in $60 \%$ ( $95 \%$ brsm) with excellent diastereoselectivity ( $d s \geq 20: 1$ ).

## IV. First Generation Synthesis of the C15-C30 Subunit of Dolabelide C

The construction of the C15-C30 subunit of dolabelide began with the enantiomeric bicyclic phosphate $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ possessing unique orbital symmetry of the bicyclic phosphate (Scheme 5). ${ }^{21}$ Initial studies probed the possibility of an oxidation of the exocyclic olefin of 5 in the presence of the cyclic olefin. After testing various conditions, a chemoselective hydroboration of $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ was achieved using $9-\mathrm{BBN}$ followed by mild $\mathrm{NaBO}_{3}$ oxidation to yield the primary alcohol. Due to the aforementioned instability of $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ to basic hydrolysis, a mild perborate oxidation protocol developed by Burke and coworkers was implemented. Burke has shown this protocol to be compatible with multiple acetate protecting groups; ${ }^{22}$ optimization of this hydroboration reaction with phosphate 5 found the reaction to be highly dependent on the amount of oxidant, equivalents of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and reaction time. Subsequent PMB ether formation using p-methoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidate produced $\mathbf{1 7}$ in good yields demonstrating the acid stability of bicyclic phosphate $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-\mathbf{5}$. Employing the previously reported regio- and diastereoselective cuprate addition protocol, displacement of $\mathbf{1 7}\left(\mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{ZnMe}_{2}, \mathrm{THF},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ to rt$)$ afforded the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ displaced phosphate acid exclusively ( $d s \geq 20: 1$ ), which upon methylation ( $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ and MeOH ) produced cyclic phosphate ester 18 in excellent overall yield ( $87 \%$ ). This reaction again highlights the remarkable orbital alignment of the bicyclic phosphate system and its concave nature, where only one of four possible products for this $S_{N} 2^{\prime}$ cuprate reaction is generated. Reductive cleavage, followed by sequential protection of the diol systems with TIPS and MOM groups, and final ozonolysis of the olefin afforded aldehyde 19 in good yield.

With aldehyde 19 and vinyl iodide 20 in hand, studies aimed at a diastereoselective addition to aldehyde 19 to set the C23 stereogenic carbinol center began (Scheme 5). Initial efforts to generate the C 23 stereocenter by lithiate additions gave predominately the undesired 1,2Felkin product. To overcome this problem, investigation focused on reagent-controlled, ephedrine-based asymmetric vinylzincate additions, described by Marshall. ${ }^{23}$ Aldehyde 19 reacted with $\mathbf{2 0}$ under these conditions to obtain the desired 1,3-syn isomer 21 in an 11:1
ratio of diastereomers, in $65 \%$ yield. Successful formation of $\mathbf{2 1}$ provided the advance intermediate bearing the requisite stereochemistry of the C15-C30 subunit. With 21 in place, only the installation of the C14-C15 terminal olefin was needed to complete the C15-C30 subunit of dolabelide C. MOM-protection of the C23 alcohol, DDQ removal of the PMB ether, tosylation, and cuprate displacement all proceeded in good yield to afford the terminal olefin 22 and complete the C15-C30 subunit of dolabelide C. Overall, a 12-step sequence to 22 from 5 was achieved, bearing the requisite stereochemistry for the C15-C30 subunit of dolabelide C.

## V. Second Generation Synthesis of the C15-C30 Subunit of Dolabelide C

A second-generation synthesis was next developed when attempts to remove the three MOM-protecting groups from $\mathbf{2 2}$ proved problematic. To our dismay, all conditions tested for cleavage of these groups in the presence of the more labile TIPS-protecting groups provided unreacted starting material or total decomposition of the substrate (Scheme 6). The difficulty in removing these protecting groups prompted a reevaluation of protecting groups to access a suitable C15-C30 subunit of dolabelide. This alternative strategy coincided with a planned installation of the C23 carbinol at the last step of the sequence to streamline the route.

The alternative approach to the C15-C30 subunit began by employing previously established CM/reduction methodology (Scheme 7). ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ As anticipated, 5 underwent CM with $\mathbf{2 3}$ in the presence of $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ cat-C ${ }^{14}\left(\mathrm{DCE}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) providing $E$-configured ( $>20: 1$ ) product in $82 \%$ yield. Selective reduction of the external olefin was again achieved using $o$ -nitrobenzenesulfonyl-hydrazine ${ }^{15}$ furnishing 24 in $75 \%$ yield. ${ }^{24}$ Compound 24 was also synthesized through a one-pot, sequential cross-metathesis/olefin reduction protocol in $59 \%$ overall yield utilizing the same reagents shown in Scheme 6 This yield averages to $77 \%$ per synthetic step over the 2-step combined transformation. Regio- and diastereoselective methyl cuprate addition into 24 and subsequent phosphate cleavage produced diol 25 in good yield. ${ }^{21}$ Diol 25 was protected as the acetonide (PPTS, 2,2-dimethoxypropane) in 96\% yield.

The terminal olefin was next converted into primary alcohol 26 by an oxidative cleavage/ reduction sequence in good yields. TBS-protection of alcohol 26 proceeded in $86 \%$ yield and was followed by removal of the PMB-ether to provide the corresponding primary alcohol. Conversion of the alcohol to a terminal olefin through an iodination/elimination sequence occurred in excellent yield over the two-step sequence. Achievement of the C14/ C15 olefin left only a deprotection/oxidation/nucleophilic addition sequence to obtain the necessary C15-C30 subunit. TBAF removal of the TBS-protecting group to 27 and Swern oxidation provided aldehyde $\mathbf{2 8}$ necessary for the addition of the $\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{C} 30$ fragment.

Despite previous success with the Marshall asymmetric zincate addition protocol, ${ }^{21}$ difficulties in reaction reproducibility and low product yields, also recently noted by Marshall, ${ }^{23}$ prompted investigation of an alternative addition sequence. Thus, vinyl iodide 29 was converted to the lithiate with 2 equivalents of $t \mathrm{BuLi}$ followed by the addition of aldehyde $\mathbf{2 8}$ to afford a 1:1 mixture of C23 epimers of alcohol $\mathbf{3 0}$ in $79 \%$ yield. The two diastereoisomers of $\mathbf{3 0}$ were easily separated by column chromatography, allowing for isolation of the correct stereoisomer of alcohol 30 as well as facile recycling (oxidation/ reduction) of the undesired diastereomer $(d r=2.7: 1) .{ }^{25}$ Overall, this alternative 13-step route to 30 from phosphate $\left(S, S, S_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-5$ provided a C15-C30 fragment ready to couple with the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 14$ subunit of dolabelide C .

## VI. Completion of the Total Synthesis

Studies toward the completion of dolabelide C next commenced with the complete acetylation of triol $\mathbf{1 6}$ to install the proper acetylation pattern for $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 14$ subunit of dolabelide C (Scheme 8). This was accomplished by adding acetic anhydride and pyridine to triol $\mathbf{1 6}$ to afford triacetate $\mathbf{3 1}$ in excellent yield. Deprotection of the TBS protecting group provided alcohol $\mathbf{3 2}$ in $93 \%$ yield. Swern oxidation of $\mathbf{3 2}$ generated the desired aldehyde that was prone to epimerization and was taken on without purification. Pinnick oxidation of the aldehyde provided carboxylic acid 2 in $81 \%$ yield over the two-step sequence, which was ready for coupling with the $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 30$ subunit.

Final coupling of the C1-C14 carboxylic acid $\mathbf{2}$ and the C15-C30 alcohol $\mathbf{3 0}$ was achieved using Yamaguchi conditions, ${ }^{26}$ as previously described by Leighton and coworkers (Scheme 9). ${ }^{4}$ The addition of 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, and DMAP at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 21 hours avoided epimerization at C 2 and yielded the desired coupled 33 in $77 \%$ yield. Deprotection of the C27-TES protecting group was achieved with TBAF in $94 \%$ yield. Subsequent acylation provided 34 in $98 \%$ yield. The final two protecting groups were removed using PPTS in MeOH , followed by treatment with DDQ to provide metathesis precursor 35 in excellent yield over two steps. Efforts to close the ring were attempted prior to PMB ether removal and provided the desired RCM product as observed by HRMS, albeit in poor overall conversion. As a result, subsequent investigations focused on RCM of the deprotected triol 35. Portion-wise addition of $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\left(\mathrm{IMesH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ (cat-B) ${ }^{11}$ to triol 35 afforded approximately a $1: 1 E / Z$ mixture of dolabelide C 1 and its (Z)isomer in a $57-60 \%$ yield.

## VII. Purification Attempts and RCM/Isomerization Side Reaction

Initial attempts utilizing repeated standard normal-phase chromatography removed the Zisomer (higher $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ), leaving what was believed to be pure dolabelide $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1})$ as a single spot on TLC. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR analysis, however, revealed impurities, which were originally presumed to be the $Z$-isomer. Attempted purification using preparative reverse-phase LC-MS revealed the major by-product, as seen by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR to be a demethylenated analog (M-14), along with trace amounts of a constitutional isomer and two by-products resulting from formal loss of an ethylene (M-28) as seen in the total-ion chromatogram (Figure 2). These by-products (35a and 35b) are presumed to occur from isomerization of $\mathbf{3 5}$ followed by RCM, resulting in the smaller macrocycles (Scheme 10). ${ }^{27}$ Numerous reports are consistent with this observation ${ }^{28}$ and to the best of our knowledge; this is the first report of a detailed LC-MS analysis of the aforementioned side reaction.

In an attempt to understand and optimize the final RCM sequence, the final metathesis precursor was scaled-up. Both syntheses to each subunit proved to be scalable, providing 300 mg of $\mathbf{3 0}$ and 175 mg of $\mathbf{3 2 .}{ }^{29}$ This material was carried through the same endgame steps (Scheme 9) affording 160 mg of RCM precursor 35 to apply towards the goal of optimizing the C14/15 E:Z ratio and minimizing the amount of deleterious side reactions occurring in the final RCM step. For this study metathesis catalyst cat-B and three other candidates shown in Figure 3 were screened. ${ }^{30}$

Initially, the conditions shown from Scheme 9 were reproduced (Table 1, entry 1), where LC-MS analysis showed nearly complete conversion and a similar ratio to what was previously observed. Screening of other catalysts by varying the phosphine or NHC-ligand showed a significant increase of by-product formation (entries 3-5). While no significant changes in $E: Z$ ratio were obtained from catalyst screening, rigorous degassing and purification of the solvent were shown to reduce deleterious side products. ${ }^{31}$

Due to the scalability of this synthesis, ${ }^{32}$ ample material was provided for characterization, where all NMR spectra matched with the previous reported data. ${ }^{2,33}$ In addition, sufficient quantities of the unnatural C14/15 Z-isomer ${ }^{34}$ were generated for both NMR analysis and collection of biological data to determine its bioactivity and potential potency against cervical cancer. ${ }^{35}$

## Conclusion

In conclusion, dolabelide C(1) and its non-natural C14-C15 Z-diastereomer were produced and isolated from a scalable phosphate-mediated synthesis. A complex mixture was generated in the final RCM step resulting in by-products, which arose from a net loss of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, that proved to be difficult to separate via repeated flash chromatography (8:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :acetone). Since the material produced at the end of the first synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ was sparse, a re-synthesis provided 175 mg of the C1-C14 subunit (32), 300 mg of the C15-C30 subunit (30) and 160 mg of RCM precursor $\mathbf{3 5}$. This allowed a detailed optimization study through a screening of various metathesis catalysts, concluding with the originally developed conditions ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ cat- $\mathbf{B}, 0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) provided the optimum results. Overall, 14 mg ( $21 \%$ yield) of pure dolabelide C and 10 mg ( $15 \%$ yield) of the pure $Z$ isomer were produced in a 24 -step longest linear sequence (LLS) from commercially available material utilizing the ( $R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}$ ) antipode of 5 (sequence streamlined to a 22 -step LLS using one-pot, sequential protocols).

## Experimental Section

## General Methods

All reactions were carried out in oven- or flame-dried glassware, under an argon atmosphere, using standard gastight syringes, cannulae, and septa. Stirring was achieved with oven-dried magnetic stir bars. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were passed through a purification system employing activated $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was eluted through basic alumina and stored over KOH. Butyl lithium was titrated prior to use. All olefin metathesis catalysts were obtained commercially and used without further purification. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ at 400 MHz or 500 MHz and 126 MHz , respectively, and calibrated to the solvent peak. All mass spectra were obtained using electrospray ionization (ESI) $(\mathrm{MeOH})$ coupled to high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS). Observed rotations at 589 nm were measured using an automatic polarimeter. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer.

## (4S,6R)-4-((R)-But-3-en-2-yl)-6-(5-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)pentyl)-2,2-

 dimethyl-1,3-dioxane ( $\mathbf{S I - 1}$ )-Diol $25(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 8 mL ) at rt . 2,2-Dimethoxypropane ( 8 mL ) and PPTS ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.595 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added respectively and the clear solution was stirred until completion. The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layers were washed once with brine ( 30 $\mathrm{mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Compound SI-1 was isolated using flash chromatography (19:1 hexanes/EtOAc) as a clear oil ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-36.3$ ( $c=0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); FTIR (neat) 2983, 2935, 2856, 1612, 1512, $819 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.4,10.5$ and $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.03(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.5,11.0$ and $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-$ $3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.7,6.3$ and $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.1,140.9,130.7$, 129.3, $114.4,113.7,100.3,72.5,70.1,70.0,66.8,55.3,42.1,36.3,35.9,29.7,26.2,25.3,24.7$, 24.4, 15.3; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 399.2511$; found 399.2498 (ESI).(R)-2-((4S,6R)-6-(5-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)pentyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)propan-1-ol (26)—Olefin SI-1 ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:2:1, 20 mL ) at rt. $N$-Methyl morpholine $N$-oxide ( $933 \mathrm{mg}, 7.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.19$ $\mathrm{mL}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \% \mathrm{aq}$ ) were added and the reaction was stirred for approximately 12 h until olefin was completely consumed. The mixture was then diluted with phosphate buffer pH 7 (twice the volume of $t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ was added ( $3.41 \mathrm{mg}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred vigorously for approximately 2 h until the diol was completely consumed (monitored by TLC). The reaction was quenched with solid $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(2.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and acetone was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned with EtOAc ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The collected organics were washed once with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. After concentrating under reduced pressure, the crude product was purified using flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) to generate intermediate aldehyde as a yellow oil.

The resultant aldehyde was dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOH}(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(303 \mathrm{mg}$, 7.98 mmol ) was added and the reaction was slowly brought back to rt . Upon completion ( $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min}$ ), the solution was partitioned with $2: 1, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 30 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification with flash chromatography ( $1: 2$ hexanes/EtOAc) afforded 26 ( $88 \%$ over two steps, 1.35 mg ) as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-0.26\left(c=0.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3442,2933,2856,1612,1512,819$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.2,6.3$ and $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.1,130.8,129.2,113.7$, $100.5,73.1,72.5,70.1,68.3,66.6,55.3,40.6,37.9,35.8,29.7,26.2,25.2,24.6,24.6,12.7$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{NaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 403.2460$; found 403.2413 (ESI).
tert-Butyl((R)-2-((4S,6R)-6-(5-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)pentyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-
dioxan-4-yl)propoxy)dimethylsilane (SI-2)—Alcohol 26 ( $1.34 \mathrm{mg}, 3.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was
dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(23 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . Imidazole ( $720 \mathrm{mg}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMAP ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08$
$\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{TBSCl}(800 \mathrm{mg}, 5.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, respectively. The reaction was
quenched upon completion ( $\sim 90 \mathrm{~min}$, monitored by TLC) with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ )
and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and
the organic layers were washed with brine ( 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried
$\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification with flash chromatography
(20:1 hexanes/EtOAc) afforded SI-2 $(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%)$ as a yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{D}=-16.6(c=0.35$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); FTIR (neat) 2933, 2856, 2881, 1247, $835 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ; 1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$
$7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.66(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.0$,
130.7, 129.2, 113.7, 100.1, 72.5, 70.1, 67.0, 66.8, 64.1, 55.2, 40.5, 36.6, 35.9, 29.7, 26.1,
25.9, 25.3, 24.6, 24.5, 18.2, 12.2, -5.5, -5.5; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
517.3325; found 517.3334 (ESI).

5-((4R,6S)-6-((R)-1-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)propan-2-yl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)pentan-1-ol (SI-3)—PMB ether SI-2 $(1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in EtOAc ( 16 mL ) at rt. A catalytic amount of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(280 \mathrm{mg}, 3.34$ mmol ) were added sequentially and the flask was pressurized with a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ balloon. After 10 h the mixture was filtered through pad of Celite® and rinsed thoroughly with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified with flash chromatography (10:1 hexanes/EtOAc) to yield alcohol SI-3 ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield) as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-0.11$ $\left(c=0.40, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ FTIR (neat) $3357,2933,2858,1379,1251,1224,835,775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ; 1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{36 \mathrm{a}} \delta 3.75-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7$ and $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 100.2,67.1,66.8,64.1,63.0$, .5, 36.6, 35.9, $32.7,25.9$ (3), 25.7, 25.3, 24.6, 24.5, 18.2, 12.2, -5.5, -5.5; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 397.2750$, found 397.2773 (ESI).
(R)-2-((4S,6R)-2,2-Dimethyl-6-(pent-4-enyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)propan-1-ol (27)Alcohol SI-3 ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 2.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 30 mL ) at rt. Triphenylphosphine ( $941 \mathrm{mg}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $477 \mathrm{mg}, 6.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, respectively, and the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{I}_{2}(912 \mathrm{mg}, 3.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction was stirred for approximately 30 min (monitored by TLC). The solution was diluted with hexane and filtered through a pad of silica, while washing with hexane, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was taken onto the next step.

The iodo compound was dissolved in THF ( 35 mL ) at rt followed by stepwise addition of $t$ BuOK ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred for $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$. and was quenched with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ portions) and the organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification with flash chromatography (20:1 hexanes/ $\mathrm{EtOAc})$ yielded the resultant terminal olefin $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a clear oil.

The resultant silyl ether $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of TBAF in THF ( $8.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until completion ( $\sim 45 \mathrm{~min}$ ), quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification using flash chromatography (10:1 hexanes/EtOAc) afforded 27 (670 $\mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-78.6\left(c=0.50, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3446,2983,2935$, $2879,1379,1224,908 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ; 1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.86-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.93$ (m, 2H), 3.82-3.76 (m, 1H), 3.69 (ddd, $J=9.2,9.2$ and $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1$ and $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 138.7,114.7,100.5,73.0$, $68.2,66.6,40.6,37.8,35.3,33.6,24.7,24.6,24.6,12.6$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 243.1960 , found 243.2895 (ESI).

## (2R,3R,7R,E)-2-((4S,6R)-2,2-Dimethyl-6-(pent-4-enyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)-5-methyl-7-(triethylsilyloxy)dec-4-en-3-ol (30)—A solution of oxalyl chloride ( 0.158

 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and DMSO $(0.220 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.01$ mmol ) was added slowly by syringe (gas evolution). After stirring for 10 min , a solution of alcohol $27(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added by cannula and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cloudy mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min at which time $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.700 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched cold with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and allowed to warm to rt . After diluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 12 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered through a silica plug and rinsed ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) with a $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1)$. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give aldehyde $\mathbf{2 8}$ as a yellow oil. The crude aldehyde was taken immediately to the next reaction without further purification.To a solution of the vinyl iodide $29(1.01 \mathrm{mg}, 2.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $t$ - BuLi ( 1.7 M in pentane, $3.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction was immediately warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 min . The reaction was recooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the aldehyde 28 was slowly added via syringe in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{~mL}$ rinse). After 1 h , the reaction was
quenched at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, warmed to rt , and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography ( $10: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) afforded a $1: 1$ mixture of 1,3-syn and 1,3-anti $\mathbf{3 0}$ (ratio determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of crude reaction mixture, 464 mg , combined yield of diastereomers $77 \%$ over two steps).

Oxidation/Reduction Sequence: The 1,3-anti diastereomer ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of $\mathbf{3 0}$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . Dess-Martin periodinane ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.270 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the stirring solution, where upon completion (monitored by TLC), the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After filtration, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residual oil was purified through a short plug of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (1:1 hexanes/EtOAc) providing a clear oil ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).

The ketone ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0125 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in MeOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(11$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly and the mixture was stirred until the ketone was completely consumed (monitored by TLC). The mixture was partitioned with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1: 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resultant aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The collected organic layers were washed with brine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The epimeric ratio of the crude material was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis after filtration and removal of solvent under reduced pressure, ( $\sim 2.7: 1$ ). Flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) provided both isomers ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-8.1\left(c=1.3, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3456,2954,2935,2875,1458,1379,1224,908 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.82$ (dddd, $J=16.9,10.1,6.7$ and $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.1,3.4$ and $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1$ and $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$ and $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.59(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.6,136.0,128.8,114.6,100.6,72.9,72.4,70.7,66.6,48.5,44.1$, $38.7,38.0,35.2,33.6,24.7,24.6,24.5,18.4,17.3,14.2,11.6,7.0,5.0$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 505.3689$; found 505.3674 (ESI).
(5S,7R,9S,12R,13S,14R)-15-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-13-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,12,14-trimethylpentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (31)
-To a solution of triol $\mathbf{1 6}(132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.232 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DMAP (3 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$, pyridine $(0.750 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, and acetic anhydride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.65$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred until disappearance of starting material at $\mathrm{rt}(\sim 2 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexanes $/ E t O A c$ ) provided $\mathbf{3 1}(151 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+12.4\left(c=0.50, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$ FTIR (neat) $2956,2929,2883,2856,1739,1514,1461,1247$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97$ (dddd, $J=9.5,6.2,6.2$ and $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7$ and $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ (dd, $J=9.7$ and $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$ and $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{dddd}, J=18.7,10.2,4.4$ and $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.8,170.7,170.6,159.1,144.9,131.7,129.3,113.9,110.5,83.3,74.6,70.9$, $70.3,67.5,65.1,55.4,39.2,38.7,38.6,35.4,33.5,33.1,32.3,30.5,26.1,22.6,21.3,21.3$,
$21.2,18.5,14.8,13.6,-5.2,-5.2$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{NaO}_{9} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 715.4217$; found 715.4213 (ESI).

## (5S,7R,9S,12R,13S,14R)-15-Hydroxy-13-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,12,14-trimethylpentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (32)-To a solution of $\mathbf{3 1}$ ( 150 mg ,

 $0.216 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2.3 mL ) was added TBAF ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF). The reaction was stirred until disappearance of starting material at $\mathrm{rt}(\sim 3 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 3 mL ), quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layer was reextracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) provided $32(118 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+13.1\left(c=2.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; FTIR (neat) 3502,3072 , 2964, 2935, 2875, 1737, 1514, 1454, $1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98$ (dddd, $J=9.6,6.3,6.3$ and $3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.99-$ $4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 1.84-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.7,170.6,170.5,159.3,144.7$, 130.4, 129.4 (2), 113.9 (2), 110.4, 87.6, 74.8, 70.6, 70.0, 67.3, 66.5, 55.3, 39.0, 38.4, 37.7, $36.1,33.3,32.8,32.2,30.0,22.4,21.2,21.1,21.1,15.4,14.3$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NaO}_{9}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 601.3353$; found 601.3354 (ESI).
## (2S,3S,4R,7S,9R,11S)-7,9,11-Triacetoxy-3-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,4,14-

 trimethylpentadec-14-enoic acid (2)—A solution of oxalyl chloride ( $0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.539$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.67 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and DMSO ( $0.077 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly by syringe (gas evolution). After stirring for 10 min a solution of alcohol 32 ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added by cannula and rinsed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2$ $\times 0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cloudy mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min at which time $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.18$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and allowed to warm to rt . The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was re-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give aldehyde as a yellow oil. The crude aldehyde was taken immediately to the next reaction with further purification.To a solution of crude aldehyde was added $t$-butanol ( 4.5 mL ) and 2-methyl-2-butene ( 1.5 mL ). A solution of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(390 \mathrm{mg}, 4.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium dihydrogen phosphate ( 470 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was prepared and added to the reaction mixture by syringe. The yellow solution was stirred vigorously for 2 h at rt , diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and poured into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combine organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography ( $1: 1$ hexanes:EtOAc) provided $2\left(104 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%\right.$ over two steps) as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+8.13\left(c=0.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3251,3076,2964,2923,2854,1737,1714,1512,1454,1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.57 (dd, $J=7.2$ and $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78$ (dddd, $J=14.33,7.1,7.1$ and $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.07-1.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.72$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 179.9,170.8,170.7,170.5,159.4,144.7,130.0,129.5$ (2), 113.9 (2), 110.3, 84.2, 74.4, 70.8, 69.9, 67.3, 55.3, 42.3, 39.0, 38.1, 35.6, 33.3, 32.5, 32.3, 28.9, 22.4, 21.2, 21.1, 21.1, 14.7, 14.4; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{NaO}_{10}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 615.3145; found 615.3131 (ESI).


#### Abstract

(5S,7R,9S,12R,13S,14S)-15-((2S,3R,7R,E)-2-((4S,6R)-2,2-Dimethyl-6-(pent-4-enyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)-5-methyl-7-(triethylsilyloxy)dec-4-en-3-yloxy)-13-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,12,14-trimethyl-15-oxopentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (33)—To a solution of alcohol $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.159 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), carboxylic acid $\mathbf{2}$ (106 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DMAP ( $975 \mathrm{mg}, 7.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 32 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise followed by the slow addition of 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride ( $0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which caused the white solution to thicken. The mixture was stirred for 21 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ensuring that the bath temperature did not rise above $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction flask was then moved to a dry ice/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ bath and stirred for 2.5 h maintaining the temperature between $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the end of the 2.5 h the solution was slowly allowed to warm to rt in the bath over 1 h . The flask was placed in an ice bath for 2 h while being stirred. The reaction was quenched by the addition of sataturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was back extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduce pressure. Purification by flash chromatography ( $5: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) provided ester 33 (130 $\mathrm{mg}, 77 \%)$ as a colorless oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+3.63\left(c=0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3076,2954,2935$, $2875,1739,1515,1442,1244 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1 ; 1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dddd}, J=17.0,10.2,6.8$ and $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9$ and $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.1,3.2$ and $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-$ $4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (d, $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.2$ and $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $18 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 0.58(\mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $175.3,170.6,170.6,170.5,158.9,144.7,139.6,138.8,131.2,129.0,122.7,114.6,113.6$, $110.3,100.1,83.1,73.9,71.4,70.7,70.2,70.0,67.3,67.1,66.5,55.2,53.5,48.8,43.5,42.1$, $39.1,38.5,38.5,35.4,34.7,33.7,33.3,32.1,30.3,29.9,29.7,24.9,24.9,24.8,22.4,21.2$, $21.1,21.1,18.3,17.6,15.3,14.8,14.2,13.2,9.8,7.0$ (3), 5.0 (3); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{100} \mathrm{NaO}_{13} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 1079.6831$; found 1079.7115 (ESI).


( $5 S, 7 R, 9 S, 12 R, 13 S, 14 S)-15-((2 S, 3 R, 7 R, E)-2-((4 S, 6 R)-2,2-D i m e t h y l-6-(p e n t-4-$ enyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)-7-hydroxy-5-methyldec-4-en-3-yloxy)-13-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,12,14-trimethyl-15-oxopentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (SI-4)—Ester $\mathbf{3 3}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.071 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 1 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of TBAF in THF ( $0.214 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise. The reaction stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until completion (approximately 45 min ). The reaction was quenched with saturatred $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexanes/ EtOAc) afforded SI-4 ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a clear; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-11.4\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81$ (dddd, $J=17.0$, $10.2,6.7$ and $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9$ and $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ (ddd, $J=17.1,3.4$ and $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ 15.2 and $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.93-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.1,170.7,170.6,170.5,158.9,144.7$, 139.6, 138.7, 131.2, 128.8, 123.1, 114.6, 113.5, 110.3, 100.2, 83.7, 73.8, 71.8, 70.7, 70.0, $68.4,67.3,66.4,55.2,53.5,48.1,43.4,42.1,39.2,39.1,38.5,36.3,35.4,35.1,33.7,33.3$, 32.8, 32.2, 29.8, 29.7, 24.8, 24.6, 22.4, 21.2, 21.1, 21.0, 18.9, 17.5, 14.8, 14.2, 13.6, 9.9; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 965.5966$; found 965.5897 (ESI).
(5S,7R,9S,12R,13S,14S)-15-((2S,3R,7R,E)-7-Acetoxy-2-((4S,6R)-2,2-dimethyl-6-(pent-4-enyl)-1,3-dioxan-4-yl)-5-methyldec-4-en-3-yloxy)-13-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,12,14-trimethyl-15-oxopentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (34)—To a solution of SI-4 ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DMAP ( 1 crystal), pyridine $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetic anhydride $(0.117 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.23 mmol ). The reaction was stirred at rt until disappearance of starting material ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 3 mL ), quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine ( 5 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography (1.5:1 hexanes/EtOAc) provided $34(60 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $+2.2\left(c=1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.80 (dddd, $J=16.9,10.2,6.7$ and $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9$ and 5.6 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.1,3.4$ and $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0$ and 6.9 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-$ $0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.1,170.6,170.6,170.6,170.5,158.9$, $144.7,138.7,138.6,131.3,128.8,122.5,114.6,113.5,110.3,100.2,83.2,73.8,72.1,71.2$, $70.7,70.0,67.3,66.4,55.2,53.5,44.2,42.1,39.2,39.1,35.9,35.4,33.7,33.3,32.2,31.9$, 31.6, 29.9, 24.8, 24.8, 22.7, 21.3, 21.2, 21.1, 21.0, 18.4, 17.8, 14.7, 14.2, 14.2, 14.0, 13.2, 9.7; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{NaO}_{14}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 1007.6072$; found 1007.6210 (ESI).
(5S,7R,9S,12R,13S,14S)-15-((4R,8R,9S,10S,12R,E)-4-Acetoxy-10,12-dihydroxy-6,9-dimethylheptadeca-6,16-dien-8-yloxy)-13-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2,12,14-trimethyl-15-oxopentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (SI-5) -To a solution of tetraacetate $34(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added PPTS ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred until disappearance of starting material at $\mathrm{rt}(\sim 4 \mathrm{~h})$. The reaction was diluted with EtOAc, quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography ( $1.5: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) provided SI-5 $(47 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+8.97\left(c=1.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (dddd, $J=15.8,12.1,5.4$ and $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.7 and $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{dddd}, J=14.2,6.8,6.8$ and $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-$ $1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $175.1,170.7,170.6,170.6,170.5,158.9,144.7,138.7,138.6,131.1,128.4,123.4,114.7$, $113.7,110.3,83.5,73.8,73.5,72.5,70.7,70.1,68.8,67.3,60.4,55.2,44.3,43.4,43.0,39.5$, $39.1,38.5,37.1,36.3,34.9,33.7,33.3,32.2,31.6,29.8,25.1,22.7,21.3,21.2,21.1,21.1$, 18.4, 17.8, 14.2, 14.0, 13.6, 10.8; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{NaO}_{14}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 967.5759$; found 967.5789 (ESI).

## (5S,7R,9S,12R,13S,14S)-15-((4R,8R,9S,10S,12R,E)-4-Acetoxy-10,12-

 dihydroxy-6,9-dimethylheptadeca-6,16-dien-8-yloxy)-13-hydroxy-2,12,14-trimethyl-15-oxopentadec-1-ene-5,7,9-triyl triacetate (35)-Ester SI-5 (105 mg, $0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by the addition of $\mathrm{pH}=7$ buffer solution $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DDQ ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.224 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt . Upon completion ( $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, monitored by TLC), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combinedorganic layers were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried (anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography ( $2: 1$ hexanes/EtOAc) afforded $35(89 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%)$ as a clear oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+4.4\left(c=0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 5.79$ (dddd, $J=17.0,10.2,6.7$ and $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.7$ and $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-$ $4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 9.9 and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.54 (dddd, $J=14.1,7.0,7.0$ and $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.19$ (m, 28H), $2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.89 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.86-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.0,172.4$, $170.8,170.8,170.6,144.6,138.7,138.0,125.3,114.6,110.4,72.6,72.6,72.4,71.9,70.7$, $68.1,67.1,66.9,45.3,44.2,42.7,39.0,37.6,37.3,36.9,36.0,33.7,33.6,33.3,32.3,31.5$, $29.0,25.3,22.4,21.4,21.3,21.2,21.1,18.5,17.8,13.9,13.6,12.5,9.5$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 847.5184$; found 847.5183 (ESI).

Dolabelide C(1)—To a refluxing solution of ester 23 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in degassed $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(175 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Grubbs II catalyst ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0085 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was refluxed 2 h with the addition of more catalyst ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00425 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) after 2 h . A third portion of catalyst ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.00425$ ) was had after 2 more hours; the reaction was refluxed for 6 hours (monitored by TLC and LC-MS). The solution was cooled to rt and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified via flash chromatography through two sequential columns ( $8: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ acetone) and ( $5: 1$ pentane/EtOAc) afforded $\mathbf{1}$, ( $14.0 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ yield) as an analytically pure sample and its C14-C15 Z-configured diastereomer ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ yield) (vide infra); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+2.9\left(c=0.63, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz} \text {, pyridine- } d_{5}\right)^{36 \mathrm{~b}} \delta 6.10-5.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{t}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-$ $4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.48$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0$ and $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9$ and $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.15$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.49(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , pyridine- $d_{5}$ ) $\delta 173.9,170.6,170.5$, $170.4,170.3,136.7,132.6,127.3,127.2,74.3,73.5,71.8,69.9,69.9,68.0,67.9,67.3,46.4$, $44.5,43.7,38.8,38.5,38.0,37.2,36.3,35.2,34.1,31.8,31.6,29.3,28.0,27.0,21.1,21.0$, $20.9,20.9,18.8,17.6,15.2,14.0,13.8,12.6,11.0,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.35(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8$ and $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1 \mathrm{and} 5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.64-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 173.8,171.2,171.0,170.7,170.4,137.9,133.1,126.1,125.0,74.6,73.1$, $72.2,69.8,69.2,68.7,68.1,67.7,45.1,44.3,42.7,39.1,36.8,36.1,35.1,34.6,31.9,31.7$, $29.7,28.3,26.7,25.0,21.2,21.2,21.2,21.1,18.5,17.7,15.2,13.9,13.6,12.6,10.6$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 819.4871$; found 819.4858 (ESI).

Non-Natural C14-C15 Z-Isomer of 1—[ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+10.0\left(c=0.30, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, pyridine- $\left.d_{5}\right)^{36 \mathrm{c}} \delta 6.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.05(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{t}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.38-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.84$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.22-2.19 (m, 1H), 2.18-2.14 (m, 12H), 2.13-2.12 (m, 2 H), 2.08 (s, 3 H), 2.06-2.02 (m, 1 H), 2.02-2.00 (m, 1 H), 1.99-1.95 (m, 2 H), 1.95-1.91 (m, 1 H), 1.91-1.86 (m, 2 H$), 1.84-$ 1.78 (m, 2 H$), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.49-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , pyridine- $d_{5}$ ) $\delta 174.2,170.9,170.9,170.7,170.6,137.2,134.3,127.3,126.9,75.2$, $73.6,72.2,71.8,70.1,68.3,68.2,68.1,46.6,44.8,44.3,39.9,39.2,39.0,37.6,36.7,34.4$, $32.8,32.0,29.3,28.4,27.9,27.5,23.3,21.4,21.4,21.3,21.3,19.1,17.9,14.3,14.3,13.0$, $11.4 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)^{36 \mathrm{~d}} \delta 5.13-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ $(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.38-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.6,171.6,171.3,170.7$, $170.4,137.6,133.9,125.9,125.8,74.0,72.8,72.3,71.3,70.8,68.0,67.0,66.6,45.7,44.1$, $42.9,38.0,37.4,37.0,35.9,34.9,33.6,32.2,31.1,28.2,26.7,25.9,22.8,21.4,21.2,21.2$, $21.2,21.2,18.5,17.8,13.9,13.4,11.5,9.36$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{NaO}_{13}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 819.4871 ; found 819.4877 (ESI).

HPLC-MS Analysis of 1: HPLC data was collected using the following gradient over 35 min:

| Time (min) | $\mathrm{A} \%\left(99: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{MeCN}\right)$ | $\mathrm{B} \%\left(99: 1 \mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | Flow Rate $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 95.0 | 5.0 | 1.000 |
| 1.00 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 1.000 |
| 31.00 | 30.0 | 70.0 | 1.000 |
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Figure 1.
The dolabelide family, isolated from Dollabella auricularia.


Figure 2.
LC-MS analysis of mixture from final RCM.


Figure 3.
Metathesis Catalysts Screened on Final RCM Step.


Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of Dolabelide C


Scheme 2. Tether-Mediated Desymmetrization of $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$-Symmetric 1,3-anti-Diol $\mathbf{8}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and Conditions: (a) Allyl tetraisopropylphosphorodiamidite, 1- H -tetrazole,
$\mathrm{MeCN}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, rt, then $m$-CBPA, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$; (b) cat-B, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 85-90 \%$.


Scheme 3. Phosphate-Mediated Sequence for Assembly of the C1-C14 Subunit ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and Conditions: (a) cat-C ( $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DCE, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \%$; (b) $o-$
$\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHNH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 72 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCE}$, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}, 87 \%$. Abbreviations: DCE $=$ dichloroethane.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of C1-C14 Carbon Framework ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 75 \%$; (b) PPTS, 2,2-DMP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 96 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, pyridine, 1:1 $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, 72 \%$; (d) 1-Iodo-3-methyl-butene, Mg , $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 95 \%$; (e) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeCN}(1: 7), 87 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF:MeOH 4:1, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, d s \geq 20: 1,60 \%$ (95\% brsm).





Scheme 5. First Generation Synthesis of the C15-C30 Subunit ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $9-\mathrm{BBN}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaBO}_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80 \%$; (b) $p$ -
$\mathrm{OMeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}=\mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{3}\right), \mathrm{PPTS}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 89 \%$; (c) $1 . \mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$, THF, $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; $2 . \mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 87 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 96 \%$; (e) TIPSCl, imidazole, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 86 \%$; (f) MOMCl, $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 91 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, pyridine, -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, 75 \%$; (h) $t$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$, 20, then $n$-BuLi, ( $R, S$,)-NME, then 19, $65 \%, 11: 1 \mathrm{dr}$; (i) MOMCl, $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DCE, $82 \%$; (j) DDQ, pH 7 buffer, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 92 \%$; (k) TsCl, DABCO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90 \%$; (l) allylMgBr, $\mathrm{CuI},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 89 \%$. Abbreviations: $9-\mathrm{BBN}=9-$ borabicyclo(3.3.1)nonane; TMS = trimethylsilyl; TIP = triisopropylsilyl; $\mathrm{MOM}=$ methoxymethyl; $\mathrm{DMAP}=4$-(dimethylamino)pyridine; $\mathrm{NME}=N$-methylephedrine; $\mathrm{DDQ}=$ 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone; $\mathrm{Ts}=$ tosyl; $\mathrm{DABCO}=1,4$ diazabicyclo(2.2.2)octane.


| Conditions Attempted |
| :--- |
| 1. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 2. $\mathrm{HCl}\left(\mathrm{conc}\right.$.), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 3. $n-\mathrm{BuSH}, \mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 4. $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 5. $\mathrm{PPTS}, 2-b u t a n o n e, ~$ |
| $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Scheme 6. MOM-deprotection Conditions


Scheme 7. Second Generation Synthesis of C15-C30 Subunit ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) cat-C ( $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 23, DCE, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 82 \%$; (b) o-
$\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NHNH}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 75 \%$; (c) $1 . \mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{THF},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; 2. $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 91 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$; (e) 2,2-DMP, PPTS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $96 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NMO}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, Phosphate Buffer pH 7 , then
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$; (g) TBSCl, pyridine, $95 \%$; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, 90 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$, imidazole, then $t$-BuOK, THF, $94 \%$; (j) TBAF, THF, $98 \%$; (k) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; (l) $t$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 29,-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 28,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\sim 1: 1$ syn:anti, $79 \%$ over 2 steps; (m) Dess-Martin, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $85 \% \mathrm{n}$ ) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $89 \%$, $\sim 2.7: 1$ syn:anti. Abbreviations: DMP = dimethoxypropane; PPTS = pyridinium $p$ toluenesulfonate; $\mathrm{NMO}=N$-methylmorpholine $N$-oxide; TBS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl; TBAF $=$ tetra- $n$-butylammonium fluoride.


Scheme 8. Generation of ready-to-couple C1-C14 Subunit ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, pyridine, $95 \%$; (b) TBAF, THF, 93\%; (c)
$(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$, 2-methyl-2-butene, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 81 \%$ over 2 steps.




Scheme 9. Completion of Dolabelide C (1) ${ }^{a}$
${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride, DMAP, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, toluene, $77 \%$; (b) TBAF, $94 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, DMAP, $98 \%$; (d) PPTS, $83 \%$; (e) DDQ, Phosphate Buffer $\mathrm{pH}=7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 95 \%$; (f) cat-B ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.5 mM ), $57 \%, E: Z=\sim 1: 1$.
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Scheme 10. Possible Isomerization Pathways from Metathesis Step

Table 1

## Catalyst Screening of Final RCM.



[^1]
[^0]:    Correspondence to: Paul R. Hanson, phanson@ku. edu.
    Supporting Information Available. Experimental details and spectroscopic data of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

[^1]:    
    ${ }^{b}$ Purified newly purchased catalyst through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ plug in 10:1 hexanes:EtOAc.
    ${ }^{c}$ All reactions were run with stepwise addition of $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst over 6 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

