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#### Abstract



A concise formal synthesis of the cytotoxic macrolides (-)-salicylihalamides A and B is reported. Key features of the synthetic strategy include a chemoselective hydroboration, highly regio- and diastereoselective methyl cuprate addition, Pd-catalyzed formate reduction, and an E-selective ring-closing metathesis to construct the 12 -membered macrocycle subunit. Overall, two routes have been developed from a readily prepared bicyclic phosphate (4-steps), a 13-step route and a more efficient 9 -step sequence relying on regioselective esterification of a key diol.


## I. Introduction

The cytotoxic macrolides salicylihalamides A (1a) and B (1b) were isolated from the Australian marine sponge Halicona sp. in 1997 by Boyd, Erickson, and co-workers (Figure 1). ${ }^{1}$ The structure and relative stereochemistry of the salicylihalamides ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ ) were determined by NMR spectroscopic methods and Mosher ester analysis. These natural products possess a 12 -membered unsaturated benzolactone core and an unusual enamide side chain with differing geometry about the $\mathrm{C}_{17}-\mathrm{C}_{18}$ double bond. In 2000, De Brabander and co-workers reassigned the absolute stereochemistry in the first total synthesis of 1a. ${ }^{2}$

When screened against the NCI's 60 human tumor cell lines salicylihalamide A (1a) exhibited potent cytotoxicity with an average $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ of 15 nM . In comparison to related benzolactone enamide natural products, e.g., apicularen A (2), salicylihalamide A (1a) exhibited the highest average sensitivity $\left(\mathrm{GI}_{50}=7 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ against melanoma cell lines. ${ }^{1}$ Furthermore, salicylihalamide A (1a) possesses selective inhibition of mammalian vacuolar type $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-ATPase (V-ATPase), with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value $<1.0 \mathrm{nM}$ against bovine brain V-ATPase. ${ }^{3}$ Salicilyhalamide A has attracted significant attention from the synthetic community due to its potent antitumor properties, structural features, and the limited availability of the material from natural sources. ${ }^{4}$

Previously, we have reported the synthesis of polyol synthons utilizing the concept of multivalent activation with temporary phosphate tethers whereby a number of chemo-,

[^0]regio- and stereoselective transformations were realized. ${ }^{5}$ Herein, we disclose the formal total synthesis of salicylihalamide A in 13 steps from bicyclic phosphate 7 featuring the orthogonal protecting property of chiral aliphatic subunit 6 (overall, 17-step longest linear sequence (LLS)). A more efficient 9-step synthesis from 7 using regioselective esterification of diol $\mathbf{6}$ is also reported (overall, 13-step LLS), and is on par with the most efficient syntheses reported to date. ${ }^{4}$

Retrosynthetic analysis reveals the construction of the macrolactone ( $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ ) from the functionalized benzoic acid derivative $5^{6}$ and the chiral, non-racemic subunit $\mathbf{6}$ via an $E$ selective ring-closing metathesis (RCM) using Grubbs catalyst $\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh}$ (cat$A)^{7}$ in both routes (Scheme 1). The key intermediate 6 can be derived from enantiomerically pure bicyclic phosphate $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-7^{5 \mathrm{a}}$ (derived via desymmetrization with Grubbs catalyst $\left(\mathrm{IMesH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\text { cat-B) })^{8}$ involving a chemoselective hydroboration, highly regio- and diastereoselective cuprate addition, cross metathesis (CM) with the HoveydaGrubbs second generation catalyst (cat-C), ${ }^{9}$ and a Pd-catalyzed reductive allylic transposition using ammonium formate. ${ }^{5 f}$

## Results and Discussion

## II. Construction of P-chiral, nonracemic bicyclo[4.3.1]phosphate 7

1,3-anti-diol $\mathbf{8}^{10}$ was desymmetrized using a phosphate tether-mediated RCM reaction to construct the $P$-chiral bicyclo[4.3.1]phosphate 7 (Scheme 2). ${ }^{5}$ In this strategy, pseudo-C2symmetric phosphate triester 9 was synthesized from a 2 -step sequential tripodal coupling ${ }^{5 \mathrm{c}}$ of diol $\mathbf{8}$ and allyl alcohol with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ or via a one-pot diol coupling with allyl tetraisopropylphosphorodiamidite followed by oxidation. ${ }^{5 \mathrm{~g}}$ The method is predicated on facile RCM occurring via the chair conformer bearing a cis-diaxial relationship in the reacting olefin pairs (allylphosphate ester cis to the terminal olefin) to yield the $P$-chiral, non-racemic bicyclo[4.3.1]-phosphate $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$-7.

## III. Synthesis of chiral subunit 6

Scheme 3 details the construction of advanced intermediate 6, which is the required intermediate in both routes from the bicycle $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-7$. The primary alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}$ was obtained via a chemoselective hydroboration of the exocyclic olefin of ( $R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}$ )-7, followed by oxidation under mild conditions $\left(\mathrm{NaBO}_{3} \bullet 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ developed by Burke and co-workers. ${ }^{11}$ Subsequent PMB-ether formation using the $p$-methoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidate derived from $p-\mathrm{MeOPhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ produced $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $92 \%$ yield. A regio- and diastereoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ cuprate addition $\left(\mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}, d r=>95: 5\right)$ to 11 , followed by methylation $\left(\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}\right.$ and MeOH ) afforded monocyclic phosphate ester $\mathbf{1 2}$ in excellent overall yield ( $85 \%$ ). The orthogonal alignment of the $\pi^{*} \operatorname{arbital}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ to the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital (C-O-PO) and concave nature of the bicycle $\mathbf{1 1}$ explains the regio and diastereoselectivity of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction. ${ }^{5 e, f}$ Monocyclic phosphate 12 was subjected to cross metathesis with ( $Z$ )-diacetate $\mathbf{1 3}$ using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst cat-C to generate CM adduct $\mathbf{1 4}$ in $83 \%$ yield. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{Pd}$-catalyzed, reductive allylic transposition $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{HCOONH}_{4}\right]$ on CM adduct $\mathbf{1 4}$ occurred with excellent regioselectivity to afford the terminal olefin $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $94 \%$ yield. ${ }^{13}$ Removal of the phosphate ester in the presence of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ provided diol 6 as a single diastereomer in excellent yield (98\%).

## IV. Formal Total Synthesis of (-)-Salicylihalamide A \& B in 13 steps from ( $R, R, R_{p}$ ) -7

Initial efforts focused on the synthesis of benzolactone $\mathbf{3}$ from the key diol intermediate $\mathbf{6}$ utilizing protection with TIPSCl to differentiate the hydroxyl groups (Scheme 4). Diol 6 was selectively protected as a TIPS-ether $\mathbf{1 6}$ ( $86 \%$ yield), ${ }^{14}$ followed by MOM protection to furnish the fully protected triol $\mathbf{1 7}$ in $92 \%$ yield. Compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was desilylated in $95 \%$ yield
to afford the key subunit $\mathbf{1 8}$, which was ready for coupling with benzodioxinone $\mathbf{5}$. Alcohol 18 was treated with NaH , followed by addition of benzodioxinone 5 , to provide ester 19 in $66 \%$ yield. Subsequent methylation resulted in the production of the known RCM precursor $\mathbf{2 0}$ in $90 \%$ yield. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{e}}$ To complete the formal synthesis, RCM reaction of $\mathbf{2 0}$ was carried out using condition developed by De Brabander and coworkers ${ }^{4 \mathrm{e}}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \text { of cat-A })^{7}$ to furnish the known salicylihalamide macrolide $\mathbf{3}$ in $82 \%$ yield and with excellent $E$-selectivity ( $E / Z=$ $10: 1)$. The physical and spectroscopic data of the synthetic sample $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{IR}, \mathrm{HRMS}\right)$, as well as specific rotation, were all in full agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}, 4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}}$

## V. Regioselective Esterification Studies on Key Fragment 6

To further streamline the process, we next explored the feasibility of a regioselective esterification of diol 6 in order to avoid the aforementioned orthogonal protection pathway. Scheme 5 highlights the key regioselective esterification studies on diol 6. As shown in entry one, use of NaH as the base yielded a readily separable mixture (1:1) of both isomers 21a (known) and 21b in $85 \%$ yield, while implementation of LiHMDS gave modest improvement of selectivity ( $2: 1$ ). However, implementation of NaHMDS as base resulted in a 3.6:1 mixture of the desired benzolactone 21a and its regioisomer 21b, which could be readily converted back to starting diol $6\left(\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ for recycling.

## VI. Formal Total Synthesis of (-)-Salicylihalamides $A$ and $B$ in 9 steps from $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-7$

Compound 21a was protected as the di-MOM ether 22 ( $86 \%$ yield), and subjected to RCM condition developed by De Brabander and coworkers ${ }^{4 \mathrm{e}}$ (cat-A) to afford salicylihalamide macrolide 4 in $84 \%$ yield and with excellent $E$ selectivity ( $E / Z=9: 1$ ) (Scheme 6). The spectral data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, IR, HRMS) of 4 was in complete agreement with those reported in the literature along with specific rotation $\left\{[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-29.6\left(c 0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right\} .{ }^{4}{ }^{4}$

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the synthesis of key macrolactones $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are reported representing formal syntheses of salicylihalamides A and B. Overall, a 13-step route (17-LLS) and a 9 -step route (13-LLS) have been developed, from a common, readily prepared, chiral, nonracemic bicyclic phosphate $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{P}}\right)-7$, with an overall yield of $17.5 \%$ and $22.5 \%$, respectively. Each route proceeds through the common diol subunit 6 . The 13 -step route requires differential protection of diol $\mathbf{6}$, while the more efficient 9 -step sequence relies on a regioselective esterification of diol $\mathbf{6}$. The latter route has 13 steps in its longest linear sequence (LLS), which is on par with the most efficient syntheses of this key late stage subunit reported to date.

## Experimental Section

## General Methods

All reactions were carried out in oven- or flame-dried glassware, under argon atmosphere, using standard gas-tight syringes, cannulae, and septa. Stirring was achieved with ovendried magnetic stir bars. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were passed through a purification system employing activated $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was eluted through basic alumina and stored over KOH . Butyl lithium was titrated prior to use. All olefin metathesis catalysts were obtained commercially and used without further purification. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 500 MHz , and 126 MHz instruments, respectively, and calibrated to the solvent peak. All mass spectra were obtained using electrospray ionization (ESI) $(\mathrm{MeOH})$ coupled to high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS). Observed rotations at 589 nm were measured using an automatic polarimeter. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer.

## (1R,6R,8S)-8-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-2,9,10-trioxa-1-phosphabicyclo[4.3.1]dec-4-ene 1-oxide (10)

Bicyclic phosphate ( $R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}$ )-7 ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$, followed by slow addition of $9-\mathrm{BBN}(2.71 \mathrm{~g}, 22.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 45 mL ) under argon atmosphere. The solution was stirred at rt for 3 h . After completion of the reaction as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, followed by addition of $\mathrm{NaBO}_{3} \bullet 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10.26 \mathrm{~g}, 66.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion. After removing the ice bath, additional $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture stirred at rt for 1 h . After complete oxidation as monitored by TLC, the crude solution was added dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered through pad of Celite ${ }^{\circledR}$ and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via flash column chromatography (10:1 EtOAc/MeOH) to provide alcohol $\mathbf{1 0}(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a white solid; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-96.0\left(c=1.82, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ FTIR (neat) $3454,3072,2962,2935,2887,1288,1066$, $975 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.01$ (dddd, $J=11.9,6.7,3.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.59 (ddd, $J=11.9,3.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=24.6,3.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{dtd}, J=14.1$, $5.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=27.9,14.7,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20$ (ddd, $J=14.7,12.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 129.7,127.6,77.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 74.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $63.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 57.4,38.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 34.8$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{PK}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$ 259.0138; found 259.0138 (TOF MS ES+).

## ( $1 R, 6 R, 8 S$ )-8-(2-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-2,9,10-trioxa-1-phosphabicyclo[4.3.1]dec-4ene 1-oxide (11)

To a stirring solution of $\mathrm{NaH}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 5.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, under argon atmosphere, was slowly cannulated a solution of $\mathrm{PMBOH}(8.17 \mathrm{~g}, 59.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . After stirring for 40 min , the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCN}(8.54$ $\mathrm{g}, 59.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added via dropwise addition. After 5-10 min., the solution was removed from the ice bath and stirred for an additional hour. The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(118 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cannulated into a flask containing the phosphate-10 $(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.82$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, followed by the addition of PPTS ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt under argon atmosphere. After stirring for 16 h , the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(40$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and the layers separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 100 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography ( EtOAc ) afforded PMB ether-11 ( $3.71 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) as a viscous, light yellow oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-64.66\left(c=2.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat): 3055, 2933, 2866, 1612, 1512, 1298, 1093, 975, 738, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{dddd}, J=11.9,6.7,3.1$, $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.9,3.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{ddt}, J=14.8$, $8.9,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.81 (dddd, $J=10.5,8.5,4.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.46-4.32 (m, 1H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,12.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02-1.84 (m, 2H), $1.74(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,3.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 159.2, 130.1, 129.8, 129.3, 127.8, 113.8, $77.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 74.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 72.8$, $64.7,62.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 55.2,35.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 34.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{CP}}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;$ HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{PK}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+} 379.0713$; found 379.0706 (TOF MS ES+).

## (4R,6S)-4-((S)-But-3-en-2-yl)-2-methoxy-6-(2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-1,3,2dioxaphosphinane 2-oxide (12)

Within a glovebox, $\mathrm{CuCN}\left(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 19.51 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, dried overnight in a vacuum oven at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} /$ 0.3 mmHg and stored in a glovebox) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 39.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, dried overnight in a vacuum oven at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.3 \mathrm{mmHg}$ and stored in a glovebox) were added to a round bottom
flask and sealed with a septa. The flask was removed from the glovebox and placed under a balloon of argon. Anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was added to the mixture that was stirred for 20 min at rt then cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(16.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene) was added rapidly via dropwise addition, and the solution was stirred for 30 min at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (solution turns deep green). After 30 min , phosphate 11 ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 6 mL ) was cannulated dropwise ( 1 mL rinse) into the stirring reaction mixture, and the solution was immediately removed from the bath and stirred at rt for 2 h . Upon completion (monitored by TLC, baseline spot in EtOAc), the reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and slowly quenched with $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by water ( 4 mL ), and stirred at rt for 10 min, where pepper-colored salts formed. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite and rinsed thoroughly with EtOAc. To the resulting biphasic solution was added $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), where $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}(2 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise, resulting in a deep yellow solution. Excess $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ was quenched via slow dropwise addition of glacial acetic acid (3-4 drops), and the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography ( $2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) provided title compound $12(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ) as a clear oil, and as a $\sim 1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers at phosphorus; FTIR (neat) 2925, 2852, 1265, 1093, 1033, 972, 749, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$, 3.69-3.52 (m, 2H), 2.57-2.36 (m, 1H), 2.23-2.05 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.80 (m, 2H), $1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{HRMS}$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{PK}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+} 409.1182$; found 409.1188 (TOF MS ES+).

## (4S,E)-4-((4R,6S)-2-Methoxy-6-(2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-2-oxido-1,3,2-dioxaphosphinan-4-yl)pent-2-en-1-yl acetate (14)

The monocyclic phosphate $12(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ was weighed into a round bottom flask and dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (degassed 10 min . with $\mathrm{Ar}, 27.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), followed by the addition of diacetate $\mathbf{1 3}(0.56 \mathrm{~g}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cat- $\mathbf{C}(168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ under argon at rt. The reaction mixture was heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under continuous argon flow and, upon completion, as monitored by TLC, was concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (1:1 EtOAc/hexane) provided title compound $\mathbf{1 4}(0.99 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a clear oil, and as a $\sim 1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers at phosphorus; FTIR (neat) 3053, 2954, 2927, 2852, 1737, 1265, 1247, 1093, 1031, 972, 1031, 972, 749, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.23-2.04 (m, 2H), $2.07(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}$ ); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{PK}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+} 481.1394$; found 481.1390 (TOF MS ES+).
(4S,6R)-2-Methoxy-4-(2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-6-((S)-pent-4-en-2-yl)-1,3,2-
dioxaphosphinane 2-oxide (15)
To a stirring solution of $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}(63 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed DCE $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt , and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at rt under argon, at which point a solution of acetate $\mathbf{1 4}(220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol ) in degassed DCE ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise via cannula. The stirring solution was equipped with a reflux condenser and placed into an oil bath at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt , washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were rinsed with
brine ( 10 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography ( $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane}$ ) afforded the desired compound 15 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) as a clear oil, and as a $\sim 1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers at phosphorus; FTIR (neat): 3053, 2956, 2927, 2854, 12654, 1093, 1035, 970, 749, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}) ;$ HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{PNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 407.1599; found 407.1612 (TOF MS ES+).

## (3S,5R,6S)-1-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-methylnon-8-ene-3,5-diol (6)

To a suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(53 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise a solution of $1: 1$ diastereomeric phosphate mixture $\mathbf{1 5}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , quenched via slow sequential addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}), 15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(60 \mu \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(180 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and removed from the ice bath. After stirring for 30 minutes, $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were rinsed with brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (1:1 EtOAc/Hexane) afforded diol $6\left(125 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%\right.$ yield) as a viscous oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+15.16(c$ $=1.20, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FTIR (neat) $3412,2921,2866,1298,1093,975,740,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (dddd, $J=16.9$, $10.2,7.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.77-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{td}, J=9.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{td}, J=9.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (br. s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (br. s, 1 H ), 2.35-2.28 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.88 (m, 2H), 1.71-1.55 (m, 4H), $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.3,137.5,129.7,129.3,115.9,113.8,73.0$, $72.3,69.9,69.2,55.2,39.0,38.6,37.2,36.1,15.1$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 331.1885; found 331.1877 (TOF MS ES+).
(4S,5R,7S)-9-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methyl-7-((triisopropylsilyl)oxy)non-1-en-5-ol (16)
To a stirring solution of diol $\mathbf{6}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2,6-lutidine ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TIPSOTf ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h and then allowed to slowly warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction, as monitored by TLC, it was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 $\times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography ( $1: 10 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ ) afforded the desired silyl ether $\mathbf{1 6}(64 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%)$ as a viscous oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+12.88\left(c=1.35, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3406,2923,2850,1265,1095$, $740,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78$ (dddd, $J=16.9,10.3,7.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=39.1,11.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.50-3.39 (m, 2H), 2.25-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.10-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.89-1.80 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.65 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.2,137.7,130.3,129.3,129.2,115.6,113.7,72.6,71.6,70.2,66.3,55.2$, $38.9,36.8,36.3,35.5,18.1,18.1,17.7,14.7,12.3,12.3$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SiNa}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 487.3220$; found 487.3210 (TOF MS ES+).

## (5R,7S)-9,9-Diisopropyl-7-(2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-10-methyl-5-((S)-pent-4-en-2-yl)-2,4,8-trioxa-9-silaundecane (17)

To a stirring solution of silyl ether $16(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, under argon, was added ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(167 \mathrm{mg}, 1.292 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MOMCl}(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.646 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred at rt for 3-4 h . Upon completion (monitored by TLC), the
reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified through flash column chromatography ( $1: 10 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) to afford MOM-ether $17(60 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+9.12\left(c=1.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat): 2923, 2850, 1460, 1265, 1097, 1039, $748,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 5.78 (dddd, $J=17.1,10.2,6.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $9.8,6.6,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.67-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.2,7.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06$ (br. s, 18H), 1.06-1.04 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.0,137.5,130.7,129.2$, $115.7,113.7,96.6,79.9,72.6,68.1,66.5,55.7,55.3,38.3,38.1,37.1,36.5,18.3,18.3,14.2$, 12.9; HRMS: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SiNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 531.3482$; found 531.3502 (TOF MS ES + ).

## (3S,5R,6S)-1-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-6-methyInon-8-en-3-ol (18)

A solution of protected triol $17(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.110 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TBAF ( 1 M in THF, 0.3 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 2 h at rt . After completion of the reaction, as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified through flash column chromatography ( $1: 6 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) hexane) to afford alcohol $18(34 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%)$ as a viscous oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+38.6\left(c=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat) $3412,2921,2856,1298,1093,975,749,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{ddt}, J=17.0,10.1$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52$ (dddd, $J=32.1,14.2,9.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 159.2,137.2,130.2,129.3,115.9$, $113.8,96.9,79.0,72.8,68.3,66.8,55.9,55.2,37.6,37.4,37.0,36.5,14.2$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 375.2147$; found 375.2146 (TOF MS ES+).

## (3S,5R,6S)-1-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-6-methylnon-8-en-3-yl 2-allyl-6hydroxybenzoate (19)

To a suspension of NaH ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.852 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ dispersion in mineral oil) in anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ), under argon, was added, dropwise, a solution of alcohol $18(30 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.085)$ in anhydrous THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of benzodioxinone $5(37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.170 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise via cannula to the mixture, and the reaction was warmed to rt and stirred for 6 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\operatorname{EtOAc}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were rinsed with brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography ( $1: 4 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexane $)$ afforded ester 19 ( 29 mg , $66 \%)$ as a viscous oil, along with recovered starting material ( 9 mg ); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+12.3(c=1.00$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) FTIR (neat) 3435, 3053, 2956, 2925, 2854, 1646, 1265, 1033, 748, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (dddd, $J=16.9,10.2,6.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72$ (dddd, $J=17.0,10.1,7.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.62-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=41.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 3.64 (ddd, $J=39.4,15.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.7,162.3,159.1,142.4,137.7,136.9,134.0,130.1,129.3,122.3,116.12$, $116.0,115.5,113.7,112.7,112.6,96.5,78.0,72.8,71.8,66.4,55.9,55.2,39.9,37.5,36.0$,
35.2, 34.8, 13.5; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 535.2672$; found 535.2627 (TOF MS ES+).

## (3S,5R,6S)-1-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-6-methylnon-8-en-3-yl 2-allyl-6methoxybenzoate (20)

To a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(\sim 2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ dispersion in mineral oil) in anhydrous THF ( 1 mL ) was added, dropwise, a solution of ester $19(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039)$ in anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ). To this reaction mixture $\mathrm{MeI}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.156 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and stirring was continued for 1 h at rt . The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water ( 2 $\mathrm{mL})$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 5$ $\mathrm{mL})$, and the combined organic layers were rinsed with brine $(1 \times 8 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (1:3 $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ ) afforded the methyl ether $20(19 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%)$ as a viscous oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-1.6$ (c $=0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FTIR (neat) 2952, 2925, 2852, 1641, 1265, 1069, 1033, 748, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93$ (dddd, $J=16.7,10.2,6.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75$ (dddd, $J=$ $16.8,10.2,7.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51-5.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (dd, $J=11.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=25.3,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.73-3.69 (m, 1H), 3.66-3.56 (m, 2H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 3.36 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.11-1.98 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.9,159.1,156.2,138.1,137.1,136.4,130.5,130.2,129.2$, 124.1, 121.6, 116.4, 115.8, 113.7, 108.7, 97.0, 78.3, 72.7, 70.7, 55.8, 55.5, 55.3, 37.6, 37.2, $36.5,35.3,35.2,13.7$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 549.2833$; found 549.28631(TOF MS ES+).
(3S,5R,6S,E)-14-Methoxy-3-(2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-6-methyl-3,4,5,6,7,10-hexahydro-1 H -benzo[c][1]oxacyclododecin-1-one (3)

Grubbs catalyst $\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\sim 3 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, cat-A) was added to a solution of methyl ether $20(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed, anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt under argon. The stirring solution was equipped with a reflux condenser and placed into an oil bath at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After completion of the reaction, as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (1:4 $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ ) afforded the major $E$-isomer $\mathbf{3}(11 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a viscous oil (containing a small amount of $Z$-isomer, the $E / Z$ ratio was $10: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-41.7\left(c=0.35, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat) 2942, 2911, 2850, 1649, 1266, 1239, $1064,1033,908,748,702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{ddt}, J=15.2,9.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=46.3,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (ddd, $J=14.0,4.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ (ddd, $J=18.8,12.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ (dtd, $J=11.6$, $7.4,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.2,159.1,156.4,139.1,131.4,130.7,129.9,129.0$, $128.5,124.5,122.8,113.7,109.8,96.8,79.2,72.6,72.2,66.6,55.6,55.3,55.3,37.7,37.7$, $36.4,35.7,34.0,13.4$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 521.2515$; found 521.2525 (TOF MS ES+).
(3S,5R,6S)-5-Hydroxy-1-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-6-methyInon-8-en-3-yl 2-allyl-6hydroxybenzoate (21a)

To a solution of diol $6(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 2 mL ) was added, dropwise, NaHMDS ( 1 M in THF, 1.3 mL ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of benzodioxinone $5(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added
dropwise via cannula to the reaction mixture, and the combined mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 6 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were rinsed with brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography ( $1: 5 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ ) yielded the both isomers 21a ( 32.8 mg ) and 21b $(9.2 \mathrm{mg})$ as viscous oils ( $65 \%$ overall yield); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-10.0(c=0.25, \mathrm{CHCl})$; FTIR (neat) $3435,3053,2956,2925,2854,1656$, $1265,748,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, $J=8.6, \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ (dd, $J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{dddd}, J=16.9,10.2,6.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dddd}, J=$ $16.9,10.2,7.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38$ (dd, $J=23.2,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.4,162.6,159.8,142.6,137.7,137.2$, $134.4,129.9,129.4,122.7,116.4,116.8,115.4,113.7,112.2,72.8,71.8,70.7,66.7,55.2$, $40.0,39.1,38.6,37.0,35.1,15.6$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 491.2410$; found 491.2420 (TOF MS ES+).
(4S,5R,7S)-7-Hydroxy-9-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-4-methylnon-1-en-5-yl 2-allyl-6hydroxybenzoate (21b)
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+2.0\left(c=0.25, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat): 3439, 3046, 2950, 2931, 2867, 1661, 1243, 742, $729,703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (dd, $J$ $=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-4.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=21.1,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.68-3.50 (m, 3H), 3.31-3.27 (m, 1H), 2.31-2.23 (m, 1H), 2.04-1.90 (m, 2H), 1.87-1.65 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.4,162.9,159.2,142.4$, $137.6,136.1,134.3,132.5,129.3,122.6,116.8,116.3,115.5,113.8,112.1,76.6,73.0,68.0$, $66.5,55.6,39.9,38.5,37.1,36.9,36.7,15.2$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 491.2410; found 491.2415 (TOF MS ES+).

## (3S,5R,6S)-1-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)-5-(methoxymethoxy)-6-methylnon-8-en-3-yl 2-allyl-6(methoxymethoxy)benzoate (22)

To a solution of ester 21a ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.053 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DCE ( 5 mL ), under argon, was added ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}(69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MOMCl}(43 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt. The stirring solution was equipped with a reflux condenser and placed into an oil bath at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$. Upon completion (monitored by TLC), the reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 6 mL ), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine $(1 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified through flash column chromatography ( $1: 4 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ / hexane) to afford title compound $22(25 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%)$ as a clear oil; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=+5.27(c=0.55$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); FTIR (neat): 2952, 2925, 2852, 1641, 1265, 1033, 748, $703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.94$ (dddd, $J=16.7,10.2,6.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73$ (dddd, $J=16.9,10.2,7.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=26.3,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $12.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=21.6,11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.7,159.1,153.8$, $138.1,137.1,136.3,130.45,130.2,129.2,124.9,122.6,116.5,115.9,113.7,112.2,96.8$,
$94.4,78.2,72.7,70.8,66.5,56.0,55.8,55.7,37.6,37.2,36.5,35.4,35.1,13.7$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+} 595.2673$; found 595.2653 (TOF MS ES+).
(3S,5R,6S,E)-3-(2-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethyl)-5,14-bis(methoxymethoxy)-6-methyl-3,4,5,6,7,10-hexahydro-1 H -benzo[c][1]oxacyclododecin-1-one (4)

Grubbs catalyst $\left(\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh}(\sim 3 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, cat-A) was added to a solution of compound $22(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ in degassed, anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt under argon. The stirring solution was equipped with a reflux condenser and placed into an oil bath at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After completion of the reaction, as monitored by TLC, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (1:5 $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ ) afforded macrolide 4 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) as a viscous oil (a small amount of $Z$ isomer was observed, the $E / Z$ ratio was $9: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of crude reaction); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-29.6\left(c=0.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; FTIR (neat): 2952, 2921, 2850, 1639, 1263, 1249, 1064, $908,736,702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.3,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.53-5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=44.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{ddt}, J=16.4,4.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.19-2.09 (m, 1H), 2.07-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.96-1.89 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.67 (m, 2H), $1.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.4,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.0,159.1$, $154.2,139.1,131.3,130.6,129.9,129.0,128.5,125.3,123.9,113.7,112.8,96.9,94.4,79.4$, $72.6,72.2,66.5,56.0,55.6,55.3 ., 37.7,37.7,36.4,35.5,34.0,13.4$; HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 551.2621$; found 551.2605 (TOF MS ES+).
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Figure 1.
Structures of two important benzolactone enamide class compounds


Scheme 1.
Retrosynthetic Analysis of (-)-Salicylihalamides


Scheme 2.
Construction of $P$-chiral, nonracemic bicyclo[4.3.1]phosphate $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-7$





Scheme 3.
Synthesis of Key Fragment 6


Scheme 4.
Formal Total Synthesis of (-)-Salicylihalamides in 13 steps from ( $R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}$ )-7 (17-LLS).


Scheme 5.
Regioselective Esterification Studies on Key Fragment 6




Scheme 6.
Formal Total Synthesis of (-)-Salicylihalamides A and B in 9 steps from $\left(R, R, R_{\mathrm{p}}\right)-7$
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