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Calvin V. Helmes

## introduction

This thesis is concerned with certain generalized permutation groups called complete monomial groups and some of their subgroups. For the case of finite permutations this group was first studied by Ore [4], and for the case of infinite permutations by Crouch [l]. The most important result obtained is the determination of all automorphisms of a large class of monomial groups. In addition, the derived series is studied.

Let $B$ be a set of $n$ elements and $H$ a group. Then a monomial substitution $u$ is a trnsformation that maps every element $x$ of the set $B$ onto an element of $B$ multiplied by an element $h$ of $H$ in such a manner that it induces a onevomone mapping of $B$ onto itself. The elements $h$ are called factors of the substitution u. If we consider the set of all such monomial substitutions, and let successive application of the mappings be the defined operation we obtain a group which we call the complete monomial group. Those monomial substitutions which map each $x$ of $B$ onto itself multiplied by some element of $H$ will be called multiplications. The set of all multiplications which we will denote by $V_{n}$ form a normal subgroup of the complete monomial group $\sum_{n}(H)$. The set of oubstitutions which map every element of $B$ onto some element of $B$ multiplied by the identity of $H$ form a subgroup $S_{n}$ of $\sum_{n}(H)$. $S_{n}$ is the symmetric group on $n$ objects. $\sum_{n}(H)$ is the union of $\nabla_{n}$ and $S_{n}$, and the intersection of $\nabla_{n}$ and $S_{n}$ is the identity $E$ of $\sum_{n}(H)$.

In this paper we consider some monomial groups resulting when the restriction that the given set be finite is removed. Such groups we will denote by
$\sum(H ; B, C, D), d \leq C, D \leq B^{\prime}, d=\gamma_{o}, H$ and $B$
denoting the given group and the order of the given set respectively. C a cardinal such that all monomial substitutions of the group have fewer than C factors different from the identity of $H, D$ a cardinal such that all monomial substitutions of the group have fewer than $D$ elements of the given set mapped into elements distinct from themselves, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ the successor of $B$. As before the set of all multiplications form a normal subgroup called the basis group, the set of all permutations form a subgroup, and the monomial group is the union of these two groups, and the two groups meet in the identity only of the monomial group.

Ore [4] has determined the derived series and the form of any automorphism of the complete monomial group when the given set has finite order. In this paper we obtain similar results for some of the monomial groups $E(H ; B, C, D)$, and determine in addition the automorphism groups of some of the monomial groups.

Chapters I, II, and III contain preliminaries for the following chapters.

Chapter IV contains the main results of the paper. For the group $\sum(H ; B, d, C), C<B^{f}$, the form of all automorphisms is established and the automorphism group is determined in terms of the automorphism group of $H$. Chapter $V$ gives the automorphisms of the Alternating Monomial Group when the given set is finite, $H$ contains no subgroup isomorphic to the alternating group on $n-1$ objects, and $n>6$. It is also shown that the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ is isomorphic to the group of sutomorphisms of its subgroup consisting of all
alternating substitutions. In The concluding chapter the derived series of $\geq(H ; B, C, D)$, $C \leq D, i s$ determined.

## PRELIMINARIES

Let $H$ be an arbitrary group, and let $S$ be a set with order $B, B \geq d ; d=\lambda_{0}$. We will denote elements of $H$ by $h$ and $k$, and $x$ will be used to denote element of S.

A monomial substitution over $H$ is a linear transformation mapping each element $x$ of $S$ in a one-to-one manner onto some element of $S$ multiplied by an element of H. A substitution $u$ will be written,

$$
u=\left(\cdots h_{j} x_{j} \ldots\right)
$$

The element $h$ of if will be termed a factor of $u$. The multiplication $h x$ is a formal one with the associative property $h(k x)=(h k) x$. If a second substitution $u^{\prime}$ be given by,

$$
u^{\prime}=\left(\cdots{ }_{h_{t} x_{t}}^{x_{j}} \cdots\right),
$$

then the product un' is defined by,

$$
u u^{\prime}=\left(\ldots h_{j} h_{t} x_{t}^{x_{i}} \cdots\right)
$$

With this definition of multiplication the set of monomial substitutions over $H$ form a group, hereafter called the monomial group or symmetry.

A substitution having each of its factors the
identity element of $H$ will be called a permutation. The sot of all permutations contained in the monomial group form a subgroup and is the symmetric group on $B$ objects. We will use the cyclic notation commonly used with symmetric groups to represent a substitution which is a permutation. We will use sto denote a substitution which is a permutation.

A substitution which sends each element of $B$
into itself multiplied by an element of K will be called a multiplication. The set of all multiplications contained in the monomial group form a subgroup whioh is the strong direct sum of groups $H_{\alpha}$, each $H_{\alpha}$ isemorphic to H. We will use F to denote a substitution which is a multiplication and such a substitution will be given by recording only its factors in sequence form.

For the monomial group $\geq(H ; B, C, D), S(B, D)$
will denote the subgroup consisting of all permutations, while $V(B, C)$ will denote the subgroup conoisting of all multiplications. We may now reinterpt the symbols
in the monomial group designation as follows, $H$ a given arbitrary group, $B$ the order of a given sot 3 , Ca cardinal number such that for any substitution of the monomial group the number of non-identity factors is Less than C, Da cardinal number such that for any substitution of the monomial group the number of elements of $S$ being sent into elements of $S$ distinct from themselves is less than D. It is clear that both $C$ and $D$ must always be less than or equal to $B^{f}$. In the event $C=D=B^{f}$, the resulting monomial group is refered to as the complete monomial group. The concept of alternating as associated with permutation groups may be extended in an obvious manner to monomial groups. When considering an alternating monomial group wo will indicate this by placing an $A$ as a subscript to $\sum$. In this case the cardinal number $D$ is meaningless unless $D<d$. When all finite even permutations are to be considered the cardinal $D$ will be omitted.

The set of all permutations of the monomial group $\geq(H ; B, C, D)$ form a subgroup which will be denoted by $S(B, D)$. This group is well known, and the prince-
cipal properties of its automorphisms as they relate to this paper will be recorded in the following chapter.

The set of all multiplications of the monomial group $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ form a subgroup denoted by $V(B, C)$. This subgroup is moreover a normal subgroup.

Any substitution may be written as the product of a multiplication and a permutation. This shows that any monomial group may be written as the union of the subgroups consisting of all multiplications, and permutations. If we employ $E$ to denote the identity of the group $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$, we may write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum(H ; B, C, D)=\nabla(B, C) \cup S(B, D), \\
& V(B, C) \cap S(B, D)=E_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

We say $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ splits over the basis group $V(B, C)$. A multiplication which has only one distinct factor is called a scaler and will be written [ h ]. The set of all scalars form a subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$. The scalars are the only elements of the monomial group which commute with all permutations. A scalar [h] will commute will all multiplications if and only if $h$ belongs to the center of $H$, hence the center of

# the monomial group is the set of all scalars [h] such that $h$ belongs to the center of $H$. 

## CHAPTER II

## AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE BASIS

## AND PERMUTATION GROUPS

In the study of the automorphisms of the various monomial groups we will discuss the automorphisms of the basis group, and isomorphisms of the permutation group with other subgroups of the monomial group, which can be combined in a natural way to form an automorphism of the containing monomial group. For the monomial groups considered, we will be chiefly concorned with the basis group $V(B, d)$, and a variety of permutation groupse. We include then a preliminary discussion of the endomorphisms of $V(B, d)$, and automorphisms of some permutation groups.

Theorem 1 all endomorphisms of $V_{n}$ are obtainable through the possible sets of $n^{2}$ endomorphisms $T_{j}^{i}, i, j=1,2, \cdots, n$, of $H$ satisfying the conditions,

$$
h T_{m}^{i} k T_{m}^{j}=k T_{m}^{j} T_{m}^{i}, m=1, \cdots, n, i \neq j,
$$

by the correspondence of a general element

$$
v=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) t \bullet
$$

$\left(h_{1} X_{1}^{1} h_{2} T_{1}^{2} \cdots h_{n} T_{1}^{n}, h_{1} T_{2}^{1} h_{2} T_{2}^{2} \cdots h_{n} T_{2}^{n}, \cdots\right)$.
The proof is contained in [4, page 45].
Theorem 2 If $T$ is an endomorphism of $V(B, d)$, then there exists endomorphisms $T_{f}^{i}$ of $H$ such that,
(1) $\left(0, \cdots, e, h_{1}, e, \cdots\right) T=$ ( $h_{i} T_{1}^{1}, \cdots, h_{1} T_{j}^{1}, \cdots$ ), for all $h_{1} \varepsilon H$.
(2) For all $h \varepsilon H$, and all 1 , $h r_{j}^{1}=0$, for all but a finite number of j .
(3) $h_{i} T_{m}^{i} h_{j} T_{m}^{j}=h_{g_{m}}{ }_{m} h_{i} T_{m}^{i}$, for all $m$ and all i, $j$ such that $i \neq j$.
Conversely if $\left\{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$ is a collection of endomorphisms of H , such that (2) and (3) are true, then there exists one and only one endomorphism $T$ of $V(B, d)$ such that (1) is true.

$$
\text { Proof Suppose } T \text { is an endomorphism of } V(B, d) \text {, }
$$ then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\theta, \cdots, \theta, h_{j}, \theta, \cdots\right) T= \\
& \left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $k_{i}=h_{j} T_{i}^{j}$, then since $T$ is an endomorphism of $\nabla(B, d)$,
each $T_{j}^{i}$ maps $H$ onto a subgroup of $H$, and is moreover an endomorphism of $H$. Since $T$ is an endomorphism of $V(B, d)$, the image multiplication must be an element of this group, hence for all $h \varepsilon H$, and all $1, \mathrm{hr}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{i}}=0$, for all but a finite number of $j$.

The two elements of $V(B, d)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e, \cdots, e, h_{i}, e, \cdots\right), \\
& \left(e, \cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right), i \notin j,
\end{aligned}
$$

commute and hence their endomorphic images commute. That is,

$$
h_{i} T_{n}^{i} h_{j} T_{n}^{j}=h_{j} T_{n}^{j} h_{i} T_{n}^{i}, i \neq j_{0}
$$

Conversely, if $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{j}^{i}\right\}$ be a collection of endomorphisms of $H$, such that (2) and (3) are true, there exists one and only one endomorphism $T$ of $V(B, d)$ such that (1) is cure. Since the $T_{j}^{i}$ are endomorphisms of the group $H$, and by reason of (2) the correspondence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(e, \cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right) \text { to } \\
& \left(h_{j} T, h_{j} T{ }_{2}^{j}, h_{j} T_{3}^{j}, \cdots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

is a correspondence onto a subgroup of $V(B, d)$. It
follows from (3) that the correspondence is multiplication
preserving. The correspondence $T$ is then an endmorphism of $V(B, d)$. That it is unique follows from the fact that the set of elements of the form

$$
\left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

generate the group $V(B, d)$.
We now inquire as to the necessary and sufficient conditions that $T$ be an automorphism of $V(B, d)$. This requirement is that $T$ be one-tome and onto tho group $\nabla(B, d)$, since $T$ is already an endomorphism of $V(B, d)$. That is, given an arbitrary element,

$$
\nabla_{k}=\left(\cdots, \theta, k_{j_{1}}, \cdots, k_{j_{m}}, e, \ldots\right)
$$

of $V(B, d)$, does there exist an element

$$
v_{h}=\left(\cdots, e, h_{i_{1}}, \cdots, h_{i_{n}}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

such that $\nabla_{h} T=\nabla_{k}$. We have that $\nabla_{h} T=$

where only a finite number of the factors are different from the identity. If equality is to exist between that multiplication and $\nabla_{k}$ the non-identity factors must occur in the same positions as the non-identity factors
of $\nabla_{k}$. The equality of factors gives $2 s$ the following set of equations,

$$
h_{i_{1}}{ }^{T} j_{w}^{I_{1}} h_{i_{2}}{ }^{T} j_{w} j_{2} h_{i_{n}}{ }^{T} j_{w}=k_{j_{w}}, w=1, \cdots, m .
$$

Therefore $T$ is one-to-one and onto if and only if the set of equations have unique solutions $h_{j_{j}}$, $j=1, \cdots, n$, in $H$.

Thus we may state more precisely, $T$ is an automorphism of $\nabla(B, d)$, if and only if for each finite set $M$ of order $m$ of elements of $H$, and each finite set of distinct indices $A$, such that the two seta corespond in a one-to-one manner, there exists a second unique subset $N$ of order $n$ of $H$, together with an unique set of distinct indices $B$, where the two sots correspond in a one-toone manner, such that the set of elements of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{A}$, and B are related in tine following manner,
where the $h_{i_{t}} \varepsilon N, k_{j_{W}} \varepsilon M, i_{t} \varepsilon B, j_{w} \varepsilon A$, $t=1, \cdots, n, w=1, \cdots, m$.

Theorem 3 Every element of $S(B, C), d \leq C \leq B^{f}$, may be written as the product of two elements of $S(B, C)$ each having order two.

Theorem 4 Every automorphism of $S\left(B,{ }^{\boldsymbol{f}}{ }^{f}\right)$, $\mathrm{B}^{\dagger} \geq \mathrm{d}$, is an inner automorphism.

Theorem 5 Every automorphism of $S(B, C)$, $d \leq C \leq B^{\dagger}$, is the restriction of some automorphism of $S\left(B, B^{f}\right)$ to $S(B, C)$.

Theorem 6 The group of automorphisms of $A\left(B, B^{f}\right)$ Is isomorphic to $S\left(B, B^{f}\right), B \geq 5, B \neq 6$. $A\left(B, B^{\dagger}\right)$ is that subgroup of $S\left(B, B^{\dagger}\right)$ consisting of all even permutations contained in $S\left(B, B^{f}\right)$.

The proof of Theorem 3 is found in [2], Theorem 4 in [6], Theorem 5 in [7], and Theorem 6 in [3] and [7]. Theorem 7 If,
(1) $N$ is a normal subgroup of a group $G$,
(2) G splits over $N, G=N \cup M, M \cap N=e$,
(3) M' end N' are groups isomorphic to $M$ and $N$ respectively, $\alpha$ the isomorphism of M to M , $\beta$ the isomorphism of $N$ to $N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ normal in $Q^{\prime}$, and $G^{\prime}=M^{\prime} \circlearrowleft N^{\prime}$, $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \cap N^{\prime}=0$,
then the correspondence $\mu,(m n) \mu=\operatorname{man} \beta$ dafines $L n$
isomorphisn between $G$ and $G$ 'if and only if

$$
\operatorname{man} \beta \mathrm{m}^{-1} \alpha=\left(\mathrm{mnm}^{-1}\right) \beta
$$

for all m $\varepsilon M$ and all $n \in N$.

Proof Let $\mu$ be an fisomorphism of $G$ to $G$, and Let m $\varepsilon \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}$, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (n m) \mu=\left(m^{-1} n m\right) \mu \\
& n \beta m \alpha=m \alpha\left(m^{-1} n m\right) \beta \\
& \left(m^{-1}\right) \alpha(n) \beta(m) \alpha=\left(m^{-1} n m\right) \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely if $(m) \alpha(n) \beta\left(m^{-1}\right) \alpha=\left(m n m^{-1}\right) \beta$, we neod only show that multiplication is preserved by $\mu$ to know that $\mu$ is an isomorphism of $G$ to Gi. Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{1} n_{1}\right) \mu\left(n_{2} n_{2}\right) \mu=\left(m_{1}\right) \alpha\left(n_{1}\right) \beta\left(m_{2}\right) \alpha\left(n_{2}\right) \beta, \text { we have } \\
& \left(n_{1}\right) \beta\left(m_{2}\right) \alpha=\left(m_{2}\right) \alpha\left(m_{2}^{-1} n_{1} m_{2}\right) \beta, \text { and hence } \\
& \left(m_{1} n_{1}\right) \mu\left(n_{2} n_{2}\right) \mu=\left(m_{1}\right) \alpha\left(m_{2}\right) \alpha\left(m_{2}^{-7} n_{1} m_{2}\right) \beta\left(n_{2}\right) \beta= \\
& =\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) \alpha\left(m_{2}^{-1} n_{1} m_{2} n_{2}\right) \beta=\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{2}^{-1} n_{1} m_{2} n_{2}\right) \mu= \\
& =\left(m_{1} n_{1} m_{2} n_{2}\right) \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

## IMAGES OF SOME SUBGROUPS UNDER

AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE CONTAINING MONOMIAL GROUP

Theorem \& The basis group of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$
is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$.
Theorem o The basis group of $\sum_{A}(H ; B, d)$ is
a characteristic subgroup of $Z_{A}(H ; B, d)$.
Theorem 10 The basis group of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ for $n \geq 5$, is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$.
$\sum_{A, n}(H)$ is that subgroup of the complete monomial group formed from the given group $H$, and a set of order $n$, consisting of all even monomial substitutions contained in the complete monomial group.

The proofs of Theorems 8, 9, and 10 are found in
[1]. We will extend the results of Theorem 8 to show that the basis group of $\geq(H ; B, d, C), d \leq C \leq B^{f}$, is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$.

Theorem 11 If $d \leq C \leq B^{f^{\prime}}, d \leq D \leq B^{f}$, and $N$ is
a subgroup of $V(B, d)$, then $N$ is normal in $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$ if and only if $N$ is normal in $\geq(H ; B, C, D)$.

Proof Suppose $N$ is a normal subgroup of
$\geq(H ; B, d, d)$ and $N$ is contained in the basis group $V(B, d)$.

Let $v s \varepsilon \geq(H ; B, C, D)$ and $\nabla^{\prime} \varepsilon N$, and consider (vs)(vi)(vs) ${ }^{-1}$. We may, by reason of Theorem 3, write $s$ as a product of elements $s_{2}$ and $s_{2}$, where the order of $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ is two, and hence each is the product of disjoint transpositions. Our product of consideration may then be recorded as $(v)\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\right)\left(s_{2} s_{2}\right)^{-1}(v)^{-1}$.

Define $F(v)$, for any multiplication $v$ to be the set of indices 1 such that the $i-t h$ factor of $v$ is different from the identity. The order of $F\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ is finite. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ is a transposition of $s_{2}$ such that neither 1 nor $f$ belong to $f\left(v^{\prime}\right)$, then $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ commutes with the remaining transpositions of $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ as well as with v', sc we may eliminate all such transpositions from $s_{2}$. Denote the depressed $s_{2}$ by $\boldsymbol{s}_{2}$, which will consist of only those transpositions which move some $x_{i}$ where $i$ belongs to the indexing set $F\left(v^{\prime}\right)$. But since the order of $F\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ is finite sid belongs to $S(B, d)$. But $N$ is normal in $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ and hence we have $\left(s_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\right)(s h)^{-1} \varepsilon$ N. Similarly we may treat $s_{1}$ eliminating those transpositions $\left(x_{1}, x_{j}\right)$ such that
neither $i$ nor $j$ belong to $F\left(s \mathcal{L}^{\prime} s \mathcal{L}^{-1}\right)$, causing $s_{1}$ to be depressed to an element $s_{j}^{j}$ of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$. We then see that $\left(s \mathcal{S}_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\right)\left(s \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon N$. Finally conjugation by $v$ is equivalent to conjugation by $v_{1}$ where $v_{1}$ has factors agreeing with $v$ in those positions $i$ such that $i \in F\left(s_{1} s_{2}^{i} v^{\prime} i_{2}^{-1} i_{i}^{-1}\right)$ and the remaining factors of $v_{1}$ are the identity. Then $v_{1} \in \sum(H ; B, d, d)$, and once more the normality of $N$ in this group insures that $\left(v_{1} s_{1} s_{2}\right)\left(v^{\prime}\right)\left(v_{1} s_{1} s_{2}^{1}\right)^{-1} \varepsilon N$, and hence (vs)( $\left.v^{\prime}\right)(v s)^{-1} \varepsilon N$. He have shown that if $N$ is contrained in the basis group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ and is normal in $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, Then $N$ is normal in $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$, $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{B}^{\boldsymbol{f}}, \mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{D} \leq \mathrm{B}^{\boldsymbol{t}}$.

Conversely if $N$ is contained in $V(B, d)$ and if $N$
is normal in $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$, it is clear that $N$ is normal in $\bar{\sim}(H ; B, d, d)$, which establishes the theorem.

This together with the results of [ 1 , page 77]
gives us the following theorem characterizing all normal subgroups of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ which are contained in the basis group $V(B, d)$.

Theorem 12 Any normal subgroup $N$ of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$, $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{B}^{\dagger}$, contained in the subgroup $V(B, d)$ is obtained by the following construction. Let subgroups $G$ and $G_{1}$ of $H$ be chosen such that,
(1) $G$ and $G_{1}$ are normal subgroups of $H$ with $G$ containing $G$,
(2) $G / G_{1}$ belongs to the center of $H / G_{1}$,
then $\mathbb{N}$ is a subgroup of $V(B, d)$ consisting of elements of the form,

$$
\left(e, \cdots, e, g_{i_{1}}, \cdots, g_{i_{n}}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

where the $g_{i_{j}}$ belong to $G$ and the product of all nonidentity factors belong to $G_{1}$.

Theorem 13 If,
(I) $M$ is a normal subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$, $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{B}^{\boldsymbol{\chi}}$,
(2) $M$ is not contained in $V(B, d)$,
(3) $N=M \cap V(B, d)$,
then,
(1) $N$ is a normal subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$,
(2) the structure of N is as outlined in Theorem 12 such that $G=H$, and $H / G_{1}$ is abelian.

Proof Since the intersection of two normal subgroups is a normal subgroup, $N$ is a normal subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$.

Let $u \varepsilon M, u \notin N$, then there exists i, $j$ such that if j and,

$$
u=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\cdots x_{i} & \ldots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $v=\left(\cdots, k_{i}, e, \cdots, e, k_{j}, e, \cdots\right)$ be an element of $V(B, d)$. Then $u^{-1} v^{-1} u v \in N$. The $j-t h$ factor of the commutator is $h_{i}^{-1} k_{i}^{-1} h_{i} k_{j}$, which is an arbitrary element of $H$ since $k_{i}$ and $k_{j}$ are arbitrary. Hence $G \geqslant \mathrm{H}$.

Theorem 14 The basis group $V(B, d)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\geq(H ; B, d, C), d \leq C \leq B^{f}$.

Proof The proof follows closely the proof that the basis group is a characteristic subgroup of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$, as contained in [I].

We deny the theorem, then there exists an automorphism $\mu$ such that $V(B, d) \mu$ is not contained in $\nabla(B, d)$. There exists a normal subgroup $M$ such that $M \mu=V(B, d)$. Then $V(B, d) \mu^{-1}=M$, and
$V(B, d)$ is not contained in $V(B, d) \mu^{-1}=N$.

$$
\sum(H ; B, \alpha, \cup) / \nabla(B, d) \text { is isomorphic to } S(B, C) \text {. }
$$

Moreover $\sum(H ; B, d, C) / M$ is isomorphic to $S(B, C)$, under the isomorphism $\alpha,(M u) \alpha=s$, where $s$ is defined by the equalities,

$$
(M u)_{\mu}=M \mu u \mu=V(B, d)(u \mu)=V(B, d)(v s)=V(B, d) s
$$ Let groups $K$ and $N$ be defined by,

$$
K=V(B, d) \cup M, N=V(B, d) \cap M
$$

Both $K$ and $N$ are normal in $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$. The quotient group $K / M$ is a normal subgroup of $\geqq(H ; B, d, C) / M$, and since $V(B, d)$ is not contained in $M, K / M$ is not the identity, Then $K / k$ must be isomorphic to a non-idontity normal subgroup of $S(B, C)$. But the normal subgroups of $S(B, C)$ are the groups $A(B, d)$ and $S(B, D), D \leq C$, as is shown in [7]. Each of the normal subgroups of $S(B, C)$ are non-abelian and hence $K / M$ is non-abellan.
$K / M$ is isomorphic to $V(B, d) N$ by reason of the second isomorphism law. The form of $N$ was determined in Theoems 12 and 13. We may establish an isomorphism between $V(B, d) / N$ and $H / G_{1}$, but $H / G_{1}$ is abelian, hence so are
$V(B, d) / N$ and $K / M$. But this is a contradiction and hence our assumption was false. This establishes the theorem.

Theorem 15 If $G=N \cup M, N \cap M=0, N a$ characteristic subgroup of $G, \mu$ an automorphism of $G$, $m \mu=n^{\prime} m^{\prime},(m) \lambda=m^{\prime}$, then $\lambda$ is an automorphism of $M$. Proof $\lambda$ is multiplication preserving.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) \mu=\left(m_{1}\right) \mu\left(m_{2}\right) \mu=n_{1}^{\prime} m_{1}^{\prime} n_{2}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime}= \\
& =\left(n_{1}^{\prime} m_{1}^{\prime} n_{2}^{\prime} m_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(m_{1} m_{2}^{\prime}\right)=n_{3}^{\prime} m_{1}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime}, \text { and hence }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(m_{1}\right) \lambda=m^{\prime},\left(m_{2}\right) \lambda=m_{2}^{\prime},\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) \lambda=m_{1}^{\prime} m_{2}^{\prime},
$$

$$
\left(m_{1}\right) \lambda\left(m_{2}\right) \lambda=\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right) \lambda
$$

The correspondence $\lambda$ is onto. Let m $\varepsilon M$, then
$m \mu^{-1}=n^{\prime} m^{\prime},\left(n^{\prime} m^{\prime}\right) \mu=m, \quad\left(n^{\prime}\right) \mu\left(m^{\prime}\right) \mu=m$,
$m^{\prime} \mu=\left(n^{-1}\right) \mu m$, hence $\left(m^{\prime}\right) \lambda=m$.
Theendomorphism $\lambda$ of $M$ has kernel $e$, since $N$
is a characteristic subgroup of $G$, and hence, (m) $\mu=n^{\prime} m^{\prime}, m^{\prime}=\theta$, if and only if $m=\theta$. Then $\lambda$ is an automorphism of $M$.

Corollary l Let $\mu$ be an automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d, C), d \leq C \leq B^{f}$, and let $s \varepsilon S(B, C)$,
$s \mu=V^{\prime} s^{\prime} s s^{\prime}=s^{\prime}$, then $\lambda$ is an automorphism of $S(B, C)$.
Proof $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ splits over tho basis group
$V(B, d) . V(B, d)$ is a characteristic subgroup of
$\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ by reason of Theorems 8 and 14 . The Corollary then follows from Theorem 15.

Corollary 2 Let $\mu$ bo an automorphism of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$, s \& $A_{n},(s) \mu=v^{\prime} s^{\prime},(s) \lambda=s^{\prime}$, then $\lambda$ is an automorphism of $A_{n}$.

Proof $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ splits over the basis group $V_{n}$. $V_{n}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ by reason of Theorem 10. The Corollary then follows from Theorem 15.

Corollary 3 Let $\mu$ be an automorphism of
$\sum_{A}(H ; B, d), s \varepsilon A(B),(s) \mu=v^{\prime} s^{\prime}$, then the carespondence $\lambda,(s) \lambda=s$ is an automorphism of $A(B)$. Proof $\sum_{A}(H ; B, d)$ splits over the basis group $\nabla(B, d)$, and $V(B, d)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum_{A}(H ; B, d)$ by reason of Theorem 9. The Corollary then follows from Theorem 15.

Theorem $16 \geqq(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d})$ is a characteristic
subgroup of $\geq(H ; B, d, C), d \leq C \leq B^{f}$.

Proof Let $\mu$ be an automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ and vs $\varepsilon \sum(H ; B, d, d)$. Then consider (vs) $\mu=$
(v) $\mu(\mathrm{s}) \mu$. Since $V(B, d)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, d, C),(V) \mu \varepsilon V(B, d) \subset \sum(H ; B, d, d)$. We must then conclude that (s) $\mu \varepsilon \sum(H ; B, d, d)$, for all $s \varepsilon S(B, C)$ in order to establish the theorem. (s) $\mu$ is some element V's' $^{\prime} \sum(H ; B, d, C)$. According to Corollary 1 of Theorem 15 the correspondence $s$ to $s^{\prime}$ induced by $\mu$ defines an automorphism of $S(B, C)$.

Then according to Theorems 4 and 5,

$$
(s) \mu=\nabla^{\prime}\left(s I_{s}+\right) \text {, where } s^{+} \varepsilon S\left(B, B^{f}\right) \text { and } I_{s}+i s
$$

is the automorphism induced on $S(B, C)$ by $\mu$. If $s \varepsilon S(B, d)$, and since $S(B, d)$ is normal in $S(B, C)$, $\left(s I_{s}+\right) \varepsilon S(B, d)$. Then (vs) $\mu=(v) \mu\left(v^{\prime}\right)\left(s I_{s}+\right)$. Each member of this product is an element of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, hence the product is and element of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$. Thus any automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ takes elements of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ into $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, and the theorem is established.

Theorem 17 The group $\sum_{\AA, n}(H)$ splits over the basis
group, $\bar{Z}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{n}}(H)=V_{\mathrm{n}} \cup \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \cap \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{E}$.
The group is conjugate to some group To obtained es follows. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $H$ which is the homomorphic image of $A_{n-1}$. Let $g_{4}, \ldots, E_{n}$ bo generators of $G$ satisfying the following relations,
(I) $\left(g_{i}\right)^{3}=e, i=4, \cdots, n$.
(2) $\left(g_{i} g_{j}\right)^{2}=e$, where $i \neq j$.

Let $s_{i}=(1,1,2)$ for $i=3, \cdots$, n generate the group $A_{n}$. Then the elements of $T_{0}$ are obtained from the elements of $A_{n}$ by the isomorphism $\phi$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{3} \phi= & \left(e, e, e, g_{4}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(1,3,2) \\
s_{i} \phi= & \left(e, g_{i}, g_{i}^{2}, g_{i}^{2} g_{4}, \cdots, g_{i}^{2} g_{i-1}, g_{i}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.g_{i}^{2} g_{i+1}, \cdots, g_{i}^{2} g_{n}\right)(1,1,2) \\
\text { for } i= & 4, \cdots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the theorem is contained in [l].

AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\sum(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{C}), \mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{C}<\mathrm{B}^{+}$

We will first find the automorphism group of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$ and then the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, C), d<C<B^{+}$. By reason of Theorem 16 the problem of finding automorphisms of $\geqq(H ; B, d, C)$ is made easy once the automorphisms of $\geqq(H ; B, d, d)$ are known. It has seemed advisable to treat the problem in the two cases even though some duplication in calculations is involved.

Before proceding to the problem of determining the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ we make the following considerations. If $T$ is any automorphism of the group $H$, we define an automorphism $T 1$ of $V(B, C)$, $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{C} \leq \mathrm{B}^{+}$, by the correspondence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots\right) T= \\
& \left(h_{1} T, h_{2} T, h_{3} T, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let I denote the identity automorphism of $S(B, D)$, $\mathrm{d} \leq \mathrm{D} \leq \mathrm{B}^{+}$, then according to Theorem 7 the corespondence $T^{+}$, (vs) $T^{+}=(v) T(s) I$, for all $V \in V(B, C)$ and all $s \varepsilon S(B, D)$ is an automorphism of the group
$\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ if and only if,
$(s) I(v) T \cdot\left(s^{-1}\right) I=\left(s v s^{-1}\right) T^{\prime}$.
Since $V(B, C)$ is a normal subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$, this is an equality between multiplications, and it is easy to see that the corresponding factors of the two multiplications are equal. Hence $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is an automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$.

In a similar manner we may associate with any endomorphism $K$ of the group $H$ and endomorphism $K^{+}$ of $V(B, C)$.

Theorem $18 \mu$ is an automorphism of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$ if and only if there exists,
(1) $\mathrm{s}^{+}$an element of $\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right)$,
(2) $\mathrm{v}^{+}$an element of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right)$,
(3) T an automorphism of H , such that,

$$
(u) \mu=(u) T^{+} I_{s}+I_{v}+\text {, for all } u \varepsilon \sum(H ; B, d, d) .
$$

Proof Suppose $\mu$ is an automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d$, d). Then $\sum(H ; B, d, d)=V(B, d) \mu \cup S(B, d) \mu$. But $V(B, d)$, by reason of Theorem 8, is a characteristic subgroup of
$\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, hence $\geqq(H ; B, d, d)=V(B$,
d) $\cup S(B, d) \mu$, and $V(B, d) \cap S(B, d) \mu=E$.

There exists an isomorphism between $S(B, d)$ and $S(B, d) \mu$, whose form we now seek to discover. Since $S(B, d) \mu$ is contained in $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, the image of any element $s \varepsilon S(B, d)$ must have the form $V^{\prime} s^{\prime}$, where $v^{\prime} \varepsilon \forall(B, d), s^{\prime} \varepsilon S(B, d)$. We have seen in Corollary 1 of Theorem 15 that the correspondence $s$ to $s$ is an automorphism of $S(B, d)$, and hence there must exist an element $\mathrm{s}^{+} \varepsilon \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right)$such that $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}=(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{+}$, since according to Theorems 4 and 5 all automorphisms of $S(B, d)$ have this form. The element $s^{+}$is the element whose existence was asserted in (1) of the theorem. Any element of $S(B, d)$ may be written as the product of a finite number of elements of the form ( $1, i$ ). Hence to discover the image of ( $1, i$ ) under $\mu$, is to know the image of all permutations. We therefore reduce our study of $s \mu$ to that of (1, 1$) \mu$. $(1, i) \mu=v_{i} s^{\prime}$, where $s^{\prime}=(1, i) I_{s}+$ We next proceed to the characterization of $v_{i}$ and the calculation of the multiplication $\mathrm{v}^{+}$of $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$.

Since the order of any transposition is two,

$$
[(1, i) \mu]^{2}=\left[v_{i}\left(1 s^{+}, i s^{+}\right)\right]^{2}=E
$$

This equality can exist if and only if each factor of $V_{i}$ has order two except possibly the $18^{+}$and is ${ }^{+}$ factors, and moreover the $1 s^{+}$and is ${ }^{+}$factors must be inverses of one another.

$$
\text { We have in Theorem } 2 \text { discovered the form which }
$$ all endomorphisms of $V(B, d)$ must have, and hence the form of all automorphisms of this group. For an arbitrary element $v$ of $V(B, d)$,

$$
v=\left(\cdots, e, h_{i_{1}}, \cdots, h_{i_{n}}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (v) } \mu=\left(h_{i_{1}} T_{1}{ }^{i} h_{i_{2}} T_{1}{ }^{i_{2}} \cdots h_{i_{n}} T_{1}{ }^{n},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ are endomorphisms of the group $H$, and only a finite number of the factors of the multiplication are different from the identity.

In the calculations which follow the subscript of an element $h$ will always indicate the position of $h$ in a multiplication, that is $h_{j}$ will be the $j-t h$ factor of some multiplication $v$. When ever we require two factors of an element which is a multiplication to
be distinct we will indicate this by employing superscripts, distinct superscripts indicate that the two factors are distinct elements of H . Whenever a multiplication has undergone a transofrmation by a permutation we will employ superscripts to indicate, after the shuffling of factors, the equality existing between the factors of the original and resulting multiplication. Like superscripts indicating the same group element.

Let us consider generating elements,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=(1, i) \text { of } s(B, d), \\
& v=\left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, \theta, \ldots\right) \text { of } V(B, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu$ is an automorphism of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s) \mu(v) \mu\left(s^{-1}\right) \mu=\left(s v s^{-1}\right) \mu, \text { where } \\
& (1, i) \mu= \\
& \left(\cdots, e, k_{i_{1}}, \cdots, k_{i_{n}}, e, \ldots\right)\left(1 s^{+}, i s^{+}\right), \\
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right) \mu= \\
& \left(h_{j} T_{1}^{j}, h_{j} T_{2}^{j}, h_{j} T_{3}^{j}, \cdots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where only finitely many of the factors are different
from the identity. We compute this equality considering two cases.

Case 1 Suppose $f \neq 1, j \neq 1$. Then since $\left(s v s^{-1}\right)=v$, the equality reduces to,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (s) \mu(v) \mu\left(s^{-1}\right) \mu=(v) \mu, \text { or } \\
& {\left[\left(\cdots, e, k_{i_{l}}, \cdots, k_{i_{n}}, e, \cdots\right)\left(l_{s^{+}}, i_{0}^{+}\right)\right.} \\
& >\left(h_{j} T_{1}^{j}, h_{j} T_{2}^{j}, h_{j} T_{3}^{j}, \ldots\right)>< \\
& \left.\left(1 s^{+}, i s^{+}\right)\left(\cdots, e, k_{i}^{-1}, \cdots, k_{1}^{-1}, e, \cdots\right)\right] \\
& =\left(h_{j} T_{1}^{j}, h_{j} T_{2}^{j}, h_{j} T_{3}^{j}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Direct computation on the left side of the equality yields the following multiplication,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cdots, h_{j} \mathbb{T M}_{m}^{j}, \cdots, k_{i_{1}} h_{j}^{T} \mathbb{i}_{1}^{j} k_{i_{1}}^{-1}, \cdots\right. \\
& \cdots, k_{l s}+h_{j} T_{i s}^{j}+k_{l s}^{-1}+\cdots, k_{i s}+h_{j} T_{l_{s}}^{j}+k_{i s}^{-1}+, \cdots \\
& \left.\cdots, k_{i_{t}}{ }^{n}{ }_{j}{ }_{i_{t}}^{j} k_{i_{t}}^{-1}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the resulting equality between multiplications demands equality between corresponding factors. Hence we have,
(i) $h T_{i s}^{J}+=k_{i s}+h T_{18}^{j}+k_{i s}^{-1}$,
(ii) $\quad h T_{i m}^{j}=k_{i_{m}} h T_{i_{m}}^{j} k_{m}^{-1}$,
for $m=1, \cdots, n, i_{m} \neq 1 s^{+}, i_{m} \neq 1 s^{+}$, and $j \neq 1 s^{+}$, $j \neq 1 s^{+}$. Since in equality (i) and (ii) h represents the same group element we have dropped the subscript. Case 2 Suppose $j=1$ or $j=1$. Either equality will yield the same result, and hence both cases are included in one consideration. The calculations recorded are for $j=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=\left(h_{1}^{1}, e, \cdots\right), \quad s=(1, i), \\
& \left(s \nabla s^{-1}\right)=\left(\cdots, e, h_{i}^{1}, e, \cdots\right) \text {, and } \\
& (s) \mu(v) \mu\left(s^{-1}\right) \mu \dot{m}\left(\ldots, e, h_{i}^{1}, e, \ldots\right) \mu \text { or } \\
& {\left[\left(\ldots, e, k_{i_{1}}, \cdots, k_{i_{n}}, 0, \ldots\right)\left(1_{s^{+}}, i_{i^{+}}\right)\right.} \\
& <\left(h_{1}^{1} T_{1}^{1}, h_{1}^{1} T_{2}^{1}, h_{1}^{1} T_{3}^{1}, \cdots\right)> \\
& \left.\left(1 s^{+}, i s^{+}\right)\left(\ldots, \theta, k_{i_{1}}^{-1}, \ldots, k_{i}^{-1}, \theta, \ldots\right)\right] \\
& =\left(h_{i}^{1} T_{1}^{1}, h_{i}^{1} T_{2}^{1}, h_{1}^{1} T_{3}^{1}, \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Direct computation on the left side of the equality
yields the following multiplication,

$$
\left(\cdots, h_{1}^{1} T_{m}^{1}, \cdots, k_{i_{1}} h_{1}^{1} T_{i_{1}}^{1} k_{i_{1}}^{-1}, \cdots\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots, k_{1 s}+h_{l}^{1} T_{i s}^{1}+k_{l s}^{-1}+\cdots, k_{i s}+h_{1}^{1} T_{1 s}^{1}+k_{i s}^{-1}+, \cdots \\
& \left.\cdots, k_{i_{t}} h_{l}^{1} T_{i_{t}}^{1} k_{i_{t}}^{-1}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the resulting equality between multiplications demands the following equality between factors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (iii) } h T_{i_{m}}^{i}=k_{i_{m}} h T_{i_{m}}^{1} k_{i_{m}}^{-1}, \\
& \quad m=1, \cdots, n, i_{m} \neq 1 s^{+}, i_{m} \neq i s^{+} . \\
& \text {(iv) } h T_{i s}^{i}+=k_{i s}+h T_{i s}^{1}+k_{i s}^{-1}, \\
& \text { (v) } h T_{1 s}^{i}+=k_{1 s}+h T_{i s}^{1}+k_{l o}^{-1}+
\end{aligned}
$$

The equalities (i) through (v) are restrictions on the endomorphisms $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ of H . We may now further our study of images of multiplications under $\mu$ in view of these restrictions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Suppose } j \neq 1 \text { and consider, } \\
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right) \mu= \\
& \left(h_{j} T_{i}^{j}, K_{j} T_{2}^{j}, h_{j} T_{3}^{j}, h_{j} T_{4}^{j}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to restriction (i) each factor in the image multiplication is conjugate to $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Is}_{\mathrm{s}}}^{\mathrm{j}}+$ except the factor $h_{j} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{js}}^{\mathrm{j}}+$. But since $\mu$ is an automorphism of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d})$, the image multiplication must be an element of $V(B, d)$,
hence only finitely many of the factors may be different from the identity. It then follows that every factor save the factor $h_{j} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{js}}^{\mathrm{j}}$ + must be the identity and in this case the factor $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{js}}^{\mathbf{j}}$ + must be different from the identity. That is for j different from 1 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right) \mu= \\
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{j} T_{j s}^{j}, e, \cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We next consider the case where $j=1$.

$$
\left(h_{1}, e, \cdots\right) \mu=\left(h_{1} T_{1}^{1}, h_{1} T_{2}^{1}, h_{1} T_{3}^{1}, \ldots\right) .
$$

If we rewrite (v) in the form,

$$
h \mathrm{I}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}^{1}+=k_{i s}+h \mathrm{~T}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}^{1}+\mathrm{k}_{i \mathrm{~s}}^{-1},
$$

we see that every factor of the above recorded image multiplication is conjugate to some element $h_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}}+$. But we have observed in the previous consideration that for $f \neq l_{g} h_{j} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{is}}^{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{is}$ the identity element, and hence all factors of the image multiplication are the identity except the $1 s^{+}$factor. That is,

$$
\left(h_{1}, e, \cdots\right) \mu=\left(\cdots, e, h_{1} T_{1_{s}}^{1}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

In the beginning we assumed the most general representation of an automorphism of $\nabla(E, d)$ for $\mu$,
and for the correspondence assigned we have only an endomorphism of $V(B, d)$. We must now determine what further restrictions are necessary to insure that the correspondence is an automorphism of $V(B, d)$. Suppose we are given an arbitrary multiplication of $V(B, d)$,


We ask if this multiplication arose from the image of some other multiplication under $\mu$. This is equivalent to asking under what conditions will the set of equations,

$$
h_{i_{m}}{ }^{T_{i} m_{m}}+=h_{i_{m}}, m=1, \cdots, n,
$$

have unique solutions $h_{i_{m}}, m=1, \cdots, n$, in H. Such a unique set of solutions can exist if and only if the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{is}}^{\mathrm{i}}+$ are automorphisms of the group $H$. With this added restriction we have completed the characterization of the images of multiplications, but will latter employ (iv) to change the representation.

Let us refer to equality (ii) restricting the endomorphisms whose subscripts are different from ls ${ }^{+}$ and is ${ }^{+}$. We have seen that if $i_{m}$ be different from $j s^{+}$
then $h T_{i}^{j}$ is the identity. In case 1 , which produced
equality (ii), we have restricted $j$ to be different from 1 and $i$, so that $f$ may be so chosen that $j s^{+}=i_{m}$, and the following equality results,

$$
k_{j s}+h T_{j s}^{j}+k_{j s}^{-1}=h T_{j B}^{j}+.
$$

Inasmuch as we have required that $T_{j a}^{j}+$ be an automorphism of $H$, we can only conclude that $k_{j a}+$ belongs to the center of the group $H$. That is the multiplication component of the image of ( 1,1 ) under $\mu$ must have every factor except possibly the $1 s^{+}$and the is ${ }^{+}$ factors belonging to the center of the group $H$.

We will now show that the factors of this multiplication which do not occupy the $1 \mathrm{~s}^{+}$and $1 \mathrm{~s}^{+}$ positions are the identity element.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Since }(1, i)(1, j) \text { has order three, we have, } \\
& {[(1, i)(1, j) \mu]^{3}=} \\
& {\left[\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \ldots\right)\left(1 s^{+}, 1 s^{+}\right)>\right.} \\
& \left.\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \ldots\right)\left(1 s^{+}, j s^{+}\right)\right]^{3}=E
\end{aligned}
$$

By direct calculation we see that if $n$ be different from $1 s^{+}, \mathrm{is}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{js}{ }^{+}$, then the $n$-th factor is,

$$
k_{n} h_{n} k_{n} h_{n} k_{n} h_{n}=0 .
$$

We have prevously sean that both $h_{n}$ and $k_{n}$ belong to the center of the group $I \mathrm{I}$, and moreover each has order two. It then follows that $h_{n}$ and $k_{n}$ are inverses of one another. The $1 \mathrm{~s}^{+}$factor of the above product is,

$$
k_{l_{s}}+h_{i s}+k_{i s}+h_{1 s}+k_{j s}+h_{j s}+=e,
$$

which, in view of the centrality of the elements $k_{j s}+$ and $h_{i s}+$ together with the equality,

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ls}}{ }^{+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{is}}}+=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{ls}_{\mathrm{s}}}{ }^{+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{js}}}+0,
$$

reduces to, $h_{i s}{ }^{+} k_{j s}+=0$. Since $h_{i s}+$ has order two $h_{i s^{+}}=k_{j_{s}+}$. Thus the factors of the image muitiplications of ( $1, i$ ) and ( $1, j$ ) are the same if we exclude the $1 s^{+}$, is $^{+}$, and $\mathrm{js}^{+}$factors, and further the $\mathrm{gs}^{+}$factor of the multiplication component of $(1,1) \mu$ is equal to the is factor of the multiplication component of (2, j)

In a similar manner by considering ( $1, j$ ) $\mu$ and
( $1, t$ ) $\mu$ where $t \neq i, t \neq j$, we find that tho $t s^{+}$ factor of the inultiplication component oi $(1, f) \mu$ is equal to the $\mathrm{js}^{+}$factor of the multiplication component of $(1, t) \mu$.

But the $\mathrm{ts}^{+}$factors of the multiplication component of ( $1, i$ ) $\mu$ and $(1, j) \mu$ are equal, and the $j s^{+}$factor of the multiplication component of $(1, i) \mu$ and $(1, t) \mu$ are equal. That is the $t 3^{+}$and $\mathrm{js}^{+}$factors of the multiplication component of ( $1, i$ i) $\mu$ are equal, and hence all factors of the multiplication component of ( 1, i) $\mu$ except possibly the $1 s^{+}$and is ${ }^{+}$factors. But this multiplication is an element of $V(B, d)$ and hence all factors except possibly the $1 s^{+}$and $1 s^{+}$ factors must be o. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1,1) \mu= & \left(\cdots, \theta, k_{18^{+}}, 0, \cdots, \theta, k_{18^{+}}, 0,\right. \\
& \cdots)\left(18^{+}, i 8^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathrm{V}^{+}$be the multiplication of $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right.$)
whose $18{ }^{+}$factor is $e$, and whose $10^{+}$factor 10 the is ${ }^{+}$factor $k_{i s}+$ of the multiplication component of (1, i) $\mu$. This multiplication $\nabla^{+}$is the element of $\nabla\left(E, E^{+}\right)$whose existance we asserted in (2) of the theorem.

We have seen that,

$$
\left(h_{1}, e, \ldots\right) \mu=\left(\ldots, e, h_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1_{s}}^{1}, e, \ldots\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ls}}{ }^{+}$is an automorphism of H . Let $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{l}}+$ generate

In a manner described in the discussion preceding this theorem, an automorphism $T^{+}$of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$, $d \leq C, D \leq B^{+}$, which is moreover an automorphiam of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$ since $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ is a characteristio subgroup of $\sum(H ; B, D, C)$. This is the automorphism which forms the firat component of $\mu$, and $T \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+$ is the automorphism of $H$ whose existance we asserted in (3) of the theorem.

If we now refer to restriction (iv) on the automorphisms $\mathrm{T}_{18}^{1}+, \mathrm{hT}_{18}^{1}+=\mathrm{k}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}+\mathrm{hr}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}^{1}+\mathrm{k}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}^{-1}+$, wo observe that we may write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cdots, 0, h_{j}, 0, \cdots\right) \mu= \\
& \left(\cdots, e, k_{j s^{+}} h_{j} T_{1 s^{1}}^{+k_{j 8}^{-1}+}, \cdots, \cdots\right), \\
& (1,1) \mu= \\
& \left(\cdots, e, k_{1 s}+, e, \cdots, e, k_{1 s^{+}}, 0, \cdots\right) \\
& >\left(1 s^{+}, 1 s^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which we may now record in aimplified form as, $\left(\cdots, e, h_{J}, \theta, \cdots\right)_{\mu}=$

$$
\left(\cdots, e, h_{J}, e, \cdots\right) T^{+} I_{8}+I_{\nabla}+
$$

$(1,1) \mu=(1, i) T^{+} I_{s}+I_{\nabla^{+}}$, and hence for an arbitrary
element $u$ of $\bar{Z}(H ; B, d, d)$,
$(u) \mu=(u) T^{+} I_{s}+I_{v}+$
Conversely suppose we are given an element s ${ }^{+}$ of $S\left(B, B^{+}\right), V^{+} \varepsilon V\left(B, B^{+}\right), T$ an automorphism of $H$. Then $I_{s}{ }^{+}, I_{\nabla}{ }^{+}$, and $T^{+}$are each automorphisms of $\sum(H ; B, C, D), d \leq C, D \leq B^{+}$, and hence the product $\mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V}}+$ is an automorphism of the group. Then the groups $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ and $\sum(H ; B, d, d) T^{+} I_{s}+I^{+}{ }^{+}$, are isomorphic. But each of the automorphisms $\mathrm{T}^{+}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{+}$, and $I_{v}+$ take elements of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ into $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$. Hence the restriction of the automorphism $\mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}}{ }^{+}$of $\sum(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D})$ to $\Sigma(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d})$ is an automorphism of the latter group. This is the automorphism $\mu$, and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Corollary $1 \mu=T^{+} I_{s}+I^{+}$is an inner automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ if and only if $T^{+}$is generated by an inner automorphism $T=I_{h}{ }^{-1}$ of $H, \mathrm{~g}^{+} \varepsilon S(B, d)$, $\mathrm{v}^{+}$is the product of an element of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d})$ and the scalar $[h]$ of $\geq\left(H ; B, B^{+}, B^{+}\right)$.

Proof If $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is generated by the automorphism
$I_{h^{-}}, s^{+} \in S(B, d), V^{+}=v_{1}^{+}[h], v_{1}^{+} \varepsilon V(B, d)$, $[h] \varepsilon \nabla\left(B, B^{+}\right)$, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=T^{+} I_{s}+I_{v_{1}}^{+}[h]=T^{+} I_{s}+I_{[h]} I_{v_{1}}^{+}= \\
& \mathrm{T}^{+} I_{[h]} I_{s}+I_{v_{i}}^{*}=I_{s}+I_{v_{1}}^{+}=I_{v_{1}}^{+}{ }^{+},
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\mu$ is an inner automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$.
Conversely suppose $\ddagger$ is an inner automorphism of
$\geq(H ; B, d, d)$, then,

$$
\beta=I_{u}=I_{V^{\prime},}=I_{B}, I_{V^{\prime}}
$$

Hence if $h=0$, and $T=I_{h}-1, \mu=T^{+} I_{a} I{ }^{+}$, where, $s^{\prime} \varepsilon S(B, d), \nabla^{+}, \varepsilon V\left(B, B^{+}\right), V^{+}=V^{\prime}[h]=$ $=V^{\prime} \varepsilon \nabla(B, d)$.

Theorem 19 The group of three-tuples (T, $s^{+}, V^{+}$), where $T$ is an automorphism of the group $k, A^{+} \in S\left(B, B^{+}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{v}^{+} \varepsilon \nabla\left(B, B^{+}\right) \text {, with the operation, } \\
\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right)\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, V_{2}^{+}\right)= \\
\left(T_{1} T_{2}, s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, \nabla_{2}^{+} s_{2}^{+}\left(v_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+-1}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

IS homomorphic to the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ under the correspondence $\lambda,\left(T, s^{+}, V^{+}\right) \lambda=\mu$, $\mu=T^{+} I_{s}+I_{V}{ }^{+}$, and the kernel $K$ of $\lambda$ is the set of all three-tuples ( $T, \mathrm{~s}^{+}, \mathrm{V}^{+}$), where $\mathrm{s}^{+}$is the identity
permutation of $S\left(B, B^{+}\right), V^{+}$is a scalar [h] of $\nabla\left(B, B^{+}\right)$, and $T$ is the inner automorphism $I_{h-1}$ of the group H .

Proof We will first show that the set of three-tuples ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{s}^{+}, \mathrm{V}^{+}$) with the above defined operation form a group.

Consider the element $\left(T_{0}, s_{0}^{+}, V_{0}^{+}\right)$, where $T_{0}$ is the identity automorphism of the group H, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}}^{+}$ the identity element of the group $S\left(B, B^{+}\right), \gamma_{o}^{+}$is the identity element of the group $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$. To demonstrate that this element is the identity element of the set of three-tuples we make the following calculation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T, s^{+}, V^{+}\right)\left(T_{0}, s_{0}^{+}, V_{0}^{+}\right)= \\
& \left(T T_{0}, s_{0}^{+} s^{+}, \nabla_{0}^{+} s_{0}^{+}\left(\nabla^{+} T_{0}^{+}\right) s_{0}^{+-1}\right)=\left(T, s^{+}, V^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If ( $T, s^{+}, V^{+}$) be an arbitrary element of the set we see that, $\left(T^{-1}, s^{+-1},{ }_{8}^{+1}\left(\mathrm{~V}^{+-1} \mathrm{~T}^{-1}\right)_{\mathrm{s}^{+}}\right)$is an inverse for this element since,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T, s^{+}, V^{+}\right)\left(T^{-1}, s^{+-1}, s^{+-1}\left(V^{+-1} \mathrm{~T}^{+-1} \mathrm{~B}^{+}\right)=\right. \\
& \left(T_{0}, \mathrm{~s}_{0}^{+}, \nabla_{0}^{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left(T, s^{+-1}, s^{+-1}\left(v^{+-1} \mathrm{~T}^{+-1}\right) \mathrm{s}^{+}\right)$is an element of
the set since the first two components clearly belong to the automorphism group of $H$, and $S\left(B, B^{+}\right)$respectively and the third component is an element of $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$ since $T^{+}$restricted to $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$is an automorphism of that group, and further since $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$is normal in $\geq\left(H ; B, B^{+}, B^{+}\right)$, conjugation by a permutation of any element of $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$produces an element of $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$.

It follows from the definition of the operation for the set of three-tuples that the set has the closure property. Therefore it remains to demonstrate that the associative law holds.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right)\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right)\right]\left(T_{3}, s_{3}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)=} \\
& {\left[T_{1} T_{2}, s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, v_{2}^{+} s_{2}^{+}\left(v_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+-1}\right]\left(T_{3}, s_{3}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)=} \\
& {\left[T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}, s_{3}^{+} s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+},\left\{v_{3}^{+} s_{3}^{+} v_{2}^{+} s_{2}^{+}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+1}\right\} T_{3}^{+} s_{3}^{+-1}\right]=} \\
& {\left[T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}, s_{3}^{+} s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, v_{3}^{+} s_{3}^{+}\left(\nabla_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+}\left(v_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+1} s_{3}^{+-1}\right] .} \\
& \left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right)\left[\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right)\left(T_{3}, s_{3}^{+}, v_{3}^{+}\right)\right]= \\
& \left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right)\left[T_{2} T_{3}, s_{3}^{+} s_{2}^{+}, \nabla_{3}^{+} s_{3}^{+}\left(v_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{3}^{+}-1\right]= \\
& {\left[T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}, s_{3}^{+} s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, v_{3}^{+} s_{3}^{+}\left(v_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{3}^{+-1} s_{3}^{+} s_{2}^{+}\left(v_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+-1} s_{3}^{+-1}\right]=} \\
& {\left[T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}, s_{3}^{+} s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, v_{3}^{+} s_{3}^{+}\left(v_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+}\left(v_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+} T_{3}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+-1} s_{3}^{+}-1\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the set of three-tuples with the defined operation form a group.

Let $\lambda$ be the correspondence between the group of
three-tuples and the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$
as defined in the theorem. We will show $\lambda$ is a
homomorphism.
The correspondence $\lambda$ is onto, for given any automorphism $\mu=\mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{+}$, there exists a three -tuple, namely $\left(T, s^{+}, V^{+}\right)$such that $\left(T, s^{+}, V^{+}\right) \lambda=\mu$.
$\lambda$ is a multiplication preserving correspondence.
Let, $\left(T_{1}^{\circ} s_{1}^{+}, V_{1}^{+}\right) \lambda=\mu_{1} ; \quad\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, \nabla_{2}^{+}\right) \lambda=\mu_{2}$, AND then

$$
\left(T_{1} ; B_{1}, \nabla_{1}\right) \lambda\left(T_{2}, s_{2}, \nabla_{2}\right) \lambda=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}
$$

Consider, $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=T_{1}^{+} I_{s_{1}}+I_{v_{1}}+T_{2}^{+} I_{s_{2}}+I_{V_{2}}^{+}=$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\left\{\left[\mathrm{T}_{2}^{+-I_{s_{1}}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}_{1}}+\mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\right] \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}_{2}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}_{2}}^{+}\right\}
$$

We desire to change the form of that portion of the product which occurs within the braces, so let us observe its effect upon an element of the group.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \mathrm{vs} \text { ) }\left[\mathrm{T}_{2}^{+-1} \mathrm{I}_{\left.\mathrm{B}_{1}+\mathrm{I}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}_{2}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{V}_{2}^{+}}\right]=}\right. \\
& \mathrm{v}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+}\left[\mathrm{v}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{B}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{VT}_{2}^{+-1} \mathrm{Bs}_{1}^{+-1} \mathrm{v}_{1}^{+-1}\right] \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+-1} \mathrm{v}_{2}^{+-1}= \\
& \nabla_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+}\left(\nabla_{1}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\right) \mathrm{s}_{1}^{+}(\nabla \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{B}_{1}^{+-1}\left(\nabla_{1}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{+-1} \nabla_{2}^{+-1}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (vs) } I_{\nabla_{2}^{+}}^{+} \mathrm{B}_{2}^{+}\left(\nabla_{1}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\right) \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+-1} \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{1}^{+}=(\nabla \mathrm{s}) I_{\mathrm{s}_{2}^{+}}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{I}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathrm{V}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\right) \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$


and hence,

$$
\left(T_{1} T_{2}, \quad s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, \quad v_{2}^{+} s_{2}^{+}\left(v_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+-1}\right) \lambda=\mu_{1} \mu_{2}
$$

But, $\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right)\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right) \lambda=$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{1}^{+}, \mathrm{v}_{2}^{+} \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+}\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{T}_{2}^{+}\right) \mathrm{s}_{2}^{+-1} \quad \lambda \text {, hence }
$$

$$
\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right) \lambda\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right) \lambda=
$$

$$
\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, v_{1}^{+}\right)\left(T_{2}, s_{2}^{+}, v_{2}^{+}\right) \lambda .
$$

Therefore $\lambda$ is a homomorphism from the group of throetuples onto the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$.

We compute the kernel $K$ of $\lambda$. Let $\mu_{0} \varepsilon K$.

$$
(1,1) \mu_{0}=(1, i) T I_{s}^{+}+I_{v}+=(1,1)
$$

But $\mathrm{T}^{+}$acts as the identity automorphism on permutations, and therefore the equality reduces to,

$$
(1, i) I_{s}+I_{v}+=(1,1),
$$

which can exist for all if and only if $s^{+}$leaves all i fixed and therefore is the identity permutation. Then, ( $1, i) I_{v}^{+}=(1, i)$, for all $i$, if and only if $\nabla^{+}$ is a scalar [h].

Consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (h, e, \cdots) \mu_{0}=(h, 0, \cdots) T^{+} I_{B}+I_{v}+= \\
& \left(k \pi T k^{-1}, e, \cdots\right)=(h, e, \cdots)
\end{aligned}
$$

This equality can exist if and only if $T=I_{k}-1$.
Thus we have show that the kernel $K$ of $\lambda$,
is the set of three-tuples $\left(T, S^{+}, V^{+}\right)$, where $s^{+}$
is the identity permutation of $S\left(B, B^{+}\right), V^{+}$a scalar $k$ of $V\left(B, B^{+}\right), T$ the inner automorphism $I_{k-1}$ of $H$.

Corollary 1 Let $A$ denote the automorphism group of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$, $A_{s}$ those elements of $A$ which leave $S(B, d)$ fixed elementwise. Then,
(1) $A_{s}$ is a subgroup of $A$, such that any automorphism $\mu$ in $A_{s}$ has the form, $\mu=T^{+} I_{[h]},[h]$ a scalar of $V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$.
(2) The set of two-tuples ( $T, h$ ), $T$ an automorphism of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{h}$ an element of H , form a group with the operation, $\left(T_{1}, h_{1}\right)\left(T_{2}, h_{2}\right)=$ $\left(T_{1} T_{2}, h_{2}\left(h_{1} T_{2}\right)\right)$.
(3) The group of two-tuples are homorphic to $A_{s}$ under the homomorphism $\lambda$,

$$
\left.(\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{~h}) \lambda=\mu, \mu=\mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{[\mathrm{h}}\right]^{.}
$$

(4) The kernel $K$ of $\lambda$ is the set of two-tuples

$$
\left(I_{h-1}, h\right)
$$

Proof The assertions (1) through (4) are
immediate consequences of the theorem, since the set
of two-tuplas form a group isomorphic to a subgroup
of the group of three-tuples under the correspondence,
$(T, h) \longleftrightarrow\left(T, s_{0}^{+}[h]\right)$.
Theorem $20 \mu$ is an automorphism of $\geqq(H ; B, d, C)$, $\mathrm{d}<\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{B}^{+}$, if and only if there exists,
(1) $\mathrm{s}^{+} \varepsilon \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right)$,
(2) $\mathrm{v}^{+} \varepsilon V(B, d)$,
(3) T an automorphism of $H$,
such that, $(u) \mu=(u) T^{+} I_{s}+I_{v}{ }^{+}$, for all $u \varepsilon \sum(H ; B, d, C)$.

Proof We have seen in Theorem 16 that
$\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ is a characteristic subgroup of
$\sum(H ; B, d, C)$, hence if $\mu$ is an automorphism of
$\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ its restriction to $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ is an
automorphism of that group. We have in Theorem 18
determined all automorphisms of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, hence
we will be concerned with extending the automorphisms of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ to automorphisms of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$. As is evident from the statement of the theorem not all automorphisms of $\sum(H ; B, d$, d) may be extended to an automorphism of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$.

There is determined by $\mu$ an element $s^{+}$of $S\left(B, B^{+}\right)$such that,

$$
(s) \mu=\left(V^{\prime}\right)\left(B I_{s}+\right), \quad s \varepsilon s(B, d)
$$

If $s \in S(B, C)$ then,

$$
(d) \mu=V^{\prime} s^{\prime}, V^{\prime} \varepsilon V(B, d), s^{\prime} \varepsilon S(B, C) \text {. }
$$

According to Corollary 1 of Theorem 15 the orespondence $\lambda, 8 \lambda=B^{\prime}$, is an automorphism of $s(B, C)$. The automorphism induced on $S(B, d)$ by $\mu$ extends to $S(B, C)$ in one and only one way, by reason of Theorems 4 and 5 , hence $\lambda=I_{s}+$, and the elements $s^{+} 8 S\left(B, B^{+}\right)$ is the element whose existance was assented in (1) of the theorem.

$$
\text { Any element } s \in S(B, C) \text {, according to Theorem 3, }
$$

may be decomposed into the product of two elements $\mathrm{s}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2}$ such that the order of each $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ is two. Wo will therefore reduce our study of $s \mu$ to that of $s_{1} \mu$.

We then have,

$$
\left(s_{1}\right) \mu=v_{1}\left(s_{I} I_{s}+\right), \quad v_{1} \varepsilon V(B, d)
$$

and since $s_{1}$ has order two, $\left[V_{1}\left(s_{1} I_{s}+\right]^{2}=E\right.$.
We observe the factors of $v_{1}$ considering two cases.
Suppose $n$ is an index such that $x_{n}$ does not belong to the set of elements moved by $\mathbf{s}_{1} I_{s}{ }^{+}$, then it follows from the above equality that the $n$-th factor of $v_{1}$ has order two. On the other hand if $i$ is an index such that $x_{1}$ is moved by $\left(s_{1}\right) I_{s}+$ then there is an index $j$ such that $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ is a transposition of $\left(s_{1}\right) I_{s}+$. Then the above equality demands that the $1-t h$ and $j$-th factors of $v_{1}$ must be inverses of one another.

If $n$ is an index such that $x_{n}$ does not belong to the set of elements moved by $8_{1}$, we will show that $k_{n s}+b e l o n g s$ to the center of the group H. Let,

$$
\nabla=\left(\cdots, e, h_{n}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

and consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(s_{1} v s_{1}^{-1}\right) \mu=(v) \mu=\left(\cdots, e, h_{n} T_{n s}^{n}+e, \cdots\right) \\
& =\left(s_{1}\right) \mu(v)_{\mu}\left(s_{1}^{-1}\right) \mu=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{1}\left(s_{1} I_{s}+\right)\left(\cdots, e, h_{n} T_{n s}^{n}+e, \cdots\right)\left(s_{1}^{-1}\right) I_{s}+\left(v_{1}^{-1}\right)= \\
\left(\cdots, e, k_{n s} \cdot h_{n} T_{n s}^{n}+k_{n s}^{-1}, e ; \cdots\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This equality of multiplications demands the following equality of factors,

$$
\dot{\mathrm{hr}}_{\mathrm{ns}}^{\mathrm{n}}+=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ns}}+\mathrm{hr}_{\mathrm{ns}}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ns}}^{-1}
$$

Since $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ns}}^{\mathrm{n}}$ is an automorphism of the group H , it follows that $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ns}}+$ belongs to the center of H . That is all factors of $v_{1}$ belong to the center of $H$ oxcopt possibly those factors $j$ such that $x_{j s}+$ belongs to the set of elements moved by $\left(s_{1}\right) I_{s}+$

We next show that each of these factors which
belong to the center of $H$ is moreover the identity element of H. Lat,

$$
s_{1}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \cdots
$$

and define an element $s_{t} \varepsilon S(B, C)$ as follows,

$$
s_{t}=\left(x_{1}, x_{t_{2}}\right)\left(x_{3}, x_{t_{4}}\right) \cdots
$$

where the $x_{t_{i}}$ do not belong to the set of elements moved by $s_{1}$, and hence $s_{t}$ has order two. The existence of such an element $s_{t}$ is insured since we have required that $c<B^{+}$, and hence $s_{1}$ must move fewer
than $B$ elements. Since $s_{t}{ }^{s}$ has order three, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(s_{1} s_{t}\right) \mu\right]^{3}=E,} \\
& \left(s_{1}\right) \mu=v_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) I_{s}+, \quad v_{1}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots\right), \\
& \left(s_{t}\right) \mu=\nabla_{t}\left(s_{t}\right) I_{s}+, \quad v_{t}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By direct calculation of the above equality we discover that we have in the $1 s^{+}$position the factor,

$$
h_{1 s}+k_{2 s}+h_{2 s}+k_{1 s}+h_{t_{2}}+k_{t_{2}} s=0
$$

But $x_{2}$ does not belong to the sot of elements moved by $s_{t}$ and $x_{t_{2}}$ does not belong to the sit of elements moved by $s_{1}$, hence $k_{2 s}+$ and $h_{t_{2}}{ }^{+}$belong to tho confer of H , and since, $\mathrm{h}_{1 \mathrm{~s}} \mathrm{~h}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{k}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}_{2}} \mathrm{~s}^{+m} 0$, the factor reduces to $\mathrm{k}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~s}}+=0$. Then $\mathrm{k}_{2 \mathrm{~s}}+=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{t}_{2} \mathrm{~s}}+$ since since each of the elements has order two.

$$
\text { Consider a third permutation of } S(B, C) \text {, }
$$

$$
s_{w}=\left(x_{1}, x_{w_{2}}\right)\left(x_{3}, x_{w_{4}}\right) \cdots
$$

where the $x_{w_{i}}$ do not belong to the set of elements moved by $s_{1}$ or $s_{t}$.

$$
\left(s_{w}\right) \mu=v_{w}\left(s_{w}\right) I_{s}+, \quad v_{w}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, \cdots\right)
$$

Then calculations similar to those just performed with
the elements $s_{t}$ and $s_{w}$ yield,

$$
K_{W_{1}} g^{+}=f_{t_{i}} s^{+}, i=2,4,6, \ldots
$$

but, $\quad h_{W_{i}} s^{+}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{W}_{1}} \mathrm{~s}^{+}$, and hence,

$$
h_{w_{1}} s^{+}=I_{t_{1}} s^{+\quad} h_{t_{1}} s^{+}
$$

Therefore all factors of $v_{1}$ are equal except possibly those factors $h_{j}$ such that $x_{j}\left(s_{I}\right) I_{s}+\neq x_{j}$. But $\nabla_{1} \varepsilon V(B, d)$, and hence all factors of $v_{1}$ are o except possibly the factors $h_{j}, j$ an index such that $x_{j}\left(s_{1}\right) I_{s}+\neq x_{j}$.

We have then the following information reagarding $\nabla_{1}, s_{1} \mu=\nabla_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) I_{B}+\quad \operatorname{If}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ is a transposition of $s_{1}$ then $h_{i s}+h_{j s}+=0$. If $x_{m}$ does not belong to the set of elements moved by $s_{1}$ then $h_{m s}+i s$ the identity. Let us consider $\left(s_{1}\right) \mu\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \mu$, where $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ is a transposition of $x_{1}$ : Since $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ is an element of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$, a characteristic subgroup of $\geq(H ; B, d, C)$, we have, $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \mu=$

$$
\left(\cdots, \theta, h_{18}+, 0, \cdots, e, h_{j 8}^{+}, e, \cdots\right)\left(x_{1}, x_{j}{ }^{+}\right),
$$

$=v_{1}^{\prime}\left(s_{1}\right) I_{s}+$ where $s_{1}=s\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$.

Since ( $x_{i},{ }^{\prime} x_{j}$ ) is not a transposition of $s_{i}$ the is ${ }^{+}-$th and $j s^{+}$-th factors of $v i$ are $e$, but the $i^{+}{ }^{+}$-th factor of $v_{1}^{\prime}$ is the product of the is ${ }^{+}$-th factor of $v_{1}$ and the $\mathrm{js}^{+}$-th factor of the multiplication component of $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \mu$. Hence the is ${ }^{+}$and $0_{0}^{+}$factors of $V_{1}$ are identical with the factors in the corvesponding positions of the multiplication component of $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \mu$. The multiplication component of $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \mu$ was formed by conjugating $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) I_{s}+$ with an element $v_{1}^{+} \varepsilon V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$. It is evident that the is ${ }^{+}$and $j s^{+}$factors of $v_{1}$ can be formed in the same manner.

In the event that $s_{1}$ moves an infinite number of elements, it is not possible that all $h_{j s}+$ be different from the identity, yet we have seen that all $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{js}}+$ are formed by conjugation by the element Ii determined by the restricition of $\mu$ to $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$. If $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ be a transposition of $s_{1}$,
and if the is ${ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{js}^{+}$factors of $\mathbf{v}_{1}^{+}$are distinct, then the is ${ }^{+}$and $j s^{+}$factors of $\nabla_{1}$ will be distinct. We must then restrict $v_{I}^{+}$in such a manner that this situation can happen only a finite number of times. Hence we must require that no two factors of $\nabla_{1}^{+}$be repeated infinitely often, and there must not occur in ${ }^{+}{ }_{2}$ an infinite number of distinct factors. Under these restrictions $\nabla_{1}$ will always be an element of $V(B, d)$.
$\mathrm{v}_{1}^{+}$so restricted may then be written as a product $\mathrm{V}^{+}[k]$, where $\mathrm{V}^{+} \varepsilon V(B, d)$, and $[k] \varepsilon V\left(B, B^{+}\right)$ $k$ being that factor of $\mathbf{v}_{I}^{+}$which was repeated infinitely often. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\mathrm{T}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}}+=\mathrm{T}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}}^{+}[\mathrm{k}]= \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{T}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{[\mathrm{k}}\right]_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{T}_{1}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{[\mathrm{k}}\right]_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}}+=\mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{v}}+,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is generated by the automorphism $\mathrm{TI}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of H . Conversely given an element $s^{+} \varepsilon S\left(B, B^{+}\right)$,
$\mathrm{V}^{+} \varepsilon \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right)$, and T an automorphism of H , then $I_{s}{ }^{+}, I_{V^{+}}$, and $T^{+}$are automorphisms of $\sum\left(H ; B, B^{+}, B^{+}\right)$. Hence the groups $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ and $\sum(H ; B, d, C) T^{+} I_{s}+I_{r}+$
are isomorphic. But each of the automorphisms $\mathrm{T}^{+}$,
$I_{s}+$, and $I_{v}+$ of $\sum\left(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{B}^{+}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right)$takes elements of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ Into elements of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$. Hence the restriction of the automorphism $\mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{I}_{s}+I_{V}+0 f$ $\sum\left(H ; B, B, B^{+}\right)$to $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$ is an automorphism of the latter group. This is the automorphism $\mu$, and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary $1 \mu$ is an inner automorphism of
$\geq(H ; B, d, C), d<C<B^{+}$, if and only if $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is
generated by the identity automorphism of $H$, and $s^{+}$is an element of $S(B, C)$.

Proof If $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is generated by the identity automorphism of $H$, and $B^{+} \varepsilon, S(B, C)$, then,

$$
\mu=T^{+} I_{s}+I_{\nabla}+=I_{V}{ }_{s}{ }^{+}, V^{+}{ }^{+} \varepsilon \sum(H ; B, d, C),
$$

and hence $\mu$ is an inner automorphism.
Conversely suppose $\mu$ is inner,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=I_{u}, u \varepsilon \geq(H ; B, d, C), \text { then } \\
& \mu=I_{u}=T^{+} I_{s}+I_{v}+, \text { and } T^{+} I_{s}+=I_{u} I_{v}+-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $(s) I_{s}+=(s) I_{u} I_{v}$ for all $\varepsilon \in S(B, C)$, therefore ${ }^{+} \in S(B, C)$. Then finally $\left.T^{+}=I_{u} I^{\left(r^{+}\right.}{ }^{+}\right)^{-1}$ is an inner automorphism. Since $\mathrm{I}^{+}$leaves $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ )
fixed elementwise, $T^{+}=I_{[h]}$, but $[0]$ is the only scalar of $\overline{\geq}(H ; B, d, G)$, hence $T^{+}$is generated by the identity automorphism.

Theorem 21 The group of three-tuples
( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{s}^{+}, \mathrm{V}^{+}$), T an automorphism of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}^{+} \varepsilon \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{B}^{+}\right.$), $\nabla^{+} \varepsilon \nabla(B, d)$, with the operation,
$\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, V_{1}^{+}\right)\left(T_{1}, s_{1}^{+}, V_{1}^{+}\right)=$
$\left(T_{1} T_{2}, s_{2}^{+} s_{1}^{+}, \nabla_{2}^{+} s_{2}^{+}\left(\nabla_{1}^{+} T_{2}^{+}\right) s_{2}^{+-1}\right)$,
Is L isomorphic to the automorphism group of
$\geq\left(H_{3} B, d, C\right), d<C<B^{+}$.
Proof The set of three-tuples form a subgroup of the set of three-tuples of Theorem 19, and hence the mapping defined there is a homomorphic mapping of the set of three-tuples named above onto the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, C)$. Call this restriction of the homomorphism $\lambda$ of Theorem 19, $\lambda^{\prime}$. Then the kernel K' of $\lambda^{\prime}$ is contained in the kernel $K$ of $\lambda$. But the only scalar contained in $V(B, d)$ is the identity muleplication, hence $K^{\prime}$ has order one, and $\lambda 1$ lis the desired isomorphism.

## AUTOMORPHISMS OF THE

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ALTERNATING MONOMIAL GROUP } \\
& \text { Suppose } \mu \text { ' is an automorphism of } \geq_{A, n} \text { (H). }
\end{aligned}
$$

According to Theorem $10, \nabla_{n}$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$, and hence,

$$
\sum_{A, n}(H)=\nabla_{n} \cup A_{n^{\prime}} \mu^{\prime}, \text { and } \nabla_{n} \cap A_{n^{\prime}} \mu^{\prime}=E
$$

Then $A_{n} \mu^{\prime}$ is conjugate to a group $T_{0}$ which is ismorphic to $A_{n}$ under an isomorphism $\phi$ as determined in Theorem 17.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n} \mu^{\prime}=u^{-I_{r}} u, u \varepsilon \sum_{A_{j} n}(H), \text { and we may write, } \\
& A_{n^{\prime}} \mu^{\prime} I_{u}=T_{0}, A_{n} \phi=T_{0}, \text { and } A_{n} \mu^{\prime} I_{u} \phi^{-1}=A_{n} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mu^{\prime} I_{u} \phi^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $A_{n}$ ' and by reason of Theorems 4 and 6 there exists an $s^{+} s_{n}$ such that $I_{s}+\mu^{\prime} I_{u} \phi^{-1}$ on $A_{n}$ Then $\phi=I_{s}+-\mu^{\prime} I_{u}$, and wo have extended $\phi$ to an automorphism $\mu$ of the group
$\Sigma_{A, n}(H), \quad \mu=I_{s}+-1 \mu I I_{u}$, and $\mu=\phi$ on $A_{n}$.
Then we may write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1,3,2) \mu=\left(0,0,0, g_{4}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)(1,3,2) \\
& (1,1,2) \mu=\left(0, g_{1}, g_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2} g_{4}, \cdots,\right. \\
& \left.g_{i}^{2} g_{1-1}, g_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2} g_{1+1}, \cdots, g_{1}^{2} g_{n}\right)(1,1,2),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
i=4, \cdots, n \text {, where the } g_{i} \text { are as defined }
$$

in Theorem 17.

$$
\text { Consider generating elements }(1,1,2) \text { of } A_{n}
$$

and ( $\cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots$ ) of $V_{n}$. Then since $\mu$
is an automorphism of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$,

$$
(s) \mu(v) \mu\left(s^{-1}\right) \mu=\left(s v s^{-1}\right) \mu, \text { for all s } \varepsilon A_{n}
$$

and all $v \in V_{n}$, and in particular for the generating elements named above. The form of an endomorphism of $V_{n}$ was determined in Theorem 1 as a function of $n^{2}$ endomorphisms of H. The above equality will serve to restrict these endomorphisms of H in such a manner as to have an automorphism of $\nabla_{n}$ which extends to an automorphism of $\Sigma_{A, n}$ (H). It will be necessary to consider a number of cases. In each case the above equality has been calculated for the generating elements, but such calculations have not been recorded, only the resulting restrictions of the endomorphisms $T_{j}^{i}$ of $H$.

Case 1 $j \neq 1,2,3$ and $i=3$
(1) $h T_{1}^{j}=h T_{2}^{j}=h T_{3}^{j}$
(2) $g_{i} h T_{i}^{j} g_{i}=h T_{i}^{j}, i=4, \cdots, n$.

Case $2, j=1$ and $i=3$
(1) $\mathrm{hT}_{3}^{1}=\mathrm{hr}_{1}^{2}$
(2) $h T_{1}^{1}=h T_{2}^{2}$
(3) $\mathrm{hr}_{2}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{hT} \mathrm{T}_{3}^{2}$
(4) $g_{m} h T_{m}^{1} g_{m}^{-1}=h T_{m}^{2}, m=4, \cdots, n$.

Case $3 \mathrm{j}=2$ and $1=3$
(1) $\mathrm{hT}_{3}^{2}-\mathrm{hT}_{1}^{3}$
(2) $\mathrm{hr}_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{hT}_{2}^{3}$
(3) $h T_{2}^{2}=h T_{3}^{3}$
(4) $g_{m} h T_{m}^{2} g_{m}^{-1}=n T_{m}^{3}, m=4, \cdots, n$.

Case $4 \mathrm{j}=3$ and $1=3$
(1) $g_{m} h T_{m}^{3} g_{m}^{-1}=h T_{m}^{1}, m=4, \cdots, n$.

Case $51 \neq 3$ and $j \neq 1,2,2$.
(1) $h T_{i}^{j}=n T_{1}^{j}$
(2) $g_{i} h T_{1}^{j} g_{i}^{-1}=h T_{2}^{j}$
(3) $\quad g_{i}^{2} h T_{2}^{j} g_{i}^{-1^{2}}=h T_{i}^{j}$
(4) $g_{1}^{2} h \mathrm{Cu}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}^{-1^{2}}=\mathrm{hT} \mathrm{T}_{3}^{\mathrm{j}}$
(5) $g_{i}^{2} g_{m} h^{j} g_{m}^{-1} g_{i}^{2-1}=h T_{m}^{j}, m=4, \cdots, n, m \neq 1$ 。

Case 6 i $\neq 3$ and $i=j$
(1) ${h T_{i}^{i}}_{i}=h T_{1}^{1}$
(2) $g_{i} h r i_{1}^{1} g_{i}^{-1}=h r_{2}^{1}$
(3) $\varepsilon_{i}^{2} h r_{2}^{i} g_{i}^{2^{-1}}=h T_{i}^{1}$
(4) $g_{i}^{2} h T_{3}^{i} g_{i}^{2^{-1}}=h T_{3}^{1}$
(5) $\quad g_{i}^{2} g_{m} h_{m}^{i} g_{m}^{-1} g_{i}^{2^{-1}}=h T_{m}^{1}, m=4, \cdots, n, m \neq i$.

Case 7 i $\neq 3$ and $j=1$
(I) $\mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{i}}^{1}=\mathrm{hT}_{1}^{2}$
(2) $g_{i} h T_{i}^{1} g_{i}^{-1}=h T_{2}^{2}$
(3) $g_{i}^{2} h T_{2}^{1} g_{i}^{2^{-1}}=h T_{i}^{2}$
(4) $g_{i}^{2} h T_{3}^{1} g_{i}^{2^{-1}}=h T_{3}^{2}$
(5) $g_{i}^{2} g_{m} \mathrm{hT}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}}^{-1} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-1}=\mathrm{h} T_{m}^{2}, m=4, \cdots, n, m \neq 1$.

Case 8 if 3 and $j=2$
(I) $\mathrm{hT}_{i}^{2}=\mathrm{hT}_{I}^{i}$
(2) $g_{i} h T_{1}^{2} g_{i}^{-l}=h T_{2}^{i}$
(3) $g_{i}^{2} \mathrm{hT}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{i}^{2^{-1}}=\mathrm{hT}{ }_{i}^{i}$
(4) $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \mathrm{hT}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2^{-1}}=\mathrm{hT} \mathrm{T}_{3}^{1}$
(5) $g_{i}^{2} g_{m} h T_{m}^{2} g_{m}^{-1} g_{m}^{2^{-1}}=h T_{m}^{i}, m=4, \cdots, n, m \neq 1$.

Theorem 22 If $n>6$ and $H$ contains no subgroup
isomorphic to $A_{n-1}$, then any automorphism of $\sum_{A, n}$ (H) differs from an automorphism $\mu$ of $\Sigma_{A, n}(H)$ by an automorphism $I_{u}+, u^{+} \varepsilon \sum_{n}(H)$, where $\mu$ is constructed in the following manner,

$$
(s) \mu=s, \text { for all } s \in A_{n},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \mu= \\
& \left\{\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)[p K]\right\} T^{+}, p=\prod_{i=1}^{n} h_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is generated by an automorphism of H , and $K$ is an endomorphism of $H$ mapping $H$ upon a subgroup of its center in such a manner that $1+n K$ is a central automorphism of H .

Proof For $n>6$ the only homomorphic image of $A_{n-1}$ in the group $H$ is the identity subgroup, since we have required that $H$ contain no subgroup isomorphic to $A_{n-1}$. That is in the preceding calculations, $g_{i}=0,1=4, \cdots$, n. From these calculations we pick the following restrictions on the endomorphisms $T_{j}^{1}$.
(i) $\mathrm{hr}_{1}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{hr}_{1}^{1}$
(ii) $\mathrm{hr}_{1}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{hr}_{i}^{\mathrm{i}}$
(iii) $h T_{i}^{j}=h T_{1}^{j}, i \neq j, j \neq 1$
(iv) $h T_{1}^{2}=h T_{I}^{i}, i \geq 2$
(i) follows from 3 case 6, 3 case 5, 1 case 5
for $1 \neq 2$, 3. Then from 1 case 7, 1 case 2, and from 1 case 8,3 case 7, 3 case 2, 1 case 3 , combined

With the equality just established we have (i), and moreover have shown that $h T_{1}^{2}=h T_{1}^{3}$.
(ii) follows from 1 case 6, 2 case 2, and 3 case 3.
(iii) follows from 1 case 5, and 1 case 1 for $j \neq 2$, and for $j=2$, from 1 case 7, 3 case 6 , 1 case 1, and 1 case 8,1 case 2 , (i) and 1 case 3 .

Finally (iv) foilows from (i), 1 case 7, and 1 case 2.

If we set, $T_{1}^{I}=T^{1}$, and $T_{1}^{2}=\bar{T}$
we may write by reason of Theorem 1, and (i) through (iv) above,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, e, \cdots\right) \mu= \\
& \left(h_{j} \overline{j^{1}}, \cdots, h_{j} \bar{T}, h_{j} T^{\prime}, h_{j} \bar{T}, \cdots, h_{j} \bar{T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h_{j}{ }^{T}$ ' is the $j-t h$ factor of the image multiplication.

The permutability conditions of the endomorphisms of $H$ now become, $h T^{\prime} k \bar{T}=k \overline{T h T}$.

Since the elements ( $h, e, \cdots$ ) ind (e,k,e, ...) commute, we have $h \bar{T} k \bar{T}=k \bar{T} h \bar{T}$, for all $h \in H, k \in H$. That is $H \bar{T}$ is an abelian sub-
group, and is moreover contained in the center of $H$, since $H=H T \cdot \cup H \bar{T}$.

$$
\text { Let }\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \varepsilon V_{n} \text {, then since }
$$

$\mu$ is an automorphism of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$, the following set of equations must have a unique set of solutions
$h_{i} \varepsilon H, i=1, \cdots, n$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{1} T h_{2} \bar{T} h_{3} \bar{T} \cdots h_{n} \bar{T}=k_{1} \\
h_{1} \bar{T} h_{2} T h^{T} \cdots \cdot h_{n} \bar{T}=k_{2} \\
: \\
h_{1} \bar{T} h_{2} \bar{T} h_{3} \bar{T} \cdots h_{n} T=k_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If we agree to let $T$ be the correspondence

$$
h T=h T^{\prime} h^{-1} \bar{T},
$$

we may rewrite the above set of equations in the following simplified form, with the aid of the permutatibility conditions,

$$
h_{1} T p \bar{T}=k_{1}, h_{2} T p \bar{T}=k_{2}, \cdots, h_{n} T p \bar{T}=k_{n},
$$

where $p={ }_{i} \prod_{1} h_{i}$. If we further alter the equations by employing the relations,

$$
h_{m}=y_{m} h_{1}, \quad k_{m}=x_{m} k_{1}, m=2, \cdots, n,
$$

and multiply the first equation by the equation resulting from taking the inverse of both sides of
the m-th equai̇on we obtain,

$$
y_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{~m}=2, \cdots, \mathrm{n}_{0}
$$

Since these conditions must be satisfied by some $y_{i}$ for every set of elements $x_{i} \varepsilon H$, it follows that $T$ must be a correspondence of H onto itself. The correspondence $T$ is moreover an automorphism of $H$. That $T$ is multiplication preserving follows from the fact that $H \bar{T}$ is containod in the center of $H$. $T$ is onto, and has kernel e. Suppose the kernel is different from e, then there exists an element $h \varepsilon H$, $h \neq e$, such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h T^{\prime}=h T^{\prime} h^{-l} \bar{T}=\theta \text {, hence, } h T T^{t}=h \bar{T}, \text { and } \\
& (e, h, \theta, \cdots) \mu=[h T]=(h, \theta, \cdots) \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\mu$ is an automorphism of $V_{n}$, and hence we have reached a contridiction. This shows that $T$ is an automorphism of the group H .

Then by reason of Theorem 1 and the permutatibility conditions we may write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \mu= \\
& \left(h_{1} T p \bar{T}, h_{2} T p \bar{T}, h_{3} T p \bar{T}, \cdots, h_{n} T p \bar{T}\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \Gamma^{+}[\overline{P T}]
$$

Define correspondences $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of $V_{n}$ as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \alpha= \\
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)[p K], \text { where } K=\overline{T T^{-1}}, \\
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \beta= \\
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) T^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(v) \mu=(v) \alpha \beta$, for all $v \varepsilon V_{n}$. But $\beta$ is an automorphism of $V_{n}$ and hence $\alpha$ must be an automorphism of $\nabla_{n}$. That is the set of equations,

$$
h_{i}(p K)=k_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n,
$$

where the $k_{i}$ are an arbitrary given set of elements of $H$, must have unique solutions $h_{1}$ in $H$. Since the center of any group is a characteristic subgroup, $K=\bar{T} T^{-1}$ maps $H$ onto a subgroup of its center.

If as before we set,

$$
h_{m}=y_{m} h_{1}, \quad k_{m}=x_{m} k_{1}, m=2, \cdots, n,
$$

we see from the former equality that $y_{m}=x_{m}$, and moreover the set of equations reduce to a single equation, $h\left(h^{n}\right) K=h(1+n K)$ be a defining relation for ( $1+n K$ ), the single equation of consideration
being $h\left(h^{n}\right) K\left(\frac{x}{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n}\right) K=k$.

We see that the correspondence $1+n K$ must ba onto the group $H$, since this equation must be satisfied for all kef H. ( $\quad$ + nK ) is a multiplication preserving corespondence since x maps H onto a subgroup of its center. Thus $I+n K$ is a homomorphic mapping, with kernel e. To establish this we suppose that there exists an $h \varepsilon H, h \neq e$, such that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(I+n K)=h\left(h^{n}\right) K=e, \text { then } \\
& {[h] \alpha=\left[h\left(h^{n}\right) K\right]=[e] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

But $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $\nabla_{n}$ and hence this cannot be, and therefore $1+n K$ has kernel e and is an automorphism of the group H. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n}\right) \mu= \\
& \left\{\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)[p K]\right\} T^{+},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T$ and $1+n K$ are automorphisms of $H, 1+n K$ being a central automorphism of H .

In the beginning we started with an arbitrary
automorphism $\mu^{\prime}$ of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$, but multiplied $\mu^{\prime}$ by another automorphism of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ to form another automorphism $\mu$ of $\sum_{\AA, n}(H)$, which took generating
three cycles of $A_{n}$ onto the product of an element of $\nabla_{n}$ and the initial three cycle. The further requirement that $n>6$ caused the multiplication component of the image element to be E. Then $(s) \mu=s$, for all
$s \varepsilon A_{n}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. Theorem 23 Given an automorphism $T$ of $H$, an endomorphism $K$ of $H$, such that $K$ maps $H$ onto a subgroup of its center and (1 + inK) is a (central) automorphism of $H$, the the correspondence $\mu$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{s})_{\mu}=\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~s} \varepsilon \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}, \\
& (\mathrm{v})_{\mu}=\{\mathrm{v}[\mathrm{pK}]\} \mathrm{T}^{+}, \mathrm{v} \in \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p$ is the product of the factors of $\nabla$, is an automorphism of $\sum_{A, n}(H)$.

Proof $\nabla_{n}$ and $\nabla_{n} \mu$ are isomorphic, under the correspondence $\mu$. Let,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(v_{1}\right) \mu=\left\{v_{1}\left[\mathrm{p}_{1} K\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}, \quad\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right) \mu=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{2}\left[\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~K}\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+} \text {, then } \\
& \left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right) \mu\left(\mathrm{v}_{2}\right) \mu=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}\left[\mathrm{p}_{1} K\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}\left\{\mathrm{v}_{2}\left[\mathrm{p}_{2} K\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}= \\
& \left\{\mathrm{v}_{1}\left[\mathrm{p}_{1} K\right] \mathrm{v}_{2}\left[\mathrm{p}_{2} K\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}=\left\{\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2}\left[\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{~K}\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{2}\right) \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mu$ preserves multiplication, and $\mu$ is clearly onto. The homomorphism $\mu$ has kernel e, which will be established by denying this statement. Then there


$$
(v)_{\mu}=\{v[\mathrm{pH}]\} \mathrm{T}^{+}=\mathrm{E}_{0}
$$

Since $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is an automorphism of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}, V[\mathrm{pK}]=E$,
and hence $v$ must be a scalar $h$, and

$$
h\left(h^{n}\right) K=h(1+n K)=e
$$

but $(2+n K)$ is an automorphism of $H$ and hance $h=e$, and $v \neq E$, is a contradiction.

$$
\text { Let } G=\left(V_{n}\right) \mu U_{A_{n}} \cdot\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \mu \text { is a normal subgroup }
$$

of $G$, and $\left(V_{n}\right) j_{i} \cap \dot{A}_{n}=F_{\text {. }}$. Then according to Theorem 7, $G$ and $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ are isomorphic if and only if,

$$
(s) \mu(v) \mu\left(s^{-1}\right) \mu=\left(s v s^{-1}\right) \mu, \text { for all s } \varepsilon_{A_{n}} \text {, }
$$

and all $v \varepsilon V_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathrm{s}) \mu(\mathrm{v}) \mu\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \mu=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{v}) \mu \mathrm{s}^{-1}=\mathrm{s}\{\mathrm{v}[\mathrm{pK}]\} \mathrm{T}^{+} \mathrm{s}^{-1}= \\
& =\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{VT}^{+}\right)[\mathrm{pKT}] \mathrm{s}^{-1}=\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{vT}^{+}\right) \mathrm{s}^{-1}[\mathrm{pKT}] \\
& \left(\mathrm{sVs}{ }^{-1}\right) \mu=\left\{\left(\mathrm{sVs} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)\left[\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{K}\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}, \text {where } \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \text { is the }
\end{aligned}
$$

product of the factors of $\left(\operatorname{svs}^{-1}\right)$. But since $K$ maps
$H$ onto a subgroup of its center $p K=p^{\prime} K$, and

$$
\left\{\left(\mathrm{svs}^{-1}\right)\left[\mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{K}\right]\right\} \mathrm{T}^{+}=\mathrm{s}\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{ri}^{+}}\right) \mathrm{s}^{-1}[\mathrm{pKT}]
$$

Therefore $G$ and $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ are isomorphic u.ider $\mu$.

But $G=\sum_{A, n}(H)$. It is clear that $\sum_{A, n}(H)$ contains $G$. To show the inclusion in the reverse sense we need only show that if $\vee \varepsilon \sum_{A, n}(H)$ then $v \in G_{0}$ Let $v=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)$, then we ask if thore exists an element,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{\prime}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \cdots, k_{n}\right) \varepsilon \nabla_{n} \text {, such that } \\
& \left(v^{\prime}\right) \mu=\left\{v^{\prime}\left[p^{\prime} k\right]\right\} T^{+}=v, \text { that is does the set }
\end{aligned}
$$

of equations,

$$
\left\{k_{i}\left(p^{\prime} K\right)\right\} T=h_{1}, i=1, \cdots, n_{2}
$$

have solutions $k_{i} \varepsilon H$. The construction employed in the previous theorem when viewed in reverse order shows that if we set,

$$
h_{m}=x_{m} h_{1}, m=2, \cdots, n,
$$

and define $y_{m}, m=2, \cdots, n$, to be $y_{m}=x_{m} T^{-1}$, the set of equations are seen to have solutions,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=\left\{h_{1} T^{-1}\left(\frac{n_{1}{ }_{2} y_{1}}{}\right)^{-1} K\right\}(1+n K)^{-1} \\
& k_{m}=y_{m} k_{1}, m=2, \cdots, n .
\end{aligned}
$$

We demonstrate that the $k_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n$, are factors of a multiplication $\nabla^{\prime}$ such that $\left(v^{\prime}\right) \mu=v$, by showing
that they satisfy the above set of equations. We show.
that the first equation is satisfied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}\left(k_{1}^{n}\right) K\left({ }_{i} \underline{\mu}_{2}^{y_{i}}\right) K=k_{1} T^{-1}, \\
& k_{1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) K=h_{1} T^{-1},\left[k_{1}\left(p^{\prime}\right) K\right] T=h_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now show that the meth equation is satisfied.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{m}=\left(y_{m}\right)\left[\left\{h_{1} T^{-1}\left({ }_{i} \underline{\underline{I}}_{2} y_{i}\right)^{-1} K\right\}(1+n K)^{-1}\right], \\
& k_{m}\left(k_{m}^{n}\right) K=y_{m}\left(y_{m}^{n}\right) K h_{i} T^{-1}\left({ }_{i} \tilde{\Pi}_{2} y_{i}\right)^{-1} K, \\
& k_{m}\left(k_{m}^{n}\right) K\left({ }_{i} \underline{\underline{H}}_{2} y_{i}\right) K\left(y_{m}^{n}\right)^{-1} K=y_{m}\left(h_{1}\right) T^{-1}=\left(x_{m} h_{1}\right) T^{-1} \text {, } \\
& k_{m}\left(k_{1}^{n}\right) K\left({ }_{i=2}^{n} \mathbb{N}_{2}{ }^{n}\right) K=\left(x_{m} h_{1}\right) T^{-1}=h_{m} T^{-1} \text {, } \\
& k_{m}\left(p^{\prime}\right) K=h_{m} T^{-1},\left[k_{m}\left(p^{\prime}\right) K\right] T=h_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$G=\Sigma_{A, n}(H)$, and hence $\mu$ is an automorphism of
$\sum_{A, n}(H)$.
Theorem 24 The automorphism group of $\sum_{A}(H, B, d)$ is isomorphic to the automorphism group of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$.

Proof Let $\mu$ be an automorphism of $\Sigma_{A}(H ; B, d)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=(1, i)(m, n) \varepsilon A(B) \\
& v=\left(\cdots, e, h_{j}, \theta, \cdots\right) \varepsilon \nabla(B, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 6,

$$
(s) \mu=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, \cdots, k_{n}\right)(1, i)(m, n) I_{8}+
$$

$s^{+} \varepsilon S\left(B, B^{+}\right)$, and only finitely many of the k's ard different from the identity. From Theorem 2 we have,

$$
(v) \mu=\left(h_{j} T_{i}^{j}, h_{j} T_{2}^{j}, h_{j} T_{3}^{j}, \cdots\right)
$$

$T_{j}^{i}$ endomorphisms of $H$. If we then compute the equality

$$
(\mathrm{s}) \mu(\mathrm{v}) \mu\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right) \mu=\left(\mathrm{sva}^{-1}\right) \mu
$$

the restrictions placed on the endomorphisms $T_{j}^{1}$ are such that the images of multiplications under and automorphism of $\sum_{A}(H ; B, d)$ are determined in the same manner as under an automorphism of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$. We may determine the image of permutations by reproducing the calculations of Theorem 20, for the images of permutations there were determined irrespective of their being and even or an odd permutation. Thus all automorphisms of $\sum_{A}(H ; B, d)$ are restrictions of automorphisms of $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$.

## COMMUTATOR SUBGROUPS

OF THE MONOMIAL GROUPS

We will use G' to denote the commutator subgroup of the group $G$.

Theorem 25 The commutator subgroup $V^{\prime}(B, C)$,
$d \leq C \leq B^{+}$, of $V(B, C)$ is the set of all elements

$$
v^{\prime}=\left(h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime}, h_{3}^{\prime}, \cdots\right), h_{1} \varepsilon H^{\prime},
$$

where there exists an integer $N$ such that each $h!$
is the product of $N$ or fewer commutators of $H$.
Proof Suppose $v \in V^{\prime}(B, C)$ and $v$ is a commutator, then there exists a $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$ of $V(B, C)$ such that $v=v_{1} V_{2} \nabla_{1}^{-1} v_{2}^{-1}$. It then follows that every factor of $v$ must be a commutator of $H$ and hence an element of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$.

If $v \varepsilon V^{\prime}(B, C)$ but is not a commutator, it is
a product of a finite number of commutators, $v=$
$=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \cdots \nabla_{N}$. Since each $\nabla_{i}, i=1, \cdots, N$, is a commutator of $\nabla\left(B_{y} C\right)$, each factor of $y_{i}$; $i=1$,
, $N$, is a commutator of the group H. Therefore
every factor of $v$ is the product of $N$ or fewer commutators of $H$, and is then an element of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$.

Conversely if $\vee \varepsilon \forall(B, C)$ and has the form

$$
v=\left(h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime}, h_{3}^{\prime}, \cdots\right), h_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon H^{\prime} \text {, and }
$$

there exists an integer $N$ such that each $h_{i}$
is the product of $N$ or fewer commutators of $H$, we see that $v$ can be decomposed into a product of $N$ or fewer commutators from $V(B, C)$. It then follows that $v \in V^{\prime}(B, C)$.

Theorem 26 The commutator subgroup $S^{\prime}(B, C)$, $d<C \leq B^{+}$, of $S(B, C)$ is $S(B, C)$. The commutator subgroup $S^{\prime}(B, d)$ of $S(B, d)$ is $A(B, d)$.

The proof is contained in [5].
Theorem 27 The commutator subgroup
$\geq \prime(H ; B, d, d)$ of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ is $A(B, d) \cup V^{+}(B, d)$ where $\mathrm{V}^{+}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d})$ is the set of all elements of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d})$ whose product of factors is a member of $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$. Proof, By reason of Theorem 26 we have;

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d) \supset A(B, d),
$$

and we now show that,

$$
\Sigma^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d) \supset \nabla^{+}(B, d) .
$$

Suppose $\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots\right) \varepsilon V^{+}(B, d)$, and let $i_{j}$, $j=1, \cdots, n, d e n o t e$ the subscripts of its nonidentity factors. Then consider the elements,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=\left(\cdots, e, h_{i_{j}}, e, \cdots\right) \\
& s=\left(x_{i_{j}}, x_{k}\right), \text { then } \\
& v s^{-1} v^{-1}=\left(\cdots, e, h_{i}, e, \cdots, e,\right. \\
& \left.h_{i}^{-1}, e, \cdots\right) \text { belongs to } \sum_{1}(H ; B, d, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover since $h$ and $i_{j}$ are arbitrary, any element of the above form belongs to $\sum 1(H ; B, d, d)$. This being the case, the element,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{i_{1}}, \cdots, h_{i_{n}}, e, \cdots, e,\right. \\
& \left.h_{i_{1}}^{-1} h_{i_{2}}^{-1} h_{i_{3}}^{-1} \cdots h_{i_{n}}^{-1}, e, \cdots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

belongs to $\sum 1(H ; B, d, d)$, and further since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{i_{1}} h_{i_{2}} h_{i_{3}} \cdots h_{i_{n}} \varepsilon H^{\prime} \text {, we have, } \\
& \left(\cdots, e, h_{i_{1}} h_{i_{2}} h_{i_{3}} \cdots h_{i_{n}}, e, \cdots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

belongs to $\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d)$. Finally the product of the two multiplications must belong to $\sum 1(H ; B, d, d)$, but this product is the element of $\mathrm{V}^{+}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d})$ selected earlier. That is,
$\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d) \supset V^{+}(B, d)$, and
Z ${ }^{1}(H ; B, d$,
d) $\supset \mathrm{V}^{+}(\mathrm{B}$,
d) $\cup A(B, d)$.

Since $G / G$ is abelian for any group $G$, and $G 1$
is the smallest group for which this is true, we will have $\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d ; d) \subset V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)$, if wo can show that $\sum(H ; B, d, d) / V^{+}(B, d) \cup_{A(B, d)} i_{B}$ abelian.

It follows from the definition of $V^{+}(B, d)$ that $\nabla^{+}(B, d)$ contains $V^{\prime}(B, d)$, and hence $V(B, d) / \nabla^{+}(B, d)$ is an abelian group. Therefore any two multiplications commute mod $\left[V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)\right]$. Since $A(B, d)$ consists of all even permutations there are but two coset of $A(B, d)$ in $S(B, d)$, namely $A(B, d)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) A(B, d)$. Thus any element of the factor group $\sum(H ; B, d ; d) / V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)$ has one of the forms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left[\nabla^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)\right], \text { or } \\
& v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left[V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)\right], \quad V \varepsilon \nabla(B, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if, $v=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, \cdots\right)$,
$v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) v^{-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{-1}=$

$$
\left(k_{1} k_{2}^{-1}, k_{2} k_{1}^{-1}, \cdots\right) \varepsilon \nabla^{+}(B, d)
$$

That is $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $v$ commute $\bmod \left[V^{+}(B, d) \cup_{A}(B, d)\right]$. It then follows that $\sum(H ; B, d, d) / V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)$, is abelian, and hence,

$$
\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d) \subset V^{+}(B, d) \cup_{h(B, d)},
$$

which together with the inclusion in the reverse sense which was previously established, yields,

$$
\sum(H ; B, d, d)=V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d) .
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. The next theorem assets that the derived series for $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ consists of but two distinct terms.

Theorem 28 The commutator subgroup
ミ' (H; B, d, d) of $\sum(H ; B, d, d)$ is $\geq(H ; B, d, d)$. Proof We show that $\sum{ }^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d)$ contains both $\mathrm{V}^{+}(B, d)$ and $A(B, d)$, and then the conclusion will follow.

$$
A(B, d) \text { is simple }[7] \text {, while } A^{\prime}(B, d) \text { is a }
$$

characteristic subgroup of $A(B, d)$. But $A^{\prime}(B, d)$ is different from the identity, hence $\Lambda(B, d)=A^{\prime}(B, d)$, and hence $\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d) \supset A(B, d)$.

$$
\text { We next show } \sum^{\prime \prime}(H ; B, d, d) \supset V{ }^{\prime}(B, d) \text {. }
$$

According to Theorem 27, 玉(H: B, d, d) contains the
elements, $v=\left(h, h^{-1}, e, \cdots\right)$,
$V^{\prime}=\left(k, e, k^{-1}, e, \cdots\right)$. It then follows that,


Therefore any element of $V^{\prime}(B, d)$ is the product of elements of $\sum^{\prime \prime}(H ; B, d, d)$ and hence an element of ミ'r(H; B, d, d). That is 玉'1(H; B, d, d) contains $V^{\prime}(B, d)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } v=\left(h, e, e, h^{-1}, e, \cdots\right) \\
& s=(1,3,2), \text { then } \\
& v s v^{-1} s^{-1}=\left(h, h^{-1}, e, \cdots\right) \varepsilon \sum_{1}^{\prime}(H, B, d, d)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $s$ and $\forall$ belong to $\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d)$. Then conjugation by appropriate elements of $A(B, d)$ will move the non-identity factors into any desired position, and the resulting multiplication is again an element of $\mathrm{I} \mathbf{I}^{\prime}(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d})$ since the commutator subgroup is a characteristic subgroup.

$$
\text { Let } v^{\prime}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, \cdots, h_{n}, e, \cdots\right)
$$

be an element of $\mathrm{V}^{+}(\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{d})$, then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v^{\prime}=\left(h_{1}, h_{1}^{-1}, e, \cdots\right)\left(e, h_{1} h_{2}, h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}^{-1}, e,\right. \\
& \cdots)\left(e, e, h_{1} h_{2} h_{3}, h_{3}^{-1} h_{2}^{-1} h_{1}^{-1}, e, \cdots\right) \cdots \\
& \cdots\left(\cdots, e, h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{n}^{-1}, h_{n}^{-1} h_{n-1}^{-1} \cdots h_{1}^{-1}, e, \cdots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(\cdots, e, h_{1} h_{2} h_{3} \cdots h_{n}, e, \cdots\right) \varepsilon \sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d),
$$

since the last multiplication in the product is an element of $V^{\prime}(B, d)$ which is contained in $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}(H ; B, d, d)$ ． Therefore $\sum^{\prime \prime}(H ; B, d, d)$ contains $V^{+}(B, d)$ ，and hence $\geq(' H: B, d, d)$ contains $V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)=$ ミ＇（H；B，d，d）．

Theorem 29．The commutator subgroup $\sum_{A}(H ; B, d)$
of $\Sigma_{A}(H ; B, d)$ is $\nabla^{+}(B, d) \cup_{A(B, d)}$ ．
Proof We have，
$\sum_{1}(H ; B, d, d) \subset \sum_{A}(H ; B, d) \subset \sum(H ; B, d, d)$ ， hence，
$\sum^{\prime \prime}(H ; B, d, d) \subset \sum_{A}(H ; B, d) \subset \sum_{1}(H ; B, d, d)$.

Then by reason of Theorem 28，
ミ＇$(H ; B, d, d)=\sum^{\prime}(H ; B, d, d)=V^{+}(B, d) \cup A(B, d)$.
Hence $\sum_{A}^{\prime}(H ; B, d)=V^{+}(B, d) U_{A}(B, d)$ ，as was to
be shown．

Theorem 30 The commutator subgroup
ミ＇$(H ; B, C, D), d<C \leq D \leq B^{+}$，of $\geqq(H ; B, C, D)$ is
Z $(H ; B, C, D)$ ．

Proof It is shown in［5］that the commutator subgroup of $S^{\prime}(B, D)$ of $S(B, D)$ is $S(B, D)$ ．Hence ミ＇（H；B，C，D）contains $S(B, D)$ ．We next show that ミ ${ }^{\prime}(H ; B, C, D)$ contains $V(B, C)$ ，and having established this the conclusion of the theorem will follow． Let，$s=\left(\cdots, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, \ldots x_{\varepsilon}\right.$ ，

$$
\left.x_{\varepsilon+1}, x_{\varepsilon+2}, x_{\varepsilon+3}, \cdots\right),
$$

$$
\nabla=\left(\cdots, h_{-1}, h_{0}, h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots: \cdots h_{\varepsilon},\right.
$$

$$
\left.h_{\varepsilon+1}, h_{\varepsilon+2}, h_{\varepsilon+3}, \ldots\right)
$$

Then，$s v s^{-i} v^{-1}=\left(\cdots, h_{0} h_{-1}^{-1}, h_{1} h_{0}^{-1}, h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}, \cdots ;\right.$

$$
\cdots, h_{\varepsilon+1} h_{\varepsilon}^{-1}, h_{\varepsilon+2^{h_{\varepsilon+1}}}^{-1}, h_{\varepsilon+3^{h}}^{-1}, \cdots \text { ), belongs }
$$

to ミ1（H；B，C，D）．

$$
\text { Let } v_{c}=\left(\cdots, c_{-1}, c_{0}, c_{1}, \cdots ; \cdots, c_{\varepsilon},\right.
$$

$\left.c_{\varepsilon+1}, c_{\varepsilon+2}, \ldots,\right)$ ，be an arbitrary element of $V(B, C)$ ， and consider the following set of equations．

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
: & : \\
h_{0} h_{-1}^{-1}=c_{-1}, & h_{\varepsilon+1} h_{\varepsilon}^{1}=c_{\varepsilon}, \\
h_{1} h_{0}^{-1}=c_{0}, & h_{\varepsilon+2} h_{\varepsilon+1}^{-1}=c_{\varepsilon+1}, \\
h_{2} h_{1}^{-1}=c_{1}, & h_{\varepsilon+3} h_{\varepsilon+2}^{-1}=c_{\varepsilon+2},
\end{array}
$$

This set of equations has solutions,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\vdots & : \\
h_{-1}=e & h_{\varepsilon}=e \\
h_{0}=c_{-1}, & h_{\varepsilon+1}=c_{\varepsilon}, \\
h_{1}=c_{0} c_{-1}, & h_{\varepsilon+2}=c_{\varepsilon+1} c_{\varepsilon},
\end{array}, \cdots
$$

The factors of $v$ are completely arbitrary. If we take the factors of $v$ to be as indicated above we see that,

$$
\text { s } v s^{-1} v^{-1}=v_{c} \varepsilon \sum_{1}(H ; B, C, D) \text {, and hence }
$$

$\geq$ (H, $B, D, C)$ contains $V(B, C)$, and therefore $\geq(H ; B, D, C)=\Sigma(H ; B, D, C)$, as was to be shown. Corollary 1 Any element $u \varepsilon \sum(H ; B, C, D)$, $\alpha<C \leq D \leq B^{+}$, is the product of at most two commutators.

Proof Every element of $S(B, D)$ is a commutator of $S(B, D)$, which is demonstrated in $[5]$. Fiver element of $V(B, C)$ is a commutator of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ as was shown in Theorem 30. Therefore any element
of $\beth(H ; B, C, D)$ which is either a multiplication or a permutation is a commutator. Since every element of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ has the form $v s, \forall \varepsilon V(B, C)$, s $\varepsilon S(B, D)$, other elements of $\sum(H ; B, C, D)$ are the product of at most two commutators of $\sum(H ; B ; C, D)$.
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