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#### Abstract

Moon Young Hur Department of Chemistry University of Kansas, June $12^{\text {th }}, 2015$ The development of methods to produce diverse set of small molecules to be utilized as chemical probes in chemical biology is a continuing emerging area critical in the pursuit of broadening the understanding of biological pathways and search for new therapeutics and biological probes to improve human health. In particular, sultams as non-natural lactam surrogates have recently gained attention as a novel class of compounds with extensive chemical and biological profiles that will be further discussed in introduction to Chapter 1. The virtues of diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) paired with "Click, Click, Cyclize" paradigm in designing methods and libraries for the production of novel and unique sultam compounds provide a facile and efficient pathway in achieving this goal. Despite these attributes, methodologies for the synthesis of sultams and their corresponding libraries are limited in literature relative to lactam surrogates. A more in detail analysis of this gap will be introduced in the introduction of each chapter. It is the purpose of this dissertation to develop novel methods and production of libraries based on scaffolds generated from these methods. Through these methods and libraries, we aim to produce various sultam compounds that present opportunities in accessing underexplored and underrepresented regions of chemical space with potentials for serving as chemical probes in search for unknown biological activities.


Figure A.1. Outline of method development and library production presented through Chapter 1-3.

Chapter 1
Development of libraries of diverse sultams
utilizing one-pot click reaction and orthogonal reactions




Chapter 3
Synthesis of sultam analogs of tetramic acids and their derivatives


An outline of the following chapters of this thesis is shown in Figure A.1. The goal of Chapter 1 is to introduce methods and library development previously reported from the Hanson group. The introduction reviews the libraries that utilize Click " $3+2$ " Huisgen cycloaddition reaction and/or intermolecular nucleophilic aromatic substitution $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$ for peripheral diversification. Then efforts on production of two novel sultam libraries based on one-pot Click-aza-Michael of RCM-derived sultam scaffolds and one-pot Click- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ of " $4+4$ " derived scaffolds.

Chapter 2 introduces a review of recent advances in synthesis of molecules containing triazole motifs via one-pot multicomponent Click reactions (MCR). This review is categorized into three sections, including 2-, 3-component MCR, 4-, 5-, 6component MCR, and development of novel catalysts for Cu-catalyzed azide-alkyne coupling (CuAAC) reactions. This review will be then followed by studies towards application of one-pot, sequential, multicomponent reaction strategy for the facilitated
synthesis of " $4+4$ " dibenzofused 8 -membered sultam scaffolds and their analogs produced from 3-, 4-, 5-component reactions.

Lastly, Chapter 3 discusses recent advances in the synthesis of various biologically active tetramic acids and their analogs. The review is divided into three sections: synthesis of tetramic acids via Dieckmann reaction, via methods other than Dieckmann condensation, and modification of existing tetramic acids for diversification and studies towards reactivity profile of tetramic acids. Subsequent section of this chapter includes our recent work on synthesis of sultam analogs of tetramic acids via intramolecular sulfa-Dieckmann cyclization. Further diversifications utilizing condensation with isocyanates to produce 3-carboxamide substituted sultam analogs of tetramic acids are reported.
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## Chapter 1

Development of Libraries of Diverse Sultams
Utilizing One-Pot Click Reaction
and Orthogonal Reactions

# Chapter 1: Development of Libraries of Diverse Sultams Utilizing One-Pot Click Reaction and Orthogonal Reactions 

1.1 Introduction - Sultam Libraries Generated by Peripheral Diversification Involving Intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ or Triazole Formation
1.2 Library Production of RCM-Derived Scaffold via One-Pot Click-aza-Michael
1.3 Library Generation of " $4+4$ " Scaffold involving One-Pot Click-S $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$

### 1.4 Conclusion

### 1.1 Introduction

The need for discovery of new pharmaceutical leads and small molecule probes has led to efforts focusing on the production of small molecule libraries to be utilized in highthroughput screening (HTS). High-throughput screening of libraries of small molecules has recently emerged as a viable means of detecting less well-characterized targets, either individually or as a group of targets. ${ }^{1}$ In this regard, diversity-oriented synthesis (DOS) presents an attractive pathway in accessing underexplored and underrepresented regions of chemical space in search for novel chemical probes that could aid in the detection of both known and unknown targets. ${ }^{2}$ Efforts in DOS have been driven by the development and emergence of new methods, protocols and technologies to access diverse collections of small molecules in a rapid and facilitated manner. ${ }^{3}$

Sultams (cyclic sulfonamide analogues) represent a class of non-natural lactam surrogates that have surfaced in recent years as important targets in drug discovery due to their extensive chemical and biological profiles (Figure 1.1). ${ }^{4}$ Biological activities include anti-inflammatory, anti-HIV, and inhibition of HIV to name a few. However, a literature
search in 2015 revealed that sultams are highly underrepresented relative to lactams. ${ }^{5}$ To date, there are only two previous reports on synthesis of chemical libraries of sultams (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{6}$ This presents opportunities in further producing varieties of sultam compounds that can reside in a relatively unoccupied chemical space and advance studies towards probing novel biological targets and activities.

Figure 1.1. Representative examples of bioactive sultams.


Figure 1.2. Two previous reports of sultam libraries.


Marcaurelle et al. 8,000 membered library on 8 stereoisomers


Zhou et al. 14 membered library

In this regard, efforts have focused on the development of methods involving rapid and facilitated protocols for the synthesis of diverse sultam scaffolds provides means for accessing novel structures to probe relatively unoccupied chemical space (Figure 1.3). ${ }^{7}$

Figure 1.3. Representative sultams prior to 2010 from the Hanson group..


To date, the Hanson group has focused their attention on methods development to novel Sand P-heterocycles (Figures 1.4 and 1.5, P-heterocycles not shown) ${ }^{8}$ with biological and synthetic utility, as well as the corresponding library synthesis to access varied sultams. This thesis is centered on two scaffolds, namely dibenzo-oxathiazocine dioxide and isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (Figure 1.6), as well as subsequent library production to generate analogs. Previous method work had developed a facile route to formal name (then parenthetically $4+4$ scaffold). In the context of this thesis, we evaluated the uniqueness, vide infra (see Chp 2, page 59), as well as diversity (PMI analysis Chp 1, page 25) for this scaffold, which in turn inspired the design of libraries around it. Before detailing our library work regarding the " $4+4$ " scaffold, this chapter will review libraries reported by the Hanson group utilizing Click reactions and/or intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reactions is introduced. Subsequently, the design and production of two libraries involving one-pot Click-azaMichael of RCM-derived scaffolds and one-pot Click- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ of " $4+4$ " derived scaffolds are
presented in Sections 1.2 and 1.3 (Figure 1.7). These two scaffolds contain electrophilic positions that may be readily utilized for further diversification. Sultam 1.7.A contains $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated sulfonamide, which is a Michael acceptor that possesses potentials in adding various heteroatom nucleophiles, and also a terminal alkyne that is utilized in " $3+2$ " Huisgen cycloaddition to attach various triazoles. Sultam 1.7.B contain aryl fluoride moiety that can be functionalized via Cu -catalyzed N -arylation, and also a terminal alkyne as well.

Figure 1.4 Summary of benzofused sultams developed by the Hanson group.


Figure 1.5. Summary of library compounds from the Hanson group.


Figure 1.6. Two main scaffolds to be discussed in this thesis.

dibenzo-oxathiazocine dioxide

isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide

Figure 1.7. Overview of two library scaffolds and their diversification plan.



The following libraries will be discussed in the subsequent section:

- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ diversification of scaffolds derived from epoxide ring opening- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ cascade sequence.
- Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling and [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition of thiadiazepan-1,1-dioxide-4-ones
- Alkylation $/ \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ diversification of amino-benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxide scaffolds
- $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ diversification for the production of chiral benzothiaoxazepine-1, 1-dioxides
- Application of OTP reagent for synthesis of chiral sultams with triazole moieties
- Library of triazolated 1,2,5-thiadiazepane-1,1-dioxides via Click diversification
- Intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ for generation of unique chiral benzoxathiazocine 1,1-dioxides

In 2010, Hanson, Rolfe and coworkers reported the preparation of library compounds based on scaffolds derived from an epoxide ring opening- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ cascade sequence. ${ }^{9}$ By having a fluoro-substituent on the 6 -position of benezenesulfonyl chloride, the group envisioned that it is possible to diversify the 6-position via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ with various N -, $O$-nucleophiles. The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction of sultam 1.1.1 with $N$-nucleophiles proceeded successfully in the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and DMSO in microwave heating for 30 minutes at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereby purification of excess amines was done by simple silica SPE after reaction (Scheme 1.1). However, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ with phenol nucleophiles required 3 equiv. of the nucleophile and purification via silica SPE was not possible, making it difficult to be applied to a parallel library synthesis platform due to requirement of aqueous workup. Application of previously reported high-load, soluble scavenger oligomeric dichlorotriazine $\left(\mathrm{ODCT}_{50}\right)$ derived from ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) was envisioned to remove unreacted excess phenols from the crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{10}$ Original scavenging conditions required 10 hours and thus were not ideal for parallel format. These conditions were modified so that $\mathrm{ODCT}_{50}$ could be used in microwave conditions and thus the reaction time was reduced to 30 minutes at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which yielded final crude purity over $95 \%$. With these results in hand, the authors reported the synthesis of a 78-member library. Overall, 59 of 78 members provided the desired products in 12-82 \% yield with 47 compounds in $90 \%$ or higher purities.

Scheme 1.1. $S_{N} A r$ with various $N$-, O-nucleophiles and sequestration of excess reagents with $O D C T$.


In 2011, Hanson, Fenster, Long and coworkers reported an automated synthesis of 184-member library of thiadiazepan-1,1-dioxide-4-ones. ${ }^{11}$ Sultam scaffolds $\mathbf{1 . 2 . 1}$ were generated based on a strategy that was previously reported, where a sequence termed "Click, Click, Cyclize" was employed en route. ${ }^{12}$ By applying 4-bromobenzyl bromide as the alkylation reagent and propargylamine as the aza-Michael partner, two handles for peripheral diversification were generated for their use in Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura coupling and [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition, respectively (Scheme 1.2). Initial studies revealed that the two reactions could be performed in a sequential fashion, whereby usage of immobilized copper catalyst on Amberlyst (A-21•CuI) for [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition allowed for facile removal of copper catalyst by simple filtration. ${ }^{13}$ Subsequent removal of solvent through concentration in vacuo, followed by addition of palladium catalyst in a mixture of $\mathrm{DME} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and cesium carbonate, and the addition of boronic acids resulted in formation of desired peripherally diversified sultams $\mathbf{1 . 2}$.2 . This sequential two-step reaction was applied to automated Chemspeed Accelerator SLT-100 synthesizer for the production of 225 compounds, ${ }^{14}$ whereby 184 compounds passed through preparative/massdirected HPLC purification. The authors noted that there was not a definitive trend between
yields and substrates, although it was evident that reactions involving thiopene boronic acid resulted in very little or no presence of products.

Scheme 1.2. Click diversification utilizing immobilized Cu catalyst and Suzuki-Miyaura coupling.


In 2011, Hanson, Rolfe and coworkers reported a library synthesis based on scale out of amino-benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxide scaffolds utilizing a microwave-assisted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ protocol that was followed by peripheral diversification using $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ with various cyclic amine nucleophiles. ${ }^{15}$ Generation of the core amino-benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxide scaffolds was enabled by facilitated process involving microwave-assisted, continuous-flow organic synthesis (MACOS) protocol. ${ }^{16}$ With this technology in hand, scale out of the desired scaffolds $\mathbf{1 . 3 . 2}$ was achieved via intramolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ in the presence of ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$ as base in DMSO, under microwave irradiation at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~W}$ power, and flow rate of 100 $\mu \mathrm{Lmin}^{-1}$ (Scheme 1.3). Subsequent alkyation of sulfonamide nitrogen of $\mathbf{1 . 3 . 2}$ with $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base in DMF at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ of the resulting alkylated scaffold with various cyclic amine nucleophiles 1.3 .3 in DMSO under microwave conditions at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min furnished library compounds 1.3 .4 in good overall yields.

Scheme 1.3. Scale out of scaffold 1.3.2 and diversification using alkylation and $S_{N} A r$.


In 2011, Organ, Hanson and coworkers reported scale out of stereochemically rich sultams via MACOS and their corresponding library compounds involving intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar} .{ }^{17}$ The authors designed a collection of stereochemically rich benzofused sultams that can be rapidly generated by commercially available chiral starting materials. A two-step procedure was designed whereby a combination of chiral $2^{\circ}$ sulfonamides, epoxides, and amino alcohols are utilized for the synthesis of core benzothiaoxazepine-1,1-dioxides via an epoxide opening $/ \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ cyclization sequence from a previously reported method (Scheme 1.4a). ${ }^{18}$ Sequential intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ diversification then would allow for a generation of a stereochemically-rich sultam library (Scheme 1.4b). Applying the optimized conditions from the previous report, ${ }^{18}$ reaction conditions for application to MACOS setting was investigated. Epoxide ring opening $/ \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ sequence of sulfonamide 1.4.1 and epoxide 1.4.2 in the presence of DBU as base at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a flow rate of $100-200 \mu \mathrm{Lmin}^{-1}$ furnished scaffold 1.4.3 in gram quantities. Chiral, non-racemic sultam 1.4 .4 was then reacted with various chiral cyclic amines in DMSO under Anton Parr Synthos $3000^{\circledR}$ microwave platform ${ }^{19}$ at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min , followed by dilution, filtration, and purification via column chromatography to afford a collection of chiral benzothiaoxazepine-1, 1-dioxides compounds in good yields (Scheme 1.4.b).

Scheme 1.4. Scale out synthesis of scaffold 1.4.3 via MACOS and library production utilizing intermolecular $S_{N} A r$.
(a)


DBU, DMSO, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 200 \mathrm{~W}$, Flow rate $100-200 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$


In 2012, Hanson, Faisal and coworkers demonstrated a combination of MACOS technology with ring opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP)-derived oligomeric triazole phosphates $\left(\mathrm{OTP}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ for the synthesis of a library of chiral sultams with triazole moieties. ${ }^{20}$ As shown in examples above, much attention has been focused on the development of facilitated methods for rapid access to a variety of core sultam scaffolds for HTS. ROMP-derived reagents have been reported in variety of synthetic applications due to the numerous beneficial attributes such as being bench stable, free-flowing solids, and readily soluble in variety of solvents. ${ }^{21}$ Building upon these efforts, the authors demonstrated the application of ROMP-derived OTP reagents for facilitated installation of triazole moieties on scaffolds readily prepared via MACOS technology (Scheme 1.5). Scaffold 1.5.1, which was produced in large quantities through MACOS platform, was reacted with OTP reagent $\mathbf{1 . 5 . 2}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and NaI in DMF at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce (triazolyl)methylated sultam $\mathbf{1 . 5 . 3}$ in good yields. Upon completion, the work up procedure was facilitated by evaporation of DMF, dilution in EtOAc , and filtration via $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$

SPE and sequential evaporation afforded clean products without the need for purification via column chromatography.

Scheme 1.5. Application of MACOS and OTP reagents for the library production of chiral sultams via purification-free method.


This method was next further extended to generation of library based on scaffolds synthesized from the double aza-Michael sequence in 2012. Hanson, Zang and coworkers reported production of a library of triazolated 1,2,5-thiadiazepane-1,1-dioxides utilizing scaffolds generated by the double aza-Michael pathway. ${ }^{22}$ Building upon the previous report, ${ }^{23}$ using two routes based on usage of propargylamine or propargyl bromide afforded two types of triazolated 7-membered sultams with the triazole moieties in different positions (Scheme 1.6). The first route employed propargyl bromide as the alkylating reagent on the sulfonamide nitrogen $\mathbf{1 . 6 . 1}$ to afford propargylated sulfonamide 1.6.2. Subsequent elimination/double-aza-Michael sequence, followed by Cu-catalyzed [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition furnished $N$-triazolated sultam scaffold 1.6.3. The alternate route then involves alkylation of the secondary sulfonamide $\mathbf{1 . 6 . 1}$ to furnish $\mathbf{1 . 6 . 4}$, followed by addition of propargylamine as the double aza-Michael partner to attach a propargyl moiety in a different position. Subsequent [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition afforded triazolated 7membered sultam 1.6.5 bearing the triazole functionality in an alternate location. The elimination/double-aza-Michael sequence was then applied to Chemspeed Accelerator
(SLT-100) ${ }^{14}$ to produce a 96 -member library, where 94 compounds passed with an average of 58 mg and average purity of $94 \%$.

Figure 1.6. Click diversification on DaM-derived scaffolds.


In 2012, Hanson, Loh and coworkers reported synthesis of 80 -member library of unique chiral benzoxathiazocine 1,1-dioxides by a microwave-assisted, intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ diversification pathway. ${ }^{24}$ Gram quantity synthesis of eight proposed scaffolds were achieved through the use of sulfonylation, Mitsunobu alkylation, and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ to generate all stereoisomers of each core following previous reports (Scheme 1.7). Each scaffold was prepared in 2.5 g scale. A variety of chiral amine/amino alcohol nucleophiles were utilized for peripheral diversification via intermolecular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ with benzoxathiazocine 1,1-dioxides 1.7.1 and 1.7 .2 to produce a library of chiral sultams in good yields. Optimized reaction condition was obtained in absence of base, with 5 equiv. of amine 1.7.A-J, at a concentration of 0.5 M in DMSO under microwave irradiation at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min .

Scheme 1.7. Synthesis of 80-member library of chiral sultams via $S_{N} A r$ diversification.


## Amine nucleophiles



In summary, Hanson and workers have demonstrated the development of novel methods for the production of library compounds based on sultam scaffolds. Building upon these accounts, the following sections details our efforts in this regard by utilizing the Click reaction and its corresponding orthogonal reactions.

### 1.2 Library Production of RCM-Derived Scaffold via Click-aza-Michael

Amongst numerous bioactive sultam compounds, $\beta$-amino sultams and their corresponding sulfonate analogues are a relatively new chemotype that has shown interesting biological properties. Such reports include the inhibition of HIV-1 replication and antibacterial activity (Figure 1.2). ${ }^{25}$ However, reports of methods to generate these cores are rather limited in the literature. In this regard, the production of library of compounds bearing $\beta$-sultam cores would allow to access a more diverse array of this class of scaffolds for further biological studies. In this section, efforts towards the generation of
diverse $\beta$-sultam, isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide, bearing triazole moieties and tertiary amines are introduced. ${ }^{26}$

Figure 1.2. Representative examples of bioactive $\beta$-sultams and sulfonates.

1.2.A

1.2.B

HIV-1 (IIB)-specfic reverse transcriptase inhibitors


Bacterial serine protease inhibitors

The synthesis of $\beta$-sultam libraries was envisioned by the diversification of core dihydroisothiazole 1,1- dioxide scaffold 1.8 .4 via a one-pot, multicomponent protocol pairing an aza-Michael diversification reaction with other orthogonal reaction pathways (Scheme 1.8b). The corresponding core scaffold 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{1 . 8 . 4}$ was rapidly generated on multigram scale via a previously reported 3-step sulfonylation, RCM, propargylation protocol starting from 2-chloroethane sulfonyl chloride 1.8.1 (Scheme 1.8a). ${ }^{27}$ Notably, the addition of metathesis catalyst $\left[\left(\mathrm{IMesH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{CHPh} ; \mathbf{G}-\mathrm{II}\right],{ }^{28}$ in 5 equal portions of $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (total $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) every 30 min was essential for maintaining the observed high conversion of the RCM cyclization.

Scheme 1.8. Gram synthesis of core scaffold 1.8.4 via RCM and proposed Click/azaMichael (ClaM) strategy.


With the desired core sultam 1.8 .4 in hand, initial efforts focused on the diversification of the core via an aza-Michael or Click reaction separately. After successful diversification of $\mathbf{1 . 8}$. 4 utilizing either reaction in high yields, the combination of both reactions in a one-pot protocol was investigated. In this regard, preliminary studies for onepot Click/aza-Michael protocol with azide $\mathbf{G}$ and pyrrolidine $\mathbf{6}$ was investigated (Table 1.1). An initial attempt combined both reaction conditions into the same pot (Table 1.1, entry 1) that yielded the desired product in $62 \%$ yield. This yield was improved to $96 \%$ after increasing the CuI catalyst load to $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (Table 1.1, entry 2 ), while additional optimization led to the use of lower equivalents of amine and base without affecting the yield (Table 1.1, entry 6).

Table 1.1. Optimization of one-pot click/aza-Michael reaction conditions.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { azide }\{\mathbf{G}\} \\ \text { (equiv.) } \end{gathered}$ | amine 6 (equiv.) | $\mathrm{CuI}(\mathrm{mol} \%)$ | DBU (mol\%) | yield (\%) |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 62 \% |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 96 \% |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 78 \% |
| 4 | 2 | 1.2 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 95 \% |
| 5 | 2 | 1.2 | $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $96 \%$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Reactions carried out utilizing $1.8 .4\left(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.318 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, 1 equiv.) in 0.5 M EtOH at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hrs .

With these optimized conditions in hand, a validation library was investigated for the diversification of dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{1 . 8 . 4}$ with a variety of $2^{\circ}$ amine nucleophiles (Scheme 1.9). Reactions were performed in 1-dram vials using reaction blocks, and resulting crude reaction mixtures were diluted in EtOAc, filtered through silica SPE and QC/purified by automated mass-directed LCMS.

Scheme 1.9. Prototype library synthesis utilizing core scaffold 1.8.4.
"two-step"

"one-pot"


1.9.2

| entry $^{\text {a }}$ | yield | final <br> purity $^{\text {c }}$ | Mass | entry $^{\text {b }}$ | yield | final <br> purity $^{\text {c }}$ | mass |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | $52 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 89.3 mg | $2\{\mathrm{O}\}$ | $43 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 22.9 mg |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | $45 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 75.4 mg | $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{O}\}$ | $41 \%$ | $98 \%$ | 22.3 mg |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | $42 \%$ | $92 \%$ | 56.2 mg | $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{O}\}$ | $40 \%$ | $97 \%$ | 19.4 mg |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | $47 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 63.9 mg | $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{O}\}$ | $43 \%$ | $98 \%$ | 22.3 mg |

[^0]With the successful synthesis of the 8 -member validation library, two libraries, $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, were proposed for the synthesis of 180 -triazole-containing isothiazole 1,1-dioxides derivatives via the diversification of dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide 2. For both libraries $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$, a full matrix library was designed using in-silico analysis, literature precedence, and observed synthetic results. ${ }^{28}$ A virtual library incorporating all possible building block combinations of azides and $2^{\circ}$ amines was constructed for scaffold 1.8.4. Physico-chemical
property filters were applied, guiding the elimination of undesirable building blocks that led to products with undesirable in-silico properties (Full in-silico data and detailed calculation information is available in Ch. 4). These metric filters included standard Lipinski Rule of 5 parameters (molecular weight $<500$, $\mathrm{Clog} \mathrm{P}<5.0$, number of H -acceptors $<10$, and number Figure 1.3. Amine (1-15) and azide $(\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{N})$ building blocks.
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of H -donors $<5$ ), in addition to consideration of the number of rotatable bonds ( $<5$ ) and polar surface area. Absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) properties were calculated along with diversity analysis using standard H-aware 3D BCUT descriptors comparing against the MLSMR screening set (ca. 7/2010; $\sim 330,000$ unique chemical structures). Guided by this library design analysis, the corresponding amines 1-15 and azides $\{\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{R}\}$ (Figure 1.3) were chosen for sultam libraries $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$.

Utilizing the optimized conditions, library A (132-member) was generated utilizing azides A-L with amines $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1 1}$ via a one-pot click/aza-Michael transformation. Library B (48-member) was also generated utilizing azides $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{L}$ with piperazines $\mathbf{1 2}-\mathbf{1 5}$ via a sequential 2-step click/aza-Michael protocol, instead of the previously used one-pot method. This 2-step sequence was necessary to efficiently remove the Cu catalyst from the crude material without the need of an aqueous work-up due to increased affinity to the $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}$ of the corresponding final compounds bearing both a triazole and piperazine moiety. Overall, all 180-triazol-isothiazole 1,1-dioxide members of library $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ were successfully generated, with 167 out of the 180 compounds possessing $>90 \%$ final purity after purification by automated mass-directed LCMS.

Within the 132 -member library $\mathbf{A}$ is a unique set of 12 bis-triazole-containing isothiazole 1,1-dioxides $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{L}\{\mathbf{1 1}\}$ which were generated through a bis-click/aza-Michael due to the use of $N$-methyl propargyl amine $\{\mathbf{1 1}\}$ in the presence of 2 equiv. of the corresponding azide (Scheme 1.10).

Scheme 1.10. One-Pot bis-click/aza-Michael to produce compounds $\boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{L}\{\mathbf{1 1}\}$.


### 1.3 Library Generation of "4+4" Scaffold involving Click-S $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$

Building upon the importance of generating diverse sultams as drug-like molecules and a variety of biological activities shown in the previous section, we selected novel dibenzofused sultam scaffolds as a new target for library production. Sultam 1.11.4 is a unique compound that displays interesting physiochemical properties with skeletal and stereochemical diversity (Scheme 1.11a). ${ }^{29}$ More in depth analysis of its chemical properties and known biological activities will be introduced in Chapter 2. We initially designed a set of library compounds by incorporating Cu -catalyzed N -arylation of the arylBr moiety and Cu -catalyzed Click reaction of terminal alkyne derived from sulfonylation of propargyl amine (Scheme 1.11b).

The desired core scaffold $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 . 4}$ was produced in 4.5 g quantities following a previously reported method. ${ }^{29}$ Sulfonylation of propargyl amine using substituted orthofluorobenzene sulfonyl chloride afforded secondary sulfonamide 1.11.2 in excellent yields (Scheme 1.11a). Subsequent " $4+4$ " cyclization of $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 . 2}$ via sequential aza-Michael $/ \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ with ortho-quinone methide precursor $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 . 3}$ produced 8 -membered dibenzofused sultam 1.11.4 in high yields.

Scheme 1.11. Gram synthesis of core scaffold 1.11.4 and design of one-pot, multicomponent synthesis of triazole-containing sultam library.
(a)

(b)


With these scaffolds in hand, we initially focused our attention on finding optimal conditions for Cu -catalyzed N -arylation using $4-\mathrm{Br}$ scaffold $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 . 1}$ and $o$-anisidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 . 2}$ (Scheme 1.12a). Reaction of sultam $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 . 1}$ with $o$-anisidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 . 2}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1,10$-phenanthroline, and CuI as catalyst in EtOH as solvent at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced aminated sultam 1.12 .3 in 29 \% yield. No reaction occurred when azide $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 . 4}$ was included into the same reaction conditions (Scheme 1.12b). When this reaction was applied to microwave conditions, decomposition of starting material was observed. These low yields and/or decomposition led us to search a different pathway for peripheral diversification by utilizing 4- and 6-F substituted sultams via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ in place of Cu -catalyzed $N$-arylation

Scheme 1.12. Initial studies for $C u$-catalyzed $N$-arylation and one-pot Click/N-arylation reaction.
(a)

(b)


Initial investigation for application of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ diversification started with 4-F substituted sultam 1.13.1 (Scheme 1.13a). Adopting the conditions shown in previous examples from the Hanson group in the introduction section, sultam 1.13.1 and pyrrolidine 1.13.2 were reacted in DMSO in the presence of DBU as base under microwave irradiation at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min . To our delight, 4-pyrrolidine-substituted sultam $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 . 3}$ was produced in $95 \%$ yield. Encouraged from this result, application of one-pot three-component $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar} /$ Click sequence was probed (Scheme 1.13b). Sultam 1.13 .1 was reacted in one-pot with pyrrolidine 1.13 .2 and azide 1.13 .4 in the presence of DBU as base and CuI catalyst in DMSO under microwave irradiation at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 min . Gratifyingly, three-component MCR sultam product $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 . 5}$ was isolated in good yields.

Scheme 1.13. Utilization of $S_{N} A r$ for diversification and application to one-pot Click- $S_{N} A r$.
(a)



1.13 .3
$95 \%$


With these optimized conditions in hand, a 60-member library was designed based on two core scaffolds 1.4.A and 1.4.B, commercially available amines 1.4.C-J, and benzyl Figure 1.4. Core scaffolds, amine, and azide building blocks.
Core Scaffolds

Azides

azides 1.4.K-Q (Figure 1.4). Using the aforementioned one-pot, three-component reaction conditions, the reactions were carried out in parallel synthesis format using Anton Parr ${ }^{\circledR}$ Synthos 3000 microwave system. ${ }^{19}$ Resulting reaction mixtures were diluted in EtOAc, filtered through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ SPE, concentrated in vacuo, and were purified by automated massdirected LCMS. Overall, 54 out of 60 compounds were synthesized possessing $>90 \%$ final purity, with average yield of $60 \%$.

Table 1.2. Select one-pot Click $/ S_{N} A r$ Library representative results.

| entry $^{\text {a }}$ | yield | final <br> purity $^{\text {c }}$ | mass <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ | entry $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | yield | final <br> purity $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | mass <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4.A.FN | $75 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 134.5 | 1.4.B.HO | $57 \%$ | $92 \%$ | 51.1 |
| 1.4.A.HN | $67 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 120.5 | 1.4.B.HP | $38 \%$ | $93 \%$ | 34.2 |
| 1.4.A.DQ | $61 \%$ | $98 \%$ | 54.7 | 1.4.B.JN | $59 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 108.9 |
| 1.4.A.EQ | $95 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 36.1 | 1.4.B.IL | $62 \%$ | $99 \%$ | 53.2 |

Principle moments of inertia (PMI) analysis was conducted for the produced library compounds for assessment of molecular diversity. ${ }^{30}$ PMI analysis is based on analyzing shape-based descriptors: the minimum energy conformation of each compound is resolved, the corresponding PMI ratios are calculated and normalized, and the resulting data is represented by a triangular plot depicting the molecular shape diversity (Figure 1.5). The results were plotted against a set of FDA approved drug molecules shown in black dots. The results show that the 60 -member library compounds occupy a region that is closer to being rod-like and disc-like 3-D shape. This region is in accordance to the region that the FDA
approved drug molecules occupy, thus providing a rudimentary insight that these library compounds may have beneficial biological activities.

Figure 1.5. PMI analysis diagram of the three scaffolds plotted against FDA approved drugs.


### 1.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, two libraries of triazole-containing isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxides were prepared utilizing a one-pot Click/aza-Michael protocol for utilization in HTS screening collections. Core dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide scaffold was prepared rapidly on multigram scale via RCM and rapidly diversified via a one-pot multi-component click/azaMichael protocol to generate a 180-triazole-containing isothiazole 1,1-dioxide library (A and B). All 180 compounds were successfully generated, with 167 possessing $>90 \%$ final purity after purification by automated mass-directed LCMS.

Another set of 60 -member library of triazole-containing dibenzofused sultams was prepared utilizing a one-pot Click/ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ protocol. The core
dibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide scaffolds were prepared in gram quantities via coupling of $2^{\circ}$ sulfonamides with ortho-quinone methides, then rapidly diversified via onepot three-component Click/ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ sequence to furnish a library of structurally unique sultams. Overall, 54 out of 60 compounds were synthesized with average yield of $60 \%$ possessing $>90 \%$ final purity after purification by automated mass-directed LCMS.

This screening set of sultams represent a diverse motif not currently reported in the literature and has been submitted for evaluation of their biological activity in highthroughput screening.
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### 2.1 Introduction

Triazoles and their derivatives have been increasingly found in literature due to their interesting biological profiles and physiochemical properties. A number of triazolecontaining molecules are known to exhibit various biological activities, such as anti-HIV, ${ }^{1}$ antibacterial, ${ }^{2}$ anticancer, ${ }^{3}$ and antifungal ${ }^{4}$ activities (Figure 2.1). The abundance of triazole derivatives in combinatorial drug discovery ${ }^{5}$ is related to the inherent stabilizing structural features leading to bioorthogonality, thus serving as excellent peptidomimetics ${ }^{6}$ and protein foldamers. ${ }^{7}$ Moreover, the stability of triazoles extends to its resistance towards enzyme degradation in living systems. ${ }^{8}$ In spite of these attributes, sultams containing triazole moieties are relatively limited in literature. Building upon the sultam-triazole libraries we generated in Chp 1 (Figure 2.2), we have designed one-pot sequential multicomponent
reactions to produce new sultam compounds with triazole moieties in a facile manner to provide scaffolds joining the two motifs together in order to screen for novel biological activities. In this regard, we herein report one-pot, sequential, 3-, 4-, and 5-component reactions to furnish dibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxides and their corresponding analogs with triazole motifs (Figure 2.2).

Figure 2.1. Representative examples of bioactive triazoles and drug candidates.


Figure 2.2. Summary of sultam-triazole scaffolds from Chp 1 and proposed one-pot, sequential MCR pathway.


Proposed One-Pot Sequential MCR to Synthesize Sultam-triazole Compounds



The introduction in this chapter will focus on reviewing recent advances in synthetic method developments of one-pot, multicomponent copper-catalyzed azide alkyne coupling $(\mathrm{CuAAC})$ reactions for the synthesis of triazole derivatives. In part, this review section is categorized into three subsections: (i) one-pot, 2-, 3-component MCRs, (ii) one-pot, 4-, 5-, 6component MCRs, and (iii) development of novel catalysts for one-pot CuAAC.

## 2.1a One-pot, 2-, 3-Component MCR

In 2014, Sun and coworkers reported the synthesis of triazoloquinazolinones by employing a one-pot, two-component tandem Click and intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ amidation strategy. ${ }^{9}$ Triazoloquinazolinones are a class of nitrogen-containing heterocycles with several known to have biological activities such as anticancer and antihypertensive properties. ${ }^{10}$ Despite these attributes, direct synthetic methods to produce this motif are limited in literature. By pairing triazole synthesis with intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation through $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ amidation, the synthesis of biologically relevant triazoloquinazolinones 2.1.3 was achieved (Scheme 2.1). Reaction of N -methoxybenzamide 2.1.1 with phenyl acetylene 2.1.2 in the presence of catalytic CuI and DIPEA in THF under aerobic conditions at room temperature yielded the desired triazoloquinazolinones $\mathbf{2 . 1 . 3}$ in $80 \%$ yield. The copper catalyst-enabled Click reaction enabled formation of triazole intermediate 2.1.4, and subsequently catalyzed the intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ amidation to afford the desired triazole 2.1.3.

Scheme 2.1. One-pot two-component synthesis of triazoloquinazolinone derivatives.


In 2011, the Müller group reported formation of triazolyl-substituted $N$-Boc protected heterocycles via three-component Sonogashira coupling-TMS-deprotection-Click sequence. ${ }^{11}$ This sequence enables facile assembly of diverse nitrogen-containing heterocycles such as indole, indazole, 4-, 5-, 6-, and 7-azaindoles, 4,7-diazaindole, 7deazapurine, pyrrole, pyrazole, and imidazole. Starting from iodo- $N$-Boc $N$-heterocycles 2.2.1, ${ }^{12}$ sequential addition of trimethylsilylacetylene (TMSA) in the presence of palladium and copper catalysts (Sonogashira coupling), followed by deprotection of the acetylene moiety using TBAF, and addition of benzyl or aryl azide (Click reaction), afforded the desired triazolyl substituted $N$-Boc protected heterocycles 2.2.2 (Scheme 2.2).

Scheme 2.2. One-pot three-component Sonogashira coupling-TMS-deprotection-Click sequence towards various $N$-heterocycles.
(a)



In 2012, Schoch and coworkers reported a three-component MCR strategy involving CuAAC and inverse electron-demand Diels-Alder reaction (DAinv) for site-specific dual labeling of DNA. ${ }^{13}$ CuAAC has recently merged as an important tool in the field of bioorthogonal tagging/labeling. ${ }^{14}$ Another method that has recently gained attention is DAinv, ${ }^{15}$ which is known to be rapid, does not require transition metals, and allows for efficient functionalization of oligonucleotides at ambient temperature. Concurrent bioorthogonal site-specific double-modification of oligonucleotides without protection or intervening protection had not been reported yet. This report demonstrated the first successful attempt at combining CuAAC and DAinv for one-pot labeling of DNA oligonucleotides. Doubly-modified oligonucleotides 2.3.1 containing both a terminal alkyne and a dienophile were reacted with tagged dansyl tetrazines 2.3.2 and tagged azides 2.3.3 in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, tris(3-hydroxypropyltriazolylmethyl)amine (THPTA), and sodium ascorbate in one-pot to afford doubly-tagged oligonucleotides 2.3.4 (Scheme 2.3).

Scheme 2.3. One-pot three-component CuAAC and DAinv for DNA oligonucleotides labeling.


In 2013, Fang and coworkers demonstrated the use of one-pot three-component CuAAC for the production of novel triazolyl substituted tetrahydrobenzofuran derivatives to study their inhibitory activies of $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$-ATPase. ${ }^{16}$ Development of $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{K}^{+}$-ATPase inhibitors, also known as gastric proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), provides clinical benefits coping against gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), peptic ulcer, and other acid-related disorders. ${ }^{17}$ However, currently existing PPIs possess limitations such as insufficient efficacy and hepatic toxicity. ${ }^{18}$ A concise and efficient method for the synthesis of triazolyl substituted tetrahydrobenzofurans 2.4.4 was developed in search for novel PPIs (Scheme 2.4). Preparation of 4-azido substituted tetrahydrobenzofuran intermediate $\mathbf{2 . 4 . 3}$ was achieved by reduction of ketone 2.4.1 followed by azide substitution following the method of Haynes. ${ }^{19}$ Intermediate azide 2.4.3 next underwent one-pot, three-component CuAAC with propargyl bromide and primary amine in water without other co-solvents at room temperature to furnish the desired triazolyl substituted tetrahydrobenzofuran 2.4.4.

Scheme 2.4. One-pot three-component CuAAC for the synthesis of novel triazolyl substituted tetrahydrobenzofuran derivatives 2.4.4.


In 2013, the Varma group reported the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazole substituted unnatural amino acids via microwave-assisted using a one-pot three-component method. ${ }^{20}$ Known methods to produce triazole-based unnatural amino acids follow the same strategy. ${ }^{21}$ Previously reported procedures utilize the Mitsunobu reaction, which involves usage of highly toxic and explosive dry hydrogen azide for the generation of the azide intermediate. In order to circumvent this problem, one-pot three-component CuAAC with sulfamidate 2.5.1, terminal alkyne 2.5.2, and sodium azide was developed (Scheme 2.5). The reaction was performed in $1: 1$ mixture of ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}$ and water under $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ microwave irradiation for 20 minutes, which furnished the desired triazole-substituted unnatural amino acid 2.5.3.

Scheme 2.5. One-pot three-component CuAAC for synthesis of triazole-substituted unnatural amino acids.


In 2012, Crowley and coworkers demonstrated the application of one-pot threecomponent CuAAC for the preparation of exo-functionalized pyridiyl-1,2,3-triazole macrocycles for utilization as both passive and active metal templates en route to rotaxanes. ${ }^{22}$

Mild and functional group tolerant triazoles have become a viable alternative to pyridinecontaining macrocycles that are used for synthesis of mechanically interlocked architectures (MIA). ${ }^{23}$ In this regard, the authors report employment of one-pot multi-component CuAAC for the facile production of triazole-containing macrocycles. Dialkyne 2.6.1 poses a threat in the sense that the resulting diazide may be explosive (Scheme 2.6). However, the in situ generated diazide moiety is readily captured by copper catalyst to yield the desired Click macrocycle 2.6 .3 in good yields. The resulting macrocycle proved to be unsuccessful in attempts to utilize it in both passive and active metal template syntheses of rataxanes due to the coordinating ability of the 1,2,3-triazole units within the macrocycle.

Scheme 2.6. One-pot three-component CuAAC for the preparation of exo functionalized pyridiyl-1,2,3-triazole macrocycles.


In 2013, Naeimi and coworkers reported utilization of sonochemical synthesis for the production of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole derivatives via one-pot three-component CuAAC in 1:1 mixture of water and ethanol. ${ }^{24}$ Recently, ultrasound has been gaining interest as an efficient green and sustainable tool for synthetic processes. ${ }^{25}$ Building upon a previous report by Priebe in 1984 on the synthesis of organic azides from the corresponding activated primary halides and aqueous sodium azide under ultrasonic irradiation, ${ }^{26}$ the authors
envisioned applying this method towards the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles in a one-pot fashion. At room temperature, aliphatic/benzyl halide 2.7.1, terminal alkyne 2.7.2, and sodium azide were reacted in the presence of $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CuI}$ under ultrasonic irradiation power of 70 W for 5 min to furnish the desired 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole 2.7.3 in excellent yields (Scheme 2.7). The authors hypothesized that the observed effects of ultrasonic irradiation is due to formation of cavities, which are known to act as microreactors for volatile molecules by high temperature and pressure produced during cavitation break. ${ }^{25}$

Scheme 2.7. Sonochemical one-pot three-component CuAAC process for the production of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole derivatives.


In 2013, Li and coworkers reported preparation of 5-halo-1,2,3-triazoles via one-pot three-component tandem oxidative halogenation and CuAAC. ${ }^{27}$ Generation of more diverse and highly substituted 1,2,3-triazoles has been a desirable target due to its known benefits in various areas. In this regard, development of 5-halo-1,2,3-triazoles, which are known precursors to other functional groups ${ }^{28}$ and also are widely used analogs for SAR studies, ${ }^{29}$ provide a center for further diversification. However, direct halogenation is unsuccessful due to the deficient electron density of the 1,2,3-triazole system. The authors demonstrated the first effective one-pot tandem aerobic oxidative halogenation and CuAAC involving azide 2.8.1, alkyne 2.8.2, and $\mathrm{CuX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br})$ under $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ atmosphere at room temperature in the presence of TBSCl to directly furnish the desired 5-halo-1,2,3-triazoles 2.8.3 (Scheme 2.8).

It was found that TBSCl activates aerobic oxidation of CuX to produce $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$, which in turn undergoes aerobic oxidative halogenation and catalyze the Click reaction, respectively.

Scheme 2.8. Preparation of 5-halo-1,2,3-triazoles via one-pot three-component tandem oxidative halogenation/CuAAC.


In 2014, Jiang and coworkers demonstrated the development of a simple, efficient thermally-promoted protocol for one-pot three-component CuAAC catalyzed by $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in water. ${ }^{30}$ Until this work, reports of utilizing $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salts as catalysts for CuAAC reactions require additional reducing agent such as sodium ascorbate, metallic copper, hydrazine monohydrate, among others. ${ }^{31}$ Moreover, the reactions require longer times for completion. In this regard, this report focuses on development of conditions using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salts as catalysts for CuAAC without the need of reducing agent and expedited reaction times. Optimization studies revealed $1 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as catalyst at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in water as optimal conditions, and showed that the reaction was greatly accelerated to be

Scheme 2.9. Thermally promoted protocol for one-pot three-component CuAAC catalyzed by $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in water.

complete in 30 min when using alkyne 2.9.1 and organic azide 2.9.2 (Scheme 2.9a). When this method was applied to one-pot, three-component synthesis of triazoles using alkyl bromide 2.9.4, $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, and terminal alkyne 2.9.5, the reactions were complete in $50-70 \mathrm{~min}$ to afford triazoles 2.9.6 in excellent yields (Scheme 2.9b).

## 2.1b One-pot, 4-, 5-, 6-Component MCR

In 2011, Qian and coworkers reported one-pot four-component synthesis of triazolylpyridazone libraries via a "Click and Activate" strategy. ${ }^{32}$ This strategy entails usage of CuAAC step in mid-stage of one-pot reaction sequence, which is considered to be a rather unexplored sector of one-pot Click reaction processes. Regioselective azide substitution at the 5- over 4-position of 2-substituted-4,5-dichloropyridazinone 2.10.1 allows for preparation of CuAAC partner 2.10.2 (Scheme 2.10). Subsequent CuAAC reaction with terminal alkynes 2.10.3 attaches substituted triazole at the 5-position to afford 2.10.4. By attaching the triazole, the starting material that is rather neutral or deactivated for nucleophilic attack has been "activated" electronically by the presence of triazole at the 5-position. At the last stage, various amine and carbon nucleophiles were used for substrate scope studies to achieve diverse 2,4,5-trisubstituted-3(2H)-pyridazinones 2.10.5.

Scheme 2.10. One-pot four-component synthesis of triazolyl-pyridazone libraries via "Click and Activate" strategy.


In 2012, Niu and coworkers demonstrated application of grouping copper-free threecomponent cycloaddition with CuAAC in a one-pot four-component fashion for the synthesis of unsymmetrical bis(1,2,3-triazole) derivatives and their corresponding peptidomimetics. ${ }^{33}$ Conventional methods to generate unsymmetrical bis(1,2,3-triazoles) utilize double-Click strategy. ${ }^{34}$ However, the necessity for protection-deprotection sequence renders this strategy to be cumbersome. By utilizing a previously reported method for the synthesis of triazoles in the absence of copper catalyst, ${ }^{35}$ the authors envisioned three-component reaction of azide 2.11.1, diketene 2.11.2, and alkyne-containing amine 2.11.3 as linker to generate triazole 2.11.4 (Scheme 2.11). Optimization studies revealed DBU as catalyst and MeOH as solvent to be optimal in generating the desired product. After completion of the initial formation of triazole 2.11.4, sequential addition of copper catalyst with the second azide furnished the desired unsymmetrical bis(1,2,3-triazole) 2.11.5 in excellent yields.

Scheme 2.11. One-pot four-component CuAAC for preparation of unsymmetrical bis(1,2,3triazole) derivatives and their corresponding peptidomimetics.


In 2012, Salehi and coworkers reported one-pot, four-component condensation of phthalhydrazide 2.12.1, aromatic propargyloxy aldehydes 2.12.2, active methylenes 2.12.4 (dimedone/1,3-cyclohexanedione), and azides $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 . 3}$ in the presence of copper catalyst for the synthesis of [(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy-phenyl]-2H-indaz-olo[2,1-b]phthalazine-trione
derivatives 2.12.5 (Scheme 2.12). ${ }^{36}$ Phthalazine derivatives have gained attention from the synthetic community due to their biological properties including anticonvulsant, ${ }^{37}$ vasorelaxant, ${ }^{38}$ and cardiotonic properties. ${ }^{39}$ The four components, in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, PTSA, and sodium ascorbate as catalysts in EtOH as solvent at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the desired triazole-attached phthalazine-trione product $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 . 5}$ in good yields. Through scope studies, both electron-rich and electron-deficient aromatic propargyloxy aldehydes afforded high-to-excellent yields.

Scheme 2.12. One-pot four-component condensation/CuAAC for the synthesis of [(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methoxy-phenyl]-2H-indaz-olo[2,1-b]phthalazine-trione derivatives.


In continuation of the previous work, ${ }^{36}$ in 2012 Dabiri and coworkers reported onepot pseudo-five-component synthesis of triazolyl methoxyphenyl 1,8-dioxodecahydroacridines. ${ }^{40}$ Acridine derivatives are known to possess a wide variety of biological activities, including antimalarial, ${ }^{41}$ antitumor, ${ }^{42}$ antiprion, ${ }^{43}$ anti-Alzheimer, ${ }^{44}$ antileishmanial, and antitrypanosomal activities, ${ }^{45}$ and thus various routes to their syntheses have been developed by the synthetic community. ${ }^{46}$ In this report, the authors focus on the development of a facile and expedient method for the production of these acridine derivatives bearing triazole moieties. Propargylated aldehyde 2.13.1, organic azide 2.13.2, primary amine 2.13.3, and two equivalents of dimedone 2.13.4 in the presence of catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and sodium ascorbate, and $[\mathrm{Hmim}] \mathrm{TFA}$ as acidic catalyst, in a mixture of
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}\left(1: 1\right.$ by volume) at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h provided acridine product $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 . 5}$ in good yields (Scheme 2.13). This one-pot sequential Click-Knoevenagel condensation-Michael additioncyclocondensation method achieved simple and efficient syntheses of desired acridine derivatives.

Scheme 2.13. One-pot pseudo-five-component synthesis of triazolyl methoxyphenyl 1,8-dioxo-decahydroacridines.


In 2012, the Wang group demonstrated a concise synthesis of chiral $2(5 H)$-furanone derivatives possessing 1,2,3-triazole moieties via one-pot four-component strategy. ${ }^{47}$ Compounds with $2(5 H)$-furanone moieties, a type of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactone substructure that is often found in various natural products, have received attention due to their variety of biological activities. ${ }^{48}$ However, combining 1,2,3-triazole moiety into $2(5 \mathrm{H})$-furanones with various amino acids as linkers have not been previously reported. In this work, a one-pot four-component strategy involving asymmetric Michael addition-elimination, substitution, and cycloaddition starting from (5S)-5-menthoxy-3,4-dibromo-2(5H)-furanone 2.14.1 (where $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ menthyl) afforded the desired chiral $2(5 H)$-furanone derivative 2.14 .7 bearing $1,2,3-$ triazole moiety (Scheme 2.14). Initial attempts showed that usage of EtOH is necessary for the first Michael addition-elimination. Therefore, modifying the conditions so that the
solvent system utilized a mixture of EtOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in 1:8 ratios, one-pot four-component reaction was achieved in a sequential manner.

Scheme 2.14. One-pot four-component strategy towards synthesis of chiral 2(5H)-furanone derivatives possessing 1,2,3-triazole moieties.


In 2013, Quan and coworkers applied one-pot four-component CuAAC for the synthesis of functionalized 1,2,3-triazoles with 3,4-dihydropyrimidinone or amide group. ${ }^{49}$ Recently, 3,4-dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) have emerged as attractive targets for synthesis due to their interesting pharmacological properties, where the $N 3$-substituted analogs bear the most attraction as active forms. ${ }^{50}$ In this regard, one-pot MCR involving CuAAC for the generation of $N 3$-substituted DHPM analogs bearing 1,2,3-triazole moiety was achieved. In continuation of previous work on synthesis of N3-substituted DHPM analogs by reacting with paraformaldehyde in presence of $\mathrm{TMSCl},{ }^{51}$ reaction conditions were modified to be applied to one-pot MCR strategy. Sequential addition of paraformaldehyde in

Scheme 2.15. Synthesis of functionalized 1,2,3-triazoles with 3,4-dihydropyrimidinone or amide group via one-pot four-component CuAAC.



56-75 \%
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of TMSCl to DHPM 2.15.1, followed by addition of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, terminal alkyne, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{NaAsc}$ furnished the desired product 2.15.2 in excellent yields (Scheme 2.15).

In 2014, the Santillán group demonstrated one-pot pseudo-four-component synthesis of mono- and di-benzylated 1,2,3-triazoles derived from anilines. ${ }^{52}$ In regards to investigation of usage of 1,2,3-triazoles as steel corrosion inhibitors and/or transition metal ligands, synthesis of triazole derivatives containing aniline moiety was achieved. In the initial stages, CuAAC reaction with propargylated benzyl amine 2.16.1, benzyl bromide 2.16.2, and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 1,10$-phenanthroline, and sodium ascorbate at room temperature resulted in mixture of mono- and di-benzylated triazole derivatives 2.16.3 and 2.16.4 (Scheme 2.16). By increasing the amount of benzyl bromide to 2 eq, the reaction solely furnished di-benzylated triazole 2.16.4.

Scheme 2.16. One-pot pseudo-four-component synthesis of mono- and di-benzylated 1,2,3triazoles 2.16.3 and 2.16.4.


In 2014, Dabiri and coworkers reported the synthesis of (1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl-3-amino-5,10-dihydro-5,10-dioxo-1 H -pyrazolo[1,2-b]phthalazine-2-carboxylate derivatives via one-pot four-component CuAAC reaction. ${ }^{53}$ As introduced earlier, phthalazine derivatives
exhibit diverse biological activities. ${ }^{36}$ Building upon previous work, preparation of novel phthalazine derivatives bearing an enamine moiety was demonstrated (Scheme 2.17). Onepot four-component condensation/CuAAC reaction involving benzaldehyde 2.17.2, active methylene compound 2.17.3 (prop-2-ynyl-2-cyanoacetate), azide 2.17.4, and phthalhydrazide 2.17.1 in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and sodium ascorbate as catalysts and 1-methyl- 1 H imidazolium trifluoroacetate ([Hmim]TFA) as an ionic liquid medium provided the desired phthalazine derivatives 2.17.5 in excellent yields.

Scheme 2.17. Synthesis of (1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl-3-amino-5,10-dihydro-5,10-dioxo-1H-pyrazolo[1,2-b]phthalazine-2-carboxylate derivatives via one-pot four-component CuAAC.


In 2015, the Kaushik group reported development of a facile one-pot five-component synthesis of glycoside annulated DHPM derivatives with 1,2,3-triazole linkage via transesterification/Biginelli/CuAAC reactions in aqueous medium. ${ }^{54}$ As noted earlier, dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) have gained much attention from the synthetic community due to their potential pharmacological and biological activities. ${ }^{50}$ By combining DHPMs with glycosides, which are the most abundant molecules in nature and play a major role in cellular metabolism, physiology, and signal transduction, ${ }^{55}$ it will enable search for novel bioactivities by incorporating both advantages. One-pot five-component reaction of tertbutyl $\beta$-ketoester 2.18.2, arylaldehyde 2.18.4, urea 2.18.1, propargyl alcohol 2.18.3, and glycosyl azide $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 . 5}$ via transesterification, Biginelli reaction, and CuAAC in the presence
of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CuI}$ (relative to aldehyde) in water provided the optimal condition (Scheme 2.18). It is noteworthy that choice of solvent was crucial in performing this sequence, as shown by the results in Scheme 2.18.

Scheme 2.18. One-pot five-component synthesis of glycoside annulated DHPM derivatives with 1,2,3-triazole linkage via transesterification/Biginelli/CuAAC.


In 2014, Shaabani and coworkers reported application of CuAAC in one-pot sixcomponent reaction to produce triazole-containing coumarin-3-carboxamides. ${ }^{56}$ Recently, several works have revealed that compounds with coumarin backbones in junction to some nitrogen-containing heterocycles, such as azetidine, thiazolidine, and thiazoles, display significantly enhanced antimicrobial efficiency and broadened antimicrobial spectrum. ${ }^{57}$ In attempts to combine the beneficial biological activities of coumarins and triazoles, one-pot six-component diversity oriented synthesis of coumarin-3-carboxamides with triazole ring was achieved via tandem Knoevenagel/Ugi/CuAAC (Scheme 2.19). Reaction of salicylaldehyde $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 . 2}$, aromatic propargyloxy aldehyde $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 . 4}$, aniline $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 . 5}$, isocyanide 2.19.6, and azide 2.19 .3 in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and sodium ascorbate as catalysts
furnished the desired product 2.19 .7 in excellent yields. Various derivatives were synthesized to produce a library of compounds with motifs that may exhibit interesting biological activities.

Scheme 2.19. One-pot six-component CuAAC reaction to produce triazole-containing coumarin-3-carboxamides.


## 2.1c Development of Novel Catalyst for CuAAC

In 2012, the Reddy group reported development of novel $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$-hydrotalcite $\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{HT}\right)$ catalyst for its usage in one-pot MCR to generate $\beta$-hydroxy triazoles via regioselective epoxide opening followed by Click cyclization in water at room temperature. ${ }^{58}$ The significance of this work, is that there are not many reports of studies on catalysts for the preparation of $\beta$-hydroxy triazoles, and the majority of previously reported syntheses utilize conditions with a wide array of drawbacks: low reactivity, use of organic solvents, use of additives, elevated reaction temperatures, low yields, and formation of by-products. ${ }^{59}$ The authors focused their attention on hydrotalcites as potential novel catalyst to be utilized in the desired reaction sequence due to the known beneficial properties in catalysis. ${ }^{60}$ Optimization for application to MCR with epoxides $\mathbf{2 . 2 0 . 1}$, sodium azide, and terminal alkynes $\mathbf{2 . 2 0 . 3}$ to
produce of $\beta$-hydroxy triazoles $\mathbf{2 . 2 0 . 4}$ revealed catalyst mole ratio ( $\mathrm{Cu}: \mathrm{Al}$ ) of $3: 1$ to be optimal in the presence of water as solvent at room temperature (Scheme 2.20). The $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{HT}$ catalyst was found to be easily recoverable via simple filtration, and displayed no significant loss of activity up to five runs, thus presenting potential for recyclability and use in largescale applications.

Scheme 2.20. Novel Cu ${ }^{I I}$-hydrotalcite catalyst for the usage in one-pot MCR to generate $\beta$ hydroxy triazoles.


In 2012, García-Álvarez and coworkers reported utilization of (iminophosphorane)copper(I) complexes as novel catalysts for one-pot MCR involving in situ generated azides and alkynes in water. ${ }^{61}$ Although there are known examples of one-pot MCR in water as solvent, there is only one previously reported catalytic system that utilizes 1-iodoalkynes in a chemo- and regioselective fashion. ${ }^{62}$ The authors report synthesis and application of a novel copper(I) complex that is applied to one-pot three-component synthesis of triazoles 2.21.3 involving alkyne 2.21.1, alkyl bromide 2.21.2, and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (Scheme 2.21). The novel complex displayed stability in water and air, and provided chemo- and regioselectivity. Also, it is the first example of isolated $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyst system that is also active with internal alkyne, 1-iodoalkyne. The presence of iodo moiety in the resulting triazole showcases how this methodology may be applicable to further functionalizations for synthetic use.

Scheme 2.21. (Iminophosphorane)copper(I) complexes as novel catalysts for one-pot CuAAC MCR.


In 2012, Megia-Fernandez and coworkers reported a one-pot, three-component CuAAC method under microwave irradiation and heterogeneous catalysis for the synthesis of (alkyl sulfate)- and (alkyl sulfamidate)-1H-1,2,3-triazoles. ${ }^{63}$ The authors envisioned threecomponent reaction of cyclic sulfates/sulfamidates $\mathbf{2 . 2 2 . 1}$ in the presence of sodium azide and terminal alkynes $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$.2 would produce the desired products $\mathbf{2 . 2 2 . 3}$ in a facile manner. Aiming to simplify the isolation procedure, previously reported robust and efficient heterogeneous Click catalyst, $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{BPA} \cdot \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{BPMA} \cdot \mathrm{Cu}^{+}$, was employed (Scheme 2.22). ${ }^{64}$ Adding all the components and the heterogeneous catalyst in a mixture of $t-\mathrm{BuOH}$ and water and reacting under microwave irradiation for 15 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the targets in excellent yields over $85 \%$. The workup procedure involved only simple filtration of the catalyst and evaporation of the solvent mixture. The scope of this protocol was studied using various cyclic sulfates derived from glycerol and $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose, highlighting the compatibility of this cascade process with wide variety of functionalities.

Scheme 2.22. One-pot three-component (alkyl sulfate)- and (alkyl sulfamidate)-1H-1,2,3triazoles using novel heterogeneous catalysts.

2.22.1


In 2013, the Ranu group reported a solvent-free one-pot three-component CuAAC catalyzed by $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ surface under ball-milling conditions for the synthesis of $1,2,3-$ triazole derivatives. ${ }^{65}$ Ball-milling (intense mechanical grinding) has recently emerged as an efficient and green method to perform chemical reactions. ${ }^{66}$ In efforts to further enhance the eco-friendliness of CuAAC , a solvent-free one-pot three-component CuAAC was achieved with alkyl/benzyl halides $\mathbf{2 . 2 3 . 1}$ or aryl boronic acids 2.23.2, sodium azide, and terminal alkynes 2.23.3 over $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ surface under ball-milling conditions to afford triazole derivatives 2.23.4 (Scheme 2.23). The group observed that aryl halides do not undergo CuAAC in these conditions, so aryl boronic acids were utilized in place of aryl halides successfully. The three components were inserted into a ball-milling device, and optimal

Scheme 2.23. Solvent-free one-pot three-component CuAAC catalyzed by $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ surface under ball-milling conditions for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazole derivatives 2.23.4.

conditions were found to be $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ catalyst, ball-milling device running at 600 rpm in the presence of 6 balls for 1 h . The resulting reaction mixture was extracted with ethanol, and no chromatographic purification was required.

In 2013, Mittapelly and coworkers reported a one-pot three-component synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles involving coupling of alcohol, azide, and alkynes using CuO nanoparticles. ${ }^{67}$ In attempt to broaden the scope of one-pot MCR in combination with Click chemistry, the group utilized benzylic and allylic alcohols 2.24 .1 and $\mathbf{2 . 2 4 . 2}$ as starting materials for the synthesis of 1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{2 . 2 4 . 4}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 4 . 5}$ (Scheme 2.24). In the presence of CuO nanoparticles, nucleophilic substitution with TMS azide of the alocohol moiety, followed by sequential capture of the resulting organic azide with terminal alkynes
2.24.3, furnished the desired 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles $\mathbf{2 . 2 4 . 4}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 4 . 5}$ in good to excellent yields. Notably, this report enabled the use of unactivated alcohols, which generally requires preactivation of alcohol groups in order to substitute to azides. ${ }^{68}$ Also, by capturing the reactive organic azide in situ, potentially unstable low molecular weight organic azides were handled safely. ${ }^{69}$

Scheme 2.24. One-pot three-component synthesis of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles 2.24.4 and 2.24.5 using CuO nanoparticles.


In 2013, Zhang and coworkers demonstrated the application of porous copper catalyst one-pot three-component CuAAC in water. ${ }^{70}$ As shown in this section, major advances have been made in methodology development for production of triazoles. However, the development of heterogeneous immobilized copper catalysts that are inexpensive, readily available, easy to use, have high efficiency, and recyclable still remains a major challenge. In this regard, porous metals containing a large surface-to-volume ratio and are lightweight have recently attracted significant attention and have found wide range of applications in chemistry, mechanics, and nanotechnology. ${ }^{71}$ Utilizing commercially available sintered copper porous material, one-pot three-component synthesis of triazoles $\mathbf{2 . 2 5 . 3}$ involving aliphatic/benzyl halide 2.25.1, terminal alkyne 2.25.2, and sodium azide was demonstrated (Scheme 2.25). The optimized conditions were $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading in water at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The catalyst was recyclable up to five times without loss of significant catalytic activity.

Scheme 2.25. Application of porous copper catalyst to one-pot three-component CuAAC in water.


$$
2.25 .3
$$

In 2014, the Astruc group reported utilization of novel, highly active, and magnetically recoverable tris(triazolyl)- $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ catalyst for CuAAC synthesis of triazoles. ${ }^{72}$ The catalyst was supported on iron oxide nanoparticles and displayed excellent monodispersity, recoverability, and reusability. This nanoparticle-supported catalyst 2.26.4 was then applied towards one-pot three-component CuAAC reactions involving benzyl bromides 2.26.2, alkynes 2.26.1, and sodium azide to furnish triazole 2.26.3 (Scheme 2.26). This process was
performed at room temperature in water for 24 h , with $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading. The catalyst was next easily recovered by using an external magnet, and reusability was observed up to five times with no significant loss of catalytic activity. ICP analysis revealed that amount of copper leached to reaction mixture is negligible around 1.5 ppm .

Scheme 2.26. Magnetically recoverable tris(triazolyl)-Cu catalyst for one-pot CuAAC synthesis of triazoles.


In 2014, Nasr-Esfahani and coworkers reported development of copper immobilized on nanosilica triazine dendrimer $\left(\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{TD} @ \mathrm{nSiO}_{2}\right)$-catalyzed regioselective synthesis of various triazole derivatives via one-pot three-component CuAAC reaction. ${ }^{73}$ Building upon previous work on preparation of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})-\mathrm{TD} @ \mathrm{nSiO}_{2},{ }^{74}$ the catalyst system was applied to production of wide range of 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazoles 2.27.6-9 (Scheme 2.27). Optimization studies revealed mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}(2: 1), 0.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ catalyst loading, and 5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ sodium ascorbate at room temperature for 20 min to be optimal. After the reactions were complete, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and EtOAc, and the catalyst was separated by simple filtration. Upon drying, reusability of the catalyst was tested and showed no significant loss of activity up to five times. Leaching of copper from the catalyst system was found to be minimal around less than 0.1 ppm by ICP analysis.

Scheme 2.27. $\mathrm{Cu}(I I)-T D @ n \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$-catalyzed regioselective synthesis of various triazole derivatives via one-pot three-component CuAAC reaction.


### 2.2 Application of one-pot, three-component strategy for the synthesis of dibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxides

The employment of various facilitation approaches, such as Build-Couple-Pair ${ }^{75}$ and function group pairing (FGP) ${ }^{76}$ paradigms, for the development of single-pot, multistep reaction processes in sequential fashion for the generation of functionalized heterocyclic scaffolds represents a method of high value for enabling DOS platforms and high-throughput screening (HTS). The ability of one-pot multistep reaction processes to generate core scaffolds in a quick and facile manner allows for producing scaffolds and its analogs, making use of step, ${ }^{77}$ atom, ${ }^{78}$ and pot economy. ${ }^{79}$ The key feature of one-pot, sequential multistep protocol is moving through multi-reaction transformations without the need for workup or purification in between, both facilitating the process and saving resources.

Sultams (cyclic sulfonamides) are a non-natural class of heterocycles that have demonstrated a broad spectrum of biological activity. ${ }^{80}$ Despite these attributes, reports of
eight membered benzofused sultams bearing oxygen in the core skeleton are limited to four reports to the best of our knowledge. ${ }^{81,82}$ More detailed SciFinder and PubChem substructure searches show that these motifs containing hydrocarbon 2.2.B or other heteroatoms such as nitrogen 2.2.C or sulfur 2.2.D are in fact relatively scarce (Figure 2.2). Dibenzofused sultams 2.2.E and their corresponding lactam analogs 2.2.F are even less abundant. In this regard, development of facile methods towards production of these structurally unique scaffolds may provide benefits to further study various biological activities involved with sultams.

Figure 2.2. SciFinder and PubChem substructure searches as of 04-06-2015.

2.2.A

SciFinder: 8195 compounds PubChem: 7831 entries

2.2.B

SciFinder: 87 compounds PubChem: 194 entries

2.2. C

SciFinder: 97 compounds
PubChem: 10 entries


SciFinder: 48 compounds PubChem: 22 entries

The development of formal " $4+4$ " CAP heterocyclization strategy to generate dibenzofused sultams was recently reported. ${ }^{82}$ In the previous chapter, we described library synthesis of sultam compounds utilizing one-pot three-component Click/ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ protocol. Building on these efforts, we herein report the development of one-pot, sequential, multistep/multicomponent strategies for the production of eight-membered dibenzofused
sultams employing in situ generated ortho-quinone methides (o-QM) in a formal " $4+4$ " heterocyclization reaction.

Initial studies focused on optimizing the conditions for sulfonylation of primary amine 2.28.2 using ortho-fluorobenzenesulfonyl chloride 2.28.1 to generate secondary sulfonamide 2.28.3 as a precursor for the sequential " $4+4$ " cyclization (Scheme 2.28). Originally, the reported conditions for sulfonylation required usage of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base in a biphasic 1:1 mixture of water/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for overnight reaction at room temperature. However, we envisioned that if the sulfonylation were to proceed in THF, the reaction conditions might then be compatible with the following step. Reaction in THF and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as base at room temperature gratifyingly provided quantitative yield of the desired secondary sulfonamide 2.28.3 at a much faster rate of around 1 h , after purification through column chromatography. In efforts to streamline this process, monitoring of this process was carried out with TLC. After the disappearance of the starting materials, $o-\mathrm{QM}$ precursor $\mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 4}$ was next added, along with 3 equivalents of TBAF and exposed the reaction vessel to microwave irradiation at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min to afford 8 -membered dibenzofused sultams 2.28.5. The final crude reaction mixture was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with EtOAc , and after concentration in vacuo, the mixture was purified by column chromatography. To our delight, the desired cyclized products $\mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 6} \mathbf{- 1 3}$ were achieved in yields from 77-99 \%, completing the one-pot three-component reaction, which involve benzenesulfonyl chloride, primary amine, and $o$ QM precursors.

Scheme 2.28. One-pot sequential three-component method for synthesis of dibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxides 2.28.6-13.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Final isolated yield after flash chromatography.

It is important to note that the aqueous workup was necessary at the final stage to facilitate purification of the reaction mixture. This step is deemed necessary due to the existence of various by-products such as both organic and inorganic salts that are present in the reaction mixture. When the crude reaction mixture was put through column chromatography after concentration in vacuo without the aqueous workup, the process failed to yield pure product when the same solvent gradient was applied for column chromatography.

### 2.3 Extension of one-pot strategy via $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} A$ r for four-component synthesis

From the conditions we developed for the library production of dibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxides, we investigated the potential of further extending the utility of one-pot sequential MCR pathway. As mentioned earlier, nucleophilic aromatic substitution $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$ is commonly used to further functionalize a halogen containing aromatic system. As introduced at the beginning of Chapter 1, previous reports from our group demonstrate how $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reactions may add peripheral diversity to existing scaffolds. ${ }^{83}$ The reported conditions employ primary or secondary amines with either $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ or 1,8 -diazabicycloundec-7-ene (DBU) in DMSO in microwave conditions at $150-180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 $\min$.

In order to apply this useful reaction for the development of one-pot sequential pathway, a different condition was utilized. Following the one-pot, sequential, threecomponent strategy, benzenesulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 1}$ was reacted with primary amine 2.29.1 to produce secondary sulfonamide $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 . 2}$, which was then reacted with $o-\mathrm{QM}$ precursor 2.28.4 to afford sultam 2.29.3 (Scheme 2.29). At this stage, without workup or change of solvent from previous reactions (THF), an excess of primary or secondary amine 2.29.4 and 0.1 equivalents of DBU were added to the reaction mixture. The vessel was then exposed to $\mu \mathrm{W}$ conditions at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After an aqueous workup and silica SPE to remove excess amines, the reaction mixture was then purified via column chromatography. The corresponding $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ adducts $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 . 5}$ were isolated in excellent yields. Relative to previous harsh conditions at high temperatures in DMSO, the workup is cleaner since decomposed

DMSO can be avoided. The results demonstrate the scope of various primary and secondary/cyclic amines that may be incorporated to these reaction conditions.

Scheme 2.29. One-pot sequential four-component method including $S_{N} A r$ for peripheral diversity.

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Final isolated yield after flash chromatography.

### 2.4 Extension of one-pot strategy via CuAAC for five-component synthesis

With these encouraging results in hand, we envisioned incorporating one more step in this sequence of reactions. An emerging functional handle that is commonly utilized in adding diversity to a scaffold is the triazole moiety, as seen earlier in this chapter. Moreover, it was demonstrated in Chapter 1 that $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ and Click reactions are orthogonal to each other, albeit being in different reaction conditions. In order to add diversity via triazole formation,
propargyl amine was selected as the amine for the initial sulfonylation reaction. This propargyl moiety will be then available for a late stage-[3+2]-Huisgen cyclization reaction, commonly referred to as Click reaction.

Initially, we started our methodology studies by adding benzyl azide $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 4}$ with a copper source to perform Click reaction after the fourth ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ ) reaction is complete, in a sequential manner (Scheme 2.30). However, after considering the orthogonality of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ and Click reaction, we investigated the possibility of executing the two reactions in a

Scheme 2.30. One-pot sequential five-component reaction incorporating $S_{N} A r / C l i c k ~ r e a c t i o n ~$ pathway.







multicomponent reaction fashion. Without any purification or solvent change, after completion of the three-component reaction, 2 equivalents of benzyl azide 2.30.4, 5 equivalents of amine 2.30.3, 0.3 equivalents of DBU , and $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of CuI were added to the reaction mixture. Then, the mixture was exposed to $\mu \mathrm{W}$ conditions at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After an aqueous workup and silica SPE, purification was done via column chromatography. To our delight, we were able to achieve one-pot, sequential, 5-component multi-step/multicomponent reaction products $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 5}$ in excellent overall yields (Scheme 2.30).

### 2.5 High-temperature NMR studies

Previous stepwise synthesis of the desired 8-membered dibenzofused sultams revealed that there are a set of rotamers seen in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra as evident by the appearance of the two split peaks for the -OMe residing at the periphery on amino esterderived scaffolds (Figure 2.3). ${ }^{82}$ Initially, this phenomenon was viewed as a minor byproduct (two -OMe peaks at 2.28 ppm and 3.52 ppm ). The relative intensities suggested

Figure 2.3. NMR analysis suggesting existence of possible rotamers.

that there is an approximately $7: 1$ ratio of the two conformers in the case of valine $\cdot \mathrm{OMe}-$ derived scaffold shown in Figure 2.3. X-ray crystallographic analysis of a single crystal confirmed the non-flat and cup-like architecture of this unique sultam, ${ }^{84}$ but solution ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data also revealed that we have two conformers, plausibly rotamers about the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} \alpha$ bond or two ring conformers (oxygen up as in X-ray or down in ring-flipped structure) (Figure 2.4).

Figure 2.4. X-ray crystal structure of Val•OMe-derived sultam.



In considering rotamer vs ring conformer mentioned in the previous paragraph, consideration of innate properties of sulfonamides should be in order. In this regard, discussion among colleagues, as well as recent analysis detailed in Dr. Joanna Loh's thesis and Dr. Kyu Ok Jeon's thesis, ${ }^{85}$ have shed light on the unique conformational control imparted by the preferred conformation of sulfonamides, which places the nitrogen lone pair anti-periplanar to the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Ar}$ bond to maximize the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital delocalization. This conformation effectively allows the orientation of the lone pair to bisect the $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ internuclear angle. ${ }^{86}$ In amino ester derived sultams, such as valine•OMe derived sultam shown in Figure 2.4, an additional favorable low energy $\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{O}$ syn pentane interaction
provides additional stabilization to plausibly partition two potential rotameric structures. These features could potentially influence both aforementioned rotamers and ring-flipped structures.

In order to shed additional light on this matter, variable temperature NMR experiments were carried out to establish the barrier to rotation about the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ bond. This phenomenon was explained by the hindered rotation around the bond between sulfonamide nitrogen and carbon substituent. Two scaffolds, alanine methyl ester-derived and valine methyl ester-derived scaffolds were selected based on the steric bulk attached to the sulfonamide nitrogen. The A-values of methyl and isopropyl substituents are 1.70 and 2.15 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. We hypothesized that by heating the compound to higher temperatures, the two split -OMe peaks will slowly coalesce by overcoming the rotational barrier between the existing rotamers. At the same time, we hypothesized that the magnitude of steric bulk will have a linear relationship with barrier of rotation between the two rotamers.

High-temperature NMR studies were performed with the two scaffolds. The two compounds were dissolved in $\mathrm{d}^{6}$-DMSO, and the peaks representing the -OMe of the methyl esters at the periphery were measured (Figure 2.5, 2.6). By heating from room temperature up to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the two rotamer peaks were measured at each temperatures. As expected, we observed the slow coalescence of the two -OMe peaks as the temperatures increased. Based on the measured peaks, the barriers of the two conformers were calculated. ${ }^{87}$ Alanine•OMederived scaffold's barrier was $16.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and that of valine•OMe-derived scaffold was $21.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The significance of these values led us to tentatively assume that this is most
likely a ring-flip phenomenon and not a rotational barrier issue, which is more likely to be around $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{85}$

Figure 2.5. High-temperature $N M R$ study of alanine $\bullet$ OMe-derived scaffold.


Figure 2.6. High-temperature NMR study of valine•OMe-derived scaffold.


### 2.6 Biological activity data

After submission of produced compounds to NIH Molecular Libraries Probe Production Centers Network (MLPCN) depository to be sent to Molecular Libraries Screening Centers Network (MLSCN), we were able to access the screening results in PubChem database. Gratifyingly, four compounds displayed various biological activities when screened against diverse biological assays (Figure 2.7). Sultam 2.7.A exhibited agonist activity against both human cholinergic receptor, muscarinic 1 (CHRM1) and trace amineassociated receptor 1 (TAAR1). Sultam 2.7.B was found to be positive allosteric modulators of both human CHRM1 and CHRM4, and also inhibitors of tim23-1 yeast. Sultam 2.7.C displayed modulating activity against peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator (PGC-1 $\alpha$ ) at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $14.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Notably, sultam 2.7.D, which was generated from one-pot, sequential, five-component reaction protocol, was identified as exosite inhibitors of a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain-containing protein 10 (ADAM10).

Figure 2.7. Biological assay data from PubChem database.


### 2.7 Conclusion

In conclusion, development of one-pot sequential three-, four-, and five-component reactions for the synthesis of diverse dibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5 ,5-dioxide derivatives inspired from the method developed in Chapter 1 have been achieved. From the resulting scaffolds derived from alanine and valine methyl esters, rotational barriers between the two existing rotamers were studied through high-temperature NMR analysis. Biological data was also obtained from PubChem and has shown promising activities. The developed one-pot sequential methodology is highly efficient and yielding, and will enable further production of analogs in a highly facilitated manner for ultimate screening in a broad range of assays.
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### 3.1 Introduction - Review of Synthesis and Modification of Tetramic Acids

Tetramic acids are well known in the literature for their existence in numerous natural products and biologically active compounds. Bioactivities include, but are not limited to, antibiotic, antiviral, cytotoxicity, and cell cycle inhibition (Figure 3.1). ${ }^{1}$ The broad bioactivity of tetramic acids has attracted much attention from the synthetic community, spawning various types of library syntheses and their corresponding structure-activity relationships (SARs).

In contrast, the corresponding sultam (cyclic sulfonamide) derivatives of tetramic acids are relatively limited in the literature. As shown in Figure 3.2, the number of sultam analogs 3.2.C and tautomer compounds 3.2.D listed in SciFinder and entries in PubChem database are drastically scarce relative to tetramic acid cores 3.2.A and their tautomers 3.2.B. In this regard, the development of methods to produce sultam analogs of tetramic acids will

Figure 3.1. Select examples of natural products containing tetramic acid core.


Integramycin
HIV-1 integrase inihibitor, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=4 \mu \mathrm{M}$


Equisetin
antibiotic, HIV, mammalian DNA binding


Discodermide
Antifungal against Candida albicans


Tenuazonic acid Secondary metabolite toxin


Reutericyclin
Antibiotic activity against a variety of gram-positive bacteria


Janolusimide Lipophilic tripeptide neurotoxin
be beneficial to occupy underdeveloped chemical space in search for novel compounds with potential biological activities. We herein report the synthesis of monocyclic and bicyclic sultam analogs of tetramic acids, and further derivatization to generate analogues of known biologically active compounds via "Click, Click, Cyclize" methodology. ${ }^{2}$ Further discussion of "Click, Click, Cyclize" methodology will be introduced in Section 3.2.

Figure 3.2. SciFinder and PubChem database substructure search result as of 04-07-2015.


SciFinder: 82439 compounds PubChem: 8684 entries


PubChem: 8522 entries


SciFinder: 159 compounds PubChem: 110 entries

3.2.D

SciFinder: 166 compounds PubChem: 95 entries

This introduction in this chapter will focus solely on review of recently developed synthetic methodods towards the production of tetramic acids and their modifications for the synthesis of derivatives, from 2010 to-date. For methods developed prior to 2010, the reader is referred to two review articles published in $2008^{3}$ and $2010 .{ }^{4}$ Moreover, recent efforts towards the total synthesis of natural products containing tetramic acid moieties are described in the following references. ${ }^{5}$

In large, this review section is categorized into three subsections: (i) synthesis of tetramic acids via Dieckmann-related chemistry, (ii) synthesis of tetramic acids via non-Dieckmann-type chemistry, and (iii) modification of tetramic acids for peripheral diversity.

## 3.1a Dieckmann-derived Tetramic Acid Synthesis

In 2010, Deng and coworkers ${ }^{6}$ reported synthesis of tetramic acid analog, which has a photosensitive moiety that was used for binding studies to undecaprenyl pyrophosphate synthase (UPPS). UPPS is a bacterial enzyme that catalyzes the condensation of eight molecules of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) with farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) to produce $\mathrm{C}_{55}$ undecaprenyl pyrophosphate (UPP). UPP then functions as a lipid carrier for peptidoglycan synthesis that is involved in bacterial cell wall construction. Starting from commercially available 4-aminobenzophenone 3.1.1, acylation with methyl malonyl chloride 3.1.2 afforded 3.1.3 (Scheme 3.1). Hydrolysis of the ester moiety with NaOH , followed by EDCI coupling with ethyl-2-homophenylalanine yielded compound 3.1.5, which is ready for intramolecular Dieckmann condensation. Dieckmann condensation of 3.1.5 in the presence of $21 \% \mathrm{NaOEt}$ in EtOH produced tetramic acid 3.1.6. The synthesized tetramic acid was
employed as a photoprobe in studying the binding mode of tetramic acids in the FPP binding site.

Scheme 3.1. Synthesis of tetramic acid derivative bearing photosensitive moiety.


In 2010, Yang and coworkers ${ }^{7}$ reported synthesis of novel (E)-3-(1-(alkyloxyamino)ethylidene)-1-alkylpyrrolidine-2,4-dione derivatives (Scheme 3.2). In building the Dieckmann cyclization precursor 3.2.5, ethyl bromoacetate 3.2.1 was reacted with primary amine 3.2.2 to afford 2-(alkylamino)acetate 3.2.3. Subsequent reaction with diketene 3.2.4 installed the dicarboxyl moiety to generate 3.2.5 that participates in the cyclization to form the tetramic acid core. Dieckmann cyclization of 3.2.5 with sodium methoxide furnished 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.2.6. Formation of oxime ether moiety at the 3position was achieved by exposing the 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.2.6 to $O$-alkyl hydroxylamine 3.2.7 in presence of NaOH to afford 3-oxime-ether-substituted tetramic acid 3.2.8.

Scheme 3.2. Synthesis of novel (E)-3-(1-(alkyloxyamino)ethylidene)-1-alkylpyrrolidine-2,4dione derivatives.


In 2010, Schobert and coworkers ${ }^{8}$ reported the synthesis of precursors and analogs of aburatubolactam A and macrocidin A. Starting material aburatubolactam fragment 3.3.1 was prepared in six steps following literature precedent (Scheme 3.3a). Compound 3.3.1 was then acylated with 2,2-dimethyl-6-[(1E,3E)-penta-1,3-dienyl]-4H-[1,3]-dioxin-4-one $\mathbf{3 . 3 . 2}$ to afford amide 3.3.3. Subsequent Dieckmann cyclization with NaOMe furnished aburatubolactam precursor 3.3.5. A different route was employed in making analogs of macrocidin A (Scheme 3.3b). Starting from a simple tetramic acid 3.3.5, Yoshii acylation with carboxylic acid to install the side chains at the 3-position yielded 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.3.6. Deprotection of $N$-Boc moiety and subsequent removal of allyl group at the phenol position produced macrocidin A precursor 3.3.7.

Scheme 3.3. Synthesis of precursors of aburatubolactam $A$ and macrocidin $A$.


In 2011, Moloney and coworkers built upon previous work, ${ }^{9}$ and reported the synthesis of a stereochemical library bearing tetramic acid cores. Starting from simple commercially available serine methyl ester 3.4.1, reaction with pivaldehyde 3.4.2 afforded
the oxazolidine motif 3.4.3 (Scheme 3.4). Subsequent acylation with carboxylic acid 3.4.4 followed by Dieckmann ring closure yielded the desired tetramic acid core 3.4.6. This core then was further diversified through peripheral modification. The highlight of this strategy was the ability of generating a stereochemically diverse set of compounds starting from a simple starting material. The authors reported that the chiral heterocyclic libraries generated from tetramic acid cores exhibited antibacterial activity.

Scheme 3.4. Synthesis of stereochemical library bearing tetramic acid cores.


In 2011, Moody and coworkers ${ }^{10}$ utilized a Diels-Alder approach in synthesizing spirotetramate cores of naturally occurring antibiotics. The synthesis of exocyclic methylene unit 3.5.8 that participates in Diels-Alder reaction as the dienophile is generated from a sequence of reactions starting from $S$-methylcysteine 3.5.1 (Scheme 3.5). 2,4-

Scheme 3.5. Utilization of Diels-Alder approach in synthesizing spirotetramate core of naturally occurring antibiotics.

dimethoxybenzyl (DMB) protection of $S$-methylcysteine 3.5.1 with the corresponding aldehyde 3.5.2 and acylation with thioester 3.5.4 afforded Lacey-Dieckmann precursor 3.5.5. The precursor 3.5.5 was then subjected to Lacey-Dieckmann conditions to yield tetramc acid 3.5.6. Oxidation of the thioether of tetramic acid $\mathbf{3 . 5} \mathbf{6}$ with hydrogen peroxide, followed by thermal elimination produced the dienophile 3.5.8. Diels-Alder reaction with various dienes

### 3.5.9 furnished spirotetramate 3.5.10

In 2013, Moloney and coworkers ${ }^{9}$ reported synthesis of chiral bicyclic tetramic acid derivatives via chemoselective Dieckmann cyclization. ${ }^{11}$ Starting from L-cysteine 3.6.1, reaction with various aldehydes 3.6.2 afforded the corresponding thiazolidines 3.6.3 (Scheme 3.6). Subsequent coupling with monoethyl malonate 3.6.4 afforded the Dieckmann precursor 3.6.5, predominantly the cis-isomer. Chemoselective Dieckmann cyclization with KOt-Bu successfully produced bicyclic tetramates 3.6.6. This method was then extended to utilizing $\alpha$-methyl serine 3.6.7. Interestingly, only the trans-isomer

Scheme 3.6. Synthesis of chiral bicyclic tetramic acid derivatives via chemoselective Dieckmann cyclization.

underwent Dieckmann cyclization to afford chiral $\alpha$-methyl substituted bicyclic tetramates

### 3.6.11.

In 2014, Liu and coworkers ${ }^{12}$ reported the synthesis of 4 -amino derivatives of tetramic acids. Diethyl malonate 3.7.1 was modified to yield monoethyl malonate 3.7.2, which then was coupled with various commercially available amino esters 3.7.3 (Scheme 3.7a). Next, treatment with NaOMe in refluxing toluene afforded the Dieckmann cycloadduct 3 -acyl tetramic acid 3.7.5. The subsequent decarboxylation was performed by refluxing the reaction in a mixture of acetonitrile and water to afford tetramic acid 3.7.6. With tetramic acid 3.7.6 in hand, the authors then reported the transformation of the hydroxyl group to an amine by reacting with various primary amines 3.7.7 (Scheme 3.7b). In addition, tetramic acid 3.7.9 reacted with benzaldehyde to form an exocyclic olefin-containing tetramic acid 3.7.10. However, they observed a mixture of the desired target and

Scheme 3.7. Synthesis of 4-amino derivatives of tetramic acids.

a 4-ethoxy tetramic acid 3.7.11. In an alternate attempt to form 4-amino tetramic acids, the authors utilized 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.7.12 and reacted with benzyl amine in THF or EtOH at room temperature (Scheme 3.7c). Instead of proceeding to the desired 4-amino substrate 3.7.14, they observed that the reaction stops at the salt formation at the 3-hydroxy position to yield 3.7.13. However, when the mixture was refluxed in THF the desired amination product 3.7.14 was formed. When the amine partner is switched to aryl amines, they observed formation of 3-carboxamide tetramic acid 3.7.15.

In 2014, Moloney and workers reported further modification to generate 3-acyl tetramic acids. ${ }^{13}$ 3-Acyl side chains have been identified on numerous bioactive natural products containing tetramic acid cores, and thus became a target for further studies. By utilizing previously synthesized bicyclic tetramic acids, the authors utilized $O$ acylation/rearrangement procedure to attach 3-acyl moieties. Treatment of tetramic acid 3.8.1 with acetic acid in the presence of DCC-DMAP furnished 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.8.2 (Scheme 3.8). The resulting 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.8.2 was then subjected to aromatic

Scheme 3.8. Development of route towards 3-acyl tetramic acid derivatives.

aldehydes using secondary amines (piperidine or dibenzyl amine) as base to produce enamine 3.8.3. Depending on which secondary amine was used, the enamine was directly hydrolyzed under alkaline conditions or deprotected to form 3.8.4 and then hydrolized to afford 3-acyl substituted tetramic acid 3.8.5.

## 3.1.b Non-Dieckmann/Non-traditional Dieckmann Section

In 2010, Alcaide and coworkers reported utilization of 2-azetidinone-tethered allenols in the synthesis of tetramic acids and spirocyclic seleno- $\beta$-lactams. ${ }^{14}$ Screening of various halogenating reagents resulted in N -bromosuccinimide (NBS) as the optimal source of brominating the external allene 3.9.1, which subsequently underwent selective $1,2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond migration to afford the desired tetramic acid 3.9.3 (Scheme 3.9). On the other hand, employing $N$-phenylselenophthalimide went through a different transition state 3.9.4, which then led to oxycyclization with the loss of a proton to yield spirocyclic seleno- $\beta$-lactam 3.9.5. Scheme 3.9. Synthesis of chiral tetramic acids and spirocyclic seleno- $\beta$-lactams.


In 2010, the Dittmer group reported the usage of telluride-triggered Dieckmann cyclization for the synthesis of tetramic acids. ${ }^{15}$ Telluride-triggered Dieckmann precursor $\alpha$-bromoacyl amide ester 3.10.3 was prepared by acylating amino ester 3.10.1 with $\alpha$ bromoacyl bromide 3.10.2 (Scheme 3.10). The precursor 3.10.3 was then treated with slurry
of lithium or sodium telluride in THF at room temperature, affording lithium enolate 3.10.4, which then underwent Dieckmann cyclization to yield tetramic acid 3.10.5. The authors noted that several of tetramic acid derivatives were unstable, and thus required conversion to the corresponding silyl enol form 3.10.6.

Scheme 3.10. Application of telluride-triggered Dieckmann cyclization for the synthesis of tetramic acids.


In 2010, Prousis and coworkers reported synthesis of chiral 5-carboxymethyl tetramic acids via intramolecular cyclization of (S)-N-Ac-L-aspartic anhydrides. ${ }^{16}$ Regioselective addition of $\beta$-ketoester carbon nucleophile 3.11.2 into the more hindered, more electrondeficient carbonyl at the C-2 position of anhydride 3.11.1 resulted in the ring-opened product 3.11.3 (Scheme 3.11). After aqueous workup and acidification, the reaction mixture was next exposed to NaOEt in EtOH to afford the desired 3-acyl-5-carboxymethyl tetramic acid

### 3.11.4.

Scheme 3.11. Synthesis of chiral 5-carboxymethyl tetramic acids.


In 2010, the Avendaño group demonstrated use of pyrazino[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,4diones in the synthesis of tetramic acid derivatives. ${ }^{17}$ Previous reports have shown that N -EWG-substituted diketopiperazine (DKP) moieties 3.12.1 display a novel reactivity pattern
that promoted base-mediated ring contraction reaction to generate various tetramic acids 3.12.2 and 3.12.3 (Scheme 3.12a). ${ }^{18}$ Building upon this reactivity, Avendaño and coworkers expanded this methodology to utilizing pyrazino[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,4-diones 3.12.4 to afford tetramic acids $\mathbf{3 . 1 2 . 5}$ containing benzo[f]indolizine skeleton (Scheme 3.12b).

Scheme 3.12. Pyrazino[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,4-diones in the synthesis of tetramic acid derivatives.


Markopoulos and Igglessi-Markopoulou group reported the synthesis of exocyclic olefin-containing tetramic acids for the utilization in ruthenium-catalyzed selective hydrogenation processes. ${ }^{19}$ Through a one-pot C-acylation reaction with N -acetylglycine 3.13.1 and dimethyl or diethyl malonate $\mathbf{3 . 1 3 . 2}$ in the presence of N -hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) followed by cyclization afforded 3-acyl substituted tetramic acid 3.13.3 (Scheme 3.13). The resulting tetramic acid 3.13.3 was then reacted with 4-substituted benzaldehydes to produce mono- and bis-arylidene tetramic acids 3.13.4 and 3.13.6, which were utilized for Ru-catalyzed selective hydrogenation studies.

Scheme 3.13. Synthesis of exocyclic olefin-containing tetramic acids.


In 2011, Ďuriš and coworkers showcased a novel approach in producing constrained tetramic acids via a sequence of amidation followed by intramolecular Wittig olefination. ${ }^{20}$ Aminobutanolide 3.14.1 was reacted with phosphorous ylide 3.14.2, which undergoes a sequential amidation of the exocyclic secondary amine with the ester followed by Wittig cyclization of the phosphorous ylide with lactone ester furnishing bicyclic tetramic acid 3.14.3 (Scheme 3.14). Hydrogenation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 4 . 3}$ with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in EtOAc produced tetramic acid 3.14.4. Subsequent reduction with excess amount of sodium in a mixture of liquid ammonia and THF afforded N - and O-debenzylated product 3.14.6. Interestingly, the

Scheme 3.14. Tetramic acid synthesis via sequential amidation/intramolecular Wittig.

authors noted that by switching the solvent to protic solvent, MeOH , during the $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ reduction step, they were able to isolate the ring-opened product 3.14.5 in one step.

In 2010 and 2012, Liu and coworkers reported the synthesis of tetramic acids via intramolecular aza-anti-Michael addition. ${ }^{21}$ En route to studying routes to utilize cinnamoyl ketene dithioacetal 3.15.1, exposure to sodium hydride in open-air conditions unexpectedly afforded a mixture of intramolecular aza-anti-Michael adduct 3.15.2 and 3.15.3, an oxidative rearrangement product (Scheme 3.15). In order to drive the reaction towards the synthesis of the unexpected succinimide derivatives, further studies and optimizations revealed a sequence of intramolecular aza-anti-Michael, oxidation/1,2-benzyl migration to furnish the rearranged product $\mathbf{3 . 1 5 . 4}$ in 70\% yield.

Scheme 3.15. Synthesis of tetramic acids via intramolecular aza-anti-Michael addition.


Building upon a previous report, the Burgess group reported the synthesis of omegatides, analogs from contiguous tetramic acids in 2012. ${ }^{22}$ Starting with N-Boc-alanine 3.16.1, coupling with Meldrum's acid via a $C$-acylation pathway, followed by decarboxylation, afforded the N -Boc protected tetramic acid 3.16.2 (Scheme 3.16). Subsequent deprotection with TFA furnished tetramic acid 3.16.3. Through a similar sequence utilized in the previous report, ${ }^{23}$ synthesis of omegatides was achieved.

Scheme 3.16. Synthesis of omegatides bearing contiguous tetramic acids.


In 2012, Xu and coworkers demonstrated a strategy towards the synthesis of tetramic acids employing $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$-mediated coupling of nitrones and tert-butanesulfinyl imines with allenoates. ${ }^{24}$ Initial work on coupling nitrones 3.17.1 with allenoates 3.17.3 afforded $\beta$ -methylenyl-substituted $\gamma$-amino esters 3.17.4 (Scheme 3.17). Subsequent zinc reduction of $N$-hydroxy amines furnished exo- $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated lactam 3.17.5, which was stable under both neutral and acidic conditions towards double bond migration. With this result an asymmetric version was investigated, and by using chiral sulfinyl imines $\mathbf{3 . 1 7 . 2}$, optically active lactams 3.17.7 were synthesized by acid hydrolysis of the resulting ester 3.17.6. The exocyclic olefin was then cleaved by ozonolysis to yield tetramic acid 3.17.8.

Scheme 3.17. Synthesis of tetramic acids employing SmI $I_{2}$-mediated coupling strategy.


In 2013, Mao and coworkers reported the first synthesis tetramic acids using intramolecular $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ amination mediated by hypervalent iodine (III) reagents in the
presence of Brønsted acids. ${ }^{25}$ Various 1 -acetyl $N$-aryl carboxamide derivatives 3.18.1 containing cyclopropane or cyclopentane were utilized in intramolecular $\mathrm{sp}^{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ amination (Scheme 3.18). Optimization studies revealed that $\mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OPiv})_{2}$ has shown the best reactivity, and the presence of propanoic acid was crucial in obtaining higher yields of tetramic acid 3.18.2. Due to the nature of this mechanism that involves a $N$-iodane species, the authors noted that annulation of alkyl amines failed due to instability.

Scheme 3.18. Synthesis tetramic acids using intramolecular sp ${ }^{3} C-H$ amination mediated by hypervalent iodine (III) reagents.


In 2014, the Yamada group reported the usage of silver-catalyzed carbon dioxide incorporation into propargyl amine and intramolecular rearrangement for the synthesis of tetramic acid derivatives. ${ }^{26}$ Selection of base was critical for this reaction sequence, and DBU was identified as the appropriate base from screening studies. In the presence of 10 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{AgOAc}$ in acetonitrile under 0.1 MPa CO 2 pressure, the silver catalyst activated the alkyne 3.19.1 to incorporate a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ moiety to form an oxazolidinone intermediate 3.19.2 (Scheme 3.19). Intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 1 9 . 2}$ readily underwent intramolecular rearrangement initiated by removal of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton by DBU to generate an isocyanate intermediate 3.19.3, followed by cyclization to furnish tetramic acid 3.19.4. This sequence was performed in a one-pot fashion, which involved a degassing step by freeze-deaeration to remove dissolved $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

Scheme 3.19. Synthesis of tetramic acids via Ag-catalyzed $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incorporation into propargyl amines and intramolecular rearrangement.


Cherian and coworkers reported syntheses of N-acyl, 3-acyltetramic acids as analogs of reutericyclin. ${ }^{27}$ The objective of this study was to establish structure-activity relationship (SAR) reutericyclin, an antibiotic from membrane-active Lactobacillus reuteri. Modification of the tetramic acid core of reutericyclin was streamlined (Scheme 3.20). Amino acid benzyl esters 3.20.1 were reacted with the Bestman ylide and hydrogenated to yield tetramic acid core 3.20.2. A further sequence involving acylation to generate intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 2 0 . 3}$ followed by acyl migration by addition of acetone cyanohydrin $\mathbf{3 . 2 0 . 4}$ to the reaction mixture produced the 3 -acyl motif 3.20.5. Subsequent N -acylation with NaHMDS and acid chloride 3.20.6 furnished the desired N -acyl, 3-acyltetramic acid 3.20.7.

Scheme 3.20. Synthesis of $N$-acyl, 3-acyltetramic acids as analogs of reutericyclin.


In 2014, the González-Muñiz group demonstrated the utilization of copper-catalyzed coupling of iodiphenyl-2-trifluoroacetylamine with $\beta$-ketoesters derived from amino acids en route to tetramic acid synthesis. ${ }^{28}$ Under milder coupling conditions at room temperature in DMSO, the coupling of $\beta$-ketoester 3.21.1 with aryl iodide 3.21.2 in the presence of CuI and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ yielded coupled product 3.21.3 (Scheme 3.21). Further heating in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and addition of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the cyclized tetramic acid 3.21.4. With this result in hand, the authors carried out the Cu -catalyzed coupling reaction at elevated temperature and 4 equivalents of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and achieved the synthesis of tetramic acid 3.21.4 in one pot without isolating intermediate 3.21.3.

Scheme 3.21. Utilization of copper-catalyzed coupling of iodiphenyl-2-trifluoroacetylamine with b-ketoesters en towards synthesis of tetramic acids.


## 3.1.c Derivatization of TA Section

In 2010, the Kozmin group reported a library synthesis of various 7-membered heterocycles containing tetramic acid cores to be screened against proliferation of A549 cell lines and cell-cycle analysis on HL-60 cells. ${ }^{29}$ Vinylogous urea $\mathbf{3 . 2 2} .3$ was synthesized by
condensing $o$-phenylenediamine 3.22.2 and tetramic acid 3.22.1 in benzene in the presence of catalytic amount of PTSA (Scheme 3.22). Then a one-pot transformation of urea $\mathbf{3 . 2 2 . 3}$ via Mannich reaction with aldehyde 3.22.4 followed by acylation with acid chloride $\mathbf{3 . 2 2 . 5}$ sequence was developed to furnish the desired tetramic acid-fused 7-membered heterocycle

### 3.22.6.

Scheme 3.22. Library synthesis of various 7-membered heterocycles containing tetramic acid cores.


In 2010, McNab and coworkers reported the modification of pyrrolizine-1,3-dione to afford diverse heterocyclic compounds (Scheme 3.23). ${ }^{30}$ Pyrrolizine-1,3-dione 3.23.1, a pyrrole-fused tetramic acid, was conveniently synthesized from previously reported method. ${ }^{31}$ Hydrogenation of tetramic acid 3.23.1 under hydrogen atmosphere with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ afforded 3.23.2 Dimethylaminomethylene product $\mathbf{3 . 2 3 . 6}$ was conveniently produced by reacting the starting material in solution of chloroform with DMF dimethyl acetal 3.23.5 at room temperature. Reaction of tetramic acid $\mathbf{3 . 2 3 . 1}$ with methoxymethylene Meldrum's acid 3.23.3 in the presence of trace amounts of Hünig's base, furnished product 3.23.4. Finally, hydrazone $\mathbf{3 . 2 3 . 7}$ was synthesized by reacting with benezenediazonium tetrafluoroborate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Scheme 3.23. Modification of pyrrolizine-1,3-dione to afford diverse heterocyclic compounds.


Building upon their previously reported method, ${ }^{14}$ the Alcaide group reported the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction of bromoalkene substituted tetramic acids. ${ }^{32}$ In investigating different reactivities of scaffolds generated from previously reported methods for the production of potentially bioactive heterocycles, the authors envisioned application of Suzuki-Miyaura reaction for further diversification. The exocyclic bromoalkene moiety of tetramic acid 3.24.1 was subject to Suzuki-Miyaura conditions in refluxing mixture of toluene/ethanol/water (18:1:1) in the presence of Pd catalyst and aryl boronic acids $\mathbf{3 . 2 4 . 2}$ to afford aryl substituted tetramic acid 3.24.3.

Scheme 3.24. Suzuki-Miyaura reaction of bromoalkene substituted tetramic acids.


Building upon previous work, the Moloney group published studies on the development of conditions for modifying tetramic acids to their corresponding $O$-acyl and 3acyl tetramic acids. ${ }^{33} O$-Acylation conditions were initially studied, and optimization process identified two sets of conditions (Scheme 3.25). Condition A, which uses the corresponding carboxylic acids in the presence of DCC and catalytic amount of DMAP, furnished $O$-acyl tetramic acids $\mathbf{3 . 2 5 . 2}$ in excellent yields. An alternate condition, B, utilized the corresponding acid chlorides with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ to give the $O$-acylated products 3.25.2 as well. With the $O$-acyl tetramic acids synthesized, conditions to transform them into 3-acyl tetramic acids 3.25.3 were reported. They envisioned a Fries-type acyl migration using catalytic amount of acetone cyanohydrin in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ to produce 3-acyl tetramic acid 3.25.3. In case where $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was decanoyl, simple treatment with DMAP in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded acyl-migrated product $\mathbf{3 . 2 5 . 3}$ (Condition D), where as the condition only showed

Scheme 3.25. Development of conditions for modifying tetramic acids to their corresponding O-acyl and 3-acyl tetramic acids.

decomposition when $R^{2}$ is phenyl. In case of using linear carboxylic acids, increase in amount of DMAP to 1.3 equivalents directly produced 3-acyl tetramic acids $\mathbf{3 . 2 5 . 3}$ in good yields (Condition E).

In 2012, Castellucci and coworkers reported a one-pot synthesis of tetramic acids for the preparation of putative turn mimics. ${ }^{34}$ The group focused on the skeleton of 1-acyl 3carboxy tetramic acids being analogous to constrained $\beta$-amino acids. Benchmarking the method developed by the Igglessi-Markopoulou group, ${ }^{19 a}$ synthesis of poly-substituted tetramic acids and their 6-membered analogs $\mathbf{3 . 2 6 . 3}$ were demonstrated in a one-pot method, starting from benzyl malonate and $N$-hydroxysuccinimide esters of Boc-protected amino acid
3.26.1 (Scheme 3.26a). The resulting $\gamma$-amino $\beta$-oxo benzyl ester $\mathbf{3 . 2 6 . 2}$ then cyclized in situ, leading to polysubstituted tetramic acid and its 6 -membered analog 3.26.3. The authors then demonstrate modification of 6-membered tetramic acid analog 3.26.4 (Scheme 3.26b). Methylation of 4-enol followed by debenzylation afforded free carboxylic acid 3.26.6, which was then coupled with alanine methyl ester to produce polysubstituted tetramic acid 3.26.7.

Scheme 3.26. One-pot synthesis of tetramic acids for the preparation of putative turn mimics.
(a)

(b)


In 2014, the Pettus group demonstrated various modifications of tetramic acids prepared from the Jones' protocol. ${ }^{35}$ Aldol adducts at the 5-position of tetramic acids are found in various natural products, including tetrapetalone $\mathrm{B},{ }^{36}$ cylindramide $\mathrm{A},{ }^{37}$ militarinone $\mathrm{B},{ }^{38}$ paecilosetin, ${ }^{39}$ and cryptocin. ${ }^{40}$ By utilizing three different one-pot protocols for silylation to generate the intermediate silylated pyrrole $\mathbf{3 . 2 7 . 2}$ followed by addition of aldehyde in the presence of Lewis acid additive, they achieved diastereoselective vinylogous aldol reaction at the 5-position to afford substituted tetramic acid 3.27.3 (Scheme 3.27). Through optimization studies they identified $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ to be the optimal catalytic Lewis acid "additive." Exposure to IBX followed by DIBAL-H resulted in enhanced diastereoselectivity when linear aldehydes were used to produce 3.27.4. Various transformations were performed, including exposure of 5-substituted tetramic acid 3.27.3 to argentic oxide with 6 N

Scheme 3.27. Modifications of tetramic acids prepared from the Jones' protocol.

$\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, which resulted in aminal 3.27.5. Acylation of alcohol moiety adjacent to the 5position followed by treatment with the aforementioned condition produced deprotected tetramic acid 3.27.6. For the case of $\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Br}$, coupling under Molander's conditions afforded 3-substituted tetramic acid 3.27.8. The same 3-bromo-tetramic acid was also converted to its corresponding aldolate $\mathbf{3 . 2 7 . 7}$ by hydrogenolysis.

Recently in 2014, the Yoda group published their studies on using chiral, non-racemic L-phenylalanine-derived tetramic acids for the synthesis of chiral $\mathrm{C}_{2^{-}}$and pseudo $\mathrm{C}_{2^{-}}$ symmetric diols that may be utilized as novel asymmetric ligands (Scheme 3.28). ${ }^{41}$ They envisioned that tandem Knoevenagel condensation of tetramic acid 3.28.1 with aldehydes 3.28.2 followed by Michael addition with second equiv of tetramic acid 3.28.1 would produce the desired chiral tetramic acid dimers 3.28.4. Optimization studies revealed Lproline to be the optimal catalyst for the initial Knoevenagel condensation performed in ethanol at room temperature for 1 h . Michael addition of the second equivalent of starting tetramic acid 3.28.1 furnished the desired chiral diol. This method enables readily availability of custom designed chiral diols in gram quantities that may be further utilized as versatile chiral ligands.

Scheme 3.28. Application of chiral L-phenylalanine-derived tetramic acids for the synthesis of chiral $C_{2}$ - and pseudo $C_{2}$-symmetric diols.


### 3.2 Synthesis of Sultam Analogs of Tetramic Acids via Intramolecular Sulfa-Dieckmann Cyclization

Our group has previously utilized vinyl and ortho-halobenzene sulfonamides as the initial linchpin that is readily available from the corresponding sulfonyl chlorides (Figure 3.3). The linchpins contain orthogonal functional groups that serve as handles for both facile cyclization and peripheral diversification reactions. Through this process, sultams are synthesized in a quick and facile manner through a "Click, Click, Cyclize" strategy. ${ }^{2}$ Some features of "Click" chemistry include high yields, simple reaction conditions, and formation of benign byproducts. ${ }^{42}$ By streamlining a series of "Click" reactions and performing cyclization of the resulting linchpin with orthogonal functional groups, generation of diverse scaffolds with multiple functional groups as handles can be greatly facilitated. In this report, we introduce a novel mesyl amino ester linchpin that undergoes intramolecular sulfaDieckmann condensation to form a novel 5-membered $\beta$-keto-sultam.

Figure 3.3. Summary of "Click, Click, Cyclize" for synthesis of various sultams with orthogonal functionalities.


The utilization of carbanions adjacent to sulfur for cyclization was first reported by the de las Heras group in 1988, where mesylated alcohols and amines $\mathbf{3 . 2 9 . 1}$ were utilized to
form the corresponding sultones and sultams 3.29.3 via carbanion-mediated sulfonate/sulfonamide intramolecular cyclization reaction (CSIC reaction). ${ }^{43}$ The CSIC reaction entails the usage of nitriles as the functional group that participates in the cyclization with carbanion 3.29.2 generated from the methyl group on the mesylated alcohol or amine to form a five-membered sultone or sultam (Scheme 3.29).

Scheme 3.29. Carbanion-mediated sulfonate/sulfonamide intramolecular cyclization reaction.


A similar strategy was also introduced in 1984, where an alkyl sulfonamide was utilized in synthesizing five-membered $\beta$ - $\gamma$-diketo sultams 3.30.3/3.30.4 (Scheme 3.30). ${ }^{44}$ Condensation of diethyl oxalate $\mathbf{3 . 3 0 . 2}$ with primary sulfonamide $\mathbf{3 . 3 0 . 1}$ followed by basecatalyzed intramolecular cyclization yielded both tautomers of $\beta$ - $\gamma$-diketo sultams 3.30.3/3.30.4, depending on the $R^{1}$ substituent.

Scheme 3.30. Utilization of alkyl sulfonamide in synthesizing five-membered $\beta$ - $\gamma$-diketo sultams.


In efforts to devise a synthetic route towards synthesis of sultam analogs of tetramic acids, we developed a novel route involving "Click, Click, Cyclize" strategy. The first click reaction involves mesylation of commercially available chiral amino esters 3.31.2 in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as base and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as solvent at room temperature, which readily generates
mesylated amino ester 3.31.3 in good yields (Scheme 3.31). The second click reaction involves benzylation of $\mathbf{3 . 3 1 . 3}$ with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as solvent at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford benzylated tertiary sulfonamide 3.31.4. Subsequent sulfa-Dieckmann condensation with LiHMDS as base furnished the desired tetramic acid analogs 3.31.6 in good to excellent yields. This reaction involves the generation of carbanion that is adjacent to the sulfonamide sulfur, which subsequently undergoes intramolecular cyclization by attacking the ester moiety resulting in the desired product and methanol.

Scheme 3.31. Development of route towards synthesis of sultam analogs of tetramic acids.


Substrate scope studies were next investigated in order to survey a variety of starting materials that could be utilized in this reaction sequence. Amino esters derived from natural amino acids bearing alkyl side chains (alanine, valine, leucine, isoleucine), aromatic side chains (phenylalanine, tryptophan), and cyclic side chain (cyclohexyl) were utilized and produced good yields, as summarized below (Table 3.1).

Table 3.1. Intramolecular sulfa-Dieckmann condensation to produce sultam tetramic acid analogs.




### 3.3 Utilization of Cyclic Amino esters For Synthesis of Bicyclic Tetramic Acid Analogs

The method was further extended to incorporate cyclic amino esters for the synthesis of bicyclic tetramic acid analogs. We envisioned that although this excludes one functional handle, namely the sulfonamide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$, the resulting bicyclic core of tetramic acid analogs could occupy a unique chemical space. The modified reaction sequence involved

Scheme 3.32. Application of cyclic amino esters for production of bicyclic tetramic acid analogs.

mesylation of cyclic amino ester 3.32.2, which then underwent intramolecular sulfaDieckman condensation to furnish bicyclic tetramic acid analog 3.32.4 (Scheme 3.32).

Several commercially available cyclic amino esters were selected for validation (Figure 3.4). Both $R$ - and $S$-proline-2-carboxylic acid methyl esters 3.4.A and 3.4.B, and TBS-protected 4-hydroxyproline methyl ester 3.4.C were chosen for production of 5,5-fused bicyclic tetramic acid analogs. Piperidine methyl ester 3.4.D and several other heterocylic derivatives, such as $N$-Boc-piperiazine methyl ester 3.4.E, were selected for synthesis of 5,6fused tetramic acid analogs.

Figure 3.4. Select examples of commercially available cyclic amino esters.


Initial optimization started by searching for an appropriate base for the generation of the carbanion of the methyl group from the mesyl moiety. Several bases were surveyed such as LDA, KHMDS, and LiHMDS. Gratifyingly, it was found that 2 equivalents of LiHMDS at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the best yield without racemization at the amino ester stereogenic center. However, it is noteworthy that initial attempts at intramolecular sulfa-Dieckmann condensation resulted in low yields. It was hypothesized that the low yield resulted from the solubility of sultam 3.33.2 in the aqueous layer during work up. An initial workup procedure was performed by quenching the reaction with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracting with EtOAc. In order to improve the yields of this reaction, we modified the workup procedure by using $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in place of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. This modified workup increased the yield from $43 \%$ to $87 \%$ in the case
of 3.33.5 (Scheme 3.33). Unfortunately, neither the original, nor the modified procedures, were successful in synthesizing thiomorpholine derivative 3.33.8.

Scheme 3.33. Sulfa-Dieckmann condensation involving cyclic amino esters.


### 3.4 Isocyanate Addition for 3-Carboxamide Substituted Tetramic Acid Analogs

There has been an increasing interest in making 3-carboxamide tetramic acids in the recent years. These structures have been identified as suitable templates for new small molecule inhibitors to overcome undesirable physiochemical properties of known active compounds (Figure 3.5). ${ }^{45}$ Moreover, high-throughput screening and docking studies have shown 3-carboxamide tetramic acids to be fruitful targets in chemical biology. ${ }^{46}$ Unlike other known tetramic acids, the exocyclic NH is forming an intramolecular H-bond to stabilize the conformation of the molecule. In this regard, a brief summary of recent syntheses of 3-carboxamide tetramic acids is provided below.

Figure 3.5. Known bioactive 3-carboxamide substituted tetramic acids.


In 2002, Folkes and coworkers reported the synthesis of amido-substituted tetramic acid derivatives. ${ }^{45}$ By reacting the substituted amino ester $\mathbf{3 . 3 4 . 1}$ with methyl malonyl chloride 3.34.2, the precursor 3.34.3 to Dieckmann cyclization was afforded (Scheme 3.34). The precursor 3.34.3 was then exposed to NaOMe in MeOH for Dieckmann cyclization to yield 3-methoxycarbonyl tetramic acids 3.34.4. Reaction with amines in refluxing xylene yielded the corresponding 3-carboxamide tetramic acids 3.34.5.

Scheme 3.34. Synthesis of amido-substituted tetramic acid derivatives.


Moloney and coworkers reported a slightly different route towards 3-carboxamide tetramic acids in 2013. ${ }^{47}$ Starting from $N$-alkyl-glycine methyl ester 3.35.1, monoethyl malonate 3.35.2 was attached using DCC coupling reaction (Fig 3.35a). The resulting N -alkyl-N-malonyl glycine methyl ester $\mathbf{3 . 3 5 . 3}$ was subject to Dieckmann cyclization using NaOMe in refluxing benzene/EtOH to yield 3-ethoxycarbonyl tetramic acids 3.35.4. Similar
to the previous work, the ethyl ester $\mathbf{3 . 3 5 . 4}$ was then substituted with amines in refluxing toluene to afford the desired 3-carboxamide tetramic acids 3.35.5. Another route involved the attachment of the ester portion post cyclization with butyl chloroformate $\mathbf{3 . 3 5 . 7}$ to tetramic acid 3.35.6 (Fig 3.35b). Then, the resulting ester 3.35.8 was transformed to its corresponding amide $\mathbf{3 . 3 5 . 9}$ by refluxing amine in toluene. Alternatively, a direct attachment of the amide moiety was achieved by reaction of the tetramic acid 3.35.6 derivative with phenyl isocyanate in the presence of DMAP to yield 3-carboxamide tetramic acid 3.35.10. This opened up an area where the amide moiety could be inserted at a late-stage modification allowing for more diverse peripheral diversity. This method was extended to produce a library of natural product inspired polysubstituted tetramic acids. ${ }^{48}$

Scheme 3.35. New synthetic route towards 3-carboxamide substituted tetramic acids.
(a)

(b)



However, there are very limited reports regarding sultam analogs of tetramic acids. To the best of our knowledge, sultam analogs of 3-carboxamide tetramic acids have not been described in literature. We herein report the synthesis of 3-carboxamide $\beta$-keto-sultams.

Towards the aforementioned goal of accessing sultam analogs of 3-carboxamide tetramic acids, the synthesis plan involves generation of carbanion by deprotonating the most enolizable proton that is in the alpha position of both sulfonamide and ketone moieties (Scheme 3.36). Subsequent addition of phenyl-substituted isocyanates results in formation of the desired carboxamide functionality at the 3 -position of sultam 3.36.2. This method was then applied to a variety of sultams generated from the previous section, including the bicyclic sultams 3.36.3. The results are summarized in Scheme 3.36 below.

Scheme 3.36. Isocyanate addition to form 3-carboxamide variants.



$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{H} & \text { 3.36.5 } & 43 \% \\
& =\mathrm{OMe} & \text { 3.36.6 } 62 \% \\
& =\mathrm{F} & \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 7} & 48 \% \\
& =\mathrm{Me} & \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 8} & 63 \%
\end{array}
$$



$$
R=H \quad 3.36 .17 \quad 37 \%
$$

$$
=\text { OMe 3.36.18 } 30 \%
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
=F & 3.36 .19 & 35 \% \\
=M e & \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 2 0} & 27 \%
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
\mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{H} & 3.36 .9 & 51 \% \\
& =\text { OMe } & 3.36 .10 & 53 \% \\
& =\mathrm{F} & \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 1} & 48 \% \\
& =\text { Me } & \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 2} & 30 \%
\end{array}
$$



One notable aspect of the resulting 3-carboxamide substituted tetramic acid analogs is that the compounds are partially water-soluble. Due to this solubility, it was not feasible to perform aqueous work up to remove excess $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and the resulting salt byproducts generated from the reaction. The reaction mixtures were concentrated in vacuo and submitted to
preparative/mass-directed HPLC purification in order to utilize reverse phase chromatography conditions.

### 3.5 Structural features and X-ray Crystallography Results

X-ray crystallographic analysis of 3-carboxamide substituted sultam 3.36.18 substantiated its formation (Figure 3.6). To our surprise, the crystal structure revealed that the compound existed in a salt form with triethylammonium ion, after going through preparative/mass-directed LCMS purification. Notably, unusually short hydrogen bonding interactions between the -OH functionalities between two molecules was observed at bond lengths of 2.414 and $2.424 \AA$. Common hydrogen bonding lengths between $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ are reported at around $2.8 \AA$, and when the distance decreased to roughly $2.5 \AA$ it is considered a low-barrier hydrogen bond (LBHB), albeit being a controversial concept. ${ }^{49,50}$ Potentially, this existence of LBHB may play a role in the partial solubility in water.

Figure 3.6. $X$-ray crystal structure of 3.36.18 suggesting presence of LBHB.


Principle moments of inertia (PMI) analysis was conducted for the compounds generated from this project for assessment of molecular diversity, as shown in Chapter $1 .{ }^{51}$

As mentioned earlier, PMI analysis is based on analyzing shape-based descriptors: the minimum energy conformation of each compound is resolved, the corresponding PMI ratios are calculated and normalized, and the resulting data is represented by a triangular plot depicting the molecular shape diversity (Figure 3.7). The results were plotted against a set of FDA approved drug molecules shown in black dots, and also set of NCI's NeXT screening collection shown in purple dots. Monocyclic and bicyclic tetramic acid analogs are represented in blue spheres, and 3-carboxamide tetramic acid analogs are in red spheres. The results show that the core monocyclic and bicyclic scaffolds (blue spheres) occupy the region that is slightly closer to the sphere-like area. As expected, the scaffolds containing carboxamide substituents at the 3-position (red spheres) result in the area that is relatively close to rod-like shape region. Interestingly, the region that 3-carboxamide substituted scaffolds occupy overlaps more with the reported bioactive compounds.

## Figure 3.7.



### 3.6 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully developed a route towards the synthesis of sultam analogs of tetramic acids. This method was further extended for the production of bicyclic scaffolds, allowing for occupation of novel chemical space and search for novel biological activities. Scaffolds generated from this methodology were then further functionalized by addition of isocyanates to generate 3-carboxamide substituted tetramic acid analogs, which are novel compounds that have been gaining attention due to their unique and attenuated biological activities. Compounds generated through these efforts will provide opportunities in searching for novel biological activities, and future efforts will focus on studying chemical reactivity profiles of these entities and submission to biological screening.
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## Chapter 4:

## Supporting Information

## Experimental for Chapters 1-3

## 4.1: Experimental for Chapter 1

All air and moisture sensitive reactions were carried out in flame- or oven-dried glassware under argon atmosphere using standard gas tight syringes, cannulae, and septa. Stirring was achieved with oven-dried, magnetic stir bars. The solvents $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were purified by passage through the Solv-Tek purification system employing activated $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518-1520). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was purified by passage over basic alumina and stored over KOH . Flash column chromatography was performed with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ from Sorbent Technology (30930M-25, Silica Gel 60A, 40-63 um). Thin layer chromatography was performed on silica gel 60F254 plates (EM-5717, Merck). Deuterated solvents were purchased from Cambridge Isotope laboratories. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance operating at 500 MHz and 126 MHz respectively. Highresolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) and FAB spectra were obtained in one of two manners: (i) on a VG Instrument ZAB double-focusing mass spectrometer and (ii) on a LCT Premier Spectrometer (Micromass UK Limited) operating on ESI (MeOH). All library syntheses were carried out in 1 dram vials utilizing Anton Parr ${ }^{\circledR}$ Synthon 3000 microwave platform with parallel evaporations were performed using a GeneVac EZ-2 plus evaporator. Automated preparative reverse-phase HPLC purification was performed using an Waters Mass-Directed Fractionation system (Prep Pump 2525, Make-up pump 515, Sample Manager 2767, UV-DAD detection 2996, Micromass ZD quadrapole spectrometer) and a Waters X-Bridge C18 column (19 x 150mm, 5um, w/ $19 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ guard column). Samples were diluted in DMSO and purified utilized an elution of water (modified to pH 9.8 through
addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, with a gradient increasing to $20 \%$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ over 4 minutes at a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. The preparative gradient, triggering thresholds, and UV wavelength were selected based on the HPLC analysis of each crude sample. Analytical analysis of each sample after purification employed a Waters Acquity system with UV and mass detection (Waters LCT Premier). The analytical method utilized a Waters Aquity BEH C18 column ( $2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) eluting with a linear gradient of $5 \%$ water (modified to pH 9.8 through addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ) to $100 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate were purity was determined using UV peak area at 214 nm .

### 4.1.1 Library Production of RCM-Derived Scaffold via Click-aza-Michael 2,3-Dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide (1.8.3).



Into a r.b flask was added allyl amine ( $6.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 87.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.), dry $\mathrm{DCM}(160 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.5 M ), and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $36.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 262.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv.). The stirring solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to which was added dropwise 2-chloroethanesulfonyl chloride $(8.32 \mathrm{ml}, 79.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.). After addition, the reaction was warmed to rt and stirred for an additional 4 hrs. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ aq. ( 60 ml ), the organic layer extracted and washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ aq. $(60 \mathrm{ml}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine $(60 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated.

To the crude material, $N$-allylethenesulfonamide ( 11.5 g , yellow oil) in a r.b flask was added Ar degassed dry $\mathrm{DCM}(0.07 \mathrm{M}, 111 \mathrm{ml})$, and the reaction was heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Over the required 3 hr reaction period at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, G-II $\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{IMesH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{Cl})_{2} \mathrm{Ru}\right] \mathrm{CHPh}\right](2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added in 5 equal portions every 30 mins, essential for complete conversion of the starting material to product. After such time, the reaction was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography to yield the desired product as a brown oil $(8.35 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ over 2 steps).

FTIR (neat): $3552,3274,1386,1274,1151,1103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.23 (br s, 1H), 4.10 (dt, $J=4.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.3,127.2,47.8 ;$

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 120.0119$; found 120.0121 (TOF MS ES+ + ).

## 2-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide (1.8.4).



Into a 500 ml rb flask under Ar , was added 2,3-dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide 1.8.3 (4.05g, 33.9 mmol, 1 equiv), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(170 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(9.36 \mathrm{~g}, 67.8 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 equiv). To the stirring slurry was added propargyl bromide ([80\% in tol.], $7.58 \mathrm{~g}, 50.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv), after which the reaction was heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hrs [TLC monitoring (7:3 EtOAc:hexane, $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{1 . 8 . 4}=0.5, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{1 . 8 . 3}=0.3\right]$. After such time the reaction was cooled to rt , filtered through a $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}$, washed with $\mathrm{EtOAC}(200 \mathrm{ml})$ and concentrated. The resulting crude liquid was diluted in toluene ( 200 ml ), concentrated and dried under vacuum to yield the desired product $\mathbf{1 . 8 . 4}(5.06 \mathrm{~g}, 32.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%)$ as yellow oil.

FTIR (neat): $3274,1386,1274,1141,1103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.10$ (m, 2H), $3.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 135.6,127.1,74.1,51.4,33.8 ;$
HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$158.0276; found 158.0279 (TOF MS ES+ + .

## General procedure A for the synthesis of Library A via a one-pot Click/aza-Michael

 protocol.To a 1-dram vial containing 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide 1.8.4 (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.318 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was added CuI ( $18.2 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DBU ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), dry EtOH ( $0.64 \mathrm{ml}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ), amine ( $0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) and azide ( 0.636 mmol , 2 equiv.). The reaction was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a reaction block for for 12 hrs , after which time the reactions were cooled, filtered through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}$ into pre-weighed barcoded vials, washed with eluent ( 2 ml , EtOAc:MeOH 95:5) and concentrated. The crude reaction was concentrated and QC/purified by an automated preparative reverse phase HPLC (detected by mass spectroscopy).

## General procedure B for the synthesis of Library B via two-step Click, aza-Michael with amines 12 - 15 and azides $A-L$.

To a 1-dram vial containing 2-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide 1.8.4 (50 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.318 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) was added $\mathrm{CuI}(18.2 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{DBU}(5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, dry $\operatorname{EtOH}(0.64 \mathrm{ml}, 0.5 \mathrm{M})$, and azide ( 0.636 mmol , 2 equiv). The reaction was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a reaction block for for 4 hrs , after which time the reactions were cooled, filtered through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, washed with eluent ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) and concentrated. The crude was transferred to a 1-dram vial, where DBU ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), dry EtOH ( $0.64 \mathrm{ml}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ), amine ( 0.38 mmol , 1.2 equiv.) was added. The reaction was subsequently heated at at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a reaction block for for 10 hrs , after which time the reactions were cooled, filtered through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}$ and concentrated into pre-weighed barcoded vials, washed with eluent ( $2 \mathrm{ml}, \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}$

95:5) and concentrated. The crude reaction was concentrated and QC/purified by an automated preparative reverse phase HPLC (detected by mass spectroscopy).

## 2-((1-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(4-(2-fluorobenzyl)piperazin-1-

 yl)isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{M}\}$.

FTIR (neat): 2944, 1492, 1305, $1138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 3.49 (s, 2H), $3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 160.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C F}=242.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.1,135.1,132.8,131.6(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{C F}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C F}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.8,124.4,124.3,124.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C F}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J_{C F}=22.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 56.4,54.4,52.2,52.0,49.3,49.0,47.8,38.7 ;$

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClFN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$519.1746; found 519.1739 (TOF MS ES+ $)$.

2-((1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(4-(3-methylbenzyl)piperazin-1yl)isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{A}\}$.


FTIR (neat): 2925, 2809, 1305, 1151, $1138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z ) ~} \delta 142.0,138.0,137.2,136.1,129.4,128.7,128.1,128.0$, $127.8,127.6,125.9,124.3,62.0,56.4,52.8,52.4,49.3,49.0,47.7,38.7 ;$

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 481.2386$; found 481.2389 (TOF MS ES + ).

2-((1-(3-Methoxypropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(4-(3-methylbenzyl)piperazin-1-yl)
isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{K}\}$.


FTIR (neat): 2955, 2826, 1299, $1141 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{p}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ) $\delta 141.6,138.0,137.2,129.4,128.0,127.6,125.9,124.2$, $68.4,62.0,58.0,56.5,52.4,49.3,49.1,47.8,46.7,38.7,29.8,21.0 ;$

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 463.2492$; found 463.2486 (TOF MS ES + ).

## Methyl 4-(4-((4-(4-(3-methylbenzyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,1-dioxidoisothiazolidin-2-

yl)methyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-1-yl)butanoate $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{L}\}$.


FTIR (neat): 2953, 1729, 1299, $1140 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}^{\text {NMR }}\left(\mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.39-2.29 (m, 10 H$), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 172.5,141.7,138.0,137.2,129.4,127.6,125.9,124.2$, $62.0,56.5,52.4,51.4,49.3,49.1,48.6,47.8,38.7,30.8,25.1,21.0$;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 491.2441$; found 491.2389 (TOF MS ES+ + .

## 2-((1-(3,5-Dimethylbenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-

 thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{F}\}$.

FTIR (neat): 2913, 1302, 1138, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}^{\text {NMR (DMSO-d }} \mathbf{6}$, $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z )} 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ (s, 2H), $3.57(\mathrm{p}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), 2.24 (s, 6H);
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 141.9,137.8,135.8,129.5,125.3,124.2,57.2,52.8$, 51.1, 48.6, 46.7, 38.5, 27.1, 20.8;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 422.1685$; found 422.1705 (TOF MS ES+ $)$.

## 2-((1-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine

## 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{I}\}$.



FTIR (neat): 2912, 2821, 1434, 1301, $1044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}^{\text {NMR }}\left(\mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 8.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{p}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, 10.0,7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54$ (m, 4H);
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 142.1,138.4,133.3,130.7,128.1,127.8,126.6,124.5$, 57.2, 52.0, 51.1, 48.7, 46.7, 38.5, 27.2;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 428.0982$; found 428.0991 (TOF MS ES+). $3\{J\}$


FTIR (neat): 2928, 1456, 1303, 1140, $1048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, 500 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60$ (pent., $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45 (dd, $J=13.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22$ (dd, $J=13.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.79$ (pent., $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 141.5,124.0,57.2,51.1,49.4,48.7,46.8,38.6,30.6$, 29.6, 27.2, 25.5, 21.9, 13.9;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 388.1841$; found 388.1851 (TOF MS ES+).

2-((1-(3-Methoxypropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine

## 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{K}\}$



FTIR (neat): 2928, 1454, 1301, 1139, 1115, $1046 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60$ (pent., $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.03$ (pent., $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ) $\delta 141.5,124.2,68.5,58.0,57.2,51.1,48.7,46.8,46.7$, 38.6, 29.8, 27.2;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$376.1477; found 376.1493 (TOF MS ES+ + .

## 2-((1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)isothiazolidine

## 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{6}\{B\}$.



FTIR (neat): 2914, 1305, 1132, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 135.6,135.5,130.8,130.1,125.7,57.6,54.1,52.4,52.3$, 51.8, 39.9, 24.1;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 396.1260$; found 396.1288 (TOF MS ES+ + ).

## 2-((1-(4-Methylbenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)isothiazolidine

 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{D}\}$.

FTIR (neat): 2910, 1300, 1136, $1047 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{M e O D}\right) \delta 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=15.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{td}, J=9.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 139.3,133.4,130.3,128.8,125.1,57.2,54.4,51.9,51.9$, 51.4, 39.6, 23.7, 20.8;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 376.1807$; found 376.1825 (TOF MS ES+).

## 2-((1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(piperidin-1-yl)isothiazolidine

## 1,1-dioxide $7\{B\}$.



FTIR (neat): 2918, 1308, 1131, $1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{M e O D}\right) \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.5,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta \delta 135.6,135.5,130.8,130.1,58.8,54.2,52.0,50.7,39.7$, 26.6, 25.1;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 410.1418$; found 410.1438 (TOF MS ES+ + ).

## 2,3-Dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide (1.8.3)



## 2-(Prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide (1.8.4)



## 2-((1-(2-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(4-(2-fluorobenzyl)piperazin-1-

 yl)isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide ( $\mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{M}\}$ )


## 2-((1-Benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(4-(3-methylbenzyl)piperazin-1-

 yl)isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide (2\{A\})



2-((1-(3-Methoxypropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(4-(3-methylbenzyl)piperazin-1-yl)isothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide ( $2\{\mathbf{K}\}$ )


Methyl 4-(4-((4-(4-(3-methylbenzyl)piperazin-1-yl)-1,1-dioxidoisothiazolidin-2-yl)methyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-1-yl)butanoate (2\{L\})


## 2-((1-(3,5-Dimethylbenzyl)-1H-1, 2, 3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-

thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide (3\{F\})



## 2-((1-(3-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine

 1,1-dioxide (3\{I\})


2-((1-Hexyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide (3\{J\})



2-((1-(3-Methoxypropyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-thiomorpholinoisothiazolidine 1,1-dioxide (3\{K\})



## 2-((1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)isothiazolidine

 1,1-dioxide ( $6\{B\}$ )


## 2-((1-(4-Methylbenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)isothiazolidine

 1,1-dioxide (6\{D\})


## 2-((1-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(piperidin-1-yl)isothiazolidine

 1,1-dioxide (7 $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{B}\}$ )


| Comp. | HRMS Expected <br> M/z $(\mathrm{M})^{+}$ | HRMS Found <br> M/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ | Mass (mg) | Purity (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{A}\}$ | 484.2056 | 485.2153 | 48.1 mg | $97.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 518.1667 | 519.1739 | 44.2 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 502.1962 | 503.2058 | 42.8 mg | $97.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 498.2213 | 499.2291 | 38.3 mg | $99.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 514.2162 | 515.2245 | 58.6 mg | $95.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 512.2369 | 513.2458 | 29.3 mg | $98.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 552.1930 | 553.2005 | 38.3 mg | $97.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 552.1930 | 553.2022 | 41.3 mg | $99.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 518.1667 | 519.1757 | 31.8 mg | $99.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 478.2526 | 479.2626 | 29.1 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 466.2162 | 467.2246 | 32.6 mg | $99.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 494.2111 | 495.2186 | 28.5 mg | $99.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 480.2307 | 481.2389 | 29.3 mg | $99.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 514.1917 | 515.1992 | 35.8 mg | $98.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 498.2213 | 499.2295 | 44.8 mg | $99.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 494.2463 | 495.2545 | 44.7 mg | $98.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 510.2413 | 511.2496 | 17.7 mg | $60.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 508.2620 | 509.2706 | 43.0 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 548.2181 | 549.2261 | 32.2 mg | $98.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 548.2181 | 549.2242 | 37.3 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 514.1917 | 515.2001 | 25.4 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 474.2776 | 475.2872 | 30.7 mg | $99.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 462.2413 | 463.2486 | 25.7 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 490.2362 | 491.2389 | 29.3 mg | $99.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 393.1293 | 394.1394 | 12.9 mg | $89.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 427.0903 | 428.0991 | 16.8 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 411.1198 | 412.1292 | 16.2 mg | $89.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 407.1449 | 408.1539 | 16.5 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 423.1398 | 424.1484 | 14.0 mg | $93.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 421.1606 | 422.1705 | 20.9 mg | $96.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 461.1167 | 462.1259 | 24.1 mg | $98.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 461.1167 | 462.1251 | 22.0 mg | $96.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 427.0903 | 428.0991 | 25.8 mg | $98.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 387.1762 | 388.1851 | 15.0 mg | $97.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 375.1398 | 376.1493 | 16.2 mg | $97.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 403.1347 | 404.1437 | 8.1 mg | $84.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 460.2620 | 461.2720 | 10.6 mg | $94.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 494.2230 | 495.2325 | 10.8 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 478.2526 | 479.2604 | 9.2 mg | $94.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 474.2776 | 475.2846 | 20.5 mg | $98.2 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 490.2726 | 491.2820 | 12.8 mg | $81.7 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 488.2933 | 489.3016 | 15.1 mg | $92.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 528.2494 | 529.2567 | 16.1 mg | $88.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 528.2494 | 529.2580 | 14.3 mg | $90.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 494.2230 | 495.2308 | 10.6 mg | $97.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 454.3089 | 455.3181 | 9.9 mg | $97.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 442.2726 | 443.2823 | 9.0 mg | $87.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 470.2675 | 471.2753 | 6.0 mg | $92.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 359.1415 | 360.1506 | 40.0 mg | $92.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 393.1026 | 394.1125 | 39.5 mg | $93.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 377.1321 | 378.1426 | 21.9 mg | $90.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 373.1572 | 374.1666 | 36.6 mg | $89.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 389.1521 | 390.1592 | 35.9 mg | $95.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 387.1728 | 388.1819 | 19.9 mg | $85.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 427.1289 | 428.1374 | 34.9 mg | $86.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 427.1289 | 428.1368 | 42.1 mg | $95.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 393.1026 | 394.1121 | 38.4 mg | $94.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 353.1885 | 354.1969 | 22.8 mg | $87.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 341.1521 | 342.1595 | 23.7 mg | $87.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 369.1470 | 370.1554 | 35.2 mg | $92.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 361.1572 | 362.1671 | 57.3 mg | $97.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 395.1182 | 396.1288 | 69.3 mg | $98.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 379.1478 | 380.1569 | 51.0 mg | $97.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 375.1728 | 376.1825 | 48.7 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 391.1678 | 392.1744 | 60.5 mg | $98.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 389.1885 | 390.1976 | 77.1 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 429.1446 | 430.1522 | 69.2 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 429.1446 | 430.1544 | 67.9 mg | $97.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 395.1182 | 396.1271 | 60.8 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 355.2041 | 356.2140 | 52.4 mg | $96.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 343.1678 | 344.1757 | 59.2 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 371.1627 | 372.1714 | 53.4 mg | $96.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 375.1728 | 376.1805 | 57.7 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 409.1339 | 410.1438 | 61.8 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 393.1634 | 394.1719 | 61.2 mg | $97.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 389.1885 | 390.1964 | 46.9 mg | $99.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 405.1834 | 406.1928 | 51.2 mg | $98.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 403.2041 | 404.2126 | 55.1 mg | $96.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 443.1602 | 444.1696 | 66.9 mg | $96.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 443.1602 | 444.1691 | 67.0 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 409.1339 | 410.1407 | 59.7 mg | $98.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 369.2198 | 370.2278 | 33.6 mg | $97.0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{K}\}$ | 357.1834 | 358.1918 | 49.1 mg | $97.1 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 385.1783 | 386.1882 | 39.4 mg | $97.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 335.1415 | 336.1514 | 34.9 mg | $99.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 369.1026 | 370.1110 | 41.9 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 353.1321 | 354.1402 | 35.9 mg | $99.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 349.1572 | 350.1653 | 30.9 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 365.1521 | 366.1608 | 38.0 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 363.1728 | 364.1826 | 49.3 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 403.1289 | 404.1367 | 45.7 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 403.1289 | 404.1371 | 55.7 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 369.1026 | 370.1119 | 43.9 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 329.1885 | 330.1975 | 46.9 mg | $99.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 317.1521 | 318.1617 | 13.0 mg | $91.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 345.1470 | 346.1571 | 26.5 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 349.1572 | 350.1661 | 31.4 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 383.1182 | 384.1274 | 28.8 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 367.1478 | 368.1559 | 32.5 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 363.1728 | 364.1822 | 36.4 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 379.1678 | 380.1761 | 27.8 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 377.1885 | 378.1973 | 33.9 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 417.1446 | 418.1529 | 34.0 mg | $99.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 417.1446 | 418.1536 | 37.8 mg | $99.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 383.1182 | 384.1261 | 38.3 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 343.2041 | 344.2115 | 30.9 mg | $98.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 331.1678 | 332.1770 | 28.3 mg | $97.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 359.1627 | 360.1713 | 22.8 mg | $98.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 363.1728 | 364.1814 | 30.8 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 397.1339 | 398.1418 | 30.5 mg | $99.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 381.1634 | 382.1718 | 30.9 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 377.1885 | 378.1976 | 31.8 mg | $96.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 393.1834 | 394.1907 | 30.6 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 391.2041 | 392.2122 | 29.8 mg | $99.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 431.1602 | 432.1678 | 28.0 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 431.1602 | 432.1700 | 38.1 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 397.1339 | 398.1406 | 31.4 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 357.2198 | 358.2291 | 22.5 mg | $99.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 345.1834 | 346.1910 | 27.3 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 373.1783 | 374.1858 | 15.4 mg | $99.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 492.2055 | 493.2166 | 23.5 mg | $99.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 560.1276 | 561.1351 | 30.1 mg | $98.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 528.1867 | 529.1973 | 29.1 mg | $98.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 520.2368 | 521.2452 | 26.9 mg | $97.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 552.2267 | 553.2336 | 24.8 mg | $99.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 548.2681 | 549.2745 | 20.7 mg | $88.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 628.1803 | 629.1876 | 29.5 mg | $96.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 628.1803 | 629.1880 | 32.0 mg | $94.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 560.1276 | 561.1326 | 20.7 mg | $94.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 480.2994 | 481.3079 | 18.3 mg | $94.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 456.2267 | 457.2344 | 15.3 mg | $99.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 512.2165 | 513.2237 | 19.4 mg | $97.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 390.1837 | 391.1910 | 17.8 mg | $99.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 424.1448 | 425.1529 | 12.4 mg | $97.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 408.1743 | 409.1832 | 22.5 mg | $99.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 404.1994 | 405.2089 | 37.4 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 420.1943 | 421.2021 | 30.6 mg | $99.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 418.2150 | 419.2209 | 12.9 mg | $93.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 458.1711 | 459.1787 | 63.2 mg | $99.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 458.1711 | 459.1795 | 30.2 mg | $97.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 424.1448 | 425.1544 | 18.1 mg | $97.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 384.2307 | 385.2394 | 52.9 mg | $97.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 372.1943 | 373.2020 | 49.9 mg | $97.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 400.1892 | 401.1975 | 20.9 mg | $97.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 447.2416 | 448.2503 | 15.0 mg | $94.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 481.2026 | 482.2089 | 12.6 mg | $95.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 465.2322 | 466.2395 | 45.1 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 461.2572 | 462.2646 | 17.2 mg | $98.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 477.2522 | 478.2593 | 35.9 mg | $96.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 475.2729 | 476.2809 | 13.4 mg | $91.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 515.2290 | 516.2383 | 62.2 mg | $98.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 515.2290 | 516.2368 | 31.0 mg | $94.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 481.2026 | 482.2080 | 21.8 mg | $96.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 441.2885 | 442.2977 | 28.9 mg | $94.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 429.2522 | 430.2625 | 21.8 mg | $95.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 457.2471 | 458.2586 | 28.7 mg | $92.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 473.2572 | NA | NA | NA |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{B}\}$ | 507.2183 | 508.2254 | 6.6 mg | $95.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 491.2478 | 492.2542 | 17.7 mg | $99.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 487.2729 | 488.2804 | 19.9 mg | $98.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 503.2678 | 504.2772 | 17.0 mg | $97.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 501.2885 | 502.2981 | 1.7 mg | $92.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 541.2446 | 542.2553 | 83.6 mg | $98.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 541.2446 | 542.2510 | 18.3 mg | $98.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 507.2183 | 508.2267 | 24.0 mg | $94.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 467.3042 | 468.3139 | 79.2 mg | $97.9 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{K}\}$ | 455.2678 | 456.2773 | 30.0 mg | $92.3 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 483.2627 | 484.2695 | 17.1 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 420.1943 | 421.2033 | 17.5 mg | $95.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{~B}\}$ | 454.1553 | 455.1652 | 13.5 mg | $96.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 438.1849 | 439.1934 | 30.7 mg | $99.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 434.2100 | 435.2179 | 14.3 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 450.2049 | 451.2133 | 19.6 mg | $98.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{~F}\}$ | 448.2256 | 449.2339 | 13.2 mg | $97.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{G}\}$ | 488.1817 | 489.1891 | 62.1 mg | $95.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{H}\}$ | 488.1817 | 489.1899 | 42.1 mg | $98.4 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{I}\}$ | 454.1553 | 455.1622 | 16.4 mg | $97.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{~J}\}$ | 414.2413 | 415.2501 | 44.1 mg | $100.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{~K}\}$ | 402.2049 | 403.2145 | 43.4 mg | $99.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}\{\mathrm{~L}\}$ | 430.1998 | 431.2083 | 23.3 mg | $100.0 \%$ |

1 \{B\}
ID PSL14-1220-1B File AR101008WT049 Date 09-Nov-2010 Time 18:38:41 Description MDF024266
3: UV Detector: 214
1 \{D $\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad$ Range: $\begin{array}{r}4.879 \mathrm{e}-1 \\ 4.879 \mathrm{e}-1\end{array}$


1 \{F \}

$$
\text { 3: UV Detector: } 214
$$

5.71e-1
(4) Range: 5.71e-1

## 1 \{H\}

ID PSL14-1220-1H File AR101008WT055 Date 09-Nov-2010 Time 15:26:49 Description MDF024337

$1\{\mathrm{~L}\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 3.126e-1

$2\{\mathrm{~A}\}$
3: UV Detector: 214
$4.448 \mathrm{e}-1$
Range: 4.448e-1

$2\{C\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 (
$2\{\mathrm{~F}\}$
3: UV Detector: 214

3 \{A\}
3: UV Detector: 214 2.689e-1

$3\{C\}$
3: UV Detector: 214
$2.858 \mathrm{e}-1$
Range: $2.858 \mathrm{e}-1$


3 \{E\}


4 \{A \}

4 \{C $\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad \begin{array}{r}1.4 \mathrm{e}-1 \\ \text { Range: } 1.4 \mathrm{e}-1\end{array}$

$4\{\mathrm{E}\}$

$5\{\mathrm{~A}\}$
3: UV Detector: 214
$6.13 e$

5 \{C

5\{E\}

3: UV Detector: $214 \quad$| 5.918e- |
| :--- |
|  |
| Range: |
| $17 e-$ |



6 \{A \}
3: UV Detector: 214
$6\{C\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 (6)
$6\{E\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad 5.301 e-8.3$ Range: 5


7 \{A \}
3: UV Detector: 214
$5.198 \mathrm{e}-1$

$7\{C\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 4.317e-1

7 \{E\}
3: UV Detector: 214 5.034e-1


8 \{A \}
3: UV Detector: 214 (2)
$8\{C\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 (3)
$8\{E\}$
3: UV Detector: 214
$9\{A\}$


9 \{C
3: UV Detector: 214 3.745e-1

$9\{E\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad \begin{aligned} & 4.422 e-1 \\ & \end{aligned}$

$10\{\mathrm{~A}\}$


## $10\{\mathrm{C}\}$


$10\{E\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad 3.796 \mathrm{e}-1$


11 A \}


## $11\{\mathrm{C}\}$

3: UV Detector: $214 \quad 4.277 e-1$


## $11\{\mathrm{E}\}$


$12\{A\}$
3: UV Detector: 214
$3.151 \mathrm{e}-1$

$12\{\mathrm{C}\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 (3)
12 \{E\}
3: UV Detector: 214 (2)
$13\{\mathrm{~A}\}$
3: UV Detector: 214 (4)
$13\{C\}$

$13\{E\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad 2.948 \mathrm{e}-1$

$14\{B\}$


## $14\{C\}$

3: UV Detector: 214 1.946e-1


## $14\{\mathrm{E}\}$

3: UV Detector: 214
$15\{\mathrm{~A}\}$
3: UV Detector: $214 \quad \begin{aligned} & 2.706 e-1 \\ & 2.706 e-1\end{aligned}$


## $15\{\mathrm{C}\}$

3: UV Detector: $214 \quad 2.505 e-1$


## $15\{\mathrm{E}\}$

3: uv Detector: 214

## Insilico Analysis

Sketched electronic versions of the library compounds were imported into the Tripos Molecular Spreadsheet [1] wherein standard Lipinski Rule of 5 parameters (molecular weight, ClogP, number of H -acceptors, and number of H -donors[2]) plus the number of rotatable bonds and polar surface area were computed. Lipinski violations were specified according to molecular weight $>500, \mathrm{Clog} P>5.0$, number of acceptors $>10$, number of donors $>5$, and number of rotatable bonds $>5$. The structures were then exported into SDF format and coverted into three-dimensional protonated structures via Concord [3]. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) profiles of these compounds was then generated via Volsurf [4]. Descriptors were generated using three probes (water, hydrophobic and carbonyl oxygen) with a grid space distribution of $1.0 \AA$. Predictions were then projected onto internal ADME models at the 5-component level. Finally diversity analysis was carried out using DiverseSolutions [5] using standard H-aware 3D BCUT descriptors. The library was then projected onto a chemical space defined by the following descriptors: gastchrg_invdist2_000.550_K_L, gastchrg_invdist6_000.500_K_H, haccept_invdist2_001.000_K_H, tabpolar_invdist_000.250_K_H, tabpolar_invdist_000.500_K_L and populated (for comparison) by a recent version of the MLSMR screening set (ca. 7/2010; ~330,000 unique chemical structures). Diversity scores ( $\operatorname{div}(A))$ for our library were then generated for each of our compounds $(A)$ according to the expression:

$$
\operatorname{div}(A)=\frac{\operatorname{pop}[\operatorname{Cell}(A)]}{\sum_{i \in O c c} \operatorname{pop}(i) / N_{o c c}}
$$

where $N_{o c c}$ is the number of cells occupied by PubChem compounds in an evenly distributed $10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10$ grid decomposition of the chemistry space, and pop(i) is the population of cell $i$.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Molecu } \\ \text { le } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CLOG } \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mol. Wt | Accept <br> or | Don or | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Rot } \\ \text { Bon } \\ \text { d } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LIP_VIO } \\ \text { LS } \end{gathered}$ | PSA | $\begin{gathered} \text { DIV } \\ \mathbf{S} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BB } \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SOL } \\ \mathbf{Y} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CAC} \\ \mathrm{O} 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{S P}_{-} \\ \mathbf{S}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{P}_{-}}{\mathbf{S P}_{-}}$ | PB | $\begin{gathered} \text { VOL } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HER } \\ \text { G } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sol_DM } \\ \text { SO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { METST } \\ \text { AB } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A $\{1\}$ | 2.14 | $\begin{gathered} 340.4 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 138.9 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | 0.11 | 0.4 2 | -3.53 | -0.06 | 0.48 | 0.17 | $\begin{gathered} 63.6 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | -0.39 | 1.10 | 2.13 | 0.08 |
| A \{2, | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 138.91 | 0.11 | -0.33 | -3.66 | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.13 | 61.22 | -0.43 | 1.00 | 1.78 | -0.02 |
| A $\{3\}$ | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 139.90 | 0.11 | -0.56 | -3.55 | -0.01 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 67.93 | -0.68 | 0.93 | 1.81 | -0.03 |
| A $\{4\}$ | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 139.71 | 0.11 | -0.45 | -3.88 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.19 | 67.06 | -0.58 | 0.76 | 1.69 | -0.24 |
| A $\{5\}$ | 3.09 | 353.48 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 92.51 | 0.11 | 0.43 | -4.22 | 0.39 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 76.24 | -0.31 | 0.55 | 1.32 | -0.34 |
| A $\{6\}$ | 3.48 | 356.50 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 115.66 | 0.11 | 0.30 | -3.81 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 78.25 | -0.74 | 0.77 | 0.88 | -0.24 |
| A $\{7\}$ | 5.38 | 443.60 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 89.74 | 0.11 | -0.16 | -6.14 | 0.71 | -0.30 | 0.76 | 113.09 | -0.78 | -0.15 | 1.37 | -0.72 |
| A $\{8\}$ | 2.62 | 328.43 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 144.92 | 0.11 | -0.51 | -3.53 | -0.05 | 0.53 | 0.15 | 59.93 | -0.42 | 1.05 | 2.10 | -0.02 |
| B $\{1\}$ | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 118.37 | 0.10 | -0.35 | -3.39 | 0.30 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 63.25 | -0.42 | 1.17 | 2.21 | 0.03 |
| B $\{2$ \} | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 118.37 | 0.10 | -0.18 | -3.65 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 63.32 | -0.35 | 0.99 | 2.01 | 0.00 |
| B $\{3$ \} | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 121.03 | 0.10 | -0.21 | -3.99 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.44 | 70.97 | -0.53 | 0.80 | 1.92 | -0.24 |
| B $\{4\}$ | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 119.20 | 0.10 | -0.29 | -3.99 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 65.83 | -0.51 | 0.73 | 1.84 | -0.31 |
| B $\{5$ \} | 3.09 | 353.48 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 69.05 | 0.10 | 0.41 | -3.93 | 0.67 | 0.28 | 0.62 | 69.35 | -0.77 | 0.85 | 0.85 | -0.35 |
| B $\{6\}$ | 3.48 | 356.50 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 88.85 | 0.10 | 0.70 | -4.50 | 0.88 | 0.23 | 0.73 | 80.30 | -0.67 | 0.43 | 1.04 | -0.43 |
| B $\{7$ \} | 5.38 | 443.60 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 66.28 | 0.10 | 0.26 | -6.01 | 1.01 | -0.36 | 0.88 | 108.06 | -1.03 | -0.12 | 0.47 | -0.61 |
| B $\{8$ \} | 2.62 | 328.43 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 121.28 | 0.10 | -0.45 | -3.37 | 0.21 | 0.58 | 0.30 | 60.78 | -0.15 | 1.17 | 2.64 | 0.28 |
| C $\{1\}$ | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 150.53 | 0.79 | -0.26 | -3.07 | -0.10 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 59.93 | -0.34 | 1.28 | 2.12 | 0.39 |
| C \{2 | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 150.53 | 0.79 | -0.28 | -3.08 | -0.08 | 0.65 | -0.05 | 52.76 | -0.41 | 1.15 | 1.82 | 0.24 |
| C \{3\} | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 151.52 | 0.79 | -0.47 | -3.13 | -0.04 | 0.51 | 0.15 | 64.81 | -0.66 | 1.01 | 1.83 | 0.31 |
| C $\{4\}$ | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 151.33 | 0.79 | -0.49 | -3.35 | -0.02 | 0.55 | 0.05 | 62.62 | -0.54 | 0.86 | 1.86 | 0.11 |
| C $\{5\}$ | 1.63 | 311.40 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 104.13 | 0.79 | 0.51 | -3.72 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 75.31 | -0.23 | 0.79 | 1.46 | -0.05 |
| C $\{6\}$ | 2.02 | 314.42 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 127.28 | 0.79 | 0.46 | -3.26 | 0.55 | 0.36 | 0.58 | 78.34 | -0.62 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 0.15 |
| C $\{7$ \} | 3.92 | 401.52 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 101.36 | 0.79 | -0.16 | -5.70 | 0.63 | -0.11 | 0.72 | 112.54 | -0.57 | 0.20 | 1.71 | -0.47 |
| C $\{8\}$ | 1.16 | 286.35 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 156.54 | 0.79 | -0.33 | -3.25 | -0.11 | 0.66 | -0.01 | 55.56 | -0.41 | 1.11 | 1.87 | 0.24 |
| D $\{1\}$ | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 129.99 | 0.15 | -0.13 | -3.03 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 67.78 | -0.39 | 1.20 | 2.14 | 0.45 |
| D $\{2$ \} | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 129.99 | 0.15 | -0.05 | -3.24 | 0.31 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 65.89 | -0.33 | 1.00 | 1.96 | 0.43 |
| D $\{3\}$ | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 131.30 | 0.15 | -0.01 | -3.46 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 0.38 | 70.74 | -0.39 | 0.83 | 1.99 | 0.24 |
| D $\{4$ \} | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 130.82 | 0.15 | -0.20 | -3.80 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 70.03 | -0.50 | 0.72 | 1.85 | 0.05 |
| D $\{5\}$ | 1.63 | 311.40 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 80.67 | 0.15 | 0.60 | -3.42 | 0.67 | 0.39 | 0.58 | 70.32 | -0.71 | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.01 |
| D $\{6\}$ | 2.02 | 314.42 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 100.47 | 0.02 | 0.80 | -4.20 | 0.79 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 84.74 | -0.56 | 0.66 | 1.02 | -0.21 |
| D $\{7\}$ | 3.92 | 401.52 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 79.05 | 0.27 | 0.43 | -5.39 | 0.95 | -0.22 | 0.75 | 101.95 | -0.72 | 0.10 | 0.67 | -0.45 |
| $\mathrm{D}\{8\}$ | 1.16 | 286.35 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 132.90 | 0.20 | -0.24 | -3.09 | 0.14 | 0.73 | 0.23 | 64.99 | -0.06 | 1.23 | 2.59 | 0.68 |
| E $\{1\}$ | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 147.35 | 0.20 | -0.32 | -3.92 | 0.06 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 66.79 | -0.46 | 0.88 | 1.81 | 0.07 |
| E \{2 | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 147.42 | 0.20 | -0.32 | -3.96 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 68.83 | -0.44 | 0.92 | 1.91 | 0.09 |
| E\{3\} | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 148.65 | 0.20 | -0.49 | -3.91 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 71.13 | -0.72 | 0.76 | 1.58 | -0.03 |
| E \{4\} | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 148.46 | 0.20 | -0.42 | -4.25 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 74.53 | -0.52 | 0.75 | 1.90 | -0.19 |
| $\mathrm{E}\{5\}$ | 3.09 | 353.48 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100.98 | 0.20 | 0.47 | -4.64 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 81.13 | -0.32 | 0.41 | 1.15 | -0.39 |
| E\{6\} | 3.48 | 356.50 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 124.15 | 0.20 | 0.23 | -3.99 | 0.57 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 84.57 | -0.69 | 0.75 | 1.16 | -0.20 |
| E 17$\}$ | 5.38 | 443.60 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 98.22 | 0.13 | -0.19 | -6.12 | 0.66 | -0.32 | 0.79 | 114.83 | -0.81 | -0.17 | 1.40 | -0.72 |
| E $\{8$ \} | 2.62 | 328.43 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 152.89 | 0.13 | -0.56 | -3.81 | 0.02 | 0.51 | 0.18 | 67.36 | -0.57 | 0.98 | 1.91 | 0.00 |
| F $\{1\}$ | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 126.78 | 0.13 | -0.11 | -3.89 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 73.49 | -0.25 | 0.82 | 2.17 | 0.09 |
| F \{2 \} | 2.14 | 340.44 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 126.83 | 0.13 | -0.25 | -3.79 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.32 | 73.88 | -0.36 | 1.01 | 2.19 | 0.09 |
| F $\{3$ \} | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 128.10 | 0.13 | -0.38 | -4.22 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.41 | 78.05 | -0.42 | 0.57 | 2.23 | -0.16 |
| F 4 \} | 2.00 | 354.46 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 127.93 | 0.13 | -0.49 | -4.34 | 0.35 | 0.32 | 0.42 | 81.45 | -0.53 | 0.75 | 2.27 | -0.24 |
| F $\{5$ \} | 3.09 | 353.48 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 78.08 | 0.13 | 0.30 | -4.32 | 0.67 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 83.06 | -0.71 | 0.63 | 1.25 | -0.35 |
| F $\{6\}$ | 3.48 | 356.50 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 97.30 | 0.13 | 0.71 | -5.14 | 0.85 | 0.15 | 0.67 | 95.35 | -0.59 | 0.22 | 1.14 | -0.62 |
| F $\{7$ \} | 5.38 | 443.60 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 76.61 | 0.13 | 0.56 | -6.18 | 0.97 | -0.46 | 0.89 | 113.00 | -0.90 | -0.20 | 0.47 | -0.73 |
| F $\{8$ \} | 2.62 | 328.43 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 133.03 | 0.13 | -0.17 | -3.50 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.32 | 65.94 | -0.35 | 1.03 | 2.06 | 0.06 |
| G $\{1\}$ | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 150.53 | 0.13 | -0.21 | -3.32 | -0.04 | 0.63 | -0.05 | 54.60 | -0.42 | 1.10 | 1.68 | 0.19 |
| G \{2 \} | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 150.53 | 0.29 | -0.30 | -3.30 | -0.03 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 58.36 | -0.36 | 1.21 | 1.90 | 0.30 |
| G \{3\} | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 151.52 | 0.29 | -0.46 | -3.35 | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 61.91 | -0.70 | 0.92 | 1.53 | 0.17 |
| G \{4\} | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 151.33 | 0.29 | -0.50 | -3.39 | -0.02 | 0.55 | 0.16 | 65.72 | -0.46 | 1.05 | 2.07 | 0.19 |
| $\mathrm{G}\{5\}$ | 1.63 | 311.40 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 104.13 | 0.29 | 0.56 | -3.94 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 73.40 | -0.25 | 0.71 | 1.14 | -0.14 |
| G $\{6\}$ | 2.02 | 314.42 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 127.28 | 0.29 | 0.37 | -3.51 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.56 | 75.02 | -0.65 | 0.97 | 0.87 | 0.05 |
| G $\{7\}$ | 3.92 | 401.52 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 101.36 | 0.29 | -0.19 | -5.64 | 0.62 | -0.11 | 0.73 | 112.57 | -0.58 | 0.22 | 1.75 | -0.43 |
| $\mathrm{G}\{8\}$ | 1.16 | 286.35 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 156.54 | 0.29 | -0.53 | -3.03 | -0.14 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 56.16 | -0.41 | 1.22 | 2.03 | 0.40 |
| H\{1\} | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 129.98 | 0.29 | -0.03 | -3.12 | 0.30 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 65.92 | -0.30 | 1.00 | 2.11 | 0.48 |
| H\{2\} | 0.68 | 298.36 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 130.01 | 0.29 | -0.13 | -3.03 | 0.27 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 67.80 | -0.39 | 1.20 | 2.14 | 0.45 |
| H\{3\} | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 130.95 | 0.29 | -0.06 | -3.28 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.39 | 67.90 | -0.41 | 0.83 | 2.01 | 0.24 |
| H 44 \} | 0.55 | 312.38 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 131.10 | 0.29 | -0.20 | -3.33 | 0.32 | 0.53 | 0.38 | 68.86 | -0.39 | 0.84 | 2.16 | 0.22 |
| H $\{5\}$ | 1.63 | 311.40 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 80.68 | 0.17 | 0.59 | -3.29 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.59 | 70.32 | -0.69 | 0.97 | 1.02 | 0.07 |
| H $\{6$ \} | 2.02 | 314.42 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 100.50 | 0.17 | 0.70 | -4.01 | 0.73 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 84.52 | -0.63 | 0.80 | 1.03 | -0.13 |
| H $\{7\}$ | 3.92 | 401.52 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 79.08 | 0.17 | 0.26 | -5.47 | 0.89 | -0.28 | 0.86 | 106.51 | -0.82 | 0.18 | 0.80 | -0.52 |
| $\mathrm{H}\{8\}$ | 1.16 | 286.35 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 133.18 | 0.12 | -0.26 | -2.80 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.23 | 61.75 | -0.27 | 1.13 | 2.31 | 0.49 |
| I1) | 2.25 | 374.45 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 150.53 | 0.03 | -0.34 | -4.60 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.27 | 85.37 | -0.47 | 0.55 | 1.84 | 0.29 |
| I 2 2 \} | 2.25 | 374.45 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 150.53 | 0.03 | -0.40 | -4.47 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.27 | 84.22 | -0.62 | 0.57 | 1.73 | 0.27 |
| I\{3\} | 2.11 | 388.48 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 151.52 | 0.09 | -0.55 | -4.47 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.40 | 88.49 | -0.86 | 0.45 | 1.54 | 0.16 |
| I 44 \} | 2.11 | 388.48 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 151.33 | 0.16 | -0.52 | -4.70 | 0.23 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 89.10 | -0.74 | 0.39 | 1.65 | 0.03 |
| I 45 \} | 3.32 | 387.50 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 104.14 | 0.29 | 0.41 | -5.10 | 0.59 | -0.05 | 0.53 | 96.20 | -0.49 | 0.23 | 1.04 | -0.19 |
| I 166 | 3.59 | 390.52 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  | 127.28 | 0.15 | 0.15 | -4.73 | 0.74 | -0.08 | 0.74 | 97.01 | -0.86 | 0.43 | 0.80 | -0.21 |
| I $\{7$ \} | 5.49 | 477.62 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 101.37 | 0.15 | 0.12 | -6.79 | 0.70 | -0.56 | 0.70 | 121.65 | -0.71 | -0.60 | 0.72 | -1.27 |
| I 18 \} | 2.73 | 362.44 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 156.54 | 0.51 | -0.52 | -4.38 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 84.05 | -0.62 | 0.58 | 2.01 | 0.27 |
| J 11 \} | 2.25 | 374.45 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 130.00 | 0.51 | -0.41 | -4.62 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.56 | 95.72 | -0.50 | 0.60 | 2.18 | 0.31 |
| J 22$\}$ | 2.25 | 374.45 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 130.00 | 0.51 | -0.39 | -4.62 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.54 | 94.62 | -0.52 | 0.55 | 2.13 | 0.29 |
| J 3 3 | 2.11 | 388.48 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 131.28 | 0.51 | -0.18 | -4.97 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 99.27 | -0.58 | 0.30 | 1.71 | 0.03 |
| J 44$\}$ | 2.11 | 388.48 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 130.83 | 0.65 | -0.48 | -4.88 | 0.49 | -0.03 | 0.58 | 95.68 | -0.69 | 0.31 | 1.95 | 0.01 |
| J 45$\}$ | 3.32 | 387.50 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 80.68 | 0.65 | 0.16 | -4.80 | 0.75 | -0.13 | 0.81 | 98.30 | -0.93 | 0.39 | 1.00 | -0.09 |
| J 66$\}$ | 3.59 | 390.52 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 100.47 | 0.18 | 0.43 | -5.42 | 0.90 | -0.16 | 0.82 | 107.46 | -0.87 | 0.14 | 0.81 | -0.41 |
| J 47 \} | 5.49 | 477.62 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 77.91 | 0.18 | 0.41 | -6.73 | 0.88 | -0.73 | 0.78 | 119.95 | -1.04 | -0.76 | 0.00 | -1.24 |
| $\mathrm{J}\{8\}$ | 2.73 | 362.44 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 132.91 | 0.18 | -0.37 | -4.42 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 91.81 | -0.30 | 0.70 | 2.36 | 0.48 |
| K $\{1$ \} | 2.25 | 374.45 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 136.88 | 0.18 | -0.47 | -4.63 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 88.25 | -0.64 | 0.59 | 1.75 | 0.22 |
| K \{2 $\}$ | 2.25 | 374.45 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 136.88 | 0.18 | -0.41 | -4.76 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.41 | 89.25 | -0.47 | 0.56 | 1.83 | 0.23 |
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### 4.1.2 Library Production of "4+4" Scaffold via Click-S $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$

General Procedure A: Cu-catalyzed N-arylation. To a microwave vial charged with solution of sultam 1.12.1 ( $0.132 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added o-anisidine 1.12.2 ( $0.330 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.), CuI ( $0.066 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5$ equiv.), 1,10-phenanthroline ( 0.0264 mmol, 0.2 equiv.), and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $0.264 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.). The reaction was heated at 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 30 min , which upon completion was quenched with aq., HCl and diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, and subject to automated column chromatography.

General Procedure B: nucleophilic aromatic substitution ( $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A r}$ ). To a microwave vial charged with solution of sultam $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 . 1}$ ( $0.315 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DMSO ( 0.3 mL ), was added pyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 . 2}$ ( $01.575 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.), and DBU ( 0.032 mmol , 0.1 equiv.). The reaction was heated at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 50 min , which upon completion was diluted with EtOAc and passed through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}$. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and subject to automated column chromatography.

General Procedure C: one-pot Click/S $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A r}$. To a microwave vial charged with solution of sultam 1.13.A or 1.13.B ( $0.158 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in DMSO ( 0.2 mL ), was added amine ( $0.790 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.), azide ( $0.316 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.), CuI ( $0.0474 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.3$ equiv.)
and DBU ( $0.0158 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv.). The reaction was heated at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under microwave irradiation for 50 min , which upon completion was diluted with EtOAc and passed through $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{SPE}$. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product. The crude product was $\mathrm{QC} /$ purified by an automated preparative reverse phase HPLC (detected by mass spectroscopy).

| Comp. | HRMS Expected <br> $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{M})^{+}$ | HRMS Found <br> $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ | Mass (mg) | Yield (\%) | Purity (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4.A.FN | 569.1708 | 570.1734 | 134.5 mg | $75 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.DN | 601.1429 | 602.1506 | 166.6 mg | $88 \%$ | $99.5 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.CN | 598.1974 | 503.2058 | 94.0 mg | $53 \%$ | $99.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.EN | 692.2193 | 693.2266 | 181.1 mg | $83 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.GN | 573.1658 | 574.1754 | 116.4 mg | $64 \%$ | $99.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GN | 574.1658 | 574.1722 | 129.4 mg | $72 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HN | 571.1865 | 572.1985 | 120.5 mg | $67 \%$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IN | 595.1501 | 596.1556 | 111.1 mg | $59 \%$ | $98.5 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DN | 601.1429 | 602.1489 | 144.8 mg | $76 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.FQ | 535.1445 | 536.1111 | 17.0 mg | $20 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.DQ | 567.1166 | 568.0811 | 54.7 mg | $61 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.CQ | 564.1710 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1.4.A.EQ | 658.1929 | 659.2017 | 36.1 mg | $95 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.GQ | 539.1394 | 540.1498 | 1.3 mg | $2 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.FL | 519.1740 | 520.1812 | 26.5 mg | $32 \%$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.DL | 551.1461 | 552.1535 | 60.8 mg | $70 \%$ | $98.3 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.CL | 548.2006 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| 1.4.A.EL | 642.2225 | 643.2252 | 29.5 mg | $29 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.GL | 523.1689 | 524.1801 | 3.2 mg | $4 \%$ | $98.4 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GO | 573.1658 | 574.1739 | 21.7 mg | $24 \%$ | $92.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HO | 571.1865 | 572.1869 | 51.1 mg | $57 \%$ | $91.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IO | 595.1501 | 596.1570 | 25.8 mg | $27 \%$ | $99.3 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.JO | 587.1814 | 588.1833 | 23.8 mg | $26 \%$ | $95.4 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DO | 601.1429 | 602.1476 | 62.7 mg | $66 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GP | 573.1658 | 574.1711 | 13.4 mg | $15 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HP | 571.1865 | 572.1899 | 34.2 mg | $38 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IP | 595.1501 | 596.1559 | 19.2 mg | $20 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.JP | 587.1814 | 588.1867 | 14.2 mg | $15 \%$ | $96.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DP | 601.1429 | 602.1483 | 61.2 mg | $64 \%$ | $97.2 \%$ |


| 1.4.B.JN | 587.1814 | 588.1912 | 108.9 mg | $59 \%$ | $98.6 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4.A.FO | 569.1708 | 570.1855 | 50.6 mg | $56 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.DO | 601.1429 | 602.1527 | 67.6 mg | $71 \%$ | $99.4 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.CO | 598.1974 | 599.2046 | 34.0 mg | $36 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.EO | 692.2193 | 693.2272 | 68.2 mg | $62 \%$ | $99.5 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.GO | 573.1658 | 574.1766 | 48.5 mg | $54 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.FP | 569.1708 | 570.1751 | 54.5 mg | $61 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.DP | 601.1429 | 602.1514 | 71.9 mg | $76 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.CP | 598.1974 | 599.2045 | 24.0 mg | $25 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.EP | 692.2193 | 693.2317 | 88.9 mg | $81 \%$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.GP | 573.1658 | 574.1765 | 25.3 mg | $28 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.FM | 519.1740 | 520.1824 | 41.6 mg | $51 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.DM | 551.1461 | 552.1498 | 66.4 mg | $76 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.CM | 548.2006 | 549.2073 | 27.5 mg | $32 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.EM | 642.2225 | 643.2255 | 59.8 mg | $59 \%$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| 1.4.A.GM | 523.1690 | 524.1785 | 14.0 mg | $17 \%$ | $99.0 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GN | 573.1658 | 574.1746 | 64.9 mg | $72 \%$ | $97.6 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HN | 571.1865 | 572.1919 | 41.4 mg | $46 \%$ | $98.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IN | 595.1501 | 596.1562 | 54.3 mg | $58 \%$ | $99.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.JN | 587.1814 | 588.1885 | 54.5 mg | $59 \%$ | $87.4 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DN | 601.1429 | 602.1489 | 51.0 mg | $54 \%$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GO | 523.1690 | 524.1763 | 51.4 mg | $62 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HO | 521.1897 | 522.1924 | 48.2 mg | $58 \%$ | $99.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IO | 545.1533 | 546.1627 | 53.2 mg | $62 \%$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.JO | 537.1846 | 538.1940 | 49.9 mg | $59 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DO | 551.1461 | 552.1542 | 53.4 mg | $61 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GM | 523.1690 | 524.1777 | 51.0 mg | $62 \%$ | $99.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HM | 521.1897 | 522.2004 | 43.9 mg | $53 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IM | 545.1533 | 546.1594 | 48.3 mg | $56 \%$ | $98.8 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.JM | 537.1846 | 538.1949 | 42.5 mg | $50 \%$ | $97.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DM | 551.1461 | 552.1516 | 51.1 mg | $59 \%$ | $98.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.GQ | 539.1394 | 540.1457 | 55.7 mg | $65 \%$ | $98.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.HQ | 537.1601 | 538.1682 | 50.6 mg | $60 \%$ | $94.9 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.IQ | 561.1238 | 562.1329 | 53.0 mg | $60 \%$ | $99.7 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.JQ | 553.1551 | 554.1635 | 61.0 mg | $70 \%$ | $93.1 \%$ |
| 1.4.B.DQ | 567.1166 | 568.1265 | 58.2 mg | $65 \%$ | $96.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Number | Time | AreaAbs | Area \%Total | Height | Mass Found |
| 1 | 1.61 | 32 | 0.19 | 2050 |  |
| 2 | 2.04 | 1044 | 6.15 | 62625 | 553.15 |
| 3 | 2.08 | 90 | 0.53 | 6054 | 553.15 |
| 4 | 2.13 | 15814 | 93.13 | 920481 | 553.15 |

### 1.4.B.DQ

3: UV Detector: 214
$9.446 \mathrm{e}-1$
Range: 9.446e-1
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## 4.2: Experimental for Chapter 2

General Procedure A: preparation of 3-component one-pot sequential reaction (sulfonylation, aza-Michael, and intramolecular $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A r}$ ). To a vigorously stirred solution of amine ( $0.564 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.940 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) in THF ( $0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5$ M) in a microwave vial was added benzenesulfonyl chloride ( 0.470 mmol , 1 equiv.) at room temperature dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for 1.5 hours. Upon disappearance of sulfonyl chloride, $o$-siloxy benzyl acetate ( $0.940 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) and TBAF ( $1.410 \mathrm{mmol}, 3$ equiv.) were added to the reaction mixture. The vial was quickly sealed and stirred for 40 minutes under microwave irradiation at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was quenched with water and EtOAc, organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure, subject to column chromatography to afford the product.

## General Procedure B: preparation of 4-component one-pot sequential reaction

 (sulfonylation, aza-Michael, intramolecular $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{A r}$, and intermolecular $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} A r$ ). To a vigorously stirred solution of amine ( $0.564 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.940 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.) in THF ( $0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) in a microwave vial was added benzenesulfonyl chloride $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.470 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for 1.5 hours. Upon disappearance of sulfonyl chloride, o-siloxy benzyl acetate ( $0.264 \mathrm{~g}, 0.940$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and TBAF ( $1.410 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.41 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added to the reaction mixture. The vial was quickly sealed and stirred for 40 minutes under microwave irradiation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then thesecond amine ( $2.350 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture. The vial was quickly sealed and stirred for 1 hour under microwave irradiation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was quenched with water and EtOAc, organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure, subject to column chromatography to afford the product.

General Procedure C: preparation of 5-component one-pot sequential reaction (sulfonylation, aza-Michael, intramolecular $S_{N} A r$, intermolecular $S_{N} A r$, and Click). To a vigorously stirred solution of propargyl amine ( $0.564 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.940$ mmol, 2 equiv.) in THF ( $0.94 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ ) in a microwave vial was added benzenesulfonyl chloride $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.470 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature dropwise, and the reaction was stirred for 1.5 hours. Upon disappearance of sulfonyl chloride, o-siloxy benzyl acetate $(0.264 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.940 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBAF ( $1.410 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.41 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added to the reaction mixture. The vial was quickly sealed and stirred for 40 minutes under microwave irradiation at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the second amine ( $2.350 \mathrm{mmol}, 5$ equiv.), azide ( $0.940 \mathrm{mmol}, 2$ equiv.), $\mathrm{CuI}(0.141$ mmol, 0.3 equiv.), and $\operatorname{DBU}(0.047 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ equiv.) were added to the reaction mixture. The vial was quickly sealed and stirred for 1 hour under microwave irradiation at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon completion of the reaction, the reaction was quenched with water and EtOAc, organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3x). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure, subject to column chromatography to afford the product.

2-fluoro-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.28.6)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 6}$ (148 mg, 99\%) was isolated as a yellow solid. mp $133-136{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3288, 3095, 2931, 2118, 1916, 1592, 1584, 1489, 1476, 1456, 1418, $1341,1326,1269,1156,1077,977,884,768,666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDC13) $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.59,2.22 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=17.84 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.73$, $2.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.43,156.99,152.20,131.83,131.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.22\right.$ Hz), 130.24, 129.55, 125.36, 122.40, 118.12, 107.47, 105.93, 47.81, 47.74, 35.29, 25.45; HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 340.0420$; found 340.0417 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

6-butyl-2-fluoro-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.28.7)


According to general procedure A, $\mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 7}$ ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) was isolated as a white solid.
mp $112-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
FTIR (thin film): 3270, 3130, 2930, 2871, 1591, 1474, 1413, 1334, 1271, 1181, 1158, $1069,975,763,716,658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,7.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $8.8,7.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $13.9,8.1,7.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.6,8.3,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-$ $1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 165.59\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=256.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 158.98$, $156.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{F}}=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.29\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.49,130.78\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.61,130.32$, $112.55\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 112.25\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{6} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=23.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.16,47.58,44.66,29.75,19.77$, 13.83.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$336.1070; found 336.1019 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

6-benzyl-2-fluoro-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.28.8)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 8}$ ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) was isolated as a white solid. mp $149-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3100, 3032, 2933, 1957, 1588, 1471, 1452, 1338, 1272, 1211, 1163, 1143, 1066, 971, 885, 770, 752, $661 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-$ $6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 165.60\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=256.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 159.00$, $156.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{F}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.00,132.07\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.73,130.69\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.58$, $129.84,128.77,128.40,128.10,125.85,122.21,112.58\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 112.20\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{6} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}\right.$ $=23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 48.57,46.81$.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 370.0913$; found 370.0878 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

2-fluoro-6-(2-hydroxyethyl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.28.9)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 9}$ (11 mg, 15\%) was isolated as a white solid. mp $165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3502, 3114, 3081, 2977, 2871, 1925, 1591, 1582, 1473, 1406, 1315, $1270,1174,1155,1063,995,971,892,852,793,717,661 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.8,7.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dddd}, J=15.0,7.0,4.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.1,5.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 165.81\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=256.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 159.04$, $157.05\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{F}}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.37\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.00,130.83,130.12\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.07$, $126.15,122.37,112.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 112.43\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{6} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 60.97,49.35,47.23$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$324.0706; found 324.0691 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## 6-cyclopropyl-2-fluoro-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide

 (2.28.10)

According to general procedure $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 1 0}$ ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow solid. mp $180-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3081, 3024, 2988, 2950, 1934, 1586, 1471, 1412, 1336, 1275, 1212, $1159,1091,974,888,840,827,759,701,659 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{td}, J=8.3,2.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.72-$ $0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.46(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 165.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=256.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 158.95, 157.03 (d, $J=$ $11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.03,130.52,130.26,129.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.12$, $122.03,112.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 51.12,27.92,10.33,5.38$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 342.0576$; found $342.0556\left(\mathrm{TOF}^{2} \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{ES}^{+}\right.$).
ethyl 2-(2-fluoro-5,5-dioxidodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocin- $6(7 H)$-yl)acetate (2.28.11)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 1 1}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%)$ was isolated as clear/yellow solid. mp $180-182{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3103, 2987, 1746, 1591, 1583, 1474, 1413, 1336, 1206, 1147, 1082, 975, $938,770,745,660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{ddd}$, $J=8.8,7.7,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 17.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 168.22,165.59$ (d, $J=256.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 158.77, 156.60 (d, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.11,131.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.75,129.83$, 125.97, 121.99, $112.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=22.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 112.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 61.48,49.30,47.06,13.99$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 388.0631$; found 388.0620 (TOF MS $\left.E S^{+}\right)$.

## 4-fluoro-6-(2-hydroxyethyl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide

 (2.28.12)

According to general procedure $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{2 . 2 8 . 1 2}$ ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow oil.
FTIR (thin film): 3526, 3086, 2939, 1600, 1575, 1490, 1456, 1340, 1229, 1156, 1107, $1000,890,808,787,742,706,652 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.58-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{ddd}$, $J=9.7,8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.77$ (m, 2H), 3.12 (dddd, $J=15.1,6.4,4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.0,5.6,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 160.12\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=262.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 158.85,156.78,134.36$ $\left(\mathrm{d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.31,130.47,130.13,125.80,122.51\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.93$, $119.57\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 114.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=24.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 60.87,49.40,47.26$

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$324.0706; found 324.0661 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

2-bromo-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.28.13)


According to general procedure A, 2.28.13 (276 mg, 99\%) was isolated as white solid. mp $139-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): $3092,3089,2354,2334,2123,1569,1452,1390,1352,1344,1164 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDC13) $\boldsymbol{\delta} 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{ddt}, J=2.1,4.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{td}, J=1.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{ddd}, J=1.3,2.5,17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{t}, J=2 . \mathrm{Hz}, 5,1 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l} 3\right) \boldsymbol{\delta} 158.9,155.6,133.1,131.6,131.3,130.8,129.3,128.7$, 128.1, 127.6, 126.0, 121.8, 76.2, 73.9, 48.1, 35.8;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 399.9619$; found 399.9618 (TOF MS $\left.\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$.

6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5dioxide (2.29.6)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{2 . 2 9 . 6}$ ( $115.6 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow solid. mp $203-205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3304, 2926, 2871, 1595, 1541, 1512, 1483, 1438, 1393, 1326, 1251, $1218,1182,1139,1076,1037,955,905,830,756,737,666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}\right) \delta 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{q}, J=5.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (dd, $J=17.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta$ 159.43, 156.99, 152.20, 131.83, 131.66, 130.24, $129.54,125.36,122.40,120.14,118.11,116.09,107.47,105.93,73.53,47.81,35.29,25.45$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$369.1273; found 369.1239

## 2-morpholino-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-

 dioxide (2.29.7)

According to general procedure B, 2.29.7 ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow solid. mp $208-210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3210, 3025, 2941, 1595, 1487, 1453, 1333, 1269, 1218, 1169, 1117, 1082, 1033, 908, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ (m, 2H), $7.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.63,2.47 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (t, J = 4.85 Hz, 4H), 3.08 (dd, J = 16.98, 2.83 Hz, 1H), 2.25 (t, J = 2.53 Hz, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.30,156.84,155.73,131.87,131.54,130.41,129.52$, $125.58,122.19,110.05,109.05,77.27,77.22,77.02,76.95,76.77,73.68,66.43,47.79$, 47.58, 35.43;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 385.1222$; found 385.1191

## 2-((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-

## dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.29.8)



According to general procedure $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{2 . 2 9 . 8}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%)$ was isolated as white/yellow solid. mp $148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3491, 3292, 3260, 2912, 2863, 2131, 1597, 1584, 1435, 1312, 1229, $1182,1142,1062,946,989,842,780,755,720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (dd, $J=7.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $17.4,2.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 158.88,156.41,154.10,131.56,130.58,128.88,125.40$, $122.80,117.49,107.26,106.20,77.31,76.05,58.12,53.94,47.27,40.43,38.98,34.93$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$373.1222; found 373.1183

## 6-butyl-2-morpholino-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide

 (2.29.9)

According to general procedure B, $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 . 9}$ ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, \mathbf{6 4 \%}$ ) was isolated as yellow oil.
FTIR (thin film): 2959, 2925, 2865, 1595, 1487, 1450, 1431, 1324, 1249, 1189, 1123, 1037, 977, 915, 896, 762, 716, $653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C h l o r o f o r m - d ) ~} \delta 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dtd}, J=14.1,8.1,7.3$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,8.3,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 159.37,156.86,155.57,131.73,131.54,130.59,130.29$, $125.56,123.44,122.64,110.14,109.26,66.62,47.83,47.61,44.42,29.79,19.83,13.87$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 403.1692$; found 403.1662 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## 6-butyl-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide

 (2.29.10)

According to general procedure B, $\mathbf{2 . 2 9 . 1 0}$ ( $62.0 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow/brown oil.

FTIR (thin film): 2960, 2921, 2869, 1592, 1487, 1433, 1320, 1251, 1190, 1121, 1036, 977, $914,895,762,716,653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dp}$, $J=9.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dddd}, J=13.7,8.7,7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.5,8.3,4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 159.49,157.02,152.04,131.85,131.45,130.67$, $130.13,125.33,122.84,119.06,107.43,106.00,47.92,47.67,44.31,29.80,25.60,19.86$, 13.90.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$387.1742; found 387.1712

4-(isobutylamino)-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5dioxide (2.29.11)


According to general procedure B, 2.29.11 ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow/brown oil. FTIR (thin film): 3395, 3284, 2958, 1602, 1567, 1458, 1335, 1313, 1227, 1179, 1110, $1070,1042,902,800,770,729,673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.39-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{dp}, J=$ 13.2, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 159.05,157.45,148.94,135.15,131.17,130.79,129.59$, $125.74,122.38,113.84,109.90,109.74,77.27,76.54,50.75,48.40,40.93,35.82,27.60$, 20.56.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$371.1429; found 371.1403 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## 4-((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-

## dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.29.12)



According to general procedure B, 2.29.12 ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ) was isolated as brown oil.
FTIR (thin film): 3480, 2923, 2854, 1720, 1595, 1556, 1486, 1423, 1323, 1219, 1165, $1144,1114,1040,1019,977,909,765,757,731,711,655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.0$, $2.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 159.48,157.08,154.42,131.94,131.64,130.49,129.49$, $125.55,122.49,119.10,107.63,106.62,73.76,60.00,54.70,47.80,41.03,39.26,35.42$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$373.1222; found 373.1203

2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-6,7dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.6)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 6}$ ( $258 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) was isolated as a yellow solid. mp $202-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3144, 2922, 2851, 1598, 1584, 1548, 1486, 1453, 1386, 1251, 1217, 1142, 1041, 913, 834, 759, 714, $690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{dq}, J=6.9,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{td}, J=6.3$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta$ 159.53, 157.27, 152.30, 145.67, 138.51, 132.45, $131.46,131.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.34,130.12,128.52,126.33(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.64$, $123.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=272.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.52,122.65,118.70,107.46,106.26,53.78,47.97,47.90$, 40.29, 25.60 .

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 570.1787$; found 570.1796

## 6-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-2-thiomorpholino-6,7-

 dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.7)

According to general procedure C, 2.30.7 (36 mg, 27\%) was isolated as yellow/brown oil. FTIR (thin film): 2915, 1594, 1582, 1486, 1329, 1217, 1183, 1141, 1045, 1016, 945, 909, $777,756,729,702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.71$ (m, 4H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 159.29,157.23,154.44,145.04,134.95,132.88,132.46$, $131.44,130.32,129.95,129.57,129.42,125.69,123.12,122.21,122.01,110.38,109.70$, 53.60, 50.62, 47.59, 40.26, 25.87.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 568.1244$; found 568.1234

## 2-morpholino-6-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-6,7dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.8)



According to general procedure C, $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 8}$ ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow solid. mp $166-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 2919, 2851, 1592, 1470, 1454, 1414, 1334, 1265, 1108, 1068, 975, 889, $828,789,760,703,660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta$ 159.28, 156.98, 155.69, 145.21, 138.33, 132.40, 131.18, $131.12(\mathrm{q}, J=32.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.37,129.89,128.39,126.20(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.71$, $123.77(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=271.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.37,122.87,122.30,109.92,109.26,66.44,53.66,47.66$, 47.59, 40.20 .

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 586.1736$; found 586.1728

## 6-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-2-morpholino-6,7-

## dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.9)



According to general procedure C, $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 9}$ ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow solid. mp $150-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (thin film): 3315, 3223, 3142, 2958, 2920, 2852, 1596, 1556, 1487, 1450, 1329, $1248,1218,1189,1149,1124,1080,1034,978,911,845,782,768,733,656 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15$ (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $3.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 158.94,156.36,155.58,142.78,134.93,132.84,131.94$, 130.54, 129.91, 129.21, 128.73, 125.43, 123.89, 123.06, 121.20, 109.40, 108.99, 65.76, 51.99, 46.97, 46.83, 40.43.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 552.1472$; found 552.1473

## 4-(isobutylamino)-6-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-6,7-

 dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.10)

According to general procedure C, $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 1 0}$ ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow/brown oil.
FTIR (thin film): 3395, 2960, 1602, 1569, 1460, 1323, 1228, 1161, 1112, 1066, 1044, $1018,910,792,771,710,674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dp}$, $J=13.5,7.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 159.10, 157.70, 149.07, 144.84, 138.40, 134.23, $131.17,131.07$ (q, $J=32.40 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.07,129.80,128.36,126.13(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.28$, $123.78(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=272.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 123.24,121.90,114.06,109.11,109.08,53.58,51.24,48.12$, 40.91, 27.69, 20.46.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 572.1943$; found 572.1959

## 6-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6,7-

## dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.30.11)



According to general procedure C, $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 . 1 1}$ ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ) was isolated as red/brown oil. FTIR (thin film): 3387, 2949, 1593, 1543, 1490, 1474, 1455, 1352, 1326, 1230, 1182, $1109,1041,1017,912,874,795,771,725,677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.54-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.35-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (dd, $J=16.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{q}, J=10.0,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 10.7, $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.92,157.43,152.12,145.07,134.90,133.10,132.02$, 130.77, 130.42, 129.64, 129.60, 129.41, 125.84, 123.19, 122.97, 115.39, 110.68, 106.01, 53.55, 53.11, 48.33, 40.75, 25.96.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 536.1523$; found 536.1483

2-fluoro-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.28.6)


6-butyl-2-fluoro-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.28.7)


6-benzyl-2-fluoro-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.28.8)


2-fluoro-6-(2-hydroxyethyl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide

## (2.28.9)




## 6-cyclopropyl-2-fluoro-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide

## (2.28.10)


ethyl 2-(2-fluoro-5,5-dioxidodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocin-6(7H)-yl)acetate

## (2.28.11)




4-fluoro-6-(2-hydroxyethyl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide

## (2.28.12)




2-bromo-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide

## (2.28.13)



6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5dioxide (2.29.6)


2-morpholino-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5dioxide (2.29.7)


## 2-((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-

## dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.29.8)




## 6-butyl-2-morpholino-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide

## (2.29.9)




## 6-butyl-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide

## (2.29.10)




4-(isobutylamino)-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-dihydrodibenzo[b,g][1,4,5]oxathiazocine 5,5dioxide (2.29.11)


4-((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)-6-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-6,7-
dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.29.12)
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| -140000 |
| -130000 |
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| -60000 |
| -50000 |
| -40000 |
| -30000 |
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2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-6,7dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.30.6)


## 6-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-2-thiomorpholino-6,7-

dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.30.7)


2-morpholino-6-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-6,7dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.8)



## 6-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-2-morpholino-6,7-

dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.9)



4-(isobutylamino)-6-((1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-6,7dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5-dioxide (2.30.10)


## 6-((1-(4-chlorobenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-4-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)-6,7-

 dihydrodibenzo $[b, g][1,4,5]$ oxathiazocine 5,5 -dioxide (2.30.11)

## 4.3: Experimental for Chapter 3

General Procedure A: preparation of secondary sulfonamide by mesylation of amino esters. A solution of amino ester ( $4.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $10.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then methanesulfonyl chloride ( 6.33 mmol , 1.5 equiv.) was slowly added to the mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 10 minutes, and warmed to room temperature and stirred for 2 hours. Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was quenched with water and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, organic layer was separated, and aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (x3). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure, subject to automated column chromatography to afford the product.

General Procedure B: synthesis of benzylated tertiary sulfonamide via benzylation. To a solution of sulfonamide ( $3.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and benzyl bromide ( $5.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M})$, was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 10.32 mmol , 3.0 equiv.). The reaction was stirred and refluxed at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, quenched with EtOAc and water, organic layer was separated, and aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (x3). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure, subject to automated column chromatography to afford the product.

General Procedure C: preparation of tetramic acid sultam analog via intramolecular sulfa-Dieckmann cyclization. A solution of sulfonamide ( $1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in THF
$(100 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.01 \mathrm{M})$ was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry ice/acetone bath. Then the solution was treated with LiHMDS ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) slowly at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirred for 2 hours. Then the reaction was warmed to room temperature, and quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and EtOAc, organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (x3). The combined organic layers were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated under reduced pressure, subject to column chromatography to afford the product.

General Procedure D: preparation of 3-carboxamide tetramic acid sultam analogs via addition into isocyanates. To a solution of sultam ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and phenyl isocyanate ( 0.03 mmol , 1.0 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.01 \mathrm{M}$ ) in a microwave vial, was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.). The reaction mixture was microwaved at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 minutes. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and subject to automated column chromatography to afford the product.

## (S)-2-benzyl-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.1)



According to general procedure C, 3.A. $1(15 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ was isolated as golden oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-121.24\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): 2966, 2933, 2877, 1758, 1604, 1456, 1319, 1205, 1184, 1027, 734, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.8,4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.1,134.39,128.95,128.92,128.43,73.54,56.2,47.7$, $29.8,18.8,17.1 ;$

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa} 290.0827(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; found 290.0819 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-2-(2-fluorobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.2)



According to general procedure C, 3.A.2 (11 mg, 72\%) was isolated as reddish brown oil. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-128.26\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$

FTIR (neat): $2969,2933,2358,2341,2331,1758,1409,1456,1323,1207,1139,759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.4,5.3,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{q}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-$ $3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.7,1.2, \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.8,161.8,159.4,131.43,130.3,115.5,73.8,56.2,41.1$, 30.0, 18.8, 17.3;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 286.0913(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found $286.0907\left(\right.$ TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-2-(2-chlorobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.3)



According to general procedure C, 3.A. 3 ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) was isolated as golden oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{20}=-124.56\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): 3021, 2967, 2931, 1767, 1413, 1350, 1343, 1196, 1140, 1054, $843 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{qd}, J=6.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 6.9 Hz, 3H);
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.8,133.5,132.8,130.8,129.8,129.6,127.4,75.0,55.9$, 45.0, 30.2, 18.7, 17.1;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 302.0618(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found 302.0613 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-3-isopropyl-2-(4-methylbenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.4)



According to general procedure C, 3.A.4 (18 mg, 67\%) was isolated as brownish oil. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-125.24\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$

FTIR (neat): $3016,2969,2929,1758,1409,1348,1323,1201,1139,1054,854 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.2,138.1,131.2,129.6,129.0,73.4,56.2,47.2,30.0$, 21.1, 19.0, 17.1;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa} 304.0983(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; found 304.0983 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-2-(4-bromobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.5)



According to general procedure C, 3.A.5 (13 mg, 69\%) was isolated as golden yellow oil. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-132.29\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$

FTIR (neat): 3016, 2969, 2929, 1758, 1409, 1348, 1323, 1201, 1139, 1054, $854 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.50-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0,1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.10-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9,3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 197.3,133.6,132.0,130.3,123.0,73.8,56.4,46.7,30.3$, 18.5, 16.6;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 346.0113(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found $346.0115\left(\right.$ TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-2-(4-chlorobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.6)



According to general procedure C, 3.A. $6(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%)$ was isolated as brown oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{20}=-15.8\left(c=0.55, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$
FTIR (thin film): 3299, 2938, 1757, 1718, 1598, 1491, 1415, 1316, 1207, 1094, 1051, $1015,912,846,798,717,663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9$ Hz, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 197.75, 134.52, 133.18, 130.31, 129.28, 73.89, 56.41, 46.91, 30.00, 18.87, 16.99.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 302.0618$; found 302.0583 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-3-isopropyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.7)



According to general procedure C, 3.A.7 $(0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%)$ was isolated as a white solid. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-1.35\left(c=1.63, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$
mp $95-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
FTIR (thin film): 2968, 1638, 1621, 1595, 1535, 1447, 1328, 1232, 1117, 1032, 1016, 967, $818 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.65$ (d, $\left.J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.55$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08($ heptd, $J=6.9,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 197.46,139.02(d, \mathrm{~J}=1.08 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.76(\mathrm{q}, J=32.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $129.07,126.04(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.01(\mathrm{q}, J=272.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 74.31,56.35,47.08,30.03,18.84$, 16.93;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 358.0695; found 358.0701.

## (S)-2-benzyl-3-isobutylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.8)



According to general procedure C, 3.A.8 (12 mg, 61\%) was isolated as golden oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-123.56\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): 2958, 2933 2358, 1758, 1496, 1456, 1348, 1319, 1203, 1127, 1053, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl $\left.\mathbf{H}_{3}\right) \delta 7.60-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{tt}$, $J=14.3,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}^{3}$ ) $\delta 199.5,134.2,129.0,128.6,67.0,55.4,47.7,39.3,24.5$, 22.5, 22.2;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 282.1164(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found $282.1154\left(\mathrm{TOF} \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{ES}{ }^{+}\right)$.

## (S)-2-(2-bromobenzyl)-3-isobutylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.9)



According to general procedure C, $\mathbf{3 . A . 9}(0.080 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%)$ was isolated as yellow oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=+30.4\left(c=0.25, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$
FTIR (thin film): $2958,2871,1759,1468,1441,1318,1202,1025,851,752,660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.62-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17$
(m, 1H), $4.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.5,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-$ $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 199.27, 134.28, 133.33, 130.91, 130.06, 128.15, 123.90, 68.19, 55.09, 47.84, 39.60, 24.65, 22.66, 22.08.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 360.0269$; found 360.0255 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
(S)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methylbenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.10)


According to general procedure C, 3.A.10 (18 mg, 67\%) was isolated as brownish oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=129.27\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): 3029, 2940, 2855, 2359, 2335, 1768, 1470, 1321, 1225, 1145, 1127, 729, 711 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.78$ (dd, $J=13.2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.6,138.4,131.0,129.6,129.0,66.6,55.3,47.7,39.3$, 24.5, 22.5, 22.2, 21.1;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 296.1320(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found $296.1315\left(\mathrm{TOF} \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{ES}{ }^{+}\right)$.

## (S)-3-benzyl-2-(2-methylallyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.11)



According to general procedure C, 3.A.11 (22 mg, 63\%) was isolated as yellow oil.
$[\alpha]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-104.23\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): $3006,2956,2929,2869,1758,1348,1321,1201,1137,1054,1020,811 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (ddd, $J=7.8,4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 198.7,138.7,135.5,129.6,128.8,127.4,116.8,76.7,69.3$, 55.4, 55.3, 50.4, 37.1, 19.9;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 280.1007(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found 280.0997 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-2-allyl-3-benzylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.12)



According to general procedure C, 3.A. 12 ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) was isolated as golden oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-142.58\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$

FTIR (neat): $3015,2967,2935,2875,1763,1351,1332,1212,1141,1071,1032,811 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.7,3.6,3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70$ (dddd, $J=17.0,10.0,8.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.13-4.03 (m, 2H), $3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{ddd}, J=23.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 16.0,4.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.13$ (ddd, $J=21.5,14.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ );
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.5,135.2,130.9,129.7,128.7,126.7,121.5,69.1,55.8$, 47.0, 36.3;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{SNa} 288.0670(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; found $288.0652\left(\right.$ TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
(S)-3-benzyl-2-(4-fluorobenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.13)


According to general procedure C, 3.A. 13 ( $0.131 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow solid.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=+9.00\left(c=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$
mp $123-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
FTIR (thin film): 3010, 2937, 1748, 1604, 1510, 1492, 1329, 1224, 1119, 1035, 841, 745, $699,681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.34-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,4.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 198.03,162.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=247.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 135.52, 130.88 $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.51,128.90,127.46,115.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 68.94, 55.83, 47.28, 37.10.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$334.0913; found 334.0927 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-2-(2-fluorobenzyl)-3-methylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.14)



According to general procedure C, 3.A. 14 ( $0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow oil. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=+30.0\left(c=0.10, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$

FTIR (thin film): 2937, 1763, 1618, 1588, 1492, 1456, 1316, 1211, 1138, 1054, 1033, 872, 843, 820, 761, $693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.55-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta 198.38,160.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.35\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.38\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.77\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.72\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{5} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=14.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 115.72\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{6} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 64.32,55.11,38.41,15.05$.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$258.0600; found 258.0582 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
(S)-3-((R)-sec-butyl)-2-(4-fluorobenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.16)


According to general procedure C, 3.A.16 ( $0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%)$ was isolated as yellow oil. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-22.9\left(c=0.80, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$

FTIR (thin film): $3104,2984,1758,1606,1511,1316,1222,1139,704 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 7.42-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.82-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.0,7.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 197.72,162.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=247.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.71\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{F}}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.09\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.87\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=21.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 71.73,56.54,45.73$, $36.21,25.68,13.97,11.87$.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{FNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 300.1070$; found 300.1076 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
(S)-2-(4-bromobenzyl)-3-((R)-sec-butyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.17)


According to general procedure C, 3.A.17 (10 mg, 50\%) was isolated as golden oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-128.25\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): 2964, 2933, 2358, 2341, 1758, 1488, 1319, 205, 1139, 1070, 1010, $796 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.85-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) $\delta 197.6,132.1,130.9,122.5,77.1,72.0,45.9,36.5,25.7$, 14.4, 11.9;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 360.0269(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found $360.0255\left(\mathrm{TOF}\right.$ MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
(S)-3-((1H-indol-2-yl)methyl)-2-(4-iodobenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.18)


According to general procedure C, 3.A. 18 ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ) was isolated as golden oil.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-145.26\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$
FTIR (neat): 2964, 2933, 2873, 1781, 1485, 1436, 1372, 1292, 1201, 1128, 1171, 1006, $962,910,883,831,790,773,526,518, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-$ $7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $8.9,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.1,137.4,135.8,133.6,130.8,126.3,123.4,122.6$, $119.9,118.3,111.2,108.8,94.0,68.3,55.7,48.0,27.2$;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{SH} 481.0083(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found 481.0074 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## (S)-tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isothiazol-3(2H)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.3)



According to general procedure C, 3.33.3 ( $0.149 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow oil. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-54.7\left(c=0.15, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$

FTIR (thin film): $3009,2939,1755,1462,1310,1195,1126,1094,1060,986,946,886$, $781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.3,7.9,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.04-1.91 (m, 1H), 1.84-1.70(m, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta 203.83,72.29,53.86,51.12,30.24,25.36$. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 176.0381$; found 176.0357 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
(3aS,5R)-5-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isothiazol-3(2H)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.4)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{3 . 3 3 . 4}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) was isolated as pink dense oil. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{\mathbf{2 0}}=-142.31\left(c=1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) ;$

FTIR (neat): 2952, 2929, 2856, 2358, 2343, 1762, 1348, 1340, 1201, 1143, 1026, 837, 777 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.03 (s, 6H);
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 201.6, 71.4, 71.2, 56.7, 53.9, 38.5, 25.6, 18.0;
HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SSiH} 306.1195(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$; found 306.1181 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tetrahydro-2H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridin-3(3aH)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.5)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{3 . 3 3 . 5}(2.121 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ was isolated as white viscous oil. FTIR (thin film): 2941, 2863, 1765, 1720, 1432, 1303, 1207, 1135, 1044, 945, 804, 764, $706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta 3.82-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.54$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{td}, J=12.0,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{dqd}, J=12.7,3.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{dqd}, J=$ $13.5,3.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{qt}, J$ $=13 \cdot 1,3 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 197.43, 66.40, 54.60, 40.24, 25.66, 23.46, 22.74.
HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$190.0538; found 190.0511 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tert-butyl 3-oxohexahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.33.6)


According to general procedure C, $\mathbf{3 . 3 3 . 6}$ ( $0.345 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ ) was isolated as brown oil.
FTIR (thin film): 2975, 2867, 2844, 1685, 1414, 1367, 1240, 1132, 1055, 1033, 950, 894, 766, $728706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}\right) \delta 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 153.97,81.36,77.21,64.24,54.85,39.99,28.30$.
HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 313.0834$; found 313.0851 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazin-3(2H)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.7)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{3 . 3 3 . 7}(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%)$ was isolated as light yellow viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 2943, 2859, 1765, 1720, 1432, 1303, 1203, 1130, 1044, 950, 804, 760, $706 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.7$, $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.9,10.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , Chloroform- $\boldsymbol{d}$ ) $\delta$ 195.07, 65.13, 65.10, 63.99, 54.23, 40.87.
HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$214.0150; found 214.0166 ( $\mathrm{TOF} \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{ES}^{+}$).

1-benzyl-4-hydroxy- $N$-phenyl-2-thia-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene-3-carboxamide
2,2dioxide (3.36.5)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 5}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%)$ was isolated as yellow viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 3399, 2935, 2255, 1748, 1645, 1537, 1444, 1324, 1226, 1135, 1023, 997, 824, 761, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 6.98-6.86 (m, 1H), $4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO) $\delta 185.80,161.42,140.54,140.25,128.72,127.90,127.52$, $126.45,121.43,118.40,94.75,64.88,40.43,32.29,24.55,22.32$.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-} 411.1379$; found 411.1393 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{-}$).

1-benzyl-4-hydroxy- $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-thia-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene-3carboxamide 2,2-dioxide (3.36.6)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 6}$ ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ) was isolated as yellow viscous oil. FTIR (thin film): 3420, 2934, 2252, 1643, 1511, 1455, 1415, 1242, 1141, 1052, 1007, 823, $761 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.70-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 185.92, 160.89, 154.48, 140.21, 132.89, 127.96, 127.50, 126.57, 120.33, 113.94, 93.65, 65.47, 55.14, 40.43, 32.11, 24.41, 22.26.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-} 411.1379$; found 411.1393 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{-}$).

1-benzyl- N -(4-fluorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-2-thia-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene-3-carboxamide

## 2,2-dioxide (3.36.7)



According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 7}(0.035 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%)$ was isolated as yellow viscous oil. FTIR (thin film): $3437,2939,2251,2125,1653,1206,1052,1007,822,760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.32-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{tq}, J=12.9$, $10.5,5.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 185.89,161.27,157.12\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=237.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 140.44$, $136.52\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.93,127.52,126.06,120.03\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.20\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-}\right.$ $\mathrm{F}=21.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 93.66,65.03,40.43,32.24,24.51,22.30$.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-} 429.1284$; found 429.1299 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{-}$).

1-benzyl-4-hydroxy- $N$-(p-tolyl)-2-thia-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene-3-carboxamide

## dioxide (3.36.8)



According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 8}(0.046 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%)$ was isolated as yellow viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 3437, 2940, 2250, 2124, 1648, 1052, 1027, 1008, 821, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23-7.17 (m, 1H), 7.10-7.03 (m, 2H), $4.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64$ (d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 185.80,161.07,140.28,137.32,130.70,129.18,127.96$, $127.51,126.56,118.77,93.14,65.30,40.43,32.14,24.45,22.28,20.46$.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 427.1692$; found 427.1686 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## 3-hydroxy- N -phenyl-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine-2-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.9)



According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 9}(0.083 \mathrm{~g})$ was isolated as brown oil.
FTIR (thin film): 2928, 1641, 1575, 1423, 1360, 1271, 1101, 756, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{D M S O}-\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 10.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.57(\mathrm{td}, J=12.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 161.15,128.86,128.66,121.20,118.15,61.32,50.69$, 38.77, 26.75, 23.89, 23.27.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 309.0909$; found 309.0886 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

3-hydroxy- N -(p-tolyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine-2carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.10)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 0}(0.095 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ was isolated as brown oil.
FTIR (thin film): 3274, 2943, 2860, 1727, 1619, 1543, 1415, 1337, 1230, 1129, 1030, 955, $829 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}\right) \delta 10.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dq}, J=23.3,12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{q}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 154.00,135.93,133.67,119.66,113.97,61.34,55.15$, 26.85, 25.51, 23.94, 23.31.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 339.1015$; found 339.0979 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

3-hydroxy- N -(p-tolyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine-2carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.12)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 2}(0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%)$ was isolated as brown oil.
FTIR (thin film): 3336, 3063, 2941, 2852, 1666, 1593, 1535, 1441, 1353, 1222, 1118, $1050,1008,943,814 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{qd}, J=7.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{td}, J=12.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 160.41,137.39,130.45,129.08,118.49,60.62,45.78$, 38.77, 26.89, 23.75, 23.10, 20.36, 8.62.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 323.1066$; found 323.1026 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tert-butyl 3-hydroxy-2-(phenylcarbamoyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.13)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 3}(0.059 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ was isolated as orange viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 2981, 2160, 1691, 1630, 1586, 1510, 1416, 1241, 1162, 1130, 1031, 830 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.12-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.8,11.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 177.85,160.91,153.79,140.09,128.77,121.55,118.28$, 97.77, 79.34, 59.55, 45.76, 40.43, 28.04, 8.66.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 410.1386$; found 410.1380 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tert-butyl
3-hydroxy-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3- $a$ ]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.14)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 4}(0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%)$ was isolated as orange viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 2985, 2161, 1692, 1634, 1584, 1543, 1512, 1413, 1239, 1166, 1128, 1034, $832 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.76 (ddd, $J=12.8,11.5,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO) $\delta 177.58,160.73,154.13,153.79,133.28,119.72,113.96$, 97.59, 79.33, 59.54, 55.11, 45.77, 40.43, 28.04, 8.65.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 440.1491$; found 440.1482 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tert-butyl
2-((4-fluorophenyl)carbamoyl)-3-hydroxy-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.15)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 5}(0.061 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%)$ was isolated as orange viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 2979, 1702, 1573, 1514, 1415, 1362, 1240, 1161, 1125, 1031, 901, 812, $760 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.15-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76$ (ddd, $J$ $=12.9,11.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 177.88,160.77,154.91\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=295.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.74\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.19\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{4} J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 97.53,79.30,59.50$, 45.71, 40.37, 27.98, 8.60.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 428.1292$; found 428.1282 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).
tert-butyl 3-hydroxy-2-(p-tolylcarbamoyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.16)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 6}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 28 \%)$ was isolated as orange viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 2977, 1700, 1574, 1516, 1413, 1365, 1238, 1164, 1123, 1032, 902, 811, $759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.14-3.97 (m, 1H), 3.86-3.75 (m, 1H), 3.46-3.37 (m, 2H), 3.10-2.85 (m, 2H), 2.75 (ddd, $J$ $=12.9,11.6,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 177.68,160.83,153.79,137.55,130.28,129.17,118.30$, 97.71, 79.34, 59.53, 40.43, 38.36, 28.04, 20.40, 8.66.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-} 441.1484$; found 441.1499 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{-}$).

3-hydroxy- $N$-phenyl-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazine-2-carboxamide

## 1,1-dioxide (3.36.17)



According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}$, $\mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 1 7}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%)$ was isolated as dark brown viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 3260, 2940, 2256, 1720, 1680, 1605, 1549, 1346, 1230, 1152, 1112, 954, $830 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.3$ Hz, 2H), 6.93 (ddt, $J=8.3,7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.63$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 171.16, 160.37, 138.48, 128.96, 123.97, 119.18, 67.98, 65.86, 59.13, 55.43, 42.17.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 311.0702$; found 311.0690 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{+}$).

## 3-hydroxy- $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazine-2-

 carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.18)

According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, 3.36 .18(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%)$ was isolated as dark brown viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 3264, 2937, 2258, 1723, 1680, 1608, 1551, 1348, 1237, 1155, 1110, 1023, 956, $829 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ Hz, 2H), 4.31-4.25 (m, 2H), 4.22-4.13 (m, 2H), 3.82 (d, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73$ (s, 3H), 3.65 (dd, $J=11.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.11,159.81,155.63,131.59,120.71,114.02,67.92$, 65.82, 59.05, 55.17, 42.12, 39.52.

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-} 339.0651$; found 339.0631 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{-}$).

## 3-hydroxy- $N$-(p-tolyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazine-2-carboxamide

## 1,1-dioxide (3.36.20)



According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 2 0}(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%)$ was isolated as dark brown viscous oil.

FTIR (thin film): 3266, 2931, 2257, 1724, 1683, 1610, 1545, 1514, 1348, 1330, 1223, $1155,1109,1048,1023,936,821 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,3.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.11,160.07,135.96,132.94,129.27,119.17,67.92$, 65.82, 59.12, 55.40, 42.13, 20.45 .

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{-} 323.0702$; found 323.0688 (TOF MS ES ${ }^{-}$).
(S)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl-N-phenyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.21)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 2 1}(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%)$ was isolated as a white solid. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{D}^{20}=-23.41\left(c=0.44, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$
mp $103-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
FTIR (neat): 3393, 2985, 2968, 1626, 1587, 1538, 1448, 1421, 1325, 1233, 1161, 1141, $1098,1066,1031,1016,966,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\left.\boldsymbol{d}_{6}\right) \delta 10.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}$,
$J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{ddt}, J=13.9,7.0$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO) $\delta 179.51,160.99,144.19,140.22,128.75,128.40,127.40(q$, $J=31.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.05(\mathrm{q}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=271.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 121.46,118.30,97.86,71.70$, 49.30, 30.14, 18.58, 17.28;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 477.1066; found 477.1072.

## (S)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl- N -(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-

 dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.22)

According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 2 2}(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%)$ was isolated as a white solid. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-9.23\left(c=0.26, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$
$\mathbf{m p}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
FTIR (neat): 2968, 1625, 1587, 1546, 1514, 1430, 1329, 1235, 1168, 1146, 1096, 1067, 1033, 1015, $918,825 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 10.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (s, 3H), $3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{ddd}, J=13.2,6.6,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 179.17,160.73,154.01,144.24,133.48,128.39,127.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=31.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.03(\mathrm{q}, J=4.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=271.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.64,113.93,97.72$, $71.67,55.09,49.32,30.12,18.60,17.27$;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 507.1172; found 507.1177.
(S)-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.23)


According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 2 3}$ ( $0.202 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ) was isolated as a yellow syrup. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-6.67\left(c=0.90, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$

FTIR (neat): 2975, 1638, 1579, 1541, 1508, 1412, 1324, 1208, 1117, 1065, 1016, 832, 764, $730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ) $\delta 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0$ Hz, 3H);
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 179.58,160.86,157.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=237.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 144.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 136.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.40,127.39(\mathrm{q}, J=31.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.05(\mathrm{q}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $124.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=271.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 119.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 97.72,71.67$, 49.29, 30.14, 18.56, 17.28;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 495.0972; found 495.0978.

## (S)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl- $N$-(p-tolyl)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-

 dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.24)

According to general procedure $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{3 . 3 6 . 2 4}(0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$ was isolated as a white solid. $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\boldsymbol{D}}^{20}=-40.36\left(c=0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right) ;$ mp $266-268^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;

FTIR (neat): 3384, 2968, 1635, 1599, 1561, 1533, 1443, 1421, 1326, 1234, 1157, 1147, 1067, 1017, 1031, 914, $813 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{q}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ (d, $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{td}, J=6.9,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO) $\delta 179.31,160.86,144.22,137.71,130.12,129.16,128.40$, $127.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=31.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 125.04(\mathrm{q}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 124.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=271.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 118.27,97.85$, $71.68,49.33,30.14,20.42,18.61,17.27$;

HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}^{+}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ 491.1223; found 491.1228 .

## (S)-2-benzyl-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.1)



## (S)-2-(2-fluorobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.2)



## (S)-2-(2-chlorobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.3)


(S)-3-isopropyl-2-(4-methylbenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1- dioxide (3.A.4)

(S)-2-(4-bromobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1- dioxide (3.A.5)


## (S)-2-(4-chlorobenzyl)-3-isopropylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.6)





## (S)-3-isopropyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.7)



## (S)-2-benzyl-3-isobutylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1- dioxide (3.A.8)




## (S)-2-(2-bromobenzyl)-3-isobutylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.9)



(S)-3-isobutyl-2-(4-methylbenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1- dioxide (3.A.10)

(S)-3-benzyl-2-(2-methylallyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1- dioxide (3.A.11)


## (S)-2-allyl-3-benzylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.12)



## (S)-3-benzyl-2-(4-fluorobenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.13)



## (S)-2-(2-fluorobenzyl)-3-methylisothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.14)



## (S)-3-((R)-sec-butyl)-2-(4-fluorobenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.16)



(S)-2-(4-bromobenzyl)-3-((R)-sec-butyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1-dioxide (3.A.17)

(S)-3-((1H-indol-2-yl)methyl)-2-(4-iodobenzyl)isothiazolidin-4-one 1,1- dioxide (3.A.18)


## (S)-tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isothiazol-3(2H)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.3)



(3aS,5R)-5-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isothiazol-3(2H)-one
1,1-dioxide (3.33.4)

tetrahydro-2H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridin-3(3aH)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.5)


## tert-butyl 3-oxohexahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate

(3.33.6)


tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazin-3(2H)-one 1,1-dioxide (3.33.7)
 dioxide (3.36.5)


## 1-benzyl-4-hydroxy- $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-thia-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene-3-

 carboxamide 2,2-dioxide (3.36.6)

## 1-benzyl- $N$-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-2-thia-1-azaspiro[4.5]dec-3-ene-3-carboxamide

## 2,2-dioxide (3.36.7)

 dioxide (3.36.8)


## 3-hydroxy- N -phenyl-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine-2-carboxamide

## 1,1-dioxide (3.36.9)



## 3-hydroxy- N -(p-tolyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine-2-

## carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.10)




## 3-hydroxy- N -(p-tolyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyridine-2-

## carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.12)

 a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.13)

tert-butyl 3-hydroxy-2-((4-methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.14)


tert-butyl 3-hydroxy-2-(p-tolylcarbamoyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydro-5H-isothiazolo[2,3-a]pyrazine-5-carboxylate 1,1-dioxide (3.36.16)


## 3-hydroxy- $N$-phenyl-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazine-2-carboxamide

## 1,1-dioxide (3.36.17)



## 3-hydroxy- $N$-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazine-2-

 carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.18)


## 3-hydroxy- N -(p-tolyl)-3a,4,6,7-tetrahydroisothiazolo[3,2-c][1,4]oxazine-2-carboxamide

## 1,1-dioxide (3.36.20)



## (S)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl-N-phenyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-

 dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.21)

## (S)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-

 dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.22)

## (S)-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-

 dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.23)

## (S)-4-hydroxy-3-isopropyl- $N$-(p-tolyl)-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)-2,3-

 dihydroisothiazole-5-carboxamide 1,1-dioxide (3.36.24)




[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reaction conditions: Dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{1 . 8 . 4}$ ( 50 mg , 1 equiv.), azide ( 2 equiv.), amine ( 1.2 equiv.), CuI ( $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DBU ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), dry $\mathrm{EtOH}\left(0.5 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ), $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{hrs}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reaction conditions: Dihydroisothiazole 1,1-dioxide $\mathbf{1 . 8 . 4}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv.), azide ( 2 equiv.), amine ( 1.2 equiv.), CuI ( $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), DBU ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), dry EtOH ( 0.5 M ), $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{hrs}$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Purified by an automated preparative reverse phase HPLC (detected by mass spectroscopy). Purity was determined by HPLC with peak area (UV) at 214 nm and \% rounded up to nearest $1 \%$.

[^1]:    

