The Letters to the Editor section is subdivided into four categories entitled Communications, Notes, Comments and Errata. The textual material of each Letter is limited to 1200 words minus the following: (a) 200 words for a square figure one-column wide. Larger figures are scaled in proportion to their area. (b) 50 words for each displayed equation; (c) 7 words for each line of table including headings and horizontal rulings. Proof will be sent to authors. See the issue of 1 January 1974 for a fuller description of Letters to the Editor.

COMMUNICATIONS

Observation of a translational energy threshold for a highly exoergic ion-molecule reaction*

J. R. Wyatt[†], L. W. Strattan, S. C. Snyder, and P. M. Hierl

Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045 (Received 14 February 1974)

We report the reaction cross sections and product energy distributions for the H-atom abstraction reaction

 $\operatorname{Ar}^{+} + \operatorname{CH}_{4} - \operatorname{Ar}_{H}^{+} + \operatorname{CH}_{3} (\Delta H \cong -1.7 \text{ eV}^{1})$ (1)

as a function of collision energy between 0.39 and 25 eV (c.m.). The beam instrument (single beam-collision chamber configuration with product velocity and angular analysis) used in this study has been described.² The product velocity vector distributions peak considerably forward of the center of mass, indicating that the reaction is dominated by a direct mechanism over the energy range studied.³



FIG. 1. (a) Reaction cross section $\sigma(T)$ vs center-of-mass collision energy T for Reaction (1). (b) Translational excergicity Q(T) vs center-of-mass collision energy T for Reaction (1). The solid line represents a linear fit to the experimental data by the method of least squares; the broken line indicates the prediction of the spectator stripping model.

The excitation function [reaction cross section $\sigma(T)$ as a function of collision energy T for Reaction (1) is shown in Fig. 1(a). The cross section is approximately 2 orders of magnitude smaller⁴ than that for the similar reaction with molecular hydrogen, $Ar^{+}(H_2, H)ArH^{+}$, presumably a result of competition from the very fast dissociative charge transfer reactions occurring in the $Ar^{+}-CH_{4}$ system.⁵ Moreover, the excitation function maximizes at about 5 eV (c.m.) and decreases at lower collision energies, appearing to possess a threshold at 0.1-0.2 eV. Excitation functions of this shape are normally associated with endoergic ion-molecule reactions, such as $C^{+}(H_2, H)CH^{+}$.⁶ Consequently, the observation of a threshold for the highly excergic reaction $Ar^{+}(CH_{4}, CH_{3})ArH^{+}$ is most remarkable and provides an exception to the long-established maxim that excergic reactions of positive ions have no activation energy.⁷

Figure 1(b) illustrates the energy dependence of the translational excergicity, Q(T), defined as the most probable value of the product translational energy, T'_{MP} , minus the initial collision energy, T. Although the spectator stripping model⁸ (shown by the broken line) predicts the energy dependence of Q(T) reasonably well, the experimental values of T'_{MP} are consistently ~0.1 eV *lower* than the value predicted by this model. This observation is quite striking because it is the opposite of the behavior reported for a number of excergic hydrogenic-transfer ion-molecule reactions studied by molecular beam⁹ and high pressure mass spectrometric techniques.¹⁰

Assuming the linear relation¹¹ $Q(T) = Q_0 + BT$, a least squares analysis of the data yields $Q_0 = -0.10$ eV and B = -0.070. The negative value for Q_0 implies that there is a critical value of the collision energy, $T_c = -Q_0 /$ (1+B), below which the products are unable to separate because T'_{MP} would be negative. With the above values for Q_0 and B, T_c for Reaction (1) equals 0.11 eV, a value strikingly similar to the apparent threshold in the excitation function. Hence, it appears that dynamical restrictions rather than competition from other reaction channels cause the cross section for Reaction (1) to go zero.

copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to IP:

It is possible to speculate upon the nature of these dynamical restrictions. If product translation is presumed¹³ to arise from repulsive energy release as the products separate, the net decrease in translational energy observed for Reaction (1) suggests that the potential energy surface along the H-CH₃ coordinate has a positive slope resulting from ArH⁺-CH₃ attraction.

This attraction produces a basin in the potential energy hypersurface from which the products can escape only with difficulty, particularly at the lowest collision energies; direct reaction can occur only if the collision energy is high enough or the geometry of the collision such that the products retain sufficient momentum following closest approach to escape from the potential basin and to separate completely.¹⁴

A more comprehensive account of this work is in preparation.

- *Acknowledgement is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for partial support of this research. Additional support was provided by the Research Corporation and by the University of Kansas.
- [†]Present address: Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. 20375.
- ¹H. Mittman, H. P. Weise, A. Ding, and A. Henglein, Z.

- ²P. M. Hierl, L. W. Strattan, and J. R. Wyatt, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys. 10, 385 (1973).
- ³D. R. Herschbach, Discuss. Faraday Soc. 33, 149 (1962).
- ⁴(a) F. H. Field, H. N. Head, and J. L. Franklin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 1118 (1962); (b) A. Ding, A. Henglein, D. Hyatt, and K. Lacmann, Z. Naturforsch. 23a, 2084 (1968).
- ⁵(a) V. L. Talrose and G. V. Karachevtsev, Adv. Mass Spectrometry 3, 211 (1966); (b) A. J. Masson, K. Birkinshaw, and M. J. Henchman, J. Chem. Phys. 50, 4112 (1969).
- ⁶(a) W. B. Maier II, J. Chem. Phys. 46, 4991 (1967); (b) E. Lindemann, L. C. Frees, R. W. Rozett, and W. S. Koski, J. Chem. Phys. 56, 1003 (1972).
- ⁷F. W. Lampe, J. L. Franklin, and F. H. Field, Prog. React. Kinet. 1, 84 (1961).
- ⁸A. Henglein, K. Lacmann, and G. Jacobs, Ber. Bunseges. Phys. Chem. 69, 286, 292 (1965).
- ⁹See, for example, C. M. Connally and E. A. Gislason, Chem. Phys. Lett. 14, 103 (1972), and references cited therein.
- ¹⁰J. L. Franklin and M. A. Haney, J. Phys. Chem. 73, 2857 (1969).
- ¹¹A linear dependence of Q(T) upon T has been found experimentally for a number of ion-molecule reactions (see Ref. 9) and has been obtained in theoretical studies of exchange reactions (see Ref. 12).
- ¹² (a) F. T. Smith, J. Chem. Phys. 31, 1352 (1959); (b) D. A. Micha and P. McGuire, Chem. Phys. Lett. 17, 207 (1972);
 (c) P. J. Kuntz and A. C. Roach, J. Chem. Phys. 59, 6299 (1973).
- ¹³J. C. Polanyi, Acc. Chem. Res. 5, 161 (1972).
- ¹⁴J. C. Polanyi, Discuss. Faraday Soc. 44, 293 (1967).

Experimental and predicted stability of gaseous ditransition metal dicarbides

K. A. Gingerich

Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843 (Received 15 February 1974)

Transition metals are known to form gaseous carbides of the type MC, MC₂, MC₃, and MC₄. Dimetal dicarbides, M_2C_2 , have been reported only for aluminum and gallium.^{1,2} Knowledge of mixed dimetal dicarbides is limited to the observation of AlAuC₂ by Stearns and Kohl.² Experimental information concerning the first mixed dimetal dicarbides, ABC₂, containing only transition metal atoms is presented here.

The Knudsen-cell mass spectrometer used in this investigation and the experimental procedure have been described elsewhere.^{3,4} The dimetal dicarbide molecules were observed over a Ce-Th-Zr-Rh-Pt-Ir alloy in graphite, following the investigation of certain intermetallic molecules.⁵ The molecules identified by their mass to charge ratio, isotope distribution, and appearance potential are RhCeC₂, PtCeC₂, and PtThC₂. The molecule IrThC₂ was tentatively observed by measurement of the ion current ¹⁹³IrThC₂⁺ (M449) near its detection limit.

Information about the stability and molecular structure of these carbides was obtained from the measurement of the third-law reaction enthalpies for the pressure independent reactions shown in Table I. In calculating the equilibrium constants it was assumed that effects of relative ionization cross sections and multiplier gains of the reaction participants cancel.

The free energy functions for the mixed metal dicarbides were estimated in consistency with assumptions used for the molecule Ce_2S_2 , ⁶ and the dicarbides^{7,8} and intermetallic compounds^{5,9} with cerium and thorium. The free energy functions for the other reaction participants were taken from literature.¹⁰⁻¹³ The reaction enthalpies shown in Table I (error terms correspond to standard deviations) were combined with appropriate literature values to yield the atomization energies given. Here the error terms include all other estimated uncertainties. The differences in the enthalpies of the same type of reactions contain considerably smaller errors.

From the data presented in Table I the atomization energies, $\Delta H_{0, \text{ atm.}}^{0}$, of the molecules RhCeC₂, PtCeC₂, PtThC₂, and IrThC₂ are selected as 400 ± 12 , 405 ± 12 ,