American Journal of Plant Sciences, 2012, 3, 1241-1251 http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ajps.2012.39150 Published Online September 2012 (http://www.SciRP.org/journal/ajps)



Assessments of Biodiversity Based on Molecular Markers and Morphological Traits among West-Bank, Palestine Fig Genotypes (*Ficus carica* L.)

Rezq Basheer-Salimia^{1*}, Murad Awad¹, Joy Ward²

¹Department of Plant Production and Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Hebron University, West-Bank, Palestine; ²Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Kansas, Lawrence, USA. Email: *rezgbasheer@hebron.edu

Received July 10th, 2012; revised August 6th, 2012; accepted August 17th, 2012

ABSTRACT

Both morphological characters and PCR-based RAPD approaches were used to determine the genetic diversity and relatedness among nine fig genotypes grown at the northern region of the West-Bank, Palestine. Although we tested 28 primers for the RAPD technique, only 9 produced reasonable amplification products. A total of 57 DNA loci were detected in which 70.2% were polymorphic. DNA fragments presented a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 9 polymorphic bands using primers OPT-10 and OPA-18, respectively. Primers exhibited collective resolving power values (Rp) of 18.826. The Mwazi genotype showed the highest genetic distances among all of the other genotypes. Morphologically, considerable variations were found using 41 quantitative and qualitative traits. Adloni could be a very promising genotype for fresh consumption due to its very late maturation period, extended harvesting period, variable fruit size, and easy skin peeling. In addition, 7 genotypes presented firm fruits, which are a very important criterion for exporting purposes. Dendrogram constructed by UPGMA based on RAPD banding patterns appear somewhat contradictory to the morphological descriptors particularly with Swadi and Biadi genotypes (closed genetically and distanced morphologically), which might be attributed to the phenotypic modifications caused by environmental differences across regions. These preliminary results will make a fundamental contribution to further genetic improvement of fig crops for the region.

Keywords: Cluster Analysis; *Ficus carica* L.; Genetic Variability; Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA; Morphological Descriptors

1. Introduction

Fig (Ficus carica L., Moraceae) is one of the oldest cultivated fruit crops grown in the Mediterranean region. Because of their nutritional, medicinal, and ornamental values [1], figs have recently attracted a great deal of attention for culinary purposes and therefore, are widespread throughout the world. According to FAO statistics [2], the world produces over one million metric tons of figs yearly, of which 82% are produced in Mediterranean countries. Middle East countries have been the most important center of fig production across several millennia [3]. The discovery of carbonized figs in an early Neolithic site in the Jordan Valley (between Jordan and Palestine), dating back 11,400 - 11,200 years ago, suggests that figs were first domesticated during the early Neolithic Revolution preceding cereal domestication [4]. From there, fig cultivation spread to neighboring western Asia

and other Middle-East regions, and subsequently across the rest of the World [5].

In Palestine, fig trees are grown historically all over the country and are mostly located on marginal lands, in combination with other fruit trees (mainly olive and grape), or are scattered at the periphery of orchards and in home gardens. The long history of fig growth in Palestine and the wide range of geographical and climatical conditions under which it is grown, have combined to produce a complex picture in which fig landraces and genotypes are either misidentified or called by different names in different areas. Additionally, fig names were mainly given based on fruit skin color, internal color, local geographic origin, maturity dates, or the name of the orchard owner [3,6]. Therefore, it is crucial for discrimination between these landraces both for conservation of plant genetic resources and for purposes of crop improvement [7,8].

Detection and analyzing of genetic variation could be

^{*}Corresponding author.

achieved either by morphological and/or DNA molecular markers [9]. Here we make major advances in understanding the history and genetic variation of fig by combining both morphological and DNA marker approaches for fig trees located throughout the West-Bank, Palestine.

Morphological markers have been used for many years for identification and characterization of genotypes. In fig, several reports demonstrated the usefulness of these markers in documenting variability among genotypes [6, 9-11]. However, morphological characters can often yield ambiguous results due to high plasticity for many traits, as well as phenotypic modifications caused by environmental differences [12].

The limitations of phenotype-based genetic markers led to the development of more general and now widespread use of DNA-based markers [13], which proved to be powerful tools to estimate genetic diversity of species, as well as genotype identity. In fact, molecular markers offer numerous advantages over conventional morphological based approaches, since they are stable and detectable in all tissues regardless of growth, differentiation, development, or defense status of the cell. In addition, DNA markers are not confounded by the environment, pleiotropic, and epistatic effects [13]. In figs, assessment of genetic relatedness and diversity has been investigated by using RFLP, AFLP, SSR, ISSR, and RAPD methods [7,14-22]. Compared with other molecular techniques, RAPD is based on random amplification of bases from short primers. Interestingly, RAPD is a simple, fast, efficient, and inexpensive method. Further, it does not require prior knowledge of the sequences of the markers and can produce abundant polymorphic fragments [22, 23]. Therefore, RAPD has become a powerful and accurate tool for analyzing the genetic relatedness and diversity in figs.

Combinations of morphological as well as molecular markers prove to be essential since morphological markers continue to be a highly recommended first step for the description and classification of any germplasm [24], as well as useful tools for screening the accessions of any collection [25]. The present study is the first attempt to characterize and detect similarities among some fig genotypes grown in the northern region of Palestine using both the powerful combination of morphological and molecular markers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Molecular Analysis

2.1.1. Genetic Markers

This study was carried out during the growing season of 2011. A total of nine fig accessions including: Khortomani, Enaki, Hmadi, Hmari, Khdari, Biadi, Mwazi, Swadi, and Adloni were surveyed throughout the northern region of West-Bank, Palestine. The climate of the region is an atypical Mediterranean climate, with mild temperatures ($18^{\circ}C - 25^{\circ}C$), rainy weather (580 - 800 mm/year) in autumn and winter, and hot, dry summers. Generally, all fig trees are cultivated under rain-fed conditions.

2.1.2. DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh leaves of single adult trees using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc.).

2.1.3. RAPD Primers and PCR Reactions

A total of 28 RAPD primers (Sigma-Aldrich) were used for the amplification of random DNA banding patterns (**Tables 1**, **2**). PCR reactions were repeated twice and carried out in a 25 ml volume mixture containing: 5 μ l of total DNA (30 ng), 2 μ l primer (5 μ M), 2 μ l dNTPs (200 mM) (Fermentas), 2.5 μ l Taq buffer (10×), 2 μ l magnesium chloride (25 mM) and 1.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Hy Labs). Consequently, DNA was amplified by PCR on a Peltier Thermal Cycler-200 (MJ Research. Inc, Watertown, MA) and the PCR program was: 1 cycle, 94°C (3 min); 35 cycles, 94°C (1 min), 35°C (1 min), 72 (1; 30 min) 1 cycle, 72°C (5 min), followed by storage at 4°C.

Amplified products (25 μ l) were mixed with 5 μ l of orange gel loading buffer and analyzed by electrophoresis in 2% agarose gels (Hy Labs) in 1× TAE buffer at 4 volt/cm for 4h as well as detected by staining with ethidium bromide (Sigma). A 100 bp DNA ladder was used as standard marker (Fermentas). Consequently, amplicons were visualized with a UV transilluminator (ImageMaster®VDS).

2.1.4. Data Analysis of RAPD Markers

For each primer, three independent researchers calculated the total number of bands and the polymorphic bands in order to avoid subject bias. The ability of the most informative primers to differentiate between genotypes was assessed by the estimation of their resolving power (Rp) [26]. The Rp has been described to correlate strongly with the ability to distinguish between genotypes according to the following formula: $Rp = \sum Ib$, where Ib = 1 $-(2 \times |0.5 - p|)$ where p is the proportion of the nine genotypes possessing the I band [27]. Banding profile data were scored as present (1) or absent (0) for each sample. Afterwards, RAPD bands were transformed into a binary matrix. Next, a genetic distance matrix was estimated (Table 3) based on Jaccard's similarity coefficient using the multilocus fingerprinting data sets containing missing data (FAMD) software version 1.108 beta. Then a cluster analysis was made using the un-weighted pair-group

#	Primer Code	Sequence 5'-3'	RAPD Total Bands	Poly- Morphic Bands	Mono- morphic Bands	Percentage of Polymorphic Markers	Number of Patterns	Frequency	Resolving Power (Rp)
							1	3/9	
1.	Z-5	TCCCATGCTG	5	4	1	80	2	2/9	1.554
							2	1/9	
	-			_			1	3/9	
2.	Z-8	GGGTGGGTAA	8	7	1	88	6	1/9	3.166
			_				1	2/9	
3.	Z-11	CTCAGTCGCA	5	3	2	60	7	1/9	1.999
		CAATCGCCGT		5	3	63	2	2/9	2.443
4.	OPA-11		8				7	1/9	
-	0.0.1.10	a. aa. aaa. a	0	-		(2)	1	2/9	0.500
5.	OPA-13	CAGCACCCAC	8	5	3	03	7	1/9	2.722
							1	4/9	
6.	OPA-18	AGGTGACCGT	9	5	4	56	1	2/9	1.777
							3	1/9	
							1	4/9	
7	OPH-02	TEGGAEGTGA	5	3	2	60	1	3/9	1 388
,.	0111 02	10001001011	U	5	-	00	2	1/9	1.000
0	ODU 10	OTCACCACCO	(5	1		0	1/0	2 1 1 1
8.	OPH-19	CIGACCAGCC	6	5	I	83	9	1/9	3.111
0	OPT-10	CCTTCGGAAG	3	2	0	100	1	7/9	0.666
9.	011-10	CETTCOUAAU	2	5	U	100	2	1/9	0.000
	Mean		6.33	4.44					2.092
	Total		57	40	17	70.2%			18.826

Table 1. List of the selected primers along with their sequences, number of banding patterns, resolving power, total and polymorphic bands generated that are resultant from all tested fig genotypes.

Table 2. Summary of amplification presents generated by the random primers tested in this study.

Description	Number/Frequency
Total number of primers screened with all the eleven fig genotypes#	19#
Number of primers that produced polymorphic bands#	9#
Total number of bands amplified by the primers that generated polymorphic bands#	57#
Average number of bands per primer#	6.33#
Total number of polymorphic bands#	40#
Percentage of polymorphic bands#	70.2#
Average number of polymorphic bands per primer#	4.44#
Total number of primers that produced more than 75% polymorphic bands#	4#
Total number of bands produced by these 4 primers#	23#
Number of polymorphic bands produced by these 4 primers#	19#
Percentage of polymorphic bands#	82.6#
Average number of polymorphic bands per primer#	4.75#
Average size of the fragments amplified#	190 bp - 1300 bp#

1243

Assessments of Biodiversity Based on Molecular Markers and Morphological Traits among West-Bank, Palestine Fig Genotypes (*Ficus carica* L.)

Genotypes	Swadi	Biadi	Hmadi	Mwazi	Khdari	Adloni	Enaki	Khorto mani
Biadi	0.342							
Hmadi	0.378	0.372						
Mwazi	0.457	0.419	0.267					
Khdari	0.333	0.368	0.286	0.444				
Adloni	0.410	0.361	0.357	0.442	0.306			
Enaki	0.368	0.405	0.357	0.477	0.306	0.432		
Khorto mani	0.419	0.452	0.256	0.302	0.325	0.400	0.270	
Hmari	0.366	0.316	0.238	0.400	0.263	0.342	0.342	0.317

Table 3. Jaccard's distance index generated for the 9 Palestinian fig genotypes based on RAPD markers.

method with arithmetic averages (UPGMA) [28] and the Tree view software (Win32, version 1.6.6).

2.2. Morphological and Pomological Analysis

2.2.1. Plant Materials and Descriptors

From the nine studied genotypes, random samples of 20 adult leaves and 20 mature fruits were collected from three adult trees per genotype. 15 leaf morphological and 26 pomological traits (**Tables 4**, **5**, respectively) were determined according to the fig descriptors prepared by IPGRI and CIHEAM [29], as well as Aljane and Ferchichi [3], with some minor modifications that showed high discrimination values.

2.2.2. Data Analysis of Morphological and Pomological Traits

Relatedness as well as cluster analysis among genotypes was established following the same method of RAPD data analysis, in which each morphological descriptor was scored in a dominant manner and transformed into either a 1 (present) or 0 (absent).

3. Results

3.1. Molecular Results

3.1.1. Genetic Polymorphism and RAPD Patterns

Examined primers revealed various banding patterns.

Among the 28 tested primers used for common fig genotypes grown at the northern region West-Bank, Palestine, only 9 primers produced reasonable amplification products with high intensity and pattern stability (**Table 1**).

The remaining 19 primers exhibited ambiguous, light, and non-clear complex amplification products, and therefore were excluded from our analysis. A total of 57 DNA fragments (loci) separated by electrophoresis on agarose gels, were detected (**Table 1**), ranging in size from 190 to 1300 bp. Of these fragments, 40 (70.2%) were polymerphic and 17 (29.8%) were monomorphic. Our results also revealed an average of 6.33 loci per primer (**Tables** 1, 2). A minimum of three and a maximum of nine DNA fragments were obtained using OPT-10 and OPA-18 primers, respectively (**Figure 1**). The maximum percentage of polymorphic markers was 100.0 (OPT-10).

3.1.2. Resolving Power (Rp)

The tested primers exhibited a collective Rp value of 18.826, and varied from 0.666 for the (OPT-12) primer to 3.166 for the (Z-8) with a mean of 2.092 (**Table 2**).

3.1.3. Genetic Distances

The data matrix size analysed was 513 entries, 300 of which were for present loci (1) and 213 for absent loci (0). Accordingly, the Jaccard coefficient was calculated and presented in (**Table 3**). The genetic distance matrix showed an average distance range from 0.238 to 0.477 with a mean of 0.358. The maximum genetic distance value of 0.477 was registered between Mwazi and Enaki genotypes, whereas the lowest genetic distance of 0.238 (the highest similarities of 0.762) was exhibited between the Hmadi and Hmari genotypes (**Table 3**).

3.1.4. Dendrogram of Genetic Relationship (Similarity Matrix and Cluster Analysis)

The average genetic relatedness among the genotypes is illustrated in **Figure 2**. RAPD UPGMA dendrogram analysis divided the genotypes studied into two main clusters. The first (I) is made up of Biadi and Swadi genotypes. The second cluster (II) is divided into two sub clusters. The first sub cluster labelled (II.a) is made up of (Khortomani and Enaki), as well as (Hmari and Hmadi) related to Khdari. The second sub-cluster (II.b) is composed of only genotype Adloni. However, Mwazi genotype is separated and identified as a distant genotype.

3.2. Morphological Descriptors

Fifteen quantitative and qualitative morphological traits

#	Descriptors	Khort- omani	Enaki	Hmari	Hmadi	Khdari	Biadi	Mwazi	Swadi	Adloni
1	Bud Break	Mar, 15 - 30	Mar, 15 - 30	Mar, 1 - 15	Mar, 1 - 15	Mar, 1 - 15	Mar, 1 - 15	Mar, 15 - 30	Mar, 1 - 15	Mar, 15 - 30
2	Leaf Color	Light green	Green dark green	Dark green	Dark green	Dark green	Dark green	Light green green	Green dark green	Light green
3	Leaf Shape	Base cordate, lobes spatulate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate-base calcarate, lobes latate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate	Base calcarate lobes lineate	Base cordate, lobes spatulate
4	Lobes Number	Five	Five	Five	Five	Five	Five	Five	Five	Five
5	Leaf Venation	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent	Apparent
6	Apex Shape	Tri Anleobtuse	Tri Angle- obtuse	Obtuse rounded	Triangle- obtuse	Triangle	Triangle	Triangle	Triangle	Obtuserounded
7	Counter	Crenate dentate	Crenate dentate	Crenate undulate	Crenate undulate	Crenate	Crenate	Crenate	Crenate undulate	Crenate
8	Leaf Roughness	Fairly rough	Fairly rough	Fairly rough	Fairly rough	Fairly rough	Fairly rough	Fairly rough	Rough	Fairly rough
9	Leaf Area (cm ²)	Medium	Large	Large	Large	Large	Large	Small	Medium	Small
10	Limb Length (mm)	Short	Medium	Medium	Long	Medium	Long	Short	Medium	Short
11	Limb Width (mm)	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Large	Large	Small	Medium	Small
12	Lateral Sinus Depth (mm)	Medium	Medium	Small	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Long	Medium
13	Petiole Length (mm)	Medium	Long	Long	Long	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
14	Petiole Width (mm)	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Large	Large	Small	Small	Small
15	Beginning of Defoliation	Sep-01	Sep-15	Aug-01	Aug-01	Aug-01	Aug-01	Aug-20	Jul-01	Sep-15

Table 4. Morphological descriptors of some fig genotypes grown in the northern region of West-Bank, Palestine.

are shown in **Table 4**. Bud break was observed between March 1-15 in five genotypes (Hmari, Hmadi, Khdari, Biadi and Swadi), with the remainder occurring between March 15-30.

Among all genotypes tested, leaf color ranged from light green to dark green, leaf shape was always base chordate with lobes spatulate (except for Hmadi and Swadi), lobe number was five, leaf venation was apparent, leaf apex shape was variable, leaf serration tended to be crenate, leaf roughness was fairly rough except for the Swadi (rough) genotype. The Biadi genotype presented the greatest value for leaf area, leaf limb length and width, whereas Adloni tended to have the smallest values for each of these parameters. Lateral sinus depth was small for Hmari and long for Swadi, with the other genotypes being medium for this trait. Petiole length was long for genotypes Enaki, Hmari, and Hmadi and it was medium for the remaining genotypes. Additionally, petiole width was large for Biadi and Khdari genotypes, medium for (Khortomani, Enaki, Hmari, and Hmadi), and small for (Mwazi, Swadi, and Adloni).Defoliation was early (July 1) for the Swadi genotype, while it was latest (Sept 15) for the Enaki and Adloni genotypes; others were intermediate.

3.3. Pomological Descriptors

Twenty-six quantitative and qualitative pomological traits are presented in **Table 5**. In terms of fruit maturation, the nine genotypes studied were categorized very early (Hmari, Hmadi, Khdari, Biadi, and Swadi), early (Khortomani and Mwazi), or mid-season (Enaki and Adloni). However, no genotypes were present which would be categorized as either late or very late. The same trend was observed for full fruit maturity for each genotype, with the exception of Adloni, where maturation extended beyond October 1 (very late). For all tested genotypes, the harvesting period observed ranged from medium (Khortomani, Hmari, Hmadi, Khdari, Biadi, and Swadi), to long (Enaki), to very long (Mwazi and Adloni). Fruit

1245

#	Genotypes	Khort- omani	Enaki	Hmari	Hmadi	Khdari	Biadi	Mwazi	Swadi	Adloni
16	Beginning of Maturation	Early	Mid season	Very early	Very early	Very early	Very early	Early	Very early	Mid season
17	Full Maturity	Early	Mid-season	Very early	Very early	Very early	Very early	Early	Very early	Very late
18	Harvest Period	Medium	Long	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Very long	Medium	Very long
19	External Color	Green- purple	Green- purple	Green- purple	Green- yellow	Green- yellow	Green- yellow	Green- yellow	Black- purple	Green- yellow
20	Skin Cracks	Cracked skin	Scarce longitudinal cracks	Cracked skin	Cracked skin	Cracked skin	Scarce longitudinal cracks	Cracked skin	Scarce longitudinal cracks	Cracked skin
21	Fruit Shape	Pyriform	Pyriform	Ovoid	Ovoid	Ovoid	Pyriform	Pyriform	Pyriform	Pyriform
22	Fruit Symmetry	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
23	Size Uniformity	Uniform	Variable	Variable	Uniform	Variable	Variable	Variable	Variable	Variable
24	Fruit Weight (g)	Medium	Large	Medium	Large	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Small
25	Fruit Firmness	Soft	Soft	Soft	Medium	Firm	Firm	Medium	Soft	Soft
26	Fruit Length (mm)	Medium	Long	Short	Medium	Short	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
27	Fruit Width (mm)	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Small
28	Neck Length- mm	Medium	Medium	Short	Short	Short	Medium	Short	Short	Medium
29	Neck Width (mm)	Medium	Medium	Medium	Small	Medium	Large	Large	Medium	Medium
30	Stalk Width (mm)	Large	Large	Large	Large	Large	Large	Medium	Large	Small
31	Stalk Length (mm)	Medium	Medium	Medium	Long	Medium	Long	Short	Long	Long
32	Ostiole Type	Semi-open	Open	Semi-open	Semi-open	Closed	Semi-open	Closed	Semi-open	Closed
33	Ostiole Drop	Present	Present	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent	Absent
34	Drop Color	Transparent	Transparent							
35	Ostiole Width-mm	Very large	Very large	Very large	Very large	Very large	Very large	Large	Very large	Large
36	Skin Peeling	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Easy	Easy	Medium	Easy
37	Internal Color	Amber	Amber	Red	White-red	White-rosy	White-red	Rosy-red	White-red	Dark red
38	Flesh Thickness mm	Medium	Large	Medium	Medium	Small	Medium	Large	Medium	Medium
39	Pulp Texture	Fine	Fine	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Fine	Medium	Medium
40	Pulp Flavor	Aromatic	Strong	Strong	Little flavor	Little flavor	Little flavor	Strong	Little flavor	Little flavor
41	TSS [%]	High	High	High	Very High	High	High	High	High	Low

Table 5. Pomological descriptors of some fig genotypes grown in the northern region of West-Bank, Palestine.



Figure 1. Example of RAPD banding patterns generated in Palestinian fig genotypes using OPA-19 primer. L: 1 Kb ladder. 1: Swadi, 2: Biadi, 3: Adloni, 4: Enaki, 5: Khortomani, 6: Khdari, 7: Mwazi, 8: Hmadi, and 9: Hmari.

external color for all genotypes was either green-purple or green-yellow, except for the Swadi genotype, which was black-purple. Regarding skin cracks, none of the genotypes in our study were categorized as minute. In our nine genotypes, the frequency of fruit shape observed was six (pyriform) and three (ovoid); none of our genotypes were bell-shaped. In addition, six genotypes demonstrated symmetrical fruits and two-presented uniformity of size.

The largest fruit weight observed (55.25 g) was obtained with Enaki and the smallest (17.64 g) was obtained with Adloni; other varieties were intermediate. Similar trends were observed with fruit length and fruit



Figure 2. Dendrogram of 9 local Palestinian fig genotypes constructed by UPGMA based on RAPD banding patterns.

width. Genotypes Khdari and Biadi presented firm fruits, whereas soft fruits were observed for genotypes Khortomani, Enaki, Hmari, Swadi, and Adloni. Fruit neck length, neck width, stalk width, and stalk length varied among genotypes in our study.

Among all tested genotypes, three (Khdari, Mwazi, and Adloni) presented closed ostiole type, five (Khortomani, Hmari, Hmadi, Biadi, and Swadi) presented semiopen, and one (Enaki) had open ostiole. Furthermore, transparent ostiole dew (drop) was observed in Khortomani and Enaki genotypes. Additionally, most of the genotypes exhibited very large ostiole width. Three genotypes (Biadi, Mwazi, and Adloni) presented easy skin peeling and the remainders were medium for this trait. Internal fruit color was highly variable in this study, ranging from amber to dark red. Flesh thickness was large for Enaki and Mwazi genotypes, and small for Khdari genotypes; other genotypes were medium.

Pulp texture in all genotypes was either fine or medium, whereas the strongest pulp aromatic flavor was observed in Enaki, Hmari, and Mwazi genotypes. Total soluble solid (TSS) was either high or very high in all genotypes, with the exception of Adloni, which had low TSS.

3.4. Dendrogram of Morphological and Pomological Relationship (Similarity Matrix and Cluster Analysis)

Genetic distances ranged from 0.374 to 0.654 (**Table 6**). "Hmari and Khdari" were the most closely related genotypes, followed by Hmari and Hmadi. In contrary, Adloni and Enaki were the most distantly related ones. UPGMA dendrogram clustered the genotypes into two main clusters (**Figure 3**). The smallest cluster, I, was composed of Adloni and Mwazi genotypes. The largest cluster, II, consisted of two sub-clusters, namely IIa, and IIb. Sub cluster (II.a) was composed of the Khortomani and Enaki genotypes, whereas the major sub cluster (II.b) included highly related Khdari and Biadi as well as the two other related genotypes Hmari and Hmadi, all of which derived from the Swadi genotype.

4. Discussion

Fig (*Ficus carica*, Moraceae) is the only species among 700 species belonging to the *Ficus* genus that bear edible fruits with significant commercial value. During the last 20 years, many Mediterranean countries have investigated the genetic diversity of this crop; however, up to now, there really has not been substantial progress made on this issue. This study is the first attempt to characterize the figs grown in Palestine using a combination of the PCR-based RAPD technique and morphological characterization.

At the molecular level, our results (**Tables 1, 2**) and comparable studies in the literature presented high polymorphism ratio (70.2% in 9 RAPD primers) among fig genotypes grown in the Mediterranean countries which commonly ranged between 39% - 81% within the same marker (39% in 12 RAPD primers [14]; 67% in 7 RAPD primers [19]; 72% in 6 RAPD primers [10]; 70% in 13 RAPD primers [30]; 77% in 6 RAPD primers [7]; 81% in 7 RAPD primers [21]). Indeed, the high polymorphism that was observed here is indicative of more divergent genotypes, and consequently a potential for success in future selection programs [31]. Additionally, the average of 6.33 amplicons (loci) per primer presented in this study (**Table 2**) was sufficient to produce useful fin-ger-prints for genotype and clone discrimination [14,17].

Genotypes	Swadi	Biadi	Hmadi	Mwazi	Khdari	Adloni	Enaki	Khortomani
Biadi	0.441							
Hmadi	0.509	0.439						
Mwazi	0.625	0.581	0.566					
Khdari	0.500	0.386	0.434	0.589				
Adloni	0.632	0.542	0.596	0.510	0.580			
Enaki	0.567	0.602	0.586	0.646	0.569	0.654		
Khortomani	0.547	0.581	0.514	0.537	0.562	0.594	0.406	
Hmari	0.490	0.479	0.378	0.628	0.374	0.610	0.515	0.510

Table 6. Jaccard's distance index generated for the 9 palestinian fig genotypes based on pomological & morphological descriptors & RAPD markers.



Figure 3. Dendrogram of 9 local Palestinian fig genotypes constructed by UPGMA based on morphological and pomological characters.

Therefore, we may confidently assume that the RAPD technique can solve one of the major problems associated with varietal identification in Palestinian figs.

Resolving power of the collective examined primers showed relatively high values of 18.826 in which primers Z-8 and OPH-19 seemed to be the most useful RAPD primers to assess the genetic diversity since they revealed relatively high collective Rp rates of 3.166 and 3.111, respectively. A similar result was also reported for other Mediterranean figs by Salhi-Hannachi [10] with Rp value of 21.771 in 6 RAPD primers.

Among all tested genotypes, the Mwazi genotype tends to show the highest genetic distance values from the others. The lowest genetic distance of 0.238 between Hmadi and Hmari genotypes (**Table 3**), suggests there are very closely related, and possibly might be the same

genotype, but with different names. For the remaining genotypes, the genetic distance matrix showed a high level of divergence at the DNA level. Similar result was reported by Baraket [32].

Collectively, the high polymorphism ratio, relatively high Rp values, and good genetic distances presented in our study might suggest high genetic diversity in Palestinian fig population at the DNA level.

At the morphological and pomological levels, more than forty-one informative and economical traits (both quantitative and qualitative) were conducted in this study, which was much greater than most regional studies performed on fig genotypes in the past: 11 traits [33]; 16 trait [19]; 22 traits [34]; 26 traits [35]; and 39 traits [11]. Except for the leaf venation parameter that was found to be similar for all tested genotypes, considerable variation among the genotypes was exhibited for the remaining fourteen leaf traits (**Table 4**), which may be highly effective in differentiating among fig genotypes [9,36].

A great diversity of fruit harvesting period, fruit size, fruit weight, fruit uniformity, and fruit external color exhibited in our examined genotypes (**Table 5**) could be potentially incorporated to both local and regional breeding programs. Such a high degree of morphological variation and fruit characteristics could be utilized to interest farmers in diversifying fig genotypes [37], increasing fig production [34], and stimulating attraction of consumers for fresh fruit consumption. Additionally, the majority of our genotypes presented firm fruits, short fruit neck, and acceptable TSS (**Table 5**), which are very important quality criterion particularly for exporting purposes.

Dendrogram constructed by UPGMA based on RAPD banding patterns (**Figure 2**) appear contradictory to the morphological descriptors in some cases (**Figure 3**). This was particularly the case for the Swadi and Biadi genotypes, which were found to be close to each other genetically, but were morphologically distanced. However, for the remaining genotypes they were often similar. This difference could be attributed to the phenotypic modifycations caused by the harshest prevailing weather conditions in the Mediterranean basin where fig can grow [7, 12], particularly since plasticity in morphology is present in fig [9]. However, RAPD is not confounded by the environment, pleiotropic and epistatic effects [13], and has been found to be a useful and powerful tool to estimate genetic diversity of species and genotype identity.

It is also important to point out through morphological, pomological and genetic comparisons that the Mwazi genotype was separated and identified as a distant genotype (**Table 6**) indicating that its genome may differ from the other common fig genotypes [7].

5. Conclusion

Morphological and pomological results will be very useful in characterizing each considered genotype and to create the first reference and catalogue of the local Palestinian fig genotypes. RAPD is a very useful technique in characterizing Palestinian fig genotypes. Here we combined morphological and genetic characters to further refine this Palestinian fig database for use by both researchers and farmers.

6. Acknowledgements

We thank the U.S. National Academy of Sciences and the Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research for hosting the Arab-American Frontiers Program that helped make this collaboration among the authors possible. We also thank both of these agencies especially to Kathrin Humphrey and Dalal Najib for the seed grants to help support preparation of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- M. Flaishman, V. Rodov and E. Stover, "The Fig: Botany, Horticulture, and Breeding," *Horticultural Reviews*, Vol. 34, 2008, pp. 113-197.
- [2] FAO, "The FAO Statistical Database-Agriculture," 2009. http://www.fao.org/economic/the-statistics-division-ess/p ublitions-studies/statistical-yearbook/fao-statistical-year book-2009/en/.
- [3] F. Aljane and A. Ferchichi, "Assessment of Genetic Diversity among Some Southern Tunisian Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Cultivars Based on Morphological Descriptors," *Jordan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2009, pp. 1-16.
- [4] M. E. Kislev, A. Hartmann and O. Bar-Yosef, "Early Domesticated Fig in the Jordan Valley," *Science*, Vol. 312, No. 5778, 2006, pp. 1372-1374. doi:10.1126/science.1125910
- [5] M. Aradhya, E. Velasco and A. Koehmstedt, "Genetic Structure and Differentiation in Cultivated Fig (*Ficus carica* L.)," *Genetica*, Vol. 138, No. 6, 2010, pp. 681-694. <u>doi:10.1007/s10709-010-9442-3</u>
- [6] O. Caliskan and A. A. Polat, "Morphological Diversity among Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Accessions Sampled from the Eastern Mediterranean Region of Turkey," *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, Vol. 36, 2012, pp. 179-193.
- [7] M. T. Sadder and A. F. Atteyyeh, "Molecular Assessment of Polymorphism among Local Jordanian Genotypes of The Common Fig (*Ficus carica* L.)," *Scientica Horticulturae*, Vol. 107, No. 4, 2006, pp. 347-351. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2005.11.006
- [8] G. R. Rout and A. Mohapatra, "Use of Molecular Markers in Ornamental Plants: A Critical Reappraisal," *European Journal of Horticultural Science*, Vol. 71, No. 2, 2006, pp. 53-68.
- [9] O. Saddoud, G. Baraket, K. Chatti, M. Trifi, M. Marrakchi, A. Salhi-Hannachi and M. Mars, "Morphological Variability of Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Cultivars," *International Journal of Fruit Science*, Vol. 8, No. 1-2, 2008, pp. 35-51. doi:10.1080/15538360802365921
- [10] A. Salhi-Hannachi, K. Chatti, O. Saddoud, M. Mars, A. Rhouma, M. Marrakchi and M. Trifi, "Genetic Diversity of Different Tunisian Fig (*Ficus carica L.*) Collection Revealed by RAPD Fingerprints," *Hereditas*, Vol. 143, 2006, pp. 15-22. doi:10.1111/j.2005.0018-0661.01904.x
- [11] M. Podgornik, I. Vuk, I. Vrhovnik and D. B. Mavsar, "A Survey and Morphological Evaluation of Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Genetic Resources from Slovenia," *Scientia Horticulturae*, Vol. 125, No. 3, 2010, pp. 380-389. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2010.04.030
- [12] M. L. A. Lima, A. A. F. Garcia, K. M. Matsuoka, H. Arizono and C. L. De-Souza, "Analysis of Genetic Similarity Detected by AFLP and Coefficient of Percentage among

1250 Assessments of Biodiversity Based on Molecular Markers and Morphological Traits among West-Bank, Palestine Fig Genotypes (*Ficus carica* L.)

Genotypes of Sugar Cane (*Saccharum* spp.)," *Theoretical* and Applied Genetics, Vol. 104, No. 1, 2002, pp. 30-38. doi:10.1007/s001220200003

- [13] M. Agarwal, N. Shrivastava and H. Padth, "Advances in Molecular Markers Techniques and Their Applications in Plant Sciences," *Plant Cell Reports*, Vol. 27, No. 4, 2008, pp. 617-631. doi:10.1007/s00299-008-0507-z
- [14] B. Khadari, P. H. Lashermes and F. Kjellberg, "RAPD Fingerprints for Identification and Genetic Characterization of Fig (*Ficus carica L.*) Genotypes," *Journal of Genetics and Breeding*, Vol. 49, 1995, pp. 77-86.
- [15] B. Khadari, I. Hochu, S. Santoni, A. Oukabli, M. Ater, J. P. Roger and F. Kjellberg, "Which Molecular Markers are Best Suited to Identify Fig Cultivars: A Comparison of RAPD, ISSR and Microsatellite Markers," *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 605, 2003, pp. 69-75.
- [16] P. J. Elisiario, M. C. Neto, L. F. Cabrita and J. M. Leitao, "Isoenzyme and RAPDs Characterization of a Collection on Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Traditional Varieties," *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 480, 1998, pp. 149-154.
- [17] U. Galderisi, M. Cipollaro, G. Di Bernardo, L. De Masi, G. Galano and A. Cascino, "Identification of the Edible Fig 'Bianco del Cilento' by Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA Analysis," *HortScience*, Vol. 3, 1999, pp. 1263-1265.
- [18] L. F. Cabrita, U. Aksoy, S. Hepaksoy and J. M. Leitao, "Suitability of Isozyme, RAPD and AFLP Markers to Assess Genetic Differences and Relatedness among Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Clones," *Scientia Hortculturae*, Vol. 87, No. 4, 2001, pp. 261-273. doi:10.1016/S0304-4238(00)00181-3
- [19] K. Papadopoulou, C. Ehaliotis, M. Tourna, P. Kastanis, I. Karydis and G. Zervakis, "Genetic Relatedness among Dioecious Fig (*Ficus carica* L.) Cultivars by Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA Analysis, and Evaluation of Agronomic and Morphological Characters," *Genetica*, Vol. 114, No. 2, 2002, pp. 183-194. doi:10.1023/A:1015126319534
- [20] Y. Aka-Kacar, A. B. Kuden and S. Cetiner, "Identification of Varietal Polymorphism in *Ficus carica* L. by RAPD (Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA) Markers," *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 598, 2003, pp. 167-172.
- [21] L. De Masi, M. Cipollaro, G. Di Bernardo, U. Galderisi, G. Galano, A. Cascino, G. Grassi, E. Pavone and A. Simeone, "Clonal Selection and Molecular Characterization by RAPD Analysis of The Fig (*Ficus carica L.*) 'Dottato' and 'Blanco del Cilento' Cultivars in Italy," *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 605, 2003, pp. 65-68.
- [22] H. Achtak, A. Oukabli, M. Ater, S. Santoni, F. Kjellberg and B. Khadari, "Microsatellite Markers as Reliable Tools for Fig Cultivar Identification," *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science*, Vol. 134, No. 6, 2009, pp. 624-631.
- [23] M. Kocsis, L. Jaromi, P. Putnoky, P. Kozma and A. Borhidi, "Genetic Diversity among Twelve Grape Cultivars Indigenous to the Carpathian Basin Revealed by RAPD Markers," *Vitis*, Vol. 44, No. 2, 2005, pp. 87-91.

- [24] M. Hoogendijk and D. E. Williams, "Characterizing the Genetic Diversity of Home Garden Crops: Some Examples from the Americas," In: J. W. Watson and P. D. Eyzaguirre, Eds., Home Gardens and in Situ Conservations of Plant Genetic Resources in Farming Systems, Proceeding of the 2nd International Home Gardens Workshop, Witzenhausen, 17-19 July 2001, pp. 34-40.
- [25] C. Cantini, A. Cimato and G. Sani, "Morphological Evaluation of Olive Germplasm Present in Tuscany Region," *Euphytica*, Vol. 109, No. 3, 1999, pp. 173-181. doi:10.1023/A:1003728800464
- [26] A. Prevost and M. J. Wilkinson, "A New System of Comparing PCR Primers Applied to ISSR Fingerprinting of Potato Cultivars," *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, Vol. 98, No. 1, 1999, pp. 107-112. doi:10.1007/s001220051046
- [27] J. E. Gilbert, R. V. Lewis and M. J. Wilkinson, "Developing an Appropriate Strategy to Assess Genetic Variability in Plant Germplasm Collections," *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, Vol. 98, No. 6-7, 1999, pp. 1125-1131. doi:10.1007/s001220051176
- [28] P. M. Schluter and S. A. Harris, "Analysis of Multilocus Fingerprinting Data Sets Containing Missing Data," *Molecular Ecology Notes*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2006, pp. 569-572. doi:10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01225.x
- [29] IPGRI and CIHEAM, "Descriptors for Fig (*Ficus carica* L.)," International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IP-GRRI), Rome, Italy and International Center for Advanced Mediterranean Agronomic Studies (CIHEAM), Paris, 2003.
- [30] M. Akbulut, S. Ercisli and H. Karlidag "RAPD-Based Study of Genetic Variation and Relationships among Wild Fig Genotypes in Turkey," *Genetics and Molecular Research*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2009, pp. 1109-1115. doi:10.4238/vol8-3gmr634
- [31] D. Bandelj, J. Jakse and B. Javornik, "DNA Fingerprinting of Olive Varieties by Microsatellite Markers," *Food Technology and Biotechnology*, Vol. 40, 2002, pp. 185-190.
- [32] G. Baraket, K. Chatti, O. Saddoud, A. Ben-Abdelkarim, M. Mars, M. Trifi and A. Hannachi, "Comparative Assessment of SSR and AFLP Markers for Evaluation of Genetic Diversity and Conservation of Fig, *Ficus carica* L., Genetic Resources in Tunisia," *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*, Vol. 29, No. 1, 2011, pp. 171-184.
- [33] L. Chalak, A. Chehade, E. Mattar and B. Khadari, "Morphological Characterization in Fig Accessions Cultivated in Lebanon," *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 798, 2008, pp. 49-56.
- [34] O. Caliskan and A. A. Polat, "Fruit Characteristics of Fig Cultivars and Genotypes Grown in Turkey," *Scientica Horticulturae*, Vol. 115, No. 4, 2008, pp. 360-367. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2007.10.017
- [35] M. Simsek, "Fruit Performances of the Selected Fig Types in Turkey," *African Journal of Agricultural Re*search, Vol. 4, 2009, pp. 1260-1267.
- [36] F. Aljane, "Analyse de la Diversité Génétique de Culti-

vars Locaux du Figuier (*Ficus carica* L.) Cultivés dans la Chaîne de Matmata," *Revue des Régions Arides*, 2004, pp. 95-104.

[37] A. Oukabli, A. Mamouni, M. Laghezali and B. Khadari,

"Genetic Variability in Moroccan Fig Cultivars (*Ficus carica* L.) Based on Morphological and Pomological Data," *Acta Horticulturae*, Vol. 605, 2003, pp. 311-318.

1251