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#### Abstract

John Charles Hershberger, Ph.D. Department of Chemistry, April 2009 University of Kansas Organometallic chemistry has undoubtedly changed the way synthetic chemists construct molecules as well as the way synthetic chemists think about constructing molecules. Three well-known and most widely used transition metalcatalyzed reactions are the cross-coupling reactions, the Heck reaction, and olefin metathesis. Reactions stoichiometric in the transition-metal played an important role in their development, providing insight that could have remained uncovered otherwise.

The first goal of this dissertation is to expand our understanding of how changing the ligand sphere around the palladium center (by utilizing a triphenylphosphine moiety attached to an insoluble polymer support) affects the reactivity of the palladacycles on solid-phase. More specifically, we compared the reactivity of polymer-bound palladacycles to their soluble triphenylphosphine analogs. The second goal of this dissertation is to elucidate how a carbon stereocenter bound to palladium transfers its asymmetry to a second newly formed stereocenter that is also bound to palladium, and the role played by the auxiliary ligand in this process.

The first project detailed in this dissertation describes a basic study of the interactions between a solid-phase support and well-defined palladacycles. The


effects of phosphorus loading, palladium loading, $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio, and polymer swelling on the reactivity of the polymer-bound palladacycles were explored. The results of these studies as well as the facile syntheses of 2H-1-benzopyrans are presented. We also attempted the synthesis of a library of highly substituted 1,2-dihydroquinolines utilizing this solid-phase technology. Although we were unable to successfully complete the library synthesis, future studies in this area are expected to further elucidate the difference in the reactivities of solid-phase oxa-palladacycles and solidphase aza-palladacycles.

The second project describes the successful development of an expeditious route to palladapyrrolidinones, a new organometallic scaffold featuring two $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}$ hybridized stereogenic carbons attached to a palladium center. These are rare examples of palladium complexes bearing two stereogenic carbons bound to a palladium center. It is also the first system in which the effect of an existing carbon stereocenter bound directly to a palladium center upon the formation of a second stereocenter also directly bound to palladium can be studied in detail. Up to $20: 1$ diastereoselectivity was observed during the formation of the second stereocenter and the diastereoselectivity is shown to be ligand-dependent, indicating that the auxiliary ligand sphere plays a significant role in diastereoinduction. Rather unexpectedly, utilizing a chiral non-racemic ligand in the synthesis of palladapyrrolidinones had little effect on the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. A model to explain the diastereoselectivity and the surprising lack of influence of the chiral non-racemic ligand on the diastereoselectivity is proposed based on X-ray structural studies.

To the universe-
May the flame of knowledge burn always
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## Abbreviations

$\AA$ angstrom
Ac acetyl
acac acetylacetonate
ACMP o-anisylcyclohexylmethylphosphine
AgOTf silver trifluoromethanesulfonate
Ar aryl
BDPP 2,4-bis(dipbenylphosphino)pentane
BINAP 2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl
Bn benzyl
bipy 2,2'-bipyridyl
Bu butyl
$t$-Bu tert-butyl
$t$-BuOK potassium tert-butoxide
Bz benzoyl
cat. catalyst/catalytic
$\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ acetone (deuterated)
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ acetonitrile
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}$ acetyl chloride
CHIRAPHOS ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-(-)-bis(diphenylphosphino)butane
cm centimeter
COD 1,5-cyclooctadiene

COE cyclooctene
Cy cyclohexyl
d $\operatorname{day}(\mathrm{s})$
dba dibenzylideneacetone
DCE 1,2-dichloroethane

DCM dichloromethane
DIC diisopropylcarbodiimide
DIOP trans 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)-3,5-dioxa-4,4-dimethylcyclopentane
DMAD dimethylacetylenedicarboxylate
DMAP 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine
DMF $N, N$-dimethylformamide
DMIP dimenthylisopropylphosphine
DMSO dimethyl sulfoxide
dppe 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane
dr diastereomeric ratio
E electrophile
EDCI - 1-ethyl-3-(3'-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide
ee enantiomeric excess
EI electron impact ionization
equiv. equivalent(s)
ESI electrospray ionization
Et ethyl

EtOH ethanol
EWG electron-withdrawing group
FAB fast atom bombardment

GC gas chromatography
h hour(s)
HCl hydrochloric acid
HOAc acetic acid
HOBt 1-hydroxybenzotriazole
HRMS high resolution mass spectrometry
Hz hertz

ICP inductively coupled plasma
IR infrared spectrometry
kcal kilocalorie(s)
KHMDS potassium hexamethyldisilazide
KTp potassium tris(pyrazolyl)borate
LDA lithium diisopropylamide
LiCp lithium cyclopentadienide
Ln ligand
M moles per liter
Me methyl
MeI iodomethane
MeOH methanol

```
MHz megahertz
mL milliliter
mm millimeter
mmol millimole(s)
mol mole(s)
mp melting point
MS mass spectrometry
N equivalents per liter (Normality)
n-BuLi n-butyl lithium
NEt}3\mathrm{ triethylamine
nm nanometer(s)
NMR nuclear magnetic resonance
NOE nuclear Overhauser effect
NR no reaction
Nu nucleophile
OMe methoxy
Ph phenyl
phen 1,10-phenanthroline
PG protecting group
PMP para-methoxyphenyl
PPh}3\mathrm{ triphenylphosphine
ppm parts per million
```

$i$-Pr iso-propyl
psi pounds per square inch
Pyr. pyridine
$\mathrm{R}_{f}$ retention factor
RCM ring closing metathesis
rt room temperature
TBAF tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride
TBSOTf tert-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate
Tf trifluoromethanesulfonyl
TFA trifluoroacetic acid

THF tetrahydrofuran
THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ tetrahydrofuran (deuterated)
TLC thin layer chromatography
TMEDA tetramethylethylenediamine
TMS trimethylsilyl
$o$-Tol ortho-tolyl
p-Tol para-tolyl
V-65 2,2'-azobis(2.4-dimethyl valeronitrile)

## Chapter One

## Prologue

Reproduced in part with permission from Hershberger, J. C.; Day, V. W.; Malinakova, H. C. Organometallics, 2009, 28, 810 - 818. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society, and Hershberger, J. C.; Zhang, L.; Lu, G.; Malinakova, H.C. J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, pp 231 - 235. Copyright 2006 American Chemical Society.

Organometallic chemistry has experienced an enormous blossoming over the last 50 years. Compounds with metal-carbon bonds often have different properties than their organic cousins and are widely used from organic synthesis to materials science. ${ }^{1-4}$ Synthetic chemists are often intimately acquainted with transition metal chemistry, due to organometallic chemistry's seemingly miraculous ability to mediate transformations that were difficult or impossible to carry out or imagine before the modernization of organometallic chemistry. ${ }^{5}$

Among the most prominent of the transition-metals for the synthetic chemist is palladium. Palladium complexes with a $\sigma$-bound carbon are highly versatile reagents and/or intermediates in synthesis. ${ }^{6-8}$ Examples of palladium-mediated or palladium-catalyzed reactions with $\sigma$-bound carbon intermediates include the Heck reaction, ${ }^{9}$ carbonylation, ${ }^{10}$ the Tsuji-Trost reaction, ${ }^{11,12}$ the plethora of cross-coupling reactions involving transmetalation (including the Stille, Suzuki, Hiyama, Negishi, and Kumada among others), ${ }^{13-17}$ palladium-catalyzed allylations of elctrophiles, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation ${ }^{7}$ and decarboxylative coupling chemistry. ${ }^{18-23}$

Due to the high cost of palladium metal, much effort has been invested in developing palladium-catalyzed processes. While many modern palladium-catalyzed transformations do not use reactions stoichiometric in palladium as part of their development, the development of the Heck and Tsuji-Trost reactions both utilized reactions stoichiometric in palladium to gain key mechanistic insights. ${ }^{9,24,25}$ While not always applicable, stoichiometric reactions and model complexes often give us a
more direct view of potential reaction intermediates, helping inspire or guide new reaction types.

However, palladium complexes or intermediates with a $\sigma$-bound alkyl group remain fairly rare due to the greater probability for $\sigma$-bound alkyl groups to have $\beta$ hydrogens that are readily accessible for $\beta$-hydride elimination. As a result, much less is known about the 'fate' of a $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon bound to a palladium center when a process such as migratory insertion or reductive elimination occurs. ${ }^{26,}{ }^{27}$ In this vein, both projects described in this dissertation have at their heart the use of palladium complexes with a $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized stereocenter directly attached as tools to elucidate reaction pathways.

The following paragraphs describe the layout and content of this dissertation. Chapter Two is a very brief history of some of the examples of transition metal complexes as stoichiometric reagents with a focus on palladium. Section 2.1 is a brief history of organometallic chemistry to the discovery of ferrocene, while sections 2.2 and 2.3 show the reaction types that palladium(II) and palladium(IV) complexes can undergo. Section 2.4 relates the use of transition metal complexes as solid-phase reagents. Section 2.5 describes some of the synthetic uses of complexes with a sp ${ }^{3}$ hybridized chiral carbon bound to a metal center. Chapter Two is not meant to be comprehensive but illustrative of the breadth and depth of the types of chemistry transition-metal complexes with a $\sigma$-bound carbon ligand can undergo.

The remaining chapters describe the experimental work accomplished in this dissertation. Chapter Three describes our efforts to elucidate some of the factors that
affect the reactivity of palladium complexes attached to a polymer-bound ligand as well as our attempts to apply application of polymer-bound palladacycles to the synthesis of a library of 1,2-dihydroquinolines.

Chapter Four describes the synthesis and characterization of a new organometallic scaffold, the palladapyrrolidinone, a palladacycle featuring two $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized stereogenic carbons bound to palladium. This rare structural feature is only found in few other complexes, ${ }^{28,29}$ and the palladapyrrolidinone scaffold is to the best of our knowledge, the first where the effect of one stereogenic carbon on the stepwise formation of a second stereocenter can be studied. The effects of auxiliary ligands and reaction conditions on the diastereoselectivity are included as well as a study on the effect of a chiral non-racemic ligand on the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. A mechanism for the diastereoselectivity based in part on X-Ray data is also proposed. Chapter Five is a discussion of potential improvements and extensions of the projects described in Chapters Three and Four, as well as a discussion of the potential mechanisms of reductive elimination of the palladapyrrolidinones to give $\beta$ lactams.

## Chapter Two

Transition Metal Complexes as Reagents in C-C Bond Formation

### 2.1 Organometallic Chemistry From Inception to the Discovery of Ferrocene

The first widely known organometallic compound was Zeise's salt $\left(\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, first reported on in $1825 .{ }^{30}$ The complex was eventually isolated as beautiful yellow crystals, and Zeise correctly deduced it was a complex of ethylene. In 1901, Grignard reported the action of magnesium on aryl and alkyl chlorides, resulting in the first (relatively) stable organometallic reagents. ${ }^{31}$ In 1917, Schlenk described the synthesis of methyl lithium via reaction of ethyl lithium and dimethyl mercury, opening access to alkyl lithium reagents. ${ }^{32}$ In 1924, Gilman reported ${ }^{33}$ on the reaction of methyl lithium with cupric chloride, eventually leading to modern cuprate chemistry. ${ }^{34-37}$ Almost 30 years later, two groups independently discovered ferrocene ${ }^{38,39}$; this set off a firestorm of experimentation that still resonates today. Wilkinson and coworkers as well as Fischer proposed the iconic structure of ferrocene via reactivity studies ${ }^{40-42}$, and Dunitz confirmed it with X-Ray crystallography. ${ }^{43}$ With this serendipitous discovery, modern organometallic chemistry began. ${ }^{44}$

### 2.2 Organopalladium(II) Chemistry From 1960 to Present

A few years after the discovery and elucidation of the structure of ferrocene, Smidt reported work on describing the mechanism of the Wacker process, invoking attack of hydroxide anion on an aqueous solution of an ethylene-palladium(II) complex 2.1 to give intermediate 2.2, which would undergo $\beta$-hydride elimination to generate $\mathrm{Pd}(0), \mathrm{HCl}$, and acetaldehyde 2.3. (Scheme 2.1). ${ }^{45}$

## Scheme 2.1



The report of the mechanistic work on the Wacker process helped unveil the potential of palladium complexes to the synthetic chemist. The advantages of the newly discovered $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ reagents included increased stability to water and oxygen in many cases as compared to organomagnesium and organolithium reagents and generally high functional group tolerance. ${ }^{8}$ The synthetic versatility of palladium stems from the variety of reactivity modes available to palladium complexes featuring a $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ bond (vide infra). Depending on the ligand sphere, Pd-allyl complexes can be made to either transfer a nucleophilic or electrophilic allyl to an external reactant. The relatively non-polarized Pd-C bond also makes carbopalladation reactions of alkenes and alkynes synthetically useful. As with other transition metals, carbonylation is also possible with palladium. ${ }^{10}$ In addition, palladium has proved particularly valuable in cross-coupling reactions involving $\mathrm{Csp}^{2}-\mathrm{Csp}^{2}$ bond formation via transmetalation. The following sections will present a brief, illustrative history of the
utilization of palladium complexes as stoichiometric reagents as springboards for developing new reactions as well as probes for studying mechanisms of interest to synthetic chemists. As palladium chemistry is a very broad area, the rest of the section is divided into subsections detailing the steps and role palladium is playing in mediating $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation.

### 2.2.1 C-C Bond-forming Reactions in Which 1,1-Migratory Insertion is a Key Step

Inspired by the work on the Wacker process, as well as reports of amines ${ }^{46}$ and acetate ${ }^{47}$ as nucleophiles, Tsuji began describing palladium(II)-chloride mediated reactions of alkenes with carbon monoxide to give $\beta$-chloro acyl chlorides. ${ }^{10}$ Two reasonable mechanisms can be proposed, one involving chloropalladation of the double bond by $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ to generate 2.4, followed by insertion of carbon monoxide to give 2.5 and reductive elimination to give the acyl chloride 2.6 (Scheme 2.2, mechanism A). The second mechanism (Scheme 2.2, mechanism B) involves insertion of carbon monoxide to $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ to give complex 2.7, followed by carbopalladation of ethylene to give $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ and reductive elimination to give 2.6.

## Scheme 2.2



Tsuji also showed that the reaction of $\pi$-allyl palladium chloride with carbon monoxide gave acyl chlorides via a similar mechanism for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation (Scheme 2.3). ${ }^{48}$ In this case, the carbon monoxide breaks the $\pi$-allyl palladium dimer complex to give mono- $\pi$-allyl complex $\mathbf{2 . 8}$, which then undergoes carbon monoxide insertion to give palladium acyl 2.9, which undergoes reductive elimination to give the acyl chloride product $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$.

## Scheme 2.3



However, when there is more than one site for carbon monoxide attack in an unsymmetrical $\pi$-allyl complex, multiple products are seen from both palladiummediated and non-palladium mediated attack of carbon monoxide. ${ }^{49}$ Tsuji disclosed other examples of the reactions of $\pi$-complexes derived from higher alkenes (butadiene, ${ }^{50}$ allene,,${ }^{51,52}$ cyclooctadienes, ${ }^{53}$ and unsaturated esters ${ }^{54}$ ) with carbon monoxide. Tsuji also communicated the results from the reaction of cyclohexyl isocyanide with $\pi$-allyl palladium chloride dimer instead of carbon monoxide (Scheme 2.4). ${ }^{55}$

## Scheme 2.4



The resulting complexes 2.11a and 2.11b were reacted with sodium carbonate and ethanol to afford imidate derivatives 2.12a and 2.12b followed by mild acid hydrolysis affording a mixture of products from isocyanide insertion into the Pd-C bond.

In 1976, Yamamoto disclosed a reaction of palladated azobenzene $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ with $t$ butyl isocyanide via a similar insertion mechanism as in Scheme 2.4, providing heterocycle $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{1 5}$ regiospecifically and in high yield (Scheme 2.5 ). ${ }^{56}$

## Scheme 2.5



Heck also reported on the insertions of carbon monoxide ${ }^{57,58}$ into cyclopalladated complexes $^{59}$ ( $\mathbf{2} .16$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ ) derived from azobenzene (Scheme 2.6). In this case, the yield of the carbon monoxide insertion reaction of $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ to give 2.17 was low ( $17 \%$ ), but structurally interesting lactone $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ was formed in $25 \%$ yield upon reaction of
2.18 with carbon monoxide.

## Scheme 2.6



Larock reported ${ }^{60}$ utilizing in situ transmetalation from preformed organomercurials to palladium en route to the synthesis of coumarins and butenolides (Scheme 2.7). ${ }^{61}$ The presumed alkenyl palladium intermediate forms via transmetalation of $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ with
palladium (II). After carbonylation and solvolysis a methyl ester is produced, which ultimately gives the lactone after deprotection of the phenol with HF. Larock reported similar chemistry beginning from the thallation of benzoic acids ${ }^{62}$ in the synthesis of isocoumarins.

## Scheme 2.7



These examples showcase palladium's ability to allow carbon monoxide or isocyanides to act as a useful phosgene surrogate under mild conditions, often with high regioselectivity.

### 2.2.2 C-C Bond-forming Reactions Involving External Nucleophilic Attack at Carbon

In 1965, Tsuji ${ }^{63,64}$ disclosed the reaction of the anions derived from ethyl malonate, ethyl acetoacetate, and an enamine with $\pi$-allyl palladium chloride dimer (Scheme 2.8).

## Scheme 2.8



Both mono-allylation (to give product 2.22) and di-allylation (product 2.23) were reported. The morpholine enamine of cyclohexanone also reacted to give substituted cyclohexanone 2.24. These were the first examples of what would become known as the Tsuji-Trost reaction, with the terminus of the allyl system acting as the electrophile (vide infra).

Recognizing the potential of this type of reactivity, Trost began describing the scope and limitations of the allylic alkylation reactions, beginning in 1973. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{~A}$ mixture of complexes $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 6}$ were reacted with diethyl sodiomalonate to give a mixture of alkenes $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ in a $63 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.9).

## Scheme 2.9



In contrast to Tsuji's report, Trost reported that the $\pi$-allyl palladium complexes derived from 2-methyl-1-octene did not react with the anion derived from diethyl malonate. Interestingly, Trost's report does not mention the solvent utilized in the failed case. Tsuji's report of a successful reaction had DMSO as a solvent. Trost also reported that the reaction in the presence of triphenylphosphine in THF or DMF allowed for the desired reaction to occur readily. Reaction of the $\pi$-allyl complex $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ with the anions of diethyl malonate, methyl methylsulfonylacetate, and methyl
methylsulfinylacetate resulted in highly regioselective attack at the less substituted terminus of the $\pi$-allyl complex (Scheme 2.10).

Scheme 2.10


The reaction with the anion of diethyl malonate provided $68 \%$ yield, giving $88: 12$ selectivity $((\mathbf{2 . 3 0}+\mathbf{2 . 3 1}): \mathbf{2 . 3 2})$ for attack at the less substituted allyl terminus, while the anions of methyl methylsulfonylacetate and methyl methylsulfinylacetate gave complete regioselectivity for attack at the less substituted end of the allyl system.

Soon after, Trost also disclosed ${ }^{24}$ the stereoinduction in the reaction of $\pi$-allyl palladium complex $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ with diethyl sodiomalonate in the presence of various chiral ligands (Table 1).

Table 2.1 Effect of P/Pd Ratio, Temperature, and Ligand on the Optical Yield of Product


| Ligand | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{N}) / \mathrm{Pd}$ | Conditions ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Optical Yield $^{a}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(+)$-DIOP | $4 / 1$ | 0, then 25 | $12.2 \pm 0.8$ |
| $(+)$-DIOP | $4 / 1$ | $-40,25$ | $22.4 \pm 2.2$ |
| $(+)-$ DIOP | $4 / 1$ | $-78,25$ | $17.9 \pm 1.8$ |
| $(+)$-ACMP | $2 / 1$ | 25 | $17.9 \pm 1.8$ |
| $(+)$-ACMP | $2 / 1$ | $-40,25$ | $24.4 \pm 1.6$ |
| $(+)$-ACMP | $2 / 1$ | $-78,25$ | $22.4 \pm 2.8$ |
| $(-)-$ Sparteine | $4 / 1$ | 25 | $20.2 \pm 2.1$ |
| (-)-DMIP | $4 / 1$ | 0,25 | $2.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| ${ }^{a}$ defined as the ratio of the optical purity of the product to that of the catalyst |  |  |  |

The results indicate that the ligands with the stereocenter closer to palladium were more effective than those where the stereocenter was further away.

Trost also reported ${ }^{65}$ on mechanistic aspects of the allylic alkylation reaction. At the time, it was unclear whether the mechanism consisted of nucleophilic attack at the palladium center followed by reductive elimination (Path A, Scheme 2.11) to give

C-C bond formation, or whether the nucleophile directly attacks one of the terminal carbons of the allyl ligand (Path B, Scheme 2.11) to give C-C bond formation.

## Scheme 2.11


2.40

The mechanistic aspect in question has implications for the stereochemical outcome of the C-C bond formation. Path A, involving attack at the palladium center would involve a retention of configuration of the Pd-C center via a pathway involving intermediate $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ followed by reductive elimination to give 2.39. Path B would likely involve an inversion of configuration of the Pd-C center due to the nature of the $\pi$-allyl palladium complex, with the palladium atom and its ligand sphere directing attack at the face opposite due to steric factors. This would generate product $\mathbf{2 . 4 0}$ directly from 2.37. In order to help answer this question, the $\pi$-allyl palladium complex $\mathbf{2 . 4 1}$ was reacted with the anion of diethyl malonate to give $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ (Scheme 2.12). Decarbomethoxylation, dihydroxylation and lactonization of carbocycle $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ provided 2.43a, whose stereochemistry as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shift analysis showed indirectly that Path B was the likely pathway for the reaction of $\pi$-allyl palladium complexes with soft nucleophiles.

## Scheme 2.12



Trost also reported a reaction that established that the stereochemistry of attack on some cyclohexyl systems to be axial in nature. ${ }^{66}$ A mixture of $\pi$-allyl palladium complexes 2.44a and 2.44b with tert-butyl substituents on the cyclohexane ring to bias the conformation were reacted with dimethyl sodiomalonate in DMSO to give a 21:79 mixture of alkenes $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 4 6}$.

## Scheme 2.13



The regiochemical result of this reaction is in contrast to what is generally expected in the reactions of $\pi$-allyl complexes with nucleophiles (attack at the more substituted carbon, vide supra). In addition, the mixture of both isomers leads to one diastereomer of the dominant product. This result was attributed to a fast equilibrium between 2.44a and 2.44b. As the stereochemistry of the product indicates that the reactive isomer was $\mathbf{2 . 4 4 b}$, the reaction has a very high preference for axial attack due to stereoelectronic effects. Finally, Trost reported the use of this type of chemistry to achieve the unnatural configuration at $\mathrm{C}-20$ in a partial synthesis of $5 \alpha-$ cholestanone ${ }^{67,68}$.

These results indicate that stoichiometric palladium chemistry played a key role in elucidating factors relating to the regiochemistry and mechanism of allylic alkylation reactions, which are now widely used in the catalytic mode ${ }^{11,12}$.

### 2.2.3 C-C Bond-forming Reactions Involving External Electrophilic Attack at

## Carbon

There are very few reports of this type of reaction in the stoichiometric mode, presumably due to the tendency for palladium(II) allyl complexes to preferentially bind in the $\eta^{3}$ mode, as seen in Section 2.2.2. In 1983, Parra-Hake reported the
reaction of palladium $\pi$-allyl dimer 2.47 with aqueous sodium cyanide to give a mixture of bicyclic alkenes $\mathbf{2 . 4 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ in a combined $93 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.14). ${ }^{69}$

Scheme 2.14


The reaction is proposed to occur via in situ formed $\eta^{1}$-allyl species $\mathbf{2 . 4 8}$ formed by the coordination of the cyanide anion. The modest selectivity for $\mathbf{2} .49$ is presumably due to steric factors.

In 1986, Kurosawa disclosed the reaction of pre-formed $\eta^{1}$-allyl species $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$ reacting with maleic anhydride to form proposed intermediate $\mathbf{2 . 5 2}$ concomitant with new C-C bond formation (Scheme 2.15). ${ }^{70}$

## Scheme 2.15



The reaction is put forth as a Sakurai-type addition to the maleic anhydride followed by the palladium center in intermediate cationic complex $\mathbf{2 . 5 2}$ acting as a Lewis acid
to activate the pendant alkene for intramolecular nucleophilic attack to afford complex $\mathbf{2 . 5 3}$ as a product in low yield.

A very recent example of external electrophilic attack at carbon was reported by Jarvo in 2007. ${ }^{71}$ Stoichiometric reactions were performed utilizing bidentate NHC-phosphine ligands (such as in complex 2.54) to find suitable conditions for a catalytic reaction (Scheme 2.16).

## Scheme 2.16



It was found that coordinating counterions (such as the chloride in 2.54) and the bidentate ligand made the complex much more nucleophilic as judged by conversion by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Other counterions $\left(\mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{BAr}_{4}{ }^{\mathrm{F}}\right.$ ) resulted in lower conversions to palladium complex $\mathbf{2 . 5 5}$ during the survey.

The results in this section show another facet of the versatility of palladium complexes and intermediates in organic synthesis. Reactions with proposed $\eta^{l}$-allyl palladium intermediates are becoming more widespread. ${ }^{71,72}$

### 2.2.4 C-C Bond-forming Reactions Involving 1,2-Migratory Insertion

In 1968, Heck reported one of the first examples of the reaction that would come to bear his name. ${ }^{9}$ The requisite palladium aryl or alkyl derivatives were generated in situ via transmetalation from the corresponding lead, tin, or mercury compounds to generate "phenylpalladium chloride" (Scheme 2.17).

## Scheme 2.17



The "phenylpalladium chloride" then reacted with either methyl acrylate or styrene to generate acrylate derivatives 2.56-2.58 in $33-100 \%$ yields by GC analysis. Heck later reported more work, elucidating the likely mechanism of the reaction, utilizing an in situ generated "phenylpalladium acetate" complex. ${ }^{73}$ The acetate counterion was used because reactions with the chloride counterion resulted in double bond isomerization and/or migration in some substrates. Heck studied the reaction of "phenylpalladium acetate" with propylene to probe the mechanism of the reaction. A variety of products can be expected from the reaction as shown in Scheme 2.18. Reaction via intermediate $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ can result in the three products shown from the three different $\beta$-hydrogen atoms, while reaction via intermediate 2.25 can result in only one product due to the single $\beta$-hydrogen.

Scheme 2.18


66\% overall yield of 2.61-2.64
$2.61: 2.62: 2.63: 2.64=60: 9: 15: 16$
The reaction run in methanol at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 30 psi propylene resulted in $66 \%$ overall yield. The product composition consisted of $60 \%$ trans-1-phenyl-1-propene 2.61, $9 \%$ cis-1-phenyl-1-propene 2.62, 15\% allylbenzene 2.63, and 16\% 2-phenyl-1-propene
2.64. The product distribution indicates that the majority of products formed are from formal anti-Markovnikov addition to propene via intermediate 2.59. The majority of the anti-Markovnikov products resulted from the benzylic $\beta$-hydride elimination from the intermediate palladium complex.

Heck also reacted both trans-1-phenyl-1-propene $\mathbf{2 . 6 5}$ and cis-1-phenyl-1propene 2.68 with "phenylpalladium acetate" and found the reactions to be stereoselective, with $\mathbf{2 . 6 5}$ giving trans-1,2-diphenyl-1-propene $\mathbf{2 . 6 7}$ as the major product, and $\mathbf{2 . 6 8}$ giving cis-1,2-diphenyl-1-propene as $\mathbf{2 . 7 0}$ the major product through presumed $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ intermediates $\mathbf{2 . 6 6}$ and 2.69, respectively (Scheme 2.19).

Scheme 2.19


Horino and Inoue reported a regioselective ortho vinylation of aromatic amides starting from cyclopalladated complex $\mathbf{2 . 7 1}$ via and intermediate like 2.72 (Scheme $2.20) .{ }^{74}$

## Scheme 2.20



Holton reported a similar reaction to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 2.75 starting from cyclopalladated complex $\mathbf{2 . 7 4}$ as shown in Scheme 2.21. ${ }^{75}$ Interestingly, $\mathbf{2 . 7 4}$ has a potentially accessible $\beta$-hydrogen in contrast to complex 2.71.

## Scheme 2.21



In another interesting use of palladium chemistry, Bergstrom reported the use of in situ generated uridine-palladium complexes en route to the formal alkylation of uridines without the use of protecting groups (Scheme 2.22). ${ }^{76}$

## Scheme 2.22



Mercurated uridine 2.76 undergoes transmetalation to generate alkylpalladium intermediate 2.77 with readily accessible $\beta$-hydrogens. The intermediate is intercepted by a one-pot reduction with sodium borohydride to give alkylated uridine 2.78.

As a final example, Heck utilized the 1,2-migratory insertion chemistry to selectively form some rare types of heterocycles, such as multiply substituted naphthalenes and cinnolinium salts (Scheme 2.23). ${ }^{77,78}$ The generation of cationic palladium complexes $\mathbf{2 . 7 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 8 2}$ is necessary to activate the complex for migratory insertion of the alkynes to generate intermediates $\mathbf{2 . 8 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 8 3}$ which subsequently undergo reductive eliminations to give napthalene $\mathbf{2 . 8 1}$ and cinnolinium salt 2.84.

Scheme 2.23


The selected reactions shown in this section showcase the utilization of stoichiometric palladium reactions to partially elucidate the mechanism of the Heck reaction as well as to provide access to a range of products that would be difficult to synthesize using other methods.

### 2.3 Chemistry of Organopalladium(IV) Complexes

Much synthetic chemistry, both involving C-C and C-Heteroatom bond formation involves proposed organopalladium(IV) intermediates. ${ }^{79-83}$ This section will be divided into three parts, each according to how the $\operatorname{Pd}(I V)$ intermediate is generated.

### 2.3.1 Reactions Involving Generation of Pd(IV) Complexes via Reaction With

## Alkyl or Acyl Halides

In 1986, Canty reported the first example of an isolated triorganopalladium(IV) complex via reaction of palladium(II) bipy complex $\mathbf{2 . 8 5}$ with excess methyl iodide in acetone to give complex $\mathbf{2 . 8 6}$ as colorless crystals in $90 \%$ yield. $\mathbf{2 . 8 6}$ was also characterized by X-Ray crystallography. ${ }^{84}$

## Scheme 2.24



Holton disclosed the reaction of cyclometalated palladium complex 2.87 with acetyl chloride to give an acetophenone derivative (Scheme 2.25). ${ }^{85}$ It is proposed that the reaction goes through $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediate $\mathbf{2 . 8 8}$ to give acetophenone derivative $\mathbf{2 . 8 9}$ in $81 \%$ yield.

## Scheme 2.25



A common way of generating $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediates is a simple $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction of the palladium(II) complexes with alkyl halides (i.e., methyl iodide, benzyl or allyl bromides) to generate $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ complexes. Some of the complexes are isolable, but
many are metastable and generate organic products along with other $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes. In 1991, Markies et al. reported ${ }^{86}$ the reactions of (bipy)PdPhMe 2.90 and (TMEDA)PdPhMe 2.93 with methyl iodide and benzyl bromide (Scheme 2.26). The reactions were monitored by NMR spectroscopy.

Scheme 2.26


In the reactions with methyl iodide, bipyridyl complex $\mathbf{2 . 9 0}$ was seen to react readily and give a $1: 1$ ratio of isomers of the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ complexes 2.91a and 2.91b after oxidative addition. Upon reductive elimination, the product mixture consisted of a 4 : 1 ratio of ethane to toluene, indicating that methyl-methyl coupling was more facile
than methyl-phenyl coupling. In the case of TMEDA complex 2.93, a discrete Pd(IV) complex was not detected and the reaction afforded exclusively ethane. In the case of reactions with benzyl bromide, $\mathbf{2 . 9 0}$ reacted readily to give a $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ intermediate of unknown stereochemistry and upon reductive elimination only toluene. $\mathbf{2 . 9 3}$ did not react up to the decomposition point of the complex (ca. $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). This indicates that reactions with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes acting as nucleophiles are very sensitive to steric factors.

Canty et al. also provided results on the selectivity of reductive elimination from a series of isolable $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ complexes ${ }^{87}$ via NMR signals of the resulting $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes (some signals of the organic compounds were obscured in the NMR) (Scheme 2.27).

Scheme 2.27

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{RPd}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br} \xrightarrow[\text { Acetone }]{40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}\left[\mathrm{RPd}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{MeMe}: \mathrm{MePd}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Br}+\mathrm{RMe}\right] \\
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\text { bipy } \\
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\text { phen } & 1: 0 \\
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\text { bipy } & 3: 1 \\
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\text { phen } & 5: 1 \\
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\text { bipy } & 2: 1 \\
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{COCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~L}_{2}=\text { phen } & 3: 1 \\
& \text { ca. } 1: 1
\end{array}
$$

As can be seen from Scheme 2.27, the elimination of ethane is highly favored in all these cases. A random elimination of $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{Me}$ and $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Me}$ would result in a $1: 2$ ratio in all cases. Canty also reported on the selectivity of reductive elimination with respect to the anion of the triorganopalladium(IV) complex. ${ }^{88}$ The complexes were generated
by abstraction of the bromide counterion with silver nitrate followed by rapid introduction of a different halide salt. Once isolated, the complexes 2.94a-f were then heated to $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetone $-\mathrm{d}_{6}$, where the selectivities of reductive elimination were studied (Scheme 2.28).

Scheme 2.28


The selectivity of the reductive elimination was not very sensitive to a change in counterion, with ethane elimination predominating in all cases.

Markies et al. studied the selectivity of reductive elimination from triorganopalladium(IV) complexes with three different organic groups (Scheme 2.29). ${ }^{89}$

Scheme 2.29


It was found that reductive elimination from Pd(IV) complexes 2.97a and 2.97b gave exclusively toluene. The authors concluded this was potentially due to the strength of the benzyl-palladium bond.

### 2.3.2 Reactions Involving Generation of Pd(IV) Complexes via Hypervalent

## Iodine Reagents

Oxidative additions of aryl iodides to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes have not been seen in the stoichiometric mode. However, Canty has shown that by using the much more reactive hypervalent iodine reagents, transfer of alkynyl groups is feasible, generating Pd(IV) complexes 2.99 and 2.100, observable via ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 2.30). ${ }^{90}$

Scheme 2.30


While attempting to elucidate the mechanism of a catalytic arylation reaction, ${ }^{81}$ Sanford and co-workers reacted cyclopalladated complex 2.101 with diphenyl iodonium tetrafluoroborate both in the presence and the absence of an external free arylpyridine substrate (2.102, Scheme 2.31). In the presence of the additive, a $90 \%$ GC yield was observed; in its absence, a $20 \%$ yield was observed. The authors speculate this could be due to the free arylpyridine potentially trapping intermediates such as 2.103.

## Scheme 2.31




### 2.3.3 Reactions Involving Generation of Pd(IV) Complexes via Halogens

To the best of our knowledge, the only known example of an isolated organometallic $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$ complex synthesized via oxidation with a halogen is the synthesis of complex 2.106 via oxidation of (bipy) $\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right) \mathbf{2} \mathbf{. 1 0 5}$ with chlorine gas (Scheme 2.32) that was reported by Uson, et al. ${ }^{91}$

Scheme 2.32


The reactions in this section provide a glimpse into palladium (IV) chemistry, particularly the organometallic compounds of palladium (IV). Much work is
currently being done in this area that will increase the types of palladium catalyzed
C-C bond forming reactions, particularly those with $\operatorname{Pd}(I I) / P d(I V)$ cycles.

### 2.4 Organometallic Reagents on Solid Support

The desire for quick, efficient, and reproducible synthesis of long peptide chains, combined with the repetitive nature of the syntheses (protection, activation, coupling, and deprotection) made the synthesis of peptides a good candidate for the development of solid-phase organic synthesis. ${ }^{92-95}$

Solid-Phase Organic Synthesis (SPOS) blossomed in the 1960's. Merrifield helped develop and popularize the technique. Conceptually, the idea of using polymers as a support to carry out organic reactions, with the desired organic molecules cleaved when desired was a decided break from traditional polymer chemistry. As polymers can be tailored to have many different properties, the opportunities for this concept to be utilized in organic synthesis were immense. However, the problems to be overcome before the process was to be useful were also quite substantial, since very little was known at the time about the interplay among the conditions in the biphasic reactions. In 1963, Merrifield published the synthesis of a tetrapeptide on a chloromethylated polystyrene/divinylbenzene copolymer. ${ }^{92}$ This classic paper, along with other contributions, paved the way for now routine automated peptide synthesis, polymer-supported reagents, ${ }^{96-101}$ and the emergence of combinatorial chemistry as a distinct sub-discipline of chemistry. ${ }^{102}$

### 2.4.1 Combinatorial Chemistry

Combinatorial chemistry was a natural outgrowth from SPOS since there were already established techniques to synthesize many compounds utilizing standard chemistries tailored for use on solid-phase. In addition, the compounds could be isolated in high purity in a very rapid fashion, due to the great reduction in chromatographic separations needed. By the late 1990 's, many reviews on solidphase synthesis had been published. The American Chemical Society Journal The Journal of Combinatorial Chemistry was established as well. The creation of the journal helped establish combinatorial chemistry as a sub-discipline of chemistry.

### 2.4.2 Solid-Phase Organic Synthesis Utilizing Polymer-bound Transition Metal Complexes as Catalysts

Uozumi reported ${ }^{103}$ an exploration of the effects of the tether length between the backbone and the phosphine ligand on reactivity as well as the effects of stereogenic centers on the tether and the absolute stereochemistry of the chiral ligand (Scheme 2.33).

Scheme 2.33


The catalysts $\mathbf{2 . 3 7 b} \mathbf{- 2 . 4 0 b}$ were synthesized via standard peptide coupling chemistry with varying tethers chain lengths. The catalysts $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 7 b} \mathbf{- 2 . 1 1 0 b}$ were then used in an asymmetric allylic alkylation to give product 2.111. The results of the experiments are shown in Table 2.2.

Having a short tether length (catalyst 2.107b) gave product in very poor yield and ee, while the longer tethers gave much higher yields and improved ee's (catalysts 2.108b - 2.110b). In addition, the chirality of the phosphine ligand determined the chirality sense of the product, overwhelming any influence of the chiral sidechain in 2.109b and 2.110b.

Table 2.2. Influence of Tether Length on Yield and \% ee of Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation Using Polymer-Bound Phosphine Ligands

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catalyst | Yield of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 1}$ | \% ee of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 1}$ | solute Configuration (R or S) |
| 2.107b | <5\% | 14 | R |
| 2.108b | 56\% | 55 | R |
| 2.109b | 75\% | 81 | R |
| 2.110 b | 49\% | 78 | S |

Nozaki disclosed an interesting study exploring the relationship between solid-phase catalyst structure and \%ee of the iso product in a rhodium-catalyzed hydroformylation of styrene (Scheme 2.34). ${ }^{104}$ Nozaki explored two avenues of catalyst preparation. The first catalyst preparation (2.113a) involved using a trivinyl (R,S)-BINAPHOS (2.112) as a monomer followed by complexation by $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ after polymerization. The second catalyst preparation (2.113b) involved the pre-complexation $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{acac})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ to the modified ( $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{S}$ )-BINAPHOS followed by polymerization. ${ }^{104}$ In the cases of the analogous solution-phase reaction and cases where the attachment point of the phosphine ligand to the polymer is on only one of the binaphthyls, the order of polymerization/complexation does not
greatly affect product yield or ee. However, in the case described here where both binaphthyls are vinylated, the case of pre-complexation (catalyst 2.113b) gives a significantly higher $85 \%$ ee for the iso product than the $68 \%$ ee for the case where the rhodium is complexed after polymerization (Scheme 2.34).

## Scheme 2.34




In 1994, Gilbertson reported the synthesis of unnatural amino acid $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 4}$ containing a phosphine sulfide moiety starting from acrylic acid (Scheme 2.35). ${ }^{105}$

The new amino acid was sequenced into peptide 2.115, having the phosphine sulfide moieties on the same side of the helix. The corresponding free phosphine $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 6}$ was then unveiled via reduction of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 5}$ with Raney Ni , and the free phosphine complexed with cationic rhodium complex 2.117 to generate cationic rhodium phosphine complex 2.118. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR of $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 8}$ showed proof of concept, with the expected two doublets resulting from the inequivalent phosphorus atoms splitting each other. It should also be noted that some splitting can also be attributed to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ${ }^{103} \mathrm{Rh}$ coupling.

Scheme 2.35


Later, Gilbertson utilized similar chemistry to place the peptide on a PS-bead and explored the effect of the peptide structure on the asymmetric induction during the catalytic hydrogenation of methyl 2-acetamidoacrylate. ${ }^{106}$ The ee's were low in this case (4-9\%).

### 2.4.3 Solid-Phase Organic Synthesis utilizing Polymer-bound Transition Metal

## Complexes as Reagents

Gradén reported the attachment of iron (0) diene complexes 2.119 to a polymer via ester linkages to give polymer-bound complexes $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 0}$ using peptide coupling chemistry (Scheme 2.36). ${ }^{107}$

Scheme 2.36


Complexes 2.120 were then converted to amides 2.121 by a sequence of hydride abstraction, nucleophile addition, and cleavage from the resin via aminolysis to give the amides in $9-56 \%$ overall yields from $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 9}$ (Scheme 2.36). Nucleophiles included amines, alcohols, phosphines, and amines.

Rigby successfully prepared and utilized phosphine-linked chromium complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$ from soluble precursors 2.122 and 2.123. ${ }^{108}$ The polymer-bound chromium complexes were then reacted with ethyl magnesium bromide followed by decomplexation to afford tertiary alcohol $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 5}$ (Scheme 2.37).

Scheme 2.37



Rigby also reported the ability to perform $\alpha$-alkylations and Mitsonobu reactions on the solid-phase utilizing the same linker system. ${ }^{109}$

Barluenga reported the synthesis of polymer-bound Fischer carbene complexes attached to solid support with isocyanide ligand 2.126 in 2005. ${ }^{110}$ The isocyanide ligand was synthesized from chloromethylated styrene/divinylbenzene copolymer. $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{coe})$ was then loaded onto the polymer via ligand exchange to give polymer-bound tungsten(0) complex 2.127. 2.127 was then converted into Fischer carbene 2.128 by reaction with phenyl lithium followed by methyl triflate. Barluenga also synthesized complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 9}$ with a longer spacer between the metal complex and the resin. It was noted that complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 9}$ with the longer spacer was more reactive (Scheme 2.38).

## Scheme 2.38




2.129




The examples in the preceding sections illustrate how polymer-bound transitionmetal complexes can be used both as catalysts and reagents in organic synthesis.

### 2.5 Organometallic Stereochemistry

The stereochemistry of organometallic complexes is a topic which has importance to a wide range of chemists. ${ }^{111}$ The orientation of ligands around or covalently attached to the metal center can have a great impact on the selectivity of the reactions as well as the stereochemistry of the product. While the use of chiral non-racemic ligands is now widespread in catalysis, the focus of this section of the dissertation will be the generation and fate of a stereocenter covalently bound to a metal center.

There are multiple ways to generate $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Csp}^{3}$ bonds, including oxidative addition, carbometalation of C-C multiple bonds, and C-H activation. All three mechanisms can have implications for the resulting stereochemistry at the metal center and/or the adjacent carbon. In the case of low-valent transition metal oxidative additions to $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon centers, the mechanism is akin to the classical $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism found in organic chemistry. ${ }^{112-114}$ In carbometalation, the regio and stereochemistry is governed by steric and electronic factors and in the case of C-H activation, the precise mechanism is dependent on the substrate and reaction conditions.

### 2.5.1 Methods and Stereochemical Course of M-C Bond-forming Reactions

In 1986, Liebeskind disclosed a reaction utilizing chiral acyliron complexes 2.130 as chiral acetate equivalents in reactions with prochiral imines. ${ }^{115}$ The reactions proceeded with generally good diastereoselectivity and are a rare example of the metal center being chiral by virtue of the ligand sphere. The reactions were conducted via deprotonation with LDA followed by the addition of diethylaluminum chloride to give the aluminum enolate 2.131. Enolate $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 1}$ was then reacted with the imine to generate two new acyliron complexes, 2.132a and 2.132b, in a $75 \%$ yield and a ratio of $25: 1$ favoring 2.132a (Scheme 2.39).

Scheme 2.39





Transition State A


Transition State B

The stereoselectivity is rationalized by a closed transition-state model where the reacting imine will be coordinated to the aluminum center. This would force the C substituent of the imine toward the chiral iron complex. The authors assume that the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand is sterically largest and will be $\sim 180^{\circ}$ away from the imine substituent. This leads to the prediction that transition state A is more stable than transition state B. The new acyliron complexes 2.132a and 2.132b were then oxidized in a nonnucleophilic solvent to give $\beta$-lactams.

Liebeskind also reported on the formation of enantiopure $\eta^{3}$ molybdenum complexes derived from D-Arabinose (Scheme 2.40). ${ }^{116}$ The oxidative addition of the $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ to enantiopure allylic bromide $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 3}$ occurs with inversion of configuration to give molybdenum(II) complex 2.134. This complex was then utilized in a synthesis of tetrahydropyran 2.135, a scent gland secretion from Viverra civetta.

## Scheme 2.40



Liebeskind and co-workers also published a study ${ }^{117}$ showing how subtle the interplay between conditions and substrate can be in determining the stereochemical course of M-C bond formation (Scheme 2.41).

Scheme 2.41


Reactions $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ result in retention of configuration at the chiral center
Reactions B and D result in inversion of configuration at the chiral center

Liebeskind noted that in the cases of reactions A and B depicted in Scheme 2.41, the chiral center on the adjacent carbon had a large impact on the configuration of the product(s). Complex 2.136 gave complex 2.137 as a single diastereomer in reaction A. 2.137 was highly favored (12:1) over its diastereomer $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ from reaction B . Reactions C and D describe the effects of the concentrations of reactants on the stereochemistry of the oxidative addition of molybdenum(0) complexes to lactone 2.140. Inverse addition (low concentration of $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ relative to substrate) provided a retention of configuration at the chiral center, giving complex 2.141a, while a higher concentration of $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ relative to the substrate provided an inversion of configuration at the chiral center, giving 2.141b

Liebeskind proposed the following model to rationalize the concentration effects of $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ on the stereochemical outcome of the reaction (Scheme 2.42).

## Scheme 2.42



When the $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ concentration is high, the Mo is proposed to bind to both the acetate and the face of the alkene opposite of the acetate group (Path B). This results in an
inversion of configuration giving 2.141b due to the $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ species attack from the opposite face. However, when the $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ concentration is low, the $\operatorname{Mo}(0)$ binds only to the acetate group, leading to a retention of configuration giving 2.141a due to the directing effect of the acetyl group (Path A). This stereochemical divergence in relation to concentration is a rare example of how the concentration and order of addition during reactions can give differing stereochemistry in products when transition metals are used.

The studies in this section suggest that while oxidative addition is usually a straightforward process, more subtle effects can also have an impact on the stereochemical outcome of the oxidative addition reaction.

### 2.5.2 Mechanism of Asymmetry Transfer

In many of the cases where asymmetric induction is caused by a metal center, the metal blocks a face of a molecule, forcing the reactant to enter on the side opposite the metal. Liebeskind and coworkers have quite extensively utilized this methodology with molybdenum to both explore the basic chemistry of the complexation, as well as subsequent reactions. In the late 1990's and early part of the $21^{\text {st }}$ century, Liebeskind and co-workers disclosed a series of papers describing the synthesis and utilization of highly enantioenriched Mo(II) allyl complexes of heterocycles in the synthesis of complex natural-product like scaffolds as well as natural products.

As the work is quite extensive, ${ }^{116-130}$ selected examples will prove illustrative. In 2000, Liebeskind published work on the synthesis of tropanes via formal [5 + 2]
cycloaddition to $\eta^{3}$-pyridynylmolybdenum $\pi$-complexes. ${ }^{124}$ After separation via a chiral auxiliary, the enantiopure pyridinyl complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 5}$ was utilized in the [5 + 2] process to generate metalated tropane skeletons 2.146. The complexes were then oxidatively demetalated to produce tropane skeletons 2.147, bearing an $\alpha, \beta-$ unsaturated carbonyl. X-Ray diffraction studies on several products proved the hypothesis that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formations occurred on the opposite side of the ring from the molybdenum center (Scheme 2.43).

Scheme 2.43


Liebeskind also reported the synthesis of oxa and aza[3.2.1] and [4.3.1] bicyclics with a novel "Michael-type" reaction on scaffold 2.149. ${ }^{129}$ The scaffold is constructed via a Mukaiyama-Michael reaction between the silyl-enol ether of ketone
2.148 and cyclohexenone (Scheme 2.44).

Scheme 2.44


The newly formed ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 9}$ was then reacted in an intramolecular Michael-type reaction between the enolate of 2.149 and the $\pi$-allyl molybdenum species. The resulting bicyclic species $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 0}$ was generally formed in good yield and with a high preference for the exo isomer. As an example, the tricyclodione $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 1}$ was isolated in $>99.9 \%$ ee after one recrystallization.

Malinakova and co-workers reported work on the mechanism of stereoinduction from the chiral ligand in a base-induced intramolecular ligand exchange (Scheme 2.45). ${ }^{131}$

Scheme 2.45



In this case, the diastereoselectivity of the ring closure reaction to give 2.153a and
2.153b is correlated to the atropisomer ratio in the palladium(II) iodo complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 2}$
before ring closure to generate the palladacycle. The atropisomerism arises from hindered rotation around the Pd-aryl bond.

As a final example, Liebeskind recently published a total synthesis of (-)Adaline, an aza[3.3.1]bicyclic amine featuring a quaternary carbon stereocenter. ${ }^{132}$ The enone 2.154 was generated by a Mukaiyama aldol reaction followed by elimination. 2.154 was then reacted with allyl magnesium bromide followed by an acid-promoted semipinacol reaction to give ketone 2.155. A Wacker oxidation to give $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 6}$ followed by an intramolecular Michael-type reaction gives intermediate complex 2.157, which is consequently demetalated to afford $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 8}$ en route to (-)Adaline. In this synthesis, the metal center influences the formation of two stereocenters.

Scheme 2.46


The studies in this section show that many of the same concepts apply to organometallic stereochemistry as do organic stereochemistry (for instance, chiral auxiliaries and atropisomers). However, these studies remain fairly rare, leaving a gap in the literature.

### 2.5.3 Stereochemistry of C-C Bonds Formed by 1,1-Reductive Elimination From Palladium

Many potential mechanisms exist for elimination of organic fragments from a transition metal. ${ }^{112,114,133-139}$ However, for palladium-mediated processes forming CC bonds, by far the most common is 1,1-reductive elimination. ${ }^{134-137}$ Stille has published a number of studies on the reductive elimination from palladium(II) complexes and found that a key requirement for reductive elimination is a cis arrangement of the carbon fragments to be coupled. ${ }^{134}$ In complexes where the ligands are forced to be trans, reductive elimination fails to occur from palladium(II). Another factor contributing to reductive elimination is the number of ligands attached to the metal center. Reductive eliminations are generally faster after dissociation of one of the ligands, giving a three-coordinate intermediate.

For this dissertation, the main focus is on the 'fate' of the stereocenter bound to the metal center. Few examples exist that show what happens to the configuration of a chiral non-racemic $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon attached to a metal center that undergoes reductive elimination or its microscopic reverse, oxidative addition. Pfeffer has shown that at least $75 \%$ of the stereochemical information is retained when DMAD is inserted into the stereogenic $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}-\mathrm{Pd}$ bond of $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 9}$ followed by reductive elimination to give 2.160, as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the organic product (Scheme 2.47). ${ }^{26}$


Whitesides and co-workers have shown a similar conservation (ca. 80\%) of configuration during an insertion of DMAD into the $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bond of complex $\mathbf{2 . 1 6 1}$ to give $\mathbf{2 . 1 6 2}$ in $60 \%$ and $>80 \%$ retention of stereochemical information, also judged by NMR analysis (Scheme 2.48). ${ }^{27}$

## Scheme 2.48



These studies suggest a general retention of stereochemical information during migratory insertion and reductive elimination processes. These facts suggest that most $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming 1,1-reductive eliminations from palladium occur in a concerted manner and generally keep stereochemical information intact.

## Chapter Three

Exploration of Polymer-Bound Palladacycles as Reagents for Organic Synthesis

### 3.1 A Basic Study of the Factors Influencing the Reactivity of Polymer-bound

## Palladacycles

Since Merrifield's first report of utilizing a resin in the synthesis of a tetrapeptide, solid phase organic synthesis (SPOS) has made large strides, ${ }^{140,141}$ including the development of combinatorial chemistry as a distinct sub-discipline of chemistry. However, the precise effects of the physical properties of the resins on reactivity and kinetics of reactions are difficult to quantify due to the nature of reactions on solidphase. While swelling of the polymer is generally required for good reactivity ${ }^{142}$ on the solid-phase, studies have shown that this is not always the case ${ }^{143,144}$ as the swelled polymer phase is the solvent. ${ }^{145}$ The swelled polymer phase generally has a much higher viscosity than organic solvents alone, due to the polymer chains moving less freely. In addition, while high loading ${ }^{146-152}$ of functional groups on resins is desired for economic purposes, this often has detrimental effects on reactivity due the microenvironments ${ }^{153}$ created during synthesis of the resins.

Organometallic reactions on solid-phase are often limited to catalytic reactions, often simply mixing a metal salt with a polymer-bound ligand to generate a catalyst of ill-defined structure. ${ }^{154-156}$ One of the complicating factors for organometallic reactions regards the role of the auxiliary ligands often needed for optimal reactivity. As perfect site-isolation is not likely to occur at the low 1-2\% cross-link density many polymers used in SPOS have, ${ }^{157,}{ }^{158}$ the attachment of a transition metal complex to solid phase will lead to additional cross-linking, complicating the picture even more.

Few studies have been reported on the tailoring of polymer structure and conditions to optimize reactivity of transition-metal complexes on solid-phase. ${ }^{158-160}$ Our group has previously disclosed the regioselective conversion of palladacycles into a variety of $2 \mathrm{H}-1$ benzopyrans and 1,2-dihydroquinolines. ${ }^{131,161-163}$ As few studies exist on the effects of polymer structure and ligand to metal ratio on reactivity, we thought our methodology would be a good probe to provide additional insight into organometallic reactions that occur on solid-phase.

In addition, we wished to utilize the polymer-bound palladacycles as reagents for combinatorial chemistry, reasoning that the palladium(0) complex generated would be pre-scavenged by the phosphine resin facilitating isolation of the synthesized heterocycles.

For our initial studies, we chose the commercially available Tentagel S-NH2 as the base for our polymer-bound phosphine ligand due to its favorable swelling properties in a variety of solvents as well as for its ability to give near solution-quality gel-phase NMR spectra. ${ }^{164}$ We anticipated its swelling properties would contribute to making the eventual polymer-bound complex more reactive. We observed that during the initial washing of the Tentagel Resin to make sure all soluble entities were removed that the resin had a marked tendency to adhere to the glassware; this was remedied by capping the hydroxyl groups on the surface of the glassware by washing the glassware used with a $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ solution of dichlorodimethylsilane in chloroform followed by placing in an oven to dry. The washed resin was then used to synthesize the polymer-bound phosphine 3.1 via amide coupling chemistry (Scheme 3.1). ${ }^{165}$

## Scheme 3.1



When we attempted to load palladacycle $3.2^{161}$ onto resin 3.1 via ligand exchange (Scheme 3.2) very little or no loading occurred as observed by gel-phase ${ }^{31}$ P NMR. In addition, a noticeable peak corresponding to phosphine oxide also appeared in the gel-phase ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum.

## Scheme 3.2



Based on these results, we decided to discontinue using the Tentagel-derived 3.1 as our polymer-bound ligand as it oxidized quite readily under the reaction conditions, causing the color of the resins to rapidly darken, indicating likely metallic palladium formation. We then decided to utilize commercially available polymer-bound triphenylphosphines as our polymer-bound ligands. In these cases, the ligand
exchanges occurred readily to give polymer-bound palladacycles as air-stable yellow solids, as judged by no change in the IR spectrum after sitting on the bench for over two months (vide infra). Polymer-bound phosphine ligands 3.3-3.5 were commercially available from Aldrich. We also wished to explore the effects of tether length on the reactivity of the polymer-bound palladacycles. Therefore, we synthesized polymer-bound phosphine $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ in one step from a polymer supported benzyl alcohol resin (Wang resin) by an ester coupling with 4diphenylphosphinobenzoic acid mediated by diisopropylcarbodiimide. The resin had a P loading of $0.9 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$ measured by commercial ICP analysis ${ }^{159}$ (Resins 3.3 3.6, Scheme 3.3 and Table 3.1).

## Scheme 3.3



Table 3.1. Properties of the Resins Used as Polymer-bound Ligands

|  | Resin | P <br> loading <br> $(\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{g})$ | Price / <br> mmol P <br> $(\$ / \mathrm{mmol})$ <br> for 5g <br> bottle | Crosslink <br> $(\%)$ | Mesh <br> Size | Swelling <br> in DCE <br> $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 3.06 | 5.03 | 2 |  <br>  <br> 2 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ |
|  | 3.01 | 5.03 | 2 | $400-$ <br> $200-$ <br> 400 | 4.5 |  |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 1.35 | 9.59 | 1 | $100-$ <br> 200 | 5.7 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | $0.89^{b}$ | 8.47 | 1 | $100-200$ | 5.3 |

[^0]Resins 3.3 and 3.4 are the best value ( $\$ / \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P}$ ) due to their higher phosphorus loading content, while resins $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ have lower cross-link density ( $1 \%$ vs. $2 \%$ ) and are expected to show higher reactivity than $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ due to their higher swelling, as shown in Table 3.1. With resins 3.3-3.6 bought or synthesized, we performed the ligand exchange reactions of palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ with resins $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ to give new polymer-bound palladacycles 3.7 - $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ (Table 3.2). In order explore the effects of excess phosphine on solid-phase reactions, we chose to vary the $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ ratios. Although excess phosphine could cause decreased reactivity, as indicated by studies on solution phase reactions (vide infra), the lower cross-linking and higher swelling of such resins may compensate for this effect. At the time, it was unknown whether the new polymer-bound palladacycles $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ - $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ would show the decreased reactivity that an excess phosphine ligands relative to palladium had on the analogous solution-phase reactions (vide infra).

Table 3.2 Properties of Prepared Polymer-bound Palladacycles 3.7 to 3.18


|  | Resin | Swelling <br> in DCE <br> $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})$ | Pd:P <br> ratio <br> during <br> loading | Pdt | Pd <br> $\mathrm{wt}$. <br> $\%^{a}$ | P wt. <br> $\%^{a}$ | Pd:P in <br> pdt | Swelling <br> in DCE <br> $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 4.5 | $1: 2.05$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | 10.03 | 5.73 | $1: 1.9$ | 3.2 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | 4.5 | $1: 2.05$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | 9.21 | 6.12 | $1: 2.3$ | 2.9 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | 4.5 | $1: 2.02$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | 9.15 | 6.78 | $1: 2.5$ | 3.6 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | 4.5 | $1: 3.04$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ | 8.41 | 7.49 | $1: 3.0$ | 3.7 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 5.7 | $1: 1.69$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | 7.05 | 3.55 | $1: 1.7$ | $--{ }^{c}$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 5.7 | $1: 1.69$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ | 6.30 | 3.51 | $1: 1.9$ | 3.6 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 5.7 | $1: 2.53$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ | 4.53 | 2.84 | $1: 2.1$ | 4.2 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 5.7 | $1: 2.53$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ | 5.44 | 3.84 | $1: 2.4$ | 4.1 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 5.7 | $1: 5.06$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ | 2.72 | 4.81 | $1: 6.0$ | 7.0 |
| 10 | $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | 5.7 | $1: 1.69$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ | 7.26 | 3.63 | $1: 1.7$ | $--{ }^{c}$ |
| 11 | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | 5.3 | $1: 1.80$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | 3.34 | 2.54 | $1: 2.6$ | 6.4 |
| 12 | $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | 5.3 | $1: 1.80$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ | 3.35 | 2.54 | $1: 2.6$ | 6.7 |

[^1]The method of preparation chosen was utilized in an attempt to maximize the loading of palladacycle 3.2 onto the resin. Placing the reaction mixture under vacuum partway through the reaction was carried out to remove any of the volatile TMEDA ligand. The loading experiment was finished by adding fresh THF and letting the mixture stir for an additional period of time before filtration. Methanol, DCM, and ether were chosen as solvents to wash palladacycles $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ to force the polymer to undergo swelling and contraction cycles to ensure the removal of soluble materials. For most of the reactions (entries $1-10$, Table 3.2) the loading of palladacycle onto the resin was at or above $90 \%$ as measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the filtrates after the reaction, as well as by a good agreement between the $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ ratios at the beginning of the loading and in the final product (compare, columns 3 and 7 in Table 3.2). For entries 11 and 12 describing the synthesis of polymer-bound palladacycles 3.17 and $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ from resin 3.6, it was noticed that nearly $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ remained after the reaction. This was likely due to the lower concentration of phosphine groups on resin 3.6. In addition to the lower concentration of phosphine groups, the phosphine moieties on the resin were also more electron-poor than resins 3.3 - 3.5 due to the ester linkage to the polymer backbone, although a partial oxidation of the phosphine groups on the resin during the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ cannot be ruled out.

After commercial ICP-MS analysis for Pd and P content, the reactivity of the new polymer-bound palladacycles 3.7 - $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ were tested via reaction with dimethylacetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) under various conditions. For comparison,
the solution phase reaction consisted of the following conditions: $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DCE}\right.$, excess DMAD) and gave exclusive formation of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ within one hour. First, we ran experiments using conditions that closely resembled the conditions for the analogous solution phase reactions to give products $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and its unexpected regioisomer $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (vide infra). The results are shown in Table 3.3.

Table 3.3. Insertion Reactions of DMAD into Immobilized Palladacycles 3.7, 3.11, and 3.17 at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $D C E$


Palladacycles 3.7, 3.11 and 3.17

|  | Pd- <br> cycle | P <br> loading <br> $(\mathrm{mmol}$ <br> $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g})$ | Swelling <br> range <br> $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})$ | Yield <br> range | $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ <br> + | $\mathbf{3 . 1 9 : 2 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ <br> ratio | Average <br> yield <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | High <br> $(3.0)$ | 3.2 | $1: 1.9$ | 17 | $5: 1$ | 17 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | Medium <br> $(1.4)$ | $--{ }^{a}$ | $1: 1.7$ | 35 | $22: 1$ | 35 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | Low <br> $(0.9)$ | 6.4 | $1: 2.6$ | 87 | $20: 1$ | 84 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | Low <br> $(0.9)$ | 6.4 | $1: 2.6$ | 81 | $1: 0$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ not measured

Due to the variances in the commercial ICP-MS analyses, we averaged the yields for some reactions in Tables 3.3 and 3.4 in order to generate more useful pieces of data.

The standard deviations in the ICP-MS numbers were $\pm 0.2$ to $0.3 \%$. This translates into a variance of $\pm 0.2$ to 0.3 units in the $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratios.

We observed that the polymer-bound resins with high ( $3.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$ ) and medium loading ( $1.4 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P/g}$ ) reacted much more sluggishly than the solutionphase counterparts, as shown by the lowered yields relative to the solution phase reaction $\left(>90 \%, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DCE}\right)$. The very low yield of the reaction of 3.2 with DMAD (17\% yield) is conceivably attributed to the higher local concentration of phosphine slowing down the rate of reaction. The reactions of the low-loading resin gave high yields under these conditions, presumably due to the micro-environment around the palladium center being more solution-like than the higher loading resins. As the higher-loading resin would be the most economical if the yields could be increased, reactions of the polymer-bound palladacycles at elevated temperatures were performed (Table 3.4).

Table 3.4. Insertion Reactions of DMAD into Immobilized Palladacycles 3.7 - 3.15 and 3.17 at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $D C E$


Palladacycles 3.7-3.15 and 3.17

|  | Pd- <br> cycle | P <br> loading <br> $(\mathrm{mmol}$ <br> $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g})$ | Swelling <br> range $(\mathrm{mL}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{g})^{b}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ <br> ratio | Yield( <br> $\mathbf{3 . 1 9 + 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{3 . 2 0})$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 9 :}$ <br> $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ <br> ratio $^{a}$ | Average <br> yield(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | 3.0 | $2.9-3.6$ | $1: 1.9$ | 49 | $1: 0$ | 55 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | 3.0 |  | $1: 2.3$ | 64 | $1: 0$ |  |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | 3.0 |  | $1: 2.5$ | 57 | $2: 1$ |  |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | 3.0 |  | $1: 2.5$ | 50 | $1: 0$ |  |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ | 1.4 | $3.6-4.2$ | $1: 1.7$ | 86 | $4: 1$ | 74 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ | 1.4 |  | $1: 1.9$ | 84 | $3: 1$ |  |
| 7 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ | 1.4 |  | $1: 2.1$ | 69 | $3: 1$ |  |
| 8 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ | 1.4 |  | $1: 2.1$ | 72 | $3: 1$ |  |
| 9 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ | 1.4 |  | $1: 2.4$ | 59 | $2: 1$ |  |
| 10 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | 0.9 | 6.4 | $1: 2.6$ | 78 | $12: 1$ | 79 |
| 11 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | 0.9 | 6.4 | $1: 2.6$ | 80 | $12: 1$ |  |
| 12 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ | 3.0 | 3.7 | $1: 3.0$ | 28 | $1: 0$ | 34 |


| 13 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ | 3.0 | 3.7 | $1: 3.0$ | 39 | $1: 0$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ | 1.4 | 7.0 | $1: 6.0$ | 0 | -- | 0 |

[^2]At elevated temperatures, yields of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ rose for entries $1-11$, with highloading palladacycles 3.7-3.9 more than tripling the yield to $55 \%$ from the $17 \%$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yields from medium-loading palladacycles $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ - $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ also slightly more than doubled from $35 \%$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $74 \%$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The yields from resin 3.17 with the longer tether stayed nearly constant regardless of the reaction temperature. In entries 12 and 13 utilizing the high loading resin with a $1: 3 \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio, the effects of the higher than the desired $1: 2 \mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ ratio were seen, with the yield dropping dramatically to $34 \%$. This is the likely result of one extra equivalent of free phosphine during loading. Entry 14 shows that the reaction to form the benzopyrans was completely inhibited when the resin with a $1: 6 \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio was utilized. The results in Table 3.4 indicate that higher swelling leads to greater reactivity for these systems. In addition, lower loading leads to better yields; however, the low loading is not economically desirable. To compare entries $12-14$ with the analogous solution phase reactions, we performed solution-phase reactions involving an excess of phosphine (Scheme 3.4).

## Scheme 3.4




The reaction of palladacycle 3.24 in the presence of one equivalent of free triphenylphosphine lowered the yield to $50 \%$, along with giving significant isomerization to 3.20. The reaction with four added equivalents of free triphenylphosphine gave $<2 \%$ yield. The results for entries $12-14$ in Table 3.4 are similar to those for the solution-phase experiments. Mechanistically, the solid-phase reaction is likely similar to the analogous solution-phase reaction. A plausible mechanism is shown in Scheme 3.5.

## Scheme 3.5




Initially, palladacycle 3.21 with two coordinated phosphines undergoes a phosphine dissociation followed by alkyne coordination to produce intermediate palladacycle 3.22 having one phosphine and one alkyne ligand. The mechanism of ligand exchange is likely dissociative, as bidentate ligands (such as dppe) completely inhibit the reaction. 1,2-Migratory insertion of the alkyne then occurs into the $\mathrm{Csp}^{2}-\mathrm{Pd}$ bond to afford seven-membered palladacycle 3.23, which then undergoes reductive elimination to give palladium(0) and product 3.19. An excess of phosphine slows or completely inhibits the dissociation step, resulting in lower rates or the inhibition of the reaction.

Unexpectedly, in some cases (entries $1-3$, Table 3.3, and entries 3 and $5-$ 11, Table 3.4) we also isolated significant amounts of the chromatographically inseparable isomeric $4 H$-benzopyran $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in addition to the expected $2 H$-benzopyran 3.19. The structure of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was supported by nOe studies. Irradiation of the proton at 4.75 ppm in $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ in a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ showed an enhancement of the proton
at 7.41 ppm . In addition, irradiating the singlet at 5.83 ppm in compound $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ in a mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ showed no enhancement in the aromatic region.


Figure 3.1 Assignment of Isomer 3.20 by ${ }^{l} H$ NMR NOE.
Compound 3.20 was not observed or isolated during the analogous solution-phase reactions. ${ }^{161}$ Therefore, the isomerization is likely due to the high local concentration of the palladacycle and/or phosphine groups on the resins (vide infra).

The isomer ratio of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ : $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ of the products shown in Tables 3.3 and 3.4 vary significantly with the P loading, $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio, and reaction temperature. This suggests that the isomerizations likely depend on the specific micro-environments where the reactions are occurring. In order to elucidate some of the factors responsible for the isomerization, we performed a series of control experiments. In these experiments, we subjected a sample of pure benzopyran $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ to DCE, DMAD, triphenylphosphine, a combination of triphenylphosphine and DMAD, and the soluble analog of polymer-bound palladacycles 3.7 - 3.18, palladacycle 3.24 (Figure 3.2) under conditions similar to the solid-phase reaction conditions (Table 3.5).


Figure 3.2. Palladacycle Used in Control Experiments.

The results of the control experiments are shown in Table 3.5.
Table 3.5. Control Experiments to Determine Potential Sources of Isomerization of 2H-1 Benzopyran 3.19 to 4H-1 Benzopyran 3.20


|  | Compound | Equivalents | Temperature <br> ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.19: \mathbf{3 . 2 0} \\ \text { after } \\ \text { reaction } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DCE only | -- | 80 | 3 | 1:0 |
| 2 | DMAD | 2.0 | 80 | 3 | 1:0 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 1.0 | 80 | 3 | 1:0 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} /$ DMAD | 1.0 / 2.0 | 80 | 3 | 1:0 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | 2.0 | 80 | 3 | $5.5: 1$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \\ \text { DMAD } \end{gathered}$ | 2.0 each | 80 | 3 | 7.4 : 1 |
| 7 | 3.24 | 2.0 | 80 | 3 | $1.7: 1$ |

${ }^{a}$ greater than $95 \%$ mass recovery was achieved in all cases.

The results strongly indicate that the high local phosphine concentrations on the polymer in the reaction mixture are a likely cause of the isomerization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$. Interestingly, the palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ also caused an even more significant isomerization (entry 7, Table 3.5). To rationalize these results, two plausible
mechanisms for the isomerization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ are proposed in Scheme 3.6, the first involving only the free phosphine and second involving palladacycle 3.24.

Scheme 3.6




Mechanism A involves the phosphine attacking the carbon labeled $b$ in Scheme 3.6, giving phosphonium intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ after protonation and tautomerization. A base would then deprotonate carbon $a$ in $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ to give 3.20. A similar mechanism, involving nucleophilic attack into an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated system followed by electrophilic attack and deprotonation to regenerate the phosphine, is often proposed for the Baylis-Hillman reaction. ${ }^{166}$

To provide a rationale for the isomerization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ by palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$, mechanism B involves palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ undergoing ligand exchange with $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ to
give intermediate $\pi$-complex 3.26, which is now activated for deprotonation by free triphenylphosphine. The deprotonation generates anionic palladium complex 3.27 as a transient intermediate. Protonolysis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ gives $4 H$-benzopyran $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ and regenerates palladacycle 3.24. As anionic palladium(II) complexes have been isolated, it is reasonable that intermediate such as 3.27 could be long lived enough to be a viable intermediate for the isomerization. ${ }^{167,168}$

This isomerization proved not to be problematic with mono-activated alkynes in reactions performed by Dr. Lei Zhang with polymer-bound palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ (Table 3.6).

Table 3.6. Insertions of Mono-activated Alkynes into Palladacycle 3.16


|  | Product | R | Ar | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | Yield of <br> Solution <br> Phase <br> $(\%)$ | \% Pd in <br> recovered <br> resin | Recovered <br> $\mathrm{Pd}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{3 . 2 8}$ | Et | Ph | 94 | 76 | 5.53 | 78 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3 . 2 9}$ | Me | $p-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 70 | 62 | 5.69 | 72 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 . 3 0}$ | Me | $p-\mathrm{OMeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 79 | 65 | 6.00 | 80 |

[^3]In the solid-phase cases with mono-activated alkynes, the yields of benzopyrans were higher than that for the analogous solution-phase reactions, although more time was needed for reaction $(24 \mathrm{~h}$ vs. 8 h$) .{ }^{161}$ In addition, the recovered resin from the reaction described in entry 9, Table 3.4 was analyzed by IR spectroscopy ( KBr ) and gave the following data: 1843 ( s br), 1830 ( w br ), 1718 ( s br ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The analogous soluble complex, $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{DMAD})$, shown in Figure 3.3, had the following IR data: IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1845(\mathrm{~s}), 1830(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \cdot{ }^{169}$ These results indicate that the resin had reacted with the DMAD, and that the $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ was likely on the resin as a polymerbound version of $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{DMAD})$.


Figure 3.3. The Complex $\left(P \mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{2} P d(D M A D)$.
Analysis of the recovered resins also indicated that the palladium could be recovered for potential future use, with up to $80 \%$ of the palladium recovered based on ICP analysis of recovered resins. A more immediately practical benefit of the solid-phase work was easier chromatographic purification of the benzopyrans. If the soluble palladacycle is used as a reagent, the complex $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{DMAD})$ is difficult to separate from the benzopyran products. The results in Table 3.4 indicate that higher swelling leads to greater reactivity for these systems. In addition, lower loading leads to better yields; however, the low loading is not economically desirable. For future synthetic work (Section 3.2) we chose the medium loading resin for our library synthesis, due to its balance between reactivity and cost.

### 3.2 Efforts in the Utilization of Polymer-Bound Palladacycles In the Synthesis of

 a Library of 1,2-DihydroquinolinesHaving shed more light on the effects of phosphorus loading, palladium loading, $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio, and swelling on the reactivity of polymer-bound palladacycles, we wanted to utilize the technology to synthesize a library that would both utilize a novel combinatorial methodology as well as generate compounds that have potential drug-like characteristics. The 1,2-dihydroquinoline nucleus is seen in several biologically active compounds, ${ }^{170-172}$ and thus are viable targets for library synthesis. The utilization of alkyne insertion into aza-palladacycles in combinatorial chemistry is potentially valuable because the methodology would allow facile access to products with substitution patterns which are difficult to achieve with traditional synthetic methods. ${ }^{173-175}$ In addition, suitable amounts ( $10-50 \mathrm{mg}$ ) for testing in highthroughput screening assays could be generated rapidly. We decided to apply the knowledge gained from experimental work described in Section 3.1 to synthesize a library of 1,2 -dihydroquinolines, the nitrogen analogs of the $2 H-1$ benzopyrans synthesized in the previous section. Preliminary work (Dr. Lei Zhang, Mr. Atsushi Shiota) had indicated that the extension of the work to polymer-bound azapalladacycles was feasible (Scheme 3.7).

## Scheme 3.7


polymer-bound palladacycles

polymer-bound aza-palladacycles
The convergent nature of the reaction between the alkynes and palladacycles to generate the 1,2-dihydroquinolines directed us to vary the substitution pattern on the aromatic ring of the palladacycles as well as vary the aryl groups on the monoactivated alkynes. We chose four polymer-bound aza-palladacycles and six monoactivated alkynes to be the building blocks of the proposed library shown in Figure 3.4.


Figure 3.4. Schematic of Proposed Library.

Palladacycles $\mathbf{3 . 3 1} \mathbf{- 3 . 3 4}$ would be reacted with six alkynes ( $\mathbf{3 . 3 5} \mathbf{- 3 . 4 0 )}$ to give 24
1,2-dihydroquinolines (3.41-3.64). The retrosynthetic analysis for the palladacycles is shown in Scheme 3.8.

## Scheme 3.8



Palladacycles 3.65 can be derived from base-mediated intramolecular ligand exchange of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$-iodo complexes 3.66. These in turn are derived from iodoesters 3.67 via oxidative addition. The iodoesters can be synthesized from the corresponding iodoanilines 3.68 via triflation and alkylation.

The iodoanilines (except 2-iodoaniline, which is commercially available) were synthesized by either aromatic substitution reactions using $\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ or by Sandmeyer-type chemistry followed by hydrazine reduction (Scheme 3.9). ${ }^{176}$

## Scheme 3.9






The substituted anilines $\mathbf{3 . 6 9}$ - $\mathbf{3 . 7 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 7 3}$ were converted to the triflates $\mathbf{3 . 7 4 -}$ 3.77 via reaction with triflic anhydride in the presence of triethylamine in dichloromethane. ${ }^{177}$ The results are shown in Table 3.7. For Tables 3.7-3.11, the R substituent is numbered for a 2-iodoaniline. The carbon atom attached to nitrogen is numbered 1 , and the carbon atom attached to the iodine substituent is numbered 2 .

Table 3.7. Triflation of Iodoanilines 3.69 - 3.71 and 3.73


|  | Substitution Pattern | Product | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=4$-fluoro | $\mathbf{3 . 7 4}$ | 54 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{R}=4$-methyl | $\mathbf{3 . 7 5}$ | 52 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 7 6}$ | 38 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=5$-methoxy | $\mathbf{3 . 7 7}$ | 35 |

The yields of the N-H triflamides $\mathbf{3 . 7 4} \mathbf{- 3 . 7 7}$ were somewhat low, similar to reports by Knochel. ${ }^{177}$ The alkylation of the triflamides to give the iodoesters (3.68, 3.71, 3.73, and 3.78) proceeded uneventfully by deprotonation by sodium hydride followed by an excess of ethyl bromoacetate. ${ }^{131}$ The results are shown in Table 3.8.

Table 3.8. Alkylation of $N$-H Triflamides 3.74-3.77


|  | Substitution Pattern | Product | Yield (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=4$-fluoro | $\mathbf{3 . 7 8}$ | 86 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{R}=4$-methyl | $\mathbf{3 . 7 9}$ | 91 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 0}$ | 69 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=5$-methoxy | $\mathbf{3 . 8 1}$ | 78 |

With the iodoesters synthesized, the synthesis of the palladacycles could commence.
First, the palladium(II) iodo complexes $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}$ - $\mathbf{3 . 8 5}$ were synthesized via oxidative
addition of iodoesters 3.78-3.81 with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ and TMEDA in benzene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{131}$ The results are shown in Table 3.9.

Table 3.9. Synthesis of Palladium(II) Iodo Complexes 3.82 - 3.85


|  | Substitution Pattern | Product | Yield (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{F}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}$ | 56 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3}$ | 67 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$ | 70 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 5}$ | 58 |

The reactions afforded the $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ iodo complexes $\mathbf{3 . 8 2}-\mathbf{3 . 8 5}$ as yellow powders in 56 - 70\% yield after chromatography on neutral alumina. The TMEDA-palladacycles $( \pm)$-3.86 - $( \pm) \mathbf{- 3 . 8 9}$ were synthesized in $83-95 \%$ yield via base-mediated ligand exchange followed by filtration through a short plug of basic alumina to afford the palladacycles as white or off-white powders. ${ }^{131}$ The results are shown in Table 3.10.

Table 3.10. Synthesis of Palladacycles ( $\pm$ )-3.86 - ( $\pm$ )-3.89


|  | Substitution Pattern | Product | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{F}$ | $( \pm)-\mathbf{3 . 8 6}$ | 94 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $( \pm)-\mathbf{3 . 8 7}$ | 87 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $( \pm)-\mathbf{3 . 8 8}$ | 83 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $( \pm)-\mathbf{3 . 8 9}$ | 95 |

In order to ensure consistent results for the synthesis of palladacycles $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}-( \pm)-$ 3.34, we utilized a different batch of the commercially available triphenylphosphine (resin 3.90, which is similar to 3.5 with a loading of $1.6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$, a swelling of 6.7 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}, 1 \%$ cross-link density, and $200-400$ mesh size). The loading of soluble palladacycles $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 8 6}-( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 8 9}$ onto resin $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ was performed in an analogous fashion to that in Section 3.1 (stirring at room temperature in THF, then removing volatiles, adding fresh THF then stirring again before filtration) to give polymerbound palladacycles $( \pm) \mathbf{- 3 . 3 1}-( \pm) \mathbf{- 3 . 3 4}$. The results are shown in Table 3.11.

Table 3.11. Synthesis of Polymer-Bound Palladacycles ( $\pm$ )-3.31 - ( $\pm$ )-3.34


|  | Substitution <br> Pattern | Pd:P <br> ratio <br> during <br> loading | Pdt | Pd <br> $\mathrm{wt}$. <br> $\%^{a}$ | P wt. <br> $\%^{a}$ | $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ | Swelling in <br> $\mathrm{DCE}(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{F}$ | $1: 2$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}$ | 5.0 | 4.1 | $1: 2.8$ | 4.7 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $1: 2$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 2}$ | 5.3 | 4.3 | $1: 2.8$ | $-_{--}^{b}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ | $1: 2$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ | 4.5 | 4.0 | $1: 2.5$ | 3.8 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=5-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $1: 2$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 4}$ | 5.6 | 4.2 | $1: 2.6$ | 4.6 |

${ }^{a}$ as measured by commercial ICP-MS analysis. ${ }^{b}$ density similar to solvent, unable to obtain accurate reading.

In these cases, incomplete loading occurred, with $14-25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of the palladacycles $( \pm)$-3.86 $-( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 8 9}$ recovered from the filtrates after reaction, as detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. As a consequence, the $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ ratios were in the range $1: 2.5$ to $1: 2.8$, significantly different from the desired $\mathrm{Pd}: \mathrm{P}$ ratio of $1: 2$. While discouraged by these results, we forged ahead.

With polymer-bound palladacycles $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}-( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 3 4}$ analyzed, we could now synthesize alkynes $\mathbf{3 . 3 5} \mathbf{- 3 . 4 0}$. The alkyne $\mathbf{3 . 3 5}$ was synthesized by a modified Corey-Fuchs reaction via intermediate dibromoalkene 3.91 (Scheme 3.10). ${ }^{178,} 179$

## Scheme 3.10



Alkynes 3.36 - $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ were synthesized via deprotonation of the corresponding aryl alkynes with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ followed by reaction of the generated anion with an excess of ethyl chloroformate. The results of the syntheses of alkynes $\mathbf{3 . 3 6} \mathbf{- 3 . 4 0}$ are shown in Table 3.12.

Table 3.12. Synthesis of Alkynes 3.36-3.40


Based on the prior work with the oxapalladacycles and preliminary results with the azapalladaycles, we predicted lower yields for the insertion reactions with polymer-
bound palladacycles $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}-( \pm) \mathbf{3 . 3 4}$. In order to discover satisfactory conditions to synthesize the library using parallel synthesis methods, a subgroup of compounds needed to be synthesized so that the parameters could be successfully set for the purification process. The purification system utilized by the KU-CMLD featured mass-directed fractionation (MDF). The nature of MDF purification dictates that the compounds to be purified must be ionizable under the electro-spray ionization technique the mass spectrometer utilizes. This has been known in the past to be problematic with some $N$-heterocycles. It was unknown which library members would be detected by the ionization method.

The polymer-bound palladacycle 3.34 bearing the 5 -methoxy group on the ring was reacted with alkynes $\mathbf{3 . 3 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ produce products $\mathbf{3 . 5 9} \mathbf{- 3 . 6 4}$. The results are shown in Table 3.13.

Table 3.13. Initial Reactions of Alkynes 3.35 to 3.40 with Polymer-Bound Palladacycle 3.34 in Parallel Format in a Miniblock XT


|  | R | Product | Mass of product <br> $(\mathrm{mg})$ | HPLC purity <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{R}=$ 3-furyl | $\mathbf{3 . 5 9}$ | 3.5 | 73 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{R}=$ 4-methylphenyl | $\mathbf{3 . 6 0}$ | $-^{a}$ | $-^{a}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{R}=1$-naphthyl | $\mathbf{3 . 6 1}$ | 1.7 | 89 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{R}=$ 4-fluorophenyl | $\mathbf{3 . 6 2}$ | 2.4 | 78 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{R}=$ 4-methoxyphenyl | $\mathbf{3 . 6 3}$ | 2.1 | 97 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{R}=$ phenyl | $\mathbf{3 . 6 4}$ | 2.0 | 97 |

${ }^{a}$ mass ion was not seen by mass spectrometer, no fractions collected

The results from the mass-directed fractionation (MDF) purification were quite disappointing as small amounts of the products were isolated (less than $10 \%$ yield in all cases) and the samples showed significant impurities by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. We pondered whether it was simply the substitution pattern of palladacycle $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 3 4}$ that was causing the low yields and purities. To probe this possibility, we used similar conditions ( 3.0 equiv alkyne, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ ) to synthesize the rest of the library
members. For the synthesis of the second sub-library of 18 compounds, we chose to use the Chemspeed SLT-100 due to its ability to automate much of the synthesis and filtration. Due to technical limitations of using the Chemspeed machine, we could not synthesize library members $\mathbf{3 . 5 2}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 5 8}$ (Figure 3.5).
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Figure 3.5. The Two Library Members Not Attempted.
The results from the MDF purification of these reactions were quite poor as well. The mass spectrometer did not detect the molecular peak in six of the sixteen samples. As the automated mass-directed fractionation is an integral part of the library synthesis, we postponed the project after receiving these results.

In conclusion, we explored the effect of the microenvironment around the palladium center in the solid phase using a palladium complex that has a well-defined reactivity in solution. We found that even a moderately high loading ( $3.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$ ) of phosphine on the resin gave rise to harmful microenvironmental effects, including an isomerization that was not observed in the analogous solution-phase reaction and lowered yields, presumably due to higher local concentrations of phosphines. A relatively lower loading ( $1.4 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$ ) resin gave a polymer-bound palladacycle that was able to approach the yields of the solution-phase reaction without significant isomerization in many cases. The low-loading resin with a longer tether length
reacted quite readily to give high yields at both low and high temperatures. However, the low-loading resin is the least economically viable of the three, with only 0.9 mmol $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$ of phosphine on the resin. In addition, the loading of the palladacycle onto this polymer was incomplete, suggesting that either the concentration of the phosphine groups is too low for effective loading of the resin, or that some of the phosphine groups were oxidized during the synthesis of the resin. An excess of the phosphine during loading (> 1:2 Pd:P) resulted in drastically lowered yields. The data suggest that concentration effects are likely to be magnified during solid-phase reactions. The variability of the isomerization depending on the individual reaction indicates that conditions at the reaction sites differ even within individual batches of polymerbound palladacycle. The resins swelling capacity did correlate well with reactivity, with the higher-swelling resins generating higher reactivity.

Although we did not achieve our aim of synthesizing a library of 1,2dihydroquinolines utilizing the system developed in Section 3.1, we are optimistic that future work will uncover more of the factors involved in modulating the reactivity of metal complexes coordinated to polymer-bound ligands, particularly the factors involved in the reactivity of aza vs. oxa-palladacycles, allowing for a successful library synthesis. In addition, future endeavors would potentially pave the way for the use of other polymer-bound complexes in less of a "black box" manner.

## Chapter Four:

Synthesis and Characterization of Pallada(II)pyrrolidinones: Palladacycles with Two Pd-bonded Stereogenic Carbons

### 4.1 Attempted Alternative Synthesis of Palladacycles by C-H Activation

### 4.1.1 Rationale

Much of modern palladium chemistry involves intermediates which do not have a carbon stereocenter attached to the palladium atom that is also transferred to the product (vide supra). Consequently, the chemistry of palladium complexes having a carbon stereocenter adjacent to palladium is not well-explored, ${ }^{111}$ particularly as it concerns the mechanism of stereoinduction when a chiral nonracemic auxiliary ligand is present during a Pd-C bond forming step. This is likely due to the fact that $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized alkyl palladium species are much more likely to have readily accessible $\beta$-hydrogens, which can lead to undesirable $\beta$-hydride elimination. Our group has synthesized a variety of complexes with a stereocenter adjacent to the palladium center without accessible $\beta$-hydrogens and studied their interactions with chiral ligands (Scheme 4.1). Complexes 4.1 - 4.3, 4.8, and 4.9 are readily isolable complexes, while 4.6 and 4.7 must be formed in situ due to the increased steric hindrance imposed by the quaternary carbon center.

## Scheme 4.1



Another advantage of this chemistry is the ability to access heterocyclic systems with rare substitution patterns. 2H-1-benzopyrans, ${ }^{161}$ 1,2-dihydroquinolines, ${ }^{163}$ and benzoxepines ${ }^{180}$ can all be readily accessed via palladacycles as intermediates. The monodentate phosphine ligands of complexes 4.1 - 4.7 are necessary as ligand exchange must occur before the desired reactions can proceed. For complexes $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ and 4.9, the flat bidentate nitrogen ligands stabilize the palladium(IV) intermediates (see Chapter Two).

However, for the syntheses of palladacycles $4.1 \mathbf{- 4 . 9}$, the presence of an electron-withdrawing group to activate the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond toward deprotonation is necessary to form the Pd-C bond via an intramolecular ligand exchange. The electron-withdrawing group, such as an ester or an amide, also makes the stereocenter potentially more susceptible to racemization or epimerization. The methodology could be improved if the need for the electron-withdrawing group was eliminated as the stereocenter formed would conceivably be more configurationally stable. A wider variety of organic products could also be synthesized, greatly improving the scope of the reaction as well as allowing for other fundamental studies of complexes generated by forming the stereocenter without the electron-withdrawing group.

### 4.1.2 Inspiration from Arndtsen

While planning ways to generate palladacycles without the electron withdrawing group, we read the work of Arndtsen and co-workers. ${ }^{181}$ In 2001, Arndtsen published a paper detailing the development of a palladium-catalyzed synthesis of imidazolines 4.11 from imines, acyl chlorides, and carbon monoxide while attempting to synthesize peptides. The initial reaction involved the cationic palladium complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ synthesized from an imine, acyl chloride, and a $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ source (Scheme 4.2).

## Scheme 4.2



Next, Arndtsen reacted the fully characterized complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ with carbon monoxide in acetonitrile at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five days. An unexpected imidazoline product incorporating two imine fragments was formed in $35 \%$ yield (Scheme 4.3).

## Scheme 4.3



Intrigued by this result, Arndtsen then monitored the reaction by NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 4.4) utilizing ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$, and found that the source of the imine for the cyclization was generated via a reductive decyclization of complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ to generate iminium salt 4.13 (which is in equilibrium with the imine and acyl chloride) and $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-bipy complex (Path B, Scheme 4.4). The imine generated in Path B then reacts with the product from CO insertion into complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ (Path A, Scheme 4.4) to generate the imidazoline 4.11 as a single diastereomer with a trans orientation of $p$-tolyl groups as determined by X-Ray crystallography.

## Scheme 4.4



This suggested that the reaction could also occur by simply mixing the imine, acyl chloride, palladium (0) source and ligand together in acetonitrile while heating under a CO atmosphere; a 70\% yield was isolated when this process was carried out. While monitoring this reaction by NMR spectroscopy, Arndsten observed that all the substrates added to the system were incorporated in the product as well as the fact that HCl was liberated. This indicated that $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ was potentially being released from the reaction, which led to the hypothesis that it could be made catalytic in palladium. Arndsten was indeed successful in this endeavor; the results are shown in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1. Effects of Substrates and Ligands in the Synthesis of Imidazolines Reported by Arndtsen


|  | Ligand | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | Y ield <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | bipy | Bn | $p$-Tol | Ph | 82 |
| 2 | bipy | Me | $p$-Tol | Ph | 92 |
| 3 | bipy | Bn | $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | 73 |
| $4^{a}$ | bipy | Bn | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | 62 |
| 5 | bipy | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | 78 |
| 6 | bipy | Bn | $p-\mathrm{Tol}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 70 |
| 7 | bipy | Ph | $p-\mathrm{Tol}$ | Ph | -- |
| 8 | bipy | Bn | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -- |
| 9 | pyridine | Bn | $p-\mathrm{Tol}$ | Ph | 87 |
| 10 | dppe | Bn | $p-\mathrm{Tol}$ | Ph | -- |
| $11^{b}$ | no ligand | Bn | $p-\mathrm{Tol}$ | Ph | 83 |

${ }^{a}$ reaction complete in 3 days ${ }^{b}$ reaction complete in 24 h

Entries $1-8$ in Table 4.1 show that bipyridine lowers the rate of the reaction (4 days) when compared to entry 11 using no auxiliary ligand (complete in 24 h ). Strong bidentate phosphine ligands (dppe, entry 10) completely inhibit the reaction, as did
imines that were relatively electron-poor (entries 7 and 8 ). The proposed catalytic cycle with 2,2'-bipyridyl as the ligand is shown in Scheme 4.5.

## Scheme 4.5



In this reaction, $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ acts as a nucleophile, attacking iminium salt 4.14 to generate chelated cationic complex 4.15 after complexation of bipyridyl. ${ }^{182}$ The next step is a displacement of the bipyridyl ligand with carbon monoxide and chloride ion to give intermediate complex 4.16. Complex 4.16 then undergoes carbon monoxide insertion
to give complex 4.17, which can then eliminate HCl to give palladium-complexed ketene intermediate 4.18. After palladium decomplexation, the münchnone 4.19 is formed and reacts with another equivalent of imine in a [3+2] cycloaddition followed by ring-opening to give imidazoline 4.11 .

Inspired by complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ synthesized by Arndtsen, we proposed to form the stereogenic carbon adjacent to the palladium center via reaction of a suitable $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ species with an acyliminium salt, followed by C-H activation of the PMP substituent on the nitrogen atom to give a new class of palladacycles without an electronwithdrawing group on the stereogenic carbon (Scheme 4.6).

## Scheme 4.6



In the proposed synthesis, imine 4.20 bearing an $N$-PMP substituent would react with benzoyl chloride and $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$ in an analogous manner to Scheme 4.3 to give complex 4.21 which could then conceivably undergo a C-H activation of the PMP ring to give palladacycle 4.22 without a strong electron-withdrawing group attached to the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon.

In Arndtsen's original report, the acyliminium salt was added as an isolated species. We also attempted to isolate a pure acyliminium salt, but after much experimentation we were unable to isolate a pure species, as indicated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
spectroscopy. This is not surprising, as these extremely reactive species are almost exclusively generated and used in situ. ${ }^{183}$

Therefore, we decided to simply make the iminium species in situ. With this in mind, we replaced the imine in Arndtsen's original procedure with $p$-anisidine derived imine 4.20 containing a $N$-PMP group to synthesize the cationic palladium complex precursor 4.21 for C-H activation. Our attempts to utilize Arndtsen's procedure did not produce a pure product via crystallization or trituration with ether. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the complex mixture included a new singlet at 5.86 ppm , which is likely the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ proton on the carbon attached to the palladium center. The shift for the analogous proton in complex $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ bearing an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Bn}$ group is $5.11 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{181}$ All attempts to chromatograph the material using alumina or silica failed, as the palladium salt was strongly attracted to the solid phase. We also utilized a Soxhlet extraction using ether in another attempt to purify the material, but the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR consistently had multiple peaks in the region assigned to the p-OMe group (ca. $\delta 3.80$ ppm). Upon reviewing Arndtsen's work, we noted that the cationic complexes having triflate instead of chloride as the counterion did not undergo the carbon monoxide insertion desired in their system. We thought this was significant, since this indicates that the cationic complex is made more stable by the anion metathesis.

### 4.1.3 Attempted C-H Activation

We were finally able to generate cationic complex 4.22 bearing a triflate anion by performing the acyl iminium formation, the oxidative addition, the dimer breaking
reaction, and the anion metathesis reaction in a four-step, one pot procedure (Scheme 4.7). Complex 4.22 was fully characterized.

## Scheme 4.7



We then attempted to form product $\mathbf{4 . 2 3}$ via C-H activation of the PMP ring of $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ under various conditions (Table 4.2). Unfortunately, none of the conditions employed proved promising. Many of the reactions gave complex mixtures of products via decomposition. Even under conditions with an oxophilic Lewis acid (entry 7, Table 4.2), no reaction was seen. Based on this screening, we wondered why we were not observing C-H activation of this complex. One explanation is that the carbonyl is complexed very strongly to the palladium center, not allowing the amide to rotate. If the rotation is inhibited, then an intramolecular C-H activation is not possible because the reactive portions of the molecule cannot come close enough to one another for the reaction to occur. Indeed, when we dissolved complex 4.22 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and performed a variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) experiment, we observed that the peaks corresponding to the protons on the 2,2 '-bipyridyl ligand (signals marked with circles in Figure 4.1) became significantly broader at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while the resonances corresponding to the other protons in the molecule did not change significantly (Figure 4.1).

Table 4.2. Attempted C-H Activation of Complex 4.22

|  |  |  | Conditions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solvent | Base (equiv.) | Additive (equiv.) | Time (h) Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Result |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | NaOAc (5.0) | -- | $24 \mathrm{~h} / 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | NR |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | -- | $24 \mathrm{~h} / 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | NaOAc $(5.0)$ | NaI (2.0) | $24 \mathrm{~h} / 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (5.0) | NaI (2.0) | $24 \mathrm{~h} / 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | -- | $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{rt}$ | Decomp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\begin{equation*} \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \tag{5.0} \end{equation*}$ (5.0) | -- | $24 \mathrm{~h} / 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomp. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ (5.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ (3.0) | $24 \mathrm{~h} / 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | NR |



Figure 4.1. Variable Temperature ${ }^{1} H N M R$ Spectra of Complex 4.22 in $C D_{3} C N$.
The spectra in Figure 4.1 indicate that the bipyridyl ligand is more labile than the chelated amide moiety. We realized that our strategy would probably not work with this system, as it is highly unlikely that the C-H activation can occur without the Pd center and the aromatic ring being in close enough proximity to react.

### 4.2 Synthesis and Characterization of Palladapyrrolidinones

### 4.2.1 Rationale

Not being able to find conditions to form palladacycle 4.23 without an electron-withdrawing group, we elected to utilize our knowledge of the synthesis and isolation of cationic palladium complexes such as $\mathbf{4 . 2 2}$ to pursue another new type of palladacycle. An earlier member of the research group (Ms. Susmita Gupta) had isolated and partially characterized the palladium complex 4.25 from the reaction of palladium complex 4.24 with $t$-BuOK at room temperature in THF (Scheme 4.8).

Scheme 4.8


The complex was characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and is shown as the C Pd enolate. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals ( $2.50 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ and $3.39 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 13.9 Hz, 1 H), IR ( $1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 182.9 ppm ) are consistent with the C-Pd enolate structure bearing two diastereotopic protons on the carbon adjacent to the palladium center as well as the endocyclic amide functional group.

We pondered whether we could synthesize a complex that has two stereogenic centers attached to palladium by utilizing a substituted acetyl chloride to generate cationic complexes 4.26, which could then be deprotonated with a suitable base to
generate O-palladium enolates 4.27. The latter would subsequently tautomerize to Cpalladium enolates 4.28 (Scheme 4.9).

## Scheme 4.9



Substantial evidence points toward the likelihood that C-Pd enolates 4.28 would be the dominant and isolable products. Hartwig has reported that in many cases the ester or amide enolates $(4.29-4.34)$ are more stable in the C-bound tautomer form. ${ }^{184} \mathrm{~A}$ notable exception are those enolates ( $\mathbf{4 . 3 3}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 3 4}$ ) that would possess a quaternary carbon center if C-bound, due to steric hindrance outweighing the electronic preference for the C-Pd enolate structure in these complexes with bidentate phosphine ligands. Relevant examples are shown in Figure 4.2. Complexes 4.29 and $\mathbf{4 . 3 0}$ are the closest to those detailed in this dissertation; we had good reason to believe that in our case we would be able to isolate the C-Pd enolate. The C-Pd enolate should then react in a similar manner to an alkyl palladium complex due to the relatively nonpolar C-Pd bond. If these reactions could be realized, the complexes generated could provide a model for the metal-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation between two $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized carbon atoms.





4.32


4.34

Figure 4.2. $C$ and O-bound Palladium Enolate Complexes Reported by Culkin and Hartwig. ${ }^{184}$

The design of palladium-catalyzed reactions utilized to form a bond between two $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized and potentially stereogenic carbons is currently an area of intense research. ${ }^{11,185,186}$ While strides are being made in the area, methods for the transition-metal mediated formation of a C-C bond between two stereogenic $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized carbons remain rare. However, there is a dearth of studies on stable palladium complexes bearing two carbon stereocenters attached to palladium, ${ }^{28,} 29$ presumably due to both the lack of methods to synthesize them as well as the potential for synthetically deleterious $\beta$-hydride elimination in some cases. Only a few other systems that feature two stereogenic $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbons attached to palladium are known (Figure 4.3).

4.35

$\mathrm{E}^{*}=-\mathrm{CO}_{2}-(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$

Figure 4.3. Palladium Complexes Bearing Two Stereogenic Carbons Attached to a Palladium Center.

Complex 4.35 was synthesized by Hoffmann via attack of a nitrile enolate on $\pi$-allyl palladium dimer, and complex 4.36 was synthesized via a concerted oxidative cyclization of cyclopropenes with palladium(0). Both 4.35 and 4.36 were studied by X-Ray crystallography. However, neither of the synthetic methods to produce 4.35 and 4.36 provided a way to study the influence of the first carbon-bound stereocenter on the second.

The palladapyrrolidinone system is a potentially powerful model complex to explore the influence of the initially formed stereocenter and the auxiliary ligand sphere on the stereoselectivity of the formation of a second stereocenter. In addition, studies can also be performed on how a chiral non-racemic ligand would affect the diastereoselectivity of the reaction. To our knowledge, no studies of this type have been reported.

### 4.2.2 Synthesis of Cationic Palladium Complexes as Precursors

Utilizing phenylacetyl chloride as the acyl chloride and TMEDA as the ligand, we were able to isolate complex 4.37 as a yellow solid in $25 \%$ yield utilizing the same four-step one-pot reaction used to synthesize complex 4.22 (Scheme 4.10).

## Scheme 4.10



While we were able to isolate a pure product, we were unsatisfied with the low yield and wished to improve it. The increase in the equivalents of imine, acyl chloride, ligand, and silver triflate relative to palladium afforded an improved yield of $67 \%$ (Scheme 4.11). The likely reason for the increase in the yield is that more of the highly reactive acyliminium species is present when excesses of acyl chloride and imine are used.

## Scheme 4.11



We were also able to synthesize the bipy complex $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ in an analogous fashion in 65\% yield (Scheme 4.12).

Scheme 4.12


When we attempted to synthesize the dppe complex $( \pm)-4.39$ using the same method, we could not completely separate the product from an unknown complex which was apparently formed from the chelation of silver species with the dppe ligand. However, we were able to synthesize ( $\pm$ )-4.39 in $74 \%$ yield via ligand exchange of complex $( \pm)-4.37$ in dichloromethane with excess dppe (Scheme 4.13).

## Scheme 4.13



### 4.2.3 Synthesis of Palladapyrrolidinones via Deprotonation

With complex $( \pm)-4.37$ synthesized, we attempted the deprotonation/tautomerization to form complex $( \pm)-4.40$, a palladapyrrolidinone bearing a TMEDA ligand. Upon adding 1.1 equiv. of a 1.0 M solution of $t$ - BuOK in THF to a solution of $( \pm)-4.37$ in THF at room temperature and letting the reaction stir for 2 h , we obtained a crude mixture of cis- $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ and trans- $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ (predominantly cis- $( \pm)-4.40$ ) in $52 \%$ yield and dr $91: 9$ cis : trans by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Scheme 4.14).

## Scheme 4.14



This result confirmed our hypothesis that we could both form and isolate the C-Pd enolate, as the characterization data for the complex were consistent with the proposed C-Pd enolate structure (vide infra). We wished to find conditions that would give us a higher yield as well as a suitable purification method. When we attempted the same reaction at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes in THF with 1.1 equivalents of $t$ BuOK , we found that we achieved a much higher yield of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 (77\%) as well as a dr similar to the initial experiment of $89: 11$ cis : trans by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR after purification by flash chromatography on silica followed by trituration with pentane. Analogous conditions were used for the reaction of $( \pm)-4.39$ bearing the dppe ligand (1.1 equiv $t$-BuOK, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF, 30 min ) to give complex $( \pm)-4.41$ in a $72: 28 \mathrm{dr}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR still favoring the cis isomer (Scheme 4.15).

## Scheme 4.15



However, when the reaction was run with $( \pm)-4.38$ bearing the bipy ligand, a mixture of cis $( \pm)-4.42$ and trans $( \pm)-4.42$ was isolated in high yield ( $86 \%$, Scheme 4.16) and low dr. Interestingly, the bipy ligand gave the trans diastereomer in a slight excess in this case.

## Scheme 4.16



Several pieces of evidence point to the C-Pd enolate structures featuring a lactam ring presented for the three complexes $(( \pm)-4.40,( \pm)-4.41$, and $( \pm)-4.42$, bearing TMEDA, dppe, and bipy ligands respectively). The first piece of evidence is the IR frequencies, which are consistent with the proposed structure. The second piece of evidence is from NMR spectra. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the signals for the methine protons on the carbons adjacent to the palladium centers are singlets that are observed upfield from 5 ppm , indicating that it is likely not a proton attached to a $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized carbon. ${ }^{187}$ The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum shows signals in the proper region for an amide. ${ }^{187}$ The information is summarized in Table 4.3. In order to establish the dr for complexes $( \pm)-4.40,( \pm)-4.41$, and $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$, we utilized the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals of the benzylic protons, as these allowed for the most precise integration. In the spectrum of $( \pm)-4.40$, we used the signal at $4.89 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the trans diastereomer, and signal at $4.84 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the cis diastereomer. For complex $( \pm)-4.41$, the signals used were found at $5.12 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the trans diastereomer, and $4.03 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the cis diastereomer. For complex $( \pm) \mathbf{- 4 . 4 2}$, the signals used were found at $5.09 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=$ $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the trans diastereomer, and $5.05 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the cis diastereomer.

Table 4.3. Spectral Data Supporting the Structural Assignments of ( $\pm$ )-4.38 - ( $\pm$ )4.40

| Complex | Ligand | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (ppm) | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (ppm) | IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $( \pm)-4.40$ | TMEDA | 4.12, 3.99 cis | 182.2 cis | 1617 |
|  |  | 4.13, 3.94 trans |  |  |
|  |  |  | 182.5 trans |  |
| $( \pm)-4.41$ | dppe |  | $182.5\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ | 1607 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, \\ J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{cis} \end{gathered}$ | $\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |
|  |  |  | cis |  |
|  |  |  | $182.2\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ |  |
|  |  | $4.78(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ trans | $\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |  |
|  |  |  | trans |  |
| $( \pm)-4.42$ | bipy | 4.71, 4.66 cis | 181.9 cis | 1616 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.65, 4.63 trans | 182.5 trans |  |

Finally, we have been able to obtain X-ray crystal structures for all three cis diastereomers of complexes $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0},( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$, and $( \pm) \mathbf{4 . 4 2}$, bearing TMEDA, dppe, and bipy ligands respectively (see section 4.4). A $75: 25$ cis : trans mixture of ( $\pm$ )4.40 bearing the TMEDA resulting from a poor choice of elution system (low gradient of ethyl acetate in hexanes) for column chromatography was crystallized via slow diffusion of pentane into a solution of $( \pm)-4.40$ in benzene. While performing the X-ray analyses, Dr. Victor Day observed that the crystals had crystallized in two
distinct polymorphic shapes. The major cis diastereomer crystallized as rectangular parallelepipeds, and the minor trans diastereomer crystallized as triangular plates. In this case, the diastereomers manifested their differing physical properties by crystallizing as noticeably different polymorphs, allowing us to assign the signals for the cis diastereomers by taking crystals of the major cis- $( \pm)-4.40$ and performing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy upon them. We also attempted to obtain ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the minor trans diastereomer, but were unable to separate enough crystalline material. In addition, we were eventually able to isolate a highly enriched sample of the cis diastereomer of complex $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ by observing that during rotary evaporation of the ethyl acetate eluent from column chromatography, a solid precipitated near the end of solvent removal. This solid was isolated and found to also be a highly enriched sample of the cis diastereomer by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. A comparison of the signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the separated cis diastereomer from the crystallization, the isolated cis diastereomer from chromatography, and a mixture of diastereomers are shown in Figure 4.4.


Figure 4.4. Comparison of ${ }^{1} H$ NMR Spectra of Highly Enriched Samples of cis-( $\pm$ )4.40 vs. an Isolated Mixture of cis and trans-( $\pm$ )-4.40.

Notably, when ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were obtained at room temperature on complex ( $\pm$ )-4.41 bearing the dppe ligand, the expected doublet of doublets for each diastereomer due to the cis arrangement of inequivalent phosphorus atoms of the dppe ligand was not observed. Instead, two sets of broad signals were observed (34.8 and 32.7 ppm for the cis isomer, and 39.5 and 35.9 ppm for the trans diastereomer). We also performed a low temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR experiment at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on complex $( \pm)-4.41$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. However, no sharpening of the signals were seen at this temperature (Figure 4.5).


Figure 4.5. ${ }^{31} P$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3},-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Spectrum of an $87: 13$ cis : trans Mixture of Complex ( $\pm$-4.41.

Previous work from our group has shown that steric hindrance arising from a fully substituted $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon stereocenter also leads to broadened ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signals. ${ }^{163}$ In this work, the combined steric hindrance of two tertiary $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized carbon stereocenters attached to palladium contributes to the observed broadening of signals.

We assigned cis and trans signals for 4.41 bearing the dppe ligand, and 4.42 bearing the bipy ligand via ligand exchange with an excess of the appropriate ligand
(Scheme 4.17). Our group has reported previously that the $\mathrm{Csp}^{3}-\mathrm{Pd}$ centers are configurationally stable under similar conditions. ${ }^{162}$

## Scheme 4.17



Both reactions occurred in high yield and stereochemical fidelity, with little change in the diastereomeric ratios from the starting materials to products in either case. Keeping in mind that the major signals of complex $( \pm)-4.40$ are the cis diastereomers, and that the centers are configurationally stable, it is logical to conclude that the major signals in the samples of $( \pm)-4.41$ and $( \pm)-4.42$ shown in Scheme 4.17 are also of the cis isomer. In the case of the bipyridine ligand, the ligand was difficult to separate from the product, resulting in residual amounts of the bipy in the product. We also explored the effect of the concentration of the enolate on both the reaction yield and the dr. In addition to the aforementioned $t$-BuOK base, we also explored the likely stoichiometric generation of the enolates using the stronger bases KHMDS and LDA (Table 4.4).

Table 4.4. Effect of Base on the dr of Ring Closure Reactions in THF in the Synthesis of Complexes ( $\pm$ )-4.40 - ( $\pm$ )-4.42


|  | Cationic <br> Complex | Auxiliary <br> Ligand | Base (equiv.) | Product | Yield | dr (cis : <br> trans $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 7}$ | TMEDA | $t$-BuOK (1.1) | $( \pm)-4.40$ | $82^{a}$ | $88: 12$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 7}$ | TMEDA | $t$-BuOK (1.1) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ | $67^{b}$ | $94: 6$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 7}$ | TMEDA | KHMDS (1.0) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ | $35^{b}$ | $95: 5$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 7}$ | TMEDA | LDA (1.0) | $( \pm)-4.40$ | $25^{c}$ | $88: 12$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9}$ | dppe | $t$-BuOK (1.1) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$ | $90^{a}$ | $72: 28$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9}$ | dppe | $t$-BuOK (1.1) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$ | $89^{b}$ | $74: 26$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9}$ | dppe | KHMDS (1.0) | $( \pm)-4.41$ | $86^{b}$ | $76: 24$ |
| 8 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 9}$ | dppe | LDA (1.0) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$ | $86^{c}$ | $77: 23$ |
| 9 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ | bipy | $t$-BuOK (1.1) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ | $86^{a}$ | $42: 58$ |
| 10 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ | bipy | $t$-BuOK (1.1) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ | $83^{b}$ | $75: 25$ |
| 11 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ | bipy | KHMDS (1.0) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ | $71^{b}$ | $57: 43$ |
| 12 | $\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ | bipy | LDA (1.0) | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ | $64^{c}$ | $83: 17$ |

[^4]Entries $1-4$ of Table 4.4 show that the yields of complex $( \pm)-4.40$ bearing the TMEDA ligand decrease dramatically with the increase in the strength of the base. This indicates that the complex or an intermediate is unstable when a strong base is used. Entries $5-8$ show that the yields and diastereomeric ratios of complex ( $\pm$ )4.41 with the dppe ligand are practically invariable with the changes in reaction conditions. Conversely, entries 9-12 show that the yields and diastereomeric ratios of complex $( \pm)-4.42$ bearing the bipyridyl ligand vary significantly based on the reaction conditions. This is likely due to the difference in the bipyridine ligand vs. the TMEDA/dppe ligands. The flat bipyridyl ligand removes much steric hindrance, likely lowering the difference in transition state energies.

### 4.3 Configurational Stability of Palladapyrrolidinones

As control of stereochemistry is quite useful in modern synthetic organic chemistry, ${ }^{188}$ a study of the configurational stability of the stereocenter located adjacent to the carbonyl moiety of the amide group was undertaken. Our group has previously shown that the stereocenter adjacent to an exocyclic ester could be quite labile when a chiral non-racemic ligand was present on the palladium center, giving rise to moderate to high diastereomeric excess under proper conditions. ${ }^{131}$ In our case, it was unknown i) whether the stereocenter could be epimerized and ii) whether the cis or the trans diastereomers were more stable for each palladapyrrolidinone. We examined two distinct types of stability: stability under thermal conditions and stability under basic conditions.

### 4.3.1 Configurational Stability under Basic Conditions

As palladium catalyzed reactions such as the Buchwald-Hartwig amination, the Heck reaction, and the Sonogashira reaction often involve the use of a base, we thought it is prudent to explore the stability of the palladapyrrolidinones under basic conditions. Interestingly, 1.1 equivalents of base was needed to achieve epimerization during the selected reaction times for complex $( \pm)-4.40$ bearing the TMEDA ligand, in line with previous reports. ${ }^{162}$ We think it is likely that small amounts of complex 4.44 are generated and subsequently reprotonated with the $t$ BuOH produced from the deprotonation. Therefore, we used 1.1 equivalents of base for the experiments with $( \pm)-4.41$ bearing the dppe ligand, and $( \pm)-4.42$ bearing the bipy ligand, as shown in Table 4.5.

Table 4.5. Results of Base-mediated Epimerization of Complexes $( \pm)-4.40,( \pm)-4.41$ and ( $\pm$ )-4.42 at Room Temperature


| Complex | Auxiliary <br> Ligand | Initial <br> dr (cis <br> trans) | Solvent, Time (min) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ \text { (equiv.) } \end{gathered}$ | Yield of Recovered Material (\%) | dr of recovered material (cis: trans) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1( \pm)-4.40$ | TMEDA | 90: 10 | THF, 60 | $\begin{gathered} t \text {-BuOK, } \\ 0.1 \end{gathered}$ | 83 | 94:6 |


| $2( \pm)$-4.40 | $t$-BuOK, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TMEDA | 90:10 | THF, 60 | t-BuOK, | 82 | $93: 7$ |
|  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3( \pm)-4.40$ | TMEDA | 90: 10 | THF, $5^{b}$ | $t \text {-BuOK, }$ | 83 | 88:12 |
|  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| ( $\pm$-4.40 | TMEDA | 90: 10 | THF, | $t \text {-BuOK, }$ | 82 | $78: 22$ |
|  |  |  | $30^{b}$ | 1.1 |  |  |
| ( $\pm$-4.41 | dppe | 87: 13 | THF, 60 | $t$-BuOK, | 91 | 42:58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| ( $\pm$-4.41 | dppe | 87: 13 | THF, | $t$-BuOK, | 92 | 42:58 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 180 | 1.1 |  |  |
| ( $\pm$-4.42 | bipy | 42: 58 | THF, 60 | $t$-BuOK, | 96 | 22:78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| ( $\pm$-4.42 | bipy | 42: 58 | THF, | $t$-BuOK, | 92 | 23:77 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 180 | 1.1 |  |  |

${ }^{a} 1.1$ equivalents of base was needed to achieve epimerization ${ }^{b}$ due to the instability of the complex under the epimerization conditions, shorter times were used

In all cases, a shift toward the trans diastereomers was seen under basic conditions, indicating that they are likely more stable than the cis diastereomers. While publishing a manuscript based in part on work in this chapter, ${ }^{189}$ a reviewer suggested that as the equilibrations required 1.1 equivalents of $t$ - BuOK , the generation of intermediates such as 4.44 could occur stoichiometrically, and that protonation could occur upon workup instead of our proposed mechanism involving
low concentrations of the enolate intermediate (see Table 4.5). In order to address this question, we performed an in situ NMR experiment (monitoring by both ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) in an attempt to observe any significant quantities of intermediates such as 4.44. The reaction was run at room temperature in THF- $d_{8}$ in an NMR tube with 1.1 equivalents of $t$-BuOK (1.0 M in THF) (Scheme 4.18).

## Scheme 4.18


${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 162 MHz ) spectra were taken before addition of $t$-BuOK, 30 minutes after addition of base, and 50 minutes after addition of base (Figure 4.6).


Figure 4.6. In situ ${ }^{31} P$ NMR ( 162 MHz ) During the Reaction of ( $\pm$ )-4.41 with 1.1 Equivalents of $t$-BuOK.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra were taken before addition of $t$-BuOK, 20 minutes after addition of base, and 60 minutes after addition of base (Figure 4.7).


Figure 4.7 In situ ${ }^{I} H$ NMR (400 MHz) During the Reaction of ( $\pm$ )-4.41 with 1.1 Equivalents of $t$ - BuOK .

No evidence in the NMR spectra (Figures 4.6 and 4.7) supports the proposal that a stoichiometric amount of the intermediate 4.44 was generated during the reaction before being quenched during workup. The data are consistent with our earlier proposal of the reaction proceeding through low concentrations of intermediate 4.44 when $t$ - BuOK is used as the base.

### 4.3.2 Configurational Stability under Thermal Conditions

We reasoned that heating the diastereomeric mixtures of $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0},( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$, and ( $\pm$ )-4.42 in a solvent such as THF would generate a small but significant equilibrium may between the O and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Pd}$ forms of the enolate which would allow for epimerization of the center via $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized intermediate 4.43. We also wanted to see whether epimerization occurred under conditions similar to those used in the elevated temperature ring closing reactions (entries 1 , 5 , and 9, Table 4.4). We therefore heated solutions of complexes $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}-( \pm) \mathbf{- 4 . 4 2}$ in THF at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours before recovering the material. Table 4.6 shows the results of the experiments.

Table 4.6. Thermal Isomerization of Complexes $( \pm)-4.40,( \pm)-4.41$, and $( \pm)-4.42^{a}$


|  | Palladium <br> Complex | Auxiliary <br> Ligand | dr of <br> starting <br> material <br> $($ cis $:$ <br> trans $)$ | Solvent, <br> Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Recovery <br> Yield (\%) | dr of <br> recovered <br> material <br> (cis $:$ trans $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $( \pm) \mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ | TMEDA | $88: 12$ | THF, 45 | 92 | $88: 12$ |
| 2 | $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$ | dppe | $91: 9$ | THF, 45 | 90 | $83: 17$ |
| 3 | $( \pm) \mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ | bipy | $63: 37$ | THF, 45 | 96 | $50: 50$ |

[^5]The TMEDA ligand (entry 1) appears unable to form proposed intermediate 4.43 as the material is returned in an unchanged dr of $88: 12$ cis : trans. For both the dppe and bipy ligands (entries 2 and 3), there is a noticeable change in the dr as measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. In these cases, the dppe and bipy ligands are likely better able to accommodate the proposed intermediate $( \pm)-4.43$ with the more ionic $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ bond.

### 4.4 X-Ray Structural Studies

As the synthesized palladapyrrolidinones are the first examples of their class, it is prudent to study the metrical parameters of the complexes in more detail in order to gain more insight into the observed initial preference for the cis diastereomers and the slight preference for the trans diastereomers when allowed to equilibrate.
4.4.1 X-Ray Crystallographic Studies of $( \pm)-4.40,( \pm)-4.41$, and $( \pm)-4.42$

As mentioned previously, we were able to obtain X-Ray structures for complexes cis$( \pm)-4.40$, trans $-( \pm)-4.40$, cis- $( \pm)-4.41$, and cis $-( \pm)-4.42$. The ORTEP plots are shown in Figures 4.8 - 4.11.


Figure 4.8. ORTEP plot of cis-(土)-4.40. Ellipsoids are Drawn at the 50\%
Probability Level.


Figure 4.9. ORTEP plot of trans-(土)-4.40. Ellipsoids are Drawn at the 50\% Probability Level.


Figure 4.10. ORTEP plot of cis-(土)-4.41. Ellipsoids are Drawn at the 50\%
Probability Level.


Figure 4.11. ORTEP plot of cis-(土)-4.42. Ellipsoids are Drawn at the 50\%

## Probability Level.

Study of the metrical parameters revealed the only significant differences in the parameters for the metallacycles of cis $( \pm)-4.40$ and cis $( \pm)-4.41$ involve the bonds to the metal (vide infra). The $\operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond lengths $(2.040(4) \AA$ in cis $( \pm)-4.40$ and $2.082(2) \AA$ in cis $( \pm)-4.41)$ in both complexes are slightly shorter than the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ bond lengths (2.048(3) $\AA$ in cis $( \pm)-4.40$ and $2.096(2) \AA$ in cis ( $\pm$ )-4.41). Additionally, both $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in cis $( \pm)-4.40$ are shorter than either $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in cis $( \pm)-4.41$, presumably due to the greater trans influence of phosphines over amines. Notably, the conformations of the five-atom square planar coordination grouping and pyrrolidinone ligands in cis $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ and cis $( \pm)-4.41$ are remarkably similar, causing
the 21 nonhydrogen atoms of the two complexes to superimpose with a root-meansquare (rms) deviation of $0.17 \AA$. Each of the five-atom square-planar coordination groupings, as well as each of the five nonhydrogen atom groupings ( $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}(1)$, $C(2), N(3)$ and $C(4))$ in both cis $( \pm)-4.40$ and cis $( \pm)-4.41$ palladacycles, are coplanar to within $0.05 \AA$.

### 4.4.2 A Steric Footprint

Interestingly, a distinct folding, defined by the dihedral angle ( $\alpha$ ) between the normals to the mean planes of the five-atom square planar coordination groupings (plane labeled $\mathbf{a}$ in Figure 4.12) and the actual six-atom $\mathbf{L} \cdots \mathbf{L}$ mean plane groupings containing the two heteroatoms and their four methyl or phenyl carbons (plane labeled $\mathbf{b}$ in Figure 4.12 ), was observed in the solid state structures of complexes cis $( \pm)-4.40$ and cis $( \pm)-4.41$.


Figure 4.12. Superimposed Molecular Structures of cis ( $\pm$ )-4.40 and cis ( $\pm$ )-4.41 Based on X-ray Crystallographic Data. Hydrogen Atoms are Omitted for Clarity.

Thus, the pyrrolidinone ligands "fold" by $6^{\circ}$ or $9^{\circ}$ along the $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(4)$ polyhedral edge, and the TMEDA and dppe ( $\mathbf{L} \cdots \mathbf{L}$ ) ligands "fold" by $13^{\circ}$ or $25^{\circ}$ along their respective $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{N}(2)$ and $\mathrm{P}(1) \cdots \mathrm{P}(2)$ polyhedral edges away from the pyrrolidinone ligands. As expected, both "fold" angles are larger for the complex cis $( \pm)$-4.41. Experiments reported in Table 4.4 showed that, in the presence of both TMEDA and dppe auxiliary ligands, the formation of the cis diastereomer of palladacycles $( \pm)$-4.40 and $( \pm)-4.41$ from palladium-chelated amide complexes $( \pm)$ 4.37 bearing the TMEDA ligand and $( \pm)-4.38$ bearing the dppe ligand was favored. Conceivably, this "folding" of the pyrrolidinone and the $\mathbf{L} \cdots \mathbf{L}$ ligands is sterically induced by nonbonding interactions between atoms in the secondary coordination sphere (Table 4.7), and, if preserved in the solution-phase structures, it may play a significant role in inducing the initial preference for the $c i s$-isomers as the kinetically favored products (vide infra).

Table 4.7. Selected Non-bonded Interactions in Palladapyrrolidinones ( $\pm$ )-4.40 - ( $\pm$ )4.42

| Complex | ( $\pm$ )-4.40 | ( $\pm$-4.40 | ( $\pm$ )-4.41 | ( $\pm$ )-4.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L-L | TMEDA | TMEDA | dppe | bipy |
| isomer | trans | cis | cis | cis |
| Contact |  | Distanc | ( $\AA$ ) |  |
| H11B $\cdots$ | 2.36 | 2.39 | 2.35 | 2.37 |
| H11A $\cdots$ C18 | 2.60 | 2.73 | 2.71 | 2.72 |
| $\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Cl2}$ | 2.89 | 2.66 | 2.95 | 3.02 |
| O $\cdots$ C11 | 2.793 | 2.787 | 2.771 | 2.772 |
| O $\cdots$ C5 | 2.997 | 2.926 | 2.901 | 2.925 |
| H27B...C18 | 2.71 | $2.70^{a}$ |  |  |
| H27B..C23 | 2.79 | $2.62{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| H25 $\cdots$ - ${ }^{\text {H }}$ |  |  |  | 2.24 |
| H34 $\cdots$ C10 |  |  |  | 2.66 |
| H6 $\cdots$ H19 |  |  |  | 2.19 |
| H6 $\cdots$ C19 |  |  |  | 2.70 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Contact is $\mathrm{H} 28 \mathrm{c} \cdots{ }^{\cdots} \mathrm{C} 18$ and $\mathrm{H} 28 \mathrm{c} \cdots{ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{C} 23$, respectively |  |  |  |  |

The data in Table 4.7 suggest that each of the observed solid-state structures shown in Figures $4.8-4.11$ contains non-bonded contacts between the $\mathbf{L} \cdots \mathbf{L}$ and pyrrolidinone ligands, defined by distances between the atoms that are significantly
shorter than the van der Waals radii ${ }^{190}(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}, 2.40 \AA, \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}, 2.60 \AA, \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{C}, 3.10 \AA$, and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{C}, 2.90 \AA$ ). These contacts indicate a common conformation for the pyrrolidinone ligand near carbon $\mathrm{C}(4)$ when it is coordinated to two adjacent squareplanar coordination sites on the palladium center. They are also consistent with a unique 'steric footprint' for the benzyl substituent in these systems. Thus, the benzyl substituent likely has little freedom of rotation about the $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ and/or $\mathrm{C}(11)$ C(12) bonds.

The planar nature of the palladapyrrolidinone ring contributes to the rigidity of the structures and thus the small difference in energies between the cis and trans diastereomers, as evidenced by equilibration experiments summarized in Tables 4.5 and 4.6. The X-ray structures for the cis $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ as well as the trans $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ palladacycle (Figures 4.9 and 4.11) do not show the folding phenomenon. For trans $( \pm)-4.40$ bearing the TMEDA ligand, this likely reflects a slight decrease in steric interactions. For cis $( \pm)-4.42$ bearing the bipy ligand, this lack of folding suggests that a possible reason for the greater sensitivity to the reaction conditions as well as slightly higher energy difference between cis and trans diastereomers for ( $\pm$ )-4.42 vs. $( \pm)-4.41$ is due to the steric bulk of the bipy ligand being further away from the stereocenters.

### 4.5 The Effect of Chiral Non-Racemic Ligands on Diastereoselectivity of Ring Closure in the Synthesis of Palladapyrrolidinones

Having observed that the TMEDA and dppe ligands gave the cis isomer preferentially under the reaction conditions (1.1 equiv. $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOK}, \mathrm{THF}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.)
we wished to further explore the role of the ligand in the system by utilizing a chiral non-racemic $C_{2}$-symmetric ligand to influence formation of the second stereocenter.

We wished to explore the influence of a chiral non-racemic ligand on both the selectivity of the Pd-C bond formation after deprotonation as well as equilibration. We chose ( $S, S$ )-CHIRAPHOS initially because we believed it would likely give a tight 5-member chelate ring with the palladium center and give the greatest chance for us to observe either a significant increase (matched pair) or a significant decrease (mismatched pair) in the diastereoselectivity in the formation of the second stereocenter. ${ }^{131}$ We hypothesized that we could synthesize the complexes bearing the $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS in an analogous fashion to the reactions with dppe to form cationic complex $( \pm)-4.39$ and palladapyrrolidinone $( \pm)-4.41$. This proved to be the case. Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 was reacted with 2.0 equivalents of $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS in DCM at room temperature to afford a high yield of complex 4.45 as a yellow solid in $1: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ (Scheme 4.19).

Scheme 4.19


Complex $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}$ was then reacted in the same fashion as cationic complexes $4.37-4.39$ $\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, 1.1\right.$ equiv. $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOK}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.) to give a mixture of the four possible diastereomers 4.46a, 4.46b, 4.46c, and 4.46d in 91\% combined yield (Scheme 4.20). Diastereomers 4.46a and 4.46b represent the "cis" diastereomers, while 4.46c and
4.46d represent the "trans" diastereomers. The ratio of 4.46a $: 4.46 \mathrm{~b}: \mathbf{4 . 4 6 c}: \mathbf{4 . 4 6 d}$ was $5.9: 7.3: 2.0 .1 .0$ (spectrum $B$ in Figure 4.13 ), and the cis $(4.46 \mathbf{a}+4.46 \mathbf{b})$ to trans $(\mathbf{4 . 4 6 c}+\mathbf{4 . 4 6 d})$ ratio was $81: 19$. Assignments of absolute configurations to particular isomers of $\mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ are completely arbitrary.

Scheme 4.20


The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum for this mixture of diastereomers was too complex to assign signals to the each diastereomer. However, the signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum were separated enough to assign signals to each diastereomer. In order to establish the ratios of the diastereomers of 4.46 in the reaction mixture, a standard sample with known ratios of diastereomers had to be prepared. Based on our earlier studies, we hypothesized that if we reacted a sample of $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ bearing the TMEDA ligand with a known diastereomeric ratio with ( $S, S$ )-CHIRAPHOS, we would be able to isolate a known ratio of diastereomers $4.46 \mathbf{- 4 . 4 6 d}$. This was also successful. When we
reacted a $91: 9$ cis : trans mixture of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 4 . 4 0}$ bearing the TMEDA ligand with 2.0 equivalents of $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS in DCM at room temperature we isolated an $83 \%$ yield of 4.46a-4.46d with a cis: trans ratio of $91: 9$ (Scheme 4.21). For the reaction described in Scheme 4.21, the major sets of signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum were assigned to the cis diastereomers of 4.46, and the minor sets of signals to the trans diastereomers of 4.46.

## Scheme 4.21



The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of $4.46 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{4 . 4 6 d}$ from the ring closure reaction (labeled $\mathbf{B}$ in Figure 4.13) in addition to the known mixture (labeled as $\mathbf{A}$ in Figure 4.13) are shown in Figure 4.13.


Figure 4.13. ${ }^{3 l} P$ NMR (162 MHz) Spectra of 4.46a - 4.46d Formed via Ligand Exchange from Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 (A) and That Formed From Ring Closure of 4.45 (B).

As can be seen in Figure 4.13, spectrum B shows that the ring closure reaction generated the four diastereomers in different amounts, allowing for differentiation of signals for 4.46a-4.46d, while spectrum A shows which sets of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signals correspond to the "cis" and "trans" diastereomers, 4.46a and 4.46b vs. 4.46c and 4.46d, respectively. It can also be seen that only two of the eight signals overlap, allowing for a clear differentiation of signals.

Reasoning that the additional stereocenters on the ( $S, S$ )-CHIRAPHOS ligand could rigidify the structure and allow for calculation of the coupling constants for the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$
signals, we subjected a $91: 9$ mixture of $4.46 \mathrm{a}+\mathbf{4 . 4 6 b}: \mathbf{4 . 4 6 c}+\mathbf{4 . 4 6 d}$ to variable temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR from $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ (Figure 4.14). Unfortunately, no sharpening of the signals was observed at temperatures in this range.


Figure 4.14 ${ }^{31} P$ NMR (162 MHz) Spectra of Complex 4.46a - 4.46d From $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain X-ray quality crystals of complex 4.46 and thus unable to precisely assign particular chemical shifts to absolute configurations. We also tested the stability of the relatively acidic stereocenter by subjecting a sample of 4.46 with dr of $81: 19$ "cis : trans" to 1.1 equivalents of $t$-BuOK in THF for 3 h at room temperature. A 75\% yield of dr 57: 43"cis : trans" was recovered (Scheme 4.22), indicating that the trans diastereomers of $\mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ are the more stable products.

## Scheme 4.22



We also wished to isolate cationic complex 4.45 or palladapyrrolidinone 4.46 in an improved diastereomeric ratio to try to assign signals to particular stereoisomers. In order to accomplish this, we attempted kinetic resolutions involving complexes ( $\pm$ )4.37 and $( \pm)-4.40$ However, when we reacted both $( \pm)-4.37$ and a highly enriched sample of $( \pm)$-cis-4.40 both bearing a TMEDA ligand with substoichiometric amounts of $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS at room temperature in DCM, we observed little to no selectivity in crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the mixtures of diastereomers 4.46 and $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}$ (Figures 4.15 and 4.16).



Figure 4.15. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR Spectrum ( 400 MHz ) of Crude Product After Reaction of Complex 4.40 with 40 mol \% (S,S)-CHIRAPHOS.

Integration of the signals for one of the benzylic protons in the $N$-benzyl group at $3.40 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ for the cis complex $( \pm)-4.40$, and the two signals for benzylic protons in the $N$-benzyl group at $3.54 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and at $3.47 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ for the two diastereomers of the cis complexes 4.46a and 4.46 b in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the crude product isolated from the described experiment was used to establish the ratios of the unreacted complex ( $\pm$ )4.40 to the newly formed complexes $4.46 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{4 . 4 6 b}$, as well as the ratios of the two diastereomers 4.46a : 4.46b. The reaction with $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS afforded the ratio $4.40: 4.46 a, b=55: 45$ and the ratio $4.46 a: 4.46 b=1: 1$. The
experimental data (e.g. $55: 45$ ratio of $4.40: \mathbf{4 . 4 6 a}, \mathbf{4 . 4 6 b}$ ) may reflect the limitation in the precision of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR technique.

( $\pm$ ) 4.37


DCM, rt, 3.5 h

of crude mixtures)

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}6.5 & 6.4 & 6.3 & 6.2 & 6.1 & 6.0 & 5.9 & 5.8 & 5.7 & 5.6 & 5.5 & 5.4 & 5.3 & 5.2 & 5.1 & 5.0 & 4.9 & 4.8 & 4.7 & 4.6 & 4.5 & 4.4 & 4.3 & 4.2 & 4.1 & 4.0 & 3.9 & 3.8 & 3.7 & 3.6 & 3.5 & 3.4 & 3.3 & 3.2 & 3.1 & 3.0\end{array}$

Figure 4.16. ${ }^{l} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz) Spectrum of the Crude Product From Reaction of Complex 4.37 with 10, 20, and $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS.

Integration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals for a proton in the backbone of the TMEDA ligand at $3.16 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{td}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ of the racemic complex 4.37 and the signals for aromatic protons at $6.31 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{br}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$
and $6.14 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d} \mathrm{br}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ in the two diastereomers of the complexes 4.45a and 4.45b respectively in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the crude products isolated from these experiments was used to establish the ratios of the unreacted complex $( \pm)-4.37$ to the newly formed complexes $4.45 \mathrm{a}, 4.45 \mathrm{~b}$, as well as the ratios of the two diastereomers $4.45 \mathrm{a}: 4.45 \mathrm{~b}$. The ratios of $( \pm)-4.37: 4.45 \mathrm{a}, 4.45 \mathrm{~b}$ indicated that a complete conversion of the $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS ligand to the complexes 4.45a and 4.45b occurred. The results are summarized in Table 4.8.

Table 4.8. Results From ${ }^{1} H$ NMR Analysis of Crude Products from the Reaction of Complex (土)-4.37 with 10, 20, and 40 mol\% (S,S)-CHIRAPHOS

|  | mol\% (S,S)- <br> CHIRAPHOS | Integration of $( \pm)-4.37$ | Integration <br> of $\mathbf{4 . 4 5 a}(\mathrm{H})$ | Integration of 4.45b(H) | $\begin{gathered} ( \pm)-4.37: \\ (4.45 a+ \\ 4.45 b) \end{gathered}$ | 4.45a <br> 4.45b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 10 | 10.31 | 1.00 | 1.22 | $90: 10$ | $55: 45$ |
| 2 | 20 | 4.67 | 1.00 | 1.42 | $79: 21$ | 58:42 |
| 3 | 40 | 1.96 | 1.00 | 1.45 | $62: 38$ | $58: 42$ |

Figures 4.15 and 4.16 indicate that little difference exists in the reaction rates of one enantiomer over the other in reactions of both palladapyrrolidinone $( \pm)$-4.40 and its precursor $( \pm)-4.37$, both with a TMEDA ligand. We also screened the following ligands to ensure we had the best result with common chiral non-racemic ligands with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetry: $(S, S)$-DIOP, $(S)$-BINAP, and $(S, S)$-BDPP. Before we could utilize a ligand for comparison, we needed to establish that the ligand could
exchange with the cationic complex to give the necessary precursor for ring closure. We also needed to ensure that the signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra of the corresponding pyrrolidinones could be used for the calculation of the dr of the products as well as ensure a complete conversion of the ligand exchange of $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ bearing the TMEDA ligand with the chiral ligands to be able to assign ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR signals for the "cis" and "trans" diastereomers as done for 4.46. Therefore, we ran two different types of experiments in NMR tubes. The first experiment was a ligand exchange reaction of cationic complex $( \pm)-4.37$ bearing the TMEDA ligand with the desired chiral ligand in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. The second experiment was a ligand exchange of complex $( \pm)-4.40$ with desired the chiral ligand in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. A successful result in the reactions of the chiral ligand with the cationic complex $( \pm)-4.37$ would be a complete exchange of the chiral ligand for the TMEDA ligand, with no starting material present in the crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. If the ligand does not completely exchange with TMEDA, then the new complex cannot be used as the starting material for cyclization because it would not necessarily be isolated as a 1 : 1 mixture of diastereomers. In the second case, a complete exchange with $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ is also necessary so that we may determine the dr via the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra in a procedure similar to that of complex 4.46. Finally, the signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum must be separated enough to calculate the dr of the reactions.

Complex $( \pm)-4.37$ and the desired chiral ligand were dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred to an NMR tube, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded periodically. For the chiral ligands $(S, S)$-DIOP and $(S, S)$-BDPP, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra showing complete
conversion of the starting material to the corresponding phosphine complex were recorded after 3 hours. For the chiral ligand (S)-BINAP, the reaction was still incomplete after 24 h . The spectra are shown in Figure 4.17.



Figure 4.17. In situ ${ }^{I} H$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) Recorded After Reactions of 4.37 with $(S, S)$ BDPP, $(S, S)$-DIOP, and (S)-BINAP to Generate 4.47, 4.48, and 4.49, Respectively.

We also performed ligand exchange experiments with complex 4.40. Complex 4.40 and the desired chiral ligand ( 2.0 equiv.) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. For $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ bearing the $(S, S)$-BDPP ligand and $\mathbf{4 . 5 1}$ bearing the $(S, S)$-DIOP ligand a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) spectrum indicating complete conversion was recorded after 3 hours. For 4.52 bearing the ( $S$ )-BINAP ligand, seven hours elapsed before ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) showed complete conversion (Figure 4.18).


Figure 4.18. In situ ${ }^{I} H$ NMR (400 MHz) Spectra Recorded After Reaction of $( \pm)-4.40$ with the Chiral Ligands $(S, S)-B D P P,(S, S)-D I O P$, and $(S)-B I N A P$ to Generate 4.50, 4.51, and 4.52 Respectively.

As the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for the reactions of $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ with the chiral ligands $(S, S)$ BDPP, ( $S, S$ )-DIOP, and ( $S$ )-BINAP showed completion, we also obtained ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra ( 162 MHz ) for the three experiments. The spectra are shown in Figure 4.19.


Figure 4.19. In situ ${ }^{31} P$ NMR Spectrum Recorded After Reaction of $( \pm)-4.40$ With the Chiral Ligands (S,S)-BDPP, (S,S)-DIOP, and (S)-BINAP to Generate Complexes 4.50, 4.51, and 4.52, Respectively.

Unfortunately, the spectra were not resolved enough to allow the assignment of cis and trans diastereomers. The in situ NMR data shown above in Figures 4.17-4.19 indicate that the $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS ligand was the only ligand of the four tested that proved useful for measuring the effect of double diastereodifferentiation on the
formation of a second stereocenter when stereocenters are present both on a carbon adjacent to the palladium center as well as in the auxiliary ligand sphere.

### 4.6 A Model for Diastereoselectivity

The previous sections of Chapter Four have shown that the palladapyrrolidinone synthesis generally favors the cis diastereomers under the reaction conditions, while under thermal or basic isomerization conditions, the trans diastereomers become predominant. We also observed that the complexes bearing chiral non-racemic ligand ( $S, S$ )-CHIRAPHOS afforded a ratio of cis to trans diastereomers similar to that of the dppe ligand. This observation intrigued us, as $C_{2}$-symmetric chiral non-racemic ligands often lead to high levels of stereoselectivity due to their fewer reactive conformations versus non $C_{2}$-symmetric chiral nonracemic ligands. ${ }^{188,191}$ We wished to propose a mechanism that accounts for the discrepancy between the initial expectation of observing either a reinforcement or degradation of the cis : trans selectivity when $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS was used as a ligand, the consistent levels of diastereoselectivity for the TMEDA and dppe ligands, and the more variable levels of selectivity in the bipy cases.

When attempting to rationalize these results, two issues arise. The first involves how the Pd-C bond is formed and the second involves which isomers of the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-acetal moiety are generated. For the cases of the TMEDA and dppe ligated species, we observed similar diastereoselectivities in each case, regardless of the choice of the base. For the TMEDA cases, we observed selectivities in the range of $95: 5$ to $88: 12$ cis : trans, and for the dppe cases, we observed selectivities in the
range of $77: 23$ to $72: 28$ cis : trans. Based on these observations, we conclude that it is possible that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Pd}$ enolate is reacting through only one isomer (i.e., the E or Z isomer), although other possibilities cannot be ruled out.

If we assume that the reactive conformation will be one that has a C-H bond perpendicular to the plane of the chelated amide in order to achieve the greatest overlap and be most acidic, ${ }^{192}$ we can draw four plausible conformations (A - D, shown for TMEDA ligand, Scheme 4.23). It should be noted that the chelated amide ring is planar.

Scheme 4.23


A
to (O)-Z enolate


C
to (O)-E enolate


B
to (O)-Z enolate


D
to (O)-E enolate

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NMe}_{2} \text { or } \mathrm{PPh}_{2}
$$

If the secondary ligand sphere (constituting all of the atoms of the auxiliary ligand that are not directly bound to palladium) is the largest steric factor, we can rationalize that the deprotonation is occurring through conformations C or D because conformations A and B are destabilized by steric factors, giving the ( O )-E enolate.

Once the enolate is formed, C-Pd bond formation can then occur. The precise nature of the interaction between the palladium and the oxygen is unknown, but we believe it more likely that a structure like $\mathbf{A}$ in Scheme 4.24 is the intermediate on the way to palladapyrrolidinones $( \pm)-4.40-( \pm)-4.42$, although ionic intermediate $\mathbf{B}$ cannot be excluded. Structure A exhibits significant allylic strain; however, the other geometric isomer may be less favored due to interactions with the secondary ligand sphere of the auxiliary ligands of the palladium center.

## Scheme 4.24



A continuum


B

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{L}} \equiv \begin{gathered}
\text { TMEDA } 4.40 \\
\text { dppe } 4.41 \\
\text { bipy } 4.42
\end{gathered}
$$

We hypothesize that in the cases of the TMEDA and dppe ligated species, the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{E})$ -O-Pd enolate, once formed, can then rotate clockwise or counterclockwise to give the cis and trans diastereomers, respectively. We conclude that the aforementioned 'folding' (section 4.4.2, page 124 ) of the ligand sphere makes the clockwise rotation during the $\eta^{1}-\eta^{3}-\eta^{1}$ shift the lower energy pathway to give the cis
palladapyrrolidinones cis $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$, cis $( \pm)-4.41,4.46 \mathrm{a}$, and $\mathbf{4 . 4 6 \mathrm { b }}$ as major products due to unfavorable steric interactions with the ligand sphere in the rotation to give the trans products (Scheme 4.25). The benzyl group may already be 'locked' into place (see Section 4.4.2) and may not move much during the formation of the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ bond.

## Scheme 4.25



However, it should be noted that as we have not directly observed any intermediates along the reaction course, that pathways involving mixtures of enolate isomers or only the $\mathrm{O}(Z)-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Pd}$ enolate cannot be ruled out. The precise mechanism of stereoinduction awaits further study.

For the bipy cationic complex $( \pm)-4.42$, there is no 'fold' angle in the bipy ligand as seen from the X-Ray data. This indicates that the secondary ligand sphere is much less involved in the diastereoinduction, and that the initially formed stereocenter can exert little influence through the ligand sphere as in the formation of the palladapyrrolidinones bearing the TMEDA, dppe, and ( $S, S$ )-CHIRAPHOS ligands. It also indicates that both the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{E})$ and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Z})$-enolates may be viable intermediates in this case since the flat bipyridyl ligand conceivably provides less steric hindrance during the deprotonation step.

In summary, we have successfully synthesized and characterized the first examples of palladapyrrolidinones, endocyclic Pd -amide enolates bearing two Pd bonded stereogenic carbons. We observed that the diastereoselectivity can vary quite greatly depending on the ligand, from as high as $95: 5$ cis : trans in the case of TMEDA, to as little as ( $42: 58$ cis : trans) in the case of the bipy ligand. We observed a surprising lack of double diastereodifferentiation when utilizing the chiral non-racemic ligand $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS in the synthesis. Based in part on X-Ray crystallographic data, we proposed a mechanism that we believe accounts for the preference for the cis diastereomers in the products when the ligands are TMEDA, dppe, or $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS as well as for the lack of selectivity in the case where the ligand is $2,2^{\prime}$ '-bipyridyl. The proposed mechanism also accounts for the surprising lack of double diastereodifferentiation when $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS was utilized.

## Chapter Five

Future Work

### 5.1 Solid-Phase Synthesis

With the failure of polymer-bound palladacycles 3.1 to give separable products via MDF protocols, one solution would be to improve the protocol for the insertion reaction on solid-phase. The synthesis of polymer-bound palladacycles 3.7 to $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ used to explore the synthesis of $2 H-1$ benzopyrans gave reproducible levels of loading vs. the molar $\mathrm{P}: \mathrm{Pd}$ ratio during loading. In contrast, the loading of palladacycles 3.31-3.34 gave consistently lower results (1:2.5-1:2.8) within experimental error. The resin utilized during the initial experiments by Mr. Atsushi Shiota and Dr. Lei Zhang had a loading of $1: 2.0$. While we find it unlikely that the cause of the lower loading is predominantly from oxidation of the polymer-bound triphenylphosphine ligand, this possibility has not been rigorously ruled out.

The electron-withdrawing ability of the triflate group as measured by the acidity of phenol ${ }^{193}$ vs. $N$-phenylmethanesulfonamide ${ }^{194}$ vs. $N$-phenyl triflamide ${ }^{194}$ (Figure 5.1) could also adversely effect the reaction. due to the resulting reduced electron density at the palladium center.



$\mathrm{pKa}=\mathbf{1 0 . 0}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \quad \mathrm{pKa}=\mathbf{8 . 8 5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \quad \mathrm{pKa}=\mathbf{4 . 4 5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$
Figure 5.1. pKa Values (in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) for Phenol, N -phenylmethanesulfonamide, and N phenyltriflamide, Respectively

It can be envisioned that the triflate group on the nitrogen atom would adversely impact the co-ordination of the alkyne, as well as the rates of other steps such as
reductive elimination, multiple insertion, and oligomerization/polymerization processes, potentially leading to lower yields and purities particularly when a significant excess of alkyne is used as a reactant. While the analogous solution-phase reactions with the aza-palladacycles are quite similar to the oxa-palladacycles, steps in the formation of 1,2-dihydroquinolines may be slowed down on solid phase, leading to undesirable side products (Scheme 5.1).

## Scheme 5.1



A third obstacle to be overcome is the inability of the mass spectrometer to detect the molecular ion of the 1,2-dihydroquinolines discussed in Chapter Three. Two main routes could give improvement to the library synthesis. First, different reaction conditions could be found for the loading reaction, potentially including a study similar to that performed in Section 3.1 to determine how the structure of the palladacycle effects the reactivity of the polymer-bound aza-palladacycles. Second, the $N$-protecting group could be systematically changed from triflate to a group that would 1) improve the loading of palladium onto the resin, thus improving the purity
and/or yield of the reaction and/or 2) improve the likelihood that the mass spectrometer will detect and separate the desired compound from the crude product.

Experiments to expand the scope of the $N$-protecting group could both lead to fundamental insights about the reaction as well as an improvement in the yields of 1,2-dihydroquinolines both in solid phase and solution phase reactions. Potential N protecting groups would include alkyl and aryl groups, as well as other carbonyl derivatives.

### 5.2 Palladapyrrolidinones

As noted in Chapter Four, the palladapyrrolidinone system can provide a great model for the formation of a new stereogenic center in relation to a pre-existing stereocenter. Also of interest is what occurs when the complex is induced to undergo reductive elimination. As there are two stereogenic centers in the molecule, the cis and trans $\beta$-lactams formed from 1,1-reductive elimination would be easily distinguishable by the coupling constants of the protons on the stereocenters. ${ }^{195}$ Two ways to induce reductive elimination in palladium(II) complexes are generating a three-coordinate T or Y -shaped intermediate ${ }^{137}$ by using a mono-dentate ligand and removing electron density from the palladium center, such as through oxidation. ${ }^{112,}$ 136, 196-198

We attempted to induce reductive elimination of complexes ( $\pm$ )-4.40 bearing the TMEDA ligand and $( \pm)-4.42$ bearing the bipy ligand in one of three ways. First, we removed electron-density from the palladium center by reacting the complex with an excess of maleic anhydride. Second, we attempted to generate a $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{IV})$
intermediate by oxidation with molecular iodine. Finally, we generated a potentially more labile $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ phosphine complex in situ by reaction of $( \pm)-4.40$ with an excess of triphenylphosphine.

The only product we were able to isolate and identify from the reactions was the known lactam $( \pm)-5.1,{ }^{199}$ obtained via reaction of palladacycle $( \pm)-4.42$ with an excess of maleic anhydride in refluxing toluene. The reaction is shown in Scheme 5.2.

## Scheme 5.2



The result from Scheme 5.2 suggests that a simple 1,1-reductive elimination may not be operating due to fact that the diastereomeric ratio in the palladacycles is not faithfully transferred to the product, although this cannot be ruled out. Alternative mechanisms include the following three possibilities. First, a 1,1-reductive elimination followed by an isomerization of the $\beta$-lactam products could be occurring. The second possibility involves an isomerization of complex $( \pm)-4.42$ before the reductive elimination process, after which the products may or may not isomerize further. The fourth possibility is that the palladium is not truly mediating the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation. A look at the structures of $( \pm)-4.40$ and $( \pm)-4.42$ reveals that they are organometallic analogs of 1,1-dioxo-4-thiazolidinones, which are known to undergo extrusion of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ to give $\beta$-lactams. ${ }^{200,201}$

Due to the steric congestion around the palladium center, reductive elimination could be significantly slowed down. This would allow $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ extrusion to be a competitive process, giving intermediates (such as $\mathbf{A}$ in Scheme 5.3) similar to those proposed in the classical Staudinger $\beta$-lactam synthesis. Alternatively, the extrusion could be stepwise, leading to an intermediate (structure B in Scheme 5.3) which could also explain the formation of the trans product (Scheme 5.3).

## Scheme 5.3



Near the end of the work described in Chapter Four, we were able to isolate a highly enriched sample of cis-( $\pm$ )-4.40. The ability to isolate the highly enriched cis diastereomer will allow for the synthesis of other diastereoenriched cis complexes with other ligands as well as monitor the effect of reaction conditions on the yield and
cis : trans ratio of $\beta$-lactam $( \pm)-5.1, \quad$ generating additional insights into the mechanism of the reductive elimination process, which is still unclear at this time.

With the ability to independently change the structure of both aryl groups on the stereogenic carbons, opportunities can be seen for potentially monitoring the cis : trans ratio of the palladapyrrolidinones as a function of aryl substituents on the para position of the aromatic rings. The use of imines that are chiral on the $N$-substituent would allow another probe into how a stereogenic center farther away affects the diastereoselectivity of the process. In addition, the relative ease of $\beta$-lactam formation as well as other organic products could also be seen in relation to the structure of the aryl groups.

Another interesting change to the structure of the complexes would be to utilize pivaldehyde benzyl imine. The change in sterics and electronics provided by exchanging the $p$-tolyl group for the $t$-butyl group would provide for an interesting comparison study.

A distinctly different approach to utilizing the knowledge from Chapter Four would be to use different metals to generate yet different organometallic analogs for use in organic synthesis. Other metals could be used to generate late transition-metal analogs of palladapyrrolidinones which would have different properties than the known palladapyrrolidinones.

## Chapter Six

Experimental Section

## General Experimental

Unless otherwise indicated, all NMR data were collected at room temperature in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with internal $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, ( $\delta 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and 77.00 ppm for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(\delta 0 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ), and neat trifluoroacetic acid as an external reference for F spectra ( $\delta-76.55 \mathrm{ppm}$ for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ ). IR spectra were measured in thin films from DCM on salt ( NaCl ) plates. Melting points were taken in open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were measured under electrospray ionization (ES+) conditions. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on commercial Merck silica gel 60 plates, $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thickness, with fluorescent indicator (F-254) or stained with aqueous $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution. Column chromatography was performed with 32-63 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ silica gel (Sorbent). Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was freshly distilled from sodium/benzophenone. Acetonitrile and DCM were kept over $3 \AA$ (8-12 mesh) molecular sieves under an atmosphere of dry argon; other solvents were used as received. Unless otherwise specified, all reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of dry argon in oven dried (at least 6 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) glassware. (E)-N-(4-methylbenzylidene)-1-phenylmethanamine and (E)-4-methoxy- $N$-(4methylbenzylidene)aniline were made by heating a $1: 1$ mixture of benzylamine or $p$ anisidine and $p$-tolualdehyde with molecular sieves in refluxing benzene overnight, followed by filtration, removal of solvents under reduced pressure and then distillation to afford pure imines. Other materials were used as received from commercial suppliers.


## 3.1

Compound 3.1 was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{165}$ To a suspension of Tentagel S-NH2 $(1.084 \mathrm{~g}, 0.488 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 4 diphenylphosphinobenzoic acid $(0.483 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.575 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-ethyl-3-(3'dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $0.467 \mathrm{~g}, 2.430 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and N Hydroxybenzotriazole ( $0.435 \mathrm{~g}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the suspension allowed to stir for 24 $h$ at room temperature. The resin was then filtered and washed with DMF (5 $\times 20$ $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{DCM}(8 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then dried under reduced pressure to afford the product $(1.167 \mathrm{~g})$ as a light yellow spongy solid.


## 3.6

Compound 3.6 was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{202} \mathrm{~A}$ solution of (p-diphenylphosphino)benzoic acid (6.00 g, 19.6 mmol$)$ and diisopropylcarbodiimide ( $1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 9.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h under argon. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was dissolved in DMF ( 30 mL ). The resulting DMF solution was added to a
pre-swelled solution of Wang Resin ( $3.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{g}, 3.91 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}$ ) in DMF ( 60 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt under argon for 1 h . Solid DMAP ( $0.239 \mathrm{~g}, 1.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the stirring was continued for additional 39 h at rt . The crude reaction mixture was filtered, and the solid was washed successively with THF, DCM, DMF, THF, DCM ( 100 mL each) to afford resin $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ $(4.221 \mathrm{~g})$ as an off-white solid: $2.75 \%$ mass $\mathrm{P}(0.887 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}$ of resin $)$. Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume/dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $5.3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$.

## General Procedure for the Preparation of Immobilized Palladacycles 3.7-3.18



Polymer-bound Palladacycles 3.7-3.18
A suspension of the phosphine resins $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ - $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ (equivalent to $1.6-3.1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P}$ ) in the solution of the palladacycle $3.2(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( $10-33 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd}$ ) was stirred for the initial time period (5-19 h) at rt under argon. Volatile components were removed under reduced pressure ( $1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}, 1-5 \mathrm{~h}$ ). Fresh THF ( $10-15 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ Pd ) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred for the second time period (521 h ). In some cases, the cycle of stirring and evacuation was repeated as indicated. The suspension was filtered, and the resin was washed successively with methanol
$(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, DCM (20 mL) and diethyl ether (20 mL ), and dried under reduced pressure ( 1 mmHg ) to afford immobilized palladacycles 3.7 - $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ as yellow powdery solids. The reaction yields were calculated as $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of Pd present in the isolated immobilized palladacycle per mmol of palladacycle 3.2 used. The resins were characterized by the analyses (ICP) of P and Pd content, infrared spectroscopy and swelling capacity in 1,2-dichloroethane. The combined filtrates were evaporated, and the resulting crude extracts were analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to establish the content of any unreacted palladacycle 3.2. Palladacycle 3.7. Treatment of resin $3.3(0.670 \mathrm{~g}, 9.50 \% \mathrm{P}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 2.05$ $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ and palladacycle $3.2(0.401 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, repeating the stirring/evacuation cycle 5 times, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 2a ( $0.715 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $10.03 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 5.73 \%$ mass P ; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 1707 (m); Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume/dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): 3.2. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.038 \mathrm{~g})$ contained only traces of palladacycle 3.2. Palladacycle 3.8. Treatment of resin $3.3(0.670 \mathrm{~g}, 9.50 \% \mathrm{P}, 3.06 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 2.05$ $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ and palladacycle $3.2(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, repeating the stirring/evacuation cycle 4 times, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.8 ( $0.806 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $9.21 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 6.12 \%$ mass P ; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 1705 (m); Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume/dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $2.9 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates contained significant quantities of palladacycle 3.2.

Palladacycle 3.9. Treatment of resin $3.4(0.670 \mathrm{~g}, 9.34 \% \mathrm{P}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 2.02$ $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ and palladacycle $3.2(0.401 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.9 ( 0.824 g , $71 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $9.15 \%$ mass Pd, $6.78 \%$ mass P; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $1705(\mathrm{~m})$; Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume $/$ dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $3.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.104 \mathrm{~g})$ contained approximately $95 \%$ of unreacted palladacycle 3.2 ( $25 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ of Pd ).

Palladacycle 3.10. Treatment of resin $3.4(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 9.34 \% \mathrm{P}, 3.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 3.04$ mmol P) and palladacycle $3.2(0.403 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method afforded the immobilized palladacycle $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ ( $1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $8.41 \%$ mass Pd, $7.49 \%$ mass P; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1705(\mathrm{~m})$; Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume $/$ dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $3.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.023 \mathrm{~g}$ ) contained only traces of unreacted palladacycle 3.2.

Palladacycle 3.11. Treatment of resin $3.5(1.250 \mathrm{~g}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{P}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.69$ mmol P ) and palladacycle $3.2(0.3996 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, repeating the stirring/evaporation cycle 5 times, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.11 ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $7.05 \%$ mass Pd , $3.55 \%$ mass P . The material in the combined filtrates $(0.048 \mathrm{~g})$ contained approximately $95 \%$ of unreacted palladacycle 3.2 ( $12 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ of Pd ).

Palladacycle 3.12. Treatment of resin $3.5(1.250 \mathrm{~g}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{P}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.69$ mmol P) and palladacycle $3.2(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.12 ( 1.190 g , $71 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $6.30 \%$ mass Pd, $3.51 \%$ mass P. Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume/dry weight,
$\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}): 3.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates ( 0.042 g ) contained approximately $95 \%$ of palladacycle 3.2 ( $11 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ of Pd ).

Palladacycle 3.13. Treatment of resin $3.5(1.878 \mathrm{~g}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{P}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 2.53$ mmol P) and palladacycle $1(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.13 ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $4.53 \%$ mass Pd, $2.84 \%$ mass P; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1716 (m); Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume $/$ dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $4.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.018 \mathrm{~g})$ contained approximately $85 \%$ of palladacycle $3.2(4.5 \% \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Pd})$.

Palladacycle 3.14. Treatment of resin $3.5(1.875 \mathrm{~g}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{P}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 2.53$ mmol P) and palladacycle $1(0.401 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, repeating the stirring/evacuation cycle 5 times, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.14 ( $1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $5.44 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 3.84 \%$ mass P ; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 1717 (m); Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume/dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $4.1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.018 \mathrm{~g})$ did not contain any remaining palladacycle 3.2.

Palladacycle 3.15. Treatment of resin $3.5(1.875 \mathrm{~g}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{P}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 2.53$ mmol P) and palladacycle $3.2(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.15 ( $2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 107 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $2.72 \%$ mass Pd, $4.81 \%$ mass P; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1715(\mathrm{~m})$; Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume $/$ dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $6.9 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.060 \mathrm{~g})$ did not contain any remaining palladacycle 3.2.

Palladacycle 3.16. Treatment of resin $3.5(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.18 \% \mathrm{P}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.69$ mmol P ) and palladacycle $3.2(0.400 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.16 (1.43 g, 97\%): ICP analyses: $7.26 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 3.63 \%$ mass P . The material in the combined filtrates $(0.044 \mathrm{~g})$ contained only traces of the remaining palladacycle 3.2.

Palladacycle 3.17. Treatment of resin $3.6(1.833 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \% \mathrm{P}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.631$ mmol P) and palladacycle $3.2(0.361 \mathrm{~g}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.17 ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $3.34 \%$ mass Pd, $2.54 \%$ mass P; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1717 (m); Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume/dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $6.4 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.127 \mathrm{~g})$ contained $>95 \%$ palladacycle $3.2(30 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ of Pd$)$.

Palladacycle 3.18. Treatment of resin $3.6(1.825 \mathrm{~g}, 2.75 \% \mathrm{P}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.624$ mmol P) and palladacycle $3.2(0.360 \mathrm{~g}, 0.900 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the described method, afforded the immobilized palladacycle 3.18 ( $1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ): ICP analyses: $3.35 \%$ mass Pd, $2.54 \%$ mass P; IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1717$ (m); Swelling Capacity in DCE: (swelled volume $/$ dry weight, $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ): $6.7 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$. The material in the combined filtrates $(0.122 \mathrm{~g})$ contained $>95 \%$ palladacycle $3.2(32 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ of Pd$)$.

Measurements of the Swelling Capacities by the Volumetric Method. A plunger, removed from a 1 mL plastic syringe (Henke Sass Wolf), was cut to remove the handle providing a tight plug for the syringe tip. The modified plunger was reinserted into the syringe body. The resulting assembly was attached vertically to a ring stand, and was used as a "micro" graduated cylinder. A sample of the dry polymer
(approximately 50 mg ) was inserted into the syringe, appropriate solvent was added $(0.5-0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the suspension was allowed to equilibrate for 1 h . Subsequently, the volume occupied by the swelled polymer was established utilizing the calibration of the syringe body. As indicated in Table 6.1, the majority of the resins floated in 1,2dichloroethane, and only a few of the high loading polymer samples sank to the bottom. The swelling capacities provided in Table 6.1, and Tables $3.1-3.4$ and 3.11 of Chapter Three correspond to the volume of the swelled polymer per 1 g of the dry resin. For comparison, this method was used to measure the swelling capacity of the Wang Resin ( $1.21 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{g}, 200-400 \mathrm{mesh}, 1 \%$ crosslinking) in THF. The measured value $(6.10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$, swelled volume per weight of dry resin) is an average of three (3) measurements. This result is in acceptable agreement with values available in the literature $(6.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{g}$ for HL-Wang resin $1.16 \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{g}) .{ }^{203}$

Table 6.1. Measurement of Swelling Capacities for Wang Resin, resins 3.3-3.6, polymer-bound palladacycles 3.7 - $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}$ - 3.34, and resin 3.90.

| Resin or <br> Polymer- <br> bound <br> Palladacycle | Solvent | mass of <br> dry resin <br> $(\mathrm{g})$ | volume of <br> swollen <br> resin (mL) | swelling <br> capacity <br> $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})$ | rounded/average <br> swelling capacity <br> $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{g})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wang | THF | 0.0584 | 0.35 | 5.993 |  |
|  |  | 0.0555 | 0.34 | 6.126 | 6.1 |
|  | DCE | 0.1049 | 0.0518 | 0.35 | 6.196 |
|  | DCE | 0.0551 | 0.25 | 4.791 | 5.537 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ | DCE | 0.0530 | 0.24 | 4.528 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ | DCE | 0.0457 | 0.26 | 5.689 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ | DCE | 0.0544 | 0.29 | 5.331 | 5.7 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ | DCE | 0.0524 | 0.27 | 5.153 |  |
|  | 0.0541 | 0.29 | 5.360 | 5.3 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ | DCE | 0.0530 | 0.17 | $3.207^{a}$ | 3.2 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ | DCE | 0.0549 | 0.16 | $2.914^{a}$ | 2.9 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ | DCE | 0.0547 | 0.20 | 3.656 | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ | DCE | 0.0517 | 0.19 | $3.675^{a}$ | 3.7 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ | DCE | 0.0550 | 0.20 | $3.636^{b}$ | 3.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ | DCE | 0.0574 | 0.24 | 4.181 | 4.2 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ | DCE | 0.0555 | 0.23 | 4.144 | 4.1 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}$ | DCE | 0.0531 | 0.37 | 6.967 | 7.0 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ | DCE | 0.0549 | 0.35 | 6.375 | 6.4 |
|  | DCE | 0.0533 | 0.34 | 6.379 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ | DCE | 0.0528 | 0.36 | 6.818 | 6.7 |
|  | DCE | 0.0555 | 0.37 | 6.667 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3 1}$ | DCE | 0.0516 | 0.24 | 4.651 | 4.7 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3 2}$ | DCE |  |  |  | $-c^{\text {c }}$ |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3 3}$ | DCE | 0.0557 | 0.21 | 3.770 | 3.8 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 3 4}$ | DCE | 0.0520 | 0.24 | 4.615 | 4.6 |
| $\mathbf{3 . 9 0}$ | DCE | 0.0506 | 0.34 | 6.719 | 6.7 |
| Resin sank in $1,2-$ dichloroethane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Resin partially sank, partially floated in $1,2-$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| dichloroethane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ density of resin | similar to solvent, unable | obtain reading |  |  |  |

## Procedure for Experiments in Tables 3.3 and 3.4

## General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2H-1-Benzopyrans 3.19 and 3.20 from

 Immobilized Palladacycles $3.7-3.15,3.17$, and 3.18.
3.19

3.20

To a suspension of the immobilized palladacycles 3.7 - 3.15, 3.17, and 3.18. ( 0.100 0.175 mmol of total Pd ) in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 6.0 mL ) was added neat dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate ( $2.6-5.2 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv). The suspension was stirred for 3 h at the indicated temperature $\left(40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ or $\left.80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ under argon. The reaction mixture was filtered, the resin was washed successively with methanol ( 20 mL ), methylene chloride (20 mL), methanol ( 20 mL ), methylene chloride ( 20 mL ) and diethyl ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried under high vacuum to afford the recovered resins as yellow to dark orange powders. The filtrate and resin washes were combined, solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica, eluting with ether/hexane (1:6) or ethyl acetate/hexane (1:4) to afford benzopyran $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ accompanied in some cases by smaller amounts of chromatographically inseparable regioisomeric benzopyran 3.20, as colorless or light yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)(\mathbf{3 . 1 9}: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=3: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ (td, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (dd. $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $0.75 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5$
H), $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 0.75), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.75 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.25 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)(\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ : $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}=$ $3: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $) \delta(171.1), 168.4,166.3,(165.2), 163.6,(162.5), 153.5,(151.6)$, (148.8), 137.8, (135.9), 133.1, (129.4), (128.8), 126.6, (125.5), 122.6, 117.8, (117.1), (116.7), 117.4, 117.1, 71.1, (62.6), 61.9, (52.9), 52.8, 52.6, (52.3), (40.9), 13.9 (signals for the minor regioisomer $\mathbf{6}$ are given in parentheses); IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (3.19 : $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}=3: 1$ mol ratio) $1760(\mathrm{~m}), 1736(\mathrm{~m}), 1723(\mathrm{~s})$.

Experiment from entry 1, Table 3.3. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.7 ( 0.1007 g , $10.03 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.0949 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.055 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.450 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.7$ equiv) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.005 \mathrm{~g}$, $17 \%, 3.19: 3.20=5: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a colorless oil, and the recovered resin $(0.100 \mathrm{~g})$ as a yellow solid.

Experiment from entry 2, Table 3.3. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.11 (0.2025 $\mathrm{g}, 7.05 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.6$ equiv) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.015 \mathrm{~g}$, $35 \%$, $3.19: 3.20=22: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.189 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish-brown solid.

Experiment from entry 3, Table 3.3. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.17 ( 0.4026 $\mathrm{g}, 3.34 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.127 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic
separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.035 \mathrm{~g}$, $87 \%$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 9 : 3 . 2 0}=20: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered $\operatorname{resin}(0.3755 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange solid.

Experiment from entry 4, Table 3.3. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.17 (0.4018 $\mathrm{g}, 3.34 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded a pure benzopyran $3.19(0.033 \mathrm{~g}$, $81 \%)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.3755 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange solid.

Experiment from entry 1, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin $3.7(0.1007 \mathrm{~g}$, $10.03 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.0949 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.055 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.450 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.7$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded a pure benzopyran $3.19(0.015 \mathrm{~g}$, $49 \%)$ as a light yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.046 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish brown solid. Experiment from entry 2, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.8 ( 0.2018 g , $9.21 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.110 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.894 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.1$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded a pure benzopyran 3.19 ( $0.036 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) as a light yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.212 \mathrm{~g})$ as a red solid.

Experiment from entry 3, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.9 ( 0.2035 g , $9.15 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.110 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.894 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.1$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with
ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ ( $0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \%$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ : $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ $=2: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.228 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange solid. Experiment from entry 4, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.9 ( 0.2000 g , $9.15 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.172 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with $\mathrm{DMAD}(0.110 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.894 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.2$ equiv $)$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded a pure benzopyran 3.19 ( $0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ) as a light yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.2231 \mathrm{~g})$ as a red solid.

Experiment from entry 5, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.11 (0.2029 $\mathrm{g}, 7.05 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.6$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.037 \mathrm{~g}$, $86 \%$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=4: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.144 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish-brown solid.

Experiment from entry 6, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.12 (0.2400 $\mathrm{g}, 6.30 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.142 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.6$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.038 \mathrm{~g}$, $84 \%$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=3: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.208 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish solid.

Experiment from entry 7, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ (0.3050 $\mathrm{g}, 4.53 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.7$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic
separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.029 \mathrm{~g}$, $69 \%$, $3.19: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=3: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered $\operatorname{resin}(0.3681 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish solid.

Experiment from entry 8, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.13 (0.3044 $\mathrm{g}, 4.53 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.7$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}$, $72 \%$, $3.19: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=3: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.3036 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish solid.

Experiment from entry 9, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.14 (0.2990 $\mathrm{g}, 5.44 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.153 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.1$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.029 \mathrm{~g}$, $59 \%$, $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=2: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered $\operatorname{resin}(0.2335 \mathrm{~g})$ as a reddish solid. The recovered resin was analyzed by $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1843$ ( s br), 1830 (w br), 1718 (s br).

Experiment from entry 10, Table 3.34. Treatment of palladacycle resin $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ $(0.4015 \mathrm{~g}, 3.34 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD $(0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.0 equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.031 \mathrm{~g}$, $78 \%$, $3.19: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=12: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.3655 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange solid.

Experiment from entry 11, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.17 (0.4018 $\mathrm{g}, 3.34 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures affordedbenzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%, \mathbf{3 . 1 9}: \mathbf{3 . 2 0}=12: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio $)$ as a yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.3831 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange solid.

Experiment from entry 12, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.10 (0.1620 $\mathrm{g}, 8.41 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD $(0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.8$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded a pure benzopyran $3.19(0.012 \mathrm{~g}$, $28 \%)$ as a light yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.1806 \mathrm{~g})$ as an orange solid.

Experiment from entry 13, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.10 (0.1621 $\mathrm{g}, 8.41 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD $(0.059 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.8$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure including chromatographic separation with ether/hexane mixtures afforded a pure benzopyran $3.19(0.016 \mathrm{~g}$, $39 \%)$ as a light yellow oil, and the recovered resin $(0.1744 \mathrm{~g})$ as a red solid.

Experiment from entry 14, Table 3.4. Treatment of palladacycle resin 3.15 (0.480 $\mathrm{g}, 2.72 \% \mathrm{Pd}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd})$ with DMAD ( $0.046 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure did not afford any detectable quantities of benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and 3.20. The recovered resin $(0.5894 \mathrm{~g})$ was obtained as a reddish solid.

## Procedures for the Solution Phase Control Experiments: Isomerization Studies with $\mathbf{2 H}-1$-Benzopyran 3.19.

A sample of benzopyran $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ was subjected to the conditions indicated in Table 3.5 for the indicated time. Column chromatography with ethyl acetate/ hexanes mixtures then provided the recovered products in $>95 \%$ in all cases.

Solution Phase Reaction of Palladacycle $3.24\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathbf{P P h}_{3}\right)$ with DMAD in the Presence of Excess $\mathbf{P P h}_{3}$.

Control experiments involving reactions of palladacycle $3.24\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ with DMAD (3.0 equiv) and additional free $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in the amount of 1.0 equiv. and 4.0 equiv ( 3 h , $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) afforded mixtures of benzopyrans $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (2.5:1 mol ratio of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ : 3.20) in combined yields $50 \%$ and $<2 \%$, respectively.


### 3.69

The known compound 4-fluoro-2-iodoaniline (3.69) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{176}$ To a solution of 4-fluoroaniline $(2.066 \mathrm{~g}, 18.595$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium bicarbonate $(5.155 \mathrm{~g}, 61.364 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added solid iodine ( $4.720 \mathrm{~g}, 18.595 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in small portions over 15 minutes at room temperature. The solution was allowed to stir for 4.5 hours, after which the reaction was poured into water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The DCM layer was then washed with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the organic solvents removed under reduced pressure to give a crude product.

The crude product was then chromatographed over silica gel (1:9 EtOAc : Hex) to afford the product $(3.430 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as an orange oil. Analytical data for compound 3.69 was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{204}$

3.70

The known compound 2-iodo-4-methylaniline (3.70) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{176}$ To a solution of $p$-toluidine ( $0.9535 \mathrm{~g}, 8.897 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium bicarbonate in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added solid iodine $(2.624 \mathrm{~g}, 10.338$ mmol ) in small portions over 15 minutes at $10-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 1 h and allowed to warm to room temperature. The solution was then poured into a separatory funnel and extracted with ether $(4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and then solvents removed under reduced pressure to give a crude product. The crude product was then chromatographed over silica ( $1: 9 \mathrm{Hex}: \mathrm{EtOAc}$ ) to afford the product $(1.076 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%)$ as a pink oil. Analytical data for compound 3.70 was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{205}$

3.72

The known compound 1-iodo-4-methoxy-2-nitrobenzene (3.72) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{176}$ To a $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of 4-methoxy-2nitroaniline $(5.573 \mathrm{~g}, 33.141 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $1: 1$ conc. $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of sodium nitrite $(2.515 \mathrm{~g}, 36.455 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 15 minutes, TLC indicated that the diazonium salt formation was complete. The diazonium mixture was poured into a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of potassium iodide (8.252 $\mathrm{g}, 49.712 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was allowed to stir for 30 minutes and slowly warm to room temperature. The solution was filtered and washed with $1: 1$ conc. $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 100 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give a crude product. The crude solid was then chromatographed over silica $1: 5$ EtOAc : Hex and crystallized from hexanes to afford the product $(6.285 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield $)$ as a yellow-orange solid. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 7 2}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{176}$

3.73

The known compound 2-iodo-5-methoxyaniline (3.73) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{176}$ To a solution of 1-iodo-4-methoxy-2-nitroaniline (4.772 g, 17.100 mmol ), Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate ( $0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 0.261 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and activated carbon ( $0.037 \mathrm{~g}, 3.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at reflux in $\mathrm{MeOH}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added
hydrazine monohydrate $(2.634 \mathrm{~g}, 34.202 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise. After the addition of hydrazine, the solution was allowed to reflux for 6.5 h . The solution was then filtered through celite, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude oil was then taken in DCM, washed with water and brine, dried, and then the dichloromethane removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was then chromatographed over silica (5 : 95 EtOAc : Hex) to afford the product (3.692 g, $87 \%$ ) as an unstable light brown solid which needed to be stored in the dark at low temperature. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 7 3}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{176}$

## General Experimental Procedure for Triflation of Iodo-anilines:

To a solution of the iodo-aniline (1.0 equiv.) and triethylamine (1.1 equiv.) in dichloromethane was added triflic anhydride (1.1 equiv.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 10 minutes at that temperature and then allowed to warm to room temperature. After the reaction was complete via TLC monitoring, the crude reaction mixture was added to a separatory funnel and extracted thrice with dichlormethane. The dichloromethane extracts were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to give a crude product. This product was then chromatographed on silica, eluting with hexanes/EA mixtures to give the products.

3.74

1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(4-fluoro-2-iodophenyl)methanesulfonamide (3.74) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{177}$ 2-iodo-4-fluoroaniline ( 3.284 g , $13.856 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine $(1.541 \mathrm{~g}, 15.242 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triflic anhydride ( 4.300 g , 15.242 mmol ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with 1 : 9 EtOAc : Hex to afford 1,1,1-trifluoro-N-(4-fluoro-2iodophenyl)methanesulfonamide ( $2.748 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ) as an orange oil that solidified at room temperature: $\mathrm{mp}=59-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.32(1: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EA}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=\right.$ $251.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 132.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=30.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 126.1$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=\right.$ 22.4 Hz), $94.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-74.3(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ F), -110.4 (m, 1 F ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1140; HRMS (ES-) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{INO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+), 367.8866$; found, 367.8871.


### 3.75

1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)methanesulfonamide (3.75) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{177}$ 2-iodo-4-methylanililne ( 1.039 g , $4.457 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine $(0.496 \mathrm{~g}, 4.903 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triflic anhydride ( 1.383 g , 4.903 mmol ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with 1 : 9 EtOAc : Hex to give 1,1,1-trifluoro-N-(2-iodo-4methylphenyl)methanesulfonamide ( $0.784 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ) as a yellow oil that solidified at room temperature to afford a yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp}=64-65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.60(1: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EA})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.9,139.3,132.9,130.5$, 123.9, $119.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=321.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 93.4,20.4 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-$ 74.2 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}$ ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1140; HRMS (ES $)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{INO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ (M $\mathrm{H}+$ ), 363.9116 ; found, 363.9118.

3.76

The known compound 1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodophenyl)methanesulfonamide (3.76) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{177}$ 2-iodoaniline $(3.328 \mathrm{~g}$, $15.196 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( $1.690 \mathrm{~g}, 16.715 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triflic anhydride ( 4.716 g ,
16.715 mmol ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with 1:9 EtOAc : Hex to give the product ( $2.026 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%$ ) as an orange oil. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 7 6}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{177}$

3.77

1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-5-methoxyphenyl)methanesulfonamide (3.77) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{177}$ 2-iodo-5-methoxyaniline $(3.567 \mathrm{~g}$, 14.333 mmol ), triethylamine ( $1.594 \mathrm{~g}, 15.767 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triflic anhydride ( 4.448 g , 15.767 mmol ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with $1: 19$ EtOAc : Hex to give the product ( $1.929 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%$ ) as a pale orange oil that solidified at room temperature: $\mathrm{mp}=61-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33(4: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EA}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84$ (dt, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.3$, 141.7, 135.9, $119.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.1,118.9,89.7,55.9 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-75.4\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}\right.$ ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1126; HRMS (ES) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{INO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}+), 379.9065$; found, 363.9077.

## General Experimental Procedure for Alkylation of triflamides:

To a solution of the triflamide ( 1.0 equiv.) in DMF was added $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$ (dispersion in mineral oil, 1.3 equiv.) in small portions at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was then allowed to warm to room temperature, where it was stirred for 0.5 h followed by dropwise
addition of ethyl bromoacetate (1.4 equiv.). The reaction was allowed to stir for 8 h at room temperature. Methanol ( 3 mL ) was added, and the mixture was poured into water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ to afford a crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hexanes}$ mixtures to afford the products.

3.78

Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- N -(4-fluoro-2-iodophenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate (3.78) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ 1,1,1-trifluoro- N -(2-iodo-4-fluorophenyl)methanesulfonamide ( $2.209 \mathrm{~g}, 5.984 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF ( 6 mL ), $60 \%$ $\mathrm{NaH}(0.311 \mathrm{~g}, 7.78 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl bromoacetate ( $1.399 \mathrm{~g}, 8.378 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with $1: 9 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : Hex to give the product ( $2.334 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as a pale orange oil: $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.56(4: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EA})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12 (ddd, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.2$, $162.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=254.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 134.2,127.2(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=25.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=\right.$ 22.1 Hz), $62.1,53.1,14.0$ (some signals account for more than one carbon); NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-73.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}\right.$ ), $-107.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$; IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1755, 1146; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{FAB}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{INO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}+)$, 455.9389; found, 455.9394.

3.79

Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate (3.79) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ 1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-4-methylphenyl)methanesulfonamide ( $1.033 \mathrm{~g}, 2.830 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF ( 3 mL ), $60 \%$ $\mathrm{NaH}(0.147 \mathrm{~g}, 3.679 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl bromoacetate ( $0.662 \mathrm{~g}, 3.962 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with $1: 9 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : Hex to give the product $(1.168 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.56(4: 1 \mathrm{Hex} / \mathrm{EA}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.77 (d, $J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.2,141.9,140.7,137.2,132.5,130.0,119.6$ (q, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 99.3,61.9,53.1,20.5,13.9 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-$ 74.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~F}$ ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1757, 1146; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{INO}_{4} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$, 473.9460; found, 473.9462.

3.80

Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodophenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate (3.80) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ 1,1,1-trifluoro- N -(2iodophenyl)methanesulfonamide ( $1.5026 \mathrm{~g}, 4.280 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF ( 4 mL ), $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}$
$(0.222 \mathrm{~g}, 5.564 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl bromoacetate $(1.000 \mathrm{~g}, 5.992 \mathrm{mmol})$ were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with $1: 9 \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ Hex to give the product ( $1.292 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ) as a pale orange oil. Analytical data for compound 3.80 was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{131}$


### 3.81

Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-5-methoxyphenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate (3.81) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ 1,1,1-trifluoro-$N$-(2-iodo-5-methoxyphenyl)methanesulfonamide (1.867 g, 4.897 mmol ), DMF (5 $\mathrm{mL}), 60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.255 \mathrm{~g}, 6.367 \mathrm{mmol})$, and ethyl bromoacetate ( $1.145 \mathrm{~g}, 6.856$ mmol ) were treated according to the general procedure described above, eluting with $1: 19$ EtOAc : Hex to give the product $(1.780 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a pale orange oil: $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.26$ (4:1 Hex/EA); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-$ $4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 167.3, 160.3, 140.5, 140.3, $119.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.0,117.8,87.5$, $62.0,55.7,53.1,14.0 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-73.7$ (s, 1 F ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) 1755,1146 ; HRMS ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{INO}_{5} \mathrm{SNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$, 489.9409; found, 489.9386.

## General Experimental Procedure for Oxidative addition of Aryl Iodides with

## $\mathbf{P d}_{2} \mathbf{d b a}_{3}:$

To a solution of tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) $\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}\right)$ (0.5 equiv.) and aryl iodide (1.2 equiv.) in benzene ( $40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd}$ ) was added tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) (1.5 equiv.). The mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The suspension was filtered through a plug of Celite, and the solvents removed under reduced pressure to give a crude product. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography over neutral alumina eluting with ether/hexanes (1: 1) to remove excess dibenzylideneacetone (dba) and subsequently with ether/hexanes (2:1) to afford the palladium(II) complexes as yellow solids.

3.82
( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-6-amino-3-
fluorophenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium (3.82) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-4fluorophenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate ( $2.002 \mathrm{~g}, 4.399 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(1.678 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.833 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TMEDA $(0.639 \mathrm{~g}, 5.499 \mathrm{mmol})$ were treated according to the procedure above affording the product $(1.380 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%)$ as a yellow powder: $\mathrm{mp}=150$ $-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ (hexanes : EA $1: 2$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40$ (dd, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $3.10-3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $2.45-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.3,159.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=251.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $139.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.1\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=120.0\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=322.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), \quad 110.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=22.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 61.9$, $61.4,58.7,55.0,51.8,50.5,49.3,48.8,14.1 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $376 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-73.1(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{~F}),-114.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~F})$; IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1753, 1147; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ IF $_{4} \mathrm{SPd}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 677.9737$; found, 677.9751 .


### 3.83

( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-2-amino-5-
methylphenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium (3.83) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2-iodo-4methylphenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate $(1.1509 \mathrm{~g}, 2.551 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(0.973 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.063 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TMEDA $(0.371 \mathrm{~g}, 3.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ were treated according to the procedure above affording the product $(0.962 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ as a yellow powder: $\mathrm{mp}=152$ $-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ dec. $) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.58$ (hexanes : EA $\left.1: 2\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29-$ $7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J$
$=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{td}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 7$ H), $2.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{tt}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23$ (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.4,141.5,140.8,137.7,136.6$, 129.5, 125.2, $120.1\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=322.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 61.8,61.3,58.5,55.1,51.7,50.4$, 49.1, 48.6, 21.0, 14.1; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz ) $\delta$-72.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}$ ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1755, 1142; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{IF}_{3} \mathrm{SPd}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 673.9989; found, 677.9986.

3.84
( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-2-
aminophenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium (3.84) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-(2iodophenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate ( $1.265 \mathrm{~g}, 2.894 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(1.104 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.206 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TMEDA $(0.4204 \mathrm{~g}, 3.617 \mathrm{mmol})$ were treated according to the procedure above affording the product ( $1.106 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) as a yellow powder. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 8 4}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{131}$

3.85
( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-2-amino-4-
methoxyphenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium (3.85) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ Ethyl 2-(1,1,1-trifluoro- N -(2-iodo-5methoxyphenyl)methylsulfonamido)acetate ( $1.539 \mathrm{~g}, 3.294 \mathrm{mmol}^{2}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(1.257 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.372 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TMEDA ( $0.479 \mathrm{~g}, 4.117 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were treated according to the procedure above affording the product $(1.103 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$ as a yellow powder: $\mathrm{mp}=145$ $-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ dec. $) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.52$ (hexanes : EA $\left.1: 2\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.03$ (m, 1 H$), 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 168.4$, 157.0, 143.0, 136.9, 128.4, $120.1\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=325.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.6,114.5,61.8$, $61.3,58.6,55.2,55.0,51.7,50.5,49.2,48.8,14.1 ;{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376 MHz) $\delta-73.1$ (s, 3 F); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1753, 1144; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{IF}_{3} \mathrm{SPd}(\mathrm{M}+$ H), 689.9938; found, 689.9926 .

## General Experimental Procedure for Formation of palladacycles via baseinduced ring closure:

To a solution of palladium(II) iodo complexes (1.0 equiv.) in THF ( $36 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pd}$ ) was added dropwise $t$-BuOK (1.2 equiv.). The mixture was stirred for 0.25 h at room temperature and then filtered through a plug of basic alumina eluting with EtOAc/hexanes (2:1(240 mL)). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the palladacycles as white solids after trituration with ether.

( $\pm$ )-3.86
(( $\pm$ )-[( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)3-fluoro-aza-1,6phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium (( $\pm$ )-3.86) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ ( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$ -trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-6-amino-3-fluorophenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium ( $0.751 \mathrm{~g}, 1.107 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and t -BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF, $1.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were treated according to the procedure above affording the product $(0.575 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a white powder: $\mathrm{mp}=186-$ $187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.26$ (hexanes : EA $1: 2$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{td}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.00(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-$ $2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
$\delta 175.0,159.6,157.6,149.7,144.7,120.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=326.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 119.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.9,111.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 61.1,60.1,59.7,50.6$, $50.0,49.5,48.8,14.1 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz ) $\delta-73.0(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}$ ), -119.1 (br s, 1 H ); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1710, 1146; HRMS (ES $)$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \operatorname{SPd}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 550.0615; found, 550.0623.

( $\pm$ )-3.87
$(( \pm)-[(N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine $)-(N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl) $)$-methyl-aza-1,2phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium ((土)-3.87) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ ( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$ -trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-2-amino-5-
methylphenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium ( $0.752 \mathrm{~g}, 1.116 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF, $1.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were treated according to the general procedure above, affording the product $(0.529 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%)$ as a white powder: mp $=183-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.55$ (hexanes : EA $1: 2$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.18 (br s, 1 H ), 6.83 (s, 1 H ), 6.78 (dd, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (s, 3 H ), $2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.4,151.4,141.2,133.9$ (2 C), 132.1, 125.6, $120.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=323.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.0,61.2,60.0,59.6,50.7,49.9$,
49.5, 48.8, 21.3, 14.1; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 376 MHz ) $\delta-73.0(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{~F})$; IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1712, 1134; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \operatorname{SPd}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}), 546.0866$; found, 550.0825 .

( $\pm$ )-3.88
(( $\pm)$-[( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)aza-1,2-phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium ( $( \pm$ ) $\mathbf{3 . 8 8}$ ) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131} \quad(N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$ -trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-2-aminophenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium $(0.740 \mathrm{~g}, 1.122 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $t-\mathrm{BuOK}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{THF}, 1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ were treated according to the procedure above affording the product $(0.497 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%)$ as a white solid. Analytical data for compound ( $\pm$ )-3.88 was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{131}$

( $\pm$ )-3.89
$(( \pm)-[(N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)4-methoxy-aza-1,6phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium (( $\pm$ )-3.89) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{131}$ ( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethyl)-( $N$ -
trifluoromethanesulfonyl)-2-amino-4-
methoxyphenyl]iodo(tetramethylethylenediamine)-palladium ( $0.759 \mathrm{~g}, 1.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $t$-BuOK ( 1.0 M in THF, $1.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were treated according to the procedure above affording the product $(0.539 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp}=175-$ $177^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}) ; \mathrm{R}_{f}=0.23$ (hexanes : EA $1: 2$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.97$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8$ H), $2.52-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 175.4$, 157.4, 153.9, 133.0, 130.7, $120.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=325.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 109.1,103.0,61.2$, $60.0,59.6,55.2,50.6,49.9,49.7,49.0,48.6,14.1 ;{ }^{19}$ F NMR (376 MHz) $\delta-73.7$ (br s, 3 F); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1711, 1126; HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{SPd}(\mathrm{M}+$ H), 562.0815; found, 562.0807.

## General Experimental Procedure for Synthesis of Polymer-Supported Palladacycles 3.31-3.34:

A suspension of the phosphine resin and the palladacycle in THF was stirred for an initial time period ( 6.5 to 8 hours) under argon. Volatile components were removed under reduced pressure $(1 \mathrm{mmHg}, 1 \mathrm{~h})$. Fresh THF was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred for the second time period $(10-16 h)$. The suspension was filtered, and the resin was washed with methanol ( 20 mL ), DCM ( 20 mL ), methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{DCM}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ then dried under reduced pressure to give
the polymer-supported palladacycles as yellow powdery solids. The filtrates were evaporated, and the crude extracts were analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.


### 3.31

Treatment of $\quad(( \pm)$-[(N-Ethoxycarbonylmethine)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)3-fluoro-aza-1,6-phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium ((土)-3.86) (0.502 $\mathrm{g}, 0.912 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphine resin $3.90(1.6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.140 \mathrm{~g}, 1.824 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ were treated according to the procedure above, affording the product ( $1.222 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ) as a yellow powdery solid. ICP analyses: $5.0 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 4.1 \%$ mass P . The material in the combined filtrates $(0.114 \mathrm{~g})$ and contained $>95 \%$ palladacycle $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 8 6}(23 \%$ mol Pd).


### 3.32

(( $\pm)-[(N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)5-methyl-aza-1,2phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium (( $\pm$ )-3.87) ( $0.495 \mathrm{~g}, 0.907 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphine resin $3.90(1.6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.133 \mathrm{~g}, 1.813 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ were treated according to the procedure above, affording the product ( $1.411 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ) as a yellow powdery solid. ICP analyses: $5.3 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 4.3 \%$ mass P . The material in the combined filtrates $(0.122 \mathrm{~g})$ and contained $>95 \%$ palladacycle $( \pm) \mathbf{3 . 8 7}(25 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ Pd).

3.33
(( $\pm)$-[( $N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine)-( $N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)aza-1,2-phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium ((土) 3.88) (0.456 g, 0.857 mmol$)$, and phosphine resin $3.90(1.6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.072 \mathrm{~g}, 1.715 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ were treated according to the procedure above, affording the product ( $1.323 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ) as a yellow powdery solid. ICP analyses: $4.5 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 4.0 \%$ mass P . The material in the combined filtrates $(0.105 \mathrm{~g})$ and contained $>95 \%$ palladacycle $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{3 . 8 8}(23 \% \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Pd})$.


### 3.34

$(( \pm)-[(N$-Ethoxycarbonylmethine $)-(N$-trifluoromethanesulfonyl)4-methoxy-aza-1,6phenylene] (tetramethylethylenediamine)palladium (( $\pm$ )-3.89) ( $0.467 \mathrm{~g}, 0.831 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phosphine resin $3.90(1.6 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{g}, 1.038 \mathrm{~g}, 1.661 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{P})$ were treated according to the procedure above, affording the product ( $1.189 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%$ ) as a yellow powdery solid; ICP analyses: $5.6 \%$ mass $\mathrm{Pd}, 4.2 \%$ mass P . The material in the combined filtrates $(0.065 \mathrm{~g})$ and contained $>95 \%$ palladacycle $( \pm) \mathbf{- 3 . 8 9}(14 \% \mathrm{~mol}$ Pd).


### 3.91

3-(2,2-dibromovinyl)furan (3.91) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{179}$ To a slurry of zinc dust $(1.387 \mathrm{~g}, 21.216 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of carbon tetrabromide $(7.036 \mathrm{~g}, 21.216 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After 30 minutes of stirring, a solution of triphenylphosphine (5.567 $\mathrm{g}, 21.216 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. The resulting solution was allowed to stir for six hours and allowed to warm to room temperature. The solution was again cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of 3-furaldehyde $(1.113 \mathrm{~g}, 11.813 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise. The solution was then stirred for 13 hours, slowly warming to room temperature. To the crude reaction mixture was added 50 mL pentane, which caused a dark substance to precipitate. The liquid was decanted, and the procedure repeated four more times. The pentane solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give an oil, which was then chromatographed over silica eluting with $5: 95$ EtOAc : Hex to afford the product $(2.261 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%)$ as a yellow oil. The compound is known to be metastable and thus was used immediately in the next reaction. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 9 1}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{179}$


### 3.35

Known compound ethyl 3-(furan-3-yl)propiolate (3.35) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{179}$ To a solution of 3-(2,2-dibromovinyl)furan ( 2.261 g , 8.976 mmol ) in THF ( 23 mL ) was added dropwise $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}(1.6 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes, 11.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 18.880 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min stirring at this temperature, ethyl chloroformate ( $3.410 \mathrm{~g}, 31.415 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. After 15 min , the cold bath was removed and the solution was stirred for an additional 10 min before the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with ether ( $3 \times$ $50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The solvents were removed to afford a crude product, which was then chromatographed on silica eluting with $1: 19$ EtOAc : Hex to afford the product $(0.766 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 3 5}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{206}$

## General Procedure for Synthesis of alkynes 3.36 to 3.40

To a solution of the terminal alkyne (1.0 equiv.) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (1.2 equiv., 1.6 M in hexanes). The resulting solution was allowed to stir for 1 h at this temperature, and then ethyl chloroformate (1.8 equiv.) was added in one portion. The reaction was then poured into water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, extracted with hexanes $(3 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL})$, the organic portion dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvents removed under reduced
pressure to afford a crude product, which was then purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with ethyl acetate/hexanes mixtures to give the products.

3.36

Known compound ethyl 3-p-tolylpropiolate (3.36) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{207}$ 4-ethynyltoluene $(0.458 \mathrm{~g}, 3.943 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated according to the general procedure described above (using 20 mL THF) with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.800 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by ethyl chloroformate ( $0.770 \mathrm{~g}, 7.097 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified using $3: 97 \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ Hex as eluent to afford the product $(0.763 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as an orange oil. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 3 6}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{208}$

3.37

Ethyl 3-(naphthalen-1-yl)propiolate (3.37) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{207}$ 1-ethynylnaphthalene $(0.535 \mathrm{~g}, 3.515 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated according to the general procedure described above (using 18 mL THF) with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by ethyl chloroformate ( $0.687 \mathrm{~g}, 6.328 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The
crude product was purified using 3:97 EtOAc : Hex as eluent to afford the product $(0.659 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a yellow oil: $\mathrm{R}_{f}=0.30$ (hexanes : EA $\left.4: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2$ H), $1.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.2,133.6,133.0$, 131.3, 128.4, 127.6, 126.9, 125.8, 125.1, 117.2, 85.3, 84.4, 62.1, 14.1; IR (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 2208, 1712; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$, 225.0916; found, 225.0901 .


### 3.38

Known compound ethyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)propiolate (3.38) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{207}$ 1-ethynyl-4-fluoro-benzene $(0.524 \mathrm{~g}, 4.362$ mmol ) was treated according to the general procedure described above (using 22 mL THF) with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(3.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.280 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by ethyl chloroformate $(0.852 \mathrm{~g}$, 7.852 mmol ). The crude product was purified using $3: 97 \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ Hex as eluent to afford the product $(0.684 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a beige solid. Analytical data for compound 3.38 was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{209}$

3.39

Known compound ethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propiolate (3.39) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{207}$ 4-ethynylanisole $(0.510 \mathrm{~g}, 3.856$ mmol ) was treated according to the general procedure described above (using 20 mL THF) with $n-B u L i(2.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.640 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by ethyl chloroformate $(0.852 \mathrm{~g}$, $7.85 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified using $5: 95 \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ Hex as eluent to afford the product $(0.832 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 3 9}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{210}$


### 3.40

Known compound ethyl 3-phenylpropiolate (3.40) was prepared according to a modified literature procedure. ${ }^{207}$ Phenylacetylene $(0.465 \mathrm{~g}, 4.553 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated according to the general procedure described above (using 23 mL THF) with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( $3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.440 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), followed by ethyl chloroformate ( $0.889 \mathrm{~g}, 8.195 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified using $5: 95 \mathrm{EtOAc}:$ Hex as eluent to afford the product
( $0.755 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a pale yellow oil. Analytical data for compound $\mathbf{3 . 4 0}$ was identical to literature reported values. ${ }^{211}$

( $\pm$ )-4.22
To a stirred solution of (E)-4-methoxy-N-(4-methylbenzylidene)aniline ( 0.462 g , $2.049 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) was added neat benzoyl chloride ( $0.288 \mathrm{~g}, 2.049$ mmol) to afford a bright yellow solution, which was stirred for 15 min at rt under argon. Acetonitrile ( 35 mL ) was added followed by $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(1.032 \mathrm{~g}, 1.127 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,2'-bipyridyl $(0.640 \mathrm{~g}, 4.099 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred for one hour. Silver trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.632 \mathrm{~g}, 2.459 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 1 h . The acetonitrile was removed in vacuo, and then the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered through celite to give an orange solution. The dichloromethane was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue triturated with ether to give an orange solid as a crude product which was then purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with dichloromethane/acetonitrile (3:1) to afford complex $( \pm)-4.22(0.395 \mathrm{~g}, 26 \%)$ as a pale yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp}=175-180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ dec. $) ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33\left(4: 1 \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \delta 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H})$,
$8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22$ (d, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ 8.12 (m, 2 H ), 8.06 (td, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67$ (td, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5.4 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 8.0 Hz, 2 H), $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ (s, 3 H ), $2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) $\delta 180.2$, 160.6, 157.6, 154.0, $152.2,151.7,149.9,142.2,142.1,140.0,138.5,134.3,133.3,132.4,130.9,130.8$, $129.8,129.7,128.9,128.8,125.0,124.3,122.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.5$, $72.8,56.6,21.6$ several signals account for more than one carbon; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (376 $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta-77.2(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F})$; IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1585, 1155 ; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)^{+}\right]$, 592.1216; found, 550.1218.


To a stirred solution of $(E)-N$-(4-methylbenzylidene)-1-phenylmethanamine ( 0.733 g , $3.503 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 5 mL ) was added neat phenyl acetyl chloride $(0.541 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.503 mmol ) to afford a pale yellow solution, which was stirred for 15 min at rt under argon. Acetonitrile $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, followed by $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(0.529 \mathrm{~g}, 0.578 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for additional 10 min . Neat TMEDA ( $0.814 \mathrm{~g}, 7.006 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . Silver trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.990 \mathrm{~g}, 3.854 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, and the reaction mixture
was allowed to stir for an additional 1 h . The resulting suspension was filtered through a plug of celite, and the celite was washed with additional acetonitrile until the eluent was colorless. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, the crude product was triturated with ether and dissolved in dichloromethane, and the dichloromethane solution was filtered through celite. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure to afford a crude product which was purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with dichloromethane/acetonitrile (3:1) to afford the amide complex $( \pm)-4.37(0.544 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)$ as a yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp}=54-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=$ $0.50\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{td}, J=13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{td}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 180.9,138.0$, $137.3,133.5,132.3,129.9,129.0128 .9,128.5,128.2,127.7,127.6,127.0,120.7$ (q, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 65.1,64.0,57.2,52.3,51.0,49.9,47.3,46.7,39.4,21.1$, several signals account for more than one carbon; ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz ) $\delta-76.8$ (s, 3 F); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1578 (s), 1153 (s); HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}$ $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)^{+}\right]$, 550.2050 ; found, 550.2038. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{PdS}$ : C, 51.47; H, 5.47; N, 6.00. Found: C, 52.34; H, 5.29; N, 5.66.


To a stirred solution of (E)-N-(4-methylbenzylidene)-1-phenylmethanamine ( 0.419 g , 2.002 mmol ) in 3 mL acetonitrile was added neat phenyl acetyl chloride ( 0.310 g , 2.002 mmol ) resulting in a pale yellow solution. After $15 \mathrm{~min}, 14 \mathrm{~mL}$ acetonitrile was added followed by $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(0.3025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.330 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min , after which time 2, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-bipyridyl $(0.626 \mathrm{~g}, 4.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction stirred for 1 h . Silver trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.570 \mathrm{~g}, 2.203 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction allowed to stir for an additional hour. The resulting suspension was filtered through a plug of celite (the celite was washed with additional acetonitrile until colorless) and solvents removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was then triturated with ether followed by trituration with cold methanol to afford $( \pm)-4.38(0.318 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$ as a yellow microcrystalline solid: $R_{f}=0.40(9: 1$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{td}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H), $4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 181.6$, $156.3,152.0,150.0,147.9,141.1,140.9,137.9,137.6,133.5,132.2,130.0,129.3$,
$129.2,128.7,128.5,128.2,128.0,127.3,127.1,127.0,124.5,123.7,120.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.{ }^{19} F\right)=320.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 66.4,51.3,39.7,21.3$, several signals account for more than one carbon; ${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( 470.81 MHz ) $\delta-77.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F})$; IR (thin film from DCM, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 1578 (s), 1155 (s); HRMS (ES) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}(\mathrm{M}+$ ), 590.1423, found 590.1424.

( $\pm$ )-4.39
Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 (0.464 g, 0.663 mmol) and 1,2bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane $(0.528 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.325 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dichloromethane ( 7 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 5 h at rt under argon. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with dichloromethane/acetonitrile (6:1) to afford complex ( $\pm$ )-4.39 (0.479 g, 74\%) as a yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp}=75-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.46\left(9: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.70-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.30 (br s, 2 H ), 4.74 (dd, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34$ (dd, $J=$ 8.2 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), $4.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.44$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 182.0$ $\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 138.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.0,134.0$,
$\left.133.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.8,132.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, J{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.5(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=\right.$ $31.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 131.5\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=14.7,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.4,130.1,129.5,129.4(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.3,129.2,129.1,129.0,128.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $128.2,127.7,127.3,127.2,126.8,125.2,124.0,123.5,120.9\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=320.8\right.$ Hz), $76.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=99.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 40.0$, $31.4\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=37.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 20.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 21.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=30.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 20.9, several signals account for more than one carbon; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 51.5$ (br s, 1 P ), 38.5 (br s, 1 P ); ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz ) $\delta-76.8$ (s, 3 F ); IR (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1554(\mathrm{~s}), 1150(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)^{+}\right]$, 832.2089; found, 832.2062. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}$ : C, 61.13; H, 4.72; N, 1.43. Found: C, 61.09; H, 4.39; N, 1.36.


### 4.45

Amide complex $( \pm)-4.37 \quad(0.203 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.291 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(2 S, 3 S)$ bis(diphenylphosphino)butane $(0.124 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.291 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dichloromethane ( 6 mL ). The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 3.5 h at rt under argon. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica, eluting with dichloromethane/ MeOH (19:1) to afford complex 4.45 ( $0.292 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) (dr 1:1) as a
yellow solid: $\mathrm{mp}=77-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.58\left(6.5: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 13.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.90-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 181.93$, 181.87, $139.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 137.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.1(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 135.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.8\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 135.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.7,132.5,132.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.16,132.13$, 132.11, 132.08, $131.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 131.3, $131.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.3,129.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 129.47, 129.42, 129.34, 129.32, 129.26, 129.24, 129.21, 129.18, 129.14, 129.07, $128.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.3,128.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.0,127.7,127.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.3,127.2,127.1,127.0,126.9,126.84,126.79,126.7,126.63$, 126.60, 126.5, 126.2, $125.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=54.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=51.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 123.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=48.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 121.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=319.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 120.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=49.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 76.9\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=402.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 76.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=208.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 53.4,52.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 43.9(\mathrm{dd}$,
$\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=35.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 23.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 42.6\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=34.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 22.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 39.9$, 31.8, $31.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=44.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 31.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=44.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 29.6,21.0,20.8,14.1(\mathrm{~m}), 14.08\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=54.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 14.01$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 14.0\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, several signals account for more than one carbon; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 59.7$ (br s, 1 P ), 57.6 (br s, 1 P ), 47.5 (br s, 1 P ), 42.9 (br s, 1 P ); ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( 376 MHz ) $\delta-76.7$ (s, 3 F ); IR (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 1562(\mathrm{~s}), 1150(\mathrm{~s}) ;$ HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)^{+}\right]$, 860.2402; found, 860.2389. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{PdS}$ : C, 61.81; H, 4.99; N, 1.39. Found: C, 62.36; H, 4.65; N, 1.37.

## General Procedure for the Synthesis of Palladacycles via Ring Closure

To a solution of cationic palladium complex $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ in THF at a given temperature was added a solution of base ( $t$-BuOK 1.0 M in THF, KHMDS 0.5 M in toluene, LDA 2.0 M in heptane/THF/ethylbenzene), and the reaction mixture was stirred for the designated time(s) under argon. The suspension was diluted with dichloromethane, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The oily residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered through celite to remove any insoluble materials. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and hexane mixtures or with EtOAc to afford the corresponding palladacycles as yellow or orange solids.


( $\pm$ )-4.40

Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 $(0.540 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7710 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $t$-BuOK $(0.85 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.85 mmol ) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}(1: 1)$ and EtOAc followed by trituration with pentane afforded complex $( \pm)-4.40(0.3471 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 88: 12$, cis:trans) as a light orange powder: $\mathrm{mp}=$ $162-170$ (dec.); $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.36(100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.24-7.12 (m, 5.5 H), 7.12-6.97 (m, 3.4 H), 4.89 (d, $J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.12$ H), $4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.88 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 0.12 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 0.88 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 0.88 \mathrm{H})$, $3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 0.12 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.88 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.12 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.56 (m, 0.2 H), 2.50-2.46 (m, 1 H$), 2.45-2.34$ (m, 5.6 H$), 2.33-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5.2$ H), 2.13-2.06 (m, 1 H), $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2.7 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2.7 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 0.3$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(182.5)$, 182.2, (148.4), 147.4, (144.5), 144.0, (139.0), 138.8, 134.1, (132.5), 130.7, (130.1), (129.8), (129.7), (129.2), 129.1, 128.8, 128.6, (128.5), 127.94, 127.91, 126.3, 124.2, (123.7), (60.6), (60.5), (60.4), 60.23, 60.18 , (53.4), (50.5), 50.3, (50.0), (49.5), 49.2, 48.8, 48.6, 47.8, 47.5, 47.0, 46.1, 45.6, (29.7), 21.9, 21.1, 21.0, (minor diastereomer signals in parentheses), some signals account for more than one carbon; IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1617 (s); HRMS (ES ${ }^{+}$) calcd
for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, 550.2050; found, 550.2025. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}: \mathrm{C}, 63.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.64$. Found: C, 63.38; H, 6.52; N, 6.97.

A sample of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 highly enriched in the cis diastereomer ( $\mathrm{dr}>20: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) was obtained by precipitation and trituration (benzene) of solids obtained directly from the EtOAc eluent after chromatography. Analytical data for complex cis-(土)-4.40 (dr > 20:1): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ (s, 3 H ), $2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 182.0,147.5,144.2,139.0,134.0,130.8,129.1$, $129.0,127.9,126.3,124.2,60.2,50.2,49.2,48.9,48.6,47.8,47.0,21.1$, several signals account for more than one carbon.


( $\pm$ )-4.41
Amide complex $( \pm)-4.39(0.089 \mathrm{~g}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $t$-BuOK $(0.100 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.100 mmol ) at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon according to the general procedure above. Elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}(1: 1)$ followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle ( $\pm$ )$4.39(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a yellow powder in dr 72:28, cis:trans.

Alternatively, palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.41 was also synthesized via ligand exchange reaction. Thus, complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 (dr 89:11, cis:trans) $(0.396 \mathrm{~g}, 0.719 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1,2-
bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane $(0.573 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 3.5 h at rt under argon. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica, eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1:1) to afford palladacycle $( \pm)-4.41(0.534 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as a yellow powder (dr 87:13).

Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.41 was characterized as a mixture of diastereomers in the ratio dr 91:9, cis:trans (obtained from an analogous but separate experiment in $89 \%$ yield) providing the analytical data below: $\mathrm{mp}=150-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}.) ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50(2: 1$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2.2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5.8$ H), $7.42(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1.9 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.9 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 7.8 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.61$ (m, $5.0 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.09 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.91 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.09 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.91 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.09 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.91 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.91 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.09 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3.7 \mathrm{H})$, $1.78-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 182.5\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $\left(182.2\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 148.2\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(146.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 145.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(144.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right)$, 138.9, (138.7), $134.2\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=\right.$ $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.8$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.46,132.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.30(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=31.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.31,132.2,132.19$, (131.9), $131.59\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$
$\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=29.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), (131.4), (131.15), (131.07), (130.71), (130.61), 130.3 (dd, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.84,129.63,(129.55), 129.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=\right.$ $12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.26,128.80,128.70,128.67,128.59$, (128.50), (128.46), (128.29), 128.24, 128.17, 127.79, 127.38, 127.3, (126.3), 126.16, $126.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),(125.46), 122.90$, (122.31), (64.3), $57.0\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 56.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=70.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 56.3\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=86.6\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 49.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 29.2\left(\mathrm{td}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=24.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 17.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}),\left(27.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),\left(27.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),(27.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ), (26.9 (d, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ), (20.9), 20.8, (signals for the minor diastereomer are in parentheses), some signals account for more than one carbon; $;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(39.5(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.05 \mathrm{P})),(35.9(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.05 \mathrm{P})), 34.8$ ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.45 \mathrm{P}$ ), 32.7 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.45 \mathrm{P}$ ), (signals for the minor diastereomer in parentheses); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $1607(\mathrm{~s})$; $\mathrm{HRMS}\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, 832.2089; found, 832.2108. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, $70.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.45$; N, 1.68. Found: C, 70.86; H, 5.34; N, 1.64.


( $\pm$ )-4.42
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.38 ( $0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 0.182 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $\mathrm{KO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}(0.200 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.200$ mmol ) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in accordance with the general procedure above. Chromatography (20 $\left.: 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ followed by trituration with pentane afforded $4.42(0.092 \mathrm{~g}$,
$85 \%)$ as a bright orange powder in $\mathrm{dr} 63: 37$ cis : trans: $\mathrm{mp}=122-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ dec. $) ; \mathrm{Rf}$ $=0.25(19: 1 \mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3$ H), $8.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 5.5 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.7 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.37 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.63 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 0.63 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 0.63 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}$, $0.37 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 0.37 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.63 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.37 \mathrm{H})$, 2.26 (s, 1.9 H ), $2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1.1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta(182.5), 181.9,154.2$, (154.1), (154.0), 150.0, 149.1, (148.7), (147.7), 146.6, (144.5), 144.1, 139.0, 138.7, 138.0, 137.8, (137.7), 134.0, 131.2, 130.0, 128.8, (126.9), 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, (127.9), 127.7, (127.4), 126.4, 125.5, 125.4, (125.2), 124.6, (123.9), 121.7, 121.6, (121.4), 50.7, (50.5), (49.6), 49.0, 48.0, (34.0), (22.2), 21.0, (signals for the minor diastereomer are in parenthesis), some signals account for more than one carbon; IR (thin film, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 1616(\mathrm{~s})$; HRMS $\left(\mathrm{ES}^{+}\right)$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, 590.1423; found, 590.1426.





### 4.46a-4.46d

Amide complex 4.45 (dr $1: 1)(0.155 \mathrm{~g}, 0.154 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $t$-BuOK ( 0.170 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.170 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the general procedures described above. Elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}$ (1:1) followed by trituration with pentane afforded complex 4.46a-d $(0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ as a yellow powder, dr 4.46a:4.46b:4.46c:4.46d = 5.9:7.3:2:1, "cis:trans", 81:19.

Alternatively, palladacycle 4.46 was also synthesized via a ligand exchange reaction. Thus, complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 (dr 91:9, cis:trans) ( $0.082 \mathrm{~g}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $(S, S)$ CHIRAPHOS ( $0.095 \mathrm{~g}, 0.223 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ). The yellow solution was stirred for 3.5 h at rt under argon. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica, eluting with EtOAc/hexanes (1:1) to afford palladacycle 4.46 ( $0.107 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) (dr $91: 9$ "cis : trans", 4.46a:4.46b:4.46c:4.46d $=4.5: 4.5: 0.5: 0.5$, ) as a yellow powder after trituration with pentane. Analytical data for this sample of complex
4.46a:4.46b:4.46c:4.46d: $\mathrm{mp}=90-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}.) ; R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.52(2: 1 \mathrm{EA} / \mathrm{Hex}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.64(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.9 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 7.5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.38-7.09 (m, 11 H$), 7.09-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.05 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.22 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.23 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.22 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.23 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.05 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 4.42$ (dd, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.05 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.03 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.22 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 0.05 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $14.5 \mathrm{H}, 0.45 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.05 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 0.1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.17-2.09 (m, 1.3 H), 2.08-2.02 (m, 0.5 H$), 1.68-1.46$ (m, 1.0 H$), 1.45-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1.0$ H), 1.03-0.93 (m, 3 H$), 0.92-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}), 0.69-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 182.9(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(182.6\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 182.1\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=7.0\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}),\left(182.0\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 148.6\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),(148.3), 147.0$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(146.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 145.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=\right.$ $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 145.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(143.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),(143.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),(138.9), 138.8,(138.4),\left(136.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right)$, $136.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(135.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),\left(135.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 134.9\left(\mathrm{t}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.5\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}),\left(133.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),\left(133.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 133.2(\mathrm{~d}\right.$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 132.16,(132.1), 132.04$,
(132.01), $131.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),(131.4$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right),\left(131.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 131.0,130.93,130.86$, $130.80,130.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 130.5, $130.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.3,130.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),(130.0$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=\right.$ $2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.6,129.5,129.44,129.36,129.2,129.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.0$ $\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),(128.93), 128.89,128.87,128.8,128.63,128.58,128.5$, 128.4, (128.3), 128.25, 128.20, 128.18, 128.14, 128.11, (127.84), (127.82), 127.76, $127.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.4,(127.1)$, 126.7, (126.6), (126.5 $\left(\mathrm{d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ ), (126.4), $126.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.0\right.$ Hz $)$, 126.05, $126.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.8,\left(125.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})), 125.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.5,(125.33), 125.28,64.3,59.0\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=38.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 58.4\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=28.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 57.8\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=52.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(57.4\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 57.1\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=40.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 56.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(56.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ ), $\left(49.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 49.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 49.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right),\left(48.9 \mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)\right), 41.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $41.71\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=45.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 41.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 37.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=22.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 35.7\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=23.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 18.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 34.02$, (33.98), 30.5, 22.3, 20.98, (20.94), $20.85(20.80), 20.7,19.0,15.9\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=\right.$ 15.6, 5.5 Hz$), 15.2\left(\mathrm{dd}, J\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)=15.6,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 14.31,14.26,14.23,14.18$, $14.12,14.06,14.00,(13.39),(13.34),(13.27),(13.20),(13.08)$, (13.04), (signals for
the minor diastereomers are in parentheses), several signals account for more than one carbon; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(46.3(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.12 \mathrm{P})$ ), 45.1 (br s, 0.38 P ), 42.8 (br s, 0.55 P (major + minor), (41.7 (br s, 0.063 P$)$ ), ( 39.7 (br s, 0.067 P )), 38.8 (br s, 0.44 P ), 36.0 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 0.38 \mathrm{P}$ ), (signals for the minor diastereomers in parentheses); IR (thin film, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 1607 (br); HRMS ( $\mathrm{ES}^{+}$) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$, 860.2402; found, 860.2382. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ : C, $71.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.74$; N , 1.63. Found: C, $71.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.59 ; \mathrm{N}, 1.58$.

## Description of the experimental procedures for the experiments reported in

## Table 4.4

General Procedure: To a solution of cationic palladium complex ( 0.1 M ) in THF at a given temperature was added a solution of base $(t-\mathrm{BuOK} 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, KHMDS 0.5 M in toluene, LDA 2.0 M in heptane/THF/ethylbenzene), and the reaction mixture was stirred for the designated time(s) and temperatures under argon. The suspension was diluted with dichloromethane, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The oily residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and filtered through celite to remove any insoluble materials. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography over silica eluting with ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and hexane mixtures or with EtOAc to afford the corresponding palladacycles as yellow or orange solids.

Entry 1, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.540 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7710 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $t$-BuOK ( $0.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min according to the general procedure described above. Elution with EtOAc/Hex (1:1) and EtOAc followed by
trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.3471 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) (dr $88: 12$, cis : trans) as a light orange powder.

Entry 2, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.127 \mathrm{~g}, 0.181 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $t$-BuOK ( $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt for 5 h according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}(1: 1)$ and EtOAc followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 $(0.067 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 94: 6$, cis : trans) as a light orange powder.

Entry 3, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.101 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with KHMDS ( $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt for 5 h according to the general procedure described above. Elution with EtOAc/Hex (1:1) and EtOAc followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 $(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 95: 5$, cis : trans) as a light orange powder.

Entry 4, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with LDA $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$ to $\mathrm{rt}(4 \mathrm{~h})$ according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}(1: 1)$ and EtOAc followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle $( \pm)-4.40(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 88: 12$, cis : trans $)$ as a light orange powder.

Entry 5, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.39 ( $0.089 \mathrm{~g}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $t$-BuOK ( $0.100 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . under argon according to the general procedure above. Elution with EtOAc/Hex (1:1) followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.41 (0.068 g, 90\%) (dr $72: 28$, cis : trans) as a yellow powder.

Entry 6, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.39 ( $0.090 \mathrm{~g}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOK}(0.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt for 5 h under argon according to the general procedure above. Elution with EtOAc/Hex (1:1) followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle $( \pm)-4.41(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 74: 26$, cis : trans) as a yellow powder.

Entry 7, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.39 ( $0.098 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with KHMDS ( $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt for 5 h according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{Hex}(1: 1)$ followed by trituration with pentane afforded complex ( $\pm$ )-4.41 ( $0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) (dr $76: 24$, cis : trans) as a yellow powder. Entry 8, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.39 ( $0.098 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with LDA ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) from $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$ to $\mathrm{rt}(4 \mathrm{~h})$ under argon according to the general procedure above. Elution with EtOAc/Hex (1:1) followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.41 (0.072 g, 86\%) (dr $77: 23$, cis : trans) as a yellow powder.

Entry 9, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.38 ( $0.404 \mathrm{~g}, 0.546 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with $t$-BuOK ( $0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ followed by trituration with pentane afforded palladacycle $( \pm)-4.42(0.277 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 42: 58$, cis : trans $)$ as an orange powder.

Entry 10, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.38 ( $0.135 \mathrm{~g}, 0.182 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with $t$-BuOK ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt for 5 h according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ and followed by trituration with
pentane afforded complex ( $\pm$ )-4.42 ( $0.106 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) (dr $75: 25$, cis : trans) as an orange powder.

Entry 11, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.38 ( $0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with KHMDS ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at rt for 5 h according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ and followed by trituration with pentane afforded complex ( $\pm$ )-4.42 ( $0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ) (dr $57: 43$, cis : trans) as an orange powder.

Entry 12, Table 4.4: Amide complex ( $\pm$ )-4.38 ( $0.074 \mathrm{~g}, 0.100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with LDA $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h})$ to $\mathrm{rt}(4 \mathrm{~h})$ according to the general procedure described above. Elution with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(20: 1)$ and followed by trituration with pentane afforded complex ( $\pm$ )-4.42 (0.038 g, 64\%) (dr $83: 17$, cis : trans) as an orange powder.

## Description of the experimental procedures for the experiments reported in

## Table 4.5

Entry 1, Table 4.5: A solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 0.141 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{dr} 88$ : 12, cis : trans) in 2 mL THF was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solution was then filtered through celite and the celite washed with DCM until colorless. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the reside triturated with pentane to afford palladacycle $( \pm)$-4.40 $(0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 88: 12$, cis : trans $)$ as a light orange powder. Entry 2, Table 4.5: A solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.41 ( $0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 0.076 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{dr} 91$ : 9, cis : trans) in 2 mL THF was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solution was then filtered through celite and the celite washed with DCM until colorless. The solvents were
removed under reduced pressure, and the reside triturated with pentane to afford palladacycle $( \pm)-4.41(0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 83: 17$, cis : trans $)$ as a light orange powder. Entry 3, Table 4.5: A solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.42 ( $0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{dr} 63$ : 37, cis : trans) in 1.5 mL THF was heated to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the reside triturated with pentane to afford palladacycle $( \pm)-4.42(0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)(\mathrm{dr} 50: 50$, cis : trans $)$ as an orange powder.

## Description of the experimental procedures for the experiments reported in

## Table 4.6

Entry 1, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 $(0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr $90: 10$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.40(0.042 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $94: 6$.

Entry 2, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr $90: 10$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(46 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.40(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of 93 : 7 .

Entry 3, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr $90: 10$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 min . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.40(0.042 \mathrm{~g}$, $83 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $88: 12$.

Entry 4, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.051 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr $90: 10$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 min . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.40(0.042 \mathrm{~g}$, $82 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $78: 22$.

Entry 5, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.41 ( $0.076 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr 87 : 13 in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.41(0.069 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $42: 58$.

Entry 6, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.41 ( $0.076 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr $87: 13$ in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.41(0.070 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $42: 58$.

Entry 7, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle ( $\pm$ )-4.42 ( $0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF with dr $42: 58(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.42(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $22: 78$.

Entry 8, Table 4.6: To a solution of palladacycle $( \pm)-4.42(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ with dr 42 : 58 in THF ( 2.5 mL ) was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 3 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded recovered $( \pm)-4.42(0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ recovery yield) with a dr of $23: 77$.

## Description of the experimental procedures for the reactions of complex 4.46

 reported in Scheme 4.22To a solution of palladacycle 4.46 with dr 81 : 19, "cis : trans" ( 0.092 mmol ) in THF $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt under argon was added a 1 M solution of potassium tert-butoxide ( 0.1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3.5 h . The crude reaction mixture was loaded on the top of a short plug of silica. Elution with ethyl acetate followed by removal of solvents under reduced pressure and trituration with hexanes afforded the recovered complex 4.46 in the indicated yields and diastereomeric ratios dr $57: 43$, "cis : trans". The ratios of diastereomers in the recovered complex 4.46 (4.46a : 4.46b $: \mathbf{4 . 4 6 c}: \mathbf{4 . 4 6 d}=1.1: 1.6: 1 .: 1)$ were established by integration of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra.

Description of experiment reported in Scheme 4.18 and Figures 4.6 and 4.7
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.41 ( $0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\mathrm{dr} 68: 32$ was dissolved in THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ( 0.5 mL ) inside an NMR tube. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) were recorded before addition of base. Ten minutes after the addition of potassium tert-butoxide ( 1.0 M in THF, $26 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 162 MHz, THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) spectrum indicated a change in the diastereomeric ratio, reaching the ratio (dr $41: 59$ cis : trans) within one hour.

## Description of experiment reported in Figure 4.15

Complex $( \pm)-4.40(0.008 \mathrm{~g}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS $(0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 0.006$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dichloromethane $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was allowed to stir
for 3.5 h at rt under argon, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ).

## Description of experiments reported in Figure 4.16

## $40 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS

Complex $( \pm)-4.37(0.101 \mathrm{~g}, 0.144 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS $)(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.057$ mmol ) were dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ). The solution was allowed to stir for 3.5 h at rt under argon, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ).

## $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS

Complex $( \pm)-4.37(0.094 \mathrm{~g}, 0.134 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS) $(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.027$ mmol) were dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ). The solution was allowed to stir for 3.5 h at rt under argon, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ).

## $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS

Complex $( \pm)-4.37(0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 0.206 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S, S)$-CHIRAPHOS) $(0.009 \mathrm{~g}, 0.021$
mmol ) were dissolved in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was allowed to stir for 3.5 h at rt under argon, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the crude product, which was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ).

## Description of experiments reported in Figure 4.17

Reaction of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 with ( $S, S$ )-BDPP
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S, S)$-BDPP $(0.010 \mathrm{~g}, 0.022 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. $\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum indicating a complete conversion was recorded after 3 hours.

Reaction of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 with ( $S, S$ )-DIOP
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{S}$ )-DIOP ( $0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. $\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum indicating a complete conversion was recorded after 3 hours. Reaction of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 with ( $S$ )-BINAP Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.37 ( $0.014 \mathrm{~g}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S)$-BINAP ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. A ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum indicating incomplete conversion was recorded after 24 hours.

## Description of experiments reported in Figures 4.18 and 4.19

Reaction of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 with $(S, S)$-BDPP
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}$, dr cis : trans $85: 15$ ) and ( $S, S$ )-BDPP $(0.027 \mathrm{~g}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. $\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum indicating complete conversion was recorded after 3 hours. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum did not give useful data for the differentiation of diastereomers.

Reaction of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 with $(S, S)$-BDPP
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 ( $0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol}$, dr cis : trans $85: 15$ ) and ( $S, S$ )-DIOP ( 0.031 $\mathrm{g}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. $\mathrm{A}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ spectrum indicating complete conversion was
recorded after 3 hours. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum did not give useful data for the differentiation of diastereomers.

Reaction of complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 with (S)-BINAP
Complex ( $\pm$ )-4.40 (0.018 g, 0.033 mmol , dr cis : trans $85: 15)$ and ( $S$ )-BINAP ( 0.041 $\mathrm{g}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and transferred into an NMR tube. $\mathrm{A}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum indicating complete conversion was recorded after 7 hours. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) spectrum did not give useful data for the differentiation of diastereomers.
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## Appendix

Selected NMR spectra and X-Ray Crystallographic Data
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## Comments

The asymmetric unit contains one $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ trans $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$ molecule. The crystal used for data collection was a 2-domain twin with the major domain accounting for $92 \%$ of the sample volume and the minor domain accounting for $8 \%$ of the volume. All displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## Experimental Description

Crystals of [(trans- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$ ] are, at $100(2) \mathrm{K}$, monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{Cc}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}^{4}(\mathrm{No} 9).(1)$ with $\mathbf{a}=11.021(1) \AA, \mathbf{b}=20.163(2) \AA, \mathbf{c}=$ $11.690(1) \AA, \beta=90.247(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=2597.6(5) \AA^{3}$ and $\mathrm{Z}=4$ molecules $\left\{\mathrm{d}_{\text {calcd }}=1.406\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} ; \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.740 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right\}$. A full hemisphere of diffracted intensities (1850 30 -second frames with a $\omega$ scan width of $0.30^{\circ}$ ) was measured for a 2-domain specimen using graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha \operatorname{radiation}(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD Single Crystal Diffraction System (2). X-rays were provided by a fine-focus sealed x-ray tube operated at 50 kV and 35 A . Lattice constants were determined with the Bruker SAINT software package using peak centers for 6609 reflections. A total of 11027 integrated reflection intensities having $2 \theta\left((\operatorname{MoK} \alpha)<52.00^{\circ}\right.$ were produced using the Bruker program SAINT(3); 5053 of these were unique and gave $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.037$ with a coverage which was $100.0 \%$ complete. The data were corrected empirically for variable absorption effects using equivalent reflections; the relative transmission factors ranged from 0.886 to 1.000 . The Bruker software package SHELXTL was used to solve the structure using "direct methods" techniques. All stages of weighted full-matrix least-squares refinement
were conducted using $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ data with the SHELXTL Version 6.10 software package(4).
All five methyl groups were incorporated into the structural model as rigid groups (using idealized sp ${ }^{3}$-hybridized geometry and a C-H bond length of $0.98 \AA$ ) which were allowed to rotate about their $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds during least-squares refinement cycles. The remaining hydrogen atoms were included into the structural model as idealized atoms (assuming $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ - or $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridization of the carbon atoms and C-H bond lengths of $0.95-1.00 \AA$ ). The isotropic thermal parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed at values 1.2 (nonmethyl) or 1.5 (methyl) times the equivalent isotropic thermal parameter of the carbon atom to which they are covalently bonded.

The final structural model incorporated anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for all hydrogen atoms. It also took into account the fact that the crystal used for data collection was a $93 / 7$ racemic twin. Mild restraints had to be imposed on the anisotropic thermal parameters for two carbon atoms $[\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(27)]$. A total of 313 parameters were refined using 14 restraints, 5053 data and weights of $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)+(0.0307 \mathrm{P})^{2}+16.938 \mathrm{P}\right]$, where P $=\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$. Final agreement factors at convergence are: $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (unweighted, based on F) $=0.057$ for 4660 independent absorption-corrected "observed" reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<52.00^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) ; \mathrm{R}_{1}($ unweighted, based on F$)=$ 0.063 and $\mathrm{wR}_{2}\left(\right.$ weighted, based on $\left.\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)=0.121$ for all 5053 independent absorptioncorrected reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<52.00^{\circ}$. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.000 in the final refinement cycle. The top 6 peaks ( 1.61 to $0.90 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ ) in the final difference
map were within $0.97 \AA$ of the Pd atom. There were no other peaks above $0.47 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ in the final difference Fourier which had a minimum electron density of $-0.71 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$.
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Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for [(trans-C $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 550.02 |  |
| Temperature | $100(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{Cc}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}^{4}(\mathrm{No.9})$ |  |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathbf{a}=11.021(1) \AA$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=90.000^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{b}=20.163(2) \AA$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}=90.247(2)^{\circ}$ |


|  | $\mathbf{c}=11.690(1) \AA \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}=90.000^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Volume | 2597.6(5) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.406 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.740 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1144 |
| Crystal size | $0.25 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | $2.11^{\circ}$ to $26.00^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-14 \leq 1 \leq 14$ |
| Reflections collected | 11027 |
| Independent reflections | $5053\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.037\right]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=26.00^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.000 and 0.886 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5053/14/313 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.248 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.057, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.119$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.063, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.121$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.0(1) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.61 and $-0.71 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ |

$R_{1}=\Sigma\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right.$
$w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$
Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ trans $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | $4012(1)$ | $2476(1)$ | $4566(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| O | $5486(5)$ | $989(3)$ | $6576(5)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $3994(6)$ | $3293(3)$ | $3300(5)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2111(6)$ | $2808(3)$ | $4766(5)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $6070(6)$ | $1597(3)$ | $5044(6)$ | $17(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $4034(8)$ | $1718(4)$ | $5692(7)$ | $20(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $5261(7)$ | $1406(4)$ | $5801(6)$ | $19(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $5763(7)$ | $2191(4)$ | $4334(7)$ | $18(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $3090(6)$ | $1200(3)$ | $5409(6)$ | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $3110(7)$ | $905(4)$ | $4323(6)$ | $26(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2270(8)$ | $425(4)$ | $4046(7)$ | $34(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1404(8)$ | $224(4)$ | $4817(7)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1417(8)$ | $498(5)$ | $5875(9)$ | $31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $2248(6)$ | $979(4)$ | $6201(6)$ | $26(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $7269(7)$ | $1322(4)$ | $4986(6)$ | $23(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $7588(6)$ | $1009(3)$ | $3840(6)$ | $19(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $6782(7)$ | $605(4)$ | $3267(7)$ | $26(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $7079(7)$ | $288(4)$ | $2259(6)$ | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $8222(7)$ | $393(4)$ | $1805(6)$ | $26(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $9033(7)$ | $795(4)$ | $2346(7)$ | $31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $8712(7)$ | $1116(4)$ | $3370(7)$ | $30(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $6622(7)$ | $2743(4)$ | $4710(6)$ | $15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $6607(7)$ | $3012(4)$ | $5840(6)$ | $25(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $7388(6)$ | $3502(4)$ | $6185(6)$ | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $8248(6)$ | $3762(4)$ | $5426(7)$ | $26(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $8290(6)$ | $3512(4)$ | $4332(6)$ | $21(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $7503(6)$ | $3005(3)$ | $3992(6)$ | $20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $9083(7)$ | $4312(4)$ | $5818(8)$ | $36(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $2684(7)$ | $3452(4)$ | $3082(6)$ | $21(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $2018(8)$ | $3452(4)$ | $4216(7)$ | $22(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $4586(6)$ | $3889(4)$ | $3796(6)$ | $17(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1219(7)$ | $2334(4)$ | $4278(8)$ | $23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $3123(4)$ | $2220(6)$ | $24(2)$ |  |
| $(30)$ | $2900(4)$ | $6015(6)$ | $26(2)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ for $\left[\left(\operatorname{trans}-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$

| Pd-C(1) | 2.016(7) | C(8)-C(9) | 1.355(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd-C(4) | 2.032(8) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.387(12) |
| Pd-N(2) | 2.213(7) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.524(9) |
| Pd-N(1) | 2.215(6) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.375(10) |
| O-C(2) | 1.261(9) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.377(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 1.458(9) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.381(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.484(9) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.385(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.500 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.360(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.454(10) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.407(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.483(10) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.391(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.513(9) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.428(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.316(10) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.370(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.434(9) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.403(10) |
| N(3)-C(4) | 1.496(9) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.376(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.497(11) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.510(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.510(10) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.397(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.525(11) | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.518(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.387(10) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.403(10) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.377(11) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.375(12) |  |  |

Table 4. Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ trans $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $82.2(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $99.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $178.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $178.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $97.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $80.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $109.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $109.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $108.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $113.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $109.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $106.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $111.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $107.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $107.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $106.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $113.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $111.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $123.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $117.1(6)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.3(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $112.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $112.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $123.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $121.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $115.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $106.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $111.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $110.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $118.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $122.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $118.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $119.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $121.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $118.2(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $122.7(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $119.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $114.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $118.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.4(7)$ |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $122.2(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $118.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $120.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $120.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $119.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $115.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $122.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $122.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $122.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $120.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $118.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $121.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $119.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $120.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $122.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $108.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $110.6(7)$ |

Table 5. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for [(trans-
$\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | $18(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| O | $23(3)$ | $45(3)$ | $36(3)$ | $18(3)$ | $-9(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $15(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $10(3)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-1(3)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $11(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $-2(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $-2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $16(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $30(5)$ | $22(4)$ | $8(3)$ | $10(3)$ | $-3(3)$ | $-6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $17(3)$ | $24(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $-15(3)$ | $-1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $20(4)$ | $17(4)$ | $18(4)$ | $1(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $7(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $31(4)$ | $30(4)$ | $17(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $-4(3)$ | $2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $42(5)$ | $35(4)$ | $24(4)$ | $-8(3)$ | $-14(4)$ | $-3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $31(4)$ | $30(4)$ | $42(5)$ | $-1(4)$ | $-19(4)$ | $-8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $16(4)$ | $27(5)$ | $50(6)$ | $10(4)$ | $3(4)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $18(4)$ | $37(4)$ | $23(4)$ | $-4(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $27(4)$ | $33(4)$ | $9(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $17(3)$ | $26(4)$ | $13(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $-1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $15(4)$ | $30(5)$ | $32(4)$ | $10(4)$ | $0(3)$ | $-1(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $28(4)$ | $27(4)$ | $14(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $36(4)$ | $23(4)$ | $18(4)$ | $3(3)$ | $-3(3)$ | $11(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $15(4)$ | $41(5)$ | $38(5)$ | $3(4)$ | $4(3)$ | $3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $27(4)$ | $38(4)$ | $25(4)$ | $-3(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $9(4)$ | $26(4)$ | $12(4)$ | $-1(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $28(4)$ | $31(4)$ | $15(3)$ | $-2(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $23(4)$ | $38(4)$ | $9(3)$ | $2(3)$ | $-9(3)$ | $7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $16(3)$ | $27(4)$ | $33(4)$ | $-2(3)$ | $-9(3)$ | $2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $8(3)$ | $34(4)$ | $21(4)$ | $2(3)$ | $-3(3)$ | $-2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $20(3)$ | $27(4)$ | $13(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $-11(3)$ | $2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $24(4)$ | $43(5)$ | $40(5)$ | $-8(4)$ | $-11(4)$ | $-7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $21(4)$ | $34(4)$ | $7(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $-8(3)$ | $-4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $18(4)$ | $25(4)$ | $23(4)$ | $3(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $-5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $5(3)$ | $25(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $18(3)$ | $38(4)$ | $17(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $26(4)$ | $36(4)$ | $18(4)$ | $5(3)$ | $4(3)$ | $-4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $20(4)$ | $18(4)$ | $30(5)$ | $4(3)$ | $-8(3)$ | $-2(3)$ |

Table 6. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \mathrm{x}\right.$ $\left.10^{3}\right)$ for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ trans $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

|  | x | y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1) | 3824 | 1906 | 6458 | 24 |
| H(4) | 5899 | 2088 | 3508 | 22 |
| H(6) | 3703 | 1035 | 3780 | 31 |
| H(7) | 2288 | 228 | 3308 | 40 |
| H(8) | 813 | -98 | 4612 | 42 |
| H(9) | 833 | 354 | 6417 | 37 |
| H(10) | 2241 | 1155 | 6955 | 31 |
| H(11A) | 7355 | 982 | 5591 | 28 |
| H(11B) | 7862 | 1678 | 5153 | 28 |
| H(13) | 5995 | 542 | 3576 | 31 |
| H(14) | 6513 | 5 | 1886 | 27 |
| H(15) | 8442 | 182 | 1110 | 31 |
| H(16) | 9818 | 859 | 2032 | 37 |
| H(17) | 9272 | 1406 | 3735 | 36 |
| H(19) | 6034 | 2845 | 6372 | 30 |
| H(20) | 7347 | 3667 | 6945 | 28 |
| H(22) | 8859 | 3685 | 3803 | 25 |
| H(23) | 7573 | 2832 | 3239 | 24 |


| H(24A) | 9661 | 4416 | 5209 | 53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H(24B) | 9527 | 4168 | 6503 | 53 |
| H(24C) | 8603 | 4707 | 5997 | 53 |
| H(25S) | 2324 | 3117 | 2563 | 25 |
| H(25B) | 2612 | 3892 | 2714 | 25 |
| H(26A) | 2369 | 3796 | 4724 | 27 |
| H(26B) | 1152 | 3562 | 4085 | 27 |
| H(27A) | 4415 | 4275 | 3311 | 25 |
| H(27B) | 5464 | 3818 | 3839 | 25 |
| H(27C) | 4268 | 3968 | 4566 | 25 |
| H(28A) | 4478 | 3491 | 1680 | 36 |
| H(28B) | 5440 | 3040 | 2353 | 36 |
| H(28C) | 974 | 3081 | 6077 | 40 |
| H(29A) | 2375 | 3208 | 6369 | 40 |
| H(29B) | 1835 | 2471 | 6408 | 40 |
| H(29C) | 398 | 2514 | 4364 | 34 |
| H(30A) | 1278 | 1910 | 4684 | 34 |
| H(30B) | 2266 | 3465 | 34 |  |
| H(30C) |  |  | 1902 | 25 |

Table 7. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for [(trans- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-21(18)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $44.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-135.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-144(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-79.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $101.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $99(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $164.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-15.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $166.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-25(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-14.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-70.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $97(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $108.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $49.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-142(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-131.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $3.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-176.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-17)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-121.5(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $58.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-56(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $3.5(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-167.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-176.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $12.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-64.0(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $170.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $116.1(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-9.8(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $111.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-60.1(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $-8.8(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $106.7(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-179.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $2.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-166(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-176(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-10)$ |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-127.1(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-69.6(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $56.6(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.7(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $179.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-0.2(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-1.8(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.4(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-3.2(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-179.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.1(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $121.9(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-67.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-2.3(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $176.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-137(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-43.8(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.1(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-176.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.7(12)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $114.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-125.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-63.8(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $57.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.2(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $179.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $0.0(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $-0.3(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $178.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-0.7(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-179.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $-2.3(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $179.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $2.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-89.8(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $165.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-75.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $42.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-\mathrm{F})$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $162)$ |
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## Comments

The asymmetric unit contains one $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$ molecule. The crystal used for data collection was a 52/48 racemic twin. All displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## Experimental Description

Yellow crystals of $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$ are, at $100(2) \mathrm{K}$, orthorhombic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{4}$ (No. 19) with $\mathbf{a}=8.6209(7) \AA, \mathbf{b}=$ 11.898(1) $\AA, \mathbf{c}=25.296(2) \AA, \mathrm{V}=2594.7(4) \AA^{3}$ and $Z=4$ molecules $\left\{\mathrm{d}_{\text {calcd }}=1.408\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} ; \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.741 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right\}$. A full hemisphere of diffracted intensities (1850 10 -second frames with a $\omega$ scan width of $0.30^{\circ}$ ) was measured for a 2 -domain specimen using graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD Single Crystal Diffraction System (2). X-rays were provided by a fine-focus sealed x-ray tube operated at 50 kV and 30 mA . Lattice constants were determined with the Bruker SAINT software package using peak centers for 8698 reflections. A total of 31796 integrated reflection intensities having $2 \theta\left((\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<61.08^{\circ}\right.$ were produced using the Bruker program SAINT(3); 7897 of these were unique and gave $\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.067$ with a coverage which was $99.6 \%$ complete. The data were corrected empirically for variable absorption effects using equivalent reflections; the relative transmission factors ranged from 0.752 to 1.000 . The Bruker software package SHELXTL was used to solve the structure using "direct methods" techniques. All stages of weighted full-matrix least-squares refinement were conducted using $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ data with the SHELXTL Version 6.10 software package(4).

All five methyl groups were incorporated into the structural model as rigid groups (using idealized $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized geometry and a C-H bond length of $0.98 \AA$ ) which were allowed to rotate about their $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds during least-squares refinement cycles. The remaining hydrogen atoms were included into the structural model as idealized atoms (assuming $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ - or $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridization of the carbon atoms and C-H bond lengths of $0.95-1.00 \AA$ ). The isotropic thermal parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed at values 1.2 (nonmethyl) or 1.5 (methyl) times the equivalent isotropic thermal parameter of the carbon atom to which they are covalently bonded.

The final structural model incorporated anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for all hydrogen atoms. A total of 323 parameters were refined using no restraints, 7897 data and weights of $\mathrm{w}=1$ / $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)+(0.0442 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right]$, where $\mathrm{P}=\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$. Final agreement factors at convergence are: $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (unweighted, based on F$)=0.048$ for 7406 independent absorption-corrected "observed" reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<61.08^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$; $R_{1}($ unweighted, based on $F)=0.053$ and $w R_{2}\left(\right.$ weighted, based on $\left.F^{2}\right)=0.099$ for all 7897 independent absorption-corrected reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<61.08^{\circ}$. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.000 in the final refinement cycle. The final difference map had maxima and minima of 1.51 and
$-1.38 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$, respectively.
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Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 550.02 |  |
| Temperature | $100(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}-\mathrm{D}_{2}{ }^{4}(\mathrm{No.19)}$ |  |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathbf{a}=8.6209(7) \AA$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=90.000^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{b}=11.898(1) \AA$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}=90.000^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{c}=25.296(2) \AA$ | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}=90.000^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $2594.7(4) \AA^{3}$ |  |
| Z | 4 |  |


| Density (calculated) | $1.408 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.741 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1144 |
| Crystal size | $0.22 \times 0.19 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | $2.50^{\circ}$ to $30.54^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-16 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 17,-35 \leq 1 \leq 35$ |
| Reflections collected | 31796 |
| Independent reflections | $7897\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.067\right]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=30.54^{\circ}$ | 99.6 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 1.000 and 0.752 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7897 / 0 / 323 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.099 |
| Final R indices [ $1>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.048, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.098$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.053, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.099$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.0(10) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 1.51 and $-1.38 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ |
| $R_{1}=\Sigma\left\\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\left\|-\left\|F_{\mathrm{c}} \\| / \Sigma\right\| F_{\mathrm{o}}\right\|\right.$ |  |
| $w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma[w(\right.$ |  |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$. $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | $3241(1)$ | $4020(1)$ | $1932(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| O | $7598(3)$ | $5512(2)$ | $1882(1)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1087(4)$ | $3220(3)$ | $1628(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1918(4)$ | $3985(4)$ | $2680(1)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $5782(4)$ | $4890(3)$ | $1300(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5143(4)$ | $4799(3)$ | $2219(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $6316(4)$ | $5091(3)$ | $1789(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $4362(4)$ | $4233(3)$ | $1225(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $5912(4)$ | $4213(3)$ | $2679(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $6266(4)$ | $3061(3)$ | $2676(2)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $6958(4)$ | $2535(3)$ | $3109(2)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $7322(5)$ | $3154(4)$ | $3553(2)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $6955(5)$ | $4279(4)$ | $3571(2)$ | $33(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $6283(4)$ | $4813(3)$ | $3140(1)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $6709(5)$ | $5190(3)$ | $834(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $59508(5)$ | $6706(3)$ | $520(2)$ | $32(1)$ |
|  |  | $509(1)$ | $25(1)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $4019(5)$ | $7233(4)$ | $138(2)$ | $33(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $4031(5)$ | $6812(4)$ | $-369(2)$ | $36(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $4874(5)$ | $5867(4)$ | $-495(2)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $5738(5)$ | $5338(3)$ | $-104(2)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $4728(4)$ | $3158(3)$ | $939(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $5849(4)$ | $2410(3)$ | $1124(1)$ | $25(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $6170(5)$ | $1408(3)$ | $858(2)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $5348(5)$ | $1095(4)$ | $401(2)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $4281(5)$ | $1865(4)$ | $212(2)$ | $31(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $3991(4)$ | $2872(3)$ | $469(2)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $5655(6)$ | $-20(4)$ | $145(2)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-8(5)$ | $3221(4)$ | $2077(2)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{C}(25)^{\prime}\right)$ | $-8(5)$ | $3221(4)$ | $2077(2)$ | $35(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $655(9)$ | $3022(8)$ | $2582(3)$ | $33(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(26^{\prime}\right)$ | $262(8)$ | $4079(9)$ | $2476(3)$ | $26(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $405(5)$ | $3900(5)$ | $1197(2)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1280(5)$ | $2062(4)$ | $1443(2)$ | $44(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $2753(11)$ | $5091(5)$ | $2899(2)$ | $110(4)$ |
|  | $3293(5)$ | $3104(3)$ | $82(2)$ |  |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| $\overline{\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}}$ (1) | 2.020(4) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.396(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd-C(4) | 2.048(3) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.379(6)$ |
| Pd-N(2) | 2.209(3) | C(8)-C(9) | 1.375 (6) |
| Pd-N(1) | 2.223(3) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.388(5)$ |
| O-C(2) | 1.237(4) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.503(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.464(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.386(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.478(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.387(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.479(5) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.384(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.431(7) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.376 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.436(7) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.377(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(26^{\prime}\right)$ | 1.522(8) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.387(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.600(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.390 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.341(4) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $1.394(5)$ |
| N(3)-C(4) | $1.464(5)$ | C(19)-C(20) | $1.398(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.469(4) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.405(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.508(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.383(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.525(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.500(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.504(5) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.385(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.405(5)$ | C(25)-C(26) | 1.417(9) |
| C(5)-C(10) | $1.405(5)$ |  |  |

Table 4. Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| C(1)-Pd-C(4) | 82.8(1) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 120.1(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 96.9(1) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 173.4(2) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 118.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$-Pd-N(1) | 177.6(1) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 98.4(1) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 115.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$-Pd-N(1) | 81.8(1) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 112.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 108.6(3) | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 123.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 109.0(4) | O-C(2)-C(1) | 123.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 108.2(3) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 113.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 114.7(3) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 109.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 105.5(2) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 110.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 110.7(3) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 114.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 105.6(5) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 116.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(26^{\prime}\right)$ | 127.0(5) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 122.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(26^{\prime}\right)$ | 88.3(6) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 120.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 85.7(5) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 121.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 130.5(5) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 119.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(26{ }^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 50.3(5) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 119.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 119.1(3) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 121.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 111.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 121.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(26{ }^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 101.1(3) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 112.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 103.4(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 118.0(4) |


| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $121.4(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $121.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $121.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $116.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $118.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $123.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $121.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $120.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $119.3(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $122.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $121.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $122.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $116.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $115.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $121.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $107.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $121.8(3)$ |  |  |

Table 5. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $[($ cis-
$\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}[$ $\left.h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | $15(1)$ | $25(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| O | $23(1)$ | $40(1)$ | $27(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $16(1)$ | $35(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $17(1)$ | $66(2)$ | $21(1)$ | $-10(2)$ | $4(1)$ | $-9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $20(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $19(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $23(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $0(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $18(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $13(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $-3(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $17(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $1(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $28(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $32(2)$ | $52(3)$ | $16(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $29(2)$ | $50(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $-11(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $29(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $18(2)$ | $-12(2)$ | $-4(1)$ | $8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $24(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $3(1)$ | $6(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $22(2)$ | $30(2)$ | $23(2)$ | $7(1)$ | $4(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $38(2)$ | $32(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $40(2)$ | $31(2)$ | $29(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $38(2)$ | $44(3)$ | $27(2)$ | $17(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $8(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $36(2)$ | $51(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $28(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $27(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $20(2)$ | $26(2)$ | $16(2)$ | $-1(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $24(2)$ | $35(2)$ | $17(2)$ | $5(2)$ | $-4(1)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $34(2)$ | $28(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $6(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $31(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $-3(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $32(2)$ | $41(2)$ | $19(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $20(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $51(3)$ | $33(2)$ | $41(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $10(2)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $22(2)$ | $56(3)$ | $25(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{C}(25)^{\prime}\right)$ | $22(2)$ | $56(3)$ | $25(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $-7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $19(4)$ | $52(5)$ | $30(4)$ | $-3(4)$ | $10(3)$ | $-14(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(26^{\prime}\right)$ | $12(3)$ | $49(5)$ | $16(3)$ | $0(4)$ | $1(2)$ | $-3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $26(2)$ | $78(4)$ | $37(2)$ | $21(3)$ | $-11(2)$ | $-3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $30(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $61(3)$ | $-18(2)$ | $15(2)$ | $-13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $206(9)$ | $62(4)$ | $63(4)$ | $24(3)$ | $93(5)$ | $65(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $100(5)$ | $65(4)$ | $80(4)$ | $43(4)$ | $62(4)$ | $43(3)$ |
|  |  | $20)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Hydrogen coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

|  | X | y | z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(1) | 4770 | 5536 | 2361 | 25 |
| H(4) | 3661 | 4682 | 991 | 25 |
| H(6) | 6029 | 2628 | 2371 | 28 |
| H(7) | 7178 | 1753 | 3098 | 34 |
| H(8) | 7823 | 2806 | 3845 | 40 |
| H(9) | 7164 | 4696 | 3883 | 39 |
| H(10) | 6072 | 5595 | 3157 | 34 |
| H(11A) | 7189 | 4501 | 688 | 31 |
| H(11B) | 7556 | 5702 | 943 | 31 |
| H(13) | 4930 | 7007 | 867 | 38 |
| H(14) | 3412 | 7874 | 224 | 40 |
| H(15) | 3447 | 7180 | -636 | 44 |
| H(16) | 4864 | 5579 | -845 | 42 |
| H(17) | 6318 | 4684 | -190 | 35 |
| H(19) | 6405 | 2586 | 1437 | 30 |
| H(20) | 6961 | 927 | 988 | 34 |
| H(22) | 3729 | 1698 | -103 | 37 |
| H(23) | 3267 | 3383 | 319 | 33 |
| H(24A) | 4869 | -163 | -127 | 62 |


| H(24B) | 5610 | -615 | 413 | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(24C) | 6686 | -13 | -18 | 62 |
| H(25A) | -542 | 3957 | 2086 | 42 |
| H(25B) | -806 | 2639 | 2012 | 42 |
| H(25C) | -1071 | 3318 | 1937 | 42 |
| H(25D) | 37 | 2476 | 2251 | 42 |
| H(26A) | 1152 | 2272 | 2591 | 40 |
| H(26B) | -155 | 3050 | 2859 | 40 |
| H(26C) | -476 | 3981 | 2772 | 31 |
| H(26D) | 94 | 4833 | 2321 | 31 |
| H(27A) | -586 | 3568 | 1088 | 71 |
| H(27B) | 1117 | 3913 | 895 | 71 |
| H(27C) | 231 | 4669 | 1323 | 71 |
| H(28A) | 304 | 1796 | 1289 | 65 |
| H(28B) | 1566 | 1580 | 1742 | 65 |
| H(28C) | 2100 | 2035 | 1175 | 65 |
| H(29A) | 983 | 5075 | 3184 | 165 |
| H(29B) | 1411 | 5612 | 2623 | 165 |
| H(29C) | 2753 | 5342 | 3041 | 165 |
| H(30A) | 1717 | 3326 | 3397 | 123 |
| H(30B) | 3472 | 3564 | 3224 | 123 |
| H(30C) | 2555 | 2515 | 2981 | 123 |

Table 7. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)\right]$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-169(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $72.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-114.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-50(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-168.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $4.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $67(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-51.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $121.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-67.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-154.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $114.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $55.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-30.8(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-122.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(26{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $-160.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(266^{\prime}\right)$ | $113.4(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}\left(266^{\prime}\right)$ | $61.8(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-30.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-14)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-129.8(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $56.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $111(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-0.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-174.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-120(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $170.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-1.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-12.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $175.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-44.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-175.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $138.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $7.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $172.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-115.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $56.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $11.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-176.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-\mathrm{Pd})$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-153.3(10)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-62.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-80.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $48.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $100.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-130.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-0.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-179.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $-0.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-2.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-0.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $178.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-135.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $52.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $55.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-125.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-179)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -0.7(7) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -179.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -123.7(4) |
| Pd-C(4)-C(18)-C(23) | 111.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 54.7(4) |
| Pd-C(4)-C(18)-C(19) | -70.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | -2.1(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 179.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | -1.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 4.0(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | -176.1(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -2.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 177.8(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -1.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 3.8(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | -177.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 86.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ - $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -155.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -37.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 59.0(7) |


| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-165.9(5)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $87.2(7)$ |
| $\left.\mathrm{C}(26)^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $46.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-47.0(6)$ |

Crystal Structure Report
for cis-( $\pm$ )-4.41





## Comments

The asymmetric unit contains one $\left[\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \operatorname{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ molecule. All displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## Experimental Description

Pale yellow crystals of [cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ are, at $100(2)$ K, monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}{ }^{5}(\mathrm{No}$. 14) (1) with $\mathbf{a}=9.4625(4) \AA, \mathbf{b}=21.0456(9)$ $\AA, \mathbf{c}=19.7459(8) \AA, \boldsymbol{\beta}=99.638(1)^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=3876.8(3) \AA^{3}$ and $\mathrm{Z}=4$ molecules $\left\{\mathrm{d}_{\text {calcd }}=1.426\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} ; \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.601 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right\}$. A full hemisphere of diffracted intensities (1850 10second frames with a $\omega$ scan width of $0.30^{\circ}$ ) was measured for a single-domain specimen using graphite-monochromated MoK $\alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD Single Crystal Diffraction System (2). X-rays were provided by a fine-focus sealed xray tube operated at 50 kV and 30 mA . Lattice constants were determined with the Bruker SAINT software package using peak centers for 6831 reflections. A total of 48863 integrated reflection intensities having $2 \theta\left((\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<61.06^{\circ}\right.$ were produced using the Bruker program $\operatorname{SAINT}(3) ; 11852$ of these were unique and gave $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.053$ with a coverage which was $99.8 \%$ complete. The data were corrected empirically (4) for variable absorption effects using equivalent reflections; the relative transmission factors ranged from 0.910 to 1.000 . The Bruker software package SHELXTL was used to solve the structure using "direct methods" techniques. All stages of weighted full-matrix least-squares refinement were conducted using $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ data with the SHELXTL Version 6.10 software package(5).

The final structural model incorporated anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for all hydrogen atoms. All
hydrogen atoms were located in a difference Fourier and included in the structural model as independent isotropic atoms whose parameters were allowed to vary in least-squares refinement cycles. A total of 667 parameters were refined using no restraints, 11852 data and weights of $w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)+(0.0338 \mathrm{P})^{2}+1.4061 \mathrm{P}\right]$, where $\mathrm{P}=\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$. Final agreement factors at convergence are: $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (unweighted, based on F) $=0.038$ for 9729 independent absorption-corrected "observed" reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<61.06^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) ; \mathrm{R}_{1}($ unweighted, based on F$)=$ 0.052 and $\mathrm{wR}_{2}\left(\right.$ weighted, based on $\left.\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)=0.082$ for all 11852 independent absorptioncorrected reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<61.06^{\circ}$. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.001 in the final refinement cycle. The final difference map had maxima and minima of 0.67 and $-0.44 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$, respectively.

## Acknowledgment

This X-Ray analysis was performed by Victor W. Day.

## References

(1) International Tables for Crystallography, Vol A, $4^{\text {th }}$ ed., Kluwer: Boston (1996).
(2) Data Collection: SMART Software Reference Manual (1998). Bruker-AXS, 5465 E. Cheryl Parkway, Madison, WI 53711-5373 USA.
(3) Data Reduction: SAINT Software Reference Manual (1998). Bruker-AXS, 6300 Enterprise Dr., Madison, WI 53719-1173, USA.
(4) G. M. Sheldrick (2002). SADABS. Program for Empirical Absorption Correction of Area Detector Data. University of Göttingen, Germany.
(5) G. M. Sheldrick (2000). SHELXTL Version 6.10 Reference Manual. Bruker-AXS, 5465

## E. Cheryl Parkway, Madison, WI 53711-5373 USA.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for [cis$\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NOP}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 832.20 |
| Temperature | 100(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}{ }^{5}$ (No. 14) |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathbf{a}=9.4625(4) \AA \quad \alpha=90.000^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{b}=21.0456(9) \AA \quad \beta=99.638(1)^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathbf{c}=19.7459(8) \AA \quad \gamma=90.000^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 3876.8(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.426 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.601 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1720 |
| Crystal size | $0.16 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | $2.39^{\circ}$ to $30.53^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-30 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 30,-28 \leq 1 \leq 28$ |
| Reflections collected | 48863 |

Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=30.53^{\circ}$

Absorption correction

Max. and min. transmission

Refinement method

Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$

Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]
R indices (all data)

Largest diff. peak and hole
$11852\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.053\right]$
99.8 \%

Semi-empirical from equivalents
1.000 and 0.910

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
11852 / 0 / 667
1.033
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.038, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.078$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.052, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.082$
0.67 and $-0.44 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$
$R_{1}=\Sigma\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right.$
$w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for [cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \operatorname{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$. U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | $1501(1)$ | $6732(1)$ | $3161(1)$ | $12(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $-801(1)$ | $7100(1)$ | $2737(1)$ | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $335(1)$ | $5763(1)$ | $3128(1)$ | $14(1)$ |
| O | $5795(2)$ | $6876(1)$ | $4118(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $3484(2)$ | $6414(1)$ | $3666(1)$ | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $4527(2)$ | $6957(1)$ | $3849(1)$ | $15(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $3939(2)$ | $7539(1)$ | $3726(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2542(2)$ | $7613(1)$ | $3289(1)$ | $14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $4158(2)$ | $5854(1)$ | $3375(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $4383(2)$ | $5841(1)$ | $2695(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $5024(2)$ | $5323(1)$ | $2431(1)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $5424(2)$ | $4796(1)$ | $2839(1)$ | $28(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $5204(2)$ | $4798(1)$ | $3511(1)$ | $30(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $4595(2)$ | $5323(1)$ | $3775(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $4756(2)$ | $8107(1)$ | $3954(1)$ | $18(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $8431(1)$ | $4562(1)$ | $18(1)$ |  |
|  | $8201(1)$ | $4877(1)$ | $22(1)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C(14) | 2802(3) | 8506(1) | 5444(1) | 26(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(15) | 3530(3) | 9040(1) | 5712(1) | 27(1) |
| C(16) | 4640(3) | 9273(1) | 5409(1) | 31(1) |
| C(17) | 5010(3) | 8974(1) | 4837(1) | 25(1) |
| C(18) | 2689(2) | 7877(1) | 2598(1) | 15(1) |
| C(19) | 3701(2) | 7645(1) | 2227(1) | 20(1) |
| C(20) | 3739(2) | 7851(1) | 1564(1) | 24(1) |
| C(21) | 2785(2) | 8305(1) | 1246(1) | 21(1) |
| C(22) | 1823(2) | 8562(1) | 1627(1) | 21(1) |
| C(23) | 1769(2) | 8356(1) | 2289(1) | 18(1) |
| C(24) | 2803(3) | 8495(1) | 513(1) | 30(1) |
| C(25) | -2116(2) | 6500(1) | 2933(1) | 20(1) |
| C(26) | -1385(2) | 5971(1) | 3397(1) | 17(1) |
| C(27) | -1309(2) | 7836(1) | 3131(1) | 16(1) |
| C(28) | -737(2) | 7942(1) | 3816(1) | 20(1) |
| C(29) | -1009(2) | 8503(1) | 4139(1) | 25(1) |
| C(30) | -1848(2) | 8968(1) | 3782(1) | 25(1) |
| C(31) | -2443(3) | 8866(1) | 3104(1) | 27(1) |
| C(32) | -2179(2) | 8302(1) | 2778(1) | 23(1) |
| C(33) | -1304(2) | 7219(1) | 1813(1) | 18(1) |
| C(34) | -245(2) | 7310(1) | 1413(1) | 17(1) |
| C(35) | -608(2) | 7427(1) | 716(1) | 21(1) |


| C(36) | $-2034(2)$ | $7451(1)$ | $401(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $-3091(2)$ | $7339(1)$ | $792(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $-2744(2)$ | $7223(1)$ | $1489(1)$ | $24(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1025(2)$ | $5115(1)$ | $3697(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1252(2)$ | $5231(1)$ | $4403(1)$ | $23(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1880(2)$ | $4778(1)$ | $4863(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $2292(2)$ | $4197(1)$ | $4634(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $2057(3)$ | $4074(1)$ | $3937(1)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $1433(2)$ | $4530(1)$ | $3468(1)$ | $22(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $-227(2)$ | $5415(1)$ | $2281(1)$ | $16(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $302(2)$ | $5667(1)$ | $1717(1)$ | $21(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $-212(3)$ | $5445(1)$ | $1060(1)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $-1239(3)$ | $4974(1)$ | $957(1)$ | $29(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $-1758(2)$ | $4715(1)$ | $1513(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $-1259(2)$ | $4936(1)$ | $2169(1)$ | $20(1)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ for [cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \operatorname{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$.

| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.082(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $2.096(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.331(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.313(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.825(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.834(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.859(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $1.818(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $1.823(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $1.847(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.240(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.499(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.513(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $0.96(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $1.351(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.454(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.461(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $1.502(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $0.97(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.392(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.391(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $0.89(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.386(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $0.95(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.376(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $0.97(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | $0.95(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $0.99(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.511(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | $0.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $1.393(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.394(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.389(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.97(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $1.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.90(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $1.376(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.93(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.88(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.388(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.402(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.386(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19)$ | 0.96(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.389(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | 0.89(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.384(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $1.505(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.387(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 0.92(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 0.95(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.97(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.91(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.96(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.531(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.94(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.00(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.94(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.95(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 1.388(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.390(3) |


| C(28)-C(29) | 1.386(3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28)$ | 0.95(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)$-C(30) | 1.379(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | 0.97(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.379(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | 0.90(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.394(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | 0.91(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 0.92(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.389(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 1.405(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 1.384(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 0.96(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.388(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 0.98(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 1.381(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 0.97(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 1.382(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 0.96(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 0.99(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$-C(44) | 1.388(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$-C(40) | 1.395(3) |


| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.382(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40)$ | $0.91(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $1.383(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41)$ | $0.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $1.381(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $0.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $1.395(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $0.87(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44)$ | $0.90(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $1.395(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $1.400(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $1.387(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{H}(46)$ | $0.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $1.379(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{H}(47)$ | $0.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $1.387(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{H}(48)$ | $0.94(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $1.382(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{H}(49)$ | $0.89(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(50)$ |  |

Table 4. Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for [cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \operatorname{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $81.87(7)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $96.78(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $174.62(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $172.59(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $98.08(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $82.58(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $105.41(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $103.29(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $104.69(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $118.45(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $115.10(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $108.47(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $106.56(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $104.07(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $102.87(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $121.59(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $116.39(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $102.84(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $112.50(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $118.45(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $111.83(13)$ |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 111.4(15) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 102.5(15) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 98.0(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 122.69(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 123.05(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 114.18(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 120.64(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 120.48(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 118.45(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 111.31(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 109.72(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | 109.40(12) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 107.7(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 108.3(13) |
| Pd-C(4)-H(4) | 110.4(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 116.80(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 121.31(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 121.89(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 121.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 120.9(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 117.5(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 120.2(2) |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 121.0(15) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 118.8(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 119.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 121.8(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 119.0(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 120.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 121.8(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 117.8(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 121.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 119.6(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 118.5(15) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 113.93(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 107.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.9(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 118.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 119.26(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 122.77(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 120.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 122.5(17) |


| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $116.7(16)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $120.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $120.0(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $119.4(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $119.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | $120.3(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | $120.4(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $120.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | $123.5(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | $116.2(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $120.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | $123.3(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | $115.8(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $117.18(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $121.71(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $121.06(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $121.12(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19)$ | $117.6(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{H}(19)$ | $121.3(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $121.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | $122.7(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{H}(20)$ | $115.6(18)$ |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 117.32(19) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 122.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 120.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 121.44(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 119.6(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | 118.9(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.10(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 122.8(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 116.0(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})$ | 112.9(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.9(17) |
| $\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~B})$ | 106(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{C})$ | 113.7(17) |
| $\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{C})$ | 108(2) |
| $\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{H}(24 \mathrm{C})$ | 106(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 111.54(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 111.0(15) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})$ | 107.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.8(14) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~B})$ | 106.1(14) |
| $\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{H}(25 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 109.42(14) |


| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | $111.7(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})$ | $109.1(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~B})$ | $110.1(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~B})$ | $105.6(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{H}(26 \mathrm{~B})$ | $110.7(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $118.50(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $117.53(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $123.92(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $120.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28)$ | $119.0(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{H}(28)$ | $120.1(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $120.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | $119.2(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{H}(29)$ | $120.4(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $119.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | $121.2(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | $119.3(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $120.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | $122.3(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | $117.2(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $120.4(2)$ |
| $118.7(16)$ |  |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | 120.9(16) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 118.54(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 119.70(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 121.76(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 120.47(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 120.2(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{H}(34)$ | 119.4(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 120.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 118.3(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{H}(35)$ | 120.8(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | 119.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 119.8(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{H}(36)$ | 121.2(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 120.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 122.5(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{H}(37)$ | 116.6(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 120.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 123.8(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{H}(38)$ | 115.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | 118.45(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 123.67(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 117.71(15) |


| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | 120.9(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40)$ | 121.3(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{H}(40)$ | 117.7(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | 120.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41)$ | 119.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{H}(41)$ | 120.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | 119.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | 117.9(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | 122.9(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 120.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | 120.5(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | 118.6(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)$-C(44)-C(43) | 120.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44)$ | 119.7(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{H}(44)$ | 119.9(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 118.75(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 121.71(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 119.35(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 120.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{H}(46)$ | 120.5(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{H}(46)$ | 119.4(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 120.5(2) |


| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{H}(47)$ | $121.8(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{H}(47)$ | $117.7(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $120.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{H}(48)$ | $121.4(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{H}(48)$ | $118.6(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $119.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{H}(49)$ | $119.1(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{H}(49)$ | $120.9(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $120.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{H}(50)$ | $120.3(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{H}(50)$ | $118.9(15)$ |

Table 5. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for [cis-
$\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \mathrm{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{*}{ }^{2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | $11(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $12(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $17(1)$ | $-1(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $14(1)$ | $13(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $2(1)$ | $-2(1)$ |
| O | $16(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $30(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $-8(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $15(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $14(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $0(1)$ | $0(1)$ |


| C(2) | 15(1) | 18(1) | 12(1) | -1(1) | -1(1) | -1(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N(3) | 14(1) | 15(1) | 16(1) | 1(1) | -2(1) | -2(1) |
| C(4) | 13(1) | 14(1) | 16(1) | 0 (1) | 1(1) | -1(1) |
| C(5) | 10(1) | 16(1) | 23(1) | -2(1) | $0(1)$ | -1(1) |
| C(6) | 20(1) | 22(1) | 22(1) | -3(1) | 1(1) | 1(1) |
| C(7) | 21(1) | 33(1) | 26(1) | -11(1) | 0 (1) | 2(1) |
| C(8) | 15(1) | 22(1) | 45(2) | -12(1) | 2(1) | 2(1) |
| C(9) | 21(1) | 19(1) | 48(2) | 4(1) | 4(1) | 4(1) |
| C(10) | 21(1) | 22(1) | 29(1) | 4(1) | 3(1) | 4(1) |
| C(11) | 16(1) | 17(1) | 19(1) | 1(1) | 0 (1) | -4(1) |
| C(12) | 18(1) | 15(1) | 18(1) | 2(1) | -2(1) | 1(1) |
| C(13) | 24(1) | 22(1) | 20(1) | -1(1) | -2(1) | -8(1) |
| C(14) | 26(1) | 33(1) | 18(1) | 1(1) | 4(1) | -7(1) |
| C(15) | 34(1) | 29(1) | 19(1) | -5(1) | 5(1) | -2(1) |
| C(16) | 38(1) | 23(1) | 32(1) | -9(1) | 9(1) | -11(1) |
| C(17) | 28(1) | 21(1) | 28(1) | -5(1) | 9(1) | -8(1) |
| C(18) | 14(1) | 12(1) | 16(1) | -1(1) | $0(1)$ | -3(1) |
| C(19) | 18(1) | 23(1) | 20(1) | 5(1) | 3(1) | $6(1)$ |
| C(20) | 23(1) | 30(1) | 21(1) | 4(1) | 8(1) | 4(1) |
| C(21) | 24(1) | 19(1) | 18(1) | 2(1) | 0 (1) | -4(1) |
| C(22) | 23(1) | 14(1) | 24(1) | 4(1) | -5(1) | 1(1) |
| C(23) | 18(1) | 13(1) | 23(1) | -1(1) | 1(1) | 0 (1) |


| C(24) | 39(2) | 29(1) | 20(1) | 5(1) | 1(1) | -3(1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(25) | 14(1) | 19(1) | 26(1) | -2(1) | 4(1) | -1(1) |
| C(26) | 16(1) | 19(1) | 18(1) | -2(1) | 4(1) | -4(1) |
| C(27) | 13(1) | 18(1) | 18(1) | $0(1)$ | 4(1) | -1(1) |
| C(28) | 20(1) | 20(1) | 21(1) | 2(1) | 4(1) | 1(1) |
| C(29) | 25(1) | 27(1) | 22(1) | -6(1) | $6(1)$ | -4(1) |
| C(30) | 26(1) | 17(1) | 35(1) | -5(1) | 15(1) | -2(1) |
| C(31) | 28(1) | 22(1) | 33(1) | 7(1) | 10(1) | 9(1) |
| C(32) | 24(1) | 25(1) | 20(1) | 2(1) | 2(1) | 6(1) |
| C(33) | 15(1) | 19(1) | 17(1) | -3(1) | -2(1) | 2(1) |
| C(34) | 15(1) | 16(1) | 19(1) | -2(1) | -1(1) | $0(1)$ |
| C(35) | 21(1) | 20(1) | 20(1) | -2(1) | 2(1) | 1(1) |
| C(36) | 25(1) | 25(1) | 16(1) | -5(1) | -2(1) | 5(1) |
| C(37) | 19(1) | 36(1) | 20(1) | -7(1) | -5(1) | 4(1) |
| C(38) | 15(1) | 37(1) | 21(1) | -4(1) | 1(1) | 0 (1) |
| C(39) | 14(1) | 15(1) | 19(1) | 3(1) | $0(1)$ | -3(1) |
| C(40) | 25(1) | 21(1) | 22(1) | 1(1) | 2(1) | -3(1) |
| C(41) | 28(1) | 28(1) | 19(1) | 5(1) | $0(1)$ | -3(1) |
| C(42) | 24(1) | 26(1) | 26(1) | 9(1) | -3(1) | -1(1) |
| C(43) | 29(1) | 18(1) | 34(1) | 2(1) | 3(1) | 3(1) |
| C(44) | 23(1) | 20(1) | 22(1) | -1(1) | $0(1)$ | -1(1) |
| C(45) | 16(1) | 15(1) | 17(1) | -1(1) | -1(1) | 2(1) |


| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $23(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $1(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $37(1)$ | $26(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $3(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $33(1)$ | $31(1)$ | $19(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $-3(1)$ | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $22(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $28(1)$ | $-9(1)$ | $-5(1)$ | $-4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $19(1)$ | $18(1)$ | $22(1)$ | $-2(1)$ | $1(1)$ | $-3(1)$ |

Table 6. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\left[\right.$ cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \operatorname{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | $3210(30)$ | $6313(12)$ | $4100(13)$ | $29(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $1990(20)$ | $7910(11)$ | $3512(11)$ | $15(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | $4100(20)$ | $6177(11)$ | $2433(12)$ | $17(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | $5180(30)$ | $5339(12)$ | $1969(13)$ | $29(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | $5860(30)$ | $4436(13)$ | $2644(14)$ | $38(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | $5400(30)$ | $4435(13)$ | $3797(13)$ | $35(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $4440(30)$ | $5319(12)$ | $4261(13)$ | $30(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | $4650(20)$ | $8398(10)$ | $3600(11)$ | $12(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | $5760(30)$ | $7994(11)$ | $4079(12)$ | $21(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $2730(30)$ | $7847(13)$ | $4696(13)$ | $31(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $2080(30)$ | $8348(13)$ | $5641(14)$ | $39(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)$ | $3280(30)$ | $9240(12)$ | $6095(13)$ | $24(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(16)$ | $5210(30)$ | $9640(12)$ | $5577(12)$ | $27(7)$ |


| H(17) | 5690(30) | 9113(13) | 4620(14) | 36(7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(19) | 4380(30) | 7328(12) | 2415(13) | 28(7) |
| H(20) | 4370(30) | 7708(13) | 1316(14) | 38(8) |
| H(22) | 1180(30) | 8867(11) | 1433(12) | 23(6) |
| H(23) | 1100(30) | 8513(12) | 2552(13) | 30(7) |
| H(24A) | 2020(30) | 8311(12) | 194(14) | 32(7) |
| H(24B) | 2700(30) | 8925(14) | 467(13) | 35(7) |
| H(24C) | 3680(30) | 8395(13) | 357(14) | 37(8) |
| H(25A) | -2800(30) | 6717(11) | 3147(12) | 22(6) |
| H(25B) | -2580(20) | 6325(11) | 2483(12) | 23(6) |
| H(26A) | -1960(20) | 5605(11) | 3378(11) | 17(6) |
| H(26B) | -1140(30) | 6119(11) | 3855(13) | 24(6) |
| H(28) | -130(30) | 7632(11) | 4068(12) | 24(6) |
| H(29) | -570(30) | 8581(13) | 4611(15) | 40(8) |
| H(30) | -2010(30) | 9340(12) | 3981(13) | 29(7) |
| H(31) | -3020(30) | 9158(13) | 2851(14) | 38(8) |
| H(32) | -2540(30) | 8237(12) | 2322(14) | 31(7) |
| H(34) | 750(20) | 7290(9) | 1625(10) | 6 (5) |
| H(35) | 160(30) | 7511(12) | 454(13) | 32(7) |
| H(36) | -2300(30) | 7565(13) | -80(14) | 39(8) |
| H(37) | -4090(30) | 7316(13) | 596(14) | 38(8) |
| H(38) | -3460(30) | 7110(11) | 1786(12) | 24(6) |


| $\mathrm{H}(40)$ | $970(30)$ | $5613(12)$ | $4548(13)$ | $29(7)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{H}(41)$ | $2040(20)$ | $4871(11)$ | $5328(12)$ | $20(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $2780(20)$ | $3899(10)$ | $4921(11)$ | $15(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $2280(30)$ | $3708(12)$ | $3783(13)$ | $27(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(44)$ | $1350(30)$ | $4456(12)$ | $3016(13)$ | $28(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(46)$ | $950(20)$ | $5995(11)$ | $1783(11)$ | $18(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(47)$ | $160(30)$ | $5621(11)$ | $702(13)$ | $24(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(48)$ | $-1620(30)$ | $4832(12)$ | $515(13)$ | $26(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(49)$ | $-2380(30)$ | $4403(13)$ | $1457(13)$ | $31(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(50)$ | $-1590(20)$ | $4775(11)$ | $2525(12)$ | $17(6)$ |

Table 7. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for [cis- $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right] \operatorname{Pd}\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$.

| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $177.2(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $88.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $-97.3(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $51.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-37.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $136.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-65.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-154.8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $19.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $18.9(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | -56.2(6) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | -153.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | -113.8(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 171.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | 73.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 134.6(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 59.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | -37.97(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -132.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 52.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 137.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.8(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -173.9(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -89.3(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | -39.8(3) |
| Pd-C(1)-C(2)-O | -175.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 143.3(2) |
| Pd-C(1)-C(2)-N(3) | 7.2(2) |
| O-C(2)-N(3)-C(11) | -4.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 172.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 167.7(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -15.3(3) |


| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-105.7(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $66.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $15.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $-172.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-7.9(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $67.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $164.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $114.5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-169.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-73.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $101.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-125.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-78.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $54.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-179.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $179.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.5(43)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-\mathrm{l})$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-106.7(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $80.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $178.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $178.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $-0.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $0.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-0.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $0.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-178.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $45.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-75.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-136.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $101.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-3.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $173.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $-19(2) \mathrm{l})$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $176.4(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $0.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $3.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $-174.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $134.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-115.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $7.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $-39.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-177.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-66.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $54.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-164.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $86.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-32.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-1.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $176.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $145.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-95(29)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.3(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $-176.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-0.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $108.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-142.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-22.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $-71.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $37.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $157.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $2.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $-177.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $-0.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $-1.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | $1.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $-58.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $126.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $-118.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $-2.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $17.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(4-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $-167)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ |


| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $56.3(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $0.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $-174.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $-0.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $-0.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $0.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $-0.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $175.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(39)$ | $-0.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $58.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $-50.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $-162.1(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $-126.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $-0.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $-0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $-0.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | $0.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | $12.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | $0.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(50)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(50)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ |
| C |  |
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## Comments

The asymmetric unit contains one $\left[\left(c i s-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right]$ molecule and onehalf of a benzene solvent molecule of crystallization. This benzene solvent molecule of crystallization utilizes a crystallographic inversion center. All displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

## Experimental Description

Small yellow crystals of $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ are, at $100(2) \mathrm{K}$, monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ [an alternate setting of $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}{ }^{5}$ (No. 14)] (1) with $\mathbf{a}=$ $7.4268(5) \AA, \mathbf{b}=20.773(1) \AA, \mathbf{c}=18.483(1) \AA, \boldsymbol{\beta}=101.422(2)^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=2795.1(3) \AA^{3}$ and $\mathrm{Z}=$ 4 formula units $\left\{\mathrm{d}_{\text {calcd }}=1.495 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} ; \mu_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.699 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right\}$. A full hemisphere of diffracted intensities (1850 40-second frames with a $\omega$ scan width of $0.30^{\circ}$ ) was measured for a single-domain specimen using graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073$ $\AA$ ) on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD Single Crystal Diffraction System (2). X-rays were provided by a fine-focus sealed x-ray tube operated at 50 kV and 35 mA . Lattice constants were determined with the Bruker SAINT software package using peak centers for 1934 reflections. A total of 23847 integrated reflection intensities having $2 \theta\left((\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<50.00^{\circ}\right.$ were produced using the Bruker program SAINT(3); 4917 of these were unique and gave $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}$ $=0.097$ with a coverage which was $100.0 \%$ complete. The data were corrected empirically for variable absorption effects using equivalent reflections; the relative transmission factors ranged from 0.890 to 1.000 . The Bruker software package SHELXTL was used to solve the structure using "direct methods" techniques. All stages of weighted full-matrix least-squares refinement were conducted using $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}$ data with the SHELXTL Version 6.10 software
package(4).
The single methyl group was incorporated into the structural model as a rigid group (using idealized $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized geometry and a C-H bond length of $0.98 \AA$ ) with a "staggered" orientation. The remaining hydrogen atoms were included into the structural model as idealized atoms (assuming $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ - or $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridization of the carbon atoms and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond lengths of $0.95-1.00 \AA$ ). The isotropic thermal parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed at values 1.2 (nonmethyl) or 1.5 (methyl) times the equivalent isotropic thermal parameter of the carbon atom to which they are covalently bonded.

The final structural model incorporated anisotropic thermal parameters for all nonhydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for all hydrogen atoms. A total of 370 parameters were refined using no restraints, 4917 data and weights of $w=1 /$ $\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)+(0.0510)^{2}\right]$, where $\mathrm{P}=\left[\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right] / 3$. Final agreement factors at convergence are: $\mathrm{R}_{1}($ unweighted, based on F$)=0.065$ for 3472 independent absorption-corrected "observed" reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<50.00^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$; $\mathrm{R}_{1}($ unweighted, based on F$)=0.103$ and $\mathrm{wR}_{2}\left(\right.$ weighted, based on $\left.\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)=0.139$ for all 4917 independent absorption-corrected reflections having $2 \theta(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)<50.00^{\circ}$. The largest shift/s.u. was 0.000 in the final refinement cycle. The final difference map had maxima and minima of 1.28 and $-1.30 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$, respectively.
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Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) P\left(\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50$ $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OPd}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 629.05 |
| Temperature | 100(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ [an alternate setting of $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}{ }^{5}$ (No. |
| 14)] |  |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathbf{a}=7.4268(5) \AA \quad \alpha=90.000^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{b}=20.773(1) \AA \quad \beta=101.422(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{c}=18.483(1) \AA \quad \gamma=90.000^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | 2795.1(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.495 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.699 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 1292 |
| Crystal size | $0.10 \times 0.05 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | $2.25^{\circ}$ to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-8 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 8,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-21 \leq 1 \leq 21$ |
| Reflections collected | 23847 |

Independent reflections $\quad 4917\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.097\right]$
Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ} \quad 100.0 \%$

Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Refinement method
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})]$
R indices (all data)
Largest diff. peak and hole

Multi-scan
1.000 and 0.890

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
4917 / $0 / 370$
1.072
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.065, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.127$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.103, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.139$
1.28 and $-1.30 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$
$R_{1}=\Sigma\left\|F_{\mathrm{O}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}} \| / \Sigma\right| F_{\mathrm{O}}\right|\right.$
$w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{\mathrm{O}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd | $3810(1)$ | $1378(1)$ | $813(1)$ | $26(1)$ |
| O | $6215(7)$ | $2479(2)$ | $2625(3)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $1872(7)$ | $1183(3)$ | $-180(3)$ | $26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $3670(8)$ | $345(2)$ | $777(3)$ | $27(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5361(10)$ | $1545(3)$ | $1841(4)$ | $30(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $5447(10)$ | $2262(3)$ | $2023(4)$ | $33(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $4527(8)$ | $2633(3)$ | $1472(3)$ | $32(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $3955(10)$ | $2354(3)$ | $733(4)$ | $34(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $7233(9)$ | $1243(3)$ | $2063(3)$ | $24(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $8643(9)$ | $1425(3)$ | $1714(4)$ | $32(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $10373(10)$ | $1159(4)$ | $1930(4)$ | $39(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $10736(10)$ | $716(3)$ | $2505(4)$ | $40(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $9382(10)$ | $551(3)$ | $2860(4)$ | $32(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $7628(10)$ | $814(3)$ | $2648(4)$ | $33(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $4400(10)$ | $3328(3)$ | $1571(4)$ | $37(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2531(10)$ | $3540(3)$ | $1668(4)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1361(10)$ | $3150(4)$ | $1978(4)$ | $36(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C(14) | -265(11) | 3390(4) | 2096(4) | 43(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(15) | -793(11) | 4021(4) | 1894(4) | 46(2) |
| C(16) | 333(12) | 4398(4) | 1586(5) | 49(2) |
| C(17) | 1980(11) | 4164(4) | 1463(4) | 39(2) |
| C(18) | 5175(10) | 2571(3) | 215(4) | 29(2) |
| C(19) | 6989(10) | 2737(3) | 479(4) | 33(2) |
| C(20) | 8137(10) | 2949(3) | 15(4) | 34(2) |
| C(21) | 7494(12) | 2984(3) | -744(4) | 42(2) |
| C(22) | 5705(11) | 2819(3) | -1021(4) | 36(2) |
| C(23) | 4550(10) | 2611(3) | -556(4) | 34(2) |
| C(24) | 8716(12) | 3242(4) | -1227(4) | 53(2) |
| C(25) | 882(9) | 1614(3) | -616(4) | 29(2) |
| C(26) | -181(10) | 1468(3) | -1299(4) | 36(2) |
| C(27) | -208(9) | 831(3) | -1541(4) | 32(2) |
| C(28) | 715(10) | 377(3) | -1068(4) | 32(2) |
| C(29) | 1740(9) | 564(3) | -392(4) | 26(2) |
| C(30) | 2745(8) | 92(3) | 132(3) | 21(2) |
| C(31) | 2718(9) | -556(3) | 4(4) | 26(2) |
| C(32) | 3672(9) | -971(3) | 528(4) | 31(2) |
| C(33) | 4599(9) | -725(3) | 1175(4) | 30(2) |
| C(34) | 4570(9) | -72(3) | 1281(4) | 29(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})$ | 6650(20) | 4665(6) | 57(6) | 98(4) |


| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})$ | $5030(20)$ | $4374(4)$ | $-155(5)$ | $80(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})$ | $3280(20)$ | $4703(6)$ | $-230(5)$ | $104(4)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths $\left[\AA\right.$ ] for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.

| Pd-C(1) | 2.047(6) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.391(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pd-C(4) | 2.037(7) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.350(10) |
| Pd-N(1) | $2.135(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.396(9) |
| Pd-N(2) | 2.149 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.501(10) |
| O-C(2) | 1.231(8) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.388(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | 1.326(8) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.392(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 1.342(8) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.363(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 1.348(8) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.399 (11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 1.359(8) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.351(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.506(9) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.376(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.526(9) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.382(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 1.350(9) | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.411(9) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.462(8) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.395(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.466(8) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.392(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.511(9) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.369(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.386(9) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 1.493(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.388(9) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.397(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.383(10) | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.383(9) |


| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $1.395(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.355(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $1.373(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $1.372(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $1.384(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})$ | $1.337(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.474(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S}) \# 1$ | $1.352(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})$ | $1.447(16)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $1.366(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \# 1$ | $1.352(14)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: \#1: $-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{z}$.

Table 4. Bond angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis $\left.\left.-\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.

| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $82.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $120.1(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $99.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $111.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $171.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $123.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $174.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $123.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $102.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $113.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $76.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120.3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $118.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $126.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $115.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $111.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $117.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $109.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $127.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $113.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $115.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $118.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $110.5(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $120.1(6)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 121.3(6) | $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 119.6(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 120.1(7) | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 121.1(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 120.9(7) | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 121.4(7) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 119.3(7) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 123.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 120.5(7) | $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 118.1(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 120.8(7) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 118.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 112.9(6) | $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 119.8(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 118.8(7) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 121.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 118.1(7) | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 116.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 123.1(7) | $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | 121.5(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 119.8(7) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 121.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 120.7(8) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 114.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 119.5(8) | $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 123.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 120.6(8) | $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 120.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 120.6(8) | $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 118.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 116.3(7) | $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | 118.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 121.1(6) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 123.7(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 122.6(6) | $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S}) \# 1$ | 119.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 122.3(7) | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})$ | 123.6(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 120.4(7) | $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \# 1-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})$ | 116.6(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 118.5(7) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | 121.8(8) |  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: \#1: -x+1, -y+1, -z.
Table 5. Anisotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for [(cis-
$\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{*}{ }^{2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a^{*} b^{*} U_{12}\right]$

|  | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | $29(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $24(1)$ | $-4(1)$ | $5(1)$ | $2(1)$ |
| O | $54(4)$ | $40(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $-9(2)$ | $4(3)$ | $-1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $23(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $26(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $4(3)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $29(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $23(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $7(2)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $38(4)$ | $31(4)$ | $19(4)$ | $-8(3)$ | $-1(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $34(4)$ | $33(4)$ | $35(4)$ | $-2(4)$ | $14(4)$ | $4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $42(4)$ | $26(3)$ | $29(3)$ | $-2(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $-1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $37(4)$ | $27(4)$ | $35(4)$ | $-5(3)$ | $0(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $38(4)$ | $15(4)$ | $18(3)$ | $-10(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $42(4)$ | $28(4)$ | $27(4)$ | $-6(3)$ | $7(3)$ | $-5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $33(5)$ | $46(5)$ | $41(5)$ | $-8(4)$ | $12(4)$ | $-6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $34(5)$ | $35(4)$ | $45(5)$ | $-9(4)$ | $-5(4)$ | $8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $40(5)$ | $33(4)$ | $18(4)$ | $-3(3)$ | $-6(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $34(4)$ | $32(4)$ | $34(4)$ | $-9(3)$ | $9(3)$ | $-4(3)$ |


| C(11) | 51(5) | 29(4) | 31(4) | -12(3) | 8(4) | -1(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C(12) | 39(4) | 33(4) | 29(4) | -8(4) | -1(3) | -1(4) |
| C(13) | 41(5) | 40(4) | 26(4) | -16(3) | 1(3) | 5(4) |
| C(14) | 43(5) | 53(5) | 32(4) | -17(4) | 3(4) | -7(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 41(5) | 49(5) | 43(5) | -18(4) | -2(4) | 12(4) |
| C(16) | 60(6) | 32(5) | 53(6) | -16(4) | 5(5) | 10(4) |
| C(17) | 49(5) | 33(4) | 33(4) | -2(4) | 1(4) | 5(4) |
| C(18) | 35(4) | 17(3) | 34(4) | -2(3) | 6(3) | 0 (3) |
| C(19) | 39(5) | 18(4) | 40(4) | -3(3) | 1(4) | 4(3) |
| C(20) | 28(4) | 33(4) | 40(5) | 3(4) | 3(3) | 4(3) |
| C(21) | 66(6) | 17(4) | 50(5) | 8(4) | 30(5) | 13(4) |
| C(22) | 51(5) | 26(4) | 29(4) | 3(3) | 2(4) | 8(4) |
| C(23) | 42(5) | 23(4) | 37(4) | 0 (3) | 5(4) | 2(3) |
| C(24) | 73(6) | 45(5) | 50(5) | 15(4) | 30(5) | 23(4) |
| C(25) | 30(4) | 23(4) | 36(4) | 3(3) | 8(3) | 0 (3) |
| C(26) | 41(4) | 33(5) | 33(4) | 14(4) | 8(3) | $0(4)$ |
| C(27) | 39(4) | 35(4) | 21(4) | -7(3) | 2(3) | -7(3) |
| C(28) | 46(5) | 27(4) | 23(4) | 1(3) | 10(3) | 0 (3) |
| C(29) | 28(4) | 30(4) | 23(4) | -4(3) | 9(3) | 0(3) |
| C(30) | 17(4) | 32(4) | 15(3) | 5(3) | 5(3) | 4(3) |
| C(31) | 33(4) | 24(4) | 23(4) | -6(3) | 9(3) | -2(3) |
| C(32) | 42(5) | 16(4) | 37(4) | -3(3) | 16(4) | 2(3) |


| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $34(4)$ | $32(4)$ | $25(4)$ | $6(3)$ | $7(3)$ | $-6(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $29(4)$ | $38(4)$ | $19(4)$ | $-6(3)$ | $3(3)$ | $-4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})$ | $181(15)$ | $55(8)$ | $50(7)$ | $5(6)$ | $4(8)$ | $15(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})$ | $173(13)$ | $28(5)$ | $37(6)$ | $-1(4)$ | $12(7)$ | $24(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})$ | $193(14)$ | $83(9)$ | $40(6)$ | $19(6)$ | $34(8)$ | $44(10)$ |

Table 6. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \mathrm{x}\right.$ $10^{3}$ ) for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 4630 | 1354 | 2188 | 36 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 2686 | 2514 | 528 | 41 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6)$ | 8420 | 1732 | 1326 | 39 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7)$ | 11326 | 1280 | 1682 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 11925 | 531 | 2646 | 47 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 9624 | 254 | 3258 | 38 |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 6692 | 699 | 2908 | 39 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 4702 | 3549 | 1136 | 44 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 5320 | 3460 | 2009 | 44 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 1695 | 2717 | 2108 | 44 |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | -1049 | 3125 | 2317 | 52 |
| $\mathrm{H}(15)$ | -1934 | 4184 | 1973 | 55 |


| H(16) | -15 | 4829 | 1452 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H(17) | 2747 | 4431 | 1237 | 47 |
| H(19) | 7470 | 2705 | 994 | 40 |
| H(20) | 9366 | 3071 | 219 | 41 |
| H(22) | 5241 | 2847 | -1537 | 43 |
| H(23) | 3319 | 2495 | -763 | 41 |
| H(24A) | 8036 | 3263 | -1738 | 80 |
| H(24B) | 9782 | 2958 | -1200 | 80 |
| H(24C) | 9134 | 3674 | -1060 | 80 |
| H(25) | 903 | 2048 | -451 | 35 |
| H(26) | -872 | 1791 | -1594 | 43 |
| H(27) | -848 | 714 | -2020 | 39 |
| H(28) | 650 | -65 | -1205 | 38 |
| H(31) | 2040 | -722 | -448 | 32 |
| H(32) | 3678 | -1421 | 437 | 37 |
| H(33) | 5256 | -999 | 1546 | 36 |
| H(34) | 5222 | 96 | 1736 | 35 |
| H(1S) | 7759 | 4428 | 84 | 117 |
| H(2S) | 5018 | 3926 | -261 | 96 |
| H(3S) | 2151 | 4485 | -394 | 125 |

Table 7. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ cis- $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right] \bullet 0.50 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.

| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-10.3(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $92.7(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $174.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $166.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-91.0(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-9.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $137(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-6.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-178.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-34(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-178.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $10.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-120.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $135.0(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $55.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-48.1(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $175.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-93.8(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-173.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}$ | $-8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | -130 |


| Pd-C(1)-C(2)-N(3) | $-1.2(8)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| O-C(2)-N(3)-C(11) | $-0.1(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $177.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $168.6(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-14.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-103.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $65.3(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $22.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Pd}$ | $-168.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-16.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $155.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $-161(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $108.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-79.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $-1.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-36(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-65.1(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $66.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-0.8(11)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.9(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-2.7(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-178.3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.9(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-106.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $85.1(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $-153.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $28.9(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-2.0(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $175.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.4(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-0.6(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $0.6(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-1.6(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $-1.3(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $28.0(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-175.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $-96(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-16)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-178.9(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $-1.9(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.5(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $177.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-1.0(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-176.5(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $0.8(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $-1.0(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $179.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $-4.5(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $171.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | $-0.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $4.5(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-4.4(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $4.79)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | $-0.3(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $179.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-175.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $8.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $-179)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $178.3(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | $-9.4(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $-179.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $178.6(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(28)-\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $-1.5(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-1.4(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-178.8(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $1.3(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-0.6(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $0.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $-171.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $-0.1(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S}) \# 1-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})$ | $1.7(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~S}) \# 1$ | $-1.6(18)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: \#1: $-\mathrm{x}+1,-\mathrm{y}+1,-\mathrm{z}$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ as of February 2009 from Aldrich. ${ }^{b}$ by the volumetric method (see Chapter Six).

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ as measured by commercial ICP-MS analysis. ${ }^{b}$ by the volumetric method, see Chapter Six ${ }^{c}$ not measured

[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of isolated products. ${ }^{b}$ range of swelling for samples

[^3]:    ${ }^{a}$ by commercial ICP analysis.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ reaction run at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~min} .{ }^{b}$ reaction run at room temperature for 5 h
    ${ }^{c}$ reaction run at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h followed by warming to rt and stirring for 4 h

[^5]:    ${ }^{a}$ all reactions run for 3 hours.

