

University of Massachusetts Medical School

eScholarship@UMMS

Emergency Medicine Publications and Presentations

Emergency Medicine

2018-12-01


The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health: an interactive, online, open-access curriculum preparing medical learners for global health experiences

Gabrielle A. Jacquet
Boston University

Et al.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emed_pp

 Part of the [Health Information Technology Commons](#), [International Public Health Commons](#), and the [Medical Education Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Jacquet GA, Umoren RA, Hayward AS, Myers JG, Modi P, Dunlop SJ, Sarfaty S, Hauswald M, Tupesis JP. (2018). The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health: an interactive, online, open-access curriculum preparing medical learners for global health experiences. *Emergency Medicine Publications and Presentations*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1503914>. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/emed_pp/166

Creative Commons License



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in *Emergency Medicine Publications and Presentations* by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.



The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health: an interactive, online, open-access curriculum preparing medical learners for global health experiences

Gabrielle A. Jacquet, Rachel A. Umoren, Alison S. Hayward, Justin G. Myers, Payal Modi, Stephen J. Dunlop, Suzanne Sarfaty, Mark Hauswald & Janis P. Tupesis

To cite this article: Gabrielle A. Jacquet, Rachel A. Umoren, Alison S. Hayward, Justin G. Myers, Payal Modi, Stephen J. Dunlop, Suzanne Sarfaty, Mark Hauswald & Janis P. Tupesis (2018) The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health: an interactive, online, open-access curriculum preparing medical learners for global health experiences, Medical Education Online, 23:1, 1503914, DOI: [10.1080/10872981.2018.1503914](https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1503914)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1503914>



© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 07 Aug 2018.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 266



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health: an interactive, online, open-access curriculum preparing medical learners for global health experiences

Gabrielle A. Jacquet ^a, Rachel A. Umoren^b, Alison S. Hayward ^c, Justin G. Myers^d, Payal Modi^e, Stephen J. Dunlop^f, Suzanne Sarfaty^g, Mark Hauswald^h and Janis P. Tupesisⁱ

^aDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; ^bDepartment of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA; ^cDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Brown University School of Medicine, Providence, RI, USA; ^dDepartment of Emergency Medicine, University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA; ^eDepartment of Emergency Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA; ^fDepartment of Emergency Medicine, Hennepin County Medical Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA; ^gDepartment of Internal Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA; ^hDepartment of Emergency Medicine, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque, NM, USA; ⁱDepartment of Emergency Medicine, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine, Madison and Public Health, University of Wisconsin - Madison, Global Health Institute, Madison, WI, USA

ABSTRACT

Background: Short-term experiences in global health (STEGH) are increasingly common in medical education, as they can provide learners with opportunities for service, learning, and sharing perspectives. Academic institutions need high-quality preparatory curricula and mentorship to prepare learners for potential challenges in ethics, cultural sensitivity, and personal safety; however, availability and quality of these are variable.

Objective: The objective of this study is to create and evaluate an open-access, interactive massive open online course (MOOC) that prepares learners to safely and effectively participate in STEGH, permits flexible and asynchronous learning, is free of charge, and provides a certificate upon successful completion.

Methods: Global health experts from 8 countries, 42 institutions, and 7 specialties collaborated to create *The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health* (PGGH): the first course of this kind on the edX platform. Demographic data, pre- and posttests, and course evaluations were collected and analyzed.

Results: Within its first year, PGGH enrolled 5935 learners from 163 countries. In a limited sample of 109 learners, mean posttest scores were significantly improved ($p < 0.01$). In the course's second year, 213 sampled learners had significant improvement ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusion: We created and evaluated the first interactive, asynchronous, free-of-charge global health preparation MOOC. The course has had significant interest from US-based and international learners, and posttest scores have shown significant improvement.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 11 April 2018
Revised 6 July 2018
Accepted 10 July 2018

KEYWORDS

Global health; international; MOOC; online; curriculum



Background

Short-term experiences in global health (STEGH) [1,2] – including clinical rotations, research, language immersion, and volunteer work – are becoming more common at all levels of USA (US) medical education. Medical student participation in STEGH increased from 8% in 1986 to 31% in 2015 [3,4]. An estimated 74–80% of US emergency medicine residency programs reported at least one resident participating in a GH learning experience during the surveyed year [5,6]. Similar interest has been demonstrated in many other specialties [7–18].

The skills learned while participating in a STEGH may correlate with Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education competencies [19] and are associated with career choices focused on caring for underserved populations [20,21]. Despite these benefits, ethical concerns remain regarding

individuals' motives and unintended impacts on host institutions and populations [22], particularly with short-term experiences as they are often unsustainable and lack adequate follow-up and supervision [23–31].

Participation in STEGH also involves health and safety risks to trainees. Learners may find themselves in health-care systems and cultures with which they are unfamiliar and may be challenged to navigate high-risk situations involving ethics, personal safety, and cultural sensitivity [32–34]. Additionally, when learners return home, they may experience reverse culture shock and associated psychological stress. Robust guidance and adequate preparation for safe and effective STEGH are necessary to mitigate these risks, optimize the learning experience, and increase the chance of making useful contributions to the host population.

CONTACT Gabrielle A. Jacquet  gjacquet@bu.edu  Department of Emergency Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Dowling 1 South Emergency; 1 BMC Place; Boston, MA 02118, USA

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Global health curricula vary greatly in quality and cost – from no preparation at all to 3-week intensive in-person GH boot camps that often include case discussions, simulation, and focused workshops [35–37]. Online guides and simulation cases [38,39] provide relevant information but may not be organized in the most useful way and may require resources that make them less accessible than a massive open online course (MOOC); MOOCs are accessible by large numbers of learners around the world, run continuously, and provide an additional benefit of a learning community which persists after the course ends [40]. The purpose of this innovation was to create a free, timeline-based, interactive MOOC with the following objectives: (1) to prepare medical trainees to safely and effectively participate in STEGH, (2) to permit flexible, asynchronous learning in a MOOC with peers from around the world, and (3) to provide an electronic assessment so that completion of each part of the course may be tracked by program leadership. This study was conducted to evaluate whether an innovative, interactive, open-access online course could effectively prepare its enrolled learners for GH learning experiences.

Methods

In 2014, GH faculty and learners were recruited on GH listservs and networks to participate in authoring a new MOOC for GH learners called *The Practitioner's Guide to Global Health* (PGGH). Volunteers from 8 countries (Canada, India, Kenya, Lebanon, Moldova, South Africa, United Kingdom, and USA), 42 institutions, and 7 specialties were recruited over educational listservs and assigned to teams. The edX.org is an online learning destination and MOOC provider that offers high-quality courses from well-renowned universities and institutions to learners worldwide. The edX platform was chosen because it offers all of our desired features, is available in a phone 'app,' and can be updated easily. Course content was peer-reviewed by course advisors – physicians chosen for their extensive GH experience and reputation, and who had not already contributed to the course – and subsequently uploaded to the edX platform.

PGGH is the first timeline-based, interactive, evaluative course that helps prepare learners to participate in safe and ethical GH experiences. It is important to note that each part should be completed in conjunction with in-person faculty mentorship tracked by the learner's home institution.

PGGH was first released in 2015 as a three-part open-access MOOC on the edX platform (www.edx.org) [41]. The production cost of this course is estimated at 75,000 USD – which falls within the quoted

range of 39,000–325,300 USD – and the annual maintenance cost is considerably less [42].

The curriculum begins with an introduction to the edX platform, faculty introductions, and a pretest. The content is delivered through a multimodal approach, using text and key points, case studies, documentary-style video narratives, photographic images, practice questions, reflective exercises, and active participation in discussion forums to encourage active participation. The course contains interactive case scenarios, faculty-moderated discussion boards, and video vignettes by faculty and learners with GH experiences that illustrate common issues one may encounter in each phase of planning, participating in, and returning from GH experiences. These important topics – including exceeding one's level of training, research ethics, use of photography, and dealing with requests for financial support – have been frequently reported by learners participating in GH experiences [33,43].

The PGGH curriculum is built on an extension of previously published timeline-based phases of a STEGH [44]: contemplation, preparation and participation, and reflection; as such, it is organized by topics and issues that may occur at different periods of time:

Part 1: 'The Big Picture' is to be completed 6–12 months before a STEGH and is relevant when trainees are contemplating whether/when/where to do a rotation. Part 1 asks the trainee to consider several important 'Big Picture' questions: Why do you want to have a STEGH? What kind of experience is appropriate for your current level of training? When and where should you do it? How will you fund it? Table 1 shows the syllabus for Part 1.

Part 2: 'Preparation and On The Ground' is to be completed 1–3 months in advance of the STEGH and provides a nuts and bolts 'how' toolkit for predeparture preparation and on-the-ground experiences. Part 2 addresses the logistics of planning, health, cultural awareness and sensitivity, and dealing with unexpected situations while abroad. Table 2 shows the syllabus for Part 2.

Part 3: 'Reflection' is to be completed toward the end of the learner's rotation or within 2 weeks of return from their STEGH to their home country. Part 3 helps the learner reflect on the challenges of returning home, dealing with unexpected physical and mental health issues, and planning for future work and sustainability. Table 3 shows the syllabus for Part 3.

Each part ends with a course summary, posttest, and evaluation. Upon obtaining a passing score of 70% or higher on each posttest, participants may receive a verified certificate from edX (for a \$50 fee) or a Credly [45] digital credentialing badge free of charge.

Table 1. Course objectives and syllabus for PGGH Part 1: The Big Picture.

Objectives	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Describe and prioritize your purpose and motivation for undertaking a GH experience 2. Differentiate between different types of GH experiences and determine which ones provide the best fit for you 3. Analyze factors including timing, duration, and location to plan an appropriate GH learning experience 4. Develop a plan to address logistical issues including personal, health, and security concerns that affect successful completion of a GH learning experience 5. Identify and describe options for funding and budgeting for a GH learning experience 	
Section	Content
Course introduction	Course overview edX walkthrough
Introduction Why?	Part 1 Overview Purpose and motivation Mentors Ethics and social justice Risks and benefits
What?	Structure and design of global health experiences Specific scenarios
When?	Timing of experience Duration of experience
Where?	Site safety Housing conditions Culture and politics Language Sustainability
How?	Overview of logistics Security, travel, and communication Personal Academic and professional
Funding	Overview of funding Sources of funding Budgeting

GH: Global health.

Table 2. Course objectives and syllabus for PGGH Part 2: Preparation and On The Ground.

Objectives	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Effectively prepare for and arrange airport transportation and travel documents for a smooth arrival 2. Improve cultural awareness and security preparedness in the areas of housing insurance, money, and clothing and evacuation 3. Identify proper vaccinations and medications to limit health hazards 4. Prepare an appropriately inclusive yet 'light' packing list that ensures preparation for emergencies and environmental exposures 5. Describe practical strategies for an enriched educational experience that benefits you and your host community 6. Navigate and manage personal and family responsibilities 7. Identify and avoid common medical issues that you may encounter on the ground 8. Recognize personal and property safety risks, including risks related to transportation and to drug and alcohol consumption 9. Identify professional, ethical, and cultural issues you may encounter 10. Use various modes of communication, including social media, responsibly 	
Section	Content
Preparation: logistics	Overview of logistical preparation (personal safety, insurance, money, emergency action plan, transportation, communication) Safety, transportation, and communication logistics Personal logistics (vaccinations, malaria and postexposure prophylaxis, mental health, family health, traveling as a couple) Academic and professional logistics
Preparation: to serve and to learn	Cultural sensitivity and differences Professionalism and ethics Educational experience
On the ground: logistics	Overview of logistics on the ground Safety, transportation, and communication logistics Personal logistics Academic and professional logistics
On the ground: serving and learning	Cultural competency and differences Professionalism and ethics Educational experience
On the ground: unexpected circumstances	In case of emergency

Table 3. Course objectives and syllabus for PGGH Part 3: Reflection.

	Objectives
	1. Identify and explain components of 'reverse culture shock' upon returning from a global health experience 2. Identify strategies for effectively 'reintegrating' into your home and work life upon returning from a global health experience 3. Effectively deal with potential health issues upon returning from a global health experience 4. Effectively advocate for other individuals at your institution to identify clinical opportunities, educational opportunities, and funding structures for future global health experiences
Section	Content
Reverse culture shock	What is culture shock? Preparing to return home The honeymoon Readjustment and adaptation
Reflecting	Reflecting Reflection exercises
Relationships	Old and new friends
Health issues	Feeling physically ill upon your return Feeling mentally ill upon your return
Future work	Staying involved Your future career Mentoring others
Course summary	Take home points Staying connected

Box 1. Sample self-reflection exercise from PGGH Part 1: purpose and motivation section.

The first step in pursuing a global health learning experience is to consider your motivations and goals. Why do you want to participate in a global health learning experience?

Please click 'New Post' and use the space below to list your top 3 motivations for pursuing a global health rotation

Learners have the opportunity to reflect on this question via the discussion forum. After they have responded, they can view other learners' responses and are led in guided self-reflection with the following discussion points

After some reflection, did some of your motivations include?

Desire to serve others, teach, learn new skills, work towards worldwide social justice, give of yourself, reduce health disparities, save as many lives as possible (idealism), seek distraction and relief from unpleasant realities (escapism), assist with a specific need in an existing medical system, assist with a specific gap in an educational curriculum, improve clinical diagnostic skills

Belief that such work is inherently worthwhile, that you are simply 'cut out' or have a 'calling' for work in global health, and that one must give of oneself to live a 'good life' (eudemonia)

Narrated videos of learners' personal experiences that relate to the topic are accompanied by text narratives, case studies, practice questions, or a faculty-moderated forum discussion. Sample material is shown in Boxes 1 and 2.

Course participants provided demographic information upon enrollment. An integrated pre- and posttest (consisting of multiple choice questions) assessed knowledge of learners before and after each part of the course. Course evaluations were solicited [46] and used for the 2017 course revision.

Demographics were reported using descriptive statistics. A visual inspection of the histograms and QQ plots for the difference in averages indicated a normal distribution of scores for all three parts of the course. Average pre- and posttest scores of the three parts were compared using a paired *t*-test.

Box 2. Sample case study from PGGH part 3: readjustment and adaptation section.

Melissa returns to school and is excited to see her classmates and friends again. However, she struggles with telling others about her experiences over the summer. They ask questions such as 'How was Uganda?' She struggles with a simple answer, but they do not seem interested in anything longer than a one word or sentence answer. She is struck by how much money her friends spend eating at restaurants. Thinking of how little money her NGO had to spend feeding so many hungry orphans, she feels guilty and selfish spending so much on herself

As classes begin, Melissa feels bored by the daily routine of lectures and wonders why she is doing this and if it really matters compared to the work she was doing this past summer. She finds herself pulling away from friends and family, spending more time alone

Symptoms of reverse culture shock (abbreviated)

- Family, friends, and old routines bore you after your excitement and challenges abroad
- You're irritated by inane questions about your experiences and answer sarcastically
- You feel that you can't fully explain your experience or its importance
- You feel alienated and experience 'reverse homesickness' for your host country
- You constantly criticize your own culture in comparison with where you've been

The authors' Institutional Review Board deemed this study exempt.

Results

Demographics of course participants from 26 October 2015 to 31 December 2016 are shown in Table 4.

US-based participants comprised 29–31% of learners, with significant participation by other countries. The overall course completion rate was 4–32%. Overall participation and the percentage of female participants decreased in Parts 2 and 3 (Table 4).

Table 4. Demographics of PGGH learner enrollment 26/10/15–31/12/16⁺.

	Part 1	Part 2	Part 3
Total enrollment	5935	2056	1244
Countries represented	163	130	105
% International ⁺⁺	68.40%	70.50%	71.4%
Country (%)			
United States/Canada	35.3	29.5	31.8
India	5	5.3	8.8
United Kingdom	4	3.7	3.8
Other	55.7	61.5	55.6
Median age (years)	30	30	31
% Female	54.90%	52.30%	48.00%

⁺26 October 2015–31 December 2016.

⁺⁺Not from USA.

Mean pre- and posttest score difference for the course components are shown in Table 5.

Post-course feedback was collected on REDCap surveys [46]. Learners expressed interest in faculty-moderated discussion forums, in an interactive map to promote learner networking, and in additional material for trainees coming from low-resource settings. The course was rated at 4.5 stars, which is comparable to learner ratings of other edX courses [47].

Discussion

Nearly 6000 learners from 163 different countries have utilized PGGH. While this number is greater than any previous reports, the typical MOOC may enroll up to 25,000 learners [48]. PGGH was intended for medical trainees interested in GH; this audience is comparatively smaller than the general audience of mainstream MOOCs. At this time, we are not able to assess how many of these learners participated in a rotation. However, we are able to follow our own institutions' students and residents. To our knowledge, 10 academic institutions currently require the course of their learners before GH experiences.

There was a higher than expected enrollment of international learners. While we were unable to explore the motivations and experiences of this group of learners, previous studies indicate that learners from high-, middle-, and low-income countries have the same motivations, including opportunities to experience different health-care systems, resource-different settings, and cultural

exposure [33]. However, the preparation needs of international learners rotating to high-income countries are not the same as those of US learners rotating to LMICs. International learners may have felt that the original course content, primarily focused on learners from high-income countries, was not appropriate for them. The enrollment of international learners illustrates the accessibility of MOOCs and creates an opportunity for bidirectional engagement among learners. Going forward, the inclusion of content specifically for international learners on GH experiences in high-income countries in all parts of the 2017 revised course will support their continued participation.

As may be expected, there was a decline in participation over the three parts of the course. Our course completion rate is comparable to reported median completion rates of participants in other open-access free-of-charge MOOCs (5–36% depending on the participants' intentions) [49]. For our course and in comparison, participants paying for a 'verified certificate' had a higher % completion rate. From the data collected, we are not able to determine the characteristics of learners who did not complete all three parts of the course, but the proportion of international learners remained relatively unchanged. Before 2017, learners took each part as a separate edX course; this may have contributed to the decline in learners from Part 1 to Part 3. The 2017 update presents Parts 1–3 within the same edX course and learner attrition is improved, as shown in Table 5. Both US and international learners may have decided against or delayed their participation in a GH experience leading to a decline in the numbers of learners completing Parts 2 and 3.

This study had some limitations. At this time, due to limited demographic information on the edX platform, we do not have very specific data for the entire learner body of over 5000; however, we do know how many of our own institutions' students and residents have gone on a rotation after taking the course. Also, the fact that the course evaluation and pre- and posttests are optional impacted completion rates; this makes it difficult to draw conclusions. Additionally, thus far, outcomes have been limited to self-perception and immediate retention of knowledge. While test scores improved in the 'verified

Table 5. Mean difference in PGGH pre- versus post-test scores (2016 and 2017).

Part	<i>n</i>	Possible points	Mean pre-course score (SD)	Mean post-course score (SD)	Mean test score difference (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value
2016						
Part 1	109	29	60.6% (20.9)	82.3% (17.8)	21.7% (17.8–25.6)	<0.001
Part 2	56	33	57.7% (21.2)	67.8% (23.9)	10.1% (2.8–17.4)	0.008
Part 3	29	10	76.9% (17.3)	91.4% (18.3)	14.5% (6.6–22.3)	<0.001
2017						
Part 1	213	31	71.4% (20.7)	87.8% (15.4)	16.5% (14.9–18.7)	<0.001
Part 2	173	40	65.8% (11.6)	81.7% (10.4)	16.0% (14.3–17.6)	<0.001
Part 3	123	10	77.0% (17.2)	92.5% (12.1)	15.5% (12.9–18.1)	<0.001

certificate' subset of learners, we have not yet evaluated attitudinal or behavioral change directly or indirectly, the impact of the course on our learners' GH experiences, or their interaction with host populations.

In addition, participation in the discussion forums by the all-volunteer faculty was limited following the initial release. To address this, a faculty moderation schedule was created to ensure continuous faculty moderation of the discussion forums. We anticipate that this will encourage learners to participate actively and stay engaged throughout the entirety of the course.

Next steps for the course include measuring long-term impacts on learners (e.g., on career choices) and on host populations (e.g., on sustainability of projects) by conducting surveys and interviews a few years post-course completion. In addition, we are establishing 'cohorts' that allow learners from one institution to interact with each other and their home institution mentors which will enable us to further evaluate short- and long-term outcomes.

Conclusion

This is the first published descriptive evaluation of an open-access, online, free-of-charge MOOC focused on the preparation of learners participating in STEGH. PGGH provides open-access, standardized, interactive, timeline-based, preparation for learners wishing to complete a STEGH. In its first year, there was substantial interest in this course from US-based and international learners (5935 learners from 163 countries) and significantly improved posttest scores ($p < 0.001$) in the subset of 213 learners evaluated.

Acknowledgments

This course would not have been possible without the input of many other people: contributing authors and advisors Christine Babcock, Ashley Bean, Saadiyah Bilal, Mark Bisanzo, Aislinn Black, Chamé Curtis Blackburn, Andrew Bokarius, Madhavi Dandu, Ashti Doobay-Persaud, Erin Pratt, Bradley Dreifuss, Heather Dreifuss, Karen Ekernas, Matthew Fleming, James Hudspeth, Kenneth Iverson, Michael Jaung, Tara Johnson, Nancy Louise Kerr, Sangil Lee, Patrick T McCarthy, Laura Mueller, Tu Carol Nguyen, Michelle Niescierenko, Flavia Nobay, Stasha O'Callaghan, Kyle Ragins, Lizzy Robertson, Marideth Rus, Christiana Russ, Megan Rybarczyk, Rauvynne Sangara, Robert Schadt, Kaylin Siever, Nicole St Clair, Geren Stone, Leigh Sweet, Anvar Velji, Amy Walsh, Scott G. Weiner, James Wolff, and Nadine Youseff.

The authors would also like to thank Eva Weinstein, Olivia Weinstein, Tim Brenner, Monty Kaplan, Vanessa Ruano, Diana Marian, and Romy Ruukel for their administrative and technology support, as well as Hudson Breaud and James Liu for their statistical analysis and support. This course

is made possible with support from the Boston University Digital Learning Initiative and the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) International Section.

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: GAJ, RAU

Collected the data: GAJ, RAU

Performed the analysis: GAJ

Drafting the manuscript: GAJ, RAU, MH, JPT

Critical revisions: GAJ, RAU, ASH, JGM, PM, SJD, SS, MH, JPT

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

The authors report no external funding source for this study.

ORCID

Gabrielle A. Jacquet  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9486-5512>

Alison S. Hayward  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1607-1842>

References

- [1] Loh LC, Cherniak W, Dreifuss BA, et al. Short term global health experiences and local partnership models: a framework. *Global Health*. 2015 Dec 18. 11:50. DOI:10.1186/s12992-015-0135-7. PubMed PMID: 26684302; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4683927. eng.
- [2] Melby MK, Loh LC, Evert J, et al. Beyond medical "missions" to impact-driven Short-Term Experiences in Global Health (STEGHs): ethical principles to optimize community benefit and learner experience. *Acad Medicine: Journal Assoc Am Med Colleges*. 2015 Dec 1. DOI:10.1097/acm.0000000000001009. PubMed PMID: 26630608; Eng.
- [3] Association of American Medical Colleges. Medical school graduation questionnaire. 2015. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.aamc.org/download/440552/data/2015gqallschoolsummaryreport.pdf>
- [4] Institute of Medicine. The U.S. commitment to global health. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press; 2009.
- [5] Havryliuk T, Bentley S, Hahn S. Global health education in emergency medicine residency programs. *J Emerg Med*. 2014 Jun;46(6):847–852. PubMed PMID: 24613226; eng.
- [6] King RA, Liu KY, Talley BE, et al. Availability and potential impact of international rotations in emergency medicine residency programs. *J Emerg Med*. 2013 Feb;44(2):499–504. PubMed PMID: 23040675; eng.
- [7] Coombs PG, Feldman BH, Lauer AK, et al. Global health training in ophthalmology residency programs.

- J Surg Educ. 2015 Jul-Aug;72(4):e52–9. PubMed PMID: 26073479; eng.
- [8] Kolars JC, Halvorsen AJ, McDonald FS. Internal medicine residency directors perspectives on global health experiences. *Am J Med.* 2011 Sep;124(9):881–885. PubMed PMID: 21658664; eng
- [9] Lungren MP, Horvath JJ, Welling RD, et al. Global health training in radiology residency programs. *Acad Radiol.* 2011 Jun;18(6):782–791. PubMed PMID: 21458308; eng.
- [10] Lyons JL, Coleman ME, Engstrom JW, et al. International electives in neurology training: a survey of US and Canadian program directors. *Neurology.* 2014 Jan 14;82(2):119–125. PubMed PMID: 24319037; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897435. eng.
- [11] McCunn M, Speck RM, Chung I, et al. Global health outreach during anesthesiology residency in the USA: a survey of interest, barriers to participation, and proposed solutions. *J Clin Anesth.* 2012 Feb;24(1):38–43. PubMed PMID: 22284317; eng.
- [12] Nathan LM, Banks EH, Conroy EM, et al. Global health training in US obstetrics and gynaecology residency programmes: perspectives of students, residents and programme directors. *Postgrad Med J.* 2015 Dec;91(1082):685–691. PubMed PMID: 26508720; eng.
- [13] Nelson BD, Herlihy JM, Burke TF. Proposal for fellowship training in pediatric global health. *Pediatrics.* 2008 Jun;121(6):1261–1262. PubMed PMID: 18519498; eng
- [14] Sethi NK. International electives in neurology training: a survey of US and Canadian program directors. *Neurology.* 2014 Jul 22;83(4):380. PubMed PMID: 25049306; eng.
- [15] Shultz PA, Kamal RN, Daniels AH, et al. International health electives in orthopaedic surgery residency training. *J Bone Joint Surgery Am Vol.* 2015 Feb 04;97(3):e15. PubMed PMID: 25653331; eng.
- [16] Torjesen K, Mandalakas A, Kahn R, et al. International child health electives for pediatric residents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1999 Dec;153(12):1297–1302. PubMed PMID: 10591310; eng
- [17] Tsai AC, Fricchione GL, Walensky RP, et al. Global health training in US graduate psychiatric education. *Acad Psychiatry: J Am Assoc Directors Psychiatr Residency Train Assoc Acad Psychiatry.* 2014 Aug;38(4):426–432. PubMed PMID: 24664609; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897435. eng.
- [18] Volsky PG, Sinacori JT. Global health initiatives of US otolaryngology residency programs: 2011 global health initiatives survey results. *Laryngoscope.* 2012 Nov;122(11):2422–2427. PubMed PMID: 22965869; eng
- [19] Nordhues HC, Bashir MU, Merry SP, et al. Graduate medical education competencies for international health electives: A qualitative study. *Med Teach.* 2017 Nov;39(11):1128–1137. PubMed PMID: 28847185; eng.
- [20] Russ CM, Tran T, Silverman M, et al. A study of global health elective outcomes. *Global Pediatric Health.* 2017.
- [21] Umoren RA, Gardner A, Stone GS, et al. Career choices and global health engagement: 24-year follow-up of U.S. participants in the Indiana University-Moi University elective. *Healthcare (Amsterdam, Netherlands).* 2015 Dec;3(4):185–189. PubMed PMID: 26699341; eng.
- [22] Crump JA, Sugarman J. Ethics and best practice guidelines for training experiences in global health. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2010 Dec;83(6):1178–1182. PubMed PMID: 21118918; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897435. eng
- [23] Crump JA, Sugarman J. Ethical considerations for short-term experiences by trainees in global health. *Jama.* 2008 Sep 24;300(12):1456–1458. PubMed PMID: 18812538; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897435. eng.
- [24] DeCamp M. Ethical review of global short-term medical volunteerism. *HEC Forum: an Interdisciplinary J Hospitals' Ethical Legal Issues.* 2011 Jun;23(2):91–103. PubMed PMID: 21604023; eng
- [25] Hunt MR, Godard B. Beyond procedural ethics: foregrounding questions of justice in global health research ethics training for students. *Global Public Health.* 2013 Jul;8(6):713–724. PubMed PMID: 23706108; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897435. eng
- [26] Lahey T. Perspective: a proposed medical school curriculum to help students recognize and resolve ethical issues of global health outreach work. *Acad Medicine: Journal Assoc Am Med Colleges.* 2012 Feb;87(2):210–215. PubMed PMID: 22189876; eng
- [27] Provenzano AM, Graber LK, Elansary M, et al. Short-term global health research projects by US medical students: ethical challenges for partnerships. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2010 Aug;83(2):211–214. PubMed PMID: 20682858; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3897435. eng.
- [28] Reisch RA. International service learning programs: ethical issues and recommendations. *Dev World Bioeth.* 2011 Aug;11(2):93–98. PubMed PMID: 21790960; eng
- [29] Shah S, Wu T. The medical student global health experience: professionalism and ethical implications. *J Med Ethics.* 2008 May;34(5):375–378. PubMed PMID: 18448720; eng
- [30] White M, Evert J. Developing ethical awareness in global health: four cases for medical educators. *Dev World Bioeth.* 2014 Dec;14(3):111–116. PubMed PMID: 23025791; eng
- [31] Wilson JW, Merry SP, Franz WB. Rules of engagement: the principles of underserved global health volunteerism. *Am J Med.* 2012 Jun;125(6):612–617. PubMed PMID: 22502955; eng
- [32] Lockwood H. University of Michigan medical student dies while doing AIDS research in Uganda. *Ann Arbor News.* 2010; [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <http://www.annarbor.com/news/u-m-medical-student-dies-while-doing-aids-research-in-uganda/>.
- [33] Peluso MJ, Kallem S, Elansary M, et al. Ethical dilemmas during international clinical rotations in global health settings: findings from a training and debriefing program. *Med Teach.* 2018 Jan;40(1):53–61. PubMed PMID: 29094625; eng.
- [34] Santora M. 3 Columbia students killed in bus accident in Honduras. *NY Times (Print)* 2016. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.nytimes.com/2016/01/15/nyregion/3-columbia-students-killed-in-bus-crash-in-honduras.html>.
- [35] Anderson KC, Slatnik MA, Pereira I, et al. Are we there yet? Preparing Canadian medical students for global health electives. *Acad Medicine: Journal Assoc Am Med Colleges.* 2012 Feb;87(2):206–209. PubMed PMID: 22189881; eng.
- [36] Bills CB, Ahn J. Global health and graduate medical education: a systematic review of the literature. *J Grad*

- Med Educ. 2016 Dec;8(5):685–691. PubMed PMID: 28018532; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5180522. eng
- [37] Morris SC, Schroeder ED. Emergency medicine resident rotations abroad: current status and next steps. *West J Emerg Med.* 2016 Jan; 17(1): 63–65. PubMed PMID: 26823933; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4729421. eng.
- [38] Becker J, Schroeder E, Hansoti B, et al. International emergency medicine: a guide for clinicians in resource-limited settings. Irving, TX: Emerg Med Residents' Assoc. 2013. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.emra.org/globalassets/emra/publications/books/emra-2013-iem-interactive.pdf>.
- [39] Butteris S, Pitt M SUGAR: Simulation Use for Global Away Rotations 2010. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <http://www.sugarprep.org/>.
- [40] Kop R, Fournier H, Jsf M. A pedagogy of abundance or a pedagogy to support human beings? Participant support on massive open online courses. *Int Rev Res Open Distributed Learn.* 2011;12(7):74–93.
- [41] Jacquet GA, Umoren RA, Hayward A, et al. The practitioner's guide to global health edX.org: edX; 2015 [cited 2017 Feb 8]. Available from: <https://www.edx.org/course/practitioners-guide-global-health-bux-globalhealthx-!>.
- [42] Report OC. State of the MOOC 2016: A year of massive lanscape change for massive open online courses 2016. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.onlinecoursereport.com/state-of-the-mooc-2016-a-year-of-massive-landscape-change-for-massive-open-online-courses/>.
- [43] Elit L, Hunt M, Redwood-Campbell L, et al. Ethical issues encountered by medical students during international health electives. *Med Educ.* 2011 Jul;45(7):704–711. PubMed PMID: 21649703; eng.
- [44] Sarfaty S, Arnold LK. Preparing for international medical service. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 2005 Feb;23(1):149–175. PubMed PMID: 15663979; eng
- [45] Credly. cited 2018 April 9; Available from <https://credly.com/>.
- [46] REDCap. The practitioner's guide to global health course evaluations 2016. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://redcap.bumc.bu.edu/surveys/?s=PATAPACWDYhttps://redcap.bumc.bu.edu/surveys/?s=WTJFAEDPRhttps://redcap.bumc.bu.edu/surveys/?s=L8PE9AW8RJ>.
- [47] HighYa. edX Reviews 2018. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <https://www.highya.com/edx-reviews>.
- [48] Jordan K MOOC Completion rates: the data 2011. [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: <http://www.katyjordan.com/MOOCproject.html>.
- [49] Chuang I, Ho A. HarvardX and MITx: four years of open online courses. Fall 2012 - Summer 2016 (December 23, 2016). [cited 2018 Aug 1]. Available from: SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2889436> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2889436>.