## University of Massachusetts Medical School eScholarship@UMMS

Cardiovascular Medicine Publications and Presentations

Cardiovascular Medicine

2013-09-12

## P2Y12 inhibition in patients with NSTEMI--can later be better

John F. Keaney Jr. University of Massachusetts Medical School

# Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cardio\_pp

Part of the Cardiology Commons, and the Cardiovascular Diseases Commons

### **Repository Citation**

Keaney JF. (2013). P2Y12 inhibition in patients with NSTEMI--can later be better. Cardiovascular Medicine Publications and Presentations. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe1308820. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/cardio\_pp/95

This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cardiovascular Medicine Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.

#### EDITORIALS



## P2Y<sub>12</sub> Inhibition in Patients with NSTEMI — Can Later Be Better?

John F. Keaney, Jr., M.D.

Acute coronary syndrome is an umbrella term that is used to describe the abrupt reduction of blood flow to myocardial tissue, typically associated with the rupture of a coronary atherosclerotic plaque. Rupture exposes the blood to plaque contents, resulting in the deposition and activation of platelets and the formation of thrombi. Complete thrombotic occlusion produces STsegment elevation myocardial infarction, whereas incomplete impairment of coronary blood flow results in unstable angina or, when biomarkers for myocardial injury are present, non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI). Because the rupture of a plaque incites platelet activation and thrombosis, treatments for unstable angina and NSTEMI have focused on inhibiting platelet function and the coagulation cascade. In patients at high risk for future events (i.e., reinfarction or recurrent ischemia), an early invasive strategy of cardiac catheterization and revascularization is recommended, and in most of these patients intracoronary stents are implanted to treat the plaque rupture. Since stents can produce further plaque trauma, platelet-dependent thrombosis, and embolization into the coronary microcirculation, it is best practice to treat patients with agents that inhibit platelet activation to prevent recurrent ischemia after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). As a consequence, current guidelines recommend dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin plus another agent in patients with NSTEMI who are undergoing PCI.1,2

Among the agents available to complement aspirin are three approved inhibitors of the platelet  $P2Y_{12}$  receptor — clopidogrel, prasugrel, and ticagrelor — that are known to reduce ischemic events in patients with NSTEMI who are undergoing PCI.<sup>3-5</sup> Despite this proven efficacy, our knowledge about the administration of dual antiplatelet therapy remains incomplete. For example, the timing for starting  $P2Y_{12}$  inhibition is not clear. In one pivotal trial, patients were treated for several days to weeks before revascularization,6 whereas other trials involved treatment around the time of cardiac catheterization.<sup>4,5</sup> Guidelines recommend that clopidogrel be administered soon after hospital admission,<sup>1,2</sup> but this recommendation has, to my knowledge, never been tested directly in a randomized trial. The trial data for the second-generation agents, prasugrel and ticagrelor, are more limited than are those for clopidogrel and have not specifically tested this question.

Montalescot and colleagues7 offer new insight into the timing of prasugrel administration in patients with NSTEMI who are scheduled to undergo catheterization. In their study, now reported in the Journal, they randomly assigned 4033 patients to receive prasugrel as pretreatment (2 to 48 hours before cardiac catheterization) or to receive prasugrel after catheterization if PCI was planned. They found that pretreatment with prasugrel clearly provided better inhibition of platelet function at the time of catheterization. However, the pretreatment strategy as compared with the late-administration strategy had no significant effect on the rate of major ischemic events over the course of the 30-day study period. In fact, the trial was terminated because prasugrel pretreatment produced harm, with more bleeding events that were categorized as major or life-threatening.

The findings of Montalescot and coworkers highlight the difference between first-generation

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at UMass Medical School on May 2, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2013 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

and second-generation P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibitors. Clopidogrel produces irreversible, but sometimes incomplete, P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibition through conversion of the drug to an active metabolite. Clopidogrel is typically administered well before PCI, since this strategy appears to ensure adequate platelet inhibition at the time of PCI and is the most effective strategy for reducing ischemic events.8 Prasugrel, although also a prodrug, undergoes more efficient metabolism, producing faster and more complete platelet inhibition,9 thus obviating the need for pretreatment. In the study by Montalescot et al., pretreatment with prasugrel afforded maximal platelet inhibition at the time of vascular access, which explains why excess procedurerelated bleeding was observed. Overall, these results indicate that patients with NSTEMI who are selected for an early invasive strategy will be best served by administration of prasugrel only after angiographic definition of their coronary anatomy. It remains to be seen whether a similar strategy could be applied to ticagrelor, the other high-potency P2Y<sub>12</sub> antagonist currently available.

The work by Montalescot and colleagues may streamline the care of patients with NSTEMI in the hospital. Up to 16% of patients with NSTEMI ultimately undergo coronary-artery bypass grafting (CABG).3 Because current guidelines recommend P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibition soon after hospital admission, many patients with NSTEMI who need CABG will have received P2Y<sub>12</sub> antagonists before the catheterization. Administration of P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibitors within 5 days before CABG has been linked to excess bleeding<sup>3</sup> and prolonged hospitalization,<sup>10</sup> prompting recommendations that CABG be delayed until 5 to 7 days after discontinuation of P2Y<sub>12</sub> antagonists.<sup>1,2</sup> As a consequence, early P2Y<sub>12</sub>-inhibition therapy is an important cause of delay in performing CABG, adding inefficiency and cost to the care of patients with NSTEMI. However, the work of Montalescot and coworkers indicates that one can safely pursue a more parsimonious approach of reserving prasugrel administration until after angiography. With this strategy, P2Y<sub>12</sub> treatment can be limited to patients who will be undergoing PCI, and patients with NSTEMI who require CABG will be able to avoid unnecessary delays. Further studies will be needed to determine whether newer agents, administered only after angiography, can improve the efficiency of caring for patients with NSTEMI.

Disclosure forms provided by the author are available with the full text of this article at NEJM.org.

From the Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester.

This article was published on September 1, 2013, at NEJM.org.

1. Jneid H, Anderson JL, Wright RS, et al. 2012 ACCF/AHA focused update of the guideline for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (updating the 2007 guideline and replacing the 2011 focused update): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60:645-81.

2. Hamm CW, Bassand J-P, Agewall S, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: the Task Force for the management of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J 2011;32:2999-3054.

**3.** The Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients with acute coronary syndromes without STsegment elevation. N Engl J Med 2001;345:494-502. [Errata, N Engl J Med 2001;345:1506, 1716.]

4. Wiviott SD, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Prasugrel versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2001-15.

5. Wallentin L, Becker RC, Budaj A, et al. Ticagrelor versus clopidogrel in patients with acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1045-57.

**6.** Mehta SR, Yusuf S, Peters RJ, et al. Effects of pretreatment with clopidogrel and aspirin followed by long-term therapy in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention: the PCI-CURE study. Lancet 2001;358:527-33.

7. Montalescot G, Bolognese L, Dudek D, et al. Pretreatment with prasugrel in non–ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndromes. N Engl J Med 2013;369:999-1010.

**8.** Steinhubl SR, Berger PB, Brennan DM, Topol EJ. Optimal timing for the initiation of pre-treatment with 300 mg clopidogrel before percutaneous coronary intervention. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:939-43.

**9.** Michelson AD, Frelinger AL III, Braunwald E, et al. Pharmacodynamic assessment of platelet inhibition by prasugrel vs. clopidogrel in the TRITON-TIMI 38 trial. Eur Heart J 2009;30: 1753-63.

**10.** Ebrahimi R, Dyke C, Mehran R, et al. Outcomes following pre-operative clopidogrel administration in patients with acute coronary syndromes undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: the ACUITY (Acute Catheterization and Urgent Intervention Triage strategY) trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:1965-72.

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMe1308820 Copyright © 2013 Massachusetts Medical Society.

The New England Journal of Medicine

Downloaded from nejm.org at UMass Medical School on May 2, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.

Copyright © 2013 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.