University of Massachusetts Medical School eScholarship@UMMS

UMass Metabolic Network Publications

UMass Metabolic Network

2017-09-19

A Protein Scaffold Coordinates SRC-Mediated JNK Activation in Response to Metabolic Stress

Shashi Kant University of Massachusetts Medical School

Et al.

Let us know how access to this document benefits you.

Follow this and additional works at: https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/metnet_pubs

Part of the Biochemistry Commons, Cell Biology Commons, Cellular and Molecular Physiology Commons, Endocrinology Commons, and the Molecular Biology Commons

Repository Citation

Kant S, Standen CL, Morel C, Jung D, Kim JK, Swat W, Flavell RA, Davis RJ. (2017). A Protein Scaffold Coordinates SRC-Mediated JNK Activation in Response to Metabolic Stress. UMass Metabolic Network Publications. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.025. Retrieved from https://escholarship.umassmed.edu/metnet_pubs/166

Creative Commons License

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 4.0 License. This material is brought to you by eScholarship@UMMS. It has been accepted for inclusion in UMass Metabolic Network Publications by an authorized administrator of eScholarship@UMMS. For more information, please contact Lisa.Palmer@umassmed.edu.

Cell Reports

A Protein Scaffold Coordinates SRC-Mediated JNK **Activation in Response to Metabolic Stress**

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Shashi Kant, Claire L. Standen, Caroline Morel, ..., Wojciech Swat, Richard A. Flavell, Roger J. Davis

Correspondence

shashi.kant@umassmed.edu (S.K.), roger.davis@umassmed.edu (R.J.D.)

In Brief

Kant et al. demonstrate that scaffold protein JIP1 is required for palmitatestimulated redistribution of SRC to lipid rafts. Phosphorylation of JIP1 on tyrosine mediates SH2 domain interactions with both SRC and the RAC exchange factor VAV. This signaling complex causes RACdependent activation of the MLK pathway that activates JNK.

Highlights

- JIP1 is required for saturated-fatty-acid-stimulated JNK activation
- JIP1 is a SRC substrate and recruits the SRC tyrosine kinase to lipid rafts
- Tyrosine-phosphorylated JIP1 binds the SH2 domain of the RAC exchange factor VAV
- SRC-phosphorylated VAV bound to JIP1 activates JNK by the **RAC/MLK** pathway





A Protein Scaffold Coordinates SRC-Mediated JNK Activation in Response to Metabolic Stress

Shashi Kant,^{1,2,7,*} Claire L. Standen,^{1,7} Caroline Morel,^{1,7} Dae Young Jung,¹ Jason K. Kim,^{1,3} Wojciech Swat,⁴ Richard A. Flavell,⁵ and Roger J. Davis^{1,6,8,*}

¹Program in Molecular Medicine

²Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine

³Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Diabetes, Department of Medicine

University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA 01605, USA

⁴Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁵Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Immunobiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

⁶Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Worcester, MA 01605, USA

⁷These authors contributed equally

⁸Lead Contact

*Correspondence: shashi.kant@umassmed.edu (S.K.), roger.davis@umassmed.edu (R.J.D.) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.025

SUMMARY

Obesity is a major risk factor for the development of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. How obesity contributes to metabolic syndrome is unclear. Free fatty acid (FFA) activation of a non-receptor tyrosine kinase (SRC)-dependent cJun NH₂-terminal kinase (JNK) signaling pathway is implicated in this process. However, the mechanism that mediates SRC-dependent JNK activation is unclear. Here, we identify a role for the scaffold protein JIP1 in SRCdependent JNK activation. SRC phosphorylation of JIP1 creates phosphotyrosine interaction motifs that bind the SH2 domains of SRC and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor VAV. These interactions are required for SRC-induced activation of VAV and the subsequent engagement of a JIP1-tethered JNK signaling module. The JIP1 scaffold protein, therefore, plays a dual role in FFA signaling by coordinating upstream SRC functions together with downstream effector signaling by the JNK pathway.

INTRODUCTION

Human obesity is a worldwide health problem that is associated with metabolic syndrome and the development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes (Flegal et al., 2013). Effects of obesity on metabolic syndrome are mediated, in part, by increased amounts of saturated free fatty acid (FFA) in the blood (Kahn et al., 2006). A key signaling mechanism that is activated by FFA is the cJun NH₂-terminal kinase (JNK) stress response pathway (Davis, 1994, 2000). Studies using JNK-deficient mice demonstrate that JNK signaling is required for the development of obesity and insulin resistance (Sabio and Davis, 2010). Consequently, components of the JNK signaling pathway represent

potential targets for the design of drugs that may be useful for the treatment of metabolic syndrome (Sabio and Davis, 2010).

The mechanism of JNK activation caused by FFA is unclear. Recent studies have identified the non-receptor tyrosine kinase SRC (Holzer et al., 2011), the small GTPase RAC1 (Sharma et al., 2012), and the mixed-lineage protein kinase (MLK) family of MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAP3K) (Craige et al., 2016; Jaeschke and Davis, 2007; Kant et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2012) as components of an FFA-stimulated signaling pathway that activates JNK. However, the mechanism that mediates signaling has not been established. Here, we report that the scaffold protein JIP1 can serve to link SRC, RAC1, and MLK in an FFA-stimulated signaling pathway.

RESULTS

JIP1 Is Required for FFA-Stimulated SRC Activation and Redistribution to Lipid Rafts

The scaffold protein JIP1 binds SRC family members (Kennedy et al., 2007; Nihalani et al., 2007) and is implicated in the MLK pathway that leads to JNK activation (Jaeschke et al., 2004; Morel et al., 2010; Whitmarsh et al., 1998, 2001). It is established that FFA causes SRC redistribution to Triton-insoluble lipid rafts (Holzer et al., 2011). To test whether JIP1 might contribute to SRC function, we examined whether FFA treatment caused a similar redistribution of JIP1. This analysis demonstrated increased amounts of JIP1, SRC, and activated pY⁴¹⁶-SRC in the Triton-insoluble fraction of cells exposed to FFA (Figure 1A). Moreover, immunofluorescence analysis of Triton-permeabilized cells demonstrated co-localization of JIP1 and SRC in FFA-treated cells (Figure 1B).

The co-regulation of SRC and JIP1 (Figures 1A and 1B) indicated that JIP1 (encoded by the *Mapk8ip1* gene) may contribute to FFA signaling. We, therefore, compared the response of wild-type (WT) and *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* cells to FFA. Treatment of WT primary murine embryo fibroblasts (MEFs) with FFA caused JNK activation and the redistribution of SRC and activated





(A and B) RIN5F cells expressing EE-tagged JIP1 were treated with fatty-acid-free BSA or palmitate/BSA (FFA). The total cell lysate and the Triton-insoluble lipid raft fraction were examined by immunoblot analysis (A). Cells permeabilized with Triton X-100 and fixed were examined by immunofluorescence analysis (B). Scale bar, 10 µm.

(C and D) WT and *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs were treated with BSA or FFA. An Optiprep 40%–30%–5% step gradient separated raft and non-raft fractions for examination by immunoblot analysis (C). The total cell lysate and the Triton-insoluble lipid raft fraction were examined by immunoblot analysis (D). See also Figure S1.

 pY^{416} -SRC to lipid rafts (Figures 1C and 1D). In contrast, *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs were resistant to FFA-stimulated JNK activation and SRC redistribution to Triton-insoluble lipid rafts. Together, these data demonstrate that JIP1 is required for the regulation of both SRC and JNK by FFA.

The requirement of JIP1 for FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 1C) may represent a general role of JIP1 in JNK signaling. We, therefore, compared JNK activation in WT and *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs in response to the inflammatory cytokine tumor necrosis

factor α (TNF- α). This analysis demonstrated that TNF- α causes similar JNK activation in control and JIP1-deficient cells (Figure S1). JIP1, therefore, plays a selective role in JNK activation, including FFA signaling.

The JIP1 JNK-Binding Domain Is Required for HFD-Induced Insulin Resistance

To test whether JIP1-mediated JNK activation is relevant to the metabolic stress response in vivo, we established mice lacking



Figure 2. JIP1-Mediated JNK Activation Promotes HFD-Induced Insulin Resistance

(A) Control (BSA) and palmitate (C16)-stimulated (for 16 hr) WT and JIP1^{Δ JDB} MEFs were examined by immunoblot analysis. (B) WT and JIP1^{Δ JBD} mice were fed a chow diet (ND) or an HFD (for 16 weeks) and examined with an insulin tolerance test. The time course of insulin-stimulated glucose clearance is presented (mean ± SEM; n = 10; *p < 0.05).

(C) The blood concentration of insulin was measured by ELISA (mean ± SEM; n = 10; **p < 0.01).

(D-G) The glucose infusion rate (D), clamp hepatic glucose production (E), hepatic insulin action (F), and whole-body glucose turnover (G) measured by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp analysis (mean \pm SEM; n = 8; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).

See also Figures S2, S3, and S4.

the JNK-binding domain of JIP1 (*Mapk8ip*^{$\Delta JBD/\Delta JBD}$ mice, designated as JIP1^{ΔJBD} mice). The core of the JNK-binding domain (Leu¹⁶⁰-Asn¹⁶¹-Leu¹⁶²) binds a hydrophobic pocket on JNK (Heo et al., 2004; Whitmarsh et al., 1998) and is required for JIP1-mediated JNK activation (Whitmarsh et al., 1998). This core motif was replaced with Gly¹⁶⁰-Arg¹⁶¹-Gly¹⁶² in JIP1^{ΔJBD} mice (Figure S2). Control studies demonstrated that MEF derived from JIP1^{ΔJBD} mice were resistant to FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 2A). Comparison of WT and JIP1^{ΔJBD} mice fed a chow diet (ND) or a high-fat diet (HFD) demonstrated that the HFD-induced insulin intolerance detected in WT mice was suppressed in JIP1^{ΔJBD} mice (Figure 2B). The improved insulin tolerance of HFD-fed JIP1^{ΔJBD} mice was associated with markedly decreased HFD-induced hyperinsulinemia, compared with WT mice (Figure 2C).</sup>

Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp analysis of glucose infusion rates confirmed that HFD-fed JIP1^{Δ JBD} mice were more insulin sensitive than HFD-fed WT mice (Figure 2D). Moreover, the HFD-fed JIP1^{Δ JBD} mice exhibited decreased hepatic glucose production (Figure 2E), increased hepatic insulin action (Figure 2F), and increased whole-body glucose turnover (Figure 2G), compared with HFD-fed WT mice. These phenotypes were associated with increased energy expenditure and decreased obesity (Figure S3), reduced adipose tissue hypertrophy (Figures S4A and S4B), and reduced hepatic steatosis (Figure S4C). This protection of HFD-fed JIP1^{Δ JBD} mice against obesity and insulin resistance is similar to that detected in JNK-deficient mice (Sabio and Davis, 2010). Together, these data establish that JIP1-mediated JNK activation contributes to the HFD-induced metabolic stress response in vivo.

VAV, RAC1, MLK, and MKK7 Contribute to JIP1-Mediated JNK Activation

To examine the mechanism of JIP1-mediated JNK activation caused by exposure of cells to saturated FFA, we tested the role of JNK pathway components that interact with JIP1, including the MAP2K isoform MKK7 and the MLK family of MAP3K (Whitmarsh et al., 1998). Analysis of WT and Map2k7^{-/-} MEFs demonstrated that MKK7 is required for FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 3A). To test the role of the MLK group of MAP3K, we examined the effect of dual deficiency of MLK2 plus MLK3, the major MLK family members expressed by MEFs (Kant et al., 2011). Comparison of WT and Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-/-} MEFs demonstrated that MLK protein kinases are essential for FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 3B). In contrast, we found that MLK protein kinases were not required for FFA-stimulated SRC and activated SRC redistribution to lipid rafts (Figure 3C). This analysis identifies SRC as an upstream component of the JIP1-mediated MLK-MKK7-JNK signaling pathway that is activated by FFA.

MLK isoforms can be activated by the RHO family GTPase RAC1 by binding to a conserved MLK CRIB domain (Teramoto



Figure 3. VAV, RAC1, MLK, and MKK7 Contribute to JIP1-Mediated JNK Activation

(A and B) WT and Map2k7^{-/-} MEFs (A) or Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-/-} MEFs (B) were treated (for 16 hr) without (BSA) or with palmitate/BSA (FFA) and subsequently examined by immunoblot analysis.

(C) The lipid raft fraction of WT and Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-/-} MEFs treated (for 4 hr) without (BSA) or with palmitate/BSA (FFA) was examined by immunoblot analysis.

(D) WT and Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-JCRIB/JCRIB} MEFs were treated with BSA or FFA/BSA (for 16 hr) and examined by immunoblot analysis.

(E) WT and Vav1-/- Vav2-/- Vav3-/- (Vav-/-) cells were treated with BSA or FFA/BSA (for 16 hr) and examined by immunoblot analysis.

(F and G) WT and Mapk8ip1^{-/-} MEFs were treated with BSA or FFA. JIP1 immunoprecipitates (F) and isolated lipid rafts (G) were examined by immunoblot analysis.

(H) WT and Vav1^{-/-} Vav2^{-/-} Vav3^{-/-} (Vav^{-/-}) cells were transduced with a retrovirus expressing EE-tagged JIP1. The cells were treated with BSA or FFA/BSA (for 4 hr) and examined by immunoblot analysis of cell lysates and isolated lipid rafts.

(I–K) SFY fibroblasts and SFY cells complemented with SRC (SFY + SRC) fibroblasts were transduced with a retrovirus expressing EE-tagged JIP1. The cells were treated with BSA or FFA/BSA and examined by immunoblot analysis of cell lysate (I), JIP1 immunoprecipitates (J), or isolated lipid rafts (K).

(L) Constitutively activated SRC (SRC^{Y529F}) and SRC expression plasmids were used in transfection assays with WT and *Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-/-}* MEFs. Cell lysates were prepared at 24 hr post-transfection and examined by immunoblot analysis.

et al., 1996). To test whether this CRIB-mediated mechanism contributes to FFA-stimulated JNK activation, we examined the effect of an inactivating mutation in the MLK3 CRIB domain (IIe^{492} Ser⁴⁹³ replaced with Ala⁴⁹² Ala⁴⁹³) in the context of deficiency of the redundant isoform MLK2 (Kant et al., 2011). Comparison of WT and *Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{ΔCRIB/ΔCRIB* MEFs demonstrated that the MLK CRIB domain is essential for FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 3D). This mechanism is consistent with the observation that RAC1 activation is required for the regulation of JNK activity by FFA (Sharma et al., 2012).}

The exchange factor VAV has been implicated in JNK activation (Crespo et al., 1996; Kant et al., 2011). To test whether VAV contributes to FFA-stimulated JNK activation, we compared JNK activity in WT and VAV-deficient ($Vav1^{-/-}$ $Vav2^{-/-}$ $Vav3^{-/-}$) cells. This analysis demonstrated that VAV is required for FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 3E). Moreover, FFA treatment caused an interaction between VAV and JIP1 that was detected by co-immunoprecipitation analysis (Figure 3F). FFA treatment also caused JIP1-dependent redistribution of VAV to lipid rafts (Figure 3G), although VAV was not required for the lipid raft association of JIP1 (Figure 3H).

FFA Causes SRC-Mediated Tyrosine Phosphorylation of JIP1 and VAV

The interaction between VAV and JIP1 was associated with FFAstimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of both proteins (Figure 3F). The role of JIP1 tyrosine phosphorylation is unclear, but it is established that tyrosine phosphorylation of VAV increases GTP/ GDP exchange activity on RAC1 (Crespo et al., 1997). To examine the mechanism of FFA-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of JIP1 and VAV, we tested the potential role of SRC family tyrosine kinases. These studies were performed using Src^{-/-} Fyn^{-/-} Yes^{-/-} (SFY) cells. Treatment of SFY cells with FFA did not cause JNK activation (Figure 3I) or tyrosine phosphorylation of JIP1 and VAV (Figure 3J). In contrast, complementation analysis demonstrated that the expression of SRC restored both JNK activation and tyrosine phosphorylation of both JIP1 and VAV in FFA-treated cells (Figures 3I and 3J). Furthermore, SRC was required for JIP1 redistribution to lipid rafts (Figure 3K). SRC family protein kinases, therefore, function as components of the JNK signaling pathway activated by treatment of cells with FFA.

To test whether SRC-mediated activation of JNK is mediated by the MLK pathway, we expressed constitutively activated SRC (SRC^{Y529F}) in WT and *Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-/-}* MEFs. Activated SRC caused JNK activation selectively in the WT MEFs (Figure 3L). These data demonstrate that the MLK pathway is an essential mediator of SRC-induced JNK activation.

Previous studies of JIP1 tyrosine phosphorylation have implicated roles for both ABL (Dajas-Bailador et al., 2008) and SRC (Kennedy et al., 2007; Nihalani et al., 2007). To confirm the role of SRC in FFA-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of JIP1, we examined the effect of drugs that selectively inhibit ABL and SRC. This analysis demonstrated that the SRC-selective inhibitor AZD0530, but not the ABL-selective inhibitor Gleevec, prevented FFA-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of JIP1 (Figure 4A). These data confirm that SRC family tyrosine kinases contribute to FFA-stimulated tyrosine phosphorylation of JIP1 (Figure 4A).

Tyrosine Phosphorylation of JIP1 Is Required for FFA-Stimulated JNK Activation

JIP1 is extensively phosphorylated (D'Ambrosio et al., 2006), including on sites of tyrosine (Y²⁷⁸, Y⁴⁰⁹, and Y⁴²⁷) phosphorylation (Dajas-Bailador et al., 2008; Kennedy et al., 2007; Nihalani et al., 2007). This phosphorylation may create sites of interaction for signaling proteins with SH2 domains. Indeed, phosphotyrosinedependent binding of JIP1 to the SH2 domain of SRC family proteins has been identified (Kennedy et al., 2007; Nihalani et al., 2007). A similar interaction might account for the binding of JIP1 to VAV. To test SH2 domain binding to JIP1, we examined the interaction of JIP1 with the SH2 domains of SRC and VAV2. This analysis demonstrated that JIP1 from FFA-treated cells bound to SRC-SH2 and VAV2-SH2 (Figures 4B and 4C). In contrast, JIP1 from control cells did not bind VAV2-SH2, but a low level of interaction with SRC-SH2 was detected (Figures 4B and 4C).

To examine the role of JIP1 tyrosine phosphorylation in JNK activation caused by saturated FFA, we performed complementation analysis using Mapk8ip1-/- MEFs expressing WT or mutated JIP1 proteins. Two sites of tyrosine phosphorylation on JIP1 (pY⁴⁰⁹DNC and pY⁴²⁷EEA) are similar to the optimal sequence (pYEEI) for phosphotyrosine binding by the SRC-SH2 domain (Songyang et al., 1993). Mutational analysis indicated that Y⁴⁰⁹ and Y⁴²⁷ serve partially redundant functions. We, therefore, examined the function of JIP1 with dual mutation at Y⁴⁰⁹ plus Y⁴²⁷. This analysis demonstrated that tyrosine phosphorylation of JIP1 was increased when cells were treated with FFA (Figure 4D). In contrast, phosphotyrosine was not detected on the mutated JIP1^{Y409/427F} protein (Figure 4D). Co-immunoprecipitation analysis demonstrated that the interaction of SRC with JIP1 in control and FFA-treated cells was suppressed in studies with the mutated JIP1^{Y409/427F} protein (Figure 4D). Furthermore, the FFA-stimulated redistribution of JIP1 and SRC to lipid rafts depends on these sites of JIP1 tyrosine phosphorylation (Figure 4E). These data indicate that Y⁴⁰⁹ and Y⁴²⁷ are required for FFA-stimulated JIP1 tyrosine phosphorylation and SRC binding.

One site of tyrosine phosphorylation on JIP1 (pY²⁷⁸LTP) is similar to the optimal sequence (pYMEP) for phosphotyrosine binding by the VAV SH2 domain (Songyang et al., 1994). Comparison of *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs expressing WT and JIP1^{Y278F} proteins demonstrated that treatment with FFA promoted the co-immunoprecipitation of VAV with JIP1 and that this interaction was dependent on Y²⁷⁸ (Figure 4F). The phosphorylation site Y²⁷⁸ is, therefore, required for the FFA-induced interaction of JIP1 and VAV.

To test the requirement of JIP1 tyrosine phosphorylation for FFA-stimulated JNK activation, we compared *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs expressing WT JIP1 and mutated JIP proteins (JIP1^{Y278F} or JIP1^{Y409/427F}). This analysis demonstrated that expression of WT JIP1 in *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs restored FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 4G). In contrast, FFA-stimulated JNK activation was not detected in *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs expressing JIP1^{Y278F} or JIP1^{Y409/427F} (Figure 4G). Together, these data indicate that the tyrosine-phosphorylation-dependent interaction of JIP1 with SRC and VAV is required for FFA-stimulated JNK activation (Figure 4H).



Figure 4. Tyrosine Phosphorylation of JIP1 Is Necessary for Palmitate-Induced Tethering of SRC/VAV and JNK Activation

(A) $Mapk8ip1^{-/-}$ MEFs were transduced with an empty retroviral vector (control) or with a retroviral vector that expresses T7-tagged JIP1. The MEFs were treated with or without Gleevec (1 μ M) or AZD0530 (10 nM) for 30 min before addition of BSA or FFA/BSA (for 4 hr). Cell lysates and JIP1 immunoprecipitates were examined by immunoblot analysis.

(B and C) His₆-SRC-SH2 (B) and His₆-VAV2-SH2 (C) immobilized on nickel beads were incubated with extracts prepared from WT and *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs treated with BSA or FFA (for 4 hr). Bound proteins were detected by immunoblot analysis using antibodies to His₆ and JIP1.

(D–F) *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* MEFs were transduced with an empty retroviral vector (Control) or with a retroviral vector that expresses T7-tagged JIP1, JIP1^{Y278F}, or JIP1^{Y409/427F}. The MEFs were treated with BSA or FFA/BSA (for 4 hr). JP1 immunoprecipitates (D), isolated lipid rafts (E), and cell lysates (F) were examined by immunoblot analysis.

(G) Mapk8ip1^{-/-} MEFs (Control) and Mapk8ip1^{-/-} MEFs expressing T7-tagged JIP1, JIP1^{Y278F}, or JIP1^{Y409/427F} were treated with BSA or FFA/BSA (for 16 hr), and cell lysates were examined by immunoblot analysis.

(H) Schematic illustration of JIP1-mediated activation in response to exposure to FFA.

DISCUSSION

An understanding of the physiological mechanism of FFA-stimulated JNK activation has remained elusive, because several potential pathways have been implicated. Indeed, it is likely that FFA-stimulated JNK activation may occur by more than one mechanism in vivo, possibly in a cell-type- and context-specific manner. These potential mechanisms include: (1) the FFAinduced endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response (Fu et al., 2012); (2) FFA ligand binding to G-protein-coupled receptors (Alvarez-Curto and Milligan, 2016; Moran et al., 2016); (3) FFA metabolism and accumulation of signaling lipids, including diacylglycerol, ceramide, and sphingosine-1-phosphate (Hu et al., 2009; Montell et al., 2001; Schmitz-Peiffer et al., 1999); (4) FFAstimulated NBR1-MEKK3 signaling (Hernandez et al., 2014); and (5) FFA-stimulated lipid raft signaling (Holzer et al., 2011). It is also possible that FFA causes JNK activation as part of a generalized lipotoxic stress response (Neuschwander-Tetri, 2010).

Here, we examined the lipid raft signaling mechanism of FFAstimulated JNK activation (Holzer et al., 2011). Previous studies have demonstrated roles for SRC (Holzer et al., 2011), RAC1 (Sharma et al., 2012), and the MLK group of MAP3K (Jaeschke and Davis, 2007; Kant et al., 2013). While VAV can load GTP on RAC1 and activate MLK (Teramoto et al., 1996), the role of SRC in this signaling pathway has not been established (Holzer et al., 2011).

Our analysis demonstrates that the scaffold protein JIP1 plays a dual role in FFA signaling by co-ordinating upstream SRC functions together with downstream effector signaling by the JNK pathway (Figure 4H). SRC phosphorylation of JIP1 creates phosphotyrosine interaction motifs that bind the SH2 domains of SRC and the guanine nucleotide exchange factor VAV. These interactions are required for SRC-induced tyrosine phosphorylation and activation of VAV and the subsequent engagement of a JIP1tethered JNK signaling module (Figure 4H). It is likely that this mechanism contributes to physiological regulation, because defects in JIP1 (Jaeschke et al., 2004; Morel et al., 2010), including disruption of the JIP1 JNK-binding site (Figure 2), strongly suppress the consequences of HFD consumption by mice.

The interaction of JIP1 with signaling molecules provides an opportunity for the design of small molecules to disrupt FFAstimulated JNK activation. This approach has been used to identify drugs that target the JNK/JIP1 interaction (Chen et al., 2009; Stebbins et al., 2008) but could be extended to the new interactions identified by our study. Since JNK has many physiological functions, drugs that target the JIP1 scaffold protein may provide greater selectivity for suppression of JNK activation caused by metabolic stress. Such drugs may be useful for the treatment of obesity-induced metabolic stress, including metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes (Kaneto et al., 2004).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice

C57BL/6J mice (stock number 000664) and *Rosa-Cre^{ERT}* mice (stock number 00487) (Badea et al., 2003) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. We have previously described *Mapk8ip1^{-/-}* mice (Whitmarsh et al., 2001), *Map2k7^{LoxP/LoxP}* mice (Hübner et al., 2012), *Map3k10^{-/-}* mice (Kant et al., 2011), *Map3k11^{-/-}* mice (Brancho et al., 2005), *Map3k11^{-CRIB/ΔCRIB* mice (Kant et al., 2011), and *Vav1^{-/-} Vav2^{-/-}* Vav3^{-/-} mice (Fujikawa et al., 2003) on a C57BL/6J strain background.}

Mice with a defect in the JNK-binding domain of JIP1 (replacement of Leu¹⁶⁰-Asn¹⁶¹-Leu¹⁶² with Gly¹⁶⁰-Arg¹⁶¹-Gly¹⁶²) were established using homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells (ESCs) using standard methods (Figure S2). The mutated allele was designated Mapk8ip1^{ΔJBD}. Briefly, a targeting vector was constructed (Figure S2A). This targeting vector was designed to introduce point mutations in exon 3 of the Mapk8ip1 gene that create the ΔJBD mutation and also the introduction of an Eagl restriction site (Figures S2A and S2B). The targeting vector was also designed to introduce a floxed Neo^R cassette in intron 3 (Figure S2A). TC1 ESCs (strain 129svev) were electroporated with this vector and selected with 200 µg/mL G418 (Invitrogen) and 2 µM gancyclovir (Syntex). ESC clones with the floxed Neo^R cassette correctly inserted in intron 3 were identified by Southern blot analysis (Figure S2C). These ESCs included clones without (genotype +/Neo^R-*Mapk*81p1^{*WT*}) and with (genotype +/*Neo^R*-*Mapk*8ip1^{*JJBD*}) the Δ JBD mutation in exon 3 (Figure S2D). These ESCs were injected into C57BL/6J blastocysts to create chimeric mice that were bred to obtain germline transmission of the targeted Mapk8ip1 allele. The floxed Neo^R cassette was excised using Cre recombinase. The mice used in this study were backcrossed (ten generations) to the C57BL/6J strain (The Jackson Laboratory).

Male mice (8–10 weeks old) were fed a chow diet (Iso Pro 3000, Purina) or an HFD (F3282, Bioserve). Mice were housed in a facility accredited by the Amer-

ican Association for Laboratory Animal Care. The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Massachusetts Medical School approved all studies using animals.

Genotype Analysis

PCR analysis of genomic DNA was used to detect Mapk8ip1⁻ (Whitmarsh et al., 2001); Map3k10⁻ (Kant et al., 2011); Map3k11⁻ (Brancho et al., 2005); Map3k11^{⊿CRIB} (Kant et al., 2011); and Vav1⁻, Vav2⁻, and Vav3⁻ (Fujikawa et al., 2003) alleles. The Mapk8ip1^{ΔJBD} allele was detected using three different assays. First, Southern blot analysis of HindIII-restricted genomic DNA was performed by probing with a 500-bp fragment of the Mapk8ip1 gene that was isolated by PCR using the primers 5'-CACATCTTGTGTGCT CAATCCG-3' and 5'-GTTCTGGCTTCTGATACTGAACCC-3'. The Mapk8ip+ and Mapk8ip1^{4JBD} alleles were detected as 11.9-kb and 6.6-kb genomic fragments, respectively (Figure S2C). Second, a PCR assay was used using the primers 5'-GCAAGCTGGGAAGATGACTTTATG-3' and 5'-AGACTGC CTTGGGAAAAGCG-3' and Eagl digestion to yield 2.1-kb (Mapk8ip+) or 1.175-kb plus 0.925-kb DNA fragments (Mapk8ip1^{4JBD}) (Figure S2D). Third, backcrossed mice were genotyped by PCR using the primers 5'-CCAAGTTGTGTGTGGAGAGAGCTTG-3' and 5'-GCAGATGTTGGAGGAAGA AGCAC-3' to yield a 400-bp DNA fragment (Mapk8ip1+) or a 450-bp DNA fragment (Mapk8ip1^{△JBD}) (Figure S2E).

Plasmids

The retroviral vector pBABE-puro (Morgenstern and Land, 1990) was obtained from Addgene (plasmid #1764). The Mapk8ip1b cDNA was modified by insertion of a sequence encoding the T7 epitope tag between codons 1 and 2 (Whitmarsh et al., 1998) and was cloned as a blunt-end fragment into the EcoRI site of pBABE-puro. Point mutations in the Mapk8ip1b cDNA were made using primers 5'-CGACTGAGGAGATCTTCCTGACCCCAGTGC-3' and 5'-GCTGACTCCTCTAGAAGGACTGGGGTCACG-3' (for JIP1b^{Y278F}) and two pairs of primers 5'-CTCTGCCACTGTCTTTGACAACTGTGCCTC-3' plus 5'-GAGACGGTGACAGAAACTGTTGACACGGAG-3' and 5'-GCCATTGGTGA GGAGTTTGA GGAGGCCCCTC-3' plus 5'-CGGTAACCACTCCTCAAACT CCTCCGGGGAG-3' (for JIP1b^{Y409/427F}) with a QuikChange II XL Kit (Stratagene) and confirmed by DNA sequence analysis. The mammalian expression vectors for SRC (plasmid #26983) and constitutively active SRC^{Y529F} (plasmid #17686) were obtained from Addgene. Bacterial expression vectors for His6-SRC-SH2 and His₆-VAV-SH2 (pET28 sacB AP vector with kanamycin resistance) were purchased from Open BioSystems (#OHS4902_SH2).

Cell Culture

SFY fibroblasts, complemented without and with SRC (Klinghoffer et al., 1999), were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (CRL2459 and CRL2498). RIN5F cells expressing Glu-Glu-tagged JIP1b have been described previously (Standen et al., 2009). Primary WT MEFs, Mapk8ip1-/- MEFs, Mapk8ip1^{ΔJBD/ΔJBD} MEFs, Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{-/-} MEFs, and Map3k10^{-/-} Map3k11^{ΔCRIB/ΔCRIB} MEFs were isolated and cultured in vitro (Kant et al., 2011). Map2k7-/- MEFs were prepared by treating Rosa-CreERT $\textit{Map3k7}^{\textit{LoxP}/\textit{LoxP}}$ MEFs with 1 μM 4-hydroxytamoxifen (24 hr). MEF transduced with pBABE-puro retroviral vectors were selected by incubation (24 hr) with 10 µg/mL puromycin (Lamb et al., 2003). Studies of primary MEFs were performed using cells between passages 3 and 5. Cells were transferred to DMEM supplemented with 0.5% fetal bovine serum (Life Technologies) and incubated (1 hr) prior to treatment with 0.5% BSA or 0.75 mM palmitate/ 0.5% (w/v) BSA. Cells were treated with 1 µM imatinib (Gleevec) or 10 nM Saracatinib (AZD0530) (Selleck Chemicals). Plasmid transfection assays were performed using Lipofectamine (Life Technologies).

Lipid Raft Isolation

Methods for detergent-dependent lipid raft isolation were described earlier (Holzer et al., 2011; Lingwood and Simons, 2007). Briefly, cells were prepared using Triton lysis buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 137 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 25 mM β -glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 μ g/mL of aprotinin plus leupeptin), sheared with a 25G needle and incubated at 4°C (30 min). Optiprep (Sigma) 40%–30%–5% step gradients were prepared

prior to flotation of the cell lysate on the step gradient and centrifugation (16 hr at $260,000 \times g$). Raft and non-raft fractions were collected and examined by protein immunoblot analysis.

Immunoblot Analysis

Cell extracts were prepared using Triton lysis buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 137 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 25 mM β -glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF, 10 μ g/mL aprotinin and leupeptin) for 20 min at ice. Triton-soluble and -insoluble fractions were prepared by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm (10 min) and examined by protein immunoblot analysis. Primary antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (MLK3, pJNK, SRC, and pY^{416}-SRC), Covance (Glu-Glu tag), Life Technologies (JNK, Flotillin1, and JIP1), Millipore (T7 tag), Santa Cruz Biotechnology (VAV2 and Flotillin2), and Sigma (*α*-Tubulin). Immune complexes were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (New England Nuclear) using an ImageQuant LAS 4000 (General Electric).

Immunoprecipitation

Cell extracts were prepared using NP-40 lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 5 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF, 10 mg/mL aprotinin and leupeptin) and incubated (5 hr at 4°C) with 10 μ g control nonimmune rabbit immunoglobulin G (lgG) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or 10 μ g rabbit antibodies to the Glu-Glu tag (Covance) or JIP1 (Yasuda et al., 1999). Immune complexes isolated using protein G sepharose (Sigma) were washed (five times) with lysis buffer.

In Vitro SH2 Domain Interaction Assays

Bacterial expression of His₆-SRC-SH2 and His₆-VAV-SH2 was induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactoside (IPTG) (3 hr, 37°C). The bacteria were lysed by sonication in Buffer X (20 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 1 M NaCl, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/mL aprotinin and leupeptin). The soluble extract was clarified by centrifugation. The supernatant was incubated (1 hr at 4°C) with 20 µL nickel magnetic beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, #28-9781-19 AB). The beads were washed with Buffer X and then incubated with extracts (100 µg protein) prepared from WT and *Mapk8ip1*^{-/-} MEFs using Triton lysis buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.4], 1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 137 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 25 mM β -glycerophosphate, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/mL of aprotinin and leupeptin). The beads were washed with Triton lysis buffer, and bound proteins were examined by immunoblot analysis.

Immunofluorescence

Cells grown on glass coverslips were treated (1 min) at room temperature (RT) with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS and then fixed by incubation at 4°C in 4% paraformaldehyde (30 min). The coverslips were incubated (1 hr) with blocking buffer (3% [w/v] BSA in PBS) at RT and then incubated (1 hr) with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer at RT. The primary antibodies to the Glu-Glu epitope tag (Covance) and SRC (Cell Signaling Technology) were used. The coverslips were washed with 0.5% Tween 20/PBS. The primary antibodies were detected by incubation with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or 546 (Life Technologies). Fluorescence was visualized using a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope equipped with a 405-nm diode laser.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures and four figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.08.025.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

S.K., C.M., C.L.S., W.S., J.K.K., R.A.F., and R.J.D. designed research. S.K., C.M., C.L.S., and D.Y.J. performed research. S.K., C.M., C.L.S., J.K.K., and R.J.D. analyzed the data. S.K. and R.J.D. wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Vicky Benoit and Heather Learnard for expert technical assistance and Kathy Gemme for administrative assistance. These studies were supported by NIH grants DK107220 and DK112698 (to R.J.D.) and American Heart Association grant 16SDG29660007 (to S.K.). The UMASS Mouse Metabolic Phenotyping Center is supported by NIH grant DK093000 (to J.K.K.). R.A.F. and R.J.D. are investigators of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Received: May 10, 2016 Revised: June 30, 2017 Accepted: July 29, 2017 Published: September 19, 2017

REFERENCES

Alvarez-Curto, E., and Milligan, G. (2016). Metabolism meets immunity: The role of free fatty acid receptors in the immune system. Biochem. Pharmacol. *114*, 3–13.

Badea, T.C., Wang, Y., and Nathans, J. (2003). A noninvasive genetic/pharmacologic strategy for visualizing cell morphology and clonal relationships in the mouse. J. Neurosci. 23, 2314–2322.

Brancho, D., Ventura, J.J., Jaeschke, A., Doran, B., Flavell, R.A., and Davis, R.J. (2005). Role of MLK3 in the regulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling cascades. Mol. Cell. Biol. *25*, 3670–3681.

Chen, T., Kablaoui, N., Little, J., Timofeevski, S., Tschantz, W.R., Chen, P., Feng, J., Charlton, M., Stanton, R., and Bauer, P. (2009). Identification of small-molecule inhibitors of the JIP-JNK interaction. Biochem. J. *420*, 283–294.

Craige, S.M., Reif, M.M., and Kant, S. (2016). Mixed - lineage protein kinases (MLKs) in inflammation, metabolism, and other disease states. Biochim. Bio-phys. Acta *1862*, 1581–1586.

Crespo, P., Bustelo, X.R., Aaronson, D.S., Coso, O.A., Lopez-Barahona, M., Barbacid, M., and Gutkind, J.S. (1996). Rac-1 dependent stimulation of the JNK/SAPK signaling pathway by Vav. Oncogene *13*, 455–460.

Crespo, P., Schuebel, K.E., Ostrom, A.A., Gutkind, J.S., and Bustelo, X.R. (1997). Phosphotyrosine-dependent activation of Rac-1 GDP/GTP exchange by the vav proto-oncogene product. Nature *385*, 169–172.

D'Ambrosio, C., Arena, S., Fulcoli, G., Scheinfeld, M.H., Zhou, D., D'Adamio, L., and Scaloni, A. (2006). Hyperphosphorylation of JNK-interacting protein 1, a protein associated with Alzheimer disease. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 5, 97–113.

Dajas-Bailador, F., Jones, E.V., and Whitmarsh, A.J. (2008). The JIP1 scaffold protein regulates axonal development in cortical neurons. Curr. Biol. *18*, 221–226.

Davis, R.J. (1994). MAPKs: new JNK expands the group. Trends Biochem. Sci. 19, 470–473.

Davis, R.J. (2000). Signal transduction by the JNK group of MAP kinases. Cell 103, 239–252.

Flegal, K.M., Kit, B.K., Orpana, H., and Graubard, B.I. (2013). Association of allcause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 309, 71–82.

Fu, S., Watkins, S.M., and Hotamisligil, G.S. (2012). The role of endoplasmic reticulum in hepatic lipid homeostasis and stress signaling. Cell Metab. *15*, 623–634.

Fujikawa, K., Miletic, A.V., Alt, F.W., Faccio, R., Brown, T., Hoog, J., Fredericks, J., Nishi, S., Mildiner, S., Moores, S.L., et al. (2003). Vav1/2/3-null mice define an essential role for Vav family proteins in lymphocyte development and activation but a differential requirement in MAPK signaling in T and B cells. J. Exp. Med. *198*, 1595–1608.

Heo, Y.S., Kim, S.K., Seo, C.I., Kim, Y.K., Sung, B.J., Lee, H.S., Lee, J.I., Park, S.Y., Kim, J.H., Hwang, K.Y., et al. (2004). Structural basis for the selective inhibition of JNK1 by the scaffolding protein JIP1 and SP600125. EMBO J. *23*, 2185–2195. Hernandez, E.D., Lee, S.J., Kim, J.Y., Duran, A., Linares, J.F., Yajima, T., Müller, T.D., Tschöp, M.H., Smith, S.R., Diaz-Meco, M.T., and Moscat, J. (2014). A macrophage NBR1-MEKK3 complex triggers JNK-mediated adipose tissue inflammation in obesity. Cell Metab. *20*, 499–511.

Holzer, R.G., Park, E.J., Li, N., Tran, H., Chen, M., Choi, C., Solinas, G., and Karin, M. (2011). Saturated fatty acids induce c-Src clustering within membrane subdomains, leading to JNK activation. Cell *147*, 173–184.

Hu, W., Bielawski, J., Samad, F., Merrill, A.H., Jr., and Cowart, L.A. (2009). Palmitate increases sphingosine-1-phosphate in C2C12 myotubes via upregulation of sphingosine kinase message and activity. J. Lipid Res. *50*, 1852– 1862.

Hübner, A., Mulholland, D.J., Standen, C.L., Karasarides, M., Cavanagh-Kyros, J., Barrett, T., Chi, H., Greiner, D.L., Tournier, C., Sawyers, C.L., et al. (2012). JNK and PTEN cooperatively control the development of invasive adenocarcinoma of the prostate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, 12046– 12051.

Jaeschke, A., and Davis, R.J. (2007). Metabolic stress signaling mediated by mixed-lineage kinases. Mol. Cell 27, 498–508.

Jaeschke, A., Czech, M.P., and Davis, R.J. (2004). An essential role of the JIP1 scaffold protein for JNK activation in adipose tissue. Genes Dev. *18*, 1976–1980.

Kahn, S.E., Hull, R.L., and Utzschneider, K.M. (2006). Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature 444, 840–846.

Kaneto, H., Nakatani, Y., Miyatsuka, T., Kawamori, D., Matsuoka, T.A., Matsuhisa, M., Kajimoto, Y., Ichijo, H., Yamasaki, Y., and Hori, M. (2004). Possible novel therapy for diabetes with cell-permeable JNK-inhibitory peptide. Nat. Med. *10*, 1128–1132.

Kant, S., Swat, W., Zhang, S., Zhang, Z.Y., Neel, B.G., Flavell, R.A., and Davis, R.J. (2011). TNF-stimulated MAP kinase activation mediated by a Rho family GTPase signaling pathway. Genes Dev. *25*, 2069–2078.

Kant, S., Barrett, T., Vertii, A., Noh, Y.H., Jung, D.Y., Kim, J.K., and Davis, R.J. (2013). Role of the mixed-lineage protein kinase pathway in the metabolic stress response to obesity. Cell Rep. *4*, 681–688.

Kennedy, N.J., Martin, G., Ehrhardt, A.G., Cavanagh-Kyros, J., Kuan, C.Y., Rakic, P., Flavell, R.A., Treistman, S.N., and Davis, R.J. (2007). Requirement of JIP scaffold proteins for NMDA-mediated signal transduction. Genes Dev. *21*, 2336–2346.

Klinghoffer, R.A., Sachsenmaier, C., Cooper, J.A., and Soriano, P. (1999). Src family kinases are required for integrin but not PDGFR signal transduction. EMBO J. *18*, 2459–2471.

Lamb, J.A., Ventura, J.J., Hess, P., Flavell, R.A., and Davis, R.J. (2003). JunD mediates survival signaling by the JNK signal transduction pathway. Mol. Cell *11*, 1479–1489.

Lingwood, D., and Simons, K. (2007). Detergent resistance as a tool in membrane research. Nat. Protoc. 2, 2159–2165.

Montell, E., Turini, M., Marotta, M., Roberts, M., Noé, V., Ciudad, C.J., Macé, K., and Gómez-Foix, A.M. (2001). DAG accumulation from saturated fatty acids desensitizes insulin stimulation of glucose uptake in muscle cells. Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab. *280*, E229–E237.

Moran, B.M., Flatt, P.R., and McKillop, A.M. (2016). G protein-coupled receptors: signalling and regulation by lipid agonists for improved glucose homoeostasis. Acta Diabetol. *53*, 177–188. Morel, C., Standen, C.L., Jung, D.Y., Gray, S., Ong, H., Flavell, R.A., Kim, J.K., and Davis, R.J. (2010). Requirement of JIP1-mediated c-Jun N-terminal kinase activation for obesity-induced insulin resistance. Mol. Cell. Biol. *30*, 4616–4625.

Morgenstern, J.P., and Land, H. (1990). Advanced mammalian gene transfer: high titre retroviral vectors with multiple drug selection markers and a complementary helper-free packaging cell line. Nucleic Acids Res. *18*, 3587–3596.

Neuschwander-Tetri, B.A. (2010). Hepatic lipotoxicity and the pathogenesis of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: the central role of nontriglyceride fatty acid metabolites. Hepatology *52*, 774–788.

Nihalani, D., Wong, H., Verma, R., and Holzman, L.B. (2007). Src family kinases directly regulate JIP1 module dynamics and activation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 27, 2431–2441.

Sabio, G., and Davis, R.J. (2010). cJun NH2-terminal kinase 1 (JNK1): roles in metabolic regulation of insulin resistance. Trends Biochem. Sci. *35*, 490–496. Schmitz-Peiffer, C., Craig, D.L., and Biden, T.J. (1999). Ceramide generation is sufficient to account for the inhibition of the insulin-stimulated PKB pathway in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells pretreated with palmitate. J. Biol. Chem. *274*, 24202–24210.

Sharma, M., Urano, F., and Jaeschke, A. (2012). Cdc42 and Rac1 are major contributors to the saturated fatty acid-stimulated JNK pathway in hepatocytes. J. Hepatol. 56, 192–198.

Songyang, Z., Shoelson, S.E., Chaudhuri, M., Gish, G., Pawson, T., Haser, W.G., King, F., Roberts, T., Ratnofsky, S., Lechleider, R.J., et al. (1993). SH2 domains recognize specific phosphopeptide sequences. Cell *72*, 767–778.

Songyang, Z., Shoelson, S.E., McGlade, J., Olivier, P., Pawson, T., Bustelo, X.R., Barbacid, M., Sabe, H., Hanafusa, H., Yi, T., et al. (1994). Specific motifs recognized by the SH2 domains of Csk, 3BP2, fps/fes, GRB-2, HCP, SHC, Syk, and Vav. Mol. Cell. Biol. *14*, 2777–2785.

Standen, C.L., Kennedy, N.J., Flavell, R.A., and Davis, R.J. (2009). Signal transduction cross talk mediated by Jun N-terminal kinase-interacting protein and insulin receptor substrate scaffold protein complexes. Mol. Cell. Biol. *29*, 4831–4840.

Stebbins, J.L., De, S.K., Machleidt, T., Becattini, B., Vazquez, J., Kuntzen, C., Chen, L.H., Cellitti, J.F., Riel-Mehan, M., Emdadi, A., et al. (2008). Identification of a new JNK inhibitor targeting the JNK-JIP interaction site. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 16809–16813.

Teramoto, H., Coso, O.A., Miyata, H., Igishi, T., Miki, T., and Gutkind, J.S. (1996). Signaling from the small GTP-binding proteins Rac1 and Cdc42 to the c-Jun N-terminal kinase/stress-activated protein kinase pathway. A role for mixed lineage kinase 3/protein-tyrosine kinase 1, a novel member of the mixed lineage kinase family. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 27225–27228.

Whitmarsh, A.J., Cavanagh, J., Tournier, C., Yasuda, J., and Davis, R.J. (1998). A mammalian scaffold complex that selectively mediates MAP kinase activation. Science *281*, 1671–1674.

Whitmarsh, A.J., Kuan, C.Y., Kennedy, N.J., Kelkar, N., Haydar, T.F., Mordes, J.P., Appel, M., Rossini, A.A., Jones, S.N., Flavell, R.A., et al. (2001). Requirement of the JIP1 scaffold protein for stress-induced JNK activation. Genes Dev. *15*, 2421–2432.

Yasuda, J., Whitmarsh, A.J., Cavanagh, J., Sharma, M., and Davis, R.J. (1999). The JIP group of mitogen-activated protein kinase scaffold proteins. Mol. Cell. Biol. 19, 7245–7254.