### Marquette University e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications

Chemistry, Department of

5-31-2017

# Serendipitous Discovery of Light-Induced (*In Situ*) Formation of An Azo-Bridged Dimeric Sulfonated Naphthol as a Potent PTP1B Inhibito

Robert D. Bongard Medical College of Wisconsin

Michael Lepley Medical College of Wisconsin

Khushabu Thakur Marquette University

Marat R. Talipov

Jaladhi Nayak Medical College of Wisconsin

See next page for additional authors

Published version. *BMC Biochemistry*, Vol. 18, No. 10 (May 31, 2017). DOI. © 2017 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

#### Authors

Robert D. Bongard, Michael Lepley, Khushabu Thakur, Marat R. Talipov, Jaladhi Nayak, Rachel A. Jones Lipinski, Chris Bohl, Noreena Sweeney, Ramani Ramchandran, Rajendra Rathore, and Daniel S. Sem

### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 



# Serendipitous discovery of light-induced (*In Situ*) formation of an Azo-bridged dimeric sulfonated naphthol as a potent PTP1B inhibitor

Robert D. Bongard<sup>1</sup>, Michael Lepley<sup>2</sup>, Khushabu Thakur<sup>3</sup>, Marat R. Talipov<sup>3</sup>, Jaladhi Nayak<sup>2</sup>, Rachel A. Jones Lipinski<sup>2,3</sup>, Chris Bohl<sup>1</sup>, Noreena Sweeney<sup>1</sup>, Ramani Ramchandran<sup>2\*†</sup>, Rajendra Rathore<sup>3\*†</sup> and Daniel S. Sem<sup>1\*†</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) like dual specificity phosphatase 5 (DUSP5) and protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B) are drug targets for diseases that include cancer, diabetes, and vascular disorders such as hemangiomas. The PTPs are also known to be notoriously difficult targets for designing inihibitors that become viable drug leads. Therefore, the pipeline for approved drugs in this class is minimal. Furthermore, drug screening for targets like PTPs often produce false positive and false negative results.

**Results:** Studies presented herein provide important insights into: (a) how to detect such artifacts, (b) the importance of compound re-synthesis and verification, and (c) how *in situ* chemical reactivity of compounds, when diagnosed and characterized, can actually lead to serendipitous discovery of valuable new lead molecules. Initial docking of compounds from the National Cancer Institute (NCI), followed by experimental testing in enzyme inhibition assays, identified an inhibitor of DUSP5. Subsequent control experiments revealed that this compound demonstrated time-dependent inhibition, and also a time-dependent change in color of the inhibitor that correlated with potency of inhibition. In addition, the compound activity varied depending on vendor source. We hypothesized, and then confirmed by synthesis of the compound, that the actual inhibitor of DUSP5 was a dimeric form of the original inhibitor compound, formed upon exposure to light and oxygen. This compound has an  $IC_{50}$  of 36  $\mu$ M for DUSP5, and is a competitive inhibitor. Testing against PTP1B, for selectivity, demonstrated the dimeric compound was actually a more potent inhibitor of PTP1B, with an  $IC_{50}$  of 2.1  $\mu$ M. The compound, an azo-bridged dimer of sulfonated naphthol rings, resembles previously reported PTP inhibitors, but with 18-fold selectivity for PTP1B versus DUSP5.

**Conclusion:** We report the identification of a potent PTP1B inhibitor that was initially identified in a screen for DUSP5, implying common mechanism of inhibitory action for these scaffolds.

Keywords: DUSP5, pERK, PTP1B, Azo, Dyes, Enzyme kinetics

<sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Children's Research Institute (CRI) Developmental Vascular Biology Program, Translational and Biomedical Research Center, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, P.O. Box 26509, Milwaukee, WI 53226, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Wehr Chemistry Building, P.O. Box 1881, 535 N. 14th Street, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA

<sup>1</sup>Center for Structure-based Drug Design and Development, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Concordia University of Wisconsin, Mequon, WI 53097, USA



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: rramchan@mcw.edu; rajendra.rathore@marquette.edu; Daniel.Sem@cuw.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Equal contributors

#### Background

Protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) play a central role in cell biology, acting as a complement to the protein kinase system, to control levels of phosphorylated proteins in the cell. By the removal of the phosphate groups that are added by protein kinases, the PTPs regulate processes that range from cell growth and differentiation to motility to adhesion, in both normal and disease states [1, 2]. There are a wide variety of PTPs, and within the human genome 107 PTPs are classified into four classes. In this study, we focus on two class I PTPs. One is the cysteine-based PTPs, which are specific for phosphotyrosines. These are the so-called "classical PTPs," and include PTP1B [3]. A second category of class I PTP is the dual specificity phosphatases (DUSPs). Our studies initially focused on targeting DUSP5, which in addition to phospho-tyrosine also dephosphorylates and phospho-threonine residue. Ultimately, through serendipity, we identified a DUSP5 inhibitor that was actually more potent and selective at inhibiting PTP1B as well as protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 11 (SHP-2). The DUSPs, and their mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK) partners, are involved in various diseases, including cancer, diabetes, and autoimmune disorders [4-7]. DUSP5, in particular, has been shown by the Ramchandran lab as important for early vascular patterning in vertebrates, and a clinically relevant serine to proline mutation (S147P) has been identified in patients with vascular anomalies [8]. Recently, it was shown using DUSP5 knockout mice that DUSP5 negatively regulates interleukin-33-mediated eosinophil survival and function, [9], and upon viral infection, DUSP5 is essential for T cell survival [10]. Furthermore, DUSP5 knockout rats displayed autoregulation of cerebral blood flow [11]. Thus, DUSP5 is an important new drug target, and was pursued by the studies presented herein - at least until it was discovered that our lead compound was a more potent inhibitor of PTP1B.

PTP1B is a drug target for treatment of cancer [12, 13] as well as type II diabetes and obesity [14]. While there are presently no approved drugs targeting PTP1B [15], it has been hotly pursued as a drug target by many pharmaceutical companies and academic labs [15, 16] in the hope that an inhibitor of PTP1B could be developed as a drug for treating type II diabetes, which is anticipated to affect over 300 million people world-wide by 2030 [14, 17]. PTP1B works by dephosphorylating the insulin receptor, and studies with knockout mice have shown that decreased PTP1B activity is associated with weight loss and enhanced insulin sensitivity [18]. PTP1B was the first PTP to be cloned and characterized [19], and was first characterized by crystallography in 1994 [20]. Despite this extensive knowledge base, and the

recognition of PTP1B's important role as a drug target, there are still no approved drugs that target PTP1B. It has been suggested that there are unique challenges to developing drugs for PTPs, in part due to the requirement that inhibitors be highly charged, which creates bioavailability problems for drug lead molecules [16]. Adding to this challenge, it has been noted that a large number of small molecules identified as PTP inhibitors were later found to be false positives, that inhibit nonspecifically (e.g. hydrophobic; aggregation effects) or via oxidation of the active site cysteine residue [15]. Such screening artifacts are not unique to PTPs, and are a growing concern as increasing numbers of labs participate in drug discovery and development efforts.

The studies presented herein provide a useful case study concerning the purity and chemical identities of small molecules and their degradation, role of careful analysis of apparent screening artifacts such as timedependent inhibition, and the importance of serendipity in drug discovery.

#### Methods

#### Preparation of RR535 and RR601 Commercial RR535

Synthesis of RR535 (in-house NCI2602 re-synthesis) was achieved in a multi-step synthesis only in very poor yield and therefore the protocol was abandoned. Fortunately, an acid derivative of RR535 (5-amino-1-naphthol-3-sulfonic acid hydrate, TCI) was commercially available. It was converted to RR535 by a reaction with equimolar sodium hydroxide in water under an inert atmosphere followed by precipitation by a layering technique with pure acetone. The resulting precipitate was further purified by repeated re-precipitation (3 times) with a mixture of water and layering with acetone to afford a pure sample of RR535.

#### Synthesis of RR601

solution of 5-amino-1-naphthol-3-sulfonic acid А hydrate, TCI (1.0 g, 4.17 mmol) was added an aqueous solution of NaOH (0.17 g, 4.17 mmol) under an argon atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred vigorously and the pH of the solution was checked to ensure the complete conversion of the acid into RR535. Water was evaporated by bubbling a constant stream of argon through the solution for 12 h to yield RR535 in quantitative amount. The resulting RR535 (1 g) was re-dissolved in deionized water (10 mL) in a quartz tube equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. The resulting solution was vigorously stirred while a stream of air (or pure oxygen) was passed through the reaction mixture and the tube was exposed to a 120 W lamp for 18 h. The dark colored reaction mixture was slowly evaporated by bubbling argon through the solution at 45 °C to produce a black

brown solid. This solid was further purified by repeated re-precipitation (5 times) from a mixture of water and acetone to afford a pure RR601 in 45% yield. NMR and mass spectrometry (MS) was used to characterize RR601 Scheme 1.

#### In vitro ERK dephosphorylation western blot assay

GST-DUSP5 purified protein was generated using previously published methods [21]. The protein was diluted in phospho-ERK buffer (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 75 mM NaCl, 0.67 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, H<sub>2</sub>O) to a concentration of 1.5-3.0 nM, depending on the purity. Active ERK2 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis MN) and the compounds to be tested were also diluted in this buffer with an initial concentration of 30 nM for ERK2 and serial dilutions for the compounds. 5 µL each of GST-DUSP5 and diluted compound concentrations were incubated for 5 mins after which 5 µL of 30 nM ERK2 was added and allowed to incubate for 20 mins. After this time 15 µL SDS-Loading buffer was added to each reaction. Samples were boiled for 5 mins, loaded into lanes of 12% Mini-Protean TGX gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc, Hercules CA), and run at 120 V. Protein samples were then transferred to PVDF western blotting Membranes (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis IN) at 90 V for 1 h. Membranes were treated and utilized in the iBind Flex Western Device (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA) according to manufacturer protocols. Membranes were probed for total and phospho-ERK using rabbit anti-human p44/42 MAPK and mouse anti-human phospho-p44/42 MAPK primary antibodies and HRPlinked anti-rabbit and anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology Inc, Danvers MA). Images were developed using a FluorChem HD2 imager (Bio-Techne, Minneapolis MN) after application of SuperSignal West Femto and West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

#### IC<sub>50</sub> calculation from the western blot assay

Densitometry analysis of western blot images was performed using ImageJ software.  $IC_{50}$  values were

obtained using Graphpad Prism 6 software to perform a non-linear least squares regression, which generated a sigmoidal dose-response curve. From this analysis  $IC_{50}$ values were obtained for each inhibitor being tested: NCI2602 (Additional file 1: Fig. S2), RR535 (Additional file 1: Fig. S3) and RR601 (Additional file 1: Fig. S4). In contrast to the  $IC_{50}$  assay determined in solution and described in the next section, this assay was done with full-length protein (containing both domains), and using *p*ERK as substrate. Thus, it is expected that slightly different  $IC_{50}$  values might be obtained, since the conformation of the active site is expected to be affected by the presence of the ERK binding domain, in the presence of *p*ERK.

### DUSP5 phosphatase domain protein synthesis and details of *p*NPP assay

The DUSP5 phosphatase domain (DUSP5 PD(WT)) gene was synthesized by Blue Heron (Bothell, WA) and the protein expressed and purified as previously described [22]. To measure the enzymatic activity of wild type phosphatase domain of DUSP5 {DUSP5 PD(WT)} and the inhibitory capacity of selected compounds, an in vitro phosphatase assay was utilized as previously described [22]. Briefly, assays without and with inhibitors were performed in Greiner 96-well clear bottom plates with a total assay volume of 200 µL. The assay buffer contained 100 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> • 6H<sub>2</sub>O and 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) at pH 7.5. p-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP, Sigma Aldrich) 5 mM was used as the substrate. DUSP5 PD(WT) dephosphorylates pNPP to yield p-nitrophenolate, which absorbs at 405 nm, having an extinction coefficient of 18,000 M<sup>-1</sup>  $cm^{-1}$ . The reaction was initiated by the addition of 4  $\mu$ L of a 29 µM DUPSP5 PD(WT) enzyme stock and absorbance was monitored at 25 °C over time using a Spectramax M5 microplate reader (Molecular Devices). Blanks contained only buffer and pNPP. Negative controls (without inhibitor) contained assay buffer with pNPP and DUSP5 PD(WT).



#### Inhibition of DUSP5PD(WT) by NCI2602 (1-amio-5-napththol-7-sulfonic acid), and effects of compound source and storage conditions

The first small molecule inhibitor tested was procured from three independent sources. First from the NCI Diversity Chemical Library (NCI2602), then from a commercial vendor (MP Biomedicals, Cat. No. 05211488, CAS 489-78-1), and was synthesized in our laboratories (RR535). These compounds should, in theory, all be the same chemical structure, 1-amio-5-napththol-7-sulfonic acid. Stock solutions (25 mM) of each inhibitor compound, including RR601 (newly synthesized) and NSC-87877 (Merck Millipore) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich). We first noticed a timedependent darkening of the stock solutions of NCI2602 stored in room light as well as stock solutions of RR535 and the MP Biomedicals compound that had been exposed to room light for varying amounts of time. This initial observation prompted us to study the effect of light exposure and compound source on inhibitor potency. Upon the addition of 4 µL of these respective inhibitor stock solutions to the assay buffer, the resulting range in assay inhibitor concentrations were 0.1 µM to 1,000 µM for the various 1-amino-5-naphthol-7-sulfonic acid sources, 0.1  $\mu M$  to 300  $\mu M$  for RR601 and 0.1  $\mu M$ to 300 µM for NSC-87877. Appropriate vehicles were added to the blank and negative control wells. A minimum of three replicate wells was run for each condition and at each inhibitor concentration. The replicate wells were averaged to give a single data point. The reaction was initiated upon addition of 4  $\mu$ L of a 29  $\mu$ M enzyme stock which was dispensed to each of the wells except the blanks utilizing a single channel pipette. The resulting DUSP5 PD(WT) concentration in the assay buffer was 0.58  $\mu$ M. The plate was returned to the plate reader, shaken, and absorbance recorded at 25 °C every thirty seconds over a ten to sixty mins time course. In selected experiments the plate was returned to the plate reader following a 10 mins recording for one or two additional 10 mins absorbance recordings.

## RR601 and NSC-87877 inhibition of PTP1B and SHP-2 activity

To examine the capacity of RR601 to inhibit proteintyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B), (Creative Biomart), activity, an in vitro phosphatase assay was adapted from [23]. Assays with and without inhibitor were performed in Corning 96-well clear bottom plates having a nonbinding surface, with a total assay volume of 200  $\mu$ L. The assay buffer contained 100 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA at pH 7.0 and 2.2 mM *p*NPP as the substrate. Serial dilutions of each of the RR601 and NSC-87877 stocks were performed such that upon the addition of 4 µL of a respective inhibitor stock to the assay buffer, the resulting range in assay inhibitor concentrations were 0.03  $\mu M$  to 100  $\mu M$  for RR601 and 0.1 µM to 300 µM for NSC-87877. The reaction was initiated upon addition of 4 µL of a 5.0 µM PTP1B stock, which was dispensed to each of the wells except the blanks using a single channel pipette. The resulting PTPB1 concentration in the assay buffer was 0.1 µM. The plate was returned to the plate reader, shaken, and absorbance recorded at 25 °C every thirty seconds over a 10 mins period when RR601(Fig. 8a) was used, or for 60 mins when NSC-87877 was used as the inhibitor (Additional file 1: Fig. S1A). Since NSC-87877 has also been reported to inhibit SHP-2, we also examined the capacity of RR601 and NSC-87877 to inhibit SHP-2 (Creative Biomart). Assays were performed in 96-well plates in a similar manner as reported above. The assay buffer contained 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 2 mM EDTA, 3 mM DTT and 100 mM NaCl. An initial experiment was performed to determine the kinetic parameters of the SHP-2 enzyme. The reaction was initiated with the addition of 4  $\mu$ L of a 0.35  $\mu$ M stock SHP-2 enzyme into wells containing 0, 1, 3, 9, 27 and 81  $\mu$ M *p*NPP. The SHP-2 assay concentration was 7 nM. Absorbance was recorded every 30 s over a ten minute assay period at a temperature of 25 °C (Additional file 1: Fig. S8). Initial velocities were fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation:

$$\nu = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{K_m + [S]} \tag{1}$$

where  $\nu$  is the initial velocity,  $V_{max}$  the maximum velocity,  $K_m$  the Michaelis constant, and [S] the *p*NPP concentration. Following the determination of the Michaelis constant of the SHP-2 enzyme in the *p*NPP assay, inhibition studies with RR601 and NSC-87877 were performed over concentration ranges of 0.01–100 µM and 0.03–300 µM, respectively. Assay buffer contained 7.5 mM *p*NPP, roughly one-half the value of the Michaelis constant determined from the study above. Reactions were initiated with the addition of 4 µL SHP-2 enzyme, resulting in a final assay enzyme concentration of 7 nM. Absorbance was recorded every 30 s over a 10 min reaction period at 25 °C in the RR601 study and every 30 s over a 60 min reaction period with NSC-87877.

#### IC<sub>50</sub> calculation

Initial rate values obtained from the plate reader were normalized to percent activity relative to the mean negative control rates. Graphpad Prism 6 software was utilized to calculate a non-linear regression (curve fit) using a variable slope model equation, constraining the top and bottom values to 100% activity and 0% activity, respectively, using the following equation:

$$v_{i} = Bottom + \frac{(Top - Bottom)}{1 + 10^{(logIC_{50} - x) * Hill \; Slope}}$$
(2)

where  $v_i$  is the initial rate.

#### Nephelometry

Nephelometry was performed to determine the relative propensity of the inhibitor compounds to aggregate in solution, based on the light scattering properties of the molecular aggregates. Compound aggregation can lead to artifact inhibitory effects, thus confounding a study of mechanism of inhibition. Compounds were tested for aggregation in a 96-well plate format, final volume 200 µL, using the phosphatase activity assay buffer at pH 7.5 without added pNPP. Compound concentrations ranging from 1  $\mu$ M to 300  $\mu$ M were generated by the addition of 4 µL volumes of serially diluted compound samples that were prepared from stock solutions of RR601, or RR535 and MP Biomedical stock solutions that had either been stored in the dark or exposed to light for 17 days. Eight wells were used for blanks and for each compound concentration. Plates were analyzed at two separate gains to determine if the signals were at saturating levels. Progesterone was used as a positive control for compound aggregation. Data were collected using a BMG NEPHELOStar Plus, equipped with a 635 nm laser.

#### Mechanism of DUSP5 PD(WT) inhibition by RR601

To investigate the mechanism of DUSP5 PD(WT) inhibition by RR601, initial velocity inhibition profiles of RR601 were obtained in a 96-well plate format by measuring DUSP5 PD(WT) initial velocities in assay buffer containing of 1, 3, 9, 27 and 81 mM *p*NPP and 0, 3, 10, 30 and 75  $\mu$ M RR601 at each *p*NPP concentration. Reactions were initiated by the addition of DUSP5 PD(WT) to a final concentration of 0.6  $\mu$ M in each of the wells, excluding the blank. The data were fitted to a global competitive inhibition model (Graphpad Prism) using the following equation:

$$v_i = \frac{V_{max}[S]}{K_m \left(1 + \frac{[l]}{K_i}\right) + [S]} \tag{3}$$

where v is the initial velocity,  $V_{max}$  the maximum velocity,  $K_m$  the Michaelis constant, [S] the concentration of *p*NPP, [I] the concentration of RR601 and  $K_i$  the inhibition constant.

The mechanism of RR601 inhibition of SHP-2 was investigated in a similar manner. Initial velocities of SHP-2 were determined in assay buffer containing 1, 2, 3, 10 and 30 mM pNPP along with 0.1, 0.3, 1, 2 and 3  $\mu$ M RR601 at each pNPP concentration. The data were fitted to a global competitive inhibition model (Additional file 1: Fig. S9). For substrate concentrations less than 30 mM, the data fit best to a competitive inhibition model (Eq. 3).

### Spectroscopic study of the effect of light exposure on compound properties

It was observed that solutions of 1-amino-5-naphthol-7-sulfonic acid (originally NCI2602) prepared from solid material procured from different sources were different in color. Therefore, compound solutions were studied spectrophotometrically. In addition, it was also observed that solutions of RR535 and MP Biomedicals stored on the bench top became darker with time. This prompted a more systematic examination of the timedependent nature of the color change. Freshly prepared 15 mM solutions of RR535 and MP Biomedicals were prepared in DMSO, from which 0.86 mM solutions in DMSO were prepared. Samples of each 0.86 mM solution were added to quartz cuvettes and the absorbance of each sample was recorded from 400 nm to 700 nm (Hewlett Packard 8456 diode array spectrophotometer). Each 0.86 mM sample was then divided into two equal volumes, one volume of each was stored in a cryovial on the benchtop exposed to room light while a second volume of each was stored in a cryovial wrapped in foil and placed in a drawer protected from light. Absorbance was recorded seven days later, after which the samples were returned to their respective bench top or drawer locations, and again at seventeen days following sample preparation. The remaining 15 mM RR535 and MP Biomedicals samples were stored on the bench top for seventeen days after which their inhibitory capacity against DUSP5 PD(WT) activity was determined.

#### Additional analytical measurements

<sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the MP Biomedicals, RR535 and RR601 compounds were collected for comparison. Also, mass spectrometry (MALDI) of methanol extracts of MP Biomedicals and RR535 compounds were performed using a nitroanthracene matrix.

#### Results

#### Initial identification of NCI2602

In our previous work, we had identified Suramin (Fig. 1), an FDA-approved compound that inhibited the DUSP5 enzyme [22]. Suramin was previously reported as an active site-directed, reversible, and tight binding inhibitor of protein-tyrosine phosphatases [24], and is an FDA approved drug for treatment of African tryp-anosomiasis [25]. Because Suramin has off target effects [26], and aggregates [22], we investigated the individual



chemical moieties that comprise Suramin, and first procured a compound from the NCI Diversity Chemical Library (NCI2602) that resembled this moiety (Fig. 1). We first investigated the ability of NCI2602 to inhibit DUSP5 in our published *p*NPP and *p*ERK assays [21]. In the *p*NPP assay (Fig. 2a), we observed an IC<sub>50</sub> of  $78.5 \pm 5.4 \mu$ M (± SE), while in our *p*ERK western blot assay (Fig. 2b), we observed an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 1.7  $\mu$ M ± 1.2  $\mu$ M, as the average obtained from the global fit of three separate experiments. These data suggest that



NCI2602 utilizing *p*ERK as the substrate. The data points, generated from normalized image intensities, represent the mean  $\pm$  SD of three experiments. A global model fit of the three data sets resulted in an estimated IC<sub>50</sub> ( $\pm$  SE) value of 1.7  $\pm$  1.2  $\mu$ M. **c** Photographic images of 25 mM stock concentrations of NCI2602, MP Biomedicals and RR535 used for the IC<sub>50</sub> determinations in (**a**). **d** Absorbance spectra from 400 to 700 nm of 1.8 mM concentrations of NCI2602, MP Biomedicals and RR535 in DMSO with DMSO as the blank NCI2602 is a moderately potent inhibitor of DUSP5 activity. To confirm our findings above, we resynthesized NCI2602, referred to as RR535, and also purchased compound from a commercial vendor (MP Biomedicals, 05211488, CAS 489-78-1) and re-analyzed these compounds which should, in theory, be identical to NCI2602 and behave the same in the DUSP5 PD(WT)-*p*NPP and DUSP5-*p*ERK assays. Surprisingly, the compound obtained from MP Biomedicals and the resynthesized NCI2602 (RR535) were found to be less effective inhibitors than the originally discovered NCI compound (Fig. 2a). The MP Biomedicals compound  $IC_{50}$  was  $593.5 \pm 64.1 \ \mu$ M, while the RR535 compound failed to show inhibition over the concentration range tested. These data collectively suggest that NCI2602 and the purchased compound are not identical in structure, form and purity.

#### Changes in NCI2602 color over time

To investigate the differences between NCI2602 and the resynthesized or purchased compound, we first focused on the color of these compounds from different sources. The NCI2602 was dark grey to black in color, the MP



MP Biomedicals and (**b**) RR535 compounds at concentrations of 0.5, 1.5, 5.0 and 15.0 mM in DMSO which were either stored in the *dark* (•) or on the bench top exposed to a twelve hour cycle of room *light* ( $\dot{X}$ ) over a one week period. Light exposure resulted in darkening of both compounds relative to the matched sample sets which were stored in the dark **c** Absorbance spectra from 400 to 700 nm of 0.86 mM concentrations of MP Biomedicals and **d**) RR535 that were either stored in the dark or exposed to room light for seven and seventeen days. Scans of each compound on the day they were prepared from solid compound are included for comparison, demonstrating the light- and time-dependent increase in absorbance of both compounds

Page 8 of 15

Biomedicals was modestly lighter and the synthesized RR535 was white in color. Photographic images of 25 mM samples of each compound in DMSO (Fig. 2c), as well as absorbance spectra of each compound (Fig. 2d) correlate with the observed color differences observed in the solids. We rationalized that the change in color could be associated with impurities in the NCI2602 preparations or light-induced changes that result in alterations in color. To address the later possibility, we incubated stock solutions of RR535 and MP Biomedicals in the dark or on the bench top exposed to room light.

Stock solutions (15 mM) of RR535 and MP Biomedicals were prepared in DMSO and serially diluted to 5.0 mM, 1.5 mM and 0.5 mM using DMSO. Half of each sample was pipetted into matched 0.6 mL polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes, generating two sets of serially diluted RR535 and MP Biomedicals solutions. One set of each was stored on the bench top while the matched set was stored in a drawer protected from light. Photographic images of the samples of the MP Biomedical samples (Fig. 3a) or the RR535 samples (Fig. 3b) following storage for 7 days on either the bench top or the drawer were collected. The samples showed evidence of light-induced darkening when compared to the paired samples that were stored protected from light. Absorbance spectra collected from 1.5 mM samples of MP Biomedicals (Fig. 3c) and RR535 (Fig. 3d) stored for 7 and 17 days, diluted with DMSO to a final concentration of 0.86 mM, displayed a light- and timedependent increase in absorbance over the visible range. These results suggest that color of the resynthesized and the purchased compound changed over time to light exposure, and matched the NCI2602 compound color.



**Fig. 4** Effect of light exposure and assay reaction time on MP Biomedicals and RR535  $IC_{50}$  values for DUSP5. DUSP5 PD(WT) initial velocity, monitored utilizing *p*NPP as the substrate, versus increasing concentrations of **(a)** MP Biomedicals and B) RR535 (1 to 300  $\mu$ M) prepared from stock solutions that were either stored in the dark or exposed to room light for 17 days.  $IC_{50}$  values were determined from rate data collected during the first ten minutes of the reaction period. The calculated  $IC_{50} \pm SE$  for MP Biomedicals that was stored in the dark was 588 ± 351  $\mu$ M, compared to 221 ± 11  $\mu$ M for the same compound when stored exposed to room light **(a)**. RR535 that was stored in the dark did not inhibit DUSP5 PD(WT) activity over the concentration range tested, while the  $IC_{50}$  for light-exposed RR535 was 725 ± 206  $\mu$ M (**b**). **c** MP Biomedicals  $IC_{50}$  values determined as in **(a)** from rate data collected between 15 and 25 min during the reaction period for compound stored in the dark or exposed to room light were 360 ± 36  $\mu$ M and 31 ± 1  $\mu$ M, respectively. **d** RR535  $IC_{50}$  values determined as in **(b)** from rate data collected between 15 and 25 min. Compound stored in the dark did not inhibit DUSP5 PD(WT) activity while light exposed RR535 had an  $IC_{50}$  of 78.8 ± 4.9  $\mu$ M. Data points represent the mean ± SD of four trials with four wells at each MP Biomedicals and RR535 concentration

### Effect of light exposure on RR535 and MP Biomedicals on *in vitro* potency: DUSP5 PD(WT) activity assays

The observed color changes brought about by light exposure led us to examine whether light exposure impacted the inhibitory capacity of MP Biomedicals and RR535 compounds with respect to DUSP5 PD(WT) activity. Figure 4 shows IC<sub>50</sub> curves generated from DUSP5 PD(WT) activity versus increasing concentrations of MP Biomedicals (Fig. 4a) and RR535 (Fig. 4b) (1 to 300  $\mu$ M) prepared from stock solutions that had either been stored in the dark or exposed to room light for 17 days, using pNPP as the DUSP5 PD(WT) substrate. The data shown in Fig. 4a and b were collected during the first 10 mins of the reaction period. The calculated  $IC_{50}$  values for the MP Biomedicals compound that had been stored in the dark or exposed to room light were  $588 \pm 351 \ \mu M$ and  $221 \pm 11 \mu$ M, respectively. RR535 that was stored in the dark did not inhibit DUSP5 PD(WT) activity over the concentration range studied, while RR535 compound exposed to room light had an IC<sub>50</sub> value of  $725 \pm$ 206 µM. When DUSP5 PD(WT) activity was assayed between 15 and 25 mins during the reaction, the calculated IC<sub>50</sub> values for the MP Biomedicals compound that had been stored in the dark or exposed to room light were  $360 \pm 36 \mu M$  and  $31 \pm 1 \mu M$ , respectively (Fig. 4c). RR535 that was stored in the dark did not inhibit activity over the concentration range tested, while the  $IC_{50}$  for light-exposed RR535 was  $78.8 \pm 4.9 \mu$ M (Fig. 4d) when assayed between 15 and 25 mins. There was no significant difference between the initial assay reaction rates of the negative controls recorded from 0 to 10 min or 15 to 25 min. These data collectively suggest that light induced changes in the activity associated with these compounds.

The exact nature of the light-induced change on the structure of RR535 was not known, but was

hypothesized to involve the dimerization reaction shown below based on the fact that arylamines are known to undergo ready oxidation when exposed to air and light forming highly colored azo dyes. Accordingly, a deliberate light-induced transformation of pure RR535 was carried out in the presence of air (see Methods section) and the structure of the resulting dimeric azo dye (RR601) was established by mass spectrometry and NMR spectroscopy.

This newly synthesized compound was called "RR601" and was tested as an inhibitor of DUSP5.

Because we observed increased activity over time for the original monomeric inhibitors (NCI2602, RR535 and MP Biomedicals), we performed a timedependent light incubation profile for these compounds. Inhibition as a function of time exposed to light in the plate reader (Fig. 5) shows changes for DUSP5 PD(WT) inhibition activity for MP Biomedicals (Fig. 5a), RR535 (Fig. 5b), and RR601 (Fig. 5c) inhibitors. MP Biomedicals and RR535 compounds were prepared from stock solutions exposed to light for 90 days while the RR601 stock was prepared from recently synthesized compound. Compounds were tested for their ability to inhibit DUSP5 PD(WT) activity in the plate assay and IC50 values calculated from dose response curves generated from activity data collected over the first 10 mins of the assay reaction period, then from 15 to 25 mins and from 30 to 40 mins, respectively (Table 1). IC<sub>50</sub> values for MP Biomedicals and RR535 decreased with time however, RR601 was unique in that its ability to inhibit did not change as a function of time exposed to the light source in the plate reader.

We also performed pERK assay with RR535 and RR601 compounds (Additional file 1: Fig. S3 and Additional file 1: Fig. S4), and found that indeed they





Table 1 DUSP5 PD(WT) inhibitor IC<sub>50</sub> values with time

Inhibitor Compounds	Assay Reaction Period		
	0 – 10 min	15 – 25 min	30 – 40 mir
MP Biomedicals	32.5 ± 2.8	5.9 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.2
RR535	86.6 ± 8.0	$16.5 \pm 0.7$	$6.3\pm0.2$
RR601	36.9 ± 3.9	$36.5 \pm 1.5$	$34.5 \pm 1.4$

 $IC_{50}$  values (± SE) for MP Biomedicals, RR535, and RR601 were determined in the presence of DUSP5 PD(WT) as described in Fig. 4. Data was collected during three successive 10 min assays with *p*NPP as the substrate

inhibit DUSP5 activity with  $IC_{50}$ 's 22.8  $\mu$ M for RR535 and 4.8  $\mu$ M for RR601. Thus, collectively our results suggest that the azo-bridged dimer of RR535, referred to as RR601, is actually the more potent inhibitor of DUSP5.

### Nephelometry measurements of RR535, MP Biomedicals and RR601 aggregation

Since compound aggregation is a common source of false positive inhibition, especially for polysulfonated aromatic compounds [27], nephelometry experiments were performed on all compounds (Fig. 6), to rule out this type of artifact inhibition. Nephelometry experiments were performed as described in our previous publication [22], to measure the propensity for RR535, MP Biomedicals and RR601 compounds to aggregate in solution. In all panels, the positive control compound progesterone is seen to aggregate at approximately 100  $\mu$ M, and shown as an inflection in the curve. In Fig. 6 panels a, b, d and e no other inflection is observed; in contrast, several points begin to show light scattering in panels C and F. Figures 6b and e show nephelometry (compound aggregation) measurements for RR535 prepared from stock solutions that had been stored in the dark or exposed to room light for seventeen days. There was little or no measurable aggregation of either sample over the concentration range tested. Similarly, Fig. 6a and d show nephelometry measurements as a function of increasing concentrations of MP Biomedicals prepared from stock solutions that had been stored in the dark or exposed to room light for seventeen days. Here also, there was little or no measurable compound aggregation over the concentration range tested. In contrast, RR601 (Fig. 6c and f) began to aggregate at approximately 30  $\mu$ M. Since



**Fig. 6** MP Biomedicals, RR535, and RR601 Nephelometry at two gain settings. Aggregation of MP Biomedicals (**a** and **d**), RR503 (B and E) and RR601 (C and F) was measured in 96 well plates with increasing concentrations of compound at gain levels of 35 (**a**, **b**, and **c**), and 50 (**d**, **e**, and **f**). MP Biomedicals and RR535 samples were prepared from stock solutions that were either stored in the dark or exposed to room light for 17 days. Stock solutions of RR601 were stored in the dark. Progesterone (25 to 400 μM) was used as the control. Data points at each compound concentration are the mean ± SD of eight wells. Single wells were used for each progesterone concentration



aggregation occurs at a concentration that is higher than the  $IC_{50}$ , inhibition is not due to aggregation effects.

**Mechanism of RR601-mediated DUSP5 PD(WT) inhibition** RR601 inhibition of DUSP5 PD(WT) with pNPP as the substrate showed no time dependence (Fig. 5c). RR601 was shown to be a competitive inhibitor versus DUSP5 PD(WT), in initial velocity studies varying concentrations of pNPP and RR601. A global competitive inhibition fit was performed, fitting the data to Eq. 3 (Fig. 7), resulting in best fit estimated values (± SE) for  $V_{max}$  (0.70 ± 0.02 µM · min<sup>-1</sup>),  $K_m$  (9.6 ± 0.9 mM) and  $K_i$  (18.2 ± 2.5 µM). A Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot of the data (Additional file 1: Fig. S5) was also consistent with a competitive inhibition mechanism.

## RR601- and NSC-87877-mediated PTP1B and SHP-2 inhibition

On searching the literature and compound databases, we noticed chemical structure similarities between NSC-87877 (a reported inhibitor the tyrosine phosphatases SHP2 (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.3  $\mu$ M) and PTP1B (IC<sub>50</sub> = 1.7  $\mu$ M) [28]) and RR601, which inhibits DUSP5 PD(WT) (IC<sub>50</sub> = 36 µM). This observation prompted us to investigate whether the newly synthesized RR601 would also inhibit PTP1B and SHP-2. Figure 8 show PTP1B and SHP-2 activity versus increasing concentrations of RR601 using *p*NPP as the substrate, and indicate surprisingly strong inhibition of PTP1B and the related phosphatase, SHP-2 activity by RR601. The IC<sub>50</sub> (± SE) values determined from the fitted curves are  $2.1 \pm 0.2 \ \mu M$  and  $1.1 \pm 0.1 \ \mu M$ in the presence of PTP1B and SHP-2, respectively. These values are significantly below the aggregation point of 30 µM for RR601, based on nephelometry. Thus, RR601 is a more potent and selective inhibitor of PTP1B  $(2 \mu M)$  and SHP-2  $(1 \mu M)$  than it is for its originally intended target, DUSP5 (36 µM). PTP1B and DUSP5, while sharing the mechanism of being cysteine-based phosphatases, have very different tertiary and primary structures (Fig. 9). Thus, while the discovery that RR601 is a more potent inhibitor of PTP1B than of DUSP5 was serendipitous, it is not surprising that 18-fold selectivity could be obtained for PTP1B over DUSP5, given these structural differences.

Interestingly, NSC-87877 in the same assay did not initially inhibit PTP1B activity (Additional file 1: Fig. S1A) at





the tested concentrations; however, continuous monitoring of the assay over time revealed IC<sub>50</sub> values of 723 ± 302 and 337 ± 130  $\mu$ M after 50 and 60 mins, respectively, of the reaction assay. Also, NSC-87877 did inhibit SHP-2 activity with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 168 ± 30  $\mu$ M, with no change in the IC<sub>50</sub> value after 60 mins (Additional file 1: Fig. S1B). NSC-87877 did not inhibit DUSP5 PD(WT) activity (Additional file 1: Fig. S1C). Collectively, these data suggest that RR601 shows more selectivity for PTP1B and SHP-2 over DUSP5, similar to the less potent NSC-87877.

#### Discussion

Protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) are drug targets for a wide range of diseases, ranging from vascular anomalies and cancer (DUSP5) to diabetes (PTP1B) [4–16]. Unfortunately, PTPs are also extremely challenging targets for developing drugs, as evidenced by the fact that there are currently no FDA-approved drugs that target PTPs [16]. Furthermore, drug screening for PTP targets often produces false positive or false negative results. Studies presented herein address a false positive and negative result, wherein that actual active molecule is a product of light-induced chemical reaction formed *in situ*, or upon extended storage of a compound by the compound provider.

Our initial studies focused on identifying inhibitors of DUSP5, as drug lead molecules to treat vascular anomalies. Compounds were screened *in silico* first, via docking studies, followed by enzyme inhibition studies. A lead compound – NCI2602 – was identified from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) database, and then obtained and experimentally tested and found to be an inhibitor of DUSP5. But, the compound was observed to have variable potency depending on its source (NCI; commercial; internally synthesized; see Fig. 2). Furthermore, upon careful study of mechanism of inhibition, the compound was found to have a potency that increased over time, and only after exposure to light and oxygen. Such exposure led to a color change for the compound (Fig. 3), which correlated with increased potency (Fig. 4). Re-synthesis of the compound (referred to as RR535) led to the surprising result that the in-house synthesized compound actually had little enzyme inhibition activity, compared to compounds from other sources (Fig. 2a). But, after exposure to light and oxygen, it acquired enzyme inhibition activity. Thus, we speculated that the compound underwent a chemical modification in situ, and that modification was identified as a light-induced dimerization to produce the azo-bridge sulfonated napthol, RR601. This hypothesis was tested by synthesizing and testing the dimeric RR601 compound, which was found to be much more potent than the monomeric compound (RR535 or NCI2602) that was initially screened. Since sulfonated compounds are known to produce false positive inhibition via aggregation effects [27], it was of course possible that RR601 was not a true inhibitor. based upon nephelometry experiments, But, the sulfonated compounds tested - including RR601 showed less propensity for aggregation relative to the control aggregator progesterone (Fig. 6). Thus, the RR601 dimeric compound is a relatively potent inhibitor of DUSP5 activity, with an  $IC_{50}$  of 36  $\mu$ M. Proton NMR (Additional file 1: Fig. S6) and mass spectrometry (Additional file 1: Fig. S7) revealed differences between the MP Biomedicals and RR535 compounds. Careful control experiments to monitor compound stability, coupled with resynthesis of the original compound, and re-synthesis of the proposed *in situ* reaction product, was crucial for identifying the actual lead molecule (RR601), which is not the compound structure that was advertised by the suppliers. The above results provide a cautionary lesson on the importance of verifying compound identity using chemical re-synthesis.

RR601 had modest potency as a DUSP5 inhibitor, our originally intended drug target. But, its structural similarity to NSC-87877 implied that RR601 could target other cysteine phosphatases within the class I PTPs, such as PTP1B, a known target of NSC-87877. PTP1B is a widely pursued target for treating diabetes [15]. These two PTPs, while in the same family and having similar mechanisms, have very little structural similarity (Fig. 9), suggesting it should be possible for an inhibitor to inhibit one selectively over the other. Testing RR601 against PTP1B, for selectivity, demonstrated that the dimeric RR601 was actually a more potent inhibitor of PTP1B than of DUSP5, with an  $IC_{50}$  of 2.1  $\mu M$  against PTP1B. Interestingly, RR601 is an azo-bridged dimer of sulfonated naphthol rings, and resembles previously reported PTP inhibitors [28, 29], but with 18-fold selectivity for PTP1B. Since SHP-2 is also a reported target of NSC-87877, we tested RR601 against SHP-2 and determined an IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.1 µM. RR601 is therefore selective versus DUSP5, but shows no selectivity between the Class 1 phosphatases, PTP1B and SHP-2. Thus, we have discovered a new molecule, in an established class of PTP1B (Class 1 phosphatase) inhibitors, by serendipity. In particular, our path to a potent and selective PTP1B inhibitor began by first targeting DUSP5, and screening a commercial library. Through a series of control experiments, we discovered one weak inhibitor that displayed light- and time-dependent inhibition of DUSP5, due to in situ formation of a colored and more active form of inhibitor. Based on a chemical understanding of the potential light-induced reactivity of the compound in question, it was demonstrated that the compound formed an azo-bridged dimer. Such dye-like compounds, comprised of polysulfonated aromatic rings tethered by an azo bridge, are actually well-known PTP inhibitors [28, 29]. In fact, a recent study of food dyes in this class revealed that half of such compounds were actually PTP inhibitors, with several inhibiting in the low micromolar range [29]. Of particular interest, compound NSC-87877 (see Additional file 1: Table S1) bears some resemblance to the azo-bridged polysulfonated aromatic PTP inhibitor discovered herein, and has been reported as a potent and selective inhibitor (300 nM) of the SHP-2 PTP [28]. Although, it should be noted that our data indicate that NSC-87877 may be less potent than previously reported, and is less potent that the RR601 compound reported herein.

Importantly, the active compound we identified in our screening efforts was not the structure advertised by the supplier; however, it is still a unique and valuable lead molecule. Our results therefore illustrate the importance of verifying compound identity in drug discovery efforts, and add another cautionary note in the growing concerns being expressed over reproducibility of published research studies, particular for preclinical drug development work [30, 31]. A study by Amgen scientists of published academic research in oncology found that <50% of published studies could be reproduced [31], with similar results obtained in a report compiled by researchers at Bayer [31, 32]. Some of these inconsistencies could be attributed to false positives that can occur due to chemical contaminants that are nonspecific and reactive [33, 34]. In others, results like what we report here could explain the discrepancies. Mis-assignment of chemical structure (i.e. error in identifying what a chemical structure actually is) can also occur for compounds that show up as false positive or negative hits in screening campaigns, because inhibitors are only formed in situ during the screening process - as we have observed in the studies reported herein. Such issues can have serious consequences. For example, an anti-cancer compound was patented and approved for human clinical studies; but, the Janda lab at Scripps later showed the

chemical structure of that drug lead molecule had actually been mis-assigned [35]. The wrong molecule had been patented and approved for clinical studies. Although this is an extreme case, the problem of compound identity misassignment in drug discovery and development is considered to be common when high throughput screening methods are used [36]. Our take home lesson from our screening efforts is that compound structure verification by NMR or other methods, followed by characterization of physical properties, re-synthesis and re-testing against the original target is a must. The value of comparative structure assessments is underappreciated, and perhaps can pivot drug discovery efforts in a new direction, as was the case here. Collectively, our studies here point to a flexible, and highly dynamic model for drug discovery that encompasses multiple labs, orthogonal complementary approaches, and keen observation with deductive reasoning as key elements to making drug discovery valuable and fun in academics.

#### Conclusion

Studies presented herein provide lessons on the importance of verifying mechanism of inhibition and compound identity when performing screening campaigns to identify enzyme inhibitors; and, on the value of being open to serendipity. Compound re-synthesis and verification was used to diagnose and characterize the *in situ* dimerization of a DUSP5 enzyme inhibitor, resulting in the serendipitous discovery of a new lead molecule for inhibiting both DUSP5 and PTP1B. Initial docking and then enzymatic screening of compounds from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) resulted in identification of an inhibitor of DUSP5 that showed time-dependent inhibition, with an  $IC_{50}$  of 36  $\mu$ M. The active form of the compound was shown to be an azo-bridged dimer of sulfonated naphthol rings, which formed upon exposure to light. It behaves as a competitive inhibitor of DUSP5; and, is an even more potent inhibitor of PTP1B, with an IC<sub>50</sub> of 2.1  $\mu$ M.

#### Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. NSC-87877 IC<sub>50</sub> determinations for PTP1B, SHP-2 and DUSP5 PD(WT). Figure S2. Inhibition of GST-DUSP5-mediated *p*ERK dephosphorylation by NCl2602. Figure S3. Inhibition of GST-DUSP5mediated *p*ERK dephosphorylation by RR535. Figure S4. Inhibition of GST-DUSP5-mediated *p*ERK dephosphorylation by RR601; experiment as in Fig. S3. Figure S5. Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot of inverse DUSP5 PD(WT) velocity versus inverse *p*NPP concentration in the presence of various RR601 concentrations. Figure S6. Proton NMR spectra of MP Biomedicals, RR535 and RR601. Figure S7. Mass spectrometry of MP Biomedicals and RR535 compounds. Figure S8. Michaelis-Menten kinetics for SHP-2 enzyme with *p*NPP as the substrate. Figure S9. Global non-linear regression fit for competitive inhibition of SHP-2 with RR601. (DOCX 321 kb)

#### Abbreviations

DMSO: Dimethyl sulfoxide; DTT: Dithiothreitol; DUSP5: Dual specificity phosphatase 5; EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; ERK2: Extracellular-regulated kinase 2; GST: Glutathione-S-transferase; MAPK: Mitogen-activated

protein kinase; NMR: Nuclear magnetic resonance; PD: Phosphatase domain; *p*ERK: Phosphorylated extracellular-regulated kinase; *p*NPP: *p*-Nitrophenylphosphate; PTP: Protein tyrosine phosphatase; SHP-2: Protein tyrosine phosphatase, nonreceptor type 11; WT: Wild type

#### Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Developmental Vascular Biology Program for their invaluable input and insight during the course of this study. RR is a recipient of an NIH Vascular Interventions/Innovations and Therapeutic Advances (VITA) award, BAA-NHLBI-CSB-HV-2013-02-JS, and is supported by endowment funds from Department of OBGYN at MCW. ML is partly supported by Women's Health Research Program funds. RR also is partly supported by NIH grants HL102745 and HL112639. JN was partially supported by Lymphatic Malformation Institute. DS is partly supported by NIH grants Al101975, GM118304 and HL112639. RajR and MRT are partially supported by NIH grant HL112639 and NSF grant CHE-15-8677. The funders had no role in the study design, the collection, analysis and interpretation of data. We thank summer students and former members of the three labs who participated and contributed during various phases of this project. We also thank Dr. Shen Cai for assistance with mass spectrometry.

#### Availability of data and materials

The data sets supporting the results of this article are included within the article, and in Additional file 1.

#### Authors' contributions

ML Designed experiments, interpreted data, and assembled figures and helped with manuscript preparation. RB Designed experiments, conducted pNPP assays, interpreted data, and assembled figures and helped with manuscript preparation. JN Conducted protein expression, purification and optimization. KT Performed synthesis, purification and characterization of compounds, including RR601. MRT Conducted molecular dynamic simulations; intellectual contribution to protein characterization and interpretation of results. RAJL Conducted analytical characterization of RR535 and RR601. CB Conducted proton NMR experiments and pNPP assays. NS Protein structural analysis and sequence comparison; aided in manuscript preparation. DSS Key role in guiding group members in the characterization of DUSP5 enzyme kinetics and mechanistic interpretations; aided in all aspects of project and manuscript preparation; compiled and prepared the manuscript. RajR Key role in guiding group members in the synthesis and characterization of the azo-bridged dimer, RR601; aided in all aspects of project direction and manuscript preparation. RR Key role in guiding group members in the characterization of DUSP5 activity and purification; aided in all aspects of project direction, compiled and prepared the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Received: 5 July 2016 Accepted: 10 May 2017 Published online: 31 May 2017

#### References

- Soulsby M, Bennett AM. Physiological signaling specificity by human tyrosine phosphatases. Physiology (Bethesda). 2009;24:281–9.
- Tonks NK. Protein tyrosine phosphatases: from genes, to function, to disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006;7:833–46.
- Alonso A, Sasin J, Bottini N, Friedberg I, Friedberg I, Osterman A, Godzik A, Hunter T, Dixon J, Mustelin T. Protein tyrosine phosphatases in the human genome. Cell. 2004;117:699–711.

- Ueda K, Arakawa H, Nakamura Y. Dual-specificity phosphatase 5 (DUSP5) as a direct transcriptional target of tumor suppressor p53. Oncogene. 2003;22:5586–91.
- Moon SJ, Lim MA, Park JS, Byun JK, Kim SM, Park MK, Kim EK, Moon YM, Min JK, Ahn SM, Park SH, Cho ML. Dual-specificity phosphatase 5 attenuates autoimmune arthritis in mice via reciprocal regulation of the Th17/Treg cell balance and inhibition of osteoclastogenesis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2014;66:3083–95.
- Camps M, Nichols A, Arkinstall S. Dual specificity phosphatases: a gene family for control of MAP kinase function. FASEB J. 2000;14:6–16.
- Kohno M, Pouyssegur J. Targeting the ERK signaling pathway in cancer therapy. Ann Med. 2006;38:200–11.
- Pramanik K, Chun CZ, Garnaas MK, Samant GV, Li K, Horswill MA, North PE, Ramchandran R. Dusp-5 and Snrk-1 coordinately function during vascular development and disease. Blood. 2009;113:1184–91.
- Holmes DA, Yeh JH, Yan D, Xu M, Chan AC. Dusp5 negatively regulates IL-33-mediated eosinophil survival and function. EMBO J. 2014;24:218–35.
- Kutty RG, Xin G, Schauder DM, Cossette SM, Bordas M, Cui W, Ramchandran R. Dual specificity phosphatase 5 is essential for T cell survival. PLoS One. 2016;12(11):e0167246.
- 11. Fan F, Geurts AM, Pabbidi MR, Smith SV, Harder DR, Jacob H, Roman RJ. Zinc-finger nuclease knockout of dual-specificity protein phosphatase-5 enhances the myogenic response and autoregulation of cerebral blood flow in FHH.1BN rats. PLoS One. 2014;9:e112878.
- 12. Stuible M, Doody KM, Tremblay ML PTP1B and TC-PTP regulators of transformation and tumorigenesis. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2008;27:215–30.
- 13. Freiss G, Vignon F. Protein tyrosine phosphatases and breast cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2004;52:9–17.
- Elchebly M, Payette P, Michaliszyn E, Cromlish W, Collins S, Loy AL, Normandin D, Cheng A, Himms-Hagen J, Chan CC, Ramachandran C, Gresser MJ, Tremblay ML, Kennedy BP. Increased insulin sensitivity and obesity resistance in mice lacking the protein tyrosine phosphatase-1B gene. Science. 1999;283:1544–8.
- Combs AP. Recent advances in the discovery of competitive protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B inhibitors for the treatments of diabetes, obesity, and cancer. J Med Chem. 2010;53:2333–44.
- Barr AJ. Protein tyrosine phosphatases as drug targets: strategies and challenges of inhibitor development. Future Med Chem. 2010;2:1563–76.
- 17. Kahn SE, Hull RL, Urzschneider KM. Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type II diabetes. Nature. 2006;444:840–6.
- Klaman LD, Boss O, Peroni OD, Kim JK, Martino JL, Zabolotny JM, Moghal N, Lubkin M, Kim YB, Sharpe AH, Stricker-Krongrad A, Shulman GI, Neel BG, Kahn BB. Increased energy expenditure, decreased adiposity, and tissuespecific insulin sensitivity in protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B-deficient mice. Mol Cell Biol. 2000;20:5479–89.
- Tonks NK, Diltz CD, Fischer EH. Characterization of the major proteintyrosine-phosphatases of human placenta. J Biol Chem. 1988;263:6731–7.
- 20. Barford D, Flint AJ, Tonks NK. Crystal structure of human protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B. Science. 1994;263:1397–404.
- Nayak J, Gastonguay AJ, Talipov MR, Vakeel P, Span EA, Kalous KS, Kutty RG, Jensen DR, Pokkuluri PR, Sem DS, Rathore R, Ramchandran R. Protein expression, characterization and activity of wild type and mutant DUSP5 proteins. BMC Biochem. 2014;15:27.
- 22. Neumann TS, Span EA, Kalous KS, Bongard R, Gastonguay A, Lepley M, Kutty R, Nayak J, Bohl C, Lange RG, Sarker MI, Talipov MR, Rathore R, Ramchandran R, Sem DS. Identification of inhibitors that target dual-specificity phosphatase 5 and provide new insights into the binding requirements for the two phosphate pockets. BMC Biochem. 2015;16:19.
- Sarmiento M, Zhao Y, Gordon SJ, Zhang ZY. Molecular basis for substrate specificity of protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:26368–74.
- Zhang YL, Keng YF, Zhao Y, Wu L, Zhang ZY. Suramin is an active site-directed, reversible, and tight-binding inhibitor of protein-tyrosine phosphatases. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:12281–7.
- Mackey ZB, Baca AM, Mallari JP, Apsel B, Shelat A, Hansell EJ, Chiang PK, Wolff B, Guy KR, Williams J, McKerrow JH. Discovery of trypanocidal compounds by whole cell HTS of trypanosoma brucei. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2006;67:355–63.
- 26. Voogd TE, Vansterkenburg EL, Wilting J, Janssen LH. Recent research on the biological activity of suramin. Pharmacol Rev. 1993;45:177–203.
- 27. Sassano MF, Doak AK, Roth BL, Shoichet BK. Colloidal aggregation causes inhibition of G protein-coupled receptors. J Med Chem. 2013;56:2406–14.

- Chen L, Sung S-S, Yip MLR, Lawrence HR, Ren Y, Guida WC, Sebti SM, Lawrence NJ, Wu J. Discovery of a novel Shp2 protein tyrosine phosphatase inhibitor. Mol Pharmacol. 2006;70:562–70.
- Shrestha S, Bhattarai BR, Lee K-H, Cho H. Some of the food color additives are potent inhibitors of human protein tyrosine phosphatases. Bull Kor Chem Soc. 2006;27:1567–71.
- 30. Begley CG, Ioannidis JPA. Reproducibility in science. Circ Res. 2015;116:116–26.
- Begley CG, Ellis LM. Raise standards for preclinical cancer research. Nature. 2012;483:531–3.
- Prinz F, Schlange T, Asadulla K. Believe it or not: how much can we rely on published data on potential drug targets. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2011;10:712.
- 33. Davis BJ, Erlanson DA. Learning from our mistakes: the 'unknown knowns' in fragment screening. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2013;23:2844–52.
- Rishton GM. Reactive compounds and in vitro false positives in HTS. Drug Discov Today. 1997;2:382–4.
- Jacob NT, Lockner JW, Kravchenko W, Janda KD. Pharmacophore reassignment for induction of the immunosurveillance cytokine TRAIL. Angew Chem. 2014;126:6746–49.
- 36. Freedman LP, Inglese J. The increasing urgency for standards in basic biologic research. Cancer Res. 2014;74:4024–9.
- Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen DG, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Söding J, Thompson JD, Higgins DG. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol. 2011;7:539.

- Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:
- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

