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## THE AVON SCHOOL DISTRICT

Chalmer E. Labig, Jr. and Timothy J. Keaveny

Charles Barker, superintendent of the Avon School District, was thinking about the upcoming negotiations with the teachers and support personnel. In two weeks it would be July 1, 1985, and meetings with union representatives of the teachers, as well as meetings with union representatives of the support personnel, would begin. (Support personnel are those employees of the school district who are neither teachers nor administrators, e.g. bus drivers, teacher's aides, maintenance, food service and clerical workers.) Dr. Barker assumed their salary demands would be as excessive as last year's. He wondered if the financial integrity of the district could be maintained without further exacerbating the strained relationships between his office and the employee representatives. A basic problem during negotiations had been determining what constitutes an appropriate salary increase. The only consensus among the parties involved in contract negotiations had been the acknowledgement that each had a different perspective. Even the members of the school board had different views. Dr. Barker knew that in preparation for negotiations the school board bargaining team would have to decide on an initial salary plan to present in contract negotiations. In addition they would have to decide on their final position to which they would hold firm in the negotiations.

[^0]
## Background

Avon, located on the southern edge of the Omaha metropolitan area, encompassed the fifth largest school district in the State. The 16,000 pupils were taught by some 900 teachers. In addition, there were 475 administrators and support personnel in the district's work force. Three high schools, a vocational center, two junior high schools and twenty-seven elementary schools constituted the institutions of the Avon School district.

The governing body of the district was a five member board of education. Board members served five year terms. One position on the board was up for election in November each year. The term of office began the following January. The board was empowered by the State to maintain and operate a public school system best suited to the needs of the district. Actions and decisions under the board's direction included purchasing, constructing, or renting buildings and equipment; disposing of property no longer needed by sale, exchange, lease or otherwise; incurring all expenses within the limitations provided by law to fulfill its obligations; fixing the duties and compensation of all district employees; and exercising sole control over all the schools and property of the district, subject to the provisions of the state school code.

Although the board approved the salaries and benefits of all employees in the district, several parties had input into the determination of salaries. The board, through its representatives, negotiated with two employee groups. These employee organizations were the Avon District Teachers' Association (ADTA) whose president and chief negotiator was George Walker and the Educational Support Personnel Organization (ESPO) whose president and chief negotiator was Brent Adams.

Contract talks with the teacher assocation predated the State's first public education collective bargaining law by five years. The law, enacted in 1971 provided for good faith negotiations between the board of education and employee representatives concerning the items affecting the performance of employee services and the terms and conditions of their employment. A procedure for resolving impasses was to be developed by the parties. However if agreement on an impasse procedure could not be reached, the items causing the impasse were to be referred to a three-member committee. This committee consisted of one member selected by the employee
organization, one member selected by the board of education, and a third member, jointly selected by the other two members. The third member of the committee served as chairperson. This committee would meet with the bargaining committees for the purpose of fact finding. Strikes, which were illegal, might result in decertification of the employee organization. Unlike ADTA, ESPO had only recently represented employees in the Avon School District.

## School District Financing

School districts in the State were supported primarily by local and state sources of revenue and by federal sources to a small extent. During the 1983-84 fiscal year, local funds represented 35 percent, state funds represented 58 percent, and federal funds represented seven percent of the Avon School District's total revenue. Local sources included: ad valorem taxes (taxes imposed as a percentage of value) on real, personal, and public service property within the district; a 4 -mill ( 1 mill is $1 / 1000$ of a dollar) county levy; a county mortgage tax; a 5 -mill building fund levy which may be used for operational expenses; a sinking fund levy not to exceed 10 percent of the total assessed valuation of the district; and various small miscellaneous items. All districts in the State levied the maximum millage possible except for the sinking fund levy which could be generated through issuance of bonds approved by the district's voters. Appropriation of these monies was not automatic. Rather, these tax levels had to be approved annually by voters of each school district. The sinking fund could only be used for expenditures on plant and equipment.

State aid to school districts came from two sources. First, certain taxes were earmarked for use by public schools. Second, money was appropriated by the state legislature on an ad hoc basis. State appropriation was the single largest revenue source for public schools in the State. The appropriation for public schools was by far the largest single appropriation that the legislature made each year. The amount appropriated for school districts was dependent upon the state's financial condition during the particular year. The State's economy has varied significantly over the last decade.

The appropriation to each district was based upon average daily pupil attendance (A.D.A.) as well as per capita revenue from local sources. The latter provision was intended to equalize the wealth of the State's school districts. Unfortunately, extremes still
existed. Last year the most affluent district in the State reported its local revenue per student was $\$ 22,205$ compared to the state average of $\$ 2,541$.

Federal aid to public schools came in the form of various programs which requred initiative on the part of local districts to obtain the money. Major programs included the school lunch program, low income family assistance, grants for library and instructional materials, and impact aid. The latter program replaced the loss of ad valorem taxes for districts which contained federal installations or institutions, e.g. military bases.

Given the nature of these sources of funds for the districts, superintendents could not accurately forecast the exact amount of monies available to operate schools. Consequently, school finance experts in the state recommended that a surplus equal to ten percent of the current year's budget was an appropriate amount to reserve for unanticipated contingencies during the following year. When the sate legislature reduced their level of appropriation, these contingency or surplus funds assisted the district in maintaining stability in ongoing programs. However, many school districts in the State were not able to carry over an amount as large as ten percent.

## The 1984-85 Negotiations

At the beginning of the last round of negotiations during June of 1984, the parties' initial positions with respect to salaries were widely divergent. The school district's negotiating team offered a one-time adjustment equal to five percent of each employee's annual salary to both ADTA and ESPO. This increase was offered as a bonus in that it was not to be added to the employees' salaries. George Walker, representing ADTA, demanded a ten percent increase in the salary schedule for teachers and a five percent bonus. ESPO negotiator, Brent Adams, sought a similar adjustment for the support personnel. The employee representatives' position hardened when publicity about the district revealed that the 1983-84 fiscal year ended with a surplus (cash on hand) equivalent to twenty-two percent of the year's expenditures. Negotiations dragged on until an impasse was reached around Thanksgiving. A fact finding panel was assembled by mid-December.

In the meantime, frustrated by their perception of the school administration's unwillingness to compromise, the ADTA leadership
decided to attempt to improve their standing with Avon's Board of Education. Their strategy was to endorse and campaign for a school board candidate who was sympathetic to their position. The candidate was Mrs. Monica Evans, a clerical employee at a vocational school in an adjacent school district. ESPO also contributed to Mrs. Evans's campaign by distributing pamphlets to voters.

The district's largest private sector union endorsed Mrs. Evans. In addition, the union urged voters to defeat the renewal of the school millage issue which was concurrent with the school board election. If the millage issue was defeated, schools would have to be closed.

Four days prior to the election, State Senator Cooper, a resident of Avon, called Dr. Barker and George Walker together in an effort to reach a settlement. By the end of the day, the parties agreed to a settlement which called for six percent adjustment for each step of each salary schedule retroactive to the beginning of the 1984-85 fiscal year and payment of $\$ 1000$, said to be equivalent to five percent of the average employee's annual salary. Regarding the outcome of the election, voters supported renewal of the school millage and Mrs. Evans was elected to the board of education by a margin of 70 percent to 30 percent over the incumbent.

## Avon's Financial Status

The 1984-85 budget for the Avon district is shown in Exhibit 1. The " $8 / 24 / 84$ " column reports the initial budget figures for the 1984-1985 school year. The " $6 / 30 / 85$ " column reports the actual revenues and expenditures for the 1984-1985 year. The amounts by which the actual figures vary from the budgeted figures are listed in the "adjustment requested" column. The only anomaly in this report concerns an out-of-court settlement which involved collection of past due property taxes. The amount of these taxes was just over $\$ 4,000,000$. This figure was reduced by approximately $\$ 900,000$ in attorneys' fees. In spite of this windfall income netting over $\$ 3,000,000$, the district's expenditures exceeded its revenues by almost one million dollars. Nevertheless, Avon had on hand surplus funds of over $\$ 7,000,000$.

Not surprisingly, the points of view of the parties involved in the 1985-86 contract negotiations differed. They used different criteria for evaluating existing salaries and for recommending salary adjustments. In addition, the data examined by each party came from different sources. A description of the perspectives of each party involved in the salary determination process is necessary in order to better understand the process.

State Legislature. About twenty years ago the state legislature established minimum before-tax salary schedules for public school teachers. Typically this schedule was adjusted at each legislative session. Two years ago the legislature increased each step of the salary schedules by $\$ 3,000$. The schedules were based upon college degree and years of teaching experience. The schedules in effect for the upcoming year mandated total compensation (salary plus benefits) of $\$ 15,060$ for teachers holding a bachelor's degree with no teaching experience. The highest step on the schedule was for teachers with fifteen years of experience. Teachers with a master's degree and no teaching experience were to earn $\$ 16,166$, and those with fifteen years of experience were to earn at least $\$ 21,198$.

The legislature believed that most new monies appropriated should be used for salary increases. Consequently, in the last session a bill was enacted which required that in the coming year, regardless of current salary, all teachers (certified personnel) receive an increase in salary and/or benefits of at least $\$ 2,000$ and all support personnel (non-certified) receive at least an eight percent increase in salary and/or benefits. The Avon School district would receive an appropriation from the state to cover ninety percent of the cost of this minimum adjustment.

The legislative provision for a minimum increase of $\$ 2000$ for teachers and a minimum increase of eight percent for support personnel was intended to improve the financial status of public school employees in the State. Except for the appropriation for the significant salary increase of two years ago, state funding for public education had been static. Consequently, public school salaries in the State did not keep pace with public school salaries in other parts of the country. Based on optimistic projections of economic conditions in the State, the legistlature approved the above increases for public school employees.

Unfortunately, the improved economic conditions which were projected at the time the legislature approved these minimum increases did not materialize. In fact, conditions had deteriorated somewhat since the last legislative session. The State's economy depended largely on agriculture. On the other hand, the Nation's economy improved during this time period. Thus the optimistic economic outlook proved valid for the nation generally, but was not valid for the State.

Superintendent. Although Dr. Barker had total confidence in his assistant superintendent of finance, Tom Pettis, he had a concern about predicting the state and federal funds which would be available for the Avon District. Dr. Barker would have preferred to carry over from year to year a reserve in excess of ten percent of annual expenditures. This would have insured that the district was fiscally sound. Consistent with this concern was his view about employee association representatives wanting to reallocate building levy funding to employee salaries. Dr. Barker believed such an action was unnecessary because salaries within the district compared favorably with salaries of other districts in the Omaha metropolitan area. Based on salary data shared informally by the superintendents in the area, Dr. Barker knew that Madison School District, comparable in size to Avon but with greater assessed valuation, paid its teachers and support personnel less than Avon.

The superintendent disagreed with employee organization representatives on several issues concerning compensation policy. He believed teacher salaries should have been competitive at each step of the salary schedules. In contrast, ADTA place greater emphasis on salaries at the upper levels of the schedules, i.e., salaries of the district's most experienced teachers. Dr. Barker believed that differences in pay among positions should have been maintained through adjusting salaries by an appropriate percentage.

Strengthening the collective bargaining process in recent years had in his opinion decreased his flexibility in making decisions. For example, high turnover among certain positions could no longer be reduced by raising salaries differentially for such positions. In addition merit pay for teachers had been blocked by ADTA. Finally a greater proportion of the budget was allocated to salaries than had been done in the past. As a result other items needed to maintain quality education were being neglected.

Avon School Board Members. Dr. Clayton Browne, a wellknown local pediatrician, had served as a board member since 1969. He was very proud of the Avon School district and believed that his district gave "more for the dollar" than any other in the State. "Avon is less than average in per pupil expenditures in the State but higher than average in student test scores." Dr. Browne was a strong supporter of the superintendent and his assistants. For example he supported the position that the superintendent and his staff should determine the amount of money available for salary increases.

With respect to the reserve carried over from year to year, Dr. Browne believed it should equal ten to twelve percent of the budget. With respect to the collective bargaining process, Dr. Browne was concerned about the union's excessive demands which had cost the taxpayers money. He also believed current policies were deficient because, "the good teachers were not being rewarded." Although no formal policies regarding salary levels existed, Dr. Browne believed existing salaries were satisfactory because they compared favorably with salaries at Madison. As for the future, this long term board member was worried about a downturn in the State's economy and about out-of-state union officials weakening the financial condition of Avon.

The opinions of Mrs. Monica Evans were diametrically opposed to Dr. Browne's. Since her election to the board, Mrs. Evans believed that she had both gained respect from the other board members and altered their role in the salary determination process. She believed that most of the power among the parties involved in the bargaining process rested with the superintendent, until she joined the board. According to Mrs. Evans, the board should have set policy for the superintendent to follow. In her opinion, equity was the single most important factor in determining salary adjustments. As long as funds permitted, Mrs. Evans wanted to give employees annual raises of seven and one-half to eight percent. In the past, raises were often tied to the rate of inflation. Mrs. Evans believed that teacher salaries should be at a level to encourage the very best students to enter the teaching profession. She also believed that teachers salaries should be at a level to encourage the very best students to enter the teaching profession. She also believed that teachers who continued their own education should be rewarded.

Mrs. Evans favored a merit pay system for teachers using student test scores as criteria. She would have removed subjectivity from the performance appraisal process by establishing preset performance criteria and by evaluating teachers monthly. Mrs Evans also believed the amount of surplus carried over from school year to school year should have been a factor in adjusting salaries. Her opinion was that the surplus should equal about ten percent of the budget.

The attitudes of the three remaining board members were not as firmly set as those of either Dr. Browne or Mrs. Evans. However, their views tended to fit more closely with those of Dr. Browne. On the other hand, they did agree with Mrs. Evans on one point. She had convinced them that the board should play a greater role in setting policy for the Avon School District.

The President of the ADTA. George Walker was a full-time ADTA employee who received a salary equivalent to what he would receive if he were teaching. He was a former junior high school civics instructor with over fifteen years of experience. Walker felt that the turning point in ADTA's relationship with the board of education came with the election of Mrs. Evans. Pripr to that time the board members did not pay attention to Walker when he addressed them. This convinced Walker that ADTA should attempt to elect board members who would be fair to the teachers. He believed this course of action was necessary if ADTA was to have any influence over the terms and conditions of employment in the Avon School District.

The availability of funds was the major determinant of salary adjustments according to Mr. Walker. However, he stressed that it was important to analyze the budget to identify possible sources of extra funds for raises. ADTA used a computer package developed by one of the members to monitor allocation of funds in the Avon School District budget. Mr. Walker emphasized the need to reward teachers for staying in the district and for pursuing further education. He believed teachers should earn as much as other professionals.

Mr. Walker's position with respect to rewarding teachers for longevity and for continuing their education had the solid backing of the vast majority of teachers in the district. Even most recently hired teachers with only a bachelor's degree believed there must be
a meaningful financial incentive if top notch teachers were to continue their education and remain at Avon.

In Walker's opinion, ADTA's philosophy regarding pay policy differed from the school administratin's philosophy in two respects. First, ADTA favored percentage adjustments rather than constant dollar amounts. Percentage adjustments widened the differential in pay between those in the beginning steps and those in the highest steps of the salary schedule. Alternatively, across-the-board dollar adjustments narrowed the pay differential across steps. Second, ADTA emphasized affordability (or availability of money) rather than comparability. Mr. Walker did not view the superintendent's salary comparisons with the Madison School District as relevant. The ADTA leader also had strong opinions about surpluses and merit pay. "As far as surpluses go, the district did not have them years ago so they do not need them now. If they want merit pay, all I can say is that they are going to have to pay for it!" The salary information supplied to ADTA by the state education association is displayed in Exhibit 2.

The President of Educational Support Personnel Organization. Brent Adams had just become ESPO's first president at Avon. He believed that the support personnel whom ESPO represented had been treated like second class citizens. Teachers who worked with handicapped children received a five percent salary differential (by state law) but teacher's aides (support personnel) who worked with these children received no supplemental pay. According to Adams teachers received greater percentage increases in past years than support personnel. Mr. Adams was pushing for the same relative status for his group as was held by administrators and teachers. If Avon's adminstrators and teachers were paid more than administrators and teachers employed by other school districts, then Avon's support personnel should have been paid correspondingly more than support personnel employed by other school districts. See Exhibit 3 for the data which the ESPO leaders believed supported their position.

Support personnel were proud of their jobs. They favored a merit pay component to salary increases and wanted the performance appraisal feedback that must accompany such a system. However, with respect to the non-merit component of salary adjustments the group was split over how such increases should have been distributed. A majority of the members favored the sam
percentage increase for all. The group's leadership wanted everyone to receive the same dollar amount increase. Consequently, the group planned to ask for percentage increases some years and a flat amount other years. Finally, support personnel believed that they understood revenue sources for the district, but they did not trust the superintendent. they believed that the administrators distributed the money unfairly.

## Situation Summary

Negotiations for the $1985-86$ school year were expected to run much more smoothly than the previous year because all parties wished to avoid another debacle. Exhibits 4 and 5 provide information about Avon's status relative to the seven largest districts in the State. Exhibit 6 reports the 1984-1985 salary structure for all job classifications in the Avon School District compensation system. Given the different perspectives of the parties to the salary adjustment process, the determination of appropriate adjustments to the salary structure was open.
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## EXHIBIT 1

## OPERATIONAL MANAGEMENT BUDGET GENERAL FUND 1984-85

## REVENUES



## State Dedicated

Auto License
Gross Production
Boat \& Motor
House Trailer
Motor Vehicle Fee REA
State Apportionment
Federal Land Payment SUB-TOTAL

4, 336, 313. 35 $126,642.05$
32, 214. 92
$150,166.45$
9,543.08
9,739.93
715,363.93
5, $\frac{-0-}{379,983.71}$

4. 705, 111.43

150,276.59
42,228.53 32,899. 58 12,407.00
9,896.91
913,727.22
196. 52
$5.866,743.78$

EXHIBIT 1 (continued)

| SOURCE | Approved 8/24/84 | Adjustment Requested | Adjusted $6 / 30 / 85$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Appropriated |  |  |  |
| Foundation \& Incentive $15,993,210,00$ le $16,014,518,00$ |  |  |  |
| Aid 1 | 15,993,210.00 | 21,308.00 | 16,014,518.00 |
| Current Year Teacher \& Support |  |  |  |
| Sal. Increase | 2,257,681.00 | 11,067.00 | 2,268,748.00 |
| Staff Development | 31,767.00 | -0- | 31,767.00 |
| Supplementary Textbook Materials | 42,901.00 | -0- | 42,901.00 |
| Special Education |  |  |  |
| Homebound Aid | 25,000.00 | $(2,743.00)$ | 22,257.00 |
| Drivers Education Aid | 65,000.00 | $(6,040.00)$ | 58,960.00 |
| Reimbursement Non-AVTS Salary | 91,762.00 | $(1,000.00)$ | 90,762.00 |
| Reimbursement |  |  |  |
| On-The-Job Train. | 500.00 | (500.00) | -0. |
| Aid for AVT School | 476,924.00 | 7,906.10 | 484,830.10 |
| Aid for AVTS Adult |  |  |  |
| Education | 11,115.00 | $(5,726.00)$ | 5,389.00 |
| Teacher Consultants | 10,000.00 | 2,810.00 | 12,810.00 |
| Transportation Grants | 68,406.00 | -0. | 68,406.00 |
| SUB-TOTAL 1 | 19,074,866.00 | 27,067.10 | 19,101,933.10 |
| Federal |  |  |  |
| PL 874 Impact Aid | 490,000.00 | 481,926.44 | 971,962.44 |
| Chapter I | 554,011.00 | $(62,376.00)$ | 491,635.00 |
| Chapter II | 118,914.00 | -0- | 118,914.00 |
| PL 94-142 EHA-B | 389,651.00 | $(47,718.84)$ | 341,932.16 |
| Deaf Education 89-313 | -0- | 5,580.00 | 5,580.00 |
| Indo-Chinese Refugee | -0- | 3,101.00 | 3,101.00 |
| Dept. of Human Services | s | 7, 304,61 | 7,304,61 |
| SUB-TOTAL | 1,552,576.00 | 387,853.21 | 1,940,429.21 |
| TOTAL COLLECTIONS 3 | 38,284,553.30 | 1,975,657.12 | 40,260,210,42 |
| Per capita | 2,496.87 |  | 2,625.72 |

## 1984-85

## EXPENDITURES

|  | Approved |  | Adjusted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IDENTITY | $8 / 24 / 84$ | Adjustment | $6 / 30 / 85$ |

## Administration

Salary-Cert. Adm. Supt.

Office $380,190.00$
Salary-Non-Cert. Supt. Off. $414,860.00$
Attorney Services
Auditor's Services
Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL
$1,025,000.00$
20,600.00
146.500.00
$1,987,150.00$
(13, 015.71)
$(27,514.89)$
141.80
( $1,100.00$ )
7.525 .50
$(33,963.29)$

367,174.29
387,345.11 $1,025,141.80$ $19,500.00$ 154025.50 1,953,186.71

## Instruction

Salary-Principals \&

Assistants
Salary-Coordinators \& Supervisors
Salary-Teachers
Salary-Other Certified
Salary-Non-Certified
Instructional Supplies
Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL

Health Sarvices
Salary-School Nurses
Other Expenses SUB - TOTAL

## Transportation

Salary-Non-Certified
Replacement Buses
Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL

Plant Operation
Salary-Non-Certified Contracted Services
Utilities
Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL

Plant Maintenance
Salary-Non-Certified Contracted Services Other Expenses SUB - TOTAL
$1,320,079.00$
$106,277.00$
$18,190,325.00$
933, 690.00
$1,172,657.00$
451, 823.00
695,323.00
$22,870,174.00$
$146,235.00$
14.540 .00
$160,865.00$

598,000.00
185,000.00
355.350.00
$1,138,350.00$
$1,469,900.00$
41,800.00
$1,685,600.00$

| 102.500 .00 |
| :--- |
| 3.299 .800 .00 |

3,299,800.00

926,500.00
98, 20.00
506,600,00
1,531,500.00
(121, 139.86)
29,972. 58
$(97,626.30)$
$(38,188.80)$
$(63,354.64)$
49,470.53
151,298.41
$(89,568.08)$
(1, 216.82)
$(12,418,37)$
$(13,635.19)$

22,681.70
63,672.07
$(99,707,18)$
(13, 353.41)
$(87,469.82)$
24,700.35
$(344,801.52)$
$\frac{90,378.06}{(317,192.93)}$
$(13,945.25)$
23,884.67
$44,589.64$
54,529.06
$1,198,939.14$
136,249.58
$18,092,698.70$
895,501. 20
$1,109,302.36$
501, 293.53
846, 621.41
22,780,605.92

145, 108. 18
2.121.63
147.229.81

620,681.70
248, 672.07
255.642.82

1,124,996.59

1,382,430.18
66,500.35
$1,340,798.48$
$\frac{192,878.06}{2,982,607.07}$

912,554.75
122, 284. 67
$\frac{551.189 .64}{586,029.06}$

EXHIBIT 1 (continued)

Fixed Charges

Employee Retirement Social Security
Payments for Leaves
Salary + Bonus Adjustment
Medical Insurance
Workmen's Compensation
Unemployment compensation
Property Insurance Other

SUB-TOTAL
Food Service
Salary-Administrative Salary-Non-Certified Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL

Student Body Services
Salary-Extra Duties Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL

Community Services
School Guards
Other Expenses SUB-TOTAL

Outgoing Transfer Account
Tuition or Transfer Fees
Revaluation of Real Property
Refunds
SUB-TOTAL
Capital Outlay
Professional Services
Purchase of Land
Site Improvement
New Buildings \& Additions Remodeling
Equipment \& Furniture
SUB-TOTAL
TOTAL EXPENDITURES
Per capita

| $1,500.00$ |
| ---: |
| $200,000.00$ |
| $17,000.00$ |
| $10,000.00$ |
| $19,200.00$ |
| $265,504.00$ |

$513,204.00$
$38,149,313.00$
2,488.05
DEFICIT : XCESS COLLECTIONS
SURPLUS-PRIOR YEAR
CANCELLED OBLIGATIONS-
PRIOR YR
LESS COUNTY REVALUATION OF REAL PROPERTY
SURPLUS FOR ENSUING YEAR

135,240. 30
8,364,509.59

- 0 -
$(34,046.65)$
8,465,703.24
$1,156,959.00$ $1,873,617.00$ 95,000.00
400,000.00
$1,404,840.00$ 182,000.00 25,000.00 130,000.00 $\frac{11,000.00}{5,278,416.00}$

135,000.00 877,000.00
$\frac{7,000,00}{1,019,000.00}$

44,500.00
$150,000,00$
194,500.00

32,354.00
3,000.00
35,354.00

| $70,442.05$ |
| :---: |
| $167,582.35$ |
| $(8,789.77)$ |
| $2,281,488.42$ |
| $(1,308.53)$ |
| $(12,000.00)$ |
| $(19,918.94)$ |
| $201,274.00$ |
| $(8,540.00)$ |
| $2,670,229.58$ |

(7,054.32) 38,933.41 $\frac{(7,000.00)}{4,741.33}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
(4,685.12) \\
\frac{5.257 .39}{572.27}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2,577.00) \\
& \frac{(2,582.08)}{(5,154.08)}
\end{aligned}
$$

80,000.00
40,000.00
$\frac{1,000.00}{121,000.00}$

| $1,227,401.05$ |
| ---: |
| $2,041,199.35$ |
| $86,210.23$ |
| $2,681,488.42$ |
| $1,403,531.47$ |
| $170,000.00$ |
| $5,081.06$ |
| $331,274.00$ |
| 2.460 .00 |
| $7,948,645.58$ |

127,945. 68 915,933.41
$\frac{-0 .}{1,023,741.33}$

39,814.88
$\frac{155,257,39}{195,072,27}$
195,072.27

29,782.00
417.92

30,199.92


1,340.35
-0.
734.00

3,358.21
7,332.73
$1,409,257.56$
$1,422,022.85$
41,231,015.47
$2,689.04$
(970, 805.05)
8,364,509.59
324,203.87
(37, 795.45)
$7,680,112.96$
18.63 \%

## Exhibit 2

State Education Association Data for
the Seven Largest Districts


| Avon | 297 | $4.7 \%$ | 16.8 | 51.7 | 23,746 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grand <br> Island | 245 | $5.8 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | 56.3 | 25,471 |
| Lincoln | 187 | $7.6 \%$ | 16.2 | 54.6 | 21,809 |
| Madison | 252 | $5.8 \%$ | 16.1 | 58.0 | 22,289 |
| North <br> Platte | 237 | $6.5 \%$ | 17.4 | 49.3 | 19,065 |
| Omaha | 147 | $8.2 \%$ | 15.9 | 48.4 | 20,346 |
| Plattsmouth | 298 | $5.3 \%$ | 16.6 | 53.0 | 20,404 |

## Exhibit 3

Educational Support Personnel Data - 1983-1984

|  | Avon | Madison | Lincoln |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment | Avon | 16,500 | 17,134 |
| per pupil expenditure $(1983-84)$ | 2,199 | 2,129 | 17,383 |
|  |  | 2,424 |  |

Annual Salaries


Per Hour Salaries

| Low | 4.56 | 6.66 | 5.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High | 4.56 | 7.72 | 5.07 |
| Ave. | 4.56 | 7.00 | 5.07 |
| Num. | 7 | 28 | 9 |
| Low | 5.45 | 5.31 | 5.28 |
| High | 6.29 | 8.98 | 7.94 |
| Ave. | 5.95 | 6.30 | 6.61 |
| Num. | 98 | 194 | 205 |
| Low | 3.65 | 4.67 | 5.28 |
| High | 3.65 | 5.49 | 6.54 |
| Ave. | 3.65 | 4.95 | 5.91 |
| Num. | 165 | 145 | 82 |
| Low | Paid on | 8.77 | 3.25 |
| High | basis of | 10.50 | 4.13 |
| Ave. | number of | 9.42 | 3.69 |
| Num. | runs | 59 | 71 |

Exhibit 4

## Comparative States for the State's Seven Largest Districts 1984-85

|  | ADA* | TR** | TR PER CAPITA | TE*** | TE PER CAPITA | SURPLUS | SURPLUS AS \%OFREVENUES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avon | 15,132 | \$40,602,414 | \$2,683 | \$41,268,810 | \$2,727 | \$7,705,233 | 19.0 |
| Grand Island | 40,771 | 123,004,386 | 3,017 | 119,399,541 | 2,929 | 6,229,136 | 5.1 |
| Lincoln | 17,022 | 41,048,319 | 2,411 | 42,064,747 | 2,471 | 3,540,517 | 8.6 |
| Madison | 16,391 | 39,043,708 | 2,382 | 39,200,824 | 2,392 | 1,626,788 | 4.2 |
| North Platte | 11,856 | 26,336,304 | 2,221 | 26,275,000 | 2,216 | 1,680,922 | 6.4 |
| Omaha | 36,288 | 97,063,492 | 2,675 | 96,119,791 | 2,649 | 4,355,476 | 4.5 |
| Plattsmouth | 13,972 | 32,517,985 | 2,327 | 32,428,320 | 2,321 | 2,738,912 | 8.4 |
| * ADA is the district's average daily pupil attendance <br> ** TR is Total Revenue <br> *** TE is Total Expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Exhibit 5

## Salary Ranges for Selected Positions 1984-85

|  | Superintendant | Senior High Principal | Teacher- <br> Bachelors | TeacherMasters | Supt's <br> Secretary | Elementary <br> Principal's <br> Secretary | Skilled Maintenance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avon | 65,580 | 33,840-42,420 | 14,913-22,566 | 16,405-25,220 | 18,788-22,433 | 10,566-12,205 | 16,566-18,317 |
| Grand Island | 77,148 | 32,919-51,772 | 14,963-26,437 | 16,459-28,430 | 20,376-31,379 | 9,449-14,551 | 13,228-20,371 |
| Lincoln | 63,107 | 42,002 | 11,061-19,625 | 12,234-21,472 | 11,687-14,629 | 7.432-9681 | 10,858-13,894 |
| Madison | 69,800 | 38,165-40,146 | 12,250-21,894 | 13,450-23,094 | 21,200-26,424 | 9,064-11,330 | 17,514-21,892 |
| North Platte | 63,603 | 34,500-42,647 | 14,100-20,500 | 15,100-22,700 | 14,400-17,112 | 8,965-11,027 | 15,558-19,136 |
| Omaha | 66,250 | 39,271 | 14,500-22,000 | 15,475-23,500 | 13,920-19,080 | 9,600-14,760 | 15,720-20,880 |
| Plattsmouth | 66,465 | 41,980 | 13,651-21,990 | 14,821-23,160 | 16,326-21,713 | 9,039-12,022 | 14,747-19,614 |

## EXHIBIT 6

## AVON SALARY SCHEDULES 1984-85

ASSISTANT
SUPERINTENDENT
40, 010
40, 835
41, 660
42,485
43, 310
44, 135
44,960
45,785
46,610
47,435
48, 260
49,085
49,910
50,735
51,560

ELEMENTARY JUNIOR HIGH
PRINCIPAL ASST. \& OTHER

JR. HIGH PRINCIPAL \& SR. HIGH 1ST ASST.

29, 040
29,640
30, 240
30, 840
31,440
32,040
32,640
33, 240
33, 840
34,440
35, 040
35, 640
36,240
36,840
37,440

| 31,320 | 33,840 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31,920 | 34,440 |
| 32,520 | 35,040 |
| 33,120 | 35,640 |
| 33,720 | 36,240 |
| 34,320 | 36,840 |
| 34,920 | 37,440 |
| 35,520 | 38,040 |
| 36,120 | 38,640 |
| 36,720 | 39,240 |
| 37,320 | 39,840 |
| 37,920 | 40,440 |
| 38,520 | 41,040 |
| 39,120 | 41,640 |
| 39,720 | 42,240 |

EXHIBIT 6 (continued)

TEACHER-BACHELOR'S DEGREE

| EXP | SALARY | IR | A/H | TOTAL |  | SALARY | TR | A/H | TOTAL |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 14913 | 746 | 600 | 16259 |  | 16405 | 820 | 600 | 17825 |
| 1 | 16306 | 815 | 600 | 17721 |  | 17823 | 891 | 600 | 19314 |
| 2 | 16787 | 839 | 600 | 18226 | 18327 | 916 | 600 | 19843 |  |
| 3 | 17147 | 857 | 600 | 18604 | 18711 | 936 | 600 | 20247 |  |
| 4 | 17627 | 881 | 600 | 15108 | 19254 | 963 | 600 | 20817 |  |
| 5 | 18109 | 905 | 600 | 15614 | 19818 | 991 | 600 | 21409 |  |
| 6 | 18467 | 923 | 600 | 19990 | 20244 | 1012 | 600 | 21856 |  |
| 7 | 18956 | 948 | 600 | 20504 | 20809 | 1040 | 600 | 22449 |  |
| 8 | 19357 | 968 | 600 | 20925 | 21236 | 1062 | 600 | 22898 |  |
| 9 | 19892 | 995 | 600 | $2: 487$ | 21799 | 1090 | 600 | 23489 |  |
| 10 | 20293 | 1015 | 600 | 21908 | 22227 | 1111 | 600 | 23938 |  |
| 11 | 20829 | 1041 | 600 | 22470 | 22790 | 1140 | 600 | 24530 |  |
| 12 | 21229 | 1061 | 600 | 22890 | 23217 | 1161 | 600 | 24978 |  |
| 13 | 21765 | 1088 | 600 | 25453 | 23781 | 1189 | 600 | 25570 |  |
| 14 | 22029 | 1101 | 600 | 25730 | 24073 | 1204 | 600 | 25877 |  |
| 15 | 22566 | 1128 | 600 | $2-294$ | 24635 | 1232 | 600 | 26467 |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 24928 | 1246 | 600 | 26774 |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 25220 | 1250 | 600 | 27070 |

EXP-.... NUMBER OF YEARS OF PREVZOUS TEACHING च̈PERIENCE
SALARY…AMOUNT TO BE RECEIVED B: THE TEACHER BEFORE TAXES AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS
TR-.....TEACHER RETIREMENT PAID OX SALARY BY THE BOARD
A/H-… ACCIDENT AND HEALTH INS: $2.2 N C E$ PAID BY THE BOARD
TOTAL-. - AMOUNT PAID IN SALARY ADD FRINGES BY THE BOARD

| STEP | SECRETARY TO SUPERINTENDENT | $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAYROLL } \\ & \text { SPECIALIST } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$18,788.00 | \$17,599.00 | \$16,484.00 |
| 2 | 19,288.00 | 17,950.00 | 16,816.00 |
| 3 | 19,789.00 | 18,300.00 | 17,148.00 |
| 4 | 20.799.00 | 18,652.00 | 17,479.00 |
| 5 | 20,789.00 | 19,003.00 | 17,811.00 |
| 6 | 21,289.00 | 19,354.00 | 18,143.00 |
| 7 | 21,861.00 | 19,704.00 | 18,723.00 |
| 8 | 22,433.00 | 20,054.00 | 19,054.00 |

SEC. TO ASST. SUPT.
PERSONNEL, PAYMENTS, PURCHASING, ATTENDANCE
$\qquad$
$\$ 15,104.00$
15,476.00
15,849.00
16,220.00
16,596.00
16,966.00
17,331.00
17,695.00

EXHIBIT 6 (continued)

|  | DATA | ADM. ASST. FOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROCESSING | PROPERTY ACC. |
| STEP |  |  |
| SPECIALIST | \& INS. MGMT. |  |

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { SENIOR HIGH FIRS: } & \\
\text { \& VO-TECH FIRST } & \text { SENIOR } \\
\text { CLERICAL } & \text { HIGH SECOND CLERICAL } \\
\text { (3 SKILLS REOUIRED) } & (2 \text { SKILLS REOUIRED) }
\end{array}
$$

$\$ 14,599.00$ 14,929.00 15,259.00 15,588.00 15,918.00 16,247.00 16,567.00<br>16,907.00

\$19,502.00
19,932.00
20,359.00
20,789.00
21,218.00
21,646.00
22,075.00
$\$ 11,796.00$
$12,042.00$
$12,301.00$
$12,548.00$
$12,806.00$
$13,041.00$
$13,300.00$
$13,558.00$
$(47$ weeks)
$\$ 10,808.00$
11,050.00 11,303.00 11,544.00 11,786.00 $12,039.00$ 12,280.00 12,533.00 (46 weeks)

| STEP | JUNIOR HIGH FIRST CLERICAL (3 SKILLS REOUIRED) | ELEMENTARY FIRST CLERICAL (3 SKILLS REQUIRED) | JUNIOR HIGG SECOND CLER:CAL (2 SKILL: REQUIRED | ALL <br> OTHER CLERICAL <br> (2 SKILLS <br> REQUIRED) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$11,050.00 | \$10,566.00 | \$ 9,840.0: | \$ 9,598.00 |
| 2 | 11,303.00 | 1, 1,808.00 | 10,071.0: | 9,818.00 |
| 3 | 11,554.00 | 11,039.00 | 10,324.0: | 10,060.00 |
| 4 | 11,786.00 | 11,270.00 | 10,555.0: | 10,302.00 |
| 5 | 12,039.00 | 11,512.00 | 10,797.0: | 10,544.00 |
| 6 | 12,280.00 | 11,743.00 | 11,006.0: | 10,775.00 |
| 7 | 12,533.00 | 11,985.00 | 11,248.0: | 10,995.00 |
| 8 | 12,763.00 | 12,205.00 | 11,501.0: | 11,237.00 |
|  | (46 weeks) | (44 weeks) | (44 weeks) | (44 weeks) |

SEC. TO DIRECTOR
STEP $\qquad$ RECEPTIONIST

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 13,955.00 \\
14,326.00 \\
14,696.00 \\
15,071.00 \\
15,442.00 \\
15,815.00 \\
16,188.00 \\
16,561.00
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 13,296.00 \\
13,667.00 \\
14,039.00 \\
14,413.00 \\
14,784.00 \\
15,156.00 \\
15,526.00 \\
15,896.00
\end{array}
$$

CO-ORD. SECEミTARY
COMPOSER T:PIST
ASST. PRIIIER
MAINTENANCE こLERK
PRESCRIPTIV: SEC. CLERK/TXRIST

| $\$ 12,581 \ldots 0$ | $\$ 11,446.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $12,952 \ldots)$ | $11,695.00$ |
| $13,325 \ldots 0$ | $11,940.00$ |
| $13,699 \ldots 0$ | $12,190.00$ |
| $14,070 \ldots 0$ | $12,437.00$ |
| $14,442 \ldots 0$ | $12,684.00$ |
| $14,811 \ldots 0$ | $12,932.00$ |
| $15,181.0$ | $13,181.00$ |

## EXHIBIT 6 (continued)

| STEP | SENIOR FOOD <br> SERVICE ACCOUNTANT | PURCHASING SUPERVISOR | $\begin{gathered} \text { FOOD SERVICE } \\ \text { DIRECTOR'S SECRETARY } \end{gathered}$ | FOOD SERVICE RECEPTIONIST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$19,502.00 | \$17,369.00 | \$13,955.00 | \$13,296.00 |
| 2 | 19,932.00 | 17,921.00 | 14,326.00 | 13,667.00 |
| 3 | 20,359.00 | 18,472.00 | 14,696.00 | 14,039.00 |
| 4 | 20,789.00 | 19,023.00 | 15,071.00 | 14,413.00 |
| 5 | 21,218.00 | 19,575.00 | 15,442.00 | 14,784.00 |
| 6 | 21,646.00 | 20,126.00 | 15,815.00 | 15,156.00 |
| 7 | 22,075.00 | 20,677.00 | 16,188.00 | 15,526.00 |
| 8 | 22,505.00 | 21,230.00 | 16,561.00 | 15,896.00 |
|  |  |  |  | (44 weeks) |


| STEP | CHIEF/LEAD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JOURNEYMAN | MAINTENANCE | MSINTENANCE | SKILLED | SEMI - SKILLED |
|  | MAINTENANCE | JOURNEYMAN | LEADMAN | MAINTENANCE | MAINTENANCE |
| 1 | \$20,896.00 | \$19,645.00 | \$18,395.00 | \$16,566.00 | \$14,544.00 |
| 2 | 21,253.00 | 20,003.00 | 18,752.00 | 16,316.00 | 14,787.00 |
| 3 | 21,611.00 | 20,360.00 | 19,110.00 | 17,066.00 | 15,030.00 |
| 4 | 21,968.00 | 21,718.00 | 19,467.00 | 17,317.00 | 15,273.00 |
| 5 | 22,325.00 | 21,075.00 | 19,825.00 | 17,566.00 | 15,516.00 |
| 6 | 22,683.00 | 21,432.00 | 20,182.00 | 17,816.00 | 15,759.00 |
| 7 | 23,040.00 | 21,790.00 | 20,538.00 | 18,066.00 | 16,001.00 |
| 8 | 23,398.00 | 22,147.00 | 20,896.00 | 18,317.00 | 16,244.00 |


| STEP | MECHANICAL ENGINEER \& SENIOR HIGH CLEANING ENG. | JUNIOR HIGH <br> BUILD. ENG. | ELEMENTARY BUILD. ENG. | CUSTODIAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$14, 315.00 | \$23,599.00 | \$13,025.00 | \$11,849.00 |
| 2 | 14,558.00 | -3,843.00 | 13,268.00 | 12,094.00 |
| 3 | 14,801.00 | -4,085.00 | 13,511.00 | 12,337.00 |
| 4 | 15,045.00 | -4,328.00 | 13,754.00 | 12,580.00 |
| 5 | 15, 2\%?. 00 | -4,572.00 | 13,998.00 | 12,822.00 |
| 6 | 15,530.00 | -4,815.00 | 14,240.00 | 13,065.00 |
| 7 | 15,773.00 | -5,058.00 | 14,482.00 | 13,309.00 |
| 8 | 16,017.00 | -5,300.00 | 14,725.00 | 13,551.00 |

EXHIBIT 6 (continued)

| STEP | MAINTENANCE LABORER | $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL II } \\ \text { WAREHOUSEMAN } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LEVEL I } \\ \text { WAREHOUSEMAS } \end{gathered}$ | PRINTER | LEAD BUS MECHANIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$12,315.00 | \$14,301.00 | \$12,100.00 | \$12,967.00 | \$18,395.00 |
| 2 | 12,529.00 | 14,544.00 | 12,315.00 | 13,339.00 | 18,752.00 |
| 3 | 12,743.00 | 14,787.00 | 12,529.00 | 13,712.00 | 19,110.00 |
| 4 | 12,957.00 | 15,030.00 | 12,743.00 | 14,082.00 | 19,467.00 |
| 5 | 13,172.00 | 15,273.00 | 12,957.00 | 14,454.00 | 19,825.00 |
| 6 | 13,386.00 | 15,516.00 | 13,172.00 | 14,826.00 | 20,182.00 |
| 7 | 13,600.00 | 15,759.00 | 13,386.00 | 15,196.00 | 20,538.00 |
| 8 | 13,816.00 | 16,001.00 | 13,600.00 | 15,569.00 | 20,896.00 |

SKILLED BUS SEMI-SKILLED BUS DRIVERS*
MECHANIC BUS MECHANIC (SINGLE RUN)

## MEDIA AIDES TEACHER'S AIDES

| STEP | MECHANIC | BUS MECHANIC | (SINGLE RUN) | MEDIt AIDES | TEACHER'S AID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \$16,576.00 | \$14, 301.00 | \$14.85 | \$ 8,727.00 | \$7,135.00 |
| 2 | 16,819.00 | 14,544.00 | 15.07 | 8.933 .00 | 7,299.00 |
| 3 | 17,061.00 | 14,787.00 | 15.27 | 9.150 .00 | 7,467.00 |
| 4 | 17,305.00 | 15,030.00 | 15.47 | 9,366.00 | 7,639.00 |
| 5 | 17,548.00 | 15,273.00 | 16.09 | 9.593 .00 | 7,815.00 |
| 6 | 17,790.00 | 15,516 こ0 | 16.09 | 9.799 .00 | 7,996.00 |
| 7 | 18,033.00 | 15,759.00 | 16.09 | 10.005 .00 | 8,181.00 |
| 8 | 18,277.00 | 16,001.00 | 16.09 | 10.221 .00 | 8,371.00 |

*Drivers will be paid on number of runs each zonch at rate indicated. A $50 \%$ driver will receive rate indicated for a single run. Multiply this rate times 2 for $100 \%$ driver and times 3 for a $150 \%$ dri $\because$ er.

## LICENSED AIDE TO PHYSICAL AND OCCUPATI2NAL THERAPIST

| EXPERIENCE | SALAR: |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | \$11,580.() |
| 1 | 11,830.(0) |
| 2 | 12,080..) |
| 3 | 12,330.6. |
| 4 | 12,580. .6. |
| 5 | 12,830.() |
| 9 | 13,080.6) |
| 7 | 13,330.:0 |
| 8 | 13,580.co |
| 9 | 13,830.c0 |
| 10 | 14,080.co |


[^0]:    This case was written by Chalmer E. Labig, Jr., Oklahoma State University, and Timothy J. Keaveny, Marquette University, as a basis for class discussion rather than to illustrate either effective or ineffective handling of a managerial situation.

    C 1988. Distributed by the North American Case Research Association. All rights reserved to the authors and the North American Case Research Association. Permission to publish the case should be obtained from the North American Case Research Association.

