# Marquette University e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications

Chemistry, Department of

1-1-1995

# Benz[4,5]Isoquino[1,2-*b*]Quinazoline-7,9-Dione and a Rearrangement Product of its Hydrolysis, 2-(1,8-Naphthalenedicarboximido)benzamide

Sergey V. Lindeman Marquette University, sergey.lindeman@marquette.edu

Igor I. Ponomarev Institute of Organometallic Compounds

Alexandr L. Rusanov Institute of Organometallic Compounds

Published version. *Acta Crystallographica Section C,* Vol. 51, No. 10 (1995): 2157-2161. DOI. © 1995 International Union of Crystallography. Used with permission.

# Benz[4,5]isoquino[1,2-*b*]quinazoline-7,9dione and a Rearrangement Product of its Hydrolysis, 2-(1,8-Naphthalenedicarboximido)benzamide

SERGEY V. LINDEMAN, IGOR I. PONOMAREV AND ALEXANDR L. RUSANOV

Institute of Organoelement Compounds, 28 Vavilov Street, Moscow 117813, Russia

(Received 14 February 1995; accepted 28 April 1995)

### Abstract

Benz[4,5] isoquino[1,2-b] quinazoline-7,9-dione. (1).  $C_{19}H_{10}N_2O_2$ , was isolated as a product of the reaction between naphthalic anhydride and anthranylamide after crystallization from dimethylformamide. Recrystallization from concentrated (95%) formic acid resulted in 2-(1,8-naphthalenedicarboximido)benzamide, (2), C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, as a rearrangement product of hydrolysis [alternative systematic name: 2-(1,3-dioxo-2,3dihydro-1*H*-benz[*de*]isoquinol-2-yl)benzamide]. The two crystallographically independent molecules of (1) [(1a) and (1b)] are substantially non-planar as a result of strong intramolecular steric repulsion between their cis-arranged carbonyl groups [the O. O distances are 2.584 (3) and 2.664 (3) Å, and the dihedral angles between the naphthalene and benzene nuclei are 8.75(7) and 14.46 (7)°, respectively]. Molecule (2) is also sterically hindered with an approximately orthogonal orientation of the naphthalenedicarboximide and o-phenylene groups [dihedral angle 87.05 (4)°] and absence of  $\pi$ conjugation between the o-phenylene and amide groups [dihedral angle 40.02(6)°].

#### Comment

As part of our investigations into the synthesis of regular polynaphthoylenequinazolones from bis-naphthalic anhydrides and bis-anthranylamides, the model reaction between naphthalic anhydride and anthranylamide has been carried out (see scheme below) (Ponomarev, Skuratova, Lindeman, Sinichkin, Vinogradova & Rusanov, 1994).



Only one of the two possible isomeric products, benz-[4,5]isoquino[1,2-b]quinazoline-7,9-dione, (1), was isolated from the reaction mixture by crystallization from dimethylformamide. Recrystallization from concentrated (95%) formic acid resulted in the formation of 2-(1,8naphthalenedicarboximido)benzamide, (2), which may be considered as a rearrangement product of the hydrolysis of (1).

The two crystallographically independent molecules of (1) [(1a) and (1b) in Fig. 1] are substantially distorted relative to the ideal  $\pi$ -conjugated planar structure. The dihedral angles between the planes of the naphthalene and benzene nuclei are 8.75(7) for (1a) and  $14.46(7)^{\circ}$  for (1b). This results from bending of the non-aromatic rings: the diazoline ring of the quinazoline moiety adopts a sofa conformation [atoms N1 and N1a are shifted from the planes of the related benzene rings by 0.106 and 0.208 (4) Å, respectively, whereas the deviation of the other atoms does not exceed 0.044(3) Å]. The tetrahydropyridine ring of the isoquinoline moiety has a boat-like conformation with atoms N1 (N1a) and C12 (C12a) shifted by 0.194(3) Å [0.258(3) Å] and 0.103(3)Å [0.104(3)Å] from the mean plane of the naphthalene moiety; atom C11 (C11a) deviates by 0.085(3) Å [0.034(3) Å] in the opposite direction.

These distortions are caused principally by forced short intramolecular contacts between *cis*-disposed carbonyl groups: the  $0 \cdots 0$  distances are 2.584 and 2.664 (3) Å in molecules (1*a*) and (1*b*), respectively (at such a mutual orientation of the polar carbonyl groups, an additional electrostatic repulsion of their dipoles evidently takes place). In addition, the short intramolecular contacts  $01 \cdots H$ —C7 [2.57 (3) and 2.55 (3) Å in molecules (1*a*) and (1*b*), respectively],  $01' \cdots H$ —C6' [2.56 (3) and 2.60 (3) Å] and  $N2 \cdots H$ —C2 [2.40 (3) and 2.46 (3) Å], are also seemingly of the repulsive type. As a result, atoms O1 and N2 deviate in opposite directions from the mean plane of the naphthalene moiety

by 0.404 (3) and -0.117 (3) Å in (1*a*) and by 0.293 (3) and -0.088 (3) Å in (1*b*), and atom O1' deviates from the mean plane of the phenylene moiety by 0.186 (4) in (1*a*) and 0.085 (4) Å in (1*b*).



Fig. 1. Perspective view of the two crystallographically independent molecules of (1) [top (1a), bottom (1b)] in comparable orientations [molecule (1b) is shown inverted relative to the table of coordinates] showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

The noticeable difference in the degree of nonplanarity for the two crystallographically independent molecules (1*a*) and (1*b*) seems to result from their different crystal environments. In (1), the molecules are packed in stacks  $[\cdots(1b')\cdots(1b)\cdots(1a')\cdots(1a)\cdots]_n$ along  $[10\overline{1}]$  (Fig. 2). In the stacks, (1*a*) is overlapped fully and closely (interplanar distances are *ca* 3.42 Å)



Fig. 2. Perspective view of a stack of molecules of (1) in the crystal.

by two antiparallel neighbouring molecules (1b'') and (1a'), but only the naphthalenedicarboximide moiety is overlapped by the packing of the antiparallel (1b) and (1b') molecules, where the interplanar distance is ca 3.53 Å.

Molecule (2), to our knowledge, is the first arylsubstitued 1.8-naphthalenedicarboximide to be investigated structurally. As a result of steric restrictions enforced by the two carbonyl groups, the benzamide moiety is almost perpendicular to the planar naphthalenedicarboximide moiety [dihedral angle  $87.05(4)^{\circ}$ : Fig. 3]. The N1—C2' bond length of 1.449(1) Å is longer than the standard value of 1.371 Å (Allen, Kennard, Watson, Brammer, Orpen & Taylor, 1987). The amide group is also twisted around the C1'-C7' bond [by 40.02 (6)°] such that it is not  $\pi$ -conjugated with the benzene ring. Nevertheless, the length of this bond [1.502 (2) Å] is normal (Allen *et al.*, 1987).



Fig. 3. Perspective view of molecule (2) showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

The bond-length distribution in the naphthalenedicarboximide moieties of (1) and (2) is very similar to that found earlier in N-ethyl-1,8-naphthalimide (Easton, Gulbis, Hoskins, Scharfbillig & Tiekink, 1992), but formation of the quinazoline ring leads to a substantial loosening of the N1-C11 bond [1.449(3) in (1a) and 1.439 (3) Å in (1b) in comparison with 1.394 (1) Å in (2)], whereas its equivalent, the N1-C12 bond, remains constant  $[1.401(3) \text{ and } 1.399(3) \text{ Å } versus \ 1.399(1) \text{ Å}].$ This may be of importance to the mechanism of hydrolysis of (1).

#### **Experimental**

Compounds (1) and (2) were obtained as described earlier (Ponomarev, Skuratova, Lindeman, Sinichkin, Vinogradova & Rusanov, 1994). (1) was crystallized from dimethylformamide; (2) from formic acid.

Compound (1)	
Crystal data	

$C_{19}H_{10}N_2O_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 298.29$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$

Triclinic
PĪ
a = 9.065 (2)  Å
b = 10.858(2) Å
c = 14.118(3) Å
$\alpha = 89.59 (3)^{\circ}$
$\beta = 71.94 (3)^{\circ}$
$\gamma = 83.63 (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 1312.4 (5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_{\rm x} = 1.510 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$

# Data collection

Siemens P3 diffractometer  $\omega/2\theta$  scans Absorption correction: none 5811 measured reflections 5810 independent reflections 3772 observed reflections  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.191$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0696$  $wR(F^2) = 0.1679$ S = 1.1345805 reflections 495 parameters H atoms refined isotropically  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1255P)^2]$ + 0.1195P] where  $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 

Cell parameters from 24 reflections  $\theta = 10 - 11^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.100 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 (2) KPrism  $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.25$  mm Yellow

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.97^{\circ}$  $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$  $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$  $l = -17 \rightarrow 18$ 2 standard reflections monitored every 98 reflections intensity decay: 2.5%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.572 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.392 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

# Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (1)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{eq}$
01	0.3067 (2)	0.09524 (15)	-0.4100(2)	0.0472 (5)
N1	0.0458 (2)	0.06307 (15)	-0.36653 (13)	0.0231 (4)
N2	-0.2044 (2)	0.02515 (15)	-0.37377 (13)	0.0237 (4)
C1	-0.0115 (2)	-0.1467 (2)	-0.39405 (14)	0.0222 (4)
C2	-0.1150 (3)	-0.2259(2)	-0.4030(2)	0.0260 (5)
C3	-0.0694(3)	-0.3540(2)	-0.4128(2)	0.0298 (5)
C4	0.0771 (3)	-0.4026 (2)	-0.4142(2)	0.0312 (5)
C5	0.3435 (3)	-0.3682(2)	-0.4131(2)	0.0331 (5)
C6	0.4483 (3)	-0.2886(2)	-0.4094 (2)	0.0353 (6)
C7	0.4034 (3)	-0.1606(2)	-0.4010(2)	0.0322 (5)
C8	0.2531 (2)	-0.1140(2)	-0.3949 (2)	0.0261 (5)
C9	0.1880 (3)	-0.3239(2)	-0.4080(2)	0.0276 (5)
C10	0.1421 (2)	-0.1945 (2)	-0.39816 (14)	0.0236 (4)
C11	0.2109 (3)	0.0207 (2)	-0.3912 (2)	0.0298 (5)
C12	-0.0619 (2)	-0.0139 (2)	-0.37832 (14)	0.0211 (4)
01'	0.0752 (2)	0.2488 (2)	-0.3064 (2)	0.0472 (5)
C1′	-0.1636 (2)	0.2315 (2)	-0.33544 (15)	0.0247 (5)
C2′	-0.2568 (2)	0.1494 (2)	-0.35534 (14)	0.0231 (4)
C3′	-0.4093 (3)	0.1912 (2)	-0.3543 (2)	0.0272 (5)
C4′	-0.4657 (3)	0.3135 (2)	-0.3333(2)	0.0319 (5)
C5'	-0.3736 (3)	0.3957 (2)	-0.3131 (2)	0.0334 (5)
C6'	-0.2240 (3)	0.3551 (2)	-0.3139 (2)	0.0299 (5)
C7′	-0.0058 (3)	0.1888 (2)	-0.3345 (2)	0.0283 (5)
Ola	0.4834 (2)	0.20825 (15)	0.21748 (13)	0.0377 (4)
Nla	0.2854 (2)	0.19098 (15)	0.15327 (13)	0.0248 (4)

N2a C1a C2a C3a C4a C5a C6a C7a C8a C9a C10a C11a C12a O1'a C1'a C2'a C3'a C4'a C5'a C6'a C7'a	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0439\ (2)\\ 0.2465\ (3)\\ 0.1590\ (3)\\ 0.205\ (3)\\ 0.3686\ (3)\\ 0.6157\ (3)\\ 0.7014\ (3)\\ 0.6380\ (3)\\ 0.4902\ (2)\\ 0.4620\ (3)\\ 0.3998\ (3)\\ 0.4253\ (3)\\ 0.1846\ (2)\\ 0.3861\ (3)\\ -0.0110\ (3)\\ -0.1666\ (3)\\ -0.2218\ (3)\\ 0.0271\ (3)\\ 0.2471\ (3)\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1451 (\\ -0.0197 (\\ -0.0294 (\\ -0.2220 (\\ -0.2649 (\\ -0.2253 (\\ -0.0258 (\\ -0.0518 (\\ -0.0186 (\\ -0.1862 (\\ -0.0619 (\\ -0.1862 (\\ -0.0619 (\\ -0.0519 (\\ -0.0519 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.3559 (\\ -0.355 (\\ -0.3$	2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2) 2)	0.13639 (13) 0.11641 (15) 0.0904 (2) 0.0652 (2) 0.0651 (2) 0.1203 (2) 0.1203 (2) 0.1485 (2) 0.1485 (2) 0.1485 (2) 0.0907 (2) 0.11701 (14) 0.1760 (2) 0.13731 (15) 0.14197 (14) 0.1510 (2) 0.1424 (2) 0.1602 (2) 0.1602 (2) 0.1527 (2) 0.1587 (2) 0.1487 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0276 \ (4) \\ 0.0255 \ (5) \\ 0.0308 \ (5) \\ 0.0355 \ (6) \\ 0.0355 \ (6) \\ 0.0353 \ (6) \\ 0.0381 \ (6) \\ 0.0334 \ (5) \\ 0.0271 \ (5) \\ 0.0272 \ (5) \\ 0.0266 \ (5) \\ 0.0272 \ (5) \\ 0.0248 \ (5) \\ 0.0248 \ (5) \\ 0.0248 \ (5) \\ 0.0267 \ (5) \\ 0.0326 \ (5) \\ 0.0326 \ (5) \\ 0.0384 \ (6) \\ 0.0341 \ (6) \\ 0.0362 \ (6) \\ 0.0295 \ (5) \end{array}$	Data co Siemer $\omega/2\theta$ so Absorp none 5391 m 5132 in 3967 o $[I > R_{int} = 0$ Refinen $R[F^2 > wR(F^2)$ S = 1.0	collection as P3 diffracton cans tion correction: heasured reflect baserved reflecti $2\sigma(I)$ ] 0.012 ment ment on $F^2$ $2\sigma(F^2)$ ] = 0.0 $2\sigma(F^2)$ ] = 0.0 $2\sigma(F^2)$ ] = 0.0	ions ections ons 475	$\theta_{max} = 3$ $h = 0 \rightarrow k$ $k = 0 \rightarrow l = -19$ 2 standa monit refle intens $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = \Delta\rho_{min} = \Delta\rho_{min} = \Delta tomic$	5.06° $\rightarrow$ 13 $\rightarrow$ 22 $\rightarrow$ 17 rd reflection ored every ections ity decay: $a_x = 0.003$ $a_x = 0.003$	$h^{-3}$ $h^{-3}$ on: none factors
						265 pa	rameters		from	Internatior	al Tables
Table	e 2. Selected	l geometri	c parar	meters (Å, °	(1) for (1)	'H atom	s refined isotro	pically	for Cr	rystallogra	phy (1992,
01—C11 N1—C12 N1—C7' N1—C11 N2—C12		1.221 (3) 1.401 (3) 1.422 (2) 1.449 (3)	OlaO NlaO NlaO NlaO NlaO	Cila Cila Cila Cila	1.169 (3) 1.399 (3) 1.439 (3) 1.446 (3) 1.203 (2)	w = 1/  + when	$[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.07) + (0.07) + (0.07) + (0.07) + (0.042) + (0.07) $	$(205P)^2$ $(2F_c^2)/3$	Vol. C 6.1.1.4	C, Tables 4 4)	.2.6.8 and
N2-C12 N2-C2'		1.230 (3)	N2a—C	22'a	1.385 (3)	Tabla	2 Eractional	atomio	acardin	atoo and	a muin al and
C1-C12		1.460 (3)	Cla-C	C12a	1.460 (3)	Table .	5. Fractional	aiomic	cooraine	ues ana	equivalent
C8-C11	,	1.467 (3)	C8a—C	Cilla	1.464 (3)	1.	sotropic displo	icement p	paramete	ers (A <sup>2</sup> ) fo	or (2)
C1' - C7'	•	1.188 (3)	Cl'a	C/a C7'a	1.216 (3)		U <sub>eo</sub> =	$=(1/3)\Sigma_i\Sigma_i$	CiUiia*a*s	n.a.	
C12 NI	C7'	110 5 (2)	C12a	Nia Cila	121 4 (2)		- 64	(-/-/-/-	-j-ij-i -j-	-1	
C12—N1 C12—N1		122.0 (2)	C12a-	-N1a $-C7'a$	121.4 (2)	01	x 0.76656 (11)	y _0.03540	(8) 0	Z 20801 (0)	$U_{eq}$
C7'-N1	C11	118.4 (2)	Clla—	Nla—C7'a	119.1 (2)	02	0.97954 (10)	0.13342	(3) 0. (7) 0.	07635 (8)	0.0333(2) 0.0274(2)
C12—N2	C2′	119.3 (2)	C12a—	-N2a—C2'a	119.0 (2)	NI	0.87226 (10)	0.05097	(7) 0.	18882 (8)	0.0187 (2)
C2-C1-	-C10	119.8 (2)	C2a-C	Cla—Cl0a	119.9 (2)	C1	0.71021 (13)	0.15024	(8) 0.	02347 (10)	0.0201 (2)
C2C1-	-C12	119.7 (2)	C2a-C	C1a - C12a	119.3 (2)	C2	0.69214 (15)	0.20842	(9) -0.	07381 (11)	0.0269 (2)
C7-C8-		120.5(2)	C10a-	$-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{-10^{$	120.0(2) 120.9(2)	C3	0.5455 (2)	0.243/1	(11) -0.	14309 (13)	0.0349 (3)
C7C8	-C11	119.4 (2)	C7a-C	C8a - C11a	119.9 (2)	C4 C5	0.4204 (2)	0.22202	(10) -0.	01703 (13)	0.0330(3)
C10-C8	C11	119.9 (2)	C10a-	-C8a-C11a	119.2 (2)	C6	0.32633(14)	0.07640	(10) 0.	11088 (14)	0.0312(3)
C1-C10	C8	120.7 (2)	C8aC	C10a—C1a	121.2 (2)	C7	0.47099 (14)	0.03727	(9) 0.	17626 (12)	0.0261 (2)
01—C11	—N1	120.3 (2)	Ola—C	C11a—N1a	120.9 (2)	C8	0.59680 (12)	0.06213	(8) 0.	14816 (10)	0.0202 (2)
01		123.5 (2)	Ola—C	C11a	121.9 (2)	C9	0.43501 (13)	0.16293	(9) -0.	01500 (11)	0.0259 (2)
NI-CII		110.3(2) 124.0(2)	NIA-C	211a - C8a	11/.3(2)	C10	0.58193 (12)	0.12545	(8) 0.	05319 (10)	0.0200 (2)
N2-C12	C1	118.1 (2)	N2a-C	C12a $C1a$	124.3(2) 118.7(2)	CI2	0.74773 (13)	0.02145	(8) U. (8) D	21/83(10)	0.0207(2)
N1-C12	-C1	117.9 (2)	N1a—C	Cl2a—Cla	117.0 (2)	01	0.97394 (13)	0.21800	(7) 0.	32657 (9)	0.0356(2)
C2'_C1'	'—C7'	120.6 (2)	C2'a	Cl'a—C7'a	120.3 (2)	N1′	1.06258 (14)	0.17028	(9) 0.	52013 (10)	0.0295 (2)
C6'C1'	′—C7′	120.5 (2)	C6' a—	C1'aC7'a	120.2 (2)	C1′	1.10306 (12)	0.06917	(8) 0.	37163 (10)	0.0196 (2)
N2-C2'	-C1'	121.2 (2)	N2a—C	C2'a—C1'a	121.5 (2)	C2′	1.02245 (12)	0.01878	(7) 0.	26692 (9)	0.0186 (2)
N2-C2 ·	C3 / NI	118.8 (2)	N2a = 0	C7' NIa	119.0(2)	C3 <sup>*</sup>	1.07862 (14)	-0.06540	(9) 0.	23987 (11)	0.0242 (2)
01' - 07'		120.3(2) 125.2(2)	01'a	$C^{\prime}a - C^{\prime}a$	121.7(2) 124 5 (2)	C4 C5'	1.21895(15)	-0.10010	(9) U. (9) D	31/68(12)	0.02/6 (2)
NI_C7'	–cı́'	114.4 (2)	N1a—C	C7'a—C1'a	113.8 (2)	C6'	1.24509 (13)	0.03433	(9) 0. (9) 0.	44686 (11)	0.0207(2) 0.0241(2)
						C7′	1.04014 (14)	0.15870	(8) 0.	40362 (11)	0.0233 (2)
Comr	und (?)										
Compo	data					Tabl	e 4. Selected	geometria	: parame	eters (Å. °	) for (2)
Crysial	uuu					01_01	1	, 2195 (14)	[][]	− /	1 476 (2)
$C_{19}H_{12}$	$N_2O_3$		Mo K	$\alpha$ radiation		02	2 1.	2179 (14)	C8-C11		1.470 (2)
$M_r = 3$	16.31		$\lambda = 0$	.71069 Å		NICI	l 1.	3940 (14)	01'—C7'		1.2315 (15)
Monocl	linic		Cell r	parameters fr	om 24	N1-C12	2 1.	3991 (14)	N1'-C7'		1.338 (2)

$C_{19}H_{12}N_2O_3$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation	$0^{2}-0^{12}$	1 2179 (14)	C8-C11	1 470 (2)
$M_r = 316.31$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$	NIC11	1.3940 (14)	01'	1.2315 (15)
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 24	N1-C12	1.3991 (14)	N1'—C7'	1.338 (2)
$P2_1/c$	reflections	N1—C2′	1.4485 (14)	C1'C7'	1.502 (2)
a = 9354(2) Å	$\theta = 10 - 11^{\circ}$	C11-N1-C12	125.09 (9)	N1-C11-C8	117.41 (10)
u = 9.004 (2) H	$u = 0.000 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C11—N1—C2'	116.70 (9)	O2C12N1	119.89 (10)
b = 14.130(3) A	$\mu = 0.099 \text{ mm}$	C12-N1-C2'	118.09 (9)	02-C12-C1	123.54 (10)
c = 11.923 (2) Å	T = 173 (2) K	C2-C1-C10	120.12 (10)	N1-C12-C1	116.57 (10)
$\beta = 111.28 (3)^{\circ}$	Prism	C2-C1-C12	119.70(11)	C6'—C1'—C7'	120.22 (10)
V = 1468.4 (5) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.35$ mm	C10-C1-C12	120.18 (10)	C2'-C1'-C7'	121.48 (10)
7 4	Vallow	C7C8C10	120.80(11)	C3'-C2'-N1	118.78 (10)
Z = 4	Tenow	C7—C8—C11	119.44 (11)	C1'C2'-N1	119.84 (10)
$D_x = 1.431 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		C10-C8-C11	119.76 (10)	01'—C7'—N1'	122.58 (11)

#### LINDEMAN, PONOMA

C8-C10-C1	120.90 (10)	01′—C7′—C1′	121.40 (11)
01C11N1	120.03 (10)	N1'-C7'-C1'	116.02 (10)
01-C11-C8	122.56(11)		

Refinement was performed on  $F^2$  for all reflections except for five for structure (1) and six for structure (2) with very negative  $F^2$  or flagged for potential systematic errors (*e.g.* extinction).

For both compounds, program(s) used to solve structures: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structures: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1270). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–S19.
- Easton, C. J., Gulbis, J. M., Hoskins, B. F., Scharfbillig, I. M. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1992). Z. Kristallogr. 199, 249-254.
- Ponomarev, I. I., Skuratova, N. A., Lindeman, S. V., Sinichkin, M. K., Vinogradova, S. V. & Rusanov, A. L. (1994). Vysokomol. Soedin. Ser. A, 36, 730-738.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.

#### Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2161-2163