

Marquette University e-Publications@Marquette

Chemistry Faculty Research and Publications

Chemistry, Department of

1-1-1995

Tris(diethyldithiocarbamato)(4-methoxyphenyl)tellurium(Γ *p*-MeOC₆H₄Te(S₂CNEt₂)₃, and the Triclinic Modification of Tris(diethyldithiocarbamato)phenyltellurium(IV), PhTe(S₂CNEt₂)₃

Steinar Husebye University of Bergen

Sergey V. Lindeman Marquette University, sergey.lindeman@marquette.edu

Published version. *Acta Crystallographica Section C,* Vol. 51 (1995): 2152-2157. DOI. © 1995 International Union of Crystallography. Used with permission.

Tris(diethyldithiocarbamato)(4-methoxyphenyl)tellurium(IV), p-MeOC₆H₄Te-(S₂CNEt₂)₃, and the Triclinic Modification of Tris(diethyldithiocarbamato)phenyltellurium(IV), PhTe(S₂CNEt₂)₃

STEINAR HUSEBYE

Department of Chemistry, University of Bergen, Allegaten 41, N-5007 Bergen, Norway

SERGEY V. LINDEMAN

Institute of Organoelement Compounds, 28 Vavilov Street, Moscow 117813, Russia

(Received 5 January 1995; accepted 11 April 1995)

Abstract

The structures of the tellurium(IV) complexes [Te(C_5 - $H_{10}NS_{2}_{3}(C_{7}H_{7}O)]$, (1), and $[Te(C_{5}H_{10}NS_{2})_{3}(C_{6}H_{5})]$, (2) (the triclinic modification), have been investigated. In both structures the coordination of the Te atom is distorted pentagonal bipyramidal, with four S atoms from two near symmetrically bidentate dithiocarbamate ligands [Te-S 2.625 (1)-2.889 (1) Å] and a fifth S atom from the third unsymmetrically bidentate dithiocarbamate ligand [Te-S 2.585 (1)-2.602 (1) Å] in equatorial positions. The arvl group is axial [Te-C 2.148 (5)-2.160 (3) Å]; the second axial position is oc-cupied by the second S atom of the unsymmetrically bidentate dithiocarbamate ligand [Te...S 3.235(2)-3.241 (1) Å], the *trans* angle C-Te···S being 144.6-147.2(1)°. In the structure of (2), the two crystallographically independent molecules have different orientations of the ethyl groups in all three ligands and slightly differing phenyl group orientations.

Comment

In the crystal structures of both (1) and (2), the Te atom has distorted pentagonal bipyramidal coordination geometry (Figs. 1 and 2); two dithiocarbamate groups behave as near symmetrically bidentate chelate ligands and are situated in the equatorial plane together with another strongly coordinated S atom from the third dithiocarbamate group. The second S atom of this group seems to be weakly coordinated and is axial, but with significant deviation from an ideal position *trans* to the aryl ligand, which occupies the second axial position.

Detailed investigation shows that the equatorial bidentate dithiocarbamate ligands are not quite symmetrically coordinated: the Te—S bonds quasi *trans* to the unique unsymmetrically coordinated dithio-



carbamate ligand [S—Te—S bond angles 146.51 (4) and 142.15 (4)° in (1) and 142.11 (3)–144.47 (3)° in the two crystallographically independent molecules of (2)] are slightly longer [2.812 (1) and 2.882 (1) Å in (1) and 2.769 (1)–2.889 (1) Å in (2)] than the quasi *cis* Te—S bonds [2.666 (1) and 2.666 (1) Å in (1) and 2.625 (1)–2.694 (1) Å in (2)]. The weakening of these bonds may be explained by the greater *trans* influence of the unique dithiocarbamate ligand, which bonds very strongly to the Te atom in the equatorial plane: the Te—S5 bonds are 2.590 (1) Å in (1) and 2.585 and 2.602 (1) Å in (2).

The same distribution of the equatorial Te—S bond lengths was found earlier in tris(dimethyldithiocarbamato)(4-methoxyphenyl)tellurium(IV), (3) (as the 1:1 chloroform solvate; Husebye, Maartmann-Moe & Steffensen, 1990), and in the monoclinic crystal modification of (2) (Esperås & Husebye, 1972): the unique Te—S bond was the shortest [2.591 (1) and 2.606 (3) Å, respectively], the *cis* Te—S bonds were longer [2.646– 2.706 (2) Å] and the *trans* Te—S bonds were weaker still [2.792–2.868 (2) Å].

Even greater differences between the equatorial Te-S bonds were found in the analogous compound, tris-



Fig. 1. Perspective view of (1) showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms; H atoms are represented by small spheres of arbitrary radii. The secondary Te···S coordination is shown by a dashed line.



Fig. 2. Perspective views of the two crystallographically independent molecules of (2) in comparable orientations, showing conformational differences and the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms; H atoms are represented by small spheres of arbitrary radii. The less populated positions of the disordered ethyl groups and the secondary Te···S coordination are shown by dashed lines.

(O,O'-diphenyldithiophosphato)phenyltellurium(IV), (4) (Wieber & Lang, 1994). In this compound, the unique Te—S bond is further shortened [to 2.481(1)Å] and the trans Te-S bonds are lengthened [to 3.106 and 3.292 (1) Å], while the cis Te-S bonds are virtually unchanged [2.613 and 2.659(1)Å]. Nevertheless, the unique dithiophosphate ligand exhibits no noticeable trans influence on the equatorial dithiocarbamate ligands in bis(diethyldithiocarbamato)(O, O'-diethyldithiophosphato)phenyltellurium(IV), (5) (Dakternieks, Di Giacomo, Gable & Hoskins, 1988), in which the trans Te—S bonds [2.696 (4) and 2.700 (3) Å] are only a little longer than the *cis* bonds [2.674 (4) and 2.690 (3) Å] and the unique Te-S bond from the dithiophosphate ligand of 2.708 (4) Å is much longer than in (4). Evidently, the dithiocarbamate ligands have a greater trans influence than the dithiophosphate ligand. On the other hand, no observable difference is found between dimethyl- and diethyl-substituted dithiocarbamate ligands in this respect.

Likewise, hardly any difference in molecular geometry is found between phenyl- and *p*-methoxyphenylsubstituted complexes. The Te—C bond length is only slightly shorter in the *p*-methoxy derivatives (1) and (3) [2.148 (5) and 2.153 (5) Å] than in the phenyl analogue (2) [2.159 (3) and 2.160 (3) Å in the present triclinic modification; in the monoclinic structure this bond length is 2.124 (11) Å, but its precision is not high] in accordance with the σ -acceptor effect of the methoxy group. This small difference does not have a noticeable effect on the *trans* axial secondary Te···S interaction: the Te···S distances are 3.235 (2) and 3.277 (2) Å in (1) and (3), 3.241 (1) and 3.235 (1) Å in the triclinic form of (2), and 3.228 (3) Å in the monoclinic form of (2).

The bonding character of this secondary interaction is open to question: the *trans* angle C—Te···S is only 144.6 (1) in (1), 143.7 (1) in (3) and 144.6 (2)-147.2 (1)° in (2) (both modifications). In the case of the dithiophosphate complexes (4) and (5), the Te $\cdot \cdot \cdot$ S distances are 3.374(1) and 3.436(4)Å, even though the C— Te...S bond angles are slightly 'improved' at 156.6(1)and $148.0(4)^{\circ}$, respectively. On the one hand, these contacts are noticeably shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of Te and S (3.86 Å; Bondi, 1964). The great deviations from linearity in the C-Te...S angle may be caused by the small value of the bite angle of these chelate ligands (for a symmetrically axialequatorial coordinated dithiocarbamate ligand the trans angle C-Te-S cannot be much greater than 155° if one of the S atoms is required to lie in the equatorial plane). On the other hand, there is evidence here of some repulsion between the Te and S atoms: the cis C—Te—S bond angle for the unsymmetrically bidentate ligand is substantially less than 90° in all cases [85.0(1) in (1), 84.2 (2)-87.2 (1) in (2) (both modifications) and 84.1 (1)° in (3)].

In similar complexes where the aryl group is replaced by a halogen, the equatorial S atom in the unique ligand is lifted out of the equatorial plane as the axial S atom occupies a more perfect axial position. Since the trans influence of the halogen ligand is much smaller than that of the dithiocarbamate ligand, the Te— S_{ax} bond is stronger than all the Te— S_{eq} bonds. This situation is realized in complexes of the general formula Te(R^1R^2 NCS₂)₃Cl with $\hat{R}^1 = R^2 = \text{Et}$ [as the 1:1 dioxane solvate, (6)] (von Deuten, Schnabel & Klar, 1980), $R^1 = R^2 = CH_2CH_2OH$ [as the dihydrate, (7)] (Rao, Seshasayee, Aravamudan & Radha, 1983) and R^1 = Me, R^2 = CH₂CH₂OH [as the dihydrate, (8)] (Husebye & Thowsen, 1981), where there is strengthened axial coordination (Te-S 2.465-2.512 Å, trans Cl-Te-S angle 174.9-179.1°) and significantly weakened equatorial coordination (Te-S 2.801-2.874 Å, cis angle 108.3–114.1°). The structure of the Br analogue of (8), compound (9), is practically the same (Husebye, 1979).

A similar structure is also found for ML_3X metal complexes where L is a dithiocarbamate ligand and X is a halogen. This indicates that the strong *trans* influence of the aryl group is responsible for the lengthening of the Te-S_{ax} bond in complexes (1)-(5). An additional 'push' may result from stereochemical activity of the lone pair of electrons on Te which are presumably located in the direction of the S2–S3–S6 face of the polyhedron.

It is of interest that in compounds (6)–(9) an 'inverse' Te—S bond length distribution in the equatorial plane is found: the Te—S bonds '*trans*' to the weakly coordinated equatorial S atom of the unsymmetrically bidentate ligand are shortened to 2.580-2.640 Å, while the '*cis*' Te—S bonds are lengthened to 2.690-2.773 Å.

Intermolecular interactions (crystal packing effects) do not induce substantial changes in the coordination sphere of the Te atom in the complexes investigated (excluding some variation in length for the weaker Te—S bonds; see above). However, the outer parts of these molecules are not as rigid and the aryl substituent shows a substantial rotational lability. For example, the S5—Te—C—CH torsion angles in (2) are -25.9 (3) and -42.1 (2)° in the triclinic form and 44.7° in the monoclinic form. The orientation of the terminal ethyl groups undergoes more dramatic changes, being quite different in both forms of (1), in the crystallographically independent molecules in the triclinic structure of (2) and in the monoclinic structure of (2) (see Figs. 1 and 2 and Tables 3 and 4).

Overall, the dithiocarbamate ligands have the usual π -conjugated structure: the C_{sp2}—N bond lengths lie in the range 1.324–1.337 (4) Å, the twist along these bonds does not exceed 9.8 (3)° [except for 21.3 (3)° in the minor disordered component of the first crystallographically independent molecule of (2)] and the configuration of the N atoms is planar to within 0.017 (3) Å [but with substantial pyramidalization of 0.127 (4) and -0.232 (5) Å in the two disordered components of the first and of 0.137 and -0.128 (4) Å in the second crystallographically independent molecule of (2)].

The *p*-methoxyphenyl ligand in (1) has the usual flat π -conjugated structure; the torsion angle C—C—O—C is only 0.8 (9)°.

Experimental

Compounds (1) and (2) were prepared according to literature methods (Foss, 1953).

Compound (1)

Crystal data $[Te(C_5H_{10}NS_2)_3(C_7H_7O)]$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $M_r = 679.51$ $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 24 $P2_1/n$ reflections $\theta = 9 - 10^{\circ}$ a = 10.859(2) Å $\mu = 1.401 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 18.443(3) Å T = 293 (2) Kc = 15.299(5) Å Plate $\beta = 92.66 (2)^{\circ}$ $0.45 \times 0.35 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ $V = 3060.7 (13) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4Yellow $D_x = 1.475 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

STEINAR HUSEBYE AND SERGEY V. LINDEMAN

Data collection		Te1—S4	2.6662 (13)	S6—C11	1.674 (5
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$R_{int} = 0.0224$	Te1—S2	2.8119 (14)	01C19	1.373 (6
diffractomater	$A = 25.07^{\circ}$	1e1-S3	2.881/(14)	OI = C22	1.406 (8
	$U_{\rm max} = 23.97$		3.235 (2)	NI-CI	1.327 (6
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 13$	31C1 \$2C1	1.720(3)	N2-C0	1.330 (0
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 22$	32-01	1.719(3)	NJ-CII	1.550 (0
none	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$	C16—Te1—S5	85.04 (13)	C1—N1—C4	122.9 (5
6309 measured reflections	3 standard reflections	C16—Te1—S1	87.96 (13)	C1 - N1 - C2	121.5 (4
5997 independent reflections	frequency: 120 min	S5—1e1—S1	81.75 (5)	C4 - NI - C2	115.6 (4
3652 observed reflections	intensity decay: 4.5%	C10-1e1-54	90.84 (13)	$C_{0} = N_{2} = C_{1}$	122.7 (5
	Intensity decay. 4.5 %	33 - 101 - 34	80.10(13)	$C_{1} = 0$	1157(4
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$		S5-Te1-S2	146 51 (4)	$C_1 = N_2 = C_2$ $C_1 = N_3 = C_1^2$	122 9 (4
		S1-Te1-S2	65.09 (4)	C11 - N3 - C12	121.1 (5
Refinement		C16—Te1—S3	95.53 (13)	C12—N3—C14	116.0 (4
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0520P)^2$	S5-Te1-S3	142.15 (4)	N1-C1-S2	121.8 (4
$P[E^2 > 2 - (E^2)] = 0.0228$	+37927P]	S4Te1S3	64.12 (4)	N1-C1-S1	120.4 (4
R[r > 20(r)] = 0.0520	where $P = (F^2 + 2F^2)/3$	C16-Te1S6	144.63 (13)	S2C1S1	117.8 (3
$wR(F^2) = 0.1631$	where $I = (I_0 + 2I_c)/3$	S5—Te1—S6	60.55 (4)	N2C6S3	121.7 (4
S = 1.093	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.010$	S1—Te1—S6	79.76 (5)	N2—C6—S4	120.3 (4
5931 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.50 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}^{-5}$	S4—Te1—S6	89.76 (4)	S3—C6—S4	117.9 (3
305 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.05 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	S2—Te1—S6	114.58 (4)	N3-C11-S6	122.9 (4
H atoms treated using	Extinction correction: none	S3—1e1—S6	116.29 (4)	N3-C11-S5	115.7 (4
a riding model CII	Atomic scattering factors	CI = SI = IeI	90.7 (2)	S6	121.4 (3
a nong model, CH ₃	from International Tables	$C_1 - 32 - 101$	84.8 (2)	C17 = C16 = C21	110.4 (J
orientation refined, fixed	fion merhanonal rables	C6S4Te1	91.7(2)	C1/C16Te1	1199(4
$U_{\rm iso}$	for Crystallography (1992,	C11S5Tel	98.7(2)	C18-C19-O1	125.6 (5
	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and	C11-S6-Te1	78.7 (2)	C18—C19—C20	120.1 (5
	6.1.1.4)	C19-01-C22	117.7 (5)	01-C19-C20	114.2 (5

S2-Te1-S1-C1

S4---Te1---S3---C6

S6-Te1-S5-C11

C4-N1-C1-S2

C2-N1-C1-S1

C1-N1-C2-C3 C1-N1-C4-C5

C7-N2-C6-S3

C9-N2-C6-S4

C6-N2-C7-C8

C6-N2-C9-C10

Compound (2) Crystal data

 $M_r = 649.48$ Triclinic $P\overline{1}$

a = 11.1827 (7) Å b = 16.0827 (15) Å c = 17.3830 (13) Å $\alpha = 108.300 (7)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 100.623 (6)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 96.120 (6)^{\circ}$

V = 2871.6 (4) Å³

 $D_x = 1.502 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

diffractometer

Absorption correction:

16686 independent

reflections

16686 measured reflections

Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4

 $\omega/2\theta$ scans

none

Z = 4

 $[Te(C_5H_{10}NS_2)_3(C_6H_5)]$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (1)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Z	U_{eq}
Te1	0.19369 (3)	0.78926 (2)	0.42002 (2)	0.03191 (10)
S1	0.41436 (12)	0.82545 (8)	0.36421 (10)	0.0465 (3)
S2	0.30141 (12)	0.68551 (8)	0.31264 (9)	0.0430(3)
S 3	0.03769 (13)	0.66286 (8)	0.40998 (9)	0.0463 (3)
S4	-0.01896 (11)	0.79973 (7)	0.49949 (9)	0.0396 (3)
S5	0.20617 (13)	0.91931 (7)	0.48366 (9)	0.0425 (3)
S6	0.34206 (13)	0.81713 (8)	0.60521 (10)	0.0459 (3)
01	-0.0245 (4)	0.9442 (2)	0.0805 (3)	0.0607 (11)
N1	0.5311 (4)	0.7234 (2)	0.2776 (3)	0.0417 (10)
N2	-0.1407 (4)	0.6767 (2)	0.5231 (3)	0.0400 (10)
N3	0.3441 (4)	0.9602 (2)	0.6203 (3)	0.0411 (10)
C1	0.4268 (4)	0.7424 (3)	0.3134 (3)	0.0371 (11)
C2	0.6365 (5)	0.7738 (3)	0.2748 (4)	0.0470 (13)
C3	0.6243 (6)	0.8247 (4)	0.1981 (4)	0.060 (2)
C4	0.5497 (5)	0.6525 (3)	0.2389 (4)	0.0491 (14)
C5	0.6158 (7)	0.6013 (4)	0.3030 (5)	0.077 (2)
C6	-0.0511 (4)	0.7092 (3)	0.4813 (3)	0.0370 (10)
C7	-0.1709(5)	0.6000 (3)	0.5112 (4)	0.0519 (14)
C8	-0.1034 (7)	0.5526 (4)	0.5774 (4)	0.066 (2)
C9	-0.2173 (5)	0.7170 (3)	0.5847 (4)	0.0516 (14)
C10	-0.3253 (6)	0.7538 (5)	0.5381 (5)	0.078 (2)
C11	0.3041 (4)	0.9019 (3)	0.5755 (3)	0.0340 (10)
C12	0.3082 (6)	1.0345 (3)	0.5956 (4)	0.055 (2)
C13	0.1900 (7)	1.0581 (4)	0.6349 (5)	0.072 (2)
C14	0.4264 (6)	0.9528 (4)	0.6999 (4)	0.061 (2)
C15	0.5602 (6)	0.9530 (5)	0.6773 (6)	0.088 (3)
C16	0.1133 (4)	0.8404 (3)	0.3049 (3)	0.0336 (10)
C17	0.0200 (5)	0.8082 (3)	0.2551 (3)	0.0398 (12)
C18	-0.0289 (5)	0.8415 (3)	0.1805 (3)	0.0426 (12)
C19	0.0142 (5)	0.9073 (3)	0.1548 (3)	0.0416 (12)
C20	0.1080 (5)	0.9418 (3)	0.2040 (4)	0.0470 (13)
C21	0.1570 (5)	0.9079 (3)	0.2784 (3)	0.0428 (12)
C22	-0.1176 (7)	0.9122 (4)	0.0264 (4)	0.078 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (1)

Te1—C16	2.148 (5)	S3—C6	1.717 (5)
Te1—S5	2.5897 (14)	S4—C6	1.726 (6)
Te1—S1	2.6658 (14)	S5C11	1.752 (5)

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
Cell parameters from 24
reflections
$\theta = 10 - 11^{\circ}$
$\mu = 1.487 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
T = 293 (2) K
Plate
$0.35 \times 0.3 \times 0.12$ mm

C14-N3-C11-S6

C12-N3-C11-S5

C11-N3-C12-C13

C11-N3-C14-C15

S5-Te1-C16-C17

S1---Te1---C16---C17

S4-Te1-C16-C17

S2-Te1-C16-C17

S3-Te1-C16-C17

C22-01-C19-C18

-3.4(2)

-7.1 (2)

4.5 (2)

-2.8(7)

-4.1(7)

-83.8 (6)

-96.3 (6)

-0.4 (7)

1.3 (7)

92.6 (6)

86.1 (7)

$0.35 \times 0.3 \times 0.12$ m Yellow

10630 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $\theta_{max} = 29.96^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -22 \rightarrow 22$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 23$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 3.5%

0.4 (7)

0.6(7)

87.4 (6)

89.3 (7)

-143.2 (4)

134.9 (4)

-65.3 (4)

69.8 (4)

-1.3(4)

0.8 (9)

$[Te(C_5H_{10}NS_2)_3(C_7H_7O)]$ AND $[Te(C_5H_{10}NS_2)_3(C_6H_5)]$

Refinement		C12a	1.8286 (3)	0.8419 (2)	-0.4626 (2)	0.0592 (8)
	$1/(-2/(-2)) + (0.040)(-2)^2$	C13a	1.9155 (4)	0.9280 (3)	-0.4247 (3)	0.0823 (13)
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^{-}(F_{o}) + (0.0496P)]$	C14a§	1.6827 (5)	0.8828 (4)	-0.5671 (4)	0.0492 (13)
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0327$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	C15a§	1.6470 (7)	0.7987 (5)	-0.6458 (4)	0.069 (2)
$wR(F^2) = 0.1085$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.056$	C14″¶	1.6776 (8)	0.8479 (6)	-0.5821 (5)	0.046 (2)
S = 1.066	$\Delta q_{mm} = 0.86 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$	C15″¶	1.6393 (9)	0.7534 (7)	-0.6451 (6)	0.062 (2)
S = 1.000	$\Delta = 0.00 \text{ cm}^{3}$	C16a	1.1762 (3)	0.7634 (2)	-0.5281 (2)	0.0433 (6)
16662 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.95 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm A}$	C17a	1.1593 (3)	0.8353 (2)	-0.5535 (2)	0.0569 (8)
569 parameters	Extinction correction: none	C18a	1.0616 (4)	0.8265 (3)	-0.6196 (2)	0.0723 (11)
H atoms treated using	Atomic scattering factors	C19a	0.9808 (3)	0.7475 (3)	-0.6582 (2)	0.0710(11)
a miding model. CII	from International Tables	C20a	0.9965 (3)	0.6777 (3)	-0.6332 (2)	0.0724 (11)
a nullig model, CH ₃	for Crystallography (1992,	C21a	1.0936 (3)	0.6839 (2)	-0.5686 (2)	0.0576 (8)
$U_{\rm iso}$	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and			† Occupancy =	= 0.67.	
	6.1.1.4)			‡ Occupancy =	= 0.33.	
				§ Occupancy =	= 0.60.	

¶ Occupancy = 0.40.

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (2)

U_{iso} for C14, C15, C14', C15', C14a, C15a, C14" and C15",

$U_{\rm iso}$ for	C14, C15, C1	14', C15', C1	4a, C15a, C14″	and C15",	Tel-C16
	$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3)$	$\sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_j$	$\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$ for all others.		Te1-S5
	-4		. ,	•• /••	Tel—SI
	x	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}/U_{\rm eq}$	Te1—S4
Tel	0.88030 (2)	0./1428(1)	0.02341 (1)	0.03458 (5)	Te1—S2
S1	1.04183 (7)	0.65704 (5)	0.11552 (5)	0.0461 (2)	Te1-S3
S2	1.10619 (8)	0.83190 (5)	0.09974 (6)	0.0568 (2)	Te1—S6
\$3	0.856/1 (7)	0.8/343 (5)	-0.01752 (5)	0.0490 (2)	SI-CI
S4	0.67149 (7)	0.70864 (5)	-0.08345 (5)	0.0498 (2)	\$2-C1
\$5	0.76471(7)	0.55537 (5)	-0.00666 (4)	0.0461 (2)	S3-C6
S6	0.71649 (10)	0.67733(6)	0.148/4 (6)	0.0631 (2)	S4C6
NI	1.2435 (2)	0.7733(2)	0.2074 (2)	0.0504 (6)	\$5C11
NZ N2	0.6423 (3)	0.8512(2)	-0.1256 (2)	0.0558 (7)	\$6C11
N3	0.6408 (3)	0.5037(2)	0.0891 (2)	0.0644 (8)	N1-C1
	1.1415 (3)	0.7568 (2)	0.14/2(2)	0.0437 (6)	N2-C6
C2	1.26//(3)	0.7125(2)	0.2553(2)	0.0529 (7)	N3
	1.3380 (4)	0.0441(3)	0.2155 (3)	0.0719(10)	C14 Tal 85
C4	1.3402 (3)	0.0318(3)	0.2273(3)	0.0007(10)	C16 Tel -35
CS CC	1.3251 (5)	0.9274(3)	0.2981 (3)	0.0907(14)	
C6	0.7157(3)	0.8139(2)	-0.0796 (2)	0.0459 (6)	53 - 101 - 51
C7	0.0785(4)	0.9428 (3)	-0.1245(2)	0.0070(10)	C10-101-34
	0.6416 (4)	0.8027 (3)	-0.0570(3)	0.0810(12)	3.7 101 - 34
CIO	0.3212 (4)	0.8027(3)	-0.1707(2)	0.0750(11)	S Tal S2
	0.4222(4) 0.7022(2)	0.8111(4) 0.5748(2)	-0.1302(3)	0.0934 (13)	33 - 101 - 32
CII	0.7022(2)	0.3740(2)	0.0617(2)	0.0420(0)	31 - 101 - 32
C12 C13	0.5785 (5)	0.3110(3)	0.1380(2) 0.2211(2)	0.0001(10)	S5 Tel S3
C13	0.0313(3)	0.4991(3)	0.2311(3)	0.109(2)	53-1e1-55 \$4 Tal \$2
C14 C15 +	0.3991(4)	0.4179(3)	0.0174 (3)	0.0310(10)	C16 Tel S6
C14'+	0.00000(0)	0.3302 (3)	0.0222(3)	0.079(2)	S Tal S6
$C14 \downarrow$	0.0913(10)	0.4066 (6)	0.0304 (7)	0.038(2)	SJ-101-50
C15 ‡	0.3664(12)	0.3343(9)	-0.0149(3)	0.077(3)	$S_1 = 101 = 30$ S4 Tal S6
C10	0.9303(2)	0.0385(2)	-0.0833(2) 0.1276(2)	0.0585 (5)	34 - 161 - 30 87 - 761 - 86
C18	1.0351 (4)	0.7100(2) 0.6735(2)	-0.1270(2) -0.1081(2)	0.0637 (9)	S2-Te1S6
C10	1.0331 (4)	0.0755(2)	-0.1301(2) -0.2243(2)	0.0057 (9)	C1-S1-Tel
C20	1.0430(3)	0.5307(2)	-0.1796(2)	0.0557 (0)	C1_S2_Tel
C21	0.9686(3)	0.5547(2) 0.5703(2)	-0.1086(2)	0.0563 (8)	C6-S3-Tel
Tela	1 33397 (2)	0.3703(2) 0.77143(1)	-0.43179(1)	0.0303(0)	C6-S4-Tel
Sla	1 31901 (8)	0.94099 (5)	-0.34888(5)	0.03777(3)	C11S5Te1
\$2a	1,17495 (8)	0.79092(5)	-0.32515(5)	0.0492(2)	C11—S6—Te1
\$3a	1.25442 (10)	0.60270(5)	-0.42720(6)	0.0610(2)	C1 - N1 - C4
S4a	1.39324 (8)	0.63762 (5)	-0.54550(5)	0.0493(2)	C1 - N1 - C2
S5a	1.46368 (7)	0.85495 (5)	-0.50361(5)	0.0461(2)	C4—N1—C2
S6a	1.63164 (8)	0.81839 (6)	-0.36699 (5)	0.0536(2)	C6-N2-C9
Nla	1,1899 (2)	0.9596 (2)	-0.2319(2)	0.0478 (6)	C6N2C7
N2a	1.3228 (3)	0.4758(2)	-0.5421(2)	0.0534 (6)	C9-N2-C7
N3a	1.7015 (2)	0.8523(2)	-0.4937(2)	0.0491 (6)	C11-N3-C12
Cla	1.2239 (3)	0.9034 (2)	-0.2947 (2)	0.0415 (6)	C11-N3-C14
C2a	1.1186 (3)	0.9285 (2)	-0.1798 (2)	0.0563 (8)	C12-N3-C14
C3a	0.9818 (4)	0.9165 (3)	-0.2103 (3)	0.0837 (13)	C11—N3—C14'
C4a	1.2252 (3)	1.0569 (2)	-0.2079 (2)	0.0605 (9)	C12—N3—C14'
C5a	1.3454 (4)	1.0932 (3)	-0.1467 (3)	0.0806 (12)	N1-C1-S2
C6a	1.3229 (3)	0.5623 (2)	-0.5087 (2)	0.0467 (6)	N1-C1-S1
C7a	1.2580 (4)	0.4101 (2)	-0.5138 (3)	0.0656 (9)	S2C1S1
C8a	1.1250 (4)	0.3832 (3)	-0.5545 (3)	0.0936 (15)	N2-C6-S3

1.3856 (4)

1.3034 (5)

1.6106 (3)

0.4407 (2)

0.4173 (4)

0.8421 (2)

-0.6104 (2)

-0.6929(3)

-0.4556 (2)

0.0664 (9)

0.105 (2)

0.0403 (6)

S3-C6-S4

N3-C11-S6

C9a

C10a

C11a

Table 4. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (2)

	2,160(3)	Te1a-C16a	2,159(3)
	2.100(5)	Tela-S5a	2.6023 (10)
	2.5054 (11)	Tela_S4a	2.6633 (12)
	2.0247 (11)	Tolo Slo	2.0033(12)
	2.0701 (12)	$T_{a1a} = S_{aa}^{aa}$	2.0944 (11)
	2.0000 (15)		2.7066 (10)
	2.8889 (10)	Tela—S3a	2.7944 (11)
	3.2415 (12)	Tela—S6a	3.2354 (13)
	1.720(3)	S1aC1a	1.724 (3)
	1.704 (3)	S2a—C1a	1.712 (3)
	1.715 (3)	S3a—C6a	1.715 (3)
	1.721 (3)	S4a—C6a	1.721 (3)
	1.756 (3)	S5a—C11a	1.765 (3)
	1.665 (3)	S6a—C11a	1.681 (3)
	1.337 (4)	Nla—Cla	1.327 (3)
	1.334 (4)	N2a—C6a	1.328 (4)
	1.324 (4)	N3a-C11a	1.335 (4)
	97 19 (9)	Cléa Tala Sta	94 44 (9)
	07.10(0)	C16a Tela Sia	00.00 (0) 94 39 (9)
	90.71 (8)		80.28 (8)
	/9.51 (4)	55a—IeIa— $54a$	77.95 (3)
	87.59 (8)	C16a - 1e1a - S1a	90.50 (9)
	78.33 (4) 99.10 (9)	S3a— $Ie1a$ — $S1a$	79.04 (3) 86.01 (7)
	88.19 (8)	C10a - 1e1a - 52a	80.91 (7)
	144.47 (3)	55a—Tela—52a	144.01 (3)
	05.34 (3)	S1a - 1e1a - S2a	65.05 (3)
	91.34 (8)	C10a - 1e1a - 53a	93.87 (9)
	142.11 (3)	$53a$ — $1e_1a$ — $53a$	143.05 (3)
	63.78 (4)	54a—1e1a—53a	65.25 (3)
	147.21(7)	Cloa—lela—Soa	146.64 (/)
	60.23 (3)	S5a—Tela—S6a	60.63 (3)
	80.50 (3)	S4a—Te1a—S6a	80.85 (4)
	89.04 (3)	Sla—Tela—S6a	89.89 (4)
	115.67 (3)	S2a—Te1a—S6a	123.02 (3)
	116.12 (3)	S3a—Te1a—S6a	108.11 (4)
	90.75 (10)	Cla—Sla—Tela	89.53 (10)
	85.03 (10)	C1a— $S2a$ — $Te1a$	87.32 (10)
	85.63 (11)	C6a—S3a—Te1a	86.33 (11)
	92.56 (11)	C6aS4aTe1a	90.50 (10)
	99.34 (10)	C11a—S5a—Te1a	97.26 (9)
	79.13 (10)	C11aS6aTe1a	78.08 (10)
	121.3 (3)	C1a—N1a—C2a	121.9 (3)
	122.1 (3)	C1aN1aC4a	122.2 (3)
	116.6 (3)	C2a—N1a—C4a	115.9 (2)
	121.6 (3)	C6a—N2a—C7a	121.4 (3)
	121.2 (3)	C6a—N2a—C9a	121.7 (3)
	117.1 (3)	C7a—N2a—C9a	116.9 (3)
	121.4 (3)	C11a—N3a—C12a	122.7 (3)
	121.7 (3)	C11a—N3a—C14''	122.6 (4)
	114.6 (3)	C12a—N3a—C14''	112.3 (4)
'	117.6 (4)	C11a—N3a—C14a	121.7 (3)
,	113.7 (5)	C12a—N3a—C14a	115.4 (3)
	122.0 (2)	N1a—C1a—S2a	121.3 (2)
	119.8 (2)	N1a—C1a—S1a	121.1 (2)
	118.2 (2)	S2aC1aS1a	117.5 (2)
	122.0 (3)	N2a-C6a-S3a	121.3 (2)
	120.0 (3)	N2aC6aS4a	120.8 (2)
	118.0 (2)	S3a—C6a—S4a	117.9 (2)
	123.3 (2)	N3a—C11a—S6a	123.1 (2)

2156

N3—C11—S5	115.7 (2)	N3a-C11a-S5a	116.0 (2)	Deuten, K. von, Schnabel, W. & Klar, G. (1980). Phosphorus Su
C17C16C21	119 3 (3)	C17a - C16a - C21a	120.9(2) 1190(3)	9 , 93–98.
C17-C16-Tel	120.2(2)	C17a— $C16a$ — $Te1a$	120.8 (2)	Esperas, S. & Husebye, S. (19/2). Acta Chem. Scand. 26, 3293-3
C21-C16-Te1	120.6 (2)	C21a— $C16a$ — $Te1a$	120.1(2)	Foss, O. (1953). Acta Chem. Scand. 7, 227–228.
			(10)	Husebye, S. (1979). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 33, 485–490.
S2-1e1-	-\$1C1	4.88	(10)	Husebye, S., Maartmann-Moe, K. & Steffensen, W. (1990).
54—1e1-	-536	0.16	(9)	Chem. Scand. 44, 579–583.
50-101-	-33011	- 3.02	(10)	Husebye, S. & Thowsen, A. G. (1981). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A
C4—N1 C2—N1	-C1-32	- 7.0 (4)	443–449.
C1-N1-		90.7 (4	4)	Rao, G. V. N. A., Seshasayee, M., Aravamudan, G. & Radha
CI-NI-		95.9 (4	4)	(1983), Inorg. Chem. 22, 2590–2593.
C7—N2—	-C6S3	-0.8 (4	4)	Sheldrick, G. M. (1985), SHELXS86, Program for the Solution
C9-N2-	-C6S4	3.1 (4	4)	Crystal Structures Univ of Göttingen Germany
C6	-C7C8	-87.6 (4	4)	Sheldrick G M (1993) SHELXL93 Program for the Refineme
C6N2	C9C10	84.6 (5)	Crystal Structures Univ of Göttingen Germany
C12—N3-	C11S6	0.9 (5)	Wieber M & Lang S (1994) 7 Anora Alla Cham 620 1307-1
C14—N3-	C11S5	-15.4 (5)	(1000), M. & Dang, S. (1994). 2. Miorg. Mig. Chem. 020, 1997-1
C14'N3	3—C11—S5	34.9 (6	6)	
C11—N3-		-93.3 (5)	
C11—N3-	C14C15	97.0 (5)	
CII	$-C14^{\circ}$ $-C15^{\circ}$	- 114.2 (8	5) 2)	
SJ-101-	-016-017	132.9 (. _ 127.7 ()	3)	
S4—Te1-		74 5 (3)	
S2—Te1-	C16C17	-62.4 (3)	Acta Cryst (1995) C51 2157-2161
S3-Te1-	-C16-C17	10.8 (3)	<i>Held</i> (1990). (1990). (1997) 2101
S2a—Tel	a—S1a—C1a	4.44	(10)	
S4a—Tel	a—S3a—C6a	0.41	(11)	Benz 4,5 isoquino 1,2-b quinazoline-7,9-
S6a—Te1	aS5aC11a	9.95	(9)	diana and a Deannangement Draduct
C2a—N1a	a—C1a—S2a	6.1 (4	4)	dione and a Rearrangement Product
C4a—N1a	a—Cla—Sla	3.5 (4	4)	of its Hydrolysis, 2-(1.8-Nanhthalene-
Cla-Nla	a	-91.3 (4	4)	
C1aN1a	a = C4a = C5a	-88.0 (4	4) 5)	dicarboximido)benzamide
$C^{\eta}a = N^{2}a$	a = C6a = S4a	J.4 (. 17 (/	5) 1)	
C6a-N2	a - C7a - C8a	84.1 (4	4)	
C6a-N2a	a - C9a - C10a	-91.3 (5)	SERGEY V. LINDEMAN, IGOR I. PONOMAREV AND
C12a—N	3a—C11a—S6a	1.4 (4	4)	Alexandr L. Rusanov
C14''—N	3a—C11a—S5a	-17.6 (5	5)	
C14aN	3a—C11a—S5a	6.6 (4	4)	Institute of Organoelement Compounds, 28 Vavilov
C11a—N3	3a—C12a—C13a	- 107.0 (4	4)	Street Moscow 117813 Russia
C11a—N.	3a—C14a—C15a	-93.8 (5)	bireer, moscow 117015, Russia
C11aN3	3aC14''C15''	-77.4 (8	5)	(Density of 14 February 1005, percent d 28 Aug/11005)
S5a—Tel	a = C16a = C17a	-42.1 (2	2) 2)	(neceivea 14 repruary 1995; acceptea 28 April 1995)
S4a - 1eI	$a = C_{16a} = C_{17a}$	- 120.2 (2	2)	
S2a—Tel	a = C16a = C17a	102.5 (2	2)	•• • •
S3a—Tel	a - C16a - C17a	174.9 (2	2)	Abstract

The C14-C15 ethyl group in (2) is disordered in both independent molecules. Two positions were refined isotropically for each molecule with occupancy factor ratios of 0.67/0.33 and 0.6/0.4, respectively. Refinement was carried out on F^2 for all reflections except for 66 [structure (1)] and 24 [structure (2)] with very negative F^2 or flagged for potential systematic errors (e.g. extinction).

For both compounds, program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1172). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.

S3a-Te1a-C16a-C17a

Dakternieks, D., Di Giacomo, R., Gable, R. W. & Hoskins, B. F. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 6762-6768.

©1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

ulfur.

304.

Acta

, 35,

ι, K.

on of

nt of

402.

Abstract

Benz[4,5]isoquino[1,2-b]quinazoline-7,9-dione, (1), C₁₉H₁₀N₂O₂, was isolated as a product of the reaction between naphthalic anhydride and anthranylamide after crystallization from dimethylformamide. Recrystallization from concentrated (95%) formic acid resulted in 2-(1,8-naphthalenedicarboximido)benzamide, (2), C₁₉H₁₂N₂O₃, as a rearrangement product of hydrolysis [alternative systematic name: 2-(1,3-dioxo-2,3dihydro-1*H*-benz[de]isoquinol-2-yl)benzamide]. The two crystallographically independent molecules of (1) [(1a) and (1b)] are substantially non-planar as a result of strong intramolecular steric repulsion between their cis-arranged carbonyl groups [the $O \cdots O$ distances are 2.584 (3) and 2.664 (3) Å, and the dihedral angles between the naphthalene and benzene nuclei are 8.75(7) and 14.46 (7)°, respectively]. Molecule (2) is also sterically hindered with an approximately orthogonal orientation of the naphthalenedicarboximide and o-phenylene groups [dihedral angle 87.05 (4)°] and absence of π conjugation between the o-phenylene and amide groups [dihedral angle $40.02(6)^{\circ}$].