

2-1-2012

Philosophy and Art: Changing Landscapes for Aesthetics

Curtis Carter

Marquette University, curtis.carter@marquette.edu

Philosophy and Art: A century of Changing Landscapes for Aesthetics

Curtis L. Carter

*Department of Philosophy, Marquette University
Milwaukee, WI*

I. Aesthetics in Relation to Art and Philosophy.

Since the beginning of the Twentieth century, philosophers of diverse views such as Walter Benjamin, Maurice Merleau-Ponty, Gilles Deleuze, and Arthur Danto have frequently engaged the dynamic interplay between philosophy and art in the process of doing aesthetics, as did Hegel, Goethe and others before them in the Nineteenth century. Part I of this essay will examine how this interplay is reflected in the aesthetic theories of four leading Twentieth century aestheticians. In each case, the philosophers' theories are linked to the developments in art that are most directly related to their respective approaches to problems in aesthetics. This part of the essay is intended to show how Western philosophical aesthetics in the recent past has developed in relation to selected developments in art that happen to be in vogue at the time. Part II will explore other, that is, non-philosophical social and technological developments that are in the process of altering the course of contemporary art today. For the most part, these changes relating to social attitudes, economic developments that influence the arts, and new technologies raise issues that have mainly remained outside the scope of mainstream Western aesthetics past and present. While a detailed account of how

these newer developments will affect the future of aesthetics is not possible in the limits of this essay, some of the key factors that warrant consideration for future developments in aesthetics are identified in the hope that today's and future aestheticians will begin to consider what changes are required in aesthetics to address these new developments in art.. Among these are globalization, the art market, social/political issues, popular culture, virtual reality, and new manifestations of the *avant-garde*. While prior theories may show some inkling of the issues raised by such current developments, as in Benjamin's projections concerning the role of media arts, their import has not yet been adequately addressed in aesthetic theory.

Walter Benjamin

Benjamin, in a short essay on "The theory of Criticism," written in 1920 comments briefly on the respective roles of philosophy and art. If the task of philosophy is the pursuit of seemingly endless questioning in search of the ideal, art nevertheless provides "constructs that bear the deepest affinity to philosophy...without constituting philosophy themselves."¹ According to Benjamin, the multiplicity of actual works of art share with philosophical questioning the search for the ideal. Hence, "works of art are ways in which the ideal of the philosophical problem makes itself manifest."² The task of art criticism in this context is to formulate the content of a work of art as a symbol of the philosophical. At this point the relation of art and philosophy seems grounded in Idealism or Romanticism of the Nineteenth century where there is still the belief that they both disclose a state of understanding that transcends particular philosophical questions as well as the limits of any particular form of art.

Later on in the mid-1930s, in his influential essay, "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproducibility," Benjamin advances the thesis that the history of art has been fundamentally changed by the invention of the technologies on which photography, film, and radio are based.³ According to Benjamin, the introduction of the artistic means of mechanical reproducibility results in a loss of "aura" in art and its replacement with mass art. Aura refers to the aesthetic as it originated in ritual and is attached to traditional art. Aura is associated with direct access to an original. In the age of mechanical

reproducibility, art endowed with aura, such as a traditional portrait, is replaced by mass art capable of reaching a mass audience. Mass art is useful for political purposes as its multiple instantiations can be shared by a mass audience. This development in art parallels the increase in the productive capabilities of modern industrial assembly line production of material goods.⁴

Benjamin's aesthetic did not succeed in erasing the aura from subsequent developments in art. Both photography and film may continue to exemplify aura as one important means of connecting works of art with the experience of the viewers. On the other hand, Benjamin correctly foresaw that the media arts would increasingly assume a major role in the future of art. Photography is now a well-established art form, as is film. Similarly, his theory validates original artists' prints such as lithography, etchings, and silk screen prints, which rely on an older technologies. Benjamin's theory of mass art has been especially influential in interpreting media driven arts which have assumed a dominant role in the art of the current generation where photography, film, artistic print multiples, radio, and now the internet based art forms assume a major role in the production and consumption of art.

What were the philosophical implications of the theory proposed by Benjamin for changes in the way that art is viewed? First, the notion of a work of fine art as a unique original is called into question by his championing of photography and film which allow for "original multiples." Secondly, Benjamin's challenge to the notion of aura undermines long-standing assumptions concerning the experience that traditional aesthetics assumed was central to the appreciation of art. Aura is very close to a traditional notion of the experience of beauty. In addition, Benjamin's references to mass art, made possible by reproduction of art multiples available to be shared with a mass audience, runs counter to the notion of the aesthetic as a form of a personal, contemplative experience. In the case of mass art, the personal aesthetic experience is replaced by a shared experience that may serve political as well as aesthetic purposes. As a proponent of a dialectical materialist philosophy of history, Benjamin advances a view of art that corresponds to the concept of reproducibility that underlies the productive forces of industrial society. In this respect, he exhibits a preference for art that is in harmony with other principal ideological aspects of a mass society.⁵

Maurice Merleau-Ponty

Merleau-Ponty's writings on philosophy and painting set the stage for much of recent thought among French writers on our subject. He is writing in opposition to a view which he attributes to Descartes, i.e. that the artist can only paint existing things and that a painting is capable only of presenting to the mind what the things themselves offer to normal vision.⁶ Descartes claim would limit painting to the tradition of art as representation, which Merleau-Ponty and the others of his generation will oppose.

Merleau-Ponty's essays, including his well-known discussion of Cezanne's approach to painting, "Cezanne's Doubt,"⁷ offer a phenomenological account of the respective roles of philosopher and artist. In his studies of Cezanne and his other writings on painting, Merleau-Ponty assigns to painting an ontological status with the task of presenting an imaginative access to the forms of phenomena in pre-theoretical experience.⁸ Philosophy he deems is too tied to language and opinions to carry out this task. Yet Merleau-Ponty stops short of assigning equal weight to philosophy and art. For example, he remarks, "There is a critical philosophical, universal use of language which claims to retrieve things as they are—whereas painting transforms them into painting."⁹ What does this mean? For a fuller answer, we must consult Merleau-Ponty's essay, "Cezanne's Doubts" where Merleau-Ponty reflects further on the relation of philosopher and artist. Here, he makes clear that the task of the artist is not imitation or representation. He attributes words like "to objectify, to project, and to arrest" to the act of artistic expression. Merleau-Ponty, commenting on Cezanne asserts, "It is not enough for a painter like Cezanne, artist or philosopher, to create and express ideas. They must also awaken the experiences which will make the idea take root in the consciousness of others."¹⁰

Merleau-Ponty's rejection of representational approaches to painting reflects (or is concurrent with) a shift in painting practices in the Post-World War I art in Europe during the 1920s and 1930s and also in the Americas during the late 1940s and 1950s. This shift occurred first, with the Surrealist artists of the earlier period, such as André Breton, André Masson, Joan Miró, Salvador Dali, Max Ernst, and René Magritte, and later with Abstract Expressionists working in The USA such as Willem DeKooning, Robert Motherwell, Jackson Pollock,

Mark Rothko. Surrealism, though subject to many variations in its techniques, focuses on the spontaneous expression of the creative mind. Surrealism developed in two distinct directions, Automistic and Veristic. Both favored the anti-rationalist unconscious as a source of imagery over the external world. The Autonomists (Breton, Masson) focused on expression of feelings arising from the unconscious, using a process where the unconscious enters into consciousness through free form, while the Verists (Dali, Ernst, and Magritte) opted to create images of meticulous realistic detail of the world of dreams intended to establish a link between the world of dreams and the world of objects and people.

Abstract Expressionists' imagery also rejects traditional rationalistic based realism in favor of explorations of the non-rational consciousness. It too draws upon the inner life, as opposed to the external world. Hence, recognizable iconography, cultural symbols, and historical borrowings hold a lesser place in these works. Instead, the artists draw upon the subjective and on the formal abstract properties of the medium itself including the visual elements of color, shape and line and the two dimensional flatness of the canvass. As well, the Abstract Expressionists draw upon the art of non-western cultures and Native American cultures to expand the range of their engagement with the forms of human consciousness in its different cultural manifestations.

Gilles Deleuze

Taking the discussion closer to contemporary art, let us now consider the views of Gilles Deleuze in reference to the matter of boundaries between philosopher and artist. Positioning himself as an empiricist philosopher who does not belong to either of the dominant phenomenological or analytic schools of recent philosophy, Deleuze grounds his theories of philosophy and art in an examination of their respective roles and interrelationships, and applies his findings in specific writings on the arts of cinema, music, painting and other arts.

It is useful first, to consider Deleuze's general remarks on the philosopher and artist.¹¹ Like Plato and Hegel before him, he launches an inquiry into the conceptual and cultural interface between philosopher and artist. In an essay on philosophy published in *Negotiations: 1972-1992*, Deleuze locates philosophy and art in

relation to science instead of religion which was paired with art and philosophy in Hegel's triad. As Deleuze sees them, each of the three disciplines, philosophy, art, and science is a creative endeavor, and there is no order of priority setting one above the other as in Hegel's philosophy of art. Each develops along different lines according to its possibilities. The aim of philosophy is to create new concepts. Its business is not simply to reflect on or to contemplate other things, but to function as a creative activity along side art and science. "The history of philosophy isn't a particularly reflective discipline. Its' rather like portraiture in painting. Producing mental, conceptual portraits."¹² In contrast to philosophy, the point of art is to create sensory aggregates as a means of contributing to thought, and the aim of science is to create functions. "Great artists are also great thinkers, but they think in terms of percepts and affects rather than concepts: painters think in terms of lines and colors, just as musicians think in sounds, filmmakers think in images, writers think in words, and so on."¹³

Despite their diverse roles, it is expected that philosophy and art will enter into relations of mutual resonance and exchange in their advancement of art and aesthetics. Deleuze is at pains to show that philosophy as a creative enterprise is no less difficult than creating new visual or aural artistic works, or creating new scientific functions. It is not a question of philosophers monitoring or reflecting on the works produced by artists, or *vice versa*. Philosophy and art are like "separate melodic lines in constant interplay with each other."¹⁴ The point is that the philosopher and artist serve as mediators to one another, helping each other to express themselves in the process of creation. Concepts involve percepts (packets of sensations and relations that have an existence independently of their being experienced by a particular individual) and affects (becomings that extend beyond their being lived through). These three, concepts, percepts, and affects, constitute inseparable forces that run from philosophy into art and from art into philosophy.¹⁵ Deleuze applies these concepts to film, painting, literature, and music. Common to all arts is the principle that art is not a matter of reproducing or inventing forms, but of capturing forces. Hence no art can be figurative.¹⁶

Deleuze develops important aspects of his writings on aesthetics in conjunction with his understanding of artist Francis Bacon's paintings. It seems that Deleuze's concepts quite literally begin with

the paintings and emerge in the resonance established between concept (the philosopher's contribution) and affect (the artist's contribution). The results of this collaboration form the basis for Deleuze's book, *Francis Bacon: the Logic of Sensation*. Bacon's paintings, which are among the most powerful works of contemporary art, arrive at a most interesting time in the history of art when some theorists have declared the end of art as a meaningful voice of truth. He dismisses the, for him, tired theories of representation and abstraction in search of a new approach to painting. But he refuses to give up on painting. And Deleuze's study offers a fresh approach to an aesthetic to support his effort. Bacon's Figures are freed from figuration. That is, they are not copies of characters or models in the world outside painting. Nor are they rationally conceived geometric structures of abstraction. Rather, they emerge from the artist's engagement with the material elements (color) of the painting process itself. In this respect, they are intended to release presence, or the forces operating beneath representation. It is the forces of sensation that give life to the painting as the artist engages the materiality of the body and the materials necessary to produce the painting.

Arthur Danto

Perhaps the philosopher whose work intersects most directly with the developments in art from the 1960s on to the present is the American philosopher Arthur Danto. In various stages of his career, Danto has functioned as practicing visual artist, aesthetician, and since 1984 as art critic for *The Nation* magazine. As an insider in the art world, as artist and critic, as well as a philosopher of art, Danto is in a unique position to comment on the problem of philosophy's relation to art, and also on the current state of the art at the end of the Twentieth Century. He credits art in its self-reflexive state, which begins with Pop art in the 1970s, with contributing to philosophical understanding of the concept of art by helping to clarify the question of how two objects, for example, (Warhol's Brillo Box and a brillo box carton in the warehouse) may look the same, but only one can be a work of art.¹⁷ Their differences must rest on grounds other than perceptual observation. A theory of art is required to distinguish between the two.

Danto understands the history of art as a narrative of related developments in a particular time period and focused on the evolution

of progress in mimesis, or rendering the world in images of art. He shares with the modernist critic Clement Greenberg the view that, for artists of the Twentieth century, self-definition has been "the central historical truth of modernist art."¹⁸ This has been especially the case since Marcel Duchamp introduced his famous ready-mades into the art world using a manufactured urinal, snow shovel, and bottle wrack at the beginning of the century. For Greenberg modern art culminated in a purity of art found in abstract art focused on the anti-illusionist flatness of the medium of painting itself.

In Danto's mind, the practice of self-definition on the part of artists accelerates to a climax for both art history and philosophical aesthetics when Andy Warhol introduces his Brillo Boxes as art in 1964. For art history, this meant the end of a narrative of its own traditional development. With respect to aesthetics, Danto's interpretation of the Brillo Box" as a contribution to the philosophy of art appears to collapse the distinction between philosophy and art as it had heretofore been understood. In Danto's words, "My thought is that art ends in philosophical self-consciousness of its own identity."¹⁹ Danto at first seems to suggest that art turns into philosophy when, after modern art, it becomes self-conscious and reflects on its own meaning. But he clarifies that he does not mean that art literally becomes philosophy, only that by calling attention to the shift from mimesis to abstraction and conceptual art it becomes a part of its own self-reflexive understanding.

Danto rejected Greenberg's purity of medium in favor of pluralism. Hence, whether a philosophy of art is inscribed by philosophy itself, or through the creation of works of art, it should in principle be open to any and all developments. According to Danto, art history in its traditional phase ended with the end of modernism in the 1960s, at which time art enters into a post-historical phase. "I think of post-historical art as art created under conditions of objective pluralism, by which I mean that there are no historically mandated directions for art to go in...."²⁰ On the other hand, Danto does not believe that all future understanding of art will derive from art itself. Rather, he remands this task to philosophy. "It is not that art has turned into philosophy as much as that the history of art has moved onto a philosophical plane. Art making may go on and on. But so far self-understanding is concerned, I do not believe it can take us further."²¹ Perhaps Danto's reason for limiting all future understanding

of art to philosophy is Danto's claim that the pluralism that he extends to art does not, in his view, apply to philosophy. However, it is a fact that pluralism does also exist in philosophy, when one considers that philosophy also is manifest in various forms: idealism, realism, empiricism, pragmatism, phenomenology, and analytic philosophy to mention a few of its variations. Moreover, it is not clear why art in the future might not continue to disclose additional understanding of art itself, as well as to shed enlightenment on other aspects of human existence and culture. Danto does not give an answer to these questions.

Danto's views on the respective and collaborative roles of philosophy and art extend further than any previous aesthete have ventured. His reflections on the end of art, which were initially attributable to a reading of Hegel's *Philosophy of Fine Art*, turn out not to be the end of art. Rather they refer to the end of a particular narrative of art history. This means that artists, and the cultures in which they operate, are free to practice and support any form of art including the re-emergence of neo-dada, neo-surrealism, neo-expressionism, abstraction, figuration, neo-pop art, media arts, and whatever inventions might unfold in the future. As we shall see, Danto's recognition of pluralism turns out to be correct with respect to art as it moves into the Twenty-first century.

The main problems facing Danto's reconstruction of the relation of art and philosophy are twofold: first, how to distinguish art from non-art in an age of radical pluralism of the post-historical era, and second how to formulate a philosophical theory of art that will account for all of art past, present, and future. On one reading, which at times Danto seems to entertain, it would follow from unrestrained pluralism that anything can be considered art. But even a backward look at the case of Warhol's "Brillo Box" which *is* a work of art, versus the Brillo Box carton, which *is not*, requires a different answer. With respect to the second concern, Danto seems to emerge as an avowed essentialist as he searches for the equivalent of Hegel's universal spirit, which would serve to underlie the changes brought about in art history. A theory of this magnitude might also act as a key to distinguishing art from non-art.

This part of his inquiry leads Danto to posit a theory of deep interpretation which, in theory at least, would be able to adjudicate among the incommensurables of art-making that occur beneath a

phenomenology of stylistic changes. It is at the level of the deep interpretation that it is possible to discern what is, and what is not to be valued as art. At this point, Danto's views seem to require the possibility of a universal understanding of art not subject to historical overthrow, but an understanding that allows for openness with respect to future instances of art. The theoretical answer must come from philosophy rather than art history.²² Clearly, the proclamations of an art world will not be sufficient to tell us what is and is not art. Nor will the precedents from the age of art history necessarily be able to help with radically new creations of the artists of the future. Perhaps it is through on-going close and extensive attending to a wide and inclusive range of particular works of art that a common feature of art will continue to manifest itself. In some instances this common feature may evoke a response which we identify with beauty. In other instances where aesthetic qualities appear to be absent or of lesser importance, the response may be something closer to philosophical understanding. At times, the experience offered by art may be no more than a burst of spirit that simply moves us and enriches our experience in inarticulate ways. Whether given in sensory experience (Alexander Baumgarten) or as intellectual pleasure (Immanuel Kant) the response is determined by the experience offered by the art work in question.

II. Non-philosophical factors and the development of art and Aesthetics

The remaining parts of this essay will consider non-philosophical factors influencing the changing pluralistic state of art today. The task will be to identify selected key cultural factors influencing the changes in the practice of art, leading to present day art. These are some, but not the only, of the factors emerging today which will affect the future of aesthetics.²³ The section will end with objectives for contemporary art.

In her 1938 essay on Picasso, Gertrude Stein wrote of her experiences with the artist earlier in the century, upon first encountering his work. It was a time when even the modern painters still grounded their work in representation and often included reference to their surroundings. "Painters have always liked the circus, even now when the circus is replaced by the cinema and night clubs,

they like to remember the clowns and acrobats of the circus," she observed.²⁴ Picasso, like other artists of his time, drew upon the circus at the Cirque Medrano where they could be intimate with the clowns, jugglers, horses and their riders.

The first Picasso painting acquired by Stein and her brother Leo was "Girl with flowers," 1912." Stein described the painting in these words: "It was painted at the great moment of the harlequin period, full of grace and delicacy and charm."²⁵ The language that Stein applies to Picasso's "Young girl with a Basket of Flowers," using terms such as grace, delicacy and charm, would soon lose its application to the artist's work, as Picasso's style advances to his Cubist period of 1909. In his Cubist paintings, the lines harden, the colors become more vivid and the image is transformed from representation based on observation into a more or less pure construction of the mind, as in Picasso's "Still Life," 1912, a painting "from Picasso's Cubist period. It is difficult to foresee the changes between these early works of Picasso, which were already considered modern art, and what followed in the years since Picasso's innovative changes. These changes reflect on-going changes in the culture since the beginning of the Twentieth century. For example, the truth that things seen with the eyes are the only real things, and the science based on this belief, have lost their significance; hence the need of having a model to paint or draw, as it might have been presumed in the previous century, is no longer obligatory. Similarly, the need for a picture to exist in its frame was over.

What are the reasons for these changes? Is it that people change? Perhaps Gertrude Stein was correct when she observed that, people do not really change from one generation to another. As far back as we know from history, people are about the same as they were; they have the same needs, the same desires, the same virtues and the same qualities, the same defects. Indeed, says Stein, nothing changes from one generation to another except the things seen. "It is the way of seeing and being seen," that changes. Changes in art thus reflect changes in the way that each generation is living, the way each generation is being educated and the way they move about.²⁶

The Twentieth century began with an explosion of ideas concerning art. First, these developments took shape around three distinct activities: inventing new ways of manipulating the material object, the introduction of conceptual art as an alternative to art

grounded in painting, sculpture, or other material objects, and advancing technologies in the media arts that became available to artists. The new ways of manipulating the material object in painting, for example, resulted in a proliferation of styles from Futurism to Cubism to Dada, Surrealism, Abstract Expressionism to Warhol's Pop art and continue yet today. Not everything changed. Throughout the evolution in painting styles, artists nevertheless did not abandon the concept of painting as a two dimensional object consisting of canvas, board or some other prepared flat surface to which paint is applied. To be sure, the object changed radically from traditional approaches to painting with respect to materials, techniques, form, and content. Still, in the end, the painting retained its materiality as a flat, two dimensional surface whose main features derive from skillfully manipulated color, shape, and line intended to create a representational or abstract image. Marcel Duchamp, whose "Fountain," was introduced in New York in 1917, and the Dada artists mainly in Europe and the United States radically altered the notion of an art object by shifting the focus to conceptual art where the idea becomes the central element in art. The incorporation of concept-based art has continued as an important element in contemporary art.

Not so much later came the shift from paintings and other traditional artifacts to media arts, cited earlier. As noted previously, Benjamin sought to liberate the art object from traditional means of production by demystifying the art work and replacing aura as the focus of individual contemplative works with art accessible thru mass communication technology. Writing in the 1930s, Benjamin envisioned the future of art in the new technological communications media. Photography, film and the radio were already launched. Television was on the way, but even he could not have imagined the possibilities that followed with video, the computer, internet, and digital technologies resulting in the cyber art world emerging today.

These shifts have affected both artistic production and consumption. Production shifted from a relatively simple model requiring only the mastery of an individual artist in using pigments, paintbrush and canvas. The media artist requires the support of technological means such as cameras, film, discs and the means of producing photographs, films, cinema, the computer, digital imagery and often an elaborate production team. Production now involves collaboration among artists with a range of skills from set design,

acting and musical performance, editing, transmission, and studio spaces necessary to accommodate the production of such works. Then follows in the late Twentieth century the stream of painterly movements including Abstract Expressionism, Pop Art, Minimalism, Color Field, Post-modernism, installation art, a revival of performance art, and a plethora of other contributions to artistic pluralism.

At the cutting edge of art today is a movement called Participatory Art. This development extends the notion of art happenings introduced in the 1950s by Alan Kaprow and others of the "Beat Generation."²⁷ Participatory art dispenses with the objects of traditional art such as paintings and sculptures. Instead, it provides artists and participants with direct engagement with a personal experience.²⁸ This movement is in part a reaction against the world of two-dimensional media consisting of internet, television, and movies. Participatory art is also a reaction against consumer based culture. For the artists it is also a form of critique directed at the cultural institutions such as museums and galleries. The movement has already received validation from theoretical texts such as Nicholas Bourriaud's *Relational Aesthetics* and Claire Bishop's *Participation*.²⁹ Not to be left behind, museums such as the Museum of Contemporary Art in Chicago, the San Francisco Museum of Art and others have offered exhibitions on this new form of art.

Issues affecting Contemporary Art Practice

Turning now to the contemporary art scene, what are some of the major cultural developments that affect the way artists today see and create art? Among these are globalization, the art market, emerging ethnic diversity, issues of social change, popular culture, and the continuation of the *avant-garde* in contemporary art. Each of these major forces has had significant bearing on contemporary art.

Globalization

Global art embraces any type of art, including paintings, sculptures, photography, cinema, video art, digital internet art, as well as conceptual, installation, and performance arts, that participates in the international art world through cultural exchange or commerce. Broadly understood, globalism in the contemporary art world takes

place alongside increases in world commerce, cultural exchange, world travel, advancements in communication and transport facilities, and the reawakening and the demand for inclusion of local cultures in the postcolonial era. Although globalization is not a new development in history, the term was seldom used before 1980 in academic literature or everyday speech.

What is clear, however, is that contemporary global art has given new life to the international art market and expands the opportunities for innovative collaboration worldwide among the artists and cultural institutions. On the positive side, global art increases the flow of ideas and art across cultural boundaries and invites collaboration in the efforts toward mutual understanding among the peoples of different cultures. It endows the individual artist with greater resources to create, using ideas, visual forms, and materials, irrespective of their particular cultural or geographic origins. This means that the artists have available an evolving universal vocabulary of artistic resources and significantly greater opportunities for collaboration with artists from other cultures. Artists may then draw upon the cumulative traditions of their own cultures, as well as to seize upon innovations from other cultures in their creative undertakings. For the practice of art today, the essence of globalism can be linked to post-modernism. Frederick Jameson in his essay, "Postmodernism, or the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism," identifies Postmodernism as the first cultural paradigm to emerge from the United States (while all the previous ones arose from Europe).³⁰

Postmodernism recognizes commoditization fed by the global market and global circuits of production, with their predominantly visual nature, as the driving forces of contemporary art. The new global empire has a series of geographic centers rather than a single center such as Paris or New York and does not rest on nationalist foundations. Neither language, nor history, nor tradition, nor nationalism represents the dominant force. The artists themselves tend to be marginalized geographically in the sense that their identity is not grounded in a particular place or culture. Artists from Beijing, Tehran, Sao Paula are as likely to be shown in London or New York as any other center. Thus the idea of a British Art Show or a Whitney Museum Biennial focused solely on British or American artists can no longer be thought of in terms of national boundaries.

The contemporary agencies of global art include *biennales*, art fairs, galleries, auction houses such as Sothebys and Christies, and also museums. The focus in these contexts is on artists producing works aimed at making a contribution to culture through aesthetic or conceptual understanding and deemed to have artistic merit. It does not include the work of amateur artists made solely for personal expression, or art produced solely for commercial purposes. In some cultures, there are many layers of artistic production: government supported artists, artists who participate in regional and national art associations, members of art academies and university art departments, and free professional artists. It is the latter group that figures most prominently in the contemporary global art world because their work is most likely to have long lasting importance and to attract the interest of the museums and other cultural institutions. In the long run, if not immediately, this art will also be the most attractive to the art market. These global individual artists often work in multiple geographic locations. For example, contemporary Chinese artist Xu Bing works in New York and Beijing; Gu Wenda works in New York; and Indian artist Anish Kapoor works in London.

A highly visible aspect of the contemporary global art world is the art *biennale* of which there are currently some sixty offered throughout the world. A biennale is a major international, non-commercial showing of works by up and coming contemporary artists held in an important city every two years. The artists are invited by the organizing institution and are able to show, but not to sell, their works.³¹ A biennale, such as the Venice Biennale, typically has a theme, and may spotlight artists of the host nation.

While the biennale circuit has contributed to the globalization process, it is also responsible for development of a nomad-like character to global art. Biennale artists tend to move from city to city presenting their works under the guidance of an international curator designated by the organizing city. Curators, who may have initially worked on museum collections and exhibitions, or as art critics, also follow the path of nomadcity created by the constantly shifting geographic locations of the biennale circuit. Similarly, the transient character of the biennale circuit has impacted the shift from painting and sculpture as the preferred art media to photography, video art, and digital art. From a practical perspective, these media arts are

more portable than paintings and sculptures and less susceptible to damage in transport.

Global art takes the discussion of contemporary art and art institutions one more step beyond post-colonial discourses on art. As Hans Belting has noted, globalization of art brings forth a tension between the forces of "an aggressive localism that makes use of culture as a mark of otherness and as defense, and a transnational art, indifferent to claims of geography, history and identity."³² With the latter claiming universality and the former holding on to local traditions, or seeking to embrace global art that is grounded in local or national traditions, the future of art world-wide remains in a state of transition. Thus it is not possible to predict the future of global art at this time.

Accordingly, the push for global art raises complex cultural and psychological issues. Whether people who share the same visual environments and ownership of particular art practices will adapt to radical changes in art resulting in the abandonment of local cultures remains to be seen. Despite a century of exposure, many people still have difficulty adjusting to the inventions of modern art. There is some evidence from research in current neuro-science that sustained exposure to certain forms of visual conditioning may result in shifts in cognitive patterns, which supports the possibility of changes in local art practices with respect to both production and appreciation of art.³³ Yet other factors including the pressures from economic and political interests may also affect receptivity to changes in artistic practices. In any event, on-going tensions from conflicting local and global interests in art will be important in shaping the future of global art.

The Art Market

Closely related to globalization is the international art market. International fine art fairs organized for the display and sale of art also represent an important means of globalizing art. Art Basel, the Maastricht Art Fair in the Netherlands, Miami Basel, Art Chicago, Art Dubai, London's Frieze, Arco Madrid, Asia Pacific contemporary Art Fair in Shanghai, and the Korea International Art Fair in Seoul are among the main vehicles for global art market transfers. Art dealers, collectors and museum representatives frequent these gatherings in order to select art works for their respective art enterprises. In fact,

the international art fairs offer opportunities for exchange of ideas and socialization among global art patrons, as well as a vehicle for commercial transactions. Just as art is global, so are the mind-sets of the art fair's participants.

Private Art galleries and art auction houses located in virtually every metropolitan center across the world also contribute to globalization of art. For example, one finds available in the Beijing Art Zone 798 artists from the United States, Europe, and other parts of the world, as well as offerings of Chinese artists. New York's Chelsea art district, as well as similar sites in Paris, Berlin, London, and Tokyo, regularly offers art from China, India, Japan and elsewhere across the world.

Also important to the market distribution system for global art are the international auction houses such as Christies and Sothebys. With headquarters located in London and New York, these major auction houses also have offices in cities throughout the world. For example, Christies hosts offices in 30 countries and regularly holds art auctions in a wide range of places including Beijing, Dubai, Moscow, Mumbai as well as New York, London, and other European and Asian cities. Sothebys also offers auction services in the Americas, including major sites in Buenos Aires, Caracas, and Rio de Janeiro; as well as through its offices in Asia, and Europe. Since the gallery and auction systems are not limited to contemporary art, as are many of the art fairs and biennales, they often offer an important vehicle for movement of a broader range of art in the global sphere. Reportedly, worldwide auction sales grew more than eight-fold between 2003 and 2007.³⁴ As the art market depends on the overall economy, sales have declined seasonally in the period of 2008-2010. The increasing dominance of contemporary art auctions is further evidence of the influence of global art.

Emerging Multicultural (ethnic) influences

Artists representing diverse ethnic cultures operating in the pluralistic societies across the world are increasingly contributing to the shape of contemporary art. In the United States, for example, where millions of people with different ethnic origins, speaking different languages and professing different religions reside in growing numbers, the potential for addressing cultural differences continues to

challenge artists and the public. As the historian Arthur Schlesinger Jr. cautioned in a speech to the President's Committee on the Arts and the Humanities in 1991, as ideological conflict subsides, humanity ...re-enters a potentially more dangerous era of ethnic and racial animosity exacerbated by faster modes of communication and transport, and the flight from tyranny in search of the dream of a better life somewhere else."³⁵ Schlesinger's warning that the mixing of people will be a major problem for the century that lies ahead has already come to fruition in many parts of the world including parts of Europe and the United States. The hopes expressed by the Eighteenth century French American Hector St John de Crevecoeur in his *Letters from an American Farmer* for a society where persons from diverse backgrounds would relinquish ethnic differences in favor of a new national identity did not altogether materialize. Instead, the late Twentieth century shows a society challenged by increasing demands for recognizing the differences articulated in Latin American Hispanic, African American, Asian American, Islamic American and as well as American Indian cultures. These developments offer both challenges and opportunities for artists. One outcome for artists working in the United States, for example, is increasing diversity in artistic production and representation in museum exhibitions. For museums and other arts organizations, how to represent diversity in artistic production and presentation remains a set of problems under review.

Social/political conditions

There is growing concern among artists working in the United States and elsewhere across the world to reflect upon the conditions of society. Concerns about the environment, security, gender and racial identity, exclusiveness, incursions on freedom, protests against war, and advocating peace are increasingly prominent in the work of artists. A few examples will suffice to demonstrate this point.

As India moves beyond its post-colonial stages of development as an independent nation, the focus of artists reflecting on the use of art as a means of social change shifts from nationalism to more concrete, fragmentary issues relating to class, caste and gender. Increasingly, artists of the 1990s and beyond employ representational strategies in painting and sculpture, as well as performance and media arts to address such concerns. For example, the painter Surendran

Nadir (1956-) employs the body of Gandhi in his repertoire of cultural symbols to create art with a social message.

In South Africa, Willie Bester (1956-) uses images constructed in part from materials collected from the garbage and altered to comment on Apartheid and Post-Apartheid issues of interest to the community. Among these issues are unchanged racial attitudes, social violence and lack of freedom, the failures of the Truth and Reconciliation Committee and the Group Areas Act which mandates the segregation of people into districts based on color. Bester's monumental sculpture "Security Guard" symbolizes the lack of freedom in South Africa.³⁶

Moving beyond the social protest paintings of the Mexican Muralists of the early part of the Twentieth Century, Mexican performance artist and writer Guillermo Gómez-Peña (1955-) explores such issues as cultural identity, cultural diversity, and gender from the perspective of Mexican-Latin American-U.S.A. border culture issues. His works focus on topics such as immigration, cross cultural and hybrid identities, and the politics of the brown body with special attention to confrontations and misunderstandings between cultures and races. He approaches these topics through virtually all contemporary media including "performance art, installation, experimental radio, video photography and installation art," as well as experimental poetry, and writing books.

Popular culture (advertising, fashion, Hollywood movies, television entertainment, graffiti art, and comics).

Since the 1960s when Pop art emerged and continuing into the Post-modern era, the lines between art and popular culture have become increasingly blurred. In fact, popular culture is a contested concept in reference to aesthetics. Although Pop art is not popular culture, it draws on some of the same imagery. Popular culture is generally associated with kitsch, camp, calendar art, reality TV, soap operas and other forms of escapist art that is perceived to be lacking in substance as compared with genuine art. However, the lines are increasingly blurred as people are more open minded, or perhaps less demanding of the intellectual content and form of art. It has become increasingly difficult to draw a firm line between art and popular

culture when artists regularly appropriate images and concepts from the commodity based commercial world of advertising, fashion, Hollywood movies, entertainment television, street graffiti art, and comic books. In some instances, the appropriated popular culture images or objects that appear in art are visually indiscernible from their non-art sources. One result of this development is that it raises again the problem of differentiating art from non-art.

Virtual Reality: simulations created thru cyberspace explorations

The still camera, video, and computer were among the first tools to liberate visual artists from the limits of brush and canvas. The revolutionary possibilities brought about by information and communication technologies have substantially altered the possibilities for artists to create innovative work. Among the latest contributions of technology is virtual reality. In 1992, virtual reality artists at the University of Illinois created a prototype virtual environment foreshadowing the potential for artististic use of this medium.³⁷ Virtual reality allows artists new resources in that it generates computer simulated environments that can simulate real physical presence in the real world, or in imaginary worlds.

In the larger picture virtual reality introduces infinite possibilities, even threatening to replace the reality of time-space on which our lives have been constructed throughout the previous history of mankind. These new possibilities are of particular interests to the most adventuresome and imaginative artists working today because they are driven, "not so much by the question of what reality is, but how it *could be*," as the Dutch philosopher Jos De Mul has noted.³⁸

The avant-garde in contemporary art practices.

Throughout the twentieth century, *avant-garde* movements have served as a source of new art forms, or some might say as a destroyer of the past. At the core of *avant-garde* practices in the Twentieth century—though not the only source-- is improvisation. Improvisation replaces hierarchical systems of production in the arts. It invites collective participatory practices and the new concept of open form, which offers an alternative to repetition according to presently

existing structures. Within the context of open form, the creative process may become more important than the final product, which by definition is in constant flux. This notion involves changes for the audience as well as for the artists. What the audience views in *avant-garde* works is often just one of the many possible realizations of an idea. The artistic process may invite the active participation of the audience. Why is improvisation so important to the arts? First, improvisation is a means of suppressing historical consciousness. Suppressing historical consciousness is necessary to break the causal chain between existing conventions and new developments in an artistic practice. With improvisation there is hope that one will discover something that could not be found in a systematic preconceived process. Improvisation is thus a means of assuring a constant source of fresh materials including new paradigms.

Perhaps one of the clearest examples of innovation in contemporary art of the late Twentieth century is Performance Art. This form of art was especially important during the period of the 1980s to the end of the century and still has a place. Performance art consists of experimental live artistic events that are not theater, even though they may involve speaking and bodily movement. Performance art is anti-theatrical in that it ignores the conventions of traditional theater. Actions from everyday life replace conventional acting techniques. A performance art piece has no rules apart from the idiosyncratic choices of the artists. It may include painting, music, film clips or simply everyday acts and speech. Performance art defies the commercial market in that its works are not for sale. They are often not repeated. These movements have precedents in the Dada performances of the 1920s and in the artistic life of the artist's center at Black Mountain College. Performance art is anti-art in the sense of Dada. It aims to question the boundaries of both art and aesthetics.³⁹

It would come as no surprise that performance art did not always fit well with the expectations of traditional aestheticians views on art. In 1980 I invited Belgian artist Jan Fabre to present a performance art work on the occasion of the American society for Aesthetics Annual Meeting. Fabre performed his solo piece, "After Art." The Actions performed in the piece included undressing, changing from Blue Levi street clothes to white, drawing an outline of the sort used by police to mark the site of a corpse, cutting fingers and mixing the blood into water (later offered to an audience member in a glass),

covering face and hair with shaving cream, writing with shaving cream on the mirror the word "smart." The piece continued with the artist's repeated appearances before a mirror for reflection on his own image, shaving, washing of feet, pacing, and assuming various poses including that of a harlequin image. After each meditation at the mirror, carried out at intervals throughout the performance, the artist shouted out successive numbers in drill sergeant tone: "one," then "two," on through the number thirteen. The forty-five minute performance left the audience of American Society for Aesthetics members baffled, and outraged. Among them was the distinguished aesthetician Monroe Beardsley, who declared in a moment of great agitation that the event had nothing to do with art.⁴⁰

Objectives for Contemporary Art

Questions that every artist might consider asking are these: Why am I doing this? What larger purposes might it serve? On one level, an artist might consider it sufficient to answer solely on a personal level. Another reason might be the belief that very process of creating art has its own intrinsic reward. However, if the artist intends to move beyond the personal pleasure and satisfaction, other considerations may apply. The art market offers the possibility of achieving commercial success. Art dealers in the USA, and elsewhere, are literally quarrying the art schools in search of the next Andy Warhol or Keith Haring. An article in the April 15, 2006 New York times reported that a prominent New York gallery director, accompanied by a venture capitalist, were seen visiting the art departments of Columbia and Yale Universities scouting for new art talent.⁴¹ There is considerable debate over the long-term effects of this practice on the future of young artists.

Another option for artists is to eschew pursuit of creating art objects solely for the personal satisfaction or economic gain and consider producing art with the aim of contributing to a greater good for society. In certain societies the artist' production is co-opted for use to communicate a social or ideological message. In other societies, the artist can choose to become a voice for social and political change. Of particular interest here, are examples provided by the success of artist in post-socialist and communist nations in East Europe such as Slovenia, where artists succeeded in advancing the democratization of

society and shaping their country's future as Slovenia disengaged from Yugoslavia.⁴² The examples of current artists' work cited earlier suggest that there is growing concern among artists today to make the effort to effect social change.

At the risk of sounding too idealistic, I would propose that art offers substantial potential for a greater role in the service of humanity. Any artist who might hope to find a place of distinction in the history of art is challenged to transcend the temptation to produce art objects merely to satisfy an obsession, or indulge in the pleasure found in the process of making art. What is required to move beyond these temptations and to achieve greatness in art? Here, I would like to suggest two objectives for today's artist: First, art as a means of generating knowledge and understanding leading to enlightenment (that is, to educate in the broadest sense). The second is to engage in art as a form of social action aimed at liberation or social change. To realize these objectives, it is useful to draw upon utopian themes which might include a vision of human artistic potential based on "a fusion of passion with intelligence and artistic talent."⁴³ Art as a means of generating knowledge and understanding draws the artist into the realm of ideas as generated in philosophy, the sciences and technology. Art connected to social change gives the artist a role in shaping the values of society and assumes a commitment to democratic actions in the pursuit of social justice.

Conclusion

Philosophers such as Benjamin, Merleau-Ponty, Deleuze, and Danto would agree that art and philosophy have intersected in important ways to shape the direction of our understanding of both aesthetics and art during the recent times. Their differing methodologies cut across diverse paths of art-making that have emerged over the past century. The choice of philosophers cited here is driven mainly by their overt attempts to address directly the relation of philosophy and art and by their insights into some of the main directions taken by modern and contemporary art. This of course does not mean that others have ignored such concerns. However, these philosophers approach aesthetics from representative main schools of Western Continental and Analytic thought, and show the range of

interest in the relation of philosophy and art from differing perspectives.

The main question then is, what are the implications of these new developments noted in part II for the future of aesthetics? These developments take us beyond the existing relations between philosophical aesthetics and art considered in part I. One option would be to simply proceed to do aesthetics as in the past relying on more traditional forms of art, if any, in the development of aesthetics. A better alternative-- and the one that I will endorse--is to take seriously the need for constant revisions in philosophical aesthetics based on the new developments in art. For example, how do the developments cited here affect our approach to definitions of art? Surely they support an open instead of a closed understanding of the concept of art. It would appear that some of these new forms of art do not rely upon traditional aesthetic features such as beauty. Instead, they point to other kinds of experience, for example the use of art for socialization purposes as in participatory art. Globalization and ethnic diversity in art invite inquiry into the role of economic considerations, and the relation of art to cultural identity. For example, what of interest to aesthetics can be learned by looking into the role of the art market in formulating contemporary thoughts concerning art and its role in society? Symptomatic of the current possibilities for closer collaboration involving market considerations in the deliberations of aesthetics is the recent project of a Danish gallerist, an artist, and a French economist "to produce a piece of art to reflect the status of the world's 10,000 artists."⁴⁴ Or, how does virtual reality alter the way we approach questions of art media and art's relation to the world outside art? From my perspective, there is much richness to be gained in understanding, not to mention for the continuing relevance of aesthetics, by looking carefully at new developments in art and forging comparable new developments in aesthetics. Given these factors, it seems clear that aesthetics will need to look beyond philosophy and remain open to insights from the diverse influences on art as it formulates any future understanding of art. Are there any guidelines for these developments? The two objectives cited above for artists apply equally to the work of aestheticians. In this respect, aestheticians who also view art as a means of generating knowledge and understanding, and/or as a means of effecting social change will

find willing partners among artists who continuously chart new ground in their work.

Notes:

- ¹ Walter Benjamin, "The Theory of Criticism," in *Walter Benjamin: Selected Writings*, I, 1919-1926, Edited by Marcus Bullock and Michael W. Jennings (Cambridge, Massachusetts/London, England, 1966), 217, 218.
- ² Benjamin, "The Theory of Criticism," 218.
- ³ Walter Benjamin, "The Work of Art in the Age of Reproducibility," Third Version, in *Walter Benjamin, Selected Writings 1930-1940, IV*, Edited by Marcus Bullock and Michael W. Jennings (Cambridge, Massachusetts/London, England, 1966), 251-284 .
- ⁴ Noël Carroll, *A Philosophy of Mass Art* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998), 119.
- ⁵ See Noël Carroll, *A Philosophy of Mass Art* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998), 118,119.
- ⁶ Maurice Merleau-Ponty, "Eye and Mind," *The Merleau-Ponty Aesthetics Reader: Philosophy and Painting*, Edited by Galen A. Johnson (Evanston, Illinois, 1993), 132-136.
- ⁷ Maurice Merleau-Ponty, "Cezanne's Doubt," *The Merleau-Ponty Aesthetics Reader: Philosophy and Painting*, 59-75.
- ⁸ Merleau-Ponty, "Eye and Mind," *The Merleau-Ponty Aesthetics Reader: Philosophy and Painting*, 132-135. Perhaps it is useful to think of Merleau-Ponty's notion of pre-theoretical understanding in relation to Renaud Barbaras's understanding of nature. Barbaras suggests that nature is the auto-production of meaning in the sense that its meaning is not posited by thought. Hence it is perhaps in human nature that the artist finds what becomes articulate in the work of art. See Renaud Barbaras, "Merleau-Ponty and Nature," *Research in Phenomenology* 31 (1): 22-38.
- ⁹ Merleau-Ponty, "-Indirect Language and the Voices of Silence," *The Merleau-Ponty Aesthetics Reader: Philosophy and Painting*, 117.
- ¹⁰ Merleau-Ponty, "Cezanne's Doubt," 73.
- ¹¹ Gilles Deleuze, *Negotiations: 1972-1990*, translated by Martin Joughin, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1995), 123-125. . Also Gilles Deleuze and Félix Guattari, *What is Philosophy?* translated by Hugh Tomlinson and Graham Burchell, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1994), 197.
- ¹² Deleuze, *Negotiations, 1972-1990*, trans. Martin Joughin, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1995), 136.

- ¹³ Daniel W. Smith, "Deleuze on Bacon: Three Conceptual Trajectories in the Logic of Sensation," translator's introduction, *Francis Bacon: The Logic of Sensation* Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2003.
- ¹⁴ Gilles Deleuze, *Negotiations, 1972-1990*, trans. Martin Joughin, (New York: Columbia University Press, 1995), 125.
- ¹⁵ Deleuze, *Negotiations*, 137.
- ¹⁶ Gilles Deleuze, *Francis Bacon: Logique de la Sensation* (Paris: Editions de la Difference, 1981). Published in English as *The Logic of Sensation*, translated by Daniel W. Smith, (New York: Continuum, 2003), 56.
- ¹⁷ Arthur C. Danto, "Introduction: Philosophy and the Criticism of Art," *Embodied Meanings: Critical Essays and Aesthetic Meditations* (New York: Farrar Straus Giroux, 1994) 12, 13. See also Arthur C. Danto, "The Work of Art and the Historical Future," in *The Madonna of the Future: Essays in a Pluralistic Art World* (Berkeley & Los Angeles, University of California Press, 2010), 428.
- ¹⁸ Arthur Danto, "Art after the End of Art," in Arthur C. Danto, *Embodied Meanings: Critical Essays and Aesthetic Meditations* (New York: Farrar Straus Giroux, 1994), 326. See also, Clement Greenberg, "Modern Painting," 1960.
- ¹⁹ *Ibid*, 326.
- ²⁰ *Ibid*, 328.
- ²¹ Arthur C. Danto, "The Work of Art and the Historical Future," in *The Madonna of the Future: Essays in a Pluralistic Art World* (Berkeley & Los Angeles, University of California Press, 2001), 428.
- ²² See Arthur C. Danto, "Embodied Meanings, Isotypes and Aesthetical Ideas," in Susan L. Feagin, editor, *Global theories of the Arts and Aesthetics* (Oxford: Published for the American Society for Aesthetics by Blackwell, 2007), 121-129, especially 126-129.
- ²³ Given the limits of space and time for preparation of this paper there are numerous other factors that are also involved, such as feminism and gender issues that affect art that will not be covered here. Similarly the present treatment of the topic does not address the important developments of East/West aesthetics that I have addressed elsewhere. See for example, Curtis L. Carter, "The Avant-Garde in Twentieth Century Chinese Art," in *Subversive Strategies in Chinese Contemporary Art: Western Criticism and Chinese Aesthetics Art*, edited by Mary Wiseman and Yuedi Liu (Leiden: Brill Academic Publishers, 2011), 295-321.
- ²⁴ Edward Burns, ed., *Gertrude Stein on Picasso* (New York: Liveright Publishing Corp, 1970), p. 13.
- ²⁵ Stein, p. 13.
- ²⁶ Stein, pp. 17, 18

- ²⁷ Allan Kaprow, *Essays on the Blurring of Art and Life*, ed. Jeff Kelley, (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1993).
- ²⁸ Barbara Pollack, "You're Engaged!," *Art News*, January 2011: 85-87. This article surveys recent developments in a new movement called participatory art. See also San Francisco Museum of Modern Art exhibition, "the Art of Participation," 2008-2009.
- ²⁹ Nicolas Bourriaud, *Relational Aesthetics* (Dijon: Les Presses du Réel, 1998/2002). Claire Bishop, ed. *Participation* (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2006).
- ³⁰ Frederic Jameson, "Notes on Globalization as a Philosophical Issue," in Frederic Jameson and Masao Miyoshi (eds.) *The Cultures of Globalization* (Durham and London: Duke University Press, 1998), p. 54. See also Aless Erjavec, "Aesthetics and Globalization: An Introduction," *International Yearbook of Aesthetics* Vol. 8, 2004: 3-14.
- ³¹ Shelly Esaak, *Art History Guide*, Internet, March 17, 2010.
- ³² Hans Belting, Preface to Conference Program, *The Interplay of Art and Globalization: Consequences for Museums*, Research Center for Cultural Studies, Vienna, Austria, January 25-27, 2007.
- ³³ See James Elkins, *Is Art History Global?* (London: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group, 2007), 96-105.
- ³⁴ Bloomberg.com, December 2009, based on Art Price data. As the art market depends on the overall economy, sales have declined seasonally in the period of 2008-2010.
- ³⁵ Arthur Schlessinger, *Reflections on a Multicultural Society* (Washington, D. C. President's Committee on the Arts and Humanities, 1991), 1.
- ³⁶ Ivette Pered-Vega, "Willie Bester: An artist Resisting the Injustice in Post-Apartheid South Africa," Paper, "The Law and Society Association, Berlin, Online, May 24, 2009.
- ³⁷ Michael Rush, "Virtual Reality Beyond Technology," *New York Times*, January 6, 2006.
- ³⁸ Jos de Mul, *Cyberspace Odyssey: Towards a Virtual Ontology and Anthropology*, Manuscript, English translation of Dutch second and third edition, 2003, 212.
- ³⁹ Roselee Goldberg, *Performance 07 Everywhere* (JPR Ringler, 2010). Curtis L. Carter, "Beyond Performance: Re Jan Fabre," in *Jan Fabre: Texts on His Theater-Work* (Brussels: Kaitheater/ Frankfurt: Theater Am Turm, 1992-1993), 13-26.
- ⁴⁰ Jan Fabre's performance, "After Art," took place at the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Aesthetics," held at Marquette University's Helfaer Theater in October 1989. It was the first performance art work seen by most of those attending the event. Fabre's career has included performance art, large scale avant garde theater, visual arts and film.

- ⁴¹ Carol Vogel, "Warhols of Tomorrow are Dealers' Quarry Today," *New York Times*, April 15, 2006, Arts/Design. See also, "The 23-Year-Old Masters," *The Wall Street Journal*, April 14, 2006: W1.
- ⁴² Aless Erjavec, editor, *Postmodernism and the Postsocialist Condition: Politicized Art Under Late Socialism* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003).
- ⁴³ Daniel Bell, *The End of Ideology*: New Revised Edition (New York: Collier Books, 1962), 405.
- ⁴⁴ Clemens Bonsdorf, "Dots Joined," *The Art Newspaper*, No. 217, October 2010: 60. The result is a 3'D graphic work "Cloud," "that uses data from the Artfacts database comparing exhibition figures at both private and public galleries" with respect to venue prestige and other factors relating to commercial and institutional success, and ethnic and gender representation. Missing from the equation is the perspective of the aesthetician.