

**Marquette University
e-Publications@Marquette**

College of Nursing Faculty Research and
Publications

Nursing, College of

10-1-2013

The Microbiology and Immunology of Normal Physiologic Birth: A Plea for the Nature of Mother

Lisa Hanson

Marquette University, lisa.hanson@marquette.edu

Leona VandeVusse

Marquette University, leona.vandevusse@marquette.edu

Accepted version. *Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing*, Vol. 27, No. 4 (October-December 2013): 278-280. DOI. © 2013 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. Used with permission.

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Nursing Faculty Research and Publications/College of Nursing

This paper is NOT THE PUBLISHED VERSION; but the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript. The published version may be accessed by following the link in the citation below.

Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal Nursing, Vol. 27, No. 4 (October/December 2013): 278-280. [DOI](#). This article is © Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins and permission has been granted for this version to appear in [e-Publications@Marquette](#). Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

The Microbiology and Immunology of Normal Physiologic Birth: A Plea for the Nature of Mother

Lisa Hanson

College of Nursing, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

Leona VandeVusse

College of Nursing, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI

Without a significant change in practice, approximately 2 of 3 pregnant American women will have a normal, spontaneous vaginal birth in 2013. Countless scientific and healthcare leaders have delineated numerous maternal, neonatal, and socioeconomic consequences of the rising cesarean delivery rate.¹ In our integrative review of the literature on prenatal probiotics and prebiotics included in this issue of the *Journal of Perinatal and Neonatal Nursing*, we briefly describe the microbiome of healthy pregnant women. *Lactobacillus* predominates in the vagina and *Bifidobacterium* in the gut.^{2,3} These probiotic bacteria serve to maintain homeostasis on the mucosal surfaces and prevent the adherence of pathogens. Our review included outcomes from 37 studies of prenatal probiotics (most containing species of *Lactobacillus* and/or *Bifidobacterium*) to

produce health benefits. In this article, we make the connection between normal vaginal birth, breast-feeding, and the physiologic development of the healthy neonatal microbiome. We contrast this to the short- and long-term health concerns of children born by cesarean delivery.

It is long been understood that pathogenic bacteria can enter the pregnant uterus through a variety of pathways, leading to risks such as premature labor.⁴ However, in normal pregnancy, the uterine environment and the fetus are often considered to be sterile. Recently, it has been posited that the fetus receives preparatory exposure to healthy microbes from the mother and her environment that prime the neonatal immune system to adapt more quickly following birth.^{5,6}

The unique bacterial community of the mother is transferred to the neonate vertically during the process of physiologic birth through exposure to vaginal-perianal microbes.⁷ Although findings of some studies vary, the intestinal microbiota of infants born by vaginal delivery appear to rapidly resemble that of their mothers, where *Lactobacillus* dominate,^{7,8} later developing a higher proportion of *Bifidobacterium*.⁹ Both help stimulate the healthy development of the neonate's immune system.⁸ Since the immune system is largely mediated by gut microflora, there are long-term health implications, depending on which microbes colonize the neonate.⁸

Breast-feeding and close contact with the mother further promote healthy bacterial colonization of the baby. The maternal gut and breast milk are connected by what is called the enteromammary link.¹⁰ Pathogens ingested by the mother initiate an immune response in her gut; subsequently, immune-protective factors (eg, secretory IgA) specific to those pathogens are transferred to her infant through breast milk.¹¹ Another remarkable advantage of breast milk is that it is synbiotic, meaning that it contains both probiotic bacteria and prebiotics (food for the bacteria).¹²

The hygiene hypothesis suggests that limited exposure to bacteria in early life can increase the risk of immune-based diseases later in life.^{13,14} For example, formula-fed infants' have fewer *Bifidobacterium* in their stools than breast-fed babies.^{12,15} In addition, the flora of infants born by cesarean delivery more closely resembles that of skin surfaces (maternal and nonmaternal) and the hospital environment (eg, *Staphylococcus*).⁷ These neonates experience a delay of several months in the establishment of a stable and functional intestinal microbiota.^{16,17} This leaves babies born by cesarean delivery potentially more vulnerable because of a lack of exposure to protective maternal microbes.^{15,16} Even healthy infants born by cesarean delivery are more at risk of serious infections, such as methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*.^{18,19} In summary, both cesarean birth and formula-feeding limit neonatal exposure to healthy probiotic bacteria.

Immune diseases	Odds ratio	Confidence interval	P	Reference
Allergic rhinitis	1.37	1.14–1.63	.0006	Renz-Polster et al ²⁰
Any allergic disorder	1.23	1.06–1.43	.007	Renz-Polster et al ²⁰
Asthma	1.24	1.10–1.53	.04	Renz-Polster et al ²⁰
Celiac disease	1.80	1.13–2.88	.014	Decker et al ²¹
Type 1 diabetes mellitus	1.19	1.04–1.36	.01	Cardwell et al ²²

Adapted from Neu and Rushina.¹⁴

Table 1. Increased risk of immune diseases in children born by cesarean delivery

Immune diseases	Odds ratio	Confidence interval	P	Reference
Allergic rhinitis	1.37	1.14–1.63	.0006	Renz-Polster et al ²⁰
Any allergic disorder	1.23	1.06–1.43	.007	Renz-Polster et al ²⁰
Asthma	1.24	1.10–1.53	.04	Renz-Polster et al ²⁰
Celiac disease	1.80	1.13–2.88	.014	Decker et al ²¹
Type 1 diabetes mellitus	1.19	1.04–1.36	.01	Cardwell et al ²²

Adapted from Neu and Rushing.¹⁴

Table 1 contains a synthesis of the findings of 3 studies (1 meta-analysis and 2 retrospective studies) that demonstrated a significant increase in the odds of developing specific diseases among children born by cesarean delivery.²⁰ From an epidemiologic perspective, other characteristics of infants born by cesarean delivery such as birth weight, duration of breast-feeding, and antibiotic exposure may contribute to vulnerabilities.^{13,14} However, controlling for these and other confounding variables, cesarean birth significantly increases the odds of developing immune-related diseases.²⁰⁻²² While associations have not been established for other common immunologic diseases,^{20,21} further research is needed.

In their retrospective cohort study of 8953 children, Renz-Polster and colleagues²⁰ found that the odds of children born by caesarean delivery having allergic rhinitis and asthma increased even further if the cesarean birth was an elective repeat. A classic study of white blood cells in umbilical cord blood demonstrated that fetal production of immune-protective factors is stimulated during the labor process.²³ Therefore, labor enhances fetal immune system activity in preparation for extrauterine life, while the absence of labor appears to place the neonate more at risk.²⁴

One irony of hospital birth is that providers' attempts to prevent exposure to harmful pathogens may in fact interfere with exposure to health-promoting probiotic bacteria.²⁵ When the physiologic process of birth is disrupted by a surgical cesarean intervention, the offspring may experience lifelong immunologic impacts. Women are commonly informed about the risks inherent in vaginal birth, yet few are counseled about the short- and long-term neonatal risks of elective cesarean birth.²⁶ If perinatal providers have a strong desire to support normal birth, it is critical that the microbiologic and immunologic benefits of experiencing labor, vaginal birth, and breast-feeding be shared with women and their families.

-Lisa Hanson, PhD, CNM, FACNM

-Leona VandeVusse, PhD, CNM, FACNM

Marquette University College of Nursing

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

References

1. Goer H, Romano A. The case against liberal use of cesarean surgery. In: Optimal Care in Childbirth: The Case for a Physiologic Approach. Seattle, WA: Classic Day; 2012:53-93.
2. Donders GG. Microscopy of the bacterial flora on fresh vaginal smears. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol. 1999;7:177-179.
3. Fooks LJ, Gibson GR. Probiotics as modulators of the gut flora. Br J Nutr. 2002;88(suppl 1):S39-S49.
4. Goldenberg RL, Hauth JC, Andrews WW. Intrauterine infection and preterm delivery. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:1500-1507.
5. Jimenez E, Marin ML, Martin R, et al. Is meconium from healthy newborns actually sterile? Res Microbiol. 2008;159:187-193.
6. Thum C, Cookson AL, Otter DE, et al. Can nutritional modulation of maternal intestinal microbiota influence the development of the infant gastrointestinal tract? J Nutr. 2012;142:1921-1928.
7. Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello WK, Contreras M, et al. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in neonates. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010;107(26):11971-11975.

8. Karlsson CLJ, Molin G, Cilio CM, Ahrne S. The pioneer GI microbiota in human neonates vaginally born at term: a pilot study. *Pediatr Res.* 2011;70(3):282-286.
9. Mitsou EK, Kirtzalidou E, Oikonomou I, Liosis G, Kyriacou A. Fecal microflora of Greek healthy neonates. *Anaerobe.* 2008;14:94-101.
10. Kirsten GF. Does breast-feeding prevent atopic disorders? *Curr Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2009;22(1):24-26.
11. Newburg DS, Walker WA. Protection of the neonate by the innate immune system of developing gut and of human milk. *Pediatr Res.* 2007;61:2-8.
12. Levy J. Immunonutrition: the pediatric experience. *Nutrition.* 1998;14:641-647.
13. Cho CE, Norman M. Cesarean section and development of the immune system in the offspring. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;208:249-254.
14. Neu J, Rushing J. Cesarean versus vaginal delivery: long-term infant outcomes and the hygiene hypothesis. *Clin Perinatol.* 2011;38(2):321-331.
15. Biasucci G, Benenati B, Morelli L, Bessi E, Boehm G. Cesarean delivery may affect the early biodiversity of intestinal bacteria. *J Nutr.* 2008;138:1796S-1800S.
16. Fanaro S, Chierici R, Guerrini P, Vigi V. Intestinal microflora in early infancy: composition and development. *Acta Paediatr Suppl.* 2003;91(441):48-55.
17. Gronlund M-M, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, Kero P. Fecal microflora in healthy infants born by different methods of delivery: permanent changes in intestinal flora after cesarean delivery. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* 1999;28(1):19-25.
18. Gregory KE. Microbiome aspects of perinatal and neonatal health. *J Perinat Neonatal Nurs.* 2011;25(2):158-162.
19. Watson J, Jones RC, Cortes C, et al. Community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection among healthy newborns: Chicago and Los Angeles county, 2004. *JAMA.* 2006;296(1):36-38.
20. Renz-Polster H, David MR, Buist AS, et al. Cesarean section delivery and the risk of allergic disorders in childhood. *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2005;35:1446-1472.
21. Decker E, Engelmann G, Findeisen A, et al. Cesarean delivery is associated with celiac disease but not inflammatory bowel disease in children. *Pediatrics.* 2010;125(6):e1433-e1440.
22. Cardwell CR, Stene OC, Joner G, et al. Cesarean section is associated with an increased risk of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Diabetologia.* 2008;51(5):726-735.
23. Dinarello CA, Shparber M, Kent EF, Wolff SM. Production of leukocytic pyrogen from phagocytes of neonates. *J Infect Dis.* 1981;144:337-343.
24. Romero R, Korzeniewski SJ. Are infants born by elective cesarean delivery without labor at risk for developing immune disorders later in life? [Editorial]. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;208:243-246.
25. Round JL, Mazmanian SK. The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2009;9:313-323.
26. Bettes BA, Coleman VH, Zinberg S, et al. Cesarean delivery on maternal request: obstetrician-gynecologists' knowledge, perception, and practice patterns. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;109(1):57-66.